

The corank of a flow over the category of linearly compact vector spaces

Original

The corank of a flow over the category of linearly compact vector spaces / Castellano, Ilaria. - In: JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED ALGEBRA. - ISSN 0022-4049. - 224:6(2020), pp. 1-17. [10.1016/j.jpaa.2019.106266]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/3003342 since: 2025-09-25T13:13:43Z

Publisher:

Elsevier

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.jpaa.2019.106266

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

Elsevier postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

© 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>. The final authenticated version is available online at:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2019.106266>

(Article begins on next page)

The corank of a flow over the category of linearly compact vector spaces.

Ilaria Castellano

ilaria.castellano88@gmail.com

University of Southampton

Building 54, Salisbury Road - 50171BJ Southampton (UK)

Abstract

For a topological flow (V, ϕ) - i.e., V is a linearly compact vector space and ϕ a continuous endomorphism of V - we gain a deep understanding of the relationship between (V, ϕ) and the Bernoulli shift: a topological flow (V, ϕ) is essentially a product of one-dimensional left Bernoulli shifts as many as $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi)$ counts. This novel comprehension brings us to introduce a notion of *corank* for topological flows designed for coinciding with the value of the topological entropy of (V, ϕ) . As an application, we provide an alternative proof of the so-called Bridge Theorem for locally linearly compact vector spaces connecting the topological entropy to the algebraic entropy by means of Lefschetz duality.

Key words and phrases: linearly compact, topological entropy, algebraic dynamical system, dual goldie dimension, corank, Bernoulli shift.

MSC: primary, 15A03; 15A04; 22B05; secondary, 20K30; 37A35; 16P60

1 Introduction

Adler, Konheim and McAndrew [1] introduced the notion of topological entropy h_{top} for continuous self-maps of compact spaces, and they sketched a definition of the algebraic entropy h_{alg} for endomorphisms of abelian groups. Later on, these entropy functions have been pushed forward by many different authors, e.g., [3, 19, 26, 23] (see also [12] for a complete survey).

Here we investigate the topological entropy ent^* and the algebraic entropy ent introduced in [5, 6, 4] for continuous endomorphisms of locally linearly compact vector spaces (see §2 for all definitions and properties). In particular, the ultimate aim of this paper is to characterise the topological entropy ent^* for linearly compact vector spaces in terms of a corank function; and this aim is achieved with Theorem C in Section 5.

Let $\mathbb{K}\text{LC}$ denote the category of linearly compact \mathbb{K} -spaces and their continuous endomorphisms. A *topological flow* is a pair (V, ϕ) consisting of an object V of $\mathbb{K}\text{LC}$ and an endomorphism ϕ of V . Recall that the topological entropy ent^* for linearly compact \mathbb{K} -spaces is actually an invariant

$$\text{ent}^* : \text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\},$$

This work was supported by EPSRC Grant N007328/1 Soluble Groups and Cohomology and partially supported by Programma SIR 2014 by MIUR (Project GADYGR, Number RBSI14V2LI, cup G22I15000160008).

of the category $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})$ of topological flows. Therefore, the aim is to design a *corank function*

$$\text{cork}: \text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$$

for topological flows able to satisfy the following equality:

$$\text{cork}(V, \phi) = \text{ent}^*(V, \phi), \quad \forall (V, \phi) \in \text{ob}(\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})).$$

The initial motivation is the well-know characterisation of the algebraic entropy of algebraic flows (i.e., pairs of type (W, ψ) consisting of a discrete \mathbb{K} -space W and an endomorphism ψ of W) in terms of the torsion-free rank of modules over PID; a detailed proof of this characterisation is given in [17]. Since $\mathbb{K}\text{LC}$ and $\mathbb{K}\text{Vect}$ (i.e., the category of discrete \mathbb{K} -vector spaces) are known to be dual of each other by Lefschetz duality (see §2.1, 2.2), it is worth looking for the topological counterpart of the aforementioned characterisation from [17].

After a few pages of preliminaries, we essentially start with Section 3 that is dedicated to topological flows of topological entropy $\neq 0$. There we give an accurate description of the role played by left Bernoulli shifts in order to get $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) > 0$. Indeed the main idea is to look at those closed ϕ -invariant linear subspaces S of V such that ϕ induces a Bernoulli-like action on the factor V/S . In this way one can prove that $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi)$ is not zero only when S (does exist and) turns out to be a ϕ -cotrajectory $C(\phi, U) = U \cap \phi^{-1}U \cap \dots \cap \phi^{-n+1}U \cap \dots$ of a fairly large linearly compact open subspace U of V ; see Proposition 3.3 for the rigorous statement. Such a cotrajectory indeed must satisfy two conditions - being *cocyclic* (i.e., $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(V/U) = 1$) and *non-stationary* (i.e., $C(\phi, U)$ is not open in V) - that will ensure to be in presence of a 1-dimensional left Bernoulli shift. But one can say even more:

Theorem A. *For a topological flow (V, ϕ) the following are equivalent:*

- a) *the topological entropy $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = k \in \mathbb{N}$,*
- b) *there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ coindependent non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectories $\{C(\phi, U_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ such that*

$$\text{ent}^*(C, \phi \upharpoonright_C) = 0, \quad \text{where } C = \bigcap_{i=1}^k C(\phi, U_i).$$

Moreover, $\text{ent}^(V, \phi) = \infty$ if, and only if, there exists an infinite (countable) coindependent family of non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectories.*

In other words, a topological flow (V, ϕ) is “essentially” a product of 1-dimensional left Bernoulli shifts as many as $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi)$ counts. This insight of the relationship between Bernoulli shifts and topological flows of entropy $\neq 0$ is the key idea leading towards all the remaining results of the paper. Here “essentially” means that (V, ϕ) is considered up to subflows of topological entropy $= 0$.

In Section 4, we detail how the vanishing of the topological (resp. algebraic) entropy defines a torsion theory over the category of topological (resp. algebraic) flows. It is important to highlight that we cannot provide here a module-theoretic property able to capture the vanishing of the topological entropy even if such module-theoretic property does exist in the algebraic case (namely, the torsion-free rank). For this reason the construction of the torsion theory over the category of topological flows cannot be made (at the moment) independent on the topological entropy itself. However, treating the notion of torsion axiomatically has the advantage to let us prove easily the existence of Pinsker-like constructions in our setup (see [2, 11] for this kind of constructions in other contexts). Namely, any topological flow (V, ϕ) admits the largest factor $(P_{\text{top}}(V, \phi), \overline{\phi}^t)$ of zero topological entropy, called *Pinsker factor*, and any algebraic flow (W, ψ) admits the *Pinsker subflow* $(P_{\text{alg}}(W, \psi), \psi \upharpoonright_a)$ that is the largest subflow of algebraic entropy $= 0$. Since the torsion theories over $\mathbb{K}\text{LC}$ and $\mathbb{K}\text{Vect}$ turn out to be dual of each other, one deduces the following relation between Pinsker factor and Pinsker subflow:

Theorem B. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow. Then*

$$(P_{top}(V, \phi), \bar{\phi}^t) \cong (V/P_{alg}(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi})^\perp, \bar{\phi}^a),$$

where $\bar{\phi}^t : P_{top}(V, \phi) \rightarrow P_{top}(V, \phi)$ and $\bar{\phi}^a : V/P_{alg}(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi})^\perp \rightarrow V/P_{alg}(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi})^\perp$ are both induced by ϕ . In particular,

$$D_+(V, \phi) \cong_{top} P_{alg}(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi})^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad D_+(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi}) \cong F_+(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi}).$$

The \mathbb{K} -vector spaces $D_+(V, \phi)$ and $F_+(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi})$ denote respectively the *domain of completely positive topological entropy* of (V, ϕ) and the *factor of completely positive algebraic entropy* of $(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi})$ that will be defined in Section 4.

