

The Unknown Knowns of the Just Green Transitions: A Meta-Governance Approach for a Possible Enlargement of the European Union

Original

The Unknown Knowns of the Just Green Transitions: A Meta-Governance Approach for a Possible Enlargement of the European Union / Shaker, Yahya - In: The Palgrave Handbook of Just Green Transitions in the Western Balkans and Beyond / Berisha, E., Moodie, J., Allkja, L., Jefti, M.. - ELETTRONICO. - Cham, Switzerland : Palgrave Macmillan, 2025. - ISBN 9783031950742. - pp. 73-87 [10.1007/978-3-031-95075-9_4]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/3005175 since: 2025-11-22T19:04:38Z

Publisher:

Palgrave Macmillan

Published

DOI:10.1007/978-3-031-95075-9_4

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)



The Unknown Knowns of the Just Green Transitions: A Meta-Governance Approach for a Possible Enlargement of the European Union

Yahya Shaker 

4.1 INTRODUCTION

As the future of Europe undergoes crucial transitions (European Commission, 2019), this chapter delves into the pivotal role of Just Green Transitions (JGT) at the nexus of European Union enlargement and the accession of the Western Balkans. These expected transitions are vital for integrating the Western Balkans into the European Union (Berisha, 2025a). Anchored by the European Green Deal (EGD) and the Green Agenda for the Western Balkans (GAWB) (European Commission, 2020a, European Commission, 2020c; European Commission, 2023), the chapter highlights the intricate challenges of conceptualising, operationalising, and advancing the JGT within a region marked by diverse governance structures and varying institutional capacities. Through a Meta-Governance perspective (Jessop, 2011), the chapter examines what the EU can offer the Western Balkans and how the region serves as a critical pivot in realising a unified European vision (Berisha, 2025a, b). The aims of this chapter are threefold. Firstly, it explores the intersection between the Western Balkans' transition towards carbon neutrality and their paths to EU accession. Secondly, it argues for the notion of a Meta-Governance approach to navigate the complexities of a macro-regional transition, ensuring no state is left behind. Finally, the chapter sheds light on the geopolitical dimension of the JGT within the broader context of EU enlargement, emphasising the

Y. Shaker (✉)

Interuniversity Department of Regional and Urban Studies and Planning, Politecnico Di Torino, Turin, Italy

e-mail: yahya.shaker@polito.it

© The Author(s) 2026

E. Berisha et al. (eds.), *The Palgrave Handbook of Just Green Transitions in the Western Balkans and Beyond*,

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-95075-9_4

interconnectedness of institutional reforms inherent in aligning the Western Balkans' accession with the European Green Deal. The chapter is structured as follows: the first section establishes the linkage between the EU's enlargement strategies and the European Green Deal. The second section focuses on the geopolitical dimensions of the Just Green Transitions and their impacts on possible EU macro-regional integration. The third section introduces a Meta-Governance framework as a strategic tool for advancing both the JGT and EU accession goals. By addressing these interconnected themes, the chapter provides a holistic perspective on the transformative potential of the Just Green Transitions in shaping the future of the Western Balkans in the European Union.

4.2 A EUROPEAN DEAL OR A EUROPEAN UNION DEAL

The European Union—and not only—has been facing waves of compound crises. In particular, the Balkans is one of the most affected European regions, not only when it comes to the impacts of climate change (European Commission, 2020c). Simultaneous geopolitical, climatic, and economic challenges have affected the European Union's strategic partnerships (Bonomi, 2021) and its enlargement plans (European Commission, 2022). Simultaneously to the global pandemic, the European Commission introduced its European Green Deal, a once-in-a-lifetime transnational roadmap (IEA, 2021) and a geopolitical and geo-economic continental agenda (Leonard et al., 2021) pivoting on a transnational twinned transition which ought to be green, just, inclusive, fair (European Commission, 2019), and now also became competitive (European Commission, 2024b).

This continental agenda aims to forge institutional reform, which not only the Member States are required to undertake but also the Candidate States,¹ including the Western Balkans² (European Commission, 2020c) and is aligned with the New Growth Plan for the Western Balkans (European Commission, 2023) and the long-standing interest in enlarging the EU towards the East.

¹ See the Council conclusions on Enlargement, 17 December 2024, the 2024 Communication on EU Enlargement Policy (Council of the European Union, 2024). See also the speech (2024b) at the Interparliamentary Conference for CFSP and the CSDP—Europe and the countries wishing to join the EU, 09.09 2024. Candidate Countries in 2024: Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Georgia, Kosovo, Moldova, Montenegro, North Macedonia, Serbia, Türkiye, and Ukraine.

² On the Spectre of the Balkans, see the reading of Žižek (1999). In this contribution, the term Western Balkans refers to a geo-political neologism used by the European Union referring to six countries formed during the collapse of The Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, located in Southern and Eastern Europe in the Balkan area: Republic of Albania (*Republika e Shqipërisë*), Bosnia and Herzegovina (*Bosna i Hercegovina*), Republic of Kosovo (*Republika e Kosovës*; *Република Косово*), Montenegro (*Црна Гора*), Republic of North Macedonia (*Република Северна Македонија*), and Republic of Serbia (*Република Србија*).

