POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Using invertebrate functional traits to improve flow variability assessment within European rivers

Original

Using invertebrate functional traits to improve flow variability assessment within European rivers / Laini, A.; Burgazzi, G.; Chadd, R.; England, J.; Tziortzis, I.; Ventrucci, M.; Vezza, P.; Wood, P. J.; Viaroli, P.; Guareschi, S.. - In: SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT. - ISSN 1879-1026. - ELETTRONICO. - 832:(2022). [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155047]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2995390 since: 2024-12-15T10:32:33Z

Publisher: Elsevier B.V.

Published DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155047

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright Elsevier postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

© 2022. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155047

(Article begins on next page)

1	Using invertebrate functional traits to improve flow variability assessment within Eur	opean
2	rivers	

3	Alex Laini ^{1,2} *, Gemma Burgazzi ^{1,3} , Richard Chadd ^{4,†} , Judy England ⁵ , Iakovos Tziortzis ⁶ , Massimo
4	Ventrucci ⁷ , Paolo Vezza ⁸ , Paul J. Wood ⁹ , Pierluigi Viaroli ¹ , Simone Guareschi ^{9,10}
5	¹ University of Parma, Department of Chemistry, Life Sciences and Environmental Sustainability, Parma, Italy
6	² Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Turin, Turin, Italy
7	³ Institute for Environmental Sciences, Quantitative Landscape Ecology, University of Koblenz-Landau, Landau,
8	Germany
9	⁴ Environment Agency of England, Stepping Stone Walk, Winfrey Avenue, Spalding, Lincolnshire, UK
10	⁵ Environment Agency, Red Kite House, Howbery Park, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford, UK
11	⁶ Water Development Department, Ministry of Agriculture, Rural Development and Environment, Nicosia, Republic of
12	Cyprus
13	⁷ Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
14	⁸ Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy
15	⁹ Geography and Environment, Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, Loughborough, UK
16	¹⁰ Doñana Biological Station (EBD-CSIC), C/ Americo Vespucio, 26, 41092 Seville, Spain
17	[†] deceased
18	
19	
20	Corresponding author: Dr Alex Laini
21	e-mail: <u>alex.laini@unito.it</u>
22	phone: +390521905696
23	

25 Abstract

26 Rivers are among the most threatened ecosystems worldwide and are experiencing rapid biodiversity loss. Flow alteration due to climate change, water abstractions and augmentation is a 27 28 severe stressor on many aquatic communities. Macroinvertebrates are widely used for biomonitoring river ecosystems although current taxonomic approaches used to characterize 29 ecological responses to flow have limitations in terms of generalisation across biogeographical 30 regions. A new macroinvertebrate trait-based index, Flow-T, derived from ecological functional 31 information (flow velocity preferences) currently available for almost 500 invertebrate taxa at the 32 European scale is presented. The index was tested using data from rivers spanning different 33 biogeographic and hydro-climatic regions from the UK, Cyprus and Italy. The performance of 34 Flow-T at different spatial scales and its relationship with an established UK flow assessment tool, 35 the Lotic-invertebrate Index for Flow Evaluation (LIFE), was assessed to determine the 36 transferability of the approach internationally. Flow-T was strongly correlated with the LIFE index 37 using both presence-absence and abundance weighted data from all study areas (r varying from 0.46 38 39 to 0.96). When applied at the river reach scale, Flow-T was effective in identifying communities 40 associated with distinct mesohabitats characterised by their hydraulic characteristics (e.g., pools, riffles, glides). Flow-T can be derived using both presence/absence and abundance data and can be 41 42 easily adapted to varying taxonomic resolutions. The trait-based approach facilitates research using the entire European invertebrate fauna and can potentially be applied in regions where information 43 on taxa-specific flow velocity preferences is not currently available. The inter-regional and 44 continental scale transferability of Flow-T may help water resource managers gauge the effects of 45 changes in flow regime on instream communities at varying spatial scales. 46

47

48 **KEYWORDS:** bioassessment, flow velocity preference, traits theory, river ecosystems,

49 hydrological alteration, functional ecology

50 1. Introduction

Rivers are among the most threatened ecosystems worldwide (Tickner et al., 2020; Albert et al., 51 2021) with their flow regimes (discharge) widely altered, and their longitudinal and lateral 52 53 connectivity fragmented due to weirs, impoundments and floodplain development (Grill et al., 2019; Belletti et al., 2020). The natural flow regime acts as major driver in fluvial ecosystems 54 (Rolls et al., 2018) with hydrological variability and alteration having wide ranging effects 55 instream, as well as on aquatic-terrestrial linkages (Tockner et al., 2010). The predicted increase in 56 extreme flow events frequency and intensity for numerous areas worldwide (Prudhomme et al., 57 2014; Chang et al., 2018), coupled with the increasing demands of water abstraction for 58 59 anthropogenic activities, are likely to exacerbate the effects of flow regime alteration (Tonkin et al., 2018; Dudgeon, 2019). 60

Streamflow affects many abiotic characteristics, from water temperature and streambed stability to 61 channel and floodplain morphology (see Richter et al., 1997 and reference inside). Riverine aquatic 62 63 communities display a range of life history traits and morphological adaptations that enable individuals to persist and maintain populations within lotic ecosystems (Statzner and Holm, 1989; 64 Mims and Olden, 2012). However anthropogenic alteration of flow regimes (e.g., longitudinal 65 impoundments, abstraction and augmentation) may constrain biological communities (Krajenbrink 66 et al., 2019; Mellado-Díaz et al., 2019; White et al., 2021) and prevent unpolluted or otherwise 67 relatively pristine rivers from achieving their maximum ecological capacity (Theodoropoulos et al., 68 2020). Although the importance of hydromorphological alterations on rivers and their flow regime 69 70 are widely recognised, tools to address this stressor have rarely been integrated in biomonitoring policies or environmental legislations (Poikane et al., 2020; Theodoropoulos et al., 2021). 71

