

On count data models based on Bernstein functions or their inverses

Original

On count data models based on Bernstein functions or their inverses / D'Onofrio, G., Polito, F., Tomovski, Z.. - In: STOCHASTICS. - ISSN 1744-2508. - 97:6(2025), pp. 777-795. [10.1080/17442508.2025.2527706]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/3003396 since: 2025-09-26T14:45:43Z

Publisher:

Taylor and Francis

Published

DOI:10.1080/17442508.2025.2527706

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

Taylor and Francis preprint/submitted version

This is an Author's Original Manuscript of an article published by Taylor and Francis in STOCHASTICS on 2025, available at <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/17442508.2025.2527706>

(Article begins on next page)

ON COUNT DATA MODELS BASED ON BERNSTEIN FUNCTIONS OR THEIR INVERSES

GIUSEPPE D'ONOFRIO^o, FEDERICO POLITO[†], AND ŽIVORAD TOMOVSKI[‡]

ABSTRACT. We present a class of positive discrete random variables extending the Conway–Maxwell–Poisson distribution. This class emerges in a natural way from an application in queueing theory and contains distributions exhibiting quite different features. Some of these distributions are characterized by the presence of Bernstein and inverse Bernstein functions. As a byproduct, we describe these inverses for which the existing literature is limited. Moreover, we investigate dispersion properties for these count data models, giving necessary and/or sufficient conditions to obtain both over and underdispersion. We also provide neat expressions for the factorial moments of any order. This furnishes us with a compact form also in the case of the Conway–Maxwell–Poisson.

1. INTRODUCTION

Starting from the seminal paper by Conway and Maxwell [13], the interest in the COM-Poisson distribution (also known as CMP distribution) as a model of count data, has grown continuously in different fields such as statistics [48, 56], social and natural sciences [7, 43], economics [47, 49] (see also the recent monograph by Sellers [46]). By looking at the probability mass function of the COM-Poisson random variable,

$$(1.1) \quad f_n(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta^n}{n!^\delta} \frac{1}{C_\delta(\zeta)}, \quad C_\delta(\zeta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^n}{n!^\delta}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}, \delta, \zeta > 0,$$

we note that its structure strongly resembles that of its celebrated special case, the Poisson random variable ($\delta = 1$). Despite this similarity, the two distributions differ on a fundamental aspect: the COM-Poisson can exhibit a variance to mean ratio smaller, equal, or larger than unity. A long list of variants of the Poisson and COM-Poisson distributions have been proposed during the last decades to address specific modeling needs. The related literature is extensive and therefore we refer to the following recent articles: [6, 9, 10, 16, 18, 23, 36, 37, 42]. However, by inspecting the very form of the probability mass function of the COM-Poisson random variable a natural extension emerges. The power function which distinguishes the COM-Poisson by the Poisson is, in fact, the most prominent example of a Bernstein function or of an inverse Bernstein function, depending on whether the exponent is respectively smaller or larger than unity. Led by this intuition, we consider a class of random variables, in which the role of the power function is played by ϕ , chosen in the space of Bernstein functions or that of their inverses:

$$(1.2) \quad P_0^\phi(\zeta) = \frac{1}{Z(\zeta, \phi)}, \quad P_n^\phi(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta^n}{\prod_{k=1}^n \phi(k)} \frac{1}{Z(\zeta, \phi)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \zeta > 0,$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad Z(\zeta, \phi) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^n}{\prod_{k=1}^n \phi(k)}.$$

This class of random variables turns out to be very rich and includes the COM-Poisson and the Poisson random variables as well as several other variants of the COM-Poisson that appeared in the literature. Our intuition is confirmed by a model of interest in queueing theory with

^o2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60E05, 62E10, 33E12, 33B15.

[†]*Key words and phrases.* COM-Poisson distribution, Bernstein functions, Inverse Bernstein functions, Underdispersed and overdispersed random variables.

state-dependent service times. We outline it in Section 2 in the spirit of [13], in which (1.2) arises as a stationary distribution.

Bernstein functions are characterized by the regular behavior of their derivatives, have a useful integral representation and are often studied thanks to their connection to Lévy processes (for this reason they also go under the name of Laplace exponents), see [45] for a full discussion. To investigate the properties of (1.2) as a function of ϕ , we make use of the Bernstein-gamma function [40]. The latter is the solution W_ϕ to the functional equation $W_\phi(z+1) = \phi(z)W_\phi(z)$, $W_\phi(1) = 1$, and it generalizes the classical gamma function. The Bernstein-gamma function has been used, for example, to generalize the Mittag-Leffler function [41] and to study the properties of the Wright function [4]. Here, we define and outline the properties of the compositional inverse of Bernstein functions. These inverses appear, for instance, in [5]. However, to the best of our knowledge, an extended treatment on inverses constitutes a novelty in the literature. Moreover, we obtain an analog of the Bernstein-gamma function for eventually log-convex functions in the spirit of [55]. Among the strengths of these functions, in relation to count data models, we mention their convexity that is ultimately related to the underdispersion property of (1.2).

For a random variable, the property of underdispersion refers to the variance to mean ratio being less than unity. If, otherwise, it is greater than unity, we speak of overdispersion. In the literature on count data models these properties are always put in contrast to the undesired mean-equal-variance property of the Poisson model. Real-world count data quite often exhibit overdispersion (e.g. heavy-tails), but underdispersion is also possible (e.g. zero-inflation). Overdispersed models arise with relatively simple constructions (see [24] for a discussion), while models capable of dealing with underdispersed data are less frequent in the literature (see e.g. [12, 15, 26, 29, 30, 43, 44, 48, 57]). We provide sufficient conditions for the underdispersion (overdispersion) according to the properties of ϕ . In particular, the class of models (1.2) can be either overdispersed or underdispersed, depending on whether ϕ is chosen in the set of Bernstein functions or of their inverses.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the queueing model in which (1.2) arises as a stationary distribution. In Section 3, Bernstein functions are recalled, together with some of their properties. The set of inverse Bernstein functions is presented in the same section, while some of their properties are analyzed in the following Section 4. Section 5 deals with fundamental properties of the introduced model, while Section 6 is specifically devoted to the analysis of its dispersion properties. Lastly, in Section 6, an extension of the model is explored, obtaining, among other results, a compact expression for the factorial moments.