Its Reform Agenda has been aligned with the objectives of both the European Green Deal and the Green Agenda for the Western Balkans (European Commission, 2020a; European Commission, 2020c; European Commission, 2023), mobilising European funds for a “Green Transition” and a “Just Transition” (European Commission, 2019). On July 1st, 2021, the European Commission put into action the Just Transition Mechanism (JTM), aiming at leaving no person nor region behind and accompanying the Member States in preparing their Territorial Just Transition Plans targeting the most vulnerable carbon-dependent regions (European Commission, 2021). Within the framework of the JTM, both the Member States and the Candidate Countries—with their different spatial governance and planning systems (Berisha et al., 2021; Faludi, 2012; Nadin et al., 2018)—are still trying to conceptualise, operationalise, and implement the so-called Just Green Transitions while facing the predicament of not having completely agreed upon this conceptual compound terminology (Shaker & Berisha, 2024, 2025).

To achieve these transitions, the European Commission has been intensively investing and coordinating between the Member States, financing the transitions of the Candidate Countries, and creating agreements with the EU Neighbours included in its Enlargement Packages of the European Neighbourhood Policy and Enlargement Negotiations (European Commission, 2020b; European Commission, 2024c). Accordingly, several economic packages, political measurements, and reform support are in course, including the NextGenerationEU, the JTM, the Fit-for-55 package, the REPowerEU plan, the EU Reform Agenda, and the New EU Strategy for the Potential Enlargement of the European Union (European Parliament, 2021). Yet, there is still no common agreement on the definition of the Just Green Transitions (Shaker & Berisha, 2024; Shaker & Persico, 2024). This deal, thus, represents an ambitious masterplan for the WB not only to address long-lasting socio-economic issues while trying to achieve carbon neutrality through the utilisation of the Just Transition Fund but, most importantly, it presents an opportunity both for macro-regional cohesiveness and a strategy for an institutional reform possibly leading to the accession to the EU. Meaning that this “deal” could be strategically utilised as a path towards a macro-regional integrative social vision, advocating for fairness and inclusivity to ensure that all vulnerable populations within the WB could truly benefit together from the transitions as one macro-region while *leaving no one nor region behind* (European Commission, 2019). As no one-size-fits-all, the Green Agenda of the Western Balkans (European Commission, 2020a) not only represents one foundational step towards the region’s prospective integration but also a complementary strategic chess piece to the accession through the alignment with the EGD. Consequently, the Western Balkans are adapting to the European Green Deal objectives while tailoring a more suitable fit for their societal, political, and geopolitical contexts (Tahirović, 2024). The intersection of the two objectives—achieving the so-called JGT while simultaneously

advancing the EU's enlargement—brings to the fore the geopolitical complexities entangled within the EGD, which is expected to be just, inclusive, and without leaving no person or region behind (European Commission, 2019), especially in a region characterised by complex (geo)political interests and (geo)economic disparities (Bieri, 2015). Borrowing from critical theory and political philosophy, without delving into the ontological, philological, and axiological polylemma of what are the *Balkans* and what are the *Western Balkans*, this chapter is building upon Slavoj Žižek's theorisation on the “*unknown knowns*” (2006) and *The Spectre of the Balkans* (1999), highlighting the cultural identity, strategic, and geopolitical value of the Balkan region as one fundamental missing pillar—or a phantom limb of European Union—to the full integration and cohesion of the European Union; culturally, politically, geographically, and geopolitically. In an analogy to Kennedy's proposition (Mehltretter, 2009), this chapter looks at what the Western Balkans can offer for the EU or whether the EU can lead the Just Green Transitions in the Western Balkans (Prelec et al., 2023), but rather what the EU can offer the Western Balkans and whether the Balkan Region, in turn, is one pivotal as the geopolitical region of the European Green Deal. Following this introductory section, The following one aims to find an association between the transitions of the Western Balkans in terms of European integration and accession to the European Union and their paths towards the so-called Just Green Transitions, while the third one advocates for a Meta-Governance approach for the transitions both to a carbon-neutral and an EU future for the Western Balkans.

4.3 THE GEOPOLITICAL DIMENSION OF THE JUST GREEN TRANSITIONS

Transition is an ambiguous terminology in social and political sciences (Škuflić, 2010). The EU Twin Transitions (European Commission, 2019) pivot on the security of energy. Their realisation has become the nucleus of the current global geopolitical environment (Najetović, 2024) and depends on the formation of global energy alliances, which both are influencing the ongoing accession processes of the Western Balkans into the European Union (Tziarras, 2019). The process of accessing new Members to the European Union is determined by the candidate Countries' institutional capacities (Abramowitz et al., 2011) to reform (Stanicek et al., 2023). Following the 2019 global pandemic emergency and its consecutive Energy and Food crises of 2022, the European Green Deal became the EU First Agenda (Almeida et al., 2023) for the Member States and the Western Balkans to progress key institutional reforms (European Commission, 2020b) required for the enlargement (European Commission, 2024c) and possibly another aspiration to join the EU block. The idea of joining the Western block following the fall of Yugoslavia has been subject to sociopolitical, geopolitical, and territorial governance entanglements in the Balkans (Berisha et al., 2018, 2024; Oberschall, 1996),