Macroinvertebrate communities are crucial components of aquatic and riparian ecosystems (Suter
and Cormier, 2015) that are frequently used for biomonitoring of rivers worldwide (Buss et al.,

74 2015), and have been shown to be sensitive to flow regime variability and alteration (Wood et al.,

2000; Belmar et al., 2019; Doretto et al., 2019). Since the late Twentieth Century, an increasing 75 number of biological indices have been developed to characterise the flow preferences of lotic 76 macroinvertebrates. For example, the Rheo-index (Banning, 1990, 1998) was one of the first to 77 characterise faunal associations with river flow velocity and is one of the core metrics for 78 evaluating the quality status of rivers in Germany (Buchner et al., 2019). Similarly, Timm et al. 79 (2011) proposed an index (MESH), able to assess hydromorphological quality of Estonian surface 80 81 waters (lakes and rivers) focussing on macroinvertebrate taxonomic composition. Theodoropoulos et al. (2020) proposed a dual-index system, called ELF (Hellenic Flow Index), to assess the effects 82 of hydrological alterations upon Greek streams based on optimal discharge conditions for 83 84 macroinvertebrates, utilising prior knowledge of the pollution status of the site being considered. One of the most widely tested macroinvertebrate-based indices for characterising faunal river flow 85 preferences is the Lotic Invertebrate Index for Flow Evaluation (LIFE - Extence et al., 1999). The 86 index was developed using data from UK rivers and was specifically designed to reflect faunal 87 associations with flow velocity and its variability via a scoring system. This index and its 88 89 derivatives are currently used in countries spanning 3 continents and multiple countries including 90 the UK (e.g., White et al., 2021), Canada (e.g., Armanini et al., 2011), New Zealand (e.g., Greenwood et al., 2016) and Costa Rica (e.g., Quesada-Alvarado et al., 2020). However, all these 91 92 indices are based on locally derived scores for each macroinvertebrate taxon, typically recorded at family or species level, according to their flow velocity preferences. In general, the determinations 93 of velocity-preference were derived from an information-driven expert panel process, rather than 94 empirical and contemporary measures of State-Pressure-Response. The benefit of this approach is a 95 96 mechanistic independence of output. There is, however, an inbuilt element of subjectivity. Given 97 natural biogeographical constraints, most historic approaches are often limited in terms of the geographical application to the areas where they were originally developed (e.g., lack of specific 98 taxonomic information, scores not available for local endemics or families unique to a specific 99

region/ country, or presence of new / alien taxa). Therefore, there is the need to increase the 100 potential transferability and applicability of tools to monitor and characterise hydrological 101 variability and stress where it occurs (Friberg et al., 2011; Alonso et al., 2013; Poff, 2018). 102 103 Ecological functional information used to characterise floral and faunal communities has received increasing attention in recent years, as it potentially overcomes the constraints imposed by 104 traditional taxonomic approaches (Mouillot et al., 2013; Kunz et al., 2021). Within freshwater 105 ecosystems, a wide range of faunal traits (e.g., body size, voltinisms, feeding habits, life-history 106 strategies) have been assigned to macroinvertebrates. These traits are commonly used in ecological 107 and ecohydrological research to assess the effects of flow alteration on macroinvertebrate 108 109 communities, providing complementary information to traditional taxonomic approaches (Guareschi et al., 2014; Ruhi et al., 2018; but also see limitations in Hamilton et al., 2020). As the 110 111 combination of species traits determines their likelihood and ability to withstand disturbance events, such as flow alteration, a non-random taxa selection is expected along environmental gradients and 112 associated with specific disturbances (Mouillot et al., 2013). 113 114 This study aimed to develop a new aquatic macroinvertebrate trait-based index, called Flow-T, derived from the "current velocity" trait proposed by Tachet et al. (2010) in a comprehensive 115 international trait dataset encompassing nearly 500 macroinvertebrate taxa. We compared the 116 performance of the newly developed index with those of an already established index, LIFE, in 117 different biogeographic and climatic regions and using both family and mixed-level resolution (e.g. 118 species, genera and families within the same dataset). Flow-T was calculated using: i) the original 119 UK macroinvertebrate dataset used to develop and test the LIFE index; ii) Mediterranean rivers 120 from Cyprus focussed on riffle and pool habitats and iii) Italian rivers encompassing 5 different 121 122 habitats characterised by different flow velocity characteristics. Flow-T was expected to perform better than the existing index (LIFE) when applied in geographical areas where local / region 123 specific flow-sensitivity indexes are currently lacking because of increased taxa coverage. In 124

addition, it overcomes the inherent issue of applying flow-sensitivity taxa lists outside regions they
were developed in. Specifically, we predicted similar responses of both indices in the UK and
better performances of Flow-T over LIFE in Italy and Cyprus because the first includes more taxa
than the second. We also predicted better performance of mixed-level taxonomic resolution
compared to family data because not all flow velocity preferences are preserved or represented at
the family level.