2. THE MODEL

Following [13] we consider a model of the service in a queueing system whose rate depends on the state of the system. In particular, we consider the mean service rate $\mu_n = \phi(n)\mu$, where n is the number of units in the system and $\phi : (0, \Lambda) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ where Λ can be infinity. Further, $1/(\mu\phi(1))$ is the service time if only one unit is in the system. Let now define $N(t)$, $t \geq 0$, as the random number of units in the system at time t and $P_n(t) = \mathbb{P}(N(t) = n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_\Lambda = \mathbb{N} \cap (0, \Lambda)$. We furthermore assume that the inter-arrival times are independent and exponentially distributed with mean λ . The function ϕ is chosen such that N admits a stationary distribution, that is equation (4) of [1] is satisfied:

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{[\Lambda]} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^i \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^i \phi(j)} < \infty.$$

By using the notation of [13] the system of differential difference equations is, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_\Lambda$:

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(t + \Delta) &= (1 - \lambda\Delta)P_0(t) + \phi(1)\mu\Delta P_1(t), \\ P_n(t + \Delta) &= (1 - \lambda\Delta - \phi(n)\mu\Delta)P_n(t) + \lambda\Delta P_{n-1}(t) + \phi(n+1)\mu\Delta P_{n+1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\Delta \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} P_0'(t) &= -\lambda P_0(t) + \phi(1)\mu P_1(t), \\ P_n'(t) &= -(\lambda + \phi(n)\mu)P_n(t) + \lambda P_{n-1}(t) + \phi(n+1)\mu P_{n+1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $N(t)$ admits a stationary distribution, letting $\rho = \lambda/\mu$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho}{\phi(1)}P_0 &= P_1, \\ (\rho + \phi(n))P_n &= \rho P_{n-1} + \phi(n+1)P_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_\Lambda^* = \mathbb{N}_\Lambda \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(2.2) \quad P_n = \frac{\rho^n}{\prod_{k=1}^n \phi(k)} P_0.$$

Then, from (2.2), using the normalization condition, we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad P_0^\phi(\rho) = \frac{1}{Z(\rho, \phi)}, \quad P_n^\phi(\rho) = \frac{\rho^n}{\prod_{k=1}^n \phi(k)} \frac{1}{Z(\rho, \phi)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_\Lambda^*,$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad Z(\rho, \phi) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{[\Lambda]} \frac{\rho^n}{\prod_{k=1}^n \phi(k)}.$$

Note that (2.4) converges thanks to (2.1). In the following, we will refer to distribution (2.2) as the extended COM-Poisson distribution (eCOM-Poisson) with characteristic couple (ρ, ϕ) , due to its analogy to the COM-Poisson that appears as a special case for $\phi(n) = n^\delta$, $\delta > 0$.

In the next sections 3 and 4 we will analyze some possible choices for the function ϕ .

3. BERNSTEIN FUNCTIONS AND THEIR COMPOSITIONAL INVERSES

We recall that a function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Bernstein function if $f \in C^\infty$, $f(\lambda) \geq 0$, $\forall \lambda > 0$, and

$$(3.1) \quad (-1)^{n-1} f^{(n)}(\lambda) \geq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0,$$

where $f^{(n)}$ denotes the n -th derivative of f . The space of Bernstein functions is usually denoted by \mathcal{BF} . Correspondingly, \mathcal{BF}_b denotes the space of bounded Bernstein functions. Furthermore we denote by \mathcal{BF}^0 the space of Bernstein functions f such that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} f(\lambda) = 0$. We will only recall in this section the results that will be directly used in the following. For an extensive discussion on the properties of this class of functions see [45].

A further characterization of a Bernstein function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by the following representation:

$$(3.2) \quad f(\lambda) = a + b\lambda + \int_{(0, \infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \mu(dt)$$

where $a, b \geq 0$ and μ is a measure on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying $\int_{(0, \infty)} \min(1, t) \mu(dt) < \infty$. In particular, f is said to be a complete Bernstein function ($f \in \mathcal{CBF}$) if μ has a completely monotone density with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Let us now recall some known properties of the functions mentioned above.

Proposition 3.1. *i) A function $f \in \mathcal{BF}_b$ if, and only if, in (3.2) $b = 0$ and $\mu(0, \infty) < \infty$; ii) If $f \in \mathcal{CBF}$, $f \neq 0$ then the functions $\frac{\lambda}{f(\lambda)}$, $1/f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$ and $\lambda f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$ belong to \mathcal{CBF} .*

A function $f \in \mathcal{BF}$ is said to be a special Bernstein function, and we write $f \in \mathcal{SBF}$, if the function $\lambda/f(\lambda) \in \mathcal{BF}$. It follows that if $f \in \mathcal{SBF}$, then $\lambda/f(\lambda) \in \mathcal{SBF}$.

Let f be a non-constant Bernstein function such that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} f(\lambda) = 0$. Then we define its (compositional) inverse h as

$$(3.3) \quad h(s) := \inf\{x > 0 : f(x) > s\}, \quad 0 < s < \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} f(\lambda) = \Lambda.$$

Plainly, $h: (0, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are positive, convex, C^∞ functions. We will write \mathcal{IBF} for the space of such inverse functions. Correspondingly, we have,

$$(3.4) \quad f(\lambda) := \inf\{x \in (0, \Lambda) : h(x) > \lambda\}.$$

Notice that $\Lambda = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} f(\lambda)$ is also the point at which $\lim_{s \rightarrow \Lambda^-} h(s) = \infty$.

The n -th derivative of h can be derived by recurring at the formula for higher order derivatives of inverse functions (see e.g [28]):

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(n)}(s) &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{[f^{(1)}(h(s))]^{2n-1}} \sum_{\substack{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_n=n-1 \\ s_1+2\cdot s_2+\dots+n\cdot s_n=2n-2}} \frac{(-1)^{s_1}(2n-s_1-2)! [f^{(1)}(h(s))]^{s_1} \dots [f^{(n)}(h(s))]^{s_n}}{(2!)^{s_2} s_2! \dots (n!)^{s_n} s_n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{f^{(1)}(h(s))} \mathcal{C}_{2n-2, n-1} \left(\frac{1}{f^{(1)}(h(s))}, -\frac{f^{(2)}(h(s))}{f^{(1)}(h(s))}, \dots, -\frac{f^{(n)}(h(s))}{f^{(1)}(h(s))} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{C}_{h,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{h-k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+\dots+j_{h-k+1}=k \\ j_1+2\cdot j_2+\dots+(h-k+1)j_{h-k+1}=h}} \frac{1}{\binom{h}{j_1}} \frac{h!}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_{h-k+1}!} \left[\frac{x_1}{1!} \right]^{j_1} \left[\frac{x_2}{2!} \right]^{j_2} \dots \left[\frac{x_{h-k+1}}{(h-k+1)!} \right]^{j_{h-k+1}} \end{aligned}$$

are weighted exponential Bell polynomials with weights $\binom{h}{j_1}^{-1}$.