Notice that the analogue [10, Theorem A] of Theorem B in the context of Pontryagin duality is dissimilarly deduced by the Bridge Theorem connecting the topological entropy and the algebraic entropy of abelian groups by means of Pontryagin duality. Since a version of the Bridge Theorem for locally linearly compact spaces is also available in [6], one can choose to use it in order to shorten some proofs in this paper. However we prefer to avoid any possible application of the Bridge Theorem here in order to first make the proofs less mysterious and then provide an alternative proof of the Bridge Theorem itself as an application of Theorem C at the end of the last section; this important result finds some analogues in many other contexts (see, e.g., [26, 22, 10, 13, 24]).

We conclude the paper with the section devoted to the definition of the corank function over $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})$ characterising ent^* . The corank of a topological flow (V, ϕ) is defined to be a dimension of $(D_+(V, \phi), \phi \upharpoonright_{D_+(V, \phi)})$ regarded as a lattice: *the corank of (V, ϕ) is the dual Goldie dimension of the modular lattice formed by all closed ϕ -invariant subspaces of $D_+(V, \phi)$* . Indeed such a corank function has the primary purpose to measure the torsion of a topological flow (V, ϕ) , i.e., its domain of completely positive topological entropy $D_+(V, \phi)$. As a consequence of Theorem A, we thus obtain the desired conclusive characterisation:

Theorem C. *For every topological flow (V, ϕ) , one has*

$$\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = \text{cork}(V, \phi).$$

The idea to use the dual Goldie dimension to measure $D_+(V, \phi)$ comes from the observation that the torsion-free rank of a torsion-free module over a PID coincides with its Goldie dimension. Therefore, the authors of [17] actually prove that the algebraic entropy of an algebraic flow coincides with the Goldie dimension of its factor of completely positive algebraic entropy. Therefore the notion of corank introduced here can be considered to be dual to the notion of torsion-free rank. Finally, it is surprising how the dual Goldie dimension plays a central role in this context, since it usually does not appear in the setting of topological modules.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Linearly compact vector spaces

Let \mathbb{K} be a discrete field. A Hausdorff topological \mathbb{K} -space V is said to be *linearly topologized* if it admits a neighborhood basis at 0 consisting of \mathbb{K} -subspaces of V . Following [20, Definition 27.1], a linearly topologized \mathbb{K} -space V is *linearly compact* if any collection of closed linear varieties of V with the finite intersection property has non-empty intersection. E.g., finite-dimensional vector spaces are linearly compact as well as compact linearly topologized spaces. Here we collect a few properties that

we frequently use further on; see, for example, [20, page 78], [25, Theorem 28.5], [7, Proposition 1.1(v)], [20, Theorem 32,1] for references.

Let V, V' and W be linearly topologized \mathbb{K} -spaces such that $W \leq V$, then

- (LC1) if W is linearly compact, then W is closed in V ;
- (LC2) if V is linearly compact and W is closed, then W is linearly compact;
- (LC3) linear compactness is preserved by continuous homomorphisms;
- (LC4) if V is discrete, then V is linearly compact if and only if V has finite dimension;
- (LC5) if W is closed, then V is linearly compact if and only if W and V/W are linearly compact;
- (LC6) an inverse limit of linearly compact vector spaces is linearly compact;
- (LC7) every linearly compact vector space is complete;
- (LC8) for V linearly compact, any continuous homomorphism $f: V \rightarrow V'$ is open onto its image;
- (LC9) the isomorphism theorems hold in $\mathbb{K}\text{LC}$;
- (LC10) every linearly compact \mathbb{K} -space is a Tychonoff product of one-dimensional \mathbb{K} -spaces.

A topological \mathbb{K} -vector space V is *locally linearly compact* (briefly, l.l.c.) if it admits a local basis at 0 consisting of linearly compact open \mathbb{K} -subspaces. Let $\mathbb{K}\text{LLC}$ denote the category of l.l.c. \mathbb{K} -spaces and their continuous homomorphisms. Clearly, $\mathbb{K}\text{LC}$ and $\mathbb{K}\text{Vect}$ are full subcategories of $\mathbb{K}\text{LLC}$.

2.2 Lefschetz Duality

For an l.l.c. space V , the Lefschetz dual \widehat{V} is defined to be $\text{CHom}(V, \mathbb{K})$ endowed with the (linearly compact)-open topology. Namely, the topology on \widehat{V} has the family

$$\{A^\perp \mid A \leq V, A \text{ linearly compact}\} \quad (2.1)$$

as neighbourhood basis at 0, where $A^\perp = \{\chi \in \text{CHom}(V, \mathbb{K}) : \chi(A) = 0\}$. Given a closed \mathbb{K} -subspace U of V , one has

$$\widehat{V/U} \cong_{\text{top}} U^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{U} \cong_{\text{top}} \widehat{V}/U^\perp. \quad (2.2)$$

Lefschetz dual of discrete \mathbb{K} -spaces is linearly compact, and vice-versa. More generally, the dual functor

$$\widehat{} : \mathbb{K}\text{LLC} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\text{LLC}$$

is such that $\widehat{\widehat{}} : \mathbb{K}\text{LLC} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\text{LLC}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{id} : \mathbb{K}\text{LLC} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\text{LLC}$.

2.3 Topological entropy and algebraic entropy over $\mathbb{K}\text{LLC}$

Let V be an l.l.c. \mathbb{K} -space and $\mathcal{B}(V)$ denote the set of all linearly compact open \mathbb{K} -subspaces of V . Inspired by the notion of topological entropy for totally disconnected locally compact groups and their endomorphisms (see [14, 16]), the *topological entropy* for l.l.c. \mathbb{K} -spaces is defined to be

$$\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{B}(V)} H^*(\phi, U), \quad (V, \phi) \in \text{ob}(\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LLC})), \quad (2.3)$$

where $H^*(\phi, U) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \dim(U/(U \cap \phi^{-1}U \cap \dots \cap \phi^{-n+1}U))$.

Dually, the *algebraic entropy* of $(V, \phi) \in \text{ob}(\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LLC}))$ is given by

$$\text{ent}(V, \phi) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{B}(V)} H(\phi, U), \quad (2.4)$$

where $H(\phi, U) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \dim((U + \phi U + \dots + \phi^{n-1}U)/U)$.