131

132 **2. Materials and Methods**

133 *2.1 Biological and trait datasets*

LIFE is a macroinvertebrate-based index for the assessment of flow conditions within streams and 134 135 rivers (Extence et al., 1999). It was developed in the UK by the Environment Agency of England (EA) using historic macroinvertebrate data from 1986 to 1997. The core dataset comprised of multi-136 habitat 3-minute kick samples from three chalk streams: River Lark and Waithe Beck (Anglian 137 138 region), the R. Kennet (Thames region) and two Midlands rivers: R. Derwent and R. Wreake (detailed information regarding all sampling methods and study areas is provided in S1). In this study 139 macroinvertebrate community data from the original sites used by Extence et al. (1999) were 140 141 employed to derive the values of LIFE and Flow-T using the original dataset from 1986 to 1997 (for a total of 133 samples) and an extended dataset from the same rivers spanning 1986 to 2019 (285 142 samples). The taxonomic resolution was mostly at species level except for some groups (e.g., 143 Diptera). Briefly, the LIFE index is calculated as follows. first, each taxon (both family and species 144 level) is assigned to one of the 6-flow groups (from I: Rapid flow velocity to VI: Drought resistant). 145 Flow sensitivity scores are then assigned based on a two-entry table where the entries reflect the flow 146 group and the abundance category of individual taxa on a log-scale. For each sample, the final score 147 is derived by dividing the sum of individual taxon flow scores by the number of scored taxa. Higher 148 149 flow velocities typically result in communities characterised by higher LIFE scores.

The second dataset used to compare the LIFE methodology and Flow-T was from the eastern Mediterranean island of Cyprus. Macroinvertebrates were sampled quantitatively from distinct habitats (using a Surber sampler) and identified to family level according to the national biomonitoring method (Water Development Department, 2006). Samples were collected from both riffle and pool habitats, where the latter included both instream pools and glides (see below for mesohabitat nomenclature). The Cypriot dataset comprised a total of 872 samples from 77 rivers and 143 sites, spanning the period from 2005 to 2018.

LIFE and Flow-T were also calculated using a dataset derived from three Italian braided rivers within 157 the Po River basin (R. Taro, Trebbia and Enza within the Emilia Romagna Region, Northern Italy). 158 The three rivers were sampled once during spring and summer 2020, providing a total of 180 samples 159 (60 macroinvertebrate samples per-river). River reaches of 300 m in length for the Enza River and of 160 ~1000 m for the wider channels of the Trebbia and Taro Rivers were used and mesohabitats (riffle, 161 pool, isolated pond, backwater and glide) identified before the collection of macroinvertebrate 162 samples according to Belletti et al. (2017). Samples were collected from each mesohabitat type 163 164 identified trying to encompass the entire range of flow velocity/depth available throughout the reach. At each sampling point, mean flow velocity and water depth and the mesohabitat type was recorded. 165 The Tachet et al. (2010) database (hereafter TAC) represents the most widely used trait resource for 166 European freshwaters. It has been successfully applied in previous research at both national and 167 continental scales (Mellado-Díaz et al., 2008; Bonada and Dolédec, 2017; Laini et al., 2019) and 168 has been integrated into the online European dataset freshwaterecology.info (Schmidt-Kloiber and 169 Hering, 2015). This later database includes 22 ecological and biological traits available for the 170 majority of European freshwater taxa and was developed using information gathered from around 171 172 6,000 sources (Bonada and Dolédec, 2017). Information regarding flow velocity preferences for macroinvertebrate taxa is available within the ecological trait "current velocity" which is divided in 173 4 modalities (lentic, slow, moderate and fast) where each taxon affinity is coded using a fuzzy 174

175 coding from 0 (no affinity) to 3 (strong affinity). Information is available mostly at genus level, but

176 species-level (when genera are monospecific, e.g., Ancylus fluviatilis), subfamily-level (e.g., family

177 Chironomidae, for which information at subfamily level is reported) and family-level (e.g.,

178 Tipulidae and Tubificidae) are also present.

179

180 *2.2. Flow-T calculation*

181 Flow-T is calculated using the current velocity preference categories for each sample using:

182
$$Flow - T = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(A_{i} + 1) \times (m_{i} + f_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(A_{i} + 1)} \times 100$$

Where n represents the number of taxa in a sample, A_i the abundance of the ith taxon, m_i and f_i the 183 "moderate" and "fast" velocity preference classes of the ith taxon according to Tachet et al. (2010). 184 The logarithm of the abundance can be replaced by 1 to obtain the presence-absence version of the 185 Flow-T index (both tested in the present study). Hereafter, Flow-T_{abu} and Flow-T_{pa} will refer to Flow-186 T based on abundance and presence-absence data, respectively. Furthermore, two other versions of 187 the Flow-T index were calculated for both presence-absence and abundance data (a reduced and a 188 weighted version respectively). The first version excludes Chironomidae and Oligochaeta from the 189 calculation because of the absence of a clear association for them at the family level with flow velocity 190 (due to the large number of species). The second version assigns more weight to the taxa that are low 191 flow specialists (velocity classes "lentic" and "slow") or high flow specialists (velocity classes 192 "moderate" and "fast"). Weights were calculated using the trait specialisation index (TSI) developed 193 by Mondy and Usseglio-Polatera (2014). TSI calculations were undertaken using two aggregated 194 velocity classes, the first being the sum of the lentic and slow velocity classes and the second the 195 moderate and fast velocity classes. Complete outputs for these two latter approaches are presented in 196 Appendix S2. A summary of the different versions of the Flow-T index used in this research is 197 presented in Table 1. Flow-T can be calculated with a mixed taxonomic resolution (e.g., family, genus 198

and species resolution in the same dataset) and family level, where family level traits are obtained by
 averaging traits at a finer taxonomic level. Trait categories were converted to percentages of affinity
 prior to the Flow-T and TSI calculation.