Remark 3.1. Note that a characterization of h through the signs of its derivatives cannot be given as it is done in the case of Bernstein functions. As an example take the function $h(s) = s^\beta$, $\beta > 1$.

Example 3.1 (Pairs of Bernstein and corresponding inverse Bernstein functions).

$$(3.5) \quad f(\lambda) = \lambda^\alpha; \quad h(s) = s^{1/\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1);$$

$$(3.6) \quad f(\lambda) = \frac{a\lambda}{\lambda+1}, \quad a \in (0, \infty); \quad h(s) = \frac{s}{a-s}, \quad s \in (0, a);$$

$$(3.7) \quad f(\lambda) = (\lambda+1)^\alpha - 1; \quad h(s) = (s+1)^{1/\alpha} - 1, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1);$$

$$(3.8) \quad f(\lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{a\lambda}{\lambda+1}}, \quad a \in (0, \infty); \quad h(s) = \frac{s^2}{a-s^2}, \quad s \in (0, \sqrt{a});$$

$$(3.9) \quad f(\lambda) = \mathcal{W}(\lambda); \quad h(s) = se^s,$$

where \mathcal{W} is the Lambert function on the positive real line;

$$(3.10) \quad f(\lambda) = \log(1 + \lambda^\alpha); \quad h(s) = (e^s - 1)^{1/\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1);$$

$$(3.11) \quad f(\lambda) = \log(\cosh(\sqrt{2\lambda})); \quad h(s) = \frac{1}{2} \log^2(e^s + \sqrt{e^{2s} - 1});$$

$$(3.12) \quad f(\lambda) = \log\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{a}\right); \quad h(s) = a(e^s - 1), \quad a > 0.$$

Example 3.2 (Construction based on Lévy–Laplace exponents). *A large set of inverses of Bernstein functions emerges as follows. The class of Lévy–Laplace exponents has been considered in [5, 8] and it is defined as the set*

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{LE} = \left\{ \Psi : \Psi(s) = a + bs + cs^2 + \int_{(0,\infty)} (e^{-sx} - 1 + sx)\nu(dx), \quad a, b, c \geq 0 \right\},$$

where ν is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\int_{(0,\infty)} (x \wedge x^2)\nu(dx) < \infty$ and (a, b, c, ν) is the quadruple characteristics of Ψ .

If $\Psi \in \mathcal{LE}$ and $a = \Psi(0) = 0$, then Ψ is the Laplace exponent of a spectrally negative Lévy process $Z = (Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$, that is a Lévy process with no positive jumps that do not drift to $-\infty$ (see [32]). Thus, it holds

$$(3.14) \quad e^{t\Psi(\lambda)} = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z_t}], \quad t, \lambda \geq 0.$$

Moreover, $\Psi(\lambda) = \lambda f(\lambda)$ where f is a Bernstein function [11].

The class of functions in \mathcal{LE} with $a = 0$ is denoted by B_3 in [8] and is also known as the class of branching mechanisms for (sub)critical continuous state branching processes [33].

From Proposition 9 in [8], every function in B_3 is such that its inverse is a Bernstein function, implying that every function Ψ belonging to \mathcal{LE} with $a = 0$ can be considered as the inverse function of a Bernstein function \tilde{f} . We stress that \tilde{f} is not usually the Bernstein function associated to the process Z .

One can give the following interpretation: if \tilde{f} is the the inverse of $\Psi \in B_3$, the Bernstein function $[\frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{f}(\lambda)]^{-1}$ is the exponent of the subordinator defined as the inverse of the local time at zero of Z .

4. SOME PROPERTIES OF INVERSE BERNSTEIN FUNCTIONS

4.1. Log-concave and exp-convex functions. We noted in the previous section that the inverse functions defined as in (3.3) are convex. We discuss here further properties. To this end, we recall that a positive, twice-differentiable function $g: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, is log-convex (-concave) if $\log g$ is a convex (concave) function. Similarly, we define exponentially-convex (-concave) functions. A characterizing property of log-convex (-concave) functions is that $g''(x)g(x) - (g'(x))^2$ is non-negative (non-positive) [55]. Finally, we recall that a function g is eventually log-convex if there exists $m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\log g$ is convex in (m, ∞) .

We have the following results.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $g(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, be an exponentially-concave (-convex) function. Then,*

$$(4.1) \quad g''(x) + (g'(x))^2 \leq (\geq) 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Proof. Let g be an exponentially-concave function. Clearly, $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} e^{g(x)} \leq 0$ and the statement follows after straightforward calculations. The exponentially-convex case is proved analogously. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let f be an exponentially-concave Bernstein function such that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} f(\lambda) = 0$. Then, its compositional inverse h is eventually log-convex.*

Proof. We want to prove that $h''(s)h(s) - (h'(s))^2 \geq 0$, that is a characterizing property of log-convex functions.

Since $h(f(\lambda)) = \lambda$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{h'(f(\lambda))} \\ f''(\lambda) &= -\frac{h''(f(\lambda))}{[h'(f(\lambda))]^3}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.1 we have that $f''(\lambda) + (f'(\lambda))^2 \leq 0$, $\forall \lambda$, that, written in terms of the derivatives of h , gives

$$(4.2) \quad -\frac{h''(f(\lambda)) - [h'(f(\lambda))]^2}{[h'(f(\lambda))]^3} \leq 0.$$

Since h is a non-decreasing function, we conclude that

$$(4.3) \quad h''(f(\lambda)) - [h'(f(\lambda))]^2 \geq 0$$

and thus

$$(4.4) \quad h''(f(\lambda))h(f(\lambda)) - [h'(f(\lambda))]^2 = h''(f(\lambda))\lambda - [h'(f(\lambda))]^2 \geq 0, \quad \forall \lambda \geq 1$$

proving that h is eventually log-convex with $m = f(1)$. \square

4.2. Bernstein-Gamma function and its analogue for inverse Bernstein functions.

In the following we write, for a function ϕ as in Section 2,

$$(4.5) \quad W_\phi(1) = 1, \quad W_\phi(n) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \phi(k), \quad n \in \{2, 3, \dots\}.$$

In particular, if $\phi \in \mathcal{BF}$, then W_ϕ stands for the unique solution, in the space of positive definite functions, to the functional equation

$$(4.6) \quad W_\phi(n+1) = \phi(n)W_\phi(n)$$

with $W_\phi(1) = 1$, and we refer to [40] for a thorough account on this set of functions that generalizes the gamma function, which appears as a special case when $\phi(n) = n$. Further, Theorem 4.1 of [55] extends W_ϕ to the real line.