which all have been highly influenced by identity projections (Hayden, 2012), ideological impositions, and Westernisation metonymicity (Tsaroucha, 2019), which all might have contributed to counteractive and retroactive bifurcation of the Balkan region from the rest of the Western world (Jukić, 2013). It is worth mentioning that it has been over a quarter of a century since the great expectations for EU stability and the WB's eventual accession to the EU have been first discussed (Steil & Woodward, 1999). Fifteen years passed, and the Paris Climate Deal 2015 (Ratha, 2019) offered room for new opportunities for EU accession through common Climate Change Policies tying the Western Balkans to the EU (Cierco Gomes, 2019). The term *Just Green Transitions* (JGT) remains an emerging and not yet widely established notion within either European Union policy discourse or academic literature. Positioned as a *meta-concept*—a synthesis of existing concepts and a concept of concepts (Shaker & Persico, 2024)—it is intended to capture the multi-perspectival and pluralistic nature of transitions across diverse socio-spatial-temporal contexts (Levin-Keitel et al., 2018). Unlike the terminological and conceptual paradigms of the *Green Transition* and the *Just Transition*, the Just Green Transitions, as an umbrella term, extends far beyond the separate yet connected policy constructs, offering a Meta-Governance (Jessop, 2011) perspective that acknowledges the interconnected, multi-layered, and simultaneous dynamics of change. JGT, therefore, emerges as an adaptive and integrative paradigm capable of addressing the complexities and inequities inherent in the ongoing processes of ecological and societal transitions/transformation (Shaker & Berisha, 2024, 2025). Putting into perspective both the possible geopolitical implications of the EGD and the Green Agenda for the Western Balkans (GAWB) (European Commission, 2020a), it is crucial to encompass the potential for the EU enlargement towards the East and the multifaceted dimensions of integrating the WB into the EGD ambitions. However, conceptualising, operationalising, and advancing the JGT present major challenges (Ignjatović et al., 2024) due to the sociopolitical, economic, and territorial governance specificities and varying levels of institutional capacities within the region (Berisha & Cotella, 2021). In a context where the EU strategies and deals go beyond the EU borders (Lavenex & Schimmelfennig, 2009), it could be argued that geopolitics is momentous in the attainment of the European Green Deal (EGD) (Official Journal of the European Union, 2022) and thus, current internal and external geopolitical interests in the Eastern Regions could shuffle the geopolitical landscapes and put governance models, frameworks, and decision-making processes to the test (European Commission, 2022). Both the European Green Deal and its twin transitions—inevitably—are forecasted to have a sociopolitical and geopolitical influence on the enlargement of the European Union (Official Journal of the European Union, 2022), not only at a transnational scale but at a macro-regional one as well.

The EU's rivalry in the clean energy space race (Official Journal of the European Union, 2022) aiming to make Europe the first climate-neutral

continent (European Commission, 2019) is retroactively, retrospectively, and consequently re-establishing not only the speed, the geographic and [geo]political direction of the transitions (Scholten et al., 2019) but rather the level playing field (Borrell, 2023) of the global governance and its transitions. Viewing the EGD from an EU foreign and regional development perspective (Teevan et al., 2021), the geopolitical dimension of the JGT (Shaker & Persico, 2024) is thus becoming almost undetachable from the concepts of European territorial fragmentation (Sharpe, 1989) and the territorial phantom limbs (Billé, 2014) meaning that both the climatic and the geo-economic spatiality of the Deal goes beyond the territoriality of the European Union. In the case of the Balkan region—where a definition is beyond linguistic complexity, but both geographically and even more so geopolitically (Tahirović, 2024)—the path to the European Union (Dabrowski & Myachenkova, 2018) has been a constant topic on the European Council’s agenda since 2009 (Anghel, 2020). It is, however, somehow interesting to observe the paradigm shift from Balkanisation towards Europeanisation (Jano, 2008), especially when, for the first time in European politics, adding the term *Western* to a political terminology counteracts the notion of Westernisation, the Western world, and Western Europe (Liridon, 2023). Semantically, one might argue that the term *Western*, in the Balkan case, might have been deliberately acting as a geopolitical identity inhibitor, identifying the last six states that have not yet joined the union away from Western Europe’s cultural and political identity. Instead, in this context, the term *Western* indicated literally where these states are geographically located in the Balkan Peninsula. Logically, one might as well wonder, but what about Slovenia and Croatia, since their accession in 2004 and the latter in 2013, are no longer conceptually associated with the WB (Hayden, 2012; Liridon, 2023). These quasi-esoteric geo (graphical, political, social, economic) realities and their definition denote an issue of ideological antagonism (Žižek, 1999). One could also question this neologism “Western Balkans” (Vienna European Council, 1998), with its terminological implications and identity contrast from the term *Balkans*, which might present not only a strategic geopolitical chessboard predicament (Bieber & Tzifakis, 2019) for various local realities having been reshaped over and over by global players’ interests making the geopolitical game in this region particularly intricate. Mainly, the coining of this term (Dabrowski & Myachenkova, 2018) and its continuous use is paradoxically one main issue that might have been causing more avulsion than twinning, for it might have ideologically differentiating the Western Balkans from the rest of the Balkan Region (Liridon, 2023).