202

203 *2.3 Data analysis*

204 For the UK dataset, the association between LIFE and Flow-T was tested using Pearson 205 correlation coefficients for family and species level data. Species level LIFE was compared to Flow-206 T at the mixed taxonomic level. To investigate how the choice of family level scores may influence 207 the correlation between Flow-T and LIFE indices, taxa within each family were randomly sampled and taken as representative of the family scores (e.g., Ecdyonurus for Heptageniidae in the first run, 208 *Heptagenia* in the second). Two different values of both Flow- T_{pa} and Flow- T_{abu} were thus obtained: 209 i) considering the mean genus values as a proxy for the family value and ii) considering the random 210 selection of finer taxonomic levels within a family (e.g., genus, subfamily for some dipterans). This 211 212 later process was repeated 1,000 times and the median and the percentile confidence interval (2.5% -97.5%) calculated. 213

Flow-T and LIFE indices for Cyprus rivers were calculated at the family level. Linear mixed 214 215 effect models were used to test the association between both indices and mesohabitat as a fixed effect and sampling site as a random effect. Indices values were centred and standardized prior to the 216 analysis to allow comparison of the results. Models with and without the mesohabitat were compared 217 and the best model selected according to deviance information criterion - DIC (Spiegelhalter et al., 218 2002). The greater the difference between the DIC of two competing models the greater the support 219 220 for the best model (which is the one with the lowest DIC). Like the Akaike Information Criterion, DIC differences < 7, between 7 and 14 and > 14 provide plausible, equivocal and implausible support 221 for the model with larger DIC (Burnham et al., 2011). 222

For Italian data, the LIFE index was calculated at the family level and Flow-T derived at both 223 224 the family, the taxonomic resolution used for biomonitoring purposes at the national level (Buffagni and Erba, 2007), and at a mixed taxonomic level (family and genus). Linear mixed effect models 225 were used to regress both indices in association with mesohabitat, flow velocity and their interaction 226 as fixed effects. For each index, two different error structures were used to account for spatial 227 autocorrelation and the best model selected according to Ventrucci et al. (2020) (method description 228 229 and full results are presented in S3). Models including an interaction and site as the random effect were compared to additive models to select the best performing model based on the DIC. In addition, 230 models considering "site" as a fixed effect were derived to validate the results obtained with the linear 231 232 mixed model approach because the estimation of the random effect could be affected by the low number of sites used (n = 3). According to Zuur et al. (2009) we used the random effect approach in 233 the final analysis because it focuses on the variables of interest (flow velocity values and 234 mesohabitat). Similarly, we tested the response of LIFE and Flow-T to discriminate between the five 235 mesohabitats, without including flow velocity in the model and to discriminate riffles from pools, by 236 joining pools and glides. 237

Marginal and conditional R^2_m and R^2_c values were calculated according to Nakagawa and Schielzeth (2013) for each model for the Cypriot and Italian datasets. R^2_m represents the variance explained by the fixed effects, while R^2_c represents the variance explained by both the fixed and random effects. A summary of the analysis performed for each dataset is given in Table 2.

All models were fitted using a Bayesian approach using the package INLA (Rue et al., 2009) for the 242 R statistical computing software (R Core Team, 2020). We selected a Bayesian approach because of 243 its ability to model complex error structure and to provide estimates of uncertainty for all the 244 parameters involved (e.g., R²). Models were also computed with a 'frequentist' approach and the 245 results presented in appendix S4. The package biomonitoR 246

(<u>https://github.com/alexology/biomonitoR</u>) was used to calculate both LIFE and Flow-T indices,
while the package ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009) was used for plotting the results.

249

250 **3. Results**

251 *3.1 Dataset 1, UK: Flow-T and LIFE relationship*

A total of 406,576 organisms belonging to 99 families and 411 taxa were identified. All families with 252 a LIFE score also were allocated a score for Flow-T. Flow-T_{pa} and Flow-T_{abu} displayed strong 253 correlations with family-level LIFE of 0.86 and 0.96 (Fig. 1, p < 0.001) for the original dataset and 254 0.80 and 0.95 for the extended dataset (p < 0.001). The random selection of taxa for the family level 255 Flow-T resulted in a median correlation of 0.93 (0.87 - 0.96) for Flow-T_{abu} and of 0.80 (0.62 - 0.89) 256 for Flow-T_{pa}. The reduced version of Flow-T behaved similarly to the full version while the weighted 257 version displayed lower correlation coefficients (Table 3, appendix S5). The correlation between 258 species level LIFE and Flow-T derived using the mixed taxonomic level of presence and log-259 260 abundance data were 0.82 and 0.92 respectively (appendix S6 for full results).

261

3.2 Dataset 2, Cyprus: Comparison and performance at different spatial scale

A total of 624,958 organisms, belonging to 103 families and 105 taxa were recorded. The number of 263 264 families allocated a score was 70 (68%) for LIFE and 97 (94%) for Flow-T. Most of the mismatches for the LIFE index were due to absence of specific dipteran families, some of which are not present 265 in the UK, or excluded from LIFE owing to a lack of necessary knowledge (e.g., Athericidae, 266 Blephariceridae and Chironomidae) and oligochaete families, and included rarely occurring families 267 (e.g., Euphaeidae, Bibionidae and Melanopsidae). LIFE displayed a strong correlation with Flow-Tabu 268 (r = 0.72) but not with Flow-T_{pa} (r = 0.46). The correlation between both versions of Flow-T 269 (abundance-based and presence-absence) was 0.80. The random selection of taxa for family level 270

Flow-T resulted in a median correlation of 0.55 (0.17 - 0.82) for Flow-T_{abu} and of 0.30 (-0.01 - 0.64) for Flow-T_{pa} with the family-level LIFE score.