If ϕ is an eventually log-convex function such that [25]

$$(4.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(n+1)} = 1,$$

then, the following theorem enables us to extend W_ϕ to the real line as well.

Theorem 4.1. *Let ϕ be an eventually log-convex function on \mathbb{R}^+ satisfying condition (4.7). Then, there exists a unique function \widetilde{W}_ϕ satisfying the functional equation $\widetilde{W}_\phi(x+1) = \phi(x)\widetilde{W}_\phi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, with the initial condition $\widetilde{W}_\phi(1) = 1$. Moreover*

$$(4.8) \quad \widetilde{W}_\phi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(n) \cdots \phi(1)\phi^x(n)}{\phi(n+x) \cdots \phi(x)}, \quad x > 0.$$

Proof. The proof follows the lines of Theorem 4.1 in [55], properly adapted to the case of log-convex functions. \square

Remark 4.1. *Recalling Lemma 4.2, we have that inverses of exponentially-concave \mathcal{BF}^0 functions satisfy Theorem 4.1.*

5. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE ECOM-POISSON DISTRIBUTION

Let us consider a discrete random variable X with eCOM-Poisson distribution with characteristic couple (ρ, ϕ) . We first observe that the ratio of successive probabilities

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = n-1)}{\mathbb{P}(X = n)} = \frac{\phi(n)}{\rho}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

gives us the rate of decay of the tail of the distribution. Moreover, we also have

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = n-s)}{\mathbb{P}(X = n)} = \frac{\phi(n)\phi(n-1)\cdots\phi(n-s+1)}{\rho^s}, \quad n \geq s.$$

To evaluate the moments of X , following [35] (see also [27]) where a power series distribution is considered, we make use of the probability generating function of X , i.e.

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbb{E}u^X = \frac{Z(u\rho, \phi)}{Z(\rho, \phi)}, \quad |u| \leq 1.$$

Remark 5.1. If $\phi \in \mathcal{BF}$ expression (5.3) can be written as

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbb{E}u^X = \frac{{}_1F_1(1; \phi; \rho u)}{{}_1F_1(1; \phi; \rho)},$$

where ${}_1F_1(1; \phi; \cdot)$ is the extension of the hypergeometric function ${}_1F_1$ given in [17]. From this expression one can calculate summary statistics of X using properties of this function. Note the analogy with the case of the COM-Poisson probability generating function (see [39]).

The factorial moments of order $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ of X are given by

$$(5.5) \quad m_s = \left. \frac{d^s}{du^s} \mathbb{E}u^X \right|_{u=1} = \mathbb{E}[X(X-1)(X-2) \cdots (X-s+1)] = (-1)^s \mathbb{E}(-X)_s,$$

where $(y)_s = y(y+1) \cdots (y+s-1)$ is the rising factorial (also known as the Pochhammer symbol). Now, by virtue of the Viète–Girard formulae, that relate the coefficients of a polynomial to sums and products of its roots, we expand $X(X-1)(X-2) \cdots (X-s+1)$ as

$$(5.6) \quad m_s = \sum_{r=1}^s (-1)^{s-r} e_r \mathbb{E}X^r,$$

where

$$(5.7) \quad e_r = e_r(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r) = \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_r \leq s} l_1 l_2 \cdots l_r.$$

By using the relation between moments and factorial moments we have

$$(5.8) \quad \mathbb{E}X^s = \sum_{j=0}^s (-1)^j \left\{ \begin{matrix} s \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \mathbb{E}(-X)_j$$

where $\left\{ \begin{matrix} s \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{m=0}^j (-1)^{j-m} \binom{j}{m} m^s$ are the Stirling numbers of the second kind. Considering that

$$(5.9) \quad \mathbb{E}(-X)_j = \frac{1}{Z(\rho, \phi)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-k)_j \frac{\rho^k}{\prod_{i=1}^k \phi(i)},$$

in which we used that $(0)_j = 0$, it follows

$$(5.10) \quad \mathbb{E}X^s = \frac{1}{Z(\rho, \phi)} \sum_{j=0}^s (-1)^j \left\{ \begin{matrix} s \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-k)_j \frac{\rho^k}{\prod_{i=1}^k \phi(i)}, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Writing $(-k)_j = (-1)^j k! / (k-j)!$, we identify in (5.10) the operator (ρD) characterized by the well known formula [22]

$$(5.11) \quad (\rho D)^s f(\rho) = \sum_{j=0}^s \left\{ \begin{matrix} s \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \rho^j D^j f(\rho),$$

where $D = d/d\rho$, and f is a suitable function. The previous steps prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. Let $X \sim e\text{COM-Poisson}(\rho, \phi)$. Then,

$$(5.12) \quad \mathbb{E}X^s = \frac{1}{Z(\rho, \phi)} (\rho D)^s Z(\rho, \phi), \quad s \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

$$(5.13) \quad m_s = \frac{1}{Z(\rho, \phi)} \rho^s D^s Z(\rho, \phi), \quad s \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

In general, the normalizing function $Z(\rho, \phi)$ does not permit neat closed-form expressions for the statistical quantities related to this distribution. However, asymptotic results on the product $\prod_{i=1}^k \phi(i)$ are available in the case of Bernstein functions, see Theorem 4.2 in [40] (see also [38]). A closed form for a sort of a generalization of the factorial moments is presented in the following remark.

Remark 5.2. For $X \sim eCOM\text{-Poisson}(\rho, \phi)$, by direct calculation, we have

$$(5.14) \quad \phi m_s = \mathbb{E}[\phi(X)\phi(X-1)\dots\phi(X-s+1)] = \rho^s, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

which remarkably coincide with the factorial moments of a Poisson random variable of parameter ρ . Further, (5.14) specialize to the factorial moments if ϕ is the identity function (Poisson case). Further, if $s = 1$ we have

$$(5.15) \quad \mathbb{E}\phi(X) = \rho.$$

Remark 5.3. By the extended Markov's inequality for arbitrary non-negative and non-decreasing functions, we have, for every $a > 0$,

$$(5.16) \quad \mathbb{P}(X \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\phi(X)}{\phi(a)} = \frac{\rho}{\phi(a)},$$

where we have used (5.15). For $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$, considering (5.1), we have

$$(5.17) \quad \mathbb{P}(X \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = a)}{\mathbb{P}(X = a - 1)}.$$

In the following we present a few examples of eCOM-Poisson distributions for different choices of the function ϕ belonging to either the class of Bernstein functions or that of their inverses.