4.4 TOWARDS A META-GOVERNANCE APPROACH TO THE JUST GREEN TRANSITIONS

The most pressing issue regarding the Just Green Transitions is how to govern these transitions, not only at the level of the Member States but also at the level of the Candidate Countries that are aiming to join the European Union within its Enlargement Plan (European Commission, 2024c) within its Western Partnership (European Commission, 2020b). Within the EU Multi-level Territorial Governance model (Faludi, 2012), the operationalisation processes can barely have all the necessary socio-territorial and institutional policy mixes (Zepa & Hoffmann, 2023) and (macro)regional capacities to govern energy transitions (Van Dijk et al., 2022) for advancing such ambitious transitional territorial strategies across various vertical and horizontal multi-level, multi-actor, and multi-sectoral institutional dynamics (Cotella et al., 2021) that reflect the various realities experienced by individuals, societies, communities, and regions. Moreover, the coordination and decision-making of the JGT at the transnational, national, and subnational levels are quite challenging (Pisano et al., 2014) due to the variety of the existing socio-territorial models, institutional and administrative traditions and technical and political cultures of the Member States. This issue becomes even more complex when added to the enlargement of the Eastern Neighbours (European Commission, 2024b) and their various Territorial Governance and Planning Systems (Cotella & Toto, 2021). Since the EGD extends beyond EU borders (Walker, 2024), emphasising partnerships to achieve climate neutrality for the Western Balkans, beyond the place-sensitive governance complexities (Cotella & Toto, 2021), a Meta-Governance approach (Jessop, 2011) of holistic, adaptive, flexible, and inclusive intergovernmental structure (Fратиanni, 2003) and multilateral, regional cooperation (Tahirović, 2024) for advancing the Western Balkans' accession to the European Union through the European Green Deal might offer a possible governance path both to realise the Just Green Transitions and achieve the full accession to the EU. This contribution is thus arguing that a Meta-Governance framework forging a real-time (Meuleman, 2022) (Fig. 4.1).

Intergovernmental macro-regionalisation (Gänzle & Kern, 2016) framework corresponding to the New Growth Plan for the Western Balkans and bridging both the EGD and the GAWB through strengthening vertical and horizontal coordination across scales, sectors, actors, and levels of decision-making, and homogenising a strategic alignment on the accession of the rest of the Balkan countries to the EU (European Commission, 2023), could offer a more holistic governance mechanism for a macro-regional accession project. This meta approach could offer room to align the conceptualisation of the GAWB at various operationalisation levels:

- Environmental and climate directives and regulations (Knez et al., 2022),



Fig. 4.1 The Balkan, the Western Balkans, and Europe. (*Source*) author’s own elaboration

- Trans-sectoral decarbonisation targets in the different WB countries (Jovanović et al., 2024), (c) Transnationally coordinating macro-regional energy governance (Cotella & Toto, 2021; Valkenburg & Cotella, 2016),
- Coordinating and monitoring the essential institutional reforms required for the alignment with the EU (European Commission, 2023),
- Ensuring that no country nor Balkan community should be left behind during the accession.

In other words, a macro-regional governance twinning process includes all the WB states under one regional accession to the European Union.

4.5 CONCLUSIONS

This contribution started with deconstructing the European Green Deal and its relevance to the European Regions, especially the Balkans. Followed by framing and debugging the undefined meta-concept of the so-called Just Green Transitions and their interconnectivity to both the Green Agenda for the Western Balkans and their relevance for possible accession to the

European Union. The chapter then examined the geopolitical dynamics underpinning both the EU's JGT and its enlargement policy, particularly towards the Western Balkans. This chapter discussed how the Meta-Governance could influence the political, social, and economic integration of the Western Balkans through a macro-regional Just Green Transition and advocating for a meta-conceptual approach, which recognises the multiplicity, simultaneity (Shaker & Berisha, 2024), diversity, and complexity of the transitions and emphasises the role of Spatial Planning and Territorial Governance (Berisha & Cotella, 2021; Berisha et al., 2021; Nadin et al., 2018) in achieving both institutional integration and accession and carbon neutrality. The chapter concludes that the Just Green Transitions, as envisioned in the European Green Deal, offer a viable framework for the Western Balkans' integration into the EU, but only if they are accompanied by a tailored meta-governance approach that addresses the macro-regional challenges and opportunities included in the Western Balkan' Green Agendas. By adopting a meta-governance approach into the broader framework of institutional reform, the Western Balkans would successfully navigate the internal challenges and take a step towards the expected EU enlargement (European Commission, 2024c).