LIFE, Flow- T_{pa} and Flow- T_{abu} scores based on abundance data were higher for riffles than for pools (Fig. 2). The difference between riffles and pools was most marked when using both Flow-T approaches. The R² explained by mesohabitat was low for the LIFE index (median 0.06, Bayesian credible intervals = 0.04 – 0.08) but was higher for Flow- T_{pa} (0.17, 0.14 – 0.19) and Flow- T_{abu} (0.15, 0.12 – 0.17), respectively. Similarly, the R² explained for both mesohabitat and random effects was 0.70 (0.65 – 0.74), 0.66 (0.62 – 0.70) and 0.71 (0.67 – 0.75) for LIFE, Flow- T_{pa} and Flow- T_{abu} , respectively.

280

281 *3.3 Dataset 3, Italy: Comparison and performance at different spatial scale*

A total of 32,426 organisms from 64 families and 106 taxa were identified within the Italian dataset. The number of families allocated a score was 45 (70%) for LIFE, with 64 (100%) and 106 (100%) allocated for the family and mixed taxonomy-level Flow-T, respectively. The reduced number of taxa used in the LIFE index was due to the absence of specific dipterans and oligochaetes and because of the absence of the family Oligoneuriidae in the UK. The most frequently occurring mesohabitat was riffle (n = 59), followed by glide (48), pool (35), isolated pool (22) and backwater (16). Median flow velocity was 0.10 ms⁻¹ and ranged from 0 ms⁻¹ to 1.68 ms⁻¹.

Flow- T_{pa} and Flow- T_{abu} displayed a correlation with family-level LIFE of 0.73 and 0.90 for family level data and a correlation of 0.79 and 0.82 for mixed- level taxonomy. The random selection of taxa for the family level Flow-T resulted in a median correlation of 0.81 (0.60 – 0.91) for Flow- T_{abu} and 0.48 (-0.28 – 0.83) for Flow- T_{pa} .

LIFE and both versions of Flow-T were able to differentiate the two main mesohabitats in riversdisplaying significantly higher values in riffles than in pools (details in appendix S7) with the same

response for both Flow-T approaches. Similarly, LIFE, Flow-T_{abu} and flow-T_{pa} displayed consistent patterns when compared to flow velocity and reflected the lentic-lotic gradient between the 5 mesohabitats (from isolated ponds to riffles, Fig. 3). There was a clearer distinction between glides and the slow flowing and lentic habitats (pools, backwaters, isolated ponds) for both Flow-T approaches compared to LIFE scores (appendix S8).

Models considering the interaction between flow velocity and mesohabitat type performed better than 300 the additive model for all the combinations of indices and taxonomic levels tested based on the Δ DIC 301 (Table 4). Indices based on abundance data (LIFE, Flow- T_{abu}) obtained greater Δ DIC than Flow- T_{pa} 302 at the family level suggesting greater differences in the relationship between flow velocity and the 303 304 indices among different mesohabitats. In contrast, Flow-T based on presence-absence data displayed a higher Δ DIC compared to the abundance-based Flow-T when considering the mixed taxonomic 305 level. Indices calculated at the mixed taxonomic level yielded greater Δ DIC values compared to those 306 calculated at family level. Moreover, mixed-level indices generally performed better than those 307 calculated at the family level, as indicated by the non- or marginally overlapping credible R_m^2 308 309 intervals.

310

311 **4. Discussion**

To predict the effect of flow variability and alteration on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning is 312 313 a major goal for the management of lotic ecosystems (Ruhi et al., 2018; Tonkin et al., 2018). The development of indices that can be applied across multiple geographic regions or globally would be 314 ideal (Poff, 2018), but challenging because of the high number of macroinvertebrate taxa involved 315 316 and the limited information regarding their flow velocity preferences in some geographical regions. To address this issue we developed an index, Flow-T, based on an extensive dataset of trait flow 317 velocity-preferences proposed by Tachet et al. (2010) for European freshwater fauna. Our analyses 318 demonstrate that the new index can successfully track the effect of flow variation at the both micro 319

and meso-scale and that it displayed consistent responses in different geographical regions (England
 - oceanic, Cyprus - hot-summer Mediterranean and Italy – humid sub-tropical) using both presence absence and abundance data.

323

324 LIFE and Flow-T comparison

325 We compared and assessed the new trait-based index for riverine macroinvertebrate communities 326 Flow-T with the LIFE index, an established flow assessment tool using 3 different datasets. Both versions of the newly developed Flow-T index (abundance based and presence-absence) displayed 327 328 consistently high and statistically significant correlations with the LIFE index calculated on the original dataset used to establish the LIFE methodology (UK dataset 1986-1997) and on datasets 329 from different European climatic regions. Stronger correlations were recorded for the abundance-330 based version of Flow-T (Flow-T_{abu}) compared with the method based on presence only data (Flow-331 T_{pa}). The reduced versions of Flow-T (excluding Chironomidae and Oligochaeta) displayed similar 332 333 responses to versions of Flow-T using all data, while the weighted version (that assigns more weight to specialist taxa) generally performed less well. 334