Example 5.1. Let $X \sim eCOM\text{-Poisson}(\rho, \phi)$ such that $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and ϕ is the Bernstein function $\phi(r) = \frac{r}{r+1}$. Condition $\rho \in (0, 1)$ guarantees that (2.1) is satisfied. Then $\prod_{r=1}^n \phi(r) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ and $Z(\rho, \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)\rho^n = (1-\rho)^{-2}$. From Proposition 5.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}X^s &= (1-\rho)^2(\rho D)^s(1-\rho)^{-2} = (1-\rho)^2(\rho D)^s \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\rho^{j-1} \\ &= (1-\rho)^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1)j^s \rho^j = (1-\rho)^2 [Li_{-s-1}(\rho) + Li_{-s}(\rho)], \end{aligned}$$

where Li_{-s} is the polylogarithm function. In particular, the first moment of X and its variance read

$$(5.18) \quad \mathbb{E}X = \frac{2\rho}{1-\rho}, \quad \text{Var}X = \frac{2\rho}{(1-\rho)^2}.$$

Example 5.2. Consider the inverse Bernstein function $\phi(r) = (r+1)^2 - 1 = r(r+2)$. Then $\prod_{r=1}^n \phi(r) = \frac{n!(n+2)!}{2}$ and Proposition 5.1 can be applied for $Z(\rho, \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\rho^n}{(n+1)(n+2)n!^2}$. Clearly, condition (2.1) holds true. This function satisfies the Euler differential equation of the second order:

$$(5.19) \quad \rho^2 S''(\rho) + 4\rho S'(\rho) + 2S(\rho) = 2C_0(\rho),$$

where $C_0(\rho) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n!^2}$ is the Tricomi function.

Example 5.3 (COM-Poisson). Consider the function $\phi(r) = r^\delta$, for $\delta > 0$. Then $\prod_{r=1}^n \phi(r) = n!^\delta$, $Z(\rho, \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n!^\delta} = C_\delta(\rho)$ is the Le Roy function, introduced in [34] (see also [19, 21, 51] for a more general Le Roy-type function). Note that if $\delta \in (0, 1)$, then $\phi(r) \in \mathcal{BF}$, if $\delta > 1$,

then $\phi(r) \in \mathcal{IBF}$. There does not exist closed form formulae for the moments of the COM-Poisson distribution, although recurrent relations [14], [50] or asymptotic expansions [20] are available. An alternative formula can be obtained from Proposition 5.1:

$$(5.20) \quad \mathbb{E}X^s = \frac{(\rho D)^s C_\delta(\rho)}{C_\delta(\rho)}.$$

Example 5.4. Consider the Bernstein function $\phi(r) = \frac{r+a}{r+b}$, $a, b > 0$. Then, $\prod_{r=0}^n \phi(r) = \frac{(a)_{n+1}}{(b)_{n+1}}$ and

$$(5.21) \quad Z(\rho, \phi) = \frac{b}{a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_n (b+1)_n \rho^n}{(a+1)_n n!} = \frac{b}{a} {}_2F_1(1, b+1; a+1; \rho),$$

where ${}_2F_1$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function. From Proposition 5.1, we obtain

$$(5.22) \quad \mathbb{E}X^s = \frac{(\rho D)^s {}_2F_1(1, b+1; a+1; \rho)}{{}_2F_1(1, b+1; a+1; \rho)},$$

and using the well-known differentiation formula (see 15.2.2 in [2]):

$$(5.23) \quad D^j {}_2F_1(\mu, \nu; \lambda; \rho) = \frac{(\mu)_j (\nu)_j}{(\lambda)_j} {}_2F_1(\mu+j, \nu+j; \lambda+j; \rho),$$

we get the expression for the factorial moments

$$(5.24) \quad m_s = \rho^s \frac{(1)_s (b+1)_s {}_2F_1(1+s, b+1+s; a+1+s; \rho)}{(a+1)_s {}_2F_1(\mu+j, \nu+j; \lambda+j; \rho)}.$$

Finally, we consider an example in which ϕ is neither a Bernstein nor an inverse Bernstein function.

Example 5.5. Consider the function $\phi(r) = \frac{r^2+r+1}{r^2-r+1}$. For $\rho \in (0, 1)$ condition (2.1) is satisfied. Then, $\prod_{r=1}^n \phi(r) = n^2 + n + 1$ and

$$(5.25) \quad Z(\rho, \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{n^2 + n + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{(n+1/2)^2 + 3/4}.$$

The latter quantity is a Mathieu series [52]. Consider formula (5) in ([54], page 386), due to Gegenbauer,

$$(5.26) \quad \frac{1}{(p^2 + a^2)^\mu} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(2a)^{\mu-1/2} \Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^\infty e^{-px} x^{\mu-1/2} J_{\mu-1/2}(ax) dx \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \mu, p > 0,$$

where $J_{\mu-1/2}(ax)$ is a Bessel function of the first kind. Taking $p = n+1/2$, $\mu = 1$, $a = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$, we get

$$(5.27) \quad \frac{1}{(n+1/2)^2 + \frac{3}{4}} = 3^{-1/4} \sqrt{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-(n+1/2)x} \sqrt{x} J_{1/2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}x\right) dx, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From here, we get the following integral representation

$$(5.28) \quad Z(\rho, \phi) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{\frac{x}{2}}}{e^x - \rho} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}x\right) dx.$$

6. DISPERSION PROPERTIES

In this section we consider $\Lambda = \infty$. Recall the Poisson probability mass function $P_n(\rho)$, $\rho > 0$, reads

$$(6.1) \quad P_n^{\text{id}}(\rho) = P_n(\rho) = \frac{\rho^n}{n!} e^{-\rho}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where id is the identity function. Clearly,

$$(6.2) \quad P_n^\phi(\rho) = \frac{\frac{P_n(\rho)}{\prod_{j=1}^n \phi(j)}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_k(\rho)}{\prod_{j=1}^k \phi(j)}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and hence it can be viewed as a weighted Poisson distribution,

$$(6.3) \quad P_n^\phi(\rho) = \frac{\rho^n w(n) e^{-\rho}}{n! \mathbb{E}w(Y)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\rho)$ and $w(n) = n! / \prod_{j=1}^n \phi(j)$ is the weight function. Note that $w(n)$ does not depend on ρ .

