

Impact of Radiative Cooling on Multi-Junction Solar Cells Under Unconcentrated and Low-Concentrated Light

Original

Impact of Radiative Cooling on Multi-Junction Solar Cells Under Unconcentrated and Low-Concentrated Light / Testa, Pietro; Cagnoni, Matteo; Cappelluti, Federica. - ELETTRONICO. - (2024), pp. 1-3. (52nd IEEE Photovoltaic Specialist Conference, PVSC 2024 Seattle (USA) 9 - 14 June 2024) [10.1109/pvsc57443.2024.10749221].

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2995762 since: 2025-01-09T14:18:35Z

Publisher:

IEEE

Published

DOI:10.1109/pvsc57443.2024.10749221

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

cooler compared to that of the solar cell. The second combines radiative and nonradiative cooling approaches.

II. METHODS

The structure used to evaluate the radiative cooling performance consists of three components arranged from top to bottom: a radiative cooler, a bare solar cell, and a perfect and insulating mirror. Assuming that the temperature is uniform through the device, it can be determined by finding its value at thermal equilibrium, which occurs when the net power balance of the system is zero.

$$P_{\text{net}} = P_{\text{rad}}^{\text{RC}} - P_{\text{atm}} + P_{\text{con}} + P_{\text{rad}}^{\text{SC}} + P_{\text{elec}} - P_{\text{Sun}} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$P_{\text{rad}}^{\text{RC}}$ and P_{atm} are the power density radiated and absorbed from the atmosphere by the radiative cooler. P_{con} corresponds to the power exchanged with the surroundings by nonradiative heat transfer mechanisms. $P_{\text{rad}}^{\text{SC}}$, P_{elec} , and P_{Sun} are the power radiated, delivered to the load, and absorbed from the Sun by the solar cell. We used the SQ model for multi-junction solar cells to calculate these terms, considering the series connected architecture shown in Fig. 1. For this model, we made the following assumptions: 1) Each sub-cell has unit absorbance for energies greater than its band gap, according to the SQ model. 2) Selective mirrors are interposed between the sub-cells to limit the radiative recombination and obtain the highest efficiencies, as demonstrated by Araùjo and Martí [10]. 3) For simplicity, an insulating mirror is placed on the back to limit nonradiative power exchanges to the top of the device; this also reduces radiative recombination of the bottom cell. Based on these assumptions and the current-matching configuration, the last three terms of Equation (1) are given by:

$$P_{\text{rad}}^{\text{BB}} = \pi \int_0^{hc/E_{g,1}} d\lambda L_{e,\Omega,\lambda}^{\text{BB}}(\lambda, T, V_{1,\text{MPP}}) + \quad (2)$$

$$\pi \sum_{i=2}^N \int_{hc/E_{g,i-1}}^{hc/E_{g,i}} d\lambda L_{e,\Omega,\lambda}^{\text{BB}}(\lambda, T, V_{i,\text{MPP}})$$

$$P_{\text{elec}} = \min\{J_{i,\text{MPP}}\}_{i=1}^N \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N V_{i,\text{MPP}} \quad (3)$$

$$P_{\text{Sun}} = X \int_0^{hc/E_{g,N}} d\lambda E_{e,\lambda}^{\text{Sun}}(\lambda) \quad (4)$$

$L_{e,\Omega,\lambda}^{\text{BB}}$ is the generalized Planck's law, T is the device temperature, X is the concentration ratio, and $E_{e,\lambda}^{\text{Sun}}$ corresponds to the AM1.5g or AM1.5d spectra depending on the applications. Computation of the current and voltage follows [9].

Since the radiative cooler is deployed for cooling a solar cell, whose operating temperatures are well above the ambient one, it is designed to emit as a black body above the sunlight wavelengths, so as to optimize the removal of excess heat produced during solar energy conversion [11]. We considered an ideal radiative cooler with unit emissivity above $4 \mu\text{m}$ and completely transparent elsewhere. Overall background

conduction/convection is modeled as $P_{\text{con}} = h_c(T - T_0)$, with $h_c = 10.6 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$. Finally, to assess the performance of radiative coolers with larger area than the solar cell, we multiplied the radiative cooler-related terms, $P_{\text{rad}}^{\text{RC}}$ and P_{atm} , and the nonradiative term P_{con} by a factor equivalent to the ratio between the cooler and solar cell areas, $f_A = A_{\text{RC}} / A_{\text{SC}}$.

III. RESULTS

We compared the temperatures obtained by solving $P_{\text{net}} = 0$ with and without $P_{\text{rad}}^{\text{RC}}$ and P_{atm} to evaluate the impact of radiative cooling on the performance of solar cells. Fig. 2 depicts the results that we obtained for the unconcentrated case. The temperature reduction provided by the radiative cooler grows with temperature, which increases for lower band gaps and numbers of junctions. The efficiency increase follows the same trend, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2. The colored triangles in the figure identify the most efficient energy gap configurations for MJ cells, while for the single junction cell we adopted the c-Si case as a benchmark. The cooler's impact on performance is more significant for the MJ solar cells because of their higher temperature coefficient, which leads to greater efficiency gains despite the smaller temperature reduction.