Both ent^* and ent are invariants of the category $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LLC})$ satisfying:

P1. *Invariance under conjugation*: for every topological isomorphism $\alpha: V \rightarrow V'$ one has

$$\text{ent}^*(V', \alpha\phi\alpha^{-1}) = \text{ent}^*(V, \phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ent}(V', \alpha\phi\alpha^{-1}) = \text{ent}(V, \phi);$$

P2. *Monotonicity*: for any closed linear subspace W of V such that $\phi(W) \leq W$ one has

$$\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) \geq \max\{\text{ent}^*(W, \phi \upharpoonright_W), \text{ent}^*(V/W, \bar{\phi})\} \text{ and}$$

$$\text{ent}(V, \phi) \geq \max\{\text{ent}(W, \phi \upharpoonright_W), \text{ent}(V/W, \bar{\phi})\},$$

where $\bar{\phi}: V/W \rightarrow V/W$ is induced by ϕ ;

P3. *Logarithmic law*: if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\text{ent}^*(V, \phi^k) = k \cdot \text{ent}^*(V, \phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ent}(V, \phi^k) = k \cdot \text{ent}(V, \phi);$$

P4. *Continuity on inverse limits*: let $\{W_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a directed system (under inverse inclusion) of closed ϕ -invariant linear subspaces of V . If $V = \varprojlim V/W_i$, then $\text{ent}^*(\phi) = \sup_{i \in I} \text{ent}^*(\bar{\phi}_{W_i})$, where any $\bar{\phi}_{W_i}: V/W_i \rightarrow V/W_i$ is the continuous endomorphism induced by ϕ ;

P5. *Continuity on direct limits*: let V be an l.l.c. vector space and $\phi: V \rightarrow V$ a continuous endomorphism. Assume that V is the direct limit of a family $\{V_i \mid i \in I\}$ of closed ϕ -invariant linear subspaces of V , and let $\phi_i = \phi \upharpoonright_{V_i}$ for all $i \in I$. Then $\text{ent}(\phi) = \sup_{i \in I} \text{ent}(\phi_i)$;

P6. *Vanishing property*: $\text{ent}^* \upharpoonright_{\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{Vect})} = 0$ and $\text{ent} \upharpoonright_{\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})} = 0$;

P7. *Addition Theorem*: for every closed linear subspace W of V such that $\phi(W) \leq W$ one has

$$\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = \text{ent}^*(W, \phi \upharpoonright_W) + \text{ent}^*(V/W, \bar{\phi}),$$

$$\text{ent}(V, \phi) = \text{ent}(W, \phi \upharpoonright_W) + \text{ent}(V/W, \bar{\phi}),$$

where $\bar{\phi}: V/W \rightarrow V/W$ is induced by ϕ .

Moreover, since it has been pointed out in [5] that $\text{ent} \upharpoonright_{\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{Vect})}$ coincides with the dimension entropy ent_{dim} studied in [17], throughout this paper all the well-known results concerning ent_{dim} will be automatically considered to be properties of the algebraic entropy $\text{ent} \upharpoonright_{\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{Vect})}$.

Remark 2.1. The main ingredient in both definitions is the fact that $U \cap \phi(U)$ has finite codimension in $\phi(U)$ (or, equivalently, $U \cap \phi^{-1}(U)$ has finite codimension in U). This phenomenon is used in several branches of group theory and algebra (for example, on this are based the notions of commensurability and scale function) and it inspired a series of papers of U. Dardano and coauthors (see the survey [8]) where the notion of ϕ -inert subgroup is investigated.

Example 2.2 (Bernoulli shifts). For the purposes of this paper, we consider here only one type of Bernoulli shift, which will be referred to as *1-dimensional Bernoulli shift*.

Let V_c be the linearly compact product $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{K}$. The *left 1-dimensional Bernoulli shift* is

$$\mathbb{K}\beta: V_c \rightarrow V_c, \quad (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Therefore, $(V_c, \mathbb{K}\beta)$ is a topological flow and $\text{ent}^*(V_c, \mathbb{K}\beta) = 1$.

Dually, let $V_d = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{K}$ be equipped with the discrete topology. Thus one defines the *right (1-dimensional) Bernoulli shift* as

$$\beta_{\mathbb{K}}: V_d \rightarrow V_d, \quad (x_0, x_1, \dots) \mapsto (0, x_0, x_1, \dots).$$

In particular,

$$(\widehat{V_c, \mathbb{K}\beta}) = (\widehat{V_d, \beta_{\mathbb{K}}}) \cong (V_d, \beta_{\mathbb{K}}),$$

and $(V_d, \beta_{\mathbb{K}})$ has algebraic entropy = 1.

3 Counting one-dimensional left Bernoulli shifts

3.1 Coindependent families of linearly compact spaces

Let M be a module over an associative ring with unit. A finite set $\{N_i \mid i \in I\}$ of proper submodules of M is said to be *coindependent* if

$$N_i + \bigcap_{j \neq i} N_j = M \quad \forall i \in I.$$

More generally, an arbitrary set of proper submodules of M is coindependent if every finite subset is. When $I = \mathbb{N}$, it suffices to check coindependence of $\{N_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ for each n .

Given a coindependent finite set $\{N_0, \dots, N_k\}$ of proper submodules of M , one has a canonical isomorphism of modules

$$\xi_k: \frac{M}{N_0 \cap \dots \cap N_k} \longrightarrow \prod_{i=0}^k \frac{M}{N_i}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $m + N_0 \cap \dots \cap N_k \mapsto (m + N_0, \dots, m + N_k)$, for all $m \in M$. When M is a linearly compact \mathbb{K} -space and each N_i is closed in M , then (3.1) is a topological isomorphism because of the open mapping theorem (cf. LC8. in §2.1).

Proposition 3.1. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow and $\{W_0, \dots, W_n\}$ a coindependent set of closed ϕ -invariant linear subspaces of V . For $W = W_0 \cap \dots \cap W_n$ one has*

$$n + 1 \leq \text{ent}^*(V/W, \bar{\phi}) \leq \text{ent}^*(V, \phi)$$

whenever $\text{ent}^*(\bar{\phi}^i) > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where each $\bar{\phi}^i: V/W_i \rightarrow V/W_i$ is induced by ϕ .

Proof. By (3.1), the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{V}{W} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & \frac{V}{W} \\ \xi_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi_n \\ \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{V}{W_i} & \xrightarrow{\prod \bar{\phi}^i} & \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{V}{W_i} \end{array}$$

commutes, where $\bar{\phi}: V/W \rightarrow V/W$ and $\bar{\phi}^i: V/W_i \rightarrow V/W_i$ (for all i) are induced by ϕ . Since ent^* takes values in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, the Addition Theorem together with the invariance under conjugation yields

$$n + 1 \leq \text{ent}^*(V/W, \bar{\phi}) \leq \text{ent}^*(V, \phi).$$

□

3.2 Non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectory

Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow. For U linearly compact open \mathbb{K} -subspace in V and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, set

$$C_n(\phi, U) = U \cap \phi^{-1}U \cap \dots \cap \phi^{-n+1}U \in \mathcal{B}(V). \quad (3.2)$$

Then one defines the ϕ -cotrajectory of U to be

$$C(\phi, U) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} C_n(\phi, U). \quad (3.3)$$

A ϕ -cotrajectory $C(\phi, U)$ is said to be *cocyclic* if U has codimension 1 in V , and $C(\phi, U)$ is said to be *non-stationary* if $C(\phi, U)$ is not open in V , i.e., the descending chain of linearly compact open spaces

$$U \geq \dots \geq C_n(\phi, U) \geq C_{n+1}(\phi, U) \geq \dots,$$

is not stationary (see [6, Proposition 3.6]). Notice that a ϕ -cotrajectory is stationary whenever there exists some n such that $C_n(\phi, U) = C_{n+1}(\phi, U)$ by [6, Proposition 3.2].

Lemma 3.2. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow and $C(\phi, U)$ a non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectory. Then*

1. *the closed subspace $\phi^{-n}U$ has codimension 1 in V for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$;*
2. *$\mathfrak{F} = \{\phi^{-n}U\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a coindependent family in V ;*
3. *the topological entropy of ϕ with respect to U is equal to 1, i.e., $H^*(\phi, U) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $\pi: V \rightarrow V/U$ be the canonical projection. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the rank of the linear map $\pi \circ \phi^n: V \rightarrow V/U$ is less or equal to $\dim(V/U)$. Hence $\dim(V/\phi^{-n}U) \leq 1$ since $C(\phi, U)$ is cocyclic. Moreover, for $C(\phi, U)$ is non-stationary, $C_n(\phi, U) \neq C_{n+1}(\phi, U)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\phi^{-n}U \neq V$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and (1) holds.