In order to study overdispersion and underdispersion properties of the model we consider Theorem 3 of [31] together with the corollary following Theorem 4 of the same paper, and thus we study log-convexity of the weight function $w(n)$, that is convexity of

$$(6.4) \quad \log w(n) = \log \frac{n!}{\prod_{k=1}^n \phi(k)}.$$

It is well-known that a sequence of real numbers $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convex if it satisfies the inequality

$$(6.5) \quad 2a_n \leq a_{n-1} + a_{n+1}, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Hence, $w(n)$ is log-convex, and hence the model exhibits overdispersion, if

$$(6.6) \quad 2 \log \frac{n!}{\prod_{k=1}^n \phi(k)} - \log \frac{(n-1)!}{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \phi(k)} - \log \frac{(n+1)!}{\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \phi(k)} \leq 0, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

This is equivalent to

$$(6.7) \quad \log \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \frac{\phi(n+1)}{\phi(n)} \right) \leq 0, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

leading, in turn, to

$$(6.8) \quad \frac{n+1}{n} \geq \frac{\phi(n+1)}{\phi(n)}, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Equivalently, for the dispersion function $d(\lambda) = \lambda / \phi(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(6.9) \quad d(n+1) \geq d(n), \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

i.e. $d(n)$ is non-decreasing. This leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be the random variable with probability mass function (2.3). Then X is overdispersed (underdispersed) if $d(n) = n / \phi(n)$ is non-decreasing (non-increasing).*

Corollary 6.1. *If $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^1$ is non-decreasing, then X is overdispersed (underdispersed) if for every $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $\phi'(\lambda) \leq (\geq) \phi(\lambda) / \lambda$.*

Corollary 6.2. *If $\phi \in \mathcal{SBF}$, the random variable X is overdispersed.*

Proof. Since $\phi \in \mathcal{SBF}$, then also $\lambda / \phi(\lambda) = d(\lambda) \in \mathcal{SBF}$ and then $d(\lambda)$ is non-decreasing. We conclude by means of Theorem 6.1. \square

Consider \mathcal{ISBF} , the space of inverse functions of special Bernstein functions. We have, in this case, the following corollary.

Corollary 6.3. *If $\phi \in \mathcal{ISBF}$, the random variable X is underdispersed.*

Proof. Since $\phi \in \mathcal{ISBF}$, then there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{SBF}$ such that $g(\phi(\lambda)) = \lambda$. Hence,

$$(6.10) \quad d(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\phi(\lambda)} = \frac{g(\phi(\lambda))}{\phi(\lambda)}.$$

Since $g \in \mathcal{SBF}$, then there exists $h \in \mathcal{SBF}$ such that $h(\phi(\lambda)) = \phi(\lambda)/g(\phi(\lambda))$. Then,

$$(6.11) \quad d(\lambda) = 1/h(\phi(\lambda))$$

and hence, being $\phi \in \mathcal{ISBF}$, the dispersion function d is non-increasing. Finally, by Theorem 6.1 we get underdispersion. \square

Remark 6.1. Note that, if $\phi \in \mathcal{SBF}$, so is d , and vice versa. Further, consider that $w(n) = \prod_{k=1}^n d(k)$. This last comment leads us to the duality property in which $w(n)$ and $W_\phi(n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \phi(k)$ can be exchanged.

7. A FURTHER EXTENSION

Consider a non-negative random variable X having probability mass function

$$(7.1) \quad P_n^\phi(\rho) = \frac{\Gamma(n + \gamma)\rho^n}{n!V_\phi(\alpha n + \beta)} \frac{1}{Z_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\rho, \phi)}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$(7.2) \quad Z_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\rho, \phi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k + \gamma)\rho^k}{k!V_\phi(\alpha k + \beta)}$$

and V_ϕ is either the Bernstein-gamma function W_ϕ or the the function \widetilde{W}_ϕ in Theorem 4.1. If $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$ we will write $Z_{1,1,1}(\rho, \phi) = Z(\rho, \phi)$.

Remark 7.1. From (7.1) we retrieve the following known special cases.

- If $\gamma = \beta = \alpha = 1$, X is *eCOM-Poisson*(ρ, ϕ).
- If $\gamma = \beta = \alpha = 1$, $\phi \equiv \text{id}$, X is *Poisson* with parameter ρ .
- If $\gamma = \beta = \alpha = 1$, $\phi(x) = x^\delta$, with $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, X is *COM-Poisson* with parameters ρ and δ [13].
- If $\gamma = \alpha = 1$, $\phi \equiv \text{id}$, X has the *hyper-Poisson* distribution [3] with parameters ρ and β .
- If $\gamma = 1$, $\phi \equiv \text{id}$, X has the *alternative Mittag-Leffler* distribution (see [6, 23]).
- If $\phi \equiv \text{id}$ we obtain the *alternative generalized Mittag-Leffler* distribution [42] (see also [53] for properties of the generalized Mittag-Leffler function).

Furthermore, note that distribution (7.1) for $\phi(x) = x^\delta$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, does not coincide with that in Section 3.1 of [9] although both based on the principle of generalizing the factorial by a gamma-type function, and choosing the power function (see also [18] if in addition $\gamma = 1$).

Proposition 7.1. The factorial moments of X write

$$(7.3) \quad m_s = \rho^s \frac{Z_{\alpha,s\alpha+\beta,\gamma+s}(\rho, \phi)}{Z_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\rho, \phi)}, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. The result follows directly from the application of Proposition 5.1. \square

Corollary 7.1. The moments read

$$(7.4) \quad \mathbb{E}X^s = \frac{1}{Z_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\rho, \phi)} \sum_{r=1}^s \rho^r \binom{s}{r} Z_{\alpha,r\alpha+\beta,\gamma+r}(\rho, \phi), \quad s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, the first two moments of X read

$$(7.5) \quad \mathbb{E}X = \rho \frac{Z_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta,\gamma+1}(\rho, \phi)}{Z_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\rho, \phi)},$$

$$(7.6) \quad \mathbb{E}X^2 = \rho^2 \frac{Z_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta,\gamma+2}(\rho, \phi)}{Z_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\rho, \phi)} + \rho \frac{Z_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta,\gamma+1}(\rho, \phi)}{Z_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\rho, \phi)}.$$

Corollary 7.2. *If $\gamma = \beta = \alpha = 1$, (eCOM-Poisson case) the factorial moments (7.3) simplify to*

$$(7.7) \quad m_s = \rho^s \frac{Z_s(\rho, \phi)}{Z(\rho, \phi)}, \quad s \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $Z_s(\rho, \phi) = Z_{1,s+1,s+1}(\rho, \phi)$. If, in addition, $\phi(x) = x^\delta$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, formula (7.7), in agreement with (52) of [9], gives the factorial moments of the COM-Poisson distribution:

$$(7.8) \quad m_s = \frac{\rho^s}{C_\delta(\rho)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^k}{k!} (k+s)!^{1-\delta}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $C_\delta(\rho)$ is the Le Roy function.