As for CPV systems, we considered a triple junction cell with optimum gaps under AM1.5d (0.70/1.18/1.75 eV) as a case study. The heat removal by radiative cooling is significant, but not enough to achieve acceptable temperature values. Consequently, the solar cells are less efficient under concentration than at 1-sun, as can be observed in Fig. 3 considering the case of equal area of solar cell and radiative cooler ($f_A = 1$). However, radiative and nonradiative heat transfer mechanisms can be improved by enlarging the size of the radiative cooler compared to that of the solar cell. With this approach, demonstrated experimentally by Wang et al [7], the temperature is significantly reduced (Fig. 3a), and the efficiency under concentration overcomes that at 1-sun with




Fig. 2 Operating temperature of MJSCs with (solid lines) and without (dashed lines) an ideal radiative cooler as a function of the lowermost cell band gap. In the inset, the efficiency gain enabled by the radiative cooler.




Fig.3 Triple-junction solar cell coupled with a radiative cooler. (a) Operating temperature as a function of the area ratio (f_A) at various concentration ratios. The inset shows a possible set-up in a CPV system. (b) Difference between the efficiency as a function of f_A at various concentration ratios >1 and the efficiency at 1-sun, $f_A = 1$.

just a small increase of the area of the cooler, as shown in Fig. 3b.

This method is particularly suitable for concentrating photovoltaics where the radiative cooler area is limited only by the concentrator lens area, provided that the radiative cooler thermal diffusion length is large enough. Another viable approach, not shown here for the sake of brevity, involves combining the device with a nonradiative cooling system. Although this method reduces the relative impact of the radiative cooler due to the increased conductive and convective heat transfer mechanisms, we found that the radiative cooler still significantly contributes to the temperature reduction, relaxing the requirements for the nonradiative cooling system.

III. CONCLUSIONS

This work presents a first analysis of the impact of radiative cooling in multi-junction solar cells by means of detailed balance modeling. The study shows that at 1-sun multiple-junction cells benefit more than single-gap ones from radiative heat transfer because their efficiency is more sensitive to temperature. Under concentration, the size of the radiative cooler must be larger than that of the solar cell to decrease the device temperature enough to achieve higher efficiency than the

unconcentrated case. Alternatively, the device can be paired with a nonradiative cooling system, which can have relaxed design requirements thanks to the additional temperature reduction provided by the radiative cooler. These results demonstrate the significance of radiative cooling in the thermal management of multi junction solar cells, and its attractive potential in low-concentration systems [11].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under grant agreement no. 964450.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Royne, C. J. Dey, and D. R. Mills, 'Cooling of photovoltaic cells under concentrated illumination: a critical review', *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 451–483, Apr. 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.solmat.2004.09.003.
- [2] A. P. Raman, M. A. Anoma, L. Zhu, E. Rephaeli, and S. Fan, 'Passive radiative cooling below ambient air temperature under direct sunlight', *Nature*, vol. 515, no. 7528, pp. 540–544, Nov. 2014, doi: 10.1038/nature13883.
- [3] D. Zhao *et al.*, 'Radiative sky cooling: Fundamental principles, materials, and applications', *Applied Physics Reviews*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 021306, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1063/1.5087281.
- [4] M. Cagnoni, P. Testa, J. S. Dolado, and F. Cappelluti, 'Extended detailed balance modeling toward solar cells with cement-based radiative coolers', *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications*, vol. n/a, no. n/a, 2023, doi: 10.1002/pip.3758.
- [5] S.-Y. Heo, D. H. Kim, Y. M. Song, and G. J. Lee, 'Determining the Effectiveness of Radiative Cooler-Integrated Solar Cells', *Advanced Energy Materials*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. 2103258, 2022, doi: 10.1002/aenm.202103258.
- [6] Z. Zhou, Z. Wang, and P. Bermel, 'Radiative cooling for low-bandgap photovoltaics under concentrated sunlight', *Opt. Express, OE*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. A404–A418, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.1364/OE.27.00A404.
- [7] Z. Wang *et al.*, 'Lightweight, Passive Radiative Cooling to Enhance Concentrating Photovoltaics', *Joule*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 2702–2717, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.joule.2020.10.004.
- [8] A. Baiju and M. Yarema, 'Status and challenges of multi-junction solar cell technology', *Frontiers in Energy Research*, vol. 10, 2022, Accessed: Aug. 24, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenrg.2022.971918>
- [9] A. D. Vos, 'Detailed balance limit of the efficiency of tandem solar cells', *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 839, May 1980, doi: 10.1088/0022-3727/13/5/018.
- [10] G. L. Araújo and A. Martí, 'Absolute limiting efficiencies for photovoltaic energy conversion', *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 213–240, Jun. 1994, doi: 10.1016/0927-0248(94)90209-7.
- [11] M. Cagnoni, A. Tibaldi, J. S. Dolado, and F. Cappelluti, 'Cementitious Materials as Promising Radiative Coolers for Solar Cells', *iScience*, p. 105320, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2022.105320.