When focussing on datasets from Italy and Cyprus from which samples had been collected from 335 specific mesohabitats, all three indices were able to discriminate between riffle and pool 336 mesohabitats consistently. However, Flow-T_{pa} and Flow-T_{abu} demonstrated a clearer differentiation 337 compared to LIFE, based on the R^2_m values for the more arid Mediterranean rivers in Cyprus. The 338 indices performed similarly well when analysing a greater gradient of habitats within the Italian 339 dataset (from lentic to lotic). However, both Flow-T approaches demonstrated a greater sensitivity 340 341 in differentiating between mesohabitats, especially glides and pools, indicating its ability to inform local scale assessments and its potential application within widely applied meso-scale habitat 342 models (e.g., Parasiewicz et al., 2013; Vezza et al., 2017). Within the Italian dataset, the significant 343 344 interaction between flow velocity and mesohabitat indicated that the association between current

speed and faunal communities can vary within individual mesohabitat types. For instance, areas
with low flow velocity within riffles are more likely to be colonized via passive drift from nearby
areas upstream with higher flow velocity and may display higher index values than low flow
velocity areas of lentic mesohabitats. The results therefore support the documented interactive
effects between river flow conditions and local environmental factors (e.g., substratum composition,
temperature, dissolved oxygen) on riverine faunal communities (White et al., 2017; Karaouzas et
al., 2019).

At family level, the better performance of Flow-T compared with LIFE in detecting the effect of 352 meso- and micro-scale flow variable probably reflects the occurrence of taxa that were not 353 originally considered in the LIFE methodology (e.g., Athericidae, Chironomidae and Oligochaeta 354 among others, for the Mediterranean dataset, but also Oligoneuriidae - a mayfly family widely 355 recorded throughout Central and South Europe) and other taxonomic issues (e.g., differences in 356 taxonomic resolution and classification). For example, the number of families receiving a score, and 357 so considered in the final index, was different for LIFE and Flow-T, especially within the Cyprus 358 359 dataset (70 for LIFE and 97 for Flow-T). This may also partially explain the weaker correlations recorded between LIFE and Flow-T (based on presence/absence data) for the Mediterranean 360 dataset, although the use of abundance data appeared to largely mitigate this issue. 361

The taxonomic resolution considered affected the strength of association of Flow-T for both LIFE 362 and mesohabitat/flow velocity. The taxonomic resolution affected the correlation between LIFE and 363 Flow-T and was sensitive to the random selection of taxa within families, especially for the Cyprus 364 and Italian datasets and for Flow-T_{pa}. Sensitivity to random selection of taxa could reflect the 365 relatively poor representation of some eastern European taxa in the TAC database (Usseglio-366 Polatera et al., 2000b). For the Italian dataset, the mixed taxonomic resolution version of Flow-T 367 (family and genus level) performed better than the family level data, being particularly sensitive 368 when using the presence data for both flow velocity and distinct mesohabitats types. The improved 369

performance of the mixed taxonomy dataset probably reflects among-genus variability of flow 370 371 velocity preferences within some macroinvertebrate multi-genus families such as the mayfly larvae Baetidae and Heptageniidae, the black fly larvae Simuliidae and the caddisfly larvae Limnephilidae 372 (Dolédec et al., 2007). The increased sensitivity captured when using the mixed taxonomic 373 resolution may be important when deriving indices where there may be low overall taxa richness or 374 when using presence only data. Our results suggest that Flow-T performs best when using that 375 376 finest taxonomic resolution available on either presence-absence or abundance data. The optimal taxonomic resolution should be the one that matches the TAC database, where currently velocity 377 preferences are reported primarily at genus level. 378

379

380 On the wider application of macroinvertebrates flow preferences

A wider geographical application of Flow-T would require flow velocity preferences being fixed 381 (non-labile) rather than labile traits. Labile traits respond directly to the environment through local 382 adaptation or phenotypic plasticity, leading some to question their geographical generality. For 383 example, Bonada and Dolédec (2017) reported that some genera within the TAC dataset have a 384 greater prevalence of multivoltine life cycles in Mediterranean basins and of univoltine/semivoltine 385 life cycles in Scandinavia because of major differences in thermal regimes. In contrast, non-labile 386 traits are considered fixed in space and time i.e., they are not malleable within the local 387 environmental setting or over the lifetime of an individual, and are usually constrained by 388 phylogeny (Poff et al., 2006). Examples of non-labile traits include respiration and locomotion type. 389 In the European TAC dataset, traits have been assigned using fuzzy coding, which allows 390 391 interpolation of trait variability and trait changes alongside the life history (ontogeny) for some taxonomic groups (e.g., feeding habits of Coleoptera larvae and adults) (Richoux 1994). 392 Ecological flow preferences (Usseglio-Polatera et al., 2000a) do not appear to be particularly labile 393

394 in the current study. The flow velocity preference information summarized in Flow-T does not

behave as a labile functional trait, at least over space (displaying stable patterns across multiple 395 396 European climatic regions). In addition, a high degree of consistency in taxon hydraulic preferences have been identified for benthic macroinvertebrates (e.g., Belmar et al., 2013), but some exceptions 397 at specific taxonomic levels have also been recognised (Dolédec et al., 2007). An individual taxon 398 can then have a flow velocity optimum/preference (i.e., high association with a specific flow) but 399 that in itself is not a labile/non-labile trait, instead that optima can be influenced by other biological 400 401 features including body shape, size and mode of respiration or locomotion; representing a mixture of both labile and non-labile features (see Chessman, 2018). Similarly, according to Verberk et al. 402 (2013) the preference of a taxon is not a trait or attribute in itself, but rather the result of how a trait 403 404 has interacted with environmental conditions. The term "flow velocity preference" has been also discussed in ecohydrology suggesting that it may only be intended as "association" (Lancaster and 405 Downes, 2010). Indeed, the latter was also the approach and terminology used within the original 406 407 LIFE index (Extence et al., 1999).