Acknowledgements This research is funded by the research project European HORIZON-WIDERA-2021-ACCESS-02-01 | Foster Research Excellence for Green Transition in the Western Balkans-GreenFORCE Twinning of the Western Balkans, grant number: 101059411.

REFERENCES

- Abramowitz, M., Bieber, F., Jović, D., Manchin, R., Mungiu-Pippidi, A., Ordanoski, S., Radulović, M., Rupnik, J., Sarajlić-Maglić, D., Štiks, I., Surroi, V., & Teokarevic, J. (2011). The Western Balkans and the EU: 'The hour of Europe. In J. Rupnik (Ed.), *Chaillot papers*. The European Union Institute for Security Studies. ISBN 9789291981878. ISSN 1017-7566. https://www.iss.europa.eu/sites/default/files/EUISSFiles/cp126-The_Western_Balkans_and_the_EU.pdf
- Almeida, D. V., Kolinjivadi, V., Ferrando, T., Roy, B., Herrera, H., Gonçalves, M. V., & Van Hecken, G. (2023). The "Greening" of Empire: The European green deal as the EU first agenda. *Political Geography*. 105(102925). ISSN 0962-6298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2023.102925>
- Anghel, S. E. (2020). *Western Balkans on the European council agenda overview of discussions since the Lisbon treaty*. European Council in Action. European Parliamentary Research Service. European Council Oversight Unit PE 642.819—April 2020. [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/642819/EPRS_BRI\(2020\)642819_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/642819/EPRS_BRI(2020)642819_EN.pdf)
- Berisha, E. (2025a). Moving towards an inclusive green agenda in the Western Balkans. Foundation for European Progressive Studies (FEPS). <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.12092.76162>
- Berisha, E. (2025b). Green EU integration—The role of the green agenda in accelerating just green transitions in the Western Balkans. In E. Berisha, J. Moodie, L.

- Allkja, & M. Jeftić (Eds.), *The Palgrave handbook of just green transitions in the Western Balkans and beyond*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Berisha, E., Colic, N., Cotella, G., & Nedović-Budić, Z. (2018). Mind the gap: Spatial planning systems in the western Balkan region. *Transactions of the Association of European Schools of Planning*, 2(1), 47–62. <https://doi.org/10.24306/TrAESOP.2018.01.004>
- Berisha, E., Colic, N., Cotella, G., & Nedović-Budić, Z. (2024). Potential future enlargement—Spatial planning in the Western Balkans. In V. Nadin, G. Cotella, & P. Schmitt (Eds.), *Spatial planning systems in Europe: Comparison and trajectories* (pp. 216–245). Edward Elgar. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781839106255.00022>
- Berisha, E., & Cotella, G. (2021). Territorial development and governance in the Western Balkans. In E. Berisha, G. Cotella, & A. Solly (Eds.), *Governing territorial development in the Western Balkans. Advances in spatial science*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72124-4_2
- Berisha, E., Cotella, G., Janin Rivolin, U., & Solly, A. (2021). Spatial governance and planning systems in the public control of spatial development: A European typology. *European Planning Studies*, 29(1), 181–200. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2020.1726295>
- Bieber, F., & Tzifakis, N. (2019). *The Western Balkans as a Geopolitical Chessboard? Myths, realities and policy options*. https://www.biepag.eu/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/The_Western_Balkans_as_a_Geopolitical_Chessboard.pdf
- Bieri, M. (2015). *The Western Balkans Between Europe and Russia*. CSS Analyses in Security Policy, N0. 170. Centre for Security Studies (CSS), ETH Zurich. <https://css.ethz.ch/content/dam/ethz/special-interest/gess/cis/center-for-securities-studies/pdfs/CSSAnalyse170-EN.pdf>
- Billé, F. (2014). Territorial Phantom pains (and other cartographic anxieties). *Environment and Planning d: Society and Space*, 32(1), 163–178. <https://doi.org/10.1068/d20112>
- Bonomi, M. (2021). *In Search of EU Strategic Autonomy: What Role for the Western Balkans?* IAI—Istituto Affari Internazionali. ISBN 978-88-9368-200-8. <https://www.iai.it/sites/default/files/9788893682008.pdf>
- Borrell, J. (2023). *Geopolitics of the green transition and improving EU's economic security*. The European External Action Service (EEAS). Published on 28.03.2023. https://www.eeas.europa.eu/eeas/geopolitics-green-transition-and-improving-eu's-economic-security_en
- Cierco Gomes, T.M.R. (2019). The European Union accession and climate change policies in the Western Balkan countries. In T. Sequeira & L. Reis (Eds.), *Climate change and global development. Contributions to economics*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02662-2_8
- Cotella, G., & Toto, R. (2021). Foreword: Territorial governance in the Western Balkans: Multi-scalar approaches and perspectives. *European Spatial Research and Policy*, 28(2), 5–20. <https://doi.org/10.18778/1231-1952.28.2.01>
- Cotella, G., Janin Rivolin, U., Pedo, E., & Pioletti, M. (2021). Multi-level regional development governance: A European typology. *European Spatial Research and Policy*, 28(1), 201–221. <https://doi.org/10.18778/1231-1952.28.1.11>
- Council of the European Union. (2024). *Council conclusions on enlargement*. Brussels, 17 December 2024. 16983/24 ELARG 189 COWEB 218 COEST 750. <https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-16983-2024-INIT/en/pdf>