In order to prove (2), by [18, Lemma 1.1], it suffices to show that $\phi^{-n}U + C_n(\phi, U) = V$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. This follows easily by (1) and the fact that $C(\phi, U)$ is non-stationary.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. Thus (1) and (2) implies

$$\dim \left(\frac{C_n(\phi, U)}{C_{n+1}(\phi, U)} \right) = \dim \left(\frac{C_n(\phi, U) + \phi^{-n}U}{\phi^{-n}U} \right) = \dim \left(\frac{V}{\phi^{-n}U} \right) = 1,$$

and [6, Proposition 3.2] yields (3). □

Proposition 3.3. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow. Thus $\text{ent}^*(\phi) > 0$ if, and only if, there exists a non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectory.*

Proof. Suppose $\text{ent}^*(\phi) > 0$. Thus there exists $U \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ such that $C(\phi, U)$ is not open (see [6, Proposition 3.6]). For $U \in \mathcal{B}(V)$, $\dim(V/U) = n < \infty$. Let $V = U \oplus \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$ and $U_i = U \oplus \langle v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n \rangle \in \mathcal{B}(V)$. Thus $U = U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_n$ and $C(\phi, U) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n C(\phi, U_i)$. Since $C(\phi, U)$ is not open, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $C(\phi, U_i)$ is not open, i.e., $C(\phi, U_i)$ is a non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectory.

Conversely, if there exists a non-stationary cocyclic cotrajectory $C(\phi, U)$, then $1 = H^*(\phi, U) \leq \text{ent}^*(V, \phi)$ by Lemma 3.2(3). \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow. If $C(\phi, U)$ is a non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectory of V , then one has a topological isomorphism*

$$\theta: \frac{V}{C(\phi, U)} \longrightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} \frac{V}{\phi^{-n}U}.$$

Moreover the induced map $\bar{\phi}: V/C(\phi, U) \rightarrow V/C(\phi, U)$ is conjugated to a left Bernoulli shift and $\text{ent}^*(\bar{\phi}) = 1$.

Proof. By the Lemma above, $\mathfrak{F} = \{\phi^{-n}U\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a coindependent set of closed proper linear subspaces of V . By (3.1), there are continuous surjective maps

$$\xi_n \circ \pi_n: V \rightarrow \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{V}{\phi^{-i}U}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\pi_n: V \rightarrow V/C_{n+1}(\phi, U)$ is the canonical projection. By the universal property of inverse limits, one obtains the continuous surjective map

$$\varprojlim (\xi_n \circ \pi_n): V \longrightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{V}{\phi^{-n}U}, \quad (3.5)$$

(see [21, Lemma 1.1.7]). By the open mapping theorem for linearly compact spaces, the continuous surjection (3.5) gives rise to the following topological isomorphism

$$\theta: \frac{V}{C(\phi, U)} \longrightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} \frac{V}{\phi^{-n}U}, \quad v + C(\phi, U) \mapsto (v + \phi^{-n}U)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Let e_0 be a non-zero vector of V/U . Since $C(\phi, U)$ is non-stationary and cocyclic, ϕ induces an isomorphism φ_n from $V/\phi^{-n}U$ to $V/\phi^{-n+1}U$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $e_n \in V/\phi^{-n}U$ be such that $\varphi_n(e_n) = e_{n-1}$. Let β be the left Bernoulli shift of $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}e_n$. As the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{V}{C(\phi, U)} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{V}{\phi^{-n}U} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}e_n \\ \bar{\phi} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \beta \\ \frac{V}{C(\phi, U)} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{V}{\phi^{-n}U} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}e_n \end{array} \quad (3.7)$$

commutes, $\bar{\phi}$ is topologically conjugated to a left Bernoulli shift. By [6, Prop. 3.11(a), Ex. 3.13(a)], $\text{ent}^*(V/C(\phi, U), \bar{\phi}) = 1$, and this concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.5. For an arbitrary module M is not true that if M has an infinite coindependent set of proper submodules, then M has an homomorphic image given by a direct product of infinitely many non-zero modules. E.g., let \mathbb{Z} be the abelian group of integers. Then $\{p\mathbb{Z} \mid p \text{ prime}\}$ is an infinite coindependent set of proper \mathbb{Z} -submodules, but there is no homomorphic image of \mathbb{Z} onto a direct product of infinitely many non-zero abelian groups. Therefore, the linear compactness is an essential ingredient in the proof of Proposition 3.4.

Corollary 3.6. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow. Thus $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = 0$ if, and only if, $\text{ent}(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi}) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) > 0$. By the latter proposition, there exists a factor of V acted on by $\overline{\phi}$ as left Bernoulli shift. By Lefschetz duality, \widehat{V} admits a $\widehat{\phi}$ -invariant linear subspace acted on by the restriction of $\widehat{\phi}$ as right Bernoulli shift (see Example 2.2). By the monotonicity of ent , one concludes that $1 \leq \text{ent}(V, \phi)$.

Vice-versa, suppose $\text{ent}(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi}) > 0$. By [17, Theorem 4.3], \widehat{V} admits a $\widehat{\phi}$ -invariant linear subspace acted on by $\widehat{\phi}$ as right Bernoulli shift (namely an infinite-dimensional ϕ -trajectory). A similar reasoning as above concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow. Thus $\text{ent}^*(\phi) = 1$ if, and only if, there exists a non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectory $C(\phi, U)$ such that $\text{ent}^*(\phi \upharpoonright_{C(\phi, U)}) = 0$.*

3.3 Proof of Theorem A

Now we are in position to prove Theorem A that can be considered to be dual of [17, Theorem 4.7], where the algebraic entropy of an algebraic flow is expressed in terms of right Bernoulli shifts. We just need one more technical lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow and W a closed ϕ -invariant linear subspace of V . If $\text{ent}^*(W, \phi \upharpoonright_W) > 0$, then there exists a non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectory $C(\phi, U)$ such that $C(\phi, U) + W = V$.*

Proof. Firstly, notice that $\mathcal{B}(W) = \{U \cap W \mid U \in \mathcal{B}(V)\}$. Since $0 < \text{ent}^*(W, \phi \upharpoonright_W)$, by Proposition 3.3, there exists $U \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ such that $C(\phi \upharpoonright_W, U \cap W) = C(\phi, U) \cap W$ is non-stationary and cocyclic. Therefore, $C(\phi, U)$ is also cocyclic and non-stationary. In particular, both topological flows $(V/C(\phi, U), \overline{\phi})$ and $(W/C(\phi \upharpoonright_W, U \cap W), \overline{\phi \upharpoonright_W})$ can be regarded as left 1-dimensional Bernoulli shifts. Now, the topological flow $(Z = (C(\phi, U) + W)/C(\phi, U), (\overline{\phi}) \upharpoonright_Z)$ is isomorphic to $(W/C(\phi \upharpoonright_W, U \cap W), \overline{\phi \upharpoonright_W})$ by LC8; namely, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z = \frac{C(\phi, U) + W}{C(\phi, U)} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \frac{W}{C(\phi \upharpoonright_W, U \cap W)} \\ \downarrow (\overline{\phi}) \upharpoonright_Z & & \downarrow \overline{\phi \upharpoonright_W} \\ Z = \frac{C(\phi, U) + W}{C(\phi, U)} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \frac{W}{C(\phi \upharpoonright_W, U \cap W)} \end{array} \quad (3.8)$$

commutes. Thus $\text{ent}^*(Z, (\overline{\phi}) \upharpoonright_Z) = 1$, since $\text{ent}^*(W/C(\phi \upharpoonright_W, U \cap W), \overline{\phi \upharpoonright_W}) = 1$. Finally, by Example 4.9, $V/C(\phi, U) = Z$, i.e., $C(\phi, U) + W = V$. \square

Remark 3.9. The reference to Example 4.9 could create the appearance of a circular argument but all the results in Section 4 are completely independent on the Lemma above. Nevertheless, requiring the reader read forward simplifies the organisation of the paper.