408

409 Novelty and wider applications

Our results indicate that using the original LIFE index within different climatic regions results in a 410 411 loss of predictive power in relation to flow variation and mesohabitat types because of the reduced number of taxa that have been assigned a score. The assignment of scores to these taxa would 412 potentially address this issue and would be crucial for the wider applicability and improved 413 performance of the LIFE index beyond the UK. This research also clearly demonstrates how 414 improving the taxonomic resolution from family level to a mixed taxonomic resolution (family and 415 genus) improved the response of Flow-T to both flow velocity and mesohabitat type. Flow-T 416 represents a potentially attractive ecological functional biomonitoring tool because it: i) facilitates 417 trans-national comparisons in flow ecology associations as it is based on a pre-existing western-418 European taxa trait dataset ; ii) has been shown to perform effectively across a gradient of riverine 419

mesohabitats based on flow characteristics (particularly riffles and pools); iii) it is relatively easy to 420 421 derive using abundance and presence/absence data: iv) it can be used when LIFE scores for specific families are not considered in the original metric, but are present at sites being studied; v) its range 422 from 0 - 1 (or 0 - 100 if needed) means that it is very easy to interpret. Flow-T is based on a freely 423 available and regularly updated dataset that has become the gold standard for functional analysis of 424 macroinvertebrate communities in Europe. The same approach used in this research could be 425 426 applied to other biological/ecological traits, leading the way towards the development of a suite of pressure specific indices. Moreover, unlike many taxonomic-based indices, Flow-T can readily 427 integrate new fauna within its calculation by using faunal functional information available for 428 429 almost 500 invertebrate taxa in the TAC database. This is particularly pertinent considering that colonisation and spread of new alien species seems likely in the future and is increasingly linked 430 with the modification of the riverine flow regime (e.g., Comte et al., 2021). 431 432 Including species traits in current ecological metrics to achieve broader spatial transferability is still a challenge in environmental flow management (Poff, 2018). Flow-T overcomes this challenge by 433 relying on an extensive database of flow velocity traits and identifying the direction of a 434 mechanistic understanding of flow-ecology relationships, linking hydraulic and hydrological 435 alterations to variation in macroinvertebrate communities. Potential applications of the newly 436 437 developed index include both routine monitoring and predictive modelling across multiple spatial scales. Flow-T will thus help guide managers in evaluating the effects of flow alterations 438 consistently and for the first time at a truly international scale. 439

- 440
- 442

441

443 Acknowledgments

AL, GB, P. Vezza and P. Viaroli were supported by the Authority of the Po River Basin, within the
project "Habitat modelling at mesoscale for environmental flow evaluation in the Trebbia, Taro and

446	Enza rivers", with funding from Emilia-Romagna Region and ANBI Emilia-Romagna. SG was
447	supported by a Royal Society-Newton International Fellowship at Loughborough University
448	(NIF\R1\180346). The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not necessarily
449	those of the Environment Agency of England, Authority of the Po River Basin, Emilia-Romagna
450	Region and ANBI Emilia-Romagna. Thanks to Luca Astegiano, Rossano Bolpagni, Stefano
451	Ippolitoni, Giovanni Negro, Riccardo Pellicanò, Beatrice Pinna for their help on the field and for
452	contributing ideas.
453	
454	Author Contributions
455	AL conceived the idea and designed the methodology; AL, IT, RC provided the raw data; AL and
456	MV analysed the data; AL and SG led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed
457	critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.
458	
459	Data availability statement
460	Data are available in the supplementary material.
461	
462	Declaration of competing interest
463	The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.
464	
465	

466 REFERENCES

Albert, J.S., Destouni, G., Duke-Sylvester, S.M., Magurran, A.E., Oberdorff, T., Reis, R.E.,
Winemiller, K.O., Ripple, W.J., 2021. Scientists' warning to humanity on the freshwater biodiversity

469 crisis. Ambio 50, 85–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-020-01318-8</u>