- Dabrowski, D., & Myachenkova, Y. (2018). *The Western Balkans on the road to the European Union*. Policy Contribution Issue 04. Bruegel. <https://euagenda.eu/upload/publications/untitled-133335-ca.pdf>
- European Commission. (2019). *The European green deal*. COM (2019) 640 final. Brussels, 11.12.2019. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:b828d165-1c22-11ea-8c1f-01aa75ed71a1.0002.02/DOC_1andformat=PDF
- European Commission. (2020a). *Guidelines for the implementation of the green agenda for the Western Balkans*. SWD (2020) 223 final. https://neighbourhood-enlargement.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2020-10/green_agenda_for_the_western_balkans_en.pdf
- European Commission. (2020b). *Eastern partnership policy beyond 2020. Joint staff working document. Structured consultation on the future of the Eastern partnership*. JOIN (2020) 7 final. https://www.eeas.europa.eu/sites/default/files/jswd_structured_consultation_on_the_future_of_the_eastern_partnership.pdf
- European Commission. (2020c). *An economic and investment plan for the Western Balkans. guidelines for the implementation of the green agenda for the Western Balkans. Commission staff working document*. SWD (2020) 223 final. Brussels, 6.10.2020. https://neighbourhood-enlargement.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2020-10/green_agenda_for_the_western_balkans_en.pdf
- European Commission. (2021). *Commission staff working document on the territorial just transition plans*. SWD (2021) 275 final. https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/sources/funding/just-transition-fund/swd_territ_just_trans_plan_en.pdf
- European Commission. (2022). *2022 Communication on EU enlargement policy*. COM (2022) 528 final. October 2022. <https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-13494-2022-INIT/en/pdf>
- European Commission. (2023). *New growth plan for the Western Balkans*. COM (2023) 691 final. https://neighbourhood-enlargement.ec.europa.eu/document/download/8f5dbe63-e951-4180-9c32-298cae022d03_en?filename=COM_2023_691_New%20Growth%20Plan%20Western%20Balkans.pdf
- European Commission. (2024a). *On pre-enlargement reforms and policy reviews*. Brussels, COM (2024) 146 final. https://commission.europa.eu/document/download/926b3cb2-f027-40b6-ac7b-2c198a164c94_en
- European Commission. (2024b). *The clean transition dialogues—Stocktaking A strong European industry for a sustainable Europe*. COM (2024) 163 final. Brussels, 10.4.2024. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52024DC0163>
- European Commission. (2024c). *2024 Communication on EU Enlargement Policy*. COM (2024) 690 final. Brussels, 30.10.2024. https://neighbourhood-enlargement.ec.europa.eu/document/download/7c67aed6-e7c2-47de-b3f8-b3edd26a3e26_en?filename=COM_2024_690_1_EN_ACT_part1_v11.pdf
- European Parliament. (2021). Regulation (EU) 2021/1056 establishing the just transition fund. *Official Journal of the European Union*. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32021R1056>
- Faludi, A. (2012). Multi-level (Territorial) governance: Three criticisms. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 13(2), 197–211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649357.2012.677578>
- Fratianni, M. (2003). EU Enlargement and flexible integration. In *EU economic governance and globalization*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781781009864.00008>