Theorem A. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow. Then the following are equivalent:*

- a) *the topological entropy $\text{ent}^*(\phi) = k \in \mathbb{N}$,*
- b) *there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ coindependent non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectories $\{C(\phi, U_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\text{ent}^*(\phi \upharpoonright_C) = 0$ where $C = C(\phi, U_1) \cap \dots \cap C(\phi, U_k)$*

Moreover, $\text{ent}^(V, \phi) = \infty$ if, and only if, there exists an infinite (countable) coindependent family of non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectories.*

Proof of Theorem A. By Proposition 3.3, we can assume $k > 0$. By the Addition Theorem, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.4, b) implies a).

Now, since $k > 0$, there is at least one non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectory. By Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.4, every finite set of coindependent non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectories has cardinality less or equal to k . Let m be the maximal number of coindependent non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectories, thus $1 \leq m \leq k$.

Now suppose $m < k$ and let $\{C_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a maximal family of coindependent non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectories. By the Addition Theorem, $\text{ent}^*(\phi \upharpoonright_C) > 0$, where $C = C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_m$. Applying Lemma 3.8 yields a non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -trajectory C_{m+1} such that $C_{m+1} + C = V$, and this contradicts the maximality of m , i.e., a) implies b).

Finally, let $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = \infty$. Let C_1 be a non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectory (see Proposition 3.3). Since $\text{ent}^*(V/C_1, \bar{\phi}) = 1$, the Addition Theorem yields $\text{ent}^*(C_1, \phi \upharpoonright_{C_1}) = \infty$. By Lemma 3.8, there exists a non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectory C_2 such that $\{C_1, C_2\}$ is coindependent. Repeating the procedure at each step yields the claim. Conversely, since every finite subfamily of a countable coindependent family is coindependent as well, Proposition 3.1 concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.10. In [4] it has been detailed the behaviour of ent^* under restriction and extension of scalars. Theorem A clarifies the reason why the topological entropy behaves in such a way.

4 Torsion theories and Pinsker-like constructions

4.1 Torsion theory for abelian categories

Following [9], a torsion theory for a subcomplete abelian category \mathcal{C} consists of a couple $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ of classes of objects of \mathcal{C} satisfying

- (I) $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{F} = \{0\}$.
- (II) If $T \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ is exact with $T \in \mathcal{T}$, then $A \in \mathcal{T}$.
- (III) If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow F$ is exact with $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then $A \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (IV) For each object X of \mathcal{C} there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow X \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$, with $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Thus \mathcal{T} is a *torsion class* and \mathcal{F} is a *torsion-free class* of \mathcal{C} .

If $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ are two subclasses for which (IV) is satisfied, and they contain with any object its isomorphism images, then axioms (I) through (III) can be replaced by the *ortogonality axiom*

- (V) $\text{Hom}(T, F) = 0, \forall T \in \mathcal{T}, F \in \mathcal{F}$.

In particular, if \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{F} are complete with respect to the orthogonality axiom - i.e., if an object T of \mathcal{C} is such that $\text{Hom}(T, F) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then $T \in \mathcal{T}$; and the other way round - then $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is a torsion theory (cf. [9, Thm. 2.1]). For further applications we state here the following result.

Proposition 4.1 ([9, Proposition 2.4]). *Let $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a torsion theory of \mathcal{C} , and let $M \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$. Then there is a unique largest subobject M_t of M which is a member of \mathcal{T} . Moreover, $M/M_t \in \mathcal{F}$. The object M_t can be calculated by either of the equalities*

1. $M_t = \bigcup\{T \subseteq M \mid T \in \mathcal{T}\}$, or
2. $M_t = \bigcap\{S \subseteq M \mid M/S \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

4.2 Pinsker factor of a topological flow

Since the category of topological flows can be regarded as a functor category over $\mathbb{K}\text{LC}$, $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})$ is a complete abelian category since $\mathbb{K}\text{LC}$ is. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_{top} = \{(F, \varphi) \in \text{ob}(\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})) \mid \text{ent}^*(F, \varphi) = 0\}. \quad (4.1)$$

For all the properties stated in §2.3, \mathcal{F}_{top} is closed under taking kernels, infinite products and extensions. Thus [9, Thm. 3.2] applies and \mathcal{F}_{top} is a well-defined torsion-free class on $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})$. The corresponding torsion class \mathcal{T}_{top} can be obtained by the orthogonality axiom. Namely, $(T, \tau) \in \mathcal{T}_{top}$ if, and only if,

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})}((T, \tau), (F, \varphi)) = 0, \quad \forall (F, \varphi) \in \mathcal{F}_{top}. \quad (4.2)$$

A topological flow (V, ϕ) is said to have *completely positive topological entropy*, $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) \gg 0$, if $\text{ent}^*(V/Z, \bar{\phi}) \neq 0$ for every closed ϕ -invariant proper linear subspace Z of V . Equivalently, $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) \gg 0$ whenever (V, ϕ) does not admit non-trivial factors of zero topological entropy.

Proposition 4.2. $\mathcal{T}_{top} = \{(V, \phi) \in \text{ob}(\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})) \mid \text{ent}^*(V, \phi) \gg 0\} \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $(T, \tau) \in \mathcal{T}_{top}$ and suppose it admits a non-trivial factor (T', τ') of zero topological entropy. Thus $0 \neq (T', \tau') \in \mathcal{F}_{top}$ and $\text{Hom}_{\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})}((T, \tau), (T', \tau')) \neq 0$ contradicting (4.2).

Conversely, let $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) \gg 0$. Suppose there is $(F, \varphi) \in \mathcal{F}_{top}$ such that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})}((V, \phi), (F, \varphi)) \neq 0.$$

Thus (V, ϕ) admits a non-trivial factor (V', ϕ') isomorphic to a subflow of (F, φ) by LC9. In particular, $0 \leq \text{ent}^*(V', \phi') \leq \text{ent}^*(F, \varphi) = 0$; contradiction. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow. Thus V admits the largest closed ϕ -invariant \mathbb{K} -subspace $D_+(V, \phi)$ such that $\text{ent}^*(D_+(V, \phi), \phi \upharpoonright_+) \gg 0$, where $\phi \upharpoonright_+ := \phi \upharpoonright_{D_+(V, \phi)}$. Moreover,*

$$\text{ent}^*(D_+(V, \phi), \phi \upharpoonright_+) = \text{ent}^*(V, \phi).$$

The subspace $D_+(V, \phi)$ can be computed by either of the equalities

1. $D_+(V, \phi) = \bigcup\{Z \leq V \text{ closed} \mid \phi Z \leq Z, \text{ent}^*((Z, \phi \upharpoonright_Z) \gg 0\}$, or
2. $D_+(V, \phi) = \bigcap\{Z \leq V \text{ closed} \mid \phi Z \leq Z, \text{ent}^*(V/Z, \bar{\phi}) = 0\}$.