Remark 7.2. *The following Turán-type inequality for the function Z holds:*

$$(7.9) \quad Z_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta,\gamma+1}(\rho, \phi) + \rho Z_{2\alpha,\alpha+\beta,\gamma+2}(\rho, \phi) \geq \rho \frac{Z_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta,\gamma+1}^2(\rho, \phi)}{Z_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\rho, \phi)}.$$

In the two following propositions we provide conditions for over and underdispersion of X . Note that, in this case, V_ϕ cannot be expressed in terms of products of functions ϕ .

Proposition 7.2. *The random variable X is overdispersed (underdispersed) if and only if*

$$(7.10) \quad Z_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\rho, \phi) Z_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta,\gamma+2}(\rho, \phi) > (<) Z_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta,\gamma+1}^2(\rho, \phi).$$

Proof. We prove the characterization for the overdispersion case. The same reasoning applies to the case of underdispersion. The variable X is overdispersed if and only if $m_2 > m_1^2$ which in this case, recalling Proposition 7.1, corresponds to (7.10). \square

Remark 7.3. *Note that, although this distribution is somehow more general than the eCOM-Poisson, Proposition 7.2 is stronger than Theorem 6.1.*

Next, we provide a sufficient condition for overdispersion (underdispersion) that involves the first derivative of the function

$$(7.11) \quad \psi_\phi(y) := \frac{d}{dy} \log V_\phi(y), \quad y > 0,$$

where, in particular, ψ_{id} is the classical digamma function.

Proposition 7.3. *If*

$$(7.12) \quad \psi_{\text{id}}(y + \gamma) \geq (\leq) \alpha \psi_\phi(\alpha y + \beta)$$

where ψ_ϕ is the first derivative of the function defined in (7.11), then X is overdispersed (underdispersed).

Proof. Using Theorem 4 of [31], we consider log-convexity of the weight function $w(y) = \Gamma(y + \gamma)/V_\phi(\alpha y + \beta)$, that is

$$(7.13) \quad \frac{d^2}{dy^2} \log \frac{\Gamma(y + \gamma)}{V_\phi(\alpha y + \beta)} = \frac{d}{dy} [\psi_{\text{id}}(y + \gamma) - \alpha \psi_\phi(\alpha y + \beta)] \geq (\leq) 0$$

from which the statement immediately follows. \square

Remark 7.4. *Note that for $\alpha = 1$, (7.12) reduces to*

$$(7.14) \quad \frac{\psi_{\text{id}}(y + \gamma)}{\psi_\phi(y + \beta)} \geq (\leq) 1.$$

If, in addition, $\phi \equiv \text{id}$, then (7.12) coincides with condition (58) of [9] for the alternative generalized Mittag-Leffler distribution [42].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G.D. and F.P. have been partially supported by the MIUR-PRIN 2022 project “Non-Markovian dynamics and non-local equations”, no. 202277N5H9 and by the GNAMPA group of INdAM. The authors also thank the Lorentz Center in Leiden, The Netherlands.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abouee-Mehrizi and O. Baron. State-dependent M/G/1 queueing systems. *Queueing Systems*, 82(1):121–148, 2016.
- [2] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun. *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, volume 55. US Government printing office, 1968.
- [3] G. E. Bardwell and E. L. Crow. A two-parameter family of hyper-Poisson distributions. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 59(305):133–141, 1964.
- [4] C. Bartholmé and P. Patie. Turan inequalities and complete monotonicity for a class of entire functions. *Analysis Mathematica*, 47(3):507–527, 2021.
- [5] K. Basalim, S. Bridaa, and W. Jedidi. Internal Bernstein functions and Lévy-Laplace exponents. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, pages 1–11, 2020.
- [6] L. Beghin and E. Orsingher. Fractional Poisson processes and related planar random motions. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 14:no. 61, 1790–1827, 2009.
- [7] M. Beisemann. A flexible approach to modelling over-, under-and equidispersed count data in IRT: The two-parameter Conway–Maxwell–Poisson model. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 75(3):411–443, 2022.
- [8] J. Bertoin, B. Roynette, and M. Yor. Some connections between (sub)critical branching mechanisms and Bernstein functions. *arXiv:math/0412322 [math.PR]*, 2004.
- [9] D. O. Cahoy, E. Di Nardo, and F. Polito. Flexible models for overdispersed and underdispersed count data. *Statistical Papers*, 62(6):2969–2990, 2021.
- [10] D. O. Cahoy and F. Polito. Renewal processes based on generalized Mittag–Leffler waiting times. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 18(3):639–650, 2013.
- [11] P. Cheridito, P. Patie, A. Srapionyan, and A. Vaidyanathan. On non-local ergodic Jacobi semigroups: spectral theory, convergence-to-equilibrium and contractivity. *Journal de l’École polytechnique — Mathématiques*, 8:331–378, 2021.
- [12] P. C. Consul and G. C. Jain. A generalization of the Poisson distribution. *Technometrics*, 15(4):791–799, 1973.
- [13] R. W. Conway and W. L. Maxwell. A queueing model with state dependent service rates. *Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 12(2):132–136, 1962.
- [14] F. Daly and R. Gaunt. The Conway–Maxwell–Poisson distribution: Distributional theory and approximation. *ALEA: Latin American Journal of Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, 13(2):635–658, 2016.
- [15] J. del Castillo and M. Pérez-Casany. Overdispersed and underdispersed Poisson generalizations. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 134(2):486–500, 2005.
- [16] A. Di Crescenzo, B. Martinucci, and A. Meoli. A fractional counting process and its connection with the Poisson process. *ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 13:291–307, 2016.
- [17] G. D’Onofrio, P. Patie, and L. Sacerdote. Jacobi processes with jumps as neuronal models: A first passage time analysis. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 84(1):189–214, 2024.
- [18] R. Garra, E. Orsingher, and F. Polito. A note on Hadamard fractional differential equations with varying coefficients and their applications in probability. *Mathematics*, 6(1):4, 2018.
- [19] R. Garra and F. Polito. On some operators involving Hadamard derivatives. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 24(10):773–782, 2013.
- [20] R. E. Gaunt, S. Iyengar, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, and B. Simsek. An asymptotic expansion for the normalizing constant of the Conway–Maxwell–Poisson distribution. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 71:163–180, 2019.
- [21] S. Gerhold. Asymptotics for a variant of the Mittag–Leffler function. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 23(6):397–403, 2012.
- [22] H. W. Gould. Evaluation of sums of convolved powers using Stirling and Eulerian numbers. *Fibonacci Quart.*, 16(2):488–497, 1978.
- [23] R. Herrmann. Generalization of the fractional Poisson distribution. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 19(4):832–842, 2016.
- [24] J. Hinde and C. G. Demétrio. Overdispersion: models and estimation. *Computational statistics & data analysis*, 27(2):151–170, 1998.
- [25] M. Hooshmand. Remarks on the functional equation $f(x + 1) = g(x)f(x)$ and a uniqueness theorem for the gamma function. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, pages 1–6, 2022.
- [26] A. Huang. On arbitrarily underdispersed discrete distributions. *The American Statistician*, 77(1):29–34, 2023.