- Gänzle, S., & Kern, K. (2016). Macro-regions, 'Macro-regionalization' and Macro-regional Strategies in the European Union: Towards a new form of European governance? In S. Gänzle & K. Kern (Eds.) *A 'Macro-regional' Europe in the making. Palgrave studies in European Union politics*. Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-50972-7_1
- Hayden, R. (2012). *From Yugoslavia to the Western Balkans. Studies of a European disunion, 1991–2011 Balkan Studies library* (Vol. 7). Brill. ISBN: 978–90–04–24191–6. <https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004241916>
- Ignjatović, J., Filipović, S., & Radovanović, M. (2024). Challenges of the green transition for the recovery of the Western Balkans. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, 14, 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-023-00421-4>
- International Energy Agency (IEA). (2021). *Net zero by 2050: A roadmap for the global energy sector*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/c8328405-en>
- IRENA. (2018). *Global energy transformation: A roadmap to 2050*. International Renewable Energy Agency. ISBN 978-92-9260-059-4. https://www.irena.org/-/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/Publication/2018/Apr/IRENA_Report_GET_2018.pdf
- IRENA. (2023b). *World ENERGY TRANSITIONS outlook 2023: 1.5°C Pathway—Preview*. International Renewable Energy Agency. <https://www.irena.org/Publications/2023/Jun/World-Energy-Transitions-Outlook-2023>
- Jano, D. (2008). From 'Balkanization' to 'Europeanization': The stages of Western Balkans complex transformations. *L'europe En Formation*, 349–350(3), 55–69. <https://doi.org/10.3917/eufor.349.0055>
- Jessop, B. (2011). Metagovernance. In *The SAGE handbook of governance* (pp. 106–123). SAGE Publications Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446200964>
- Jovanović, B., Zlatanović, A., Kluge, S., Zec, A., Ibrahim, M., Brašanac, M., Vasić, J., Šelmić, R., Mima, R., Stavreska, A. M., Nikolova, S., Rajič, A., Alihodžić, B., Lalović, O., Tomić, V., & Čulafić, B. (2024). *Transforming the Western Balkans through Near-shoring and Decarbonisation*. Western Balkans WB6 Chamber Investment Forum. https://www.wb6cif.eu/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/Report_2024_v3_web.pdf
- Jukić, T. (2013). The man who knew too much Žižek and the Balkans. *European Journal of English Studies*, 17(2), 160–175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13825577.2013.797198>
- Knez, S., Štrbac, S., & Podbregar, I. (2022). Climate change in the Western Balkans and EU green deal: Status, mitigation and challenges. *Energy, Sustainability, and Society*, 12, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-021-00328-y>
- Lavenex, S., & Schimmelfennig, F. (2009). EU rules beyond EU borders: Theorizing external governance in European politics. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 16(6), 791–812. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501760903087696>
- Leonard, M., Pisani-Ferry, J., Shapiro, J., Tagliapietra, S., & Wolf, G. (2021). The geopolitics of the European green deal. *International Organisations Research Journal*, 16(2), 204–235. <https://doi.org/10.17323/1996-7845-2021-02-10>
- Levin-Keitel, M., Mölders, T., Othengrafen, F., & Ibendorf, J. (2018). Sustainability transitions and the spatial interface: Developing conceptual perspectives. *Sustainability*, 10(6), 1880. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10061880>
- Liridon, L. (2023). The meaning of the Western Balkans concept for the EU: Genuine inclusion or polite exclusion? *Southeast European and Black Sea Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14683857.2023.2170204>

- Mehltretter, S. A. (2009). John F. Kennedy, “Inaugural Address” (20 January 1961). *Voices of Democracy. The US Oratory Project*, 41–59. ISSN 1932-9539. <https://voicesofdemocracy.umd.edu/wp-content/uploads/2010/08/mehltretter-kennedy.pdf>
- Meuleman, L. (2022). A Metagovernance Approach to Multilevel Governance and Vertical Coordination for the SDGs. In *Governing the interlinkages between the SDGs*. ISBN 9781003254683. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003254683-5>
- Nadin, V., Maldonado, A. M., Zonneveld, W., Stead, D., Dąbrowski, M., Piskorek, K., Sarkar, A., Schmitt, P., Smas, L., Cotella, G., Rivolin, U. J., Solly, A., Berisha, E., Pede, E., Seardo, B. M., Komornicki, T., Goch, K., Bednarek-Szczepeńska, M., Degórska, B..., Śleszyński, P. (2018). *COMPASS—Comparative analysis of territorial governance and spatial planning systems in Europe*. Applied Research 2016–2018: Final Report. ESPON and TU Delft. https://pure.tudelft.nl/ws/portalfiles/portal/51431091/20_11_18_COMPASS_Final_Report.pdf
- Najetović, D. (2024). The Influence of a new geopolitical environment on the peace and security of the Western Balkans. *Studia Europejskie—Studies in European Affairs* (3), 57–71. <https://doi.org/10.33067/SE.3.2024.3>
- Oberschall, T. (1996). The fall of Yugoslavia. *Társadalom És Gazdaság Közép- És Kelet-Európában / Society and Economy in Central and Eastern Europe*. 18(3), 78–105. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41468290>
- Official Journal of the European Union. (2022). *Opinion of the European Economic and social committee on geopolitical impact of the energy transition*. Document 52022AE1398. [JOIN (2022) 23 final]. EESC 2022/01398. Published on 21.12.2022. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52022AE1398>
- Pascual, C. (2015). *The new geopolitics of energy*. Columbia SIPA. Centre on Global Energy Policy (CGEP) Columbia University in the City of New York. https://rel.ooney.com/NS3040/000_New_2395.pdf
- Pisano, U., Lepuschitz, K., & Berger, G. (2014). *Sustainability transitions at the international, European, and national level: Approaches, objectives and tools for sustainable development governance*. ESDN Quarterly Report 33. European Sustainable Development Network (ESDN). https://www.esdn.eu/fileadmin/ESDN_Reports/2014-July-Sustainability_transitions_at_the_international__European_and_national_level.pdf
- Prelec, T., Tzifakis, N., & Bechev, D. (2023). *External Actors and energy transition in the Western Balkans*. The Balkans in Europe Policy Advisory Group (BiEPAG). <https://www.biepag.eu/wp-content/uploads/2023/12/Green-Power-Politics-External-Actors-and-Energy-Transition-in-the-Western-Balkans.pdf>
- Ratha, K. C. (2019). Paris climate deal: A bumpy road ahead. *Indian Journal of Asian Affairs*, 32(1/2), 67–90. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26902686>
- Scholten, D., Criekemans, D., & Van de Graaf, T. (2019). An energy transition amidst great power rivalry. *Journal of International Affairs*, 73(1), 195–204. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26872789>
- Shaker, Y., & Berisha, E. (2024) *Transizioni Verdi Giuste’: tra imprecisioni semantiche e necessità di un inquadramento teorico*. In E. Formato & F. Vingelli (Eds.), *Strumenti per il governo del valore dei suoli, per un progetto equo e non-estrattivo*, Atti della XXV Conferenza Nazionale SIU “Transizioni, giustizia spaziale e progetto di territorio”, Cagliari, 15–16 giugno 2023 (vol. 09, pp.193–203). Planum Publisher e Società Italiana degli Urbanisti, Roma-Milano. <https://hdl.handle.net/11583/2989395>