Proof. It follows by Proposition 4.1 and the Addition Theorem. \square

We shall call $D_+(V, \phi)$ the *domain of completely positive topological entropy* of (V, ϕ) . Clearly, $D_+(V, \phi) = V$ if, and only if, (V, ϕ) has completely positive topological entropy; and $D_+(V, \phi) = 0$ if, and only if, $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = 0$. Moreover the Addition Theorem together with Proposition 4.3(2) yields the following.

Corollary 4.4. *Given a topological flow (V, ϕ) , the domain $D_+(V, \phi)$ is the smallest closed ϕ -invariant linear subspace of V such that*

$$\text{ent}^*(D_+(V, \phi), \phi|_+) = \text{ent}^*(V, \phi).$$

Thus (V, ϕ) admits the Pinsker factor, i.e., the largest factor $(P_{\text{top}}(V, \phi), \bar{\phi}^t)$ of (V, ϕ) having zero topological entropy. Namely, $P_{\text{top}}(V, \phi) = V/D_+(V, \phi)$ and $\bar{\phi}^t$ is induced by ϕ .

Fact 4.5. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow. If $V = D_+(V, \phi)$, then*

- (a) V has no open ϕ -invariant proper linear subspaces;
- (b) a finite coindependent set of closed ϕ -invariant \mathbb{K} -subspaces of V has cardinality $\leq \text{ent}^*(V, \phi)$.

Proof. (a) follows by [6, Proposition 3.11(b)] and (b) is a consequence of Proposition 3.1. \square

4.3 Pinsker subspace of an algebraic flow

By analogy with the topological case, $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{Vect})$ can be equipped with the following torsion theory:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\text{alg}} &= \{(W, \psi) \mid \text{ent}(W, \psi) = 0\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\text{alg}} &= \{(W, \psi) \mid \text{ent}(W, \psi) \gg 0\} \cup \{0\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\text{ent}(W, \psi) \gg 0$ denote an algebraic flow (W, ψ) of *completely positive algebraic entropy*, i.e., $\text{ent}(Z, \psi|_Z) \neq 0$ whenever Z is a non-trivial ψ -invariant \mathbb{K} -subspace of W .

Remark 4.6. Recall that $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{Vect})$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{K}[t]\text{Mod}$, which denotes the category of (abstract) modules over the polynomial ring in one variable. In [17] it has been pointed out that the torsion theory defined by (4.3) goes over into the usual torsion theory of $\mathbb{K}[t]$ -modules (see [17, Theorem 4.3]).

Proposition 4.7. *Let (W, ψ) be an algebraic flow. Then W admits the largest ψ -invariant \mathbb{K} -subspace $P_{\text{alg}}(W, \psi)$ of zero algebraic entropy. Moreover, $P_{\text{alg}}(W, \psi)$ is given by either of the equalities*

1. $P_{\text{alg}}(W, \psi) = \bigcup \{Z \leq W \text{ closed} \mid \psi Z \leq Z, \text{ent}((Z, \psi|_Z) = 0\}$, or
2. $P_{\text{alg}}(W, \psi) = \bigcap \{Z \leq W \text{ closed} \mid \psi Z \leq Z, \text{ent}(W/Z, \bar{\psi}) \gg 0\}$.

Consequently every algebraic flow (W, ψ) admits the factor of completely positive algebraic entropy $F_+(W, \psi) = W/P_{\text{alg}}(W, \psi)$, i.e., the smallest factor of W such that

$$\text{ent}(F_+(W, \psi), \bar{\psi}^+) = \text{ent}(W, \psi),$$

where $\bar{\psi}^+ : F_+(W, \psi) \rightarrow F_+(W, \psi)$ is induced by ψ .

The linear subspace $P_{\text{alg}}(W, \psi)$ is called *Pinsker subspace* of (W, ψ) and the corresponding algebraic flow $(P_{\text{alg}}(W, \psi), \psi|_a)$ is named *Pinsker subflow*. Clearly, an algebraic flow (W, ψ) has completely positive algebraic entropy if, and only if, $P_{\text{alg}}(W, \psi) = 0$.

Remark 4.8. An alternative proof of the existence of the Pinsker subspace can be provided by adapting the proof of [11, Proposition 3.1].

4.4 Duality of Pinsker-like constructions

Here we relate Pinsker factors and Pinsker subflows by Lefschetz duality. Firstly observe that $(\mathcal{T}_{top}, \mathcal{F}_{top})$ goes over into the torsion theory $(\mathcal{F}_{top}, \mathcal{T}_{top})$ of the opposite category $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})^{op}$. Since the opposite category $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})^{op}$ is equivalent to $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{Vect})$ by Lefschetz duality, one obtains a new torsion theory on $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{Vect})$ determined by

$$\mathcal{T}_{alg}^* = \{(V, \phi) \in \text{ob}(\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{Vect})) \mid \text{ent}^*(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi}) = 0\}. \quad (4.4)$$

Since $\text{ent}^*(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi}) = 0$ if, and only if, $\text{ent}(V, \phi) = 0$ by Corollary 3.6, one has $\mathcal{T}_{alg}^* = \mathcal{T}_{alg}$. In other words, the torsion theories $(\mathcal{T}_{top}, \mathcal{F}_{top})$ and $(\mathcal{T}_{alg}, \mathcal{F}_{alg})$ are dual one each other. Therefore one deduces by (2.2) the following analogue of [10, Theorem A].

Theorem B. *Let (V, ϕ) be a topological flow. Then*

$$(P_{top}(V, \phi), \overline{\phi}^t) \cong_{top} (V/P_{alg}(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi})^\perp, \overline{\phi}^a),$$

where $\overline{\phi}^t: P_{top}(V, \phi) \rightarrow P_{top}(V, \phi)$ and $\overline{\phi}^a: V/P_{alg}(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi})^\perp \rightarrow V/P_{alg}(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi})^\perp$ are both induced by ϕ . In particular,

$$D_+(V, \phi) \cong_{top} P_{alg}(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi})^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad D_+(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi}) \cong F_+(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi}).$$

Moreover, $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) \gg 0$ if, and only if, $\text{ent}(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi}) \gg 0$.

Example 4.9. In the notations of Example 2.2, the right 1-dimensional Bernoulli shift has completely positive algebraic entropy: every non-trivial $\beta_{\mathbb{K}}$ -invariant \mathbb{K} -subspace of V_d is isomorphic to V_d since such a subspace has countable but not finite dimension being $\beta_{\mathbb{K}}$ -invariant.

Consequently, the left 1-dimensional Bernoulli shift has completely positive topological entropy. In particular, a left 1-dimensional Bernoulli shift cannot admit any proper subflow of positive topological entropy by Proposition 4.3(2).

5 The corank of a topological flow

5.1 (Dual) Goldie dimension

Here we recall both the definitions of Goldie dimension and of dual Goldie dimension placing greater emphasis on dual Goldie dimension. These notions were firstly introduced in module theory, but modular lattices seem to be the proper setting for their definition.