- 470 Alonso, C., Arvoviita, J., Baattrup-Pedersen, A., Belletti, B., Brabec, K., Bogestrand, J., Perez, M.C.,
- 471 Dudley, B., Ecke, F., Friberg, N., Gothe, E., Green, S., Gunn, I.D.M., Hajek, O., Hendriks, D.M.D.,
- 472 Jones, J.I., Kairo, K., Kalivodova, M., Komprdova, K., Kohut, L., Kraml, J., Laize, C., Larsen, S.E.,
- 473 Lorenz, A., Lebiedzinski, K., Mader, H., Mayr, P., Murphy, J.F., MacDonald, C., Nemethova, S.,
- 474 Noble, R.A., O'Hare, M., Raapysjarvi, J., Segersten, J., Turunen, J., Verdonschot, P., Vink, J., 2013.
- 475 Impacts of hydromorphological degradation and disturbed sediment dynamics on ecological status.
- 476 Deliverable 3.1 of REFORM (REstoring rivers FOR effective catchment Management), a
- 477 collaborative project (large-scale integrating project) funded by the European Commission within the
 478 7th Framework Programme under Grant Agreement 282656.
- Armanini, D.G., Horrigan, N., Monk, W.A., Peters, D.L., Baird, D.J., 2011. Development of a benthic
 macroinvertebrate flow sensitivity index for Canadian rivers. River. Res. Appl. 27 (6), 723–737.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1389
- Banning, M. 1990. Der Rheo-Index–eine Möglichkeit zur Berechnung der Auswirkungen des
 Flußstaus auf die benthische Lebensgemeinschaft. Erweiterte Zusammenfassungen der Jahrestagung
- 484 der DGL 186–190.
- Banning, M., 1998. Auswirkungen des Aufstaus größerer Flüsse auf das Makrozoobenthos:
 dargestellt am Beispiel der Donau. Essener ökologische Schriften, 9, Westarp-Wiss,
 Hohenwarsleben.
- 488 Belletti, B., Garcia de Leaniz, C., Jones, J., Bizzi, S., Börger, L., Segura, G., Castelletti, A., van de
- 489 Bund, W., Aarestrup, K., Barry, J., Belka, K., Berkhuysen, A., Birnie-Gauvin, K., Bussettini, M.,
- 490 Carolli, M., Consuegra, S., Dopico, E., Feierfeil, T., Fernández, S., Garrido, F., Garcia-Vazquez, E.,
- 491 Garrido, S., Giannico, G., Gough, P., Jepsen, N., Jones, P.E., Kemp, P., Kerr, J., King, J., Łapińska,

- M., Lázaro, G., Lucas, L.M., Martin, P., McGinitty, P., O'Hanley, J., Olivo del Amo, R., Parasiewicz, 492
- 493 P., Pusch, M., Rincon, G., Rodriguez, C., Royte, J., Schneider, C.T., Tummers, J.S., Vallesi, S.,
- Vowles, A., Verspoor, E., Wanningen, H., Wantzen, K.M., Wildman, L., Zalewski, M., 2020. More 494 million barriers fragment Europe's rivers. Nature 588, 436-441. 495 than one
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-3005-2 496
- Belletti, B., Rinaldi, M., Bussettini, M., Comiti, F., Gurnell, A.M., Mao, L., Nardi, L., Vezza, P., 497 2017. Characterising physical habitats and fluvial hydromorphology: A new system for the survey 498 classification of geomorphic 499 and river units. Geomorphology 283, 143-157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.01.032 500
- Belmar, O., Velasco, J., Gutiérrez-Cánovas, C., Mellado-Díaz, A., Millán, A., Wood, P.J., 2013. The 501
- 502 influence of natural flow regimes on macroinvertebrate assemblages in a semiarid Mediterranean 503 basin. Ecohydrology 6 (3), 363–379. https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1274
- Belmar, O., Bruno, D., Guareschi, S., Mellado-Díaz, A., Millán, A., Velasco, J., 2019. Functional 504 responses of aquatic macroinvertebrates to flow regulation are shaped by natural flow intermittence
- 506 in Mediterranean streams. Freshw. Biol. 64 (5), 1064–1077. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13289
- Mediterranean insects between and Scandinavian regions? Aquat. Sci. 80. 7. 508

Bonada, N., Dolédec, S., 2017. Does the Tachet trait database report voltinism variability of aquatic

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-017-0554-z 509

505

- Buchner, D., Beermann, A.J., Laini, A., Rolauffs, P., Vitecek, S., Hering, D., Leese, F., 2019. 510
- Analysis of 13,312 benthic invertebrate samples from German streams reveals minor deviations in 511
- ecological status class between abundance and presence/absence data. PLoS ONE 14, e0226547. 512
- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226547 513
- Buffagni, A., Erba, S., 2007. Parte A Metodo di campionamento per i fiumi guadabili, Istituto di 514
- Ricerca sulle Acque del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche. Roma, Italy. 515

- 516 Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., Huyvaert, K.P., 2011. AIC model selection and multimodel 517 inference in behavioral ecology: some background, observations, and comparisons. Behav. Ecol.
- 518 Sociobiol. 65, 23-35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-010-1029-6</u>
- 519 Buss, D.F., Carlisle, D.M., Chon, T.S., Culp, J., Harding, J.S., Keizer-Vlek, H.E., Robinson, W.A.,
- 520 Strachan, S., Thirion, C., Hughes, R.M., 2015. Stream biomonitoring using macroinvertebrates
- around the globe: a comparison of large-scale programs. Environ. Monit. Assess. 187, 4132.
- 522 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-014-4132-8
- 523 Chang, S., Graham, W., Geurink, J., Wanakule, N., Asefa, T., 2018. Evaluation of impacts of future
- 524 climate change and water use scenarios on regional hydrology. Hydrol. Earth. Syst Sci. 22, 4793–
- 525 4813. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-4793-2018
- 526 Chessman, B.C., 2018. Dissolved-oxygen, current and temperature preferences of stream
 527 invertebrates estimated from field distributions: application to assemblage responses to drought.
 528 Hydrobiologia 809, 141–153. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-017-3455-1
- 529 Comte, L., Grantham, T., Ruhi, A., 2021. Human stabilization of river flows is linked with fish
- 530 invasions across the USA. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 30 (3), 725–737. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13258
- 531 Dolédec, S., Lamouroux, N., Fuchs, U., Mérigoux, S., 2007. Modelling the hydraulic preferences of
- 532 benthic macroinvertebrates in small European streams. Freshw. Biol. 52 (1), 145–164.
- 533 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01663.x</u>
- 534 Doretto, A., Bo, T., Bona, F., Apostolo, M., Bonetto, D., Fenoglio, S., 2019. Effectiveness of artificial
- floods for benthic community recovery after sediment flushing from a