- [27] N. L. Johnson, A. W. Kemp, and S. Kotz. *Univariate discrete distributions*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], Hoboken, NJ, third edition, 2005.
- [28] R. Kaneiwa. The formula for higher order derivatives of inverse functions. *Otaru University of Commerce Humanities Research*, 131:1–3, 2016.
- [29] A. W. Kemp. A wide class of discrete distributions and the associated differential equations. *Sankhyā: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A*, pages 401–410, 1968.
- [30] A. W. Kemp and C. Kemp. A family of discrete distributions defined via their factorial moments. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 3(12):1187–1196, 1974.
- [31] C. C. Kokonendji, D. Mizère, and N. Balakrishnan. Connections of the Poisson weight function to overdispersion and underdispersion. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 138(5):1287–1296, 2008.
- [32] A. Kyprianou. *Fluctuations of Lévy Processes with Applications: Introductory Lectures*. Universitext. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2014.
- [33] J. F. Le Gall. *Spatial Branching Processes, Random Snakes and Partial Differential Equations*. Lectures in Mathematics. ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Basel, 1999.
- [34] E. Le Roy. *Valeurs asymptotiques de certaines séries procédant suivant les puissances entières et positives d'une variable réelle*. Bulletin des sciences mathématiques, 1900.
- [35] C. Macci, B. Pacchiarotti, and E. Villa. Asymptotic results for families of random variables having power series distributions. *Modern Stochastics: Theory and Applications*, 9(2):207–228, 2022.
- [36] M. Meerschaert, E. Nane, and P. Vellaisamy. The fractional Poisson process and the inverse stable subordinator. *Electron. J. Probab*, 2011.
- [37] T. M. Michelitsch and A. P. Riascos. Generalized fractional Poisson process and related stochastic dynamics. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 23(3):656–693, 2020.
- [38] M. Minchev and M. Savov. Asymptotics for densities of exponential functionals of subordinators. *Bernoulli*, 29(4):3307–3333, 2023.
- [39] S. Nadarajah. Useful moment and CDF formulations for the com-poisson distribution. *Statistical Papers*, 50(3):617–622, 2009.
- [40] P. Patie and M. Savov. Bernstein-gamma functions and exponential functionals of Lévy processes. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 23:1–101, 2018.
- [41] P. Patie and A. Srapionyan. Self-similar Cauchy problems and generalized Mittag-Leffler functions. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 24(2):447–482, 2021.
- [42] T. K. Pogány and Ž. Tomovski. Probability distribution built by Prabhakar function. related turán and laguerre inequalities. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 27(10):783–793, 2016.
- [43] P. Puig, J. Valero, and A. Fernández-Fontelo. Some mechanisms leading to underdispersion: Old and new proposals. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 2023.
- [44] M. Pujol, J.-F. Barquinero, P. Puig, R. Puig, M. R. Caballín, and L. Barrios. A new model of biosometry to integrate low and high doses. *PLoS One*, 9(12):e114137, 2014.
- [45] R. L. Schilling, R. Song, and Z. Vondraček. *Bernstein functions*. de Gruyter, 2012.
- [46] K. F. Sellers. *The Conway–Maxwell–Poisson distribution*, volume 8. Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- [47] K. F. Sellers, S. Borle, and G. Shmueli. The COM-poisson model for count data: a survey of methods and applications. *Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry*, 28(2):104–116, 2012.
- [48] K. F. Sellers and G. Shmueli. A flexible regression model for count data. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, pages 943–961, 2010.
- [49] G. Shmueli, T. P. Minka, J. B. Kadane, S. Borle, and P. Boatwright. A useful distribution for fitting discrete data: revival of the Conway–Maxwell–Poisson distribution. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series C: Applied Statistics*, 54(1):127–142, 2005.
- [50] G. Shmueli, T. P. Minka, J. B. Kadane, S. Borle, and P. Boatwright. A useful distribution for fitting discrete data: revival of the Conway–Maxwell–Poisson distribution. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 54(1):127–142, 2005.
- [51] T. Simon. Remark on a Mittag–Leffler function of Le Roy type. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 33(2):108–114, 2022.
- [52] Ž. Tomovski and T. Pogány. Integral expressions for Mathieu–type power series and for the Butzer–Flocke–Hauss Ω function. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 14(4):623–634, 2011.
- [53] Ž. Tomovski, T. K. Pogány, and H. M. Srivastava. Laplace type integral expressions for a certain three-parameter family of generalized mittag–leffler functions with applications involving complete monotonicity. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 351(12):5437–5454, 2014.
- [54] G. N. Watson. *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, 2nd edition*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.
- [55] R. Webster. Log-convex solutions to the functional equation $f(x+1) = g(x)f(x)$: γ -type functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 209(2):605–623, 1997.
- [56] G. Wu, S. H. Holan, C. H. Nilon, and C. K. Wikle. Bayesian binomial mixture models for estimating abundance in ecological monitoring studies. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 9(1):1 – 26, 2015.

- [57] F. Zhu. Modeling overdispersed or underdispersed count data with generalized Poisson integer-valued GARCH models. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 389(1):58–71, 2012.

[◦] DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, POLITECNICO DI TORINO, CORSO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI 24, 10129 TORINO, ITALY

Email address: `giuseppe.donofrio@polito.it`

[†] DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “G. PEANO”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO, ITALY

Email address: `federico.polito@unito.it`

[‡] DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE PALACKÝ UNIVERSITY OLOMOUC, 17. LISTOPADU 12, 771 46 OLOMOUC, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: `tomovski33@gmail.com`