Following [15], let (L, \vee, \wedge) denote a modular lattice - i.e., $x \vee (a \wedge b) = (x \vee a) \wedge b$ whenever $x \leq b$ - with a smallest element 0 and a greatest element 1. We start from a bit of terminology. A finite subset $\{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ of $L \setminus \{0\}$ is said to be *coindependent* if

$$a_i \vee \left(\bigwedge_{j \neq i} a_j \right) = 1 \quad \forall i \in I. \quad (5.1)$$

Every element x of $L \setminus \{0\}$ (considered as a singleton $\{x\}$) is coindependent. An arbitrary subset A of $L \setminus \{0\}$ is coindependent if all its finite subsets are coindependent. In a lattice L with 1 an element $a \in L$ is called *superfluous* if $a \vee b \neq 1$ for all $b \neq 1$. A lattice $L \neq \{0\}$ is said to be *couniform* if every element $a \neq 1$ is superfluous. An element $a \neq 1$ of L is called *couniform* if the sublattice $[a, 1] = \{x \in L \mid a \leq x\}$ is couniform. Consequently one has the following well-known result.

Proposition 5.1 (see [15]). *The following conditions are equivalent for a modular lattice L with 0 and 1.*

1. L does not contain infinite coindependent subsets.
2. L contains a finite coindependent set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ with a_i couniform for every i and $a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n$ superfluous in L .
3. The cardinality of a coindependent subset of L is $\leq m$ for an $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover, if these conditions hold and $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ is a finite coindependent subset of L with a_i couniform for every i and $a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n$ superfluous in L , then any other coindependent subset of L has cardinality $\leq n$.

Thus if the modular lattice L contains infinite coindependent subsets, then L is said to have *infinite dual Goldie dimension*; otherwise the (finite) *dual Goldie dimension* of L is defined to be the maximal cardinality $\text{codim } L$ of a finite coindependent subset of $L \setminus \{0\}$. Since the dual lattice (L, \wedge, \vee) of a modular lattice (L, \vee, \wedge) is also a modular lattice, one may define the *Goldie dimension* of (L, \vee, \wedge) to be the dual Goldie dimension of the dual lattice (L, \wedge, \vee) .

Fact 5.2 ([15, Propositions 2.37 and 2.42]). *For a modular lattice L ,*

1. $\text{codim } L = 0$ if, and only if, L has exactly one element;
2. $\text{codim } L = 1$ if, and only if, L is couniform.

Moreover, the dual version of (1) and (2) holds for the Goldie dimension.

Let M be a module over an associative ring with unit. Now we apply the (dual) Goldie dimension of modular lattices just introduced to the lattice $(L(M), +, \cap)$ of all submodules of M . Thus the *dual Goldie dimension* $\text{codim } M$ of M is defined to be the dual Goldie dimension of $L(M)$. In particular, $\text{codim } M$ turns out to be the maximal cardinality of a finite coindependent family (see §3.1) of proper submodules of M (or ∞). Analogously, the *Goldie dimension* $\text{dim}(M)$ of M is the Goldie dimension of $(L(M), +, \cap)$ and it coincides with the maximal n (or ∞) such that there exists a direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i \subseteq M$ of non-zero submodules of M .

Proposition 5.3 ([15, §2.7]). *Let $E(M)$ be the injective hull of M . Then the following hold:*

- (a) $\text{dim}(M) = \text{dim}(E(M))$;
- (b) $\text{dim}(M) = n < \infty$ if, and only if, $E(M)$ is the direct sum of n indecomposable modules.

Remark 5.4. Since the category $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{Vect})$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{K}[t]\text{Mod}$, Proposition 5.3 can be applied directly to algebraic flows.

The notion of projective cover is dual to that of injective hull. Although each abstract module has an injective hull, projective covers seldom exist, therefore the latter result cannot be dualised to the dual Goldie dimension of abstract modules. Nevertheless such a statement holds over the dual category when it does exist.

5.2 Modular lattice of a topological flow

Let (V, ϕ) be a flow over $\mathbb{K}\text{LC}$. We can associate to (V, ϕ) the lattice of all closed ϕ -invariant \mathbb{K} -subspaces of V , which will be denoted by $(\Lambda(V, \phi), +, \cap)$. By properties LC1.–LC3., the lattice $(\Lambda(V, \phi), +, \cap)$ is modular. Therefore we are allowed to compute the dual Goldie dimension of $(\Lambda(V, \phi), +, \cap)$, i.e., the maximal cardinality of a set of coindependent closed ϕ -invariant linear subspaces of V . We denote it by $\text{codim}(V, \phi)$.

Remark 5.5. By Lefschetz duality, the dual lattice of $\Lambda(V, \phi)$ is equivalent to the lattice consisting of all $\widehat{\phi}$ -invariant \mathbb{K} -subspaces of \widehat{V} , say $\Lambda(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\phi})$.

Proposition 5.6. *For every discrete field \mathbb{K} the following hold:*

1. $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})$ is an abelian category with enough injectives and projectives;
2. $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})$ admits projective covers.

Moreover, for every topological flow (V, ϕ) with projective cover (P, ρ) one has

3. $\text{codim}(V, \phi) = \text{codim}(P, \rho)$;
4. $\text{codim}(V, \phi) = n < \infty$ iff (P, ρ) is the product of exactly n indecomposable topological flows.

Proof. It follows by the fact that $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC})$ is the Lefschetz dual of $\text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{Vect})$ - which is nothing but $\mathbb{K}[t]\text{Mod}$ - and Proposition 5.3. \square

5.3 The corank function

For a topological flow (V, ϕ) , one may consider the domain of completely positive topological entropy $(D_+(V, \phi), \phi \upharpoonright_+)$ - i.e., the torsion subflow of (V, ϕ) - and give the following definition.

Definition 5.7. *The corank $\text{cork}(V, \phi)$ of a topological flow (V, ϕ) is defined to be the dual Goldie dimension of $(D_+(V, \phi), \phi \upharpoonright_+)$. Thus one obtains a corank function*

$$\text{cork}: \text{Flow}(\mathbb{K}\text{LC}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Indeed, the corank is meant to measure the invariant \mathbb{K} -subspace where the map ϕ is actually creating disorder. By Fact 5.2, one easily deduces the following properties:

- (C1) $\text{cork}(V, \phi) = 0$ if, and only if, $D_+(V, \phi) = 0$.
- (C2) $\text{cork}(V, \phi) = 1$ if, and only if, $\Lambda(D_+(V, \phi), \phi \upharpoonright_+)$ is couniform, i.e., every proper closed $\phi \upharpoonright_+$ -invariant linear subspace of $D_+(V, \phi)$ is superfluous in $(\Lambda(D_+(V, \phi), \phi \upharpoonright_+), +, \cap)$.

Remark 5.8. By analogy with the topological case, one can define the *rank* of an algebraic flow, say $\text{rk}(W, \psi)$, by using the notion of Goldie dimension: this rank does value the size of the factor of completely positive algebraic entropy, i.e., $\text{rk}(W, \psi) = \dim(W, \psi) := \dim(\Lambda(F_+(W, \psi), \overline{\psi}^+))$, where \dim denotes the Goldie dimension. Since $F_+(W, \psi)$ is the torsion-free factor of the algebraic flow with respect to the torsion theory $(\mathcal{T}_{alg}, \mathcal{F}_{alg})$, the notion of rank so introduced simply coincides with the torsion-free rank of the algebraic flow (W, ψ) regarded as a module over $\mathbb{K}[t]$ (see Remark 4.6) and so it does not yield any new information.

Finally, we are ready to prove that the topological entropy ent^* can be regarded as a dimension.

Theorem C. *For every topological flow (V, ϕ) , one has*

$$\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = \text{cork}(V, \phi).$$

Proof of Theorem C. By Corollary 4.4, V can be replaced by its domain of completely positive topological entropy without loss of generality. So let assume $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) \gg 0$.