- Shaker, Y., & Berisha, E. (2025). Just green transitions: Between terminological inexactitudes, conceptual fragmentation, and the exigency for a theoretical framework. *European Spatial Research and Policy*, 32(1), 121–138. <https://doi.org/10.18778/1231-1952.32.1.05>
- Shaker, Y., & Persico, S. (2024). Mapping the Just green transitions in the socio-political virtual space. *European Journal of Spatial Development*, 21(1), 61–82. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13817780>
- Sharpe, L. J. (1989). Fragmentation and territoriality in the European state system. *International Political Science Review*, 10(3): 223–238. http://uniset.ca/microstat/es2/fragmentation_territoriality.pdf
- Škuflić, L. (2010). Transition process in the Western Balkans: How much successful is this story? *Intelligent Information Management.*, 2, 243–252. <https://doi.org/10.4236/iim.2010.23029>
- Stanicek, B., Przetacznik, J., & Roman, A.A. (2023). *Enlargement policy: Reforms and challenges ahead*. Members' Research Service PE 757.575. Briefing December 2023. European Parliamentary Research Service (EPRS). European Parliament. [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2023/757575/EPRS_BRI\(2023\)757575_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2023/757575/EPRS_BRI(2023)757575_EN.pdf)
- Steil, B., & Woodward, S. L. (1999). A European, “New Deal” for the Balkans. *Foreign Affairs*, 78(6), 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20049535>
- Tahirović, M. (2024). The main Security challenges and threats in the Western Balkans and possible model for solving them in the process of European integration. *Studia Europejskie*, 28(3). ISSN 1428-149X. <https://journale.com/pliki/pw/3-2024-Tahirovic.pdf>
- Teevan, C., Medinilla, A., & Sergejeff, K. (2021). *The green deal in EU foreign and development policy*. Briefing Note 133. Making Policies Work. European Centre for Development Policy Management (ECDPM). ISSN1571-7577. <https://ecdpm.org/application/files/2516/5546/8575/Green-Deal-EU-Foreign-Development-Policy-ECDPM-Briefing-Note-131-2021.pdf>
- The Oxford Institute for Energy Studies. (2021). *The geopolitics of energy: Out with the old, in with the new?*. Forum. February 2021: Issue 126. Oxford. <https://www.oxfordenergy.org/wpcms/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/OEF-126.pdf>
- Tsaroucha, E. (2019). The Metonymicity of the Greek Deictic Adverbs εἰς [Here] and ἐκεῖ [There] in Politics. *Philosophies*, 4(3), 51. <https://doi.org/10.3390/philosophies4030051>
- Tziarras, Z. (2019). *The new geopolitics of the Eastern Mediterranean: Trilateral partnerships and regional security*. Reimagining the Eastern Mediterranean Series: PCC Report 3/2019. Friedrich Ebert Stiftung. PRIO Cyprus Centre. ISBN: 978-82-7288-967-7. <https://library.fes.de/pdf-files/bueros/zypern/15662.pdf>
- Valkenburg, G., & Cotella, G. (2016). Governance of energy transitions: About inclusion and closure in complex sociotechnical problems. *Energy, Sustainability, and Society*, 6, 20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-016-0086-8>
- Van Dijk, J., Wiczorek, A. J., & Ligtoet, A. (2022). Regional capacity to govern the energy transition: The case of two Dutch energy regions. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 44, 92–109. ISSN 2210-4224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2022.06.001>
- Vienna European Council. (1998). *Presidency conclusions. Vienna, 11 and 12 December*. European Parliament. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/summits/wie1_en.htm

- Walker, C. (2024). Global impacts of the European green deal. *Nature. Plants*, 10, 1439. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-024-01835-4>
- Yang, Y., Xia, S., & Qian, X. (2023). Geopolitics of the energy transition. *Journal of Geographical Sciences*, 33, 683–704. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-023-2101-2>
- Zepa, I., & Hoffmann, V. H. (2023). Policy mixes across vertical levels of governance in the EU: The case of the sustainable energy transition in Latvia. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 47(100699). ISSN 2210–4224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2023.100699>
- Žižek, S. (1999). The spectre of Balkan. *The Journal of International Institute*, 6(21). ISSN: 1558–741X. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.4750978.0006.202>
- Žižek, S. (2006). Philosophy, the “Unknown Knowns” and the public use of reason. *Topoi*, 25, 137–142. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11245-006-0021-2>

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