When $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Thus firstly suppose $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. By Theorem B and Proposition 3.1, one obtains $k \leq \text{cork}(V, \phi) \leq k$, i.e., $k = \text{cork}(V, \phi)$.

Now assume $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = \infty$. By Theorem B, $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) \leq \text{cork}(V, \phi)$ i.e., $\text{cork}(V, \phi) = \infty$. Vice-versa, let $\text{cork}(V, \phi) = \infty$. Suppose ad absurdum that $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) = k$ is finite. Then there exist k coindependent non-stationary cocyclic ϕ -cotrajectories $\{C_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ by Theorem B. By Proposition 3.4, $\text{ent}^*(V/C_i, \bar{\phi}^i) = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, where $\bar{\phi}^i: V/C_i \rightarrow V/C_i$ is induced by ϕ . Since the topological entropy and the corank coincide in the finite case, one has $\text{cork}(V/C_i, \bar{\phi}^i) = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ by Proposition 3.4. Therefore the lattice $\Lambda(V/C_i, \bar{\phi}^i)$ is couniform for every $i = 1, \dots, k$ (see property (C2) above), i.e., C_i is a couniform element of the lattice $\Lambda(V, \phi)$. Finally, we claim that $C = C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_k$ is superfluous in the lattice $\Lambda(V, \phi)$, i.e., $C + W \neq V$ for every proper closed ϕ -invariant linear subspace of V . Thus Proposition 5.1(2) yields the contradiction: $\text{cork}(V, \phi) = \text{codim}(V, \phi) < \infty$.

Let us prove the claim. Let W be a closed ϕ -invariant \mathbb{K} -subspace of V such that $C + W = V$. Then $\{C_1, \dots, C_k, W\}$ is a coindependent set of closed ϕ -invariant \mathbb{K} -subspaces of V of cardinality $> \text{ent}^*(V, \phi)$. By Proposition 3.1 and $\text{ent}^*(V, \phi) \gg 0$, one has $W = C_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and so $W = C + W = V$. \square

We conclude by using Theorem C to produce an alternative proof of the following significant result.

Bridge Theorem. *Let (W, ψ) be an algebraic flow. Then*

$$\text{ent}(W, \psi) = \text{ent}^*(\widehat{W}, \widehat{\psi}).$$

Proof. By [17, Corollary 4.8],

$$\text{ent}(W, \psi) = \dim(\Lambda(F_+(W, \psi), \bar{\psi}^+)) = \text{rk}(W, \psi); \quad (5.2)$$

see Remark 5.8. Therefore, one computes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ent}(W, \psi) &= \dim \Lambda(F_+(W, \psi), \bar{\psi}^+) && \text{(by (5.2))} \\ &= \overline{\text{codim} \Lambda(F_+(W, \psi), \bar{\psi}^+)} && \text{(by Lefschetz duality for lattices)} \\ &= \text{codim} \Lambda(D_+(\widehat{W}, \widehat{\psi}), (\widehat{\psi}) \uparrow_+) && \text{(by Theorem B)} \\ &= \text{cork}((\widehat{W}, \widehat{\psi})) = \text{ent}^*(\widehat{W}, \widehat{\psi}). && \text{(by definition and Theorem C)} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Moreover, by applying the reductions steps described in [5, 6], one obtains - as a corollary of the latter result - the more general version of the Bridge Theorem [6, Theorem 1.3] holding for locally linearly compact vector spaces.

Acknowledgements

I thank my friends and colleagues Dikran Dikranjan, Anna Giordano Bruno and Simone Virili for useful discussions on the topic of this paper. Moreover, I thank Anna Giordano Bruno and Peter Kropholler for comments on early versions of this work. Finally, I thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim, and M. H. McAndrew. *Topological entropy*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **114** (1965): 309–319.
- [2] F. Blanchard and Y. Lacroix. *Zero entropy factors of topological flows*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993) no. 3, 985-992.
- [3] R. Bowen. *Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **153** (1971): 401-414.
- [4] I. Castellano. *Topological entropy for locally linearly compact vector spaces and field extensions*. Accepted for publication in the special issue on “Dynamical Methods in Algebra, Geometry and Topology” in Topological Algebra and its Applications (2019).
- [5] I. Castellano and A. Giordano Bruno. *Algebraic entropy in locally linearly compact vector spaces*, Rings, Polynomials, and Modules. Springer, Cham, (2017). 103-127.
- [6] I. Castellano and A. Giordano Bruono. *Topological entropy for locally linearly compact vector spaces*, Topology and its Applications **252** (2019): 112-144.
- [7] J. F. Conn, *Non-abelian Minimal Closed Ideals of Transitive Lie Algebras*, Princeton University Press, (2014).
- [8] U. Dardano, D. Dikranjan, and S. Rinauro. *Inertial Properties in Groups*, International Journal of Group theory (2017), to appear, DOI: 10.22108/IJGT.2017.21611.
- [9] S. E. Dickson. *A torsion theory for abelian categories*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **121.1** (1966): 223-235.
- [10] D. Dikranjan and A. Giordano Bruno. *The connection between topological and algebraic entropy*, Topology and its Applications **159.13** (2012): 2980-2989.
- [11] D. Dikranjan and A. Giordano Bruno. *The Pinsker subgroup of an algebraic flow*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **216.2** (2012): 364-376.
- [12] D. Dikranjan and A. Giordano Bruno. *Topological entropy and algebraic entropy for group endomorphisms*, Proceedings ICTA2011 Islamabad, Pakistan July 2011 - Cambridge Scientific Publishers: 133-214.
- [13] D. Dikranjan, A. Giordano Bruno. *The Bridge Theorem for totally disconnected LCA groups*, Topology Appl. **169** (2014): 21–32.
- [14] D. Dikranjan, M. Sanchis and S. Virili. *New and old facts about entropy on uniform spaces and topological groups*, Topology Appl. **159** (2012): 1916–1942.
- [15] A. Facchini. *Module theory: endomorphism rings and direct sum decompositions in some classes of modules*, Birkäuser **167** (2013).
- [16] A. Giordano Bruno and S. Virili. *Topological entropy in totally disconnected locally compact groups*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **37.7** (2017): 2163-2186.
- [17] A. Giordano Bruno and L. Salce. *A soft introduction to algebraic entropy*, Arabian Journal of Mathematics **1.1** (2012): 69-87.

- [18] Hanna, A. and A. Shamsuddin. *Dual Goldie dimension*, Rendiconti dell' Istituto di Matematica dell' Università di Trieste, **24(1-2)** (1992): 25-38.
- [19] B. M. Hood. *Topological entropy and uniform spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **8** (1974): 633-641.
- [20] S. Lefschetz, *Algebraic topology*, American Mathematical Soc. **27** (1942).
- [21] L. Ribes, and P. Zalesskii. *Profinite groups*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, (2000): 19-77.
- [22] J. Peters. *Entropy of automorphisms on LCA groups*, Pacific J. Math. **96** (1981): 475–488.
- [23] L. Salce, and P. Zanardo. *A general notion of algebraic entropy and the rank-entropy*, Forum Mathematicum **21.4** (2009).
- [24] S. Virili. *Algebraic and topological entropy of group actions*, preprint.
- [25] S. Warner, *Topological rings*. Elsevier **178** (1993).
- [26] M. D. Weiss. *Algebraic and other entropies of group endomorphisms*, Theory of Computing Systems **8** (1974): 243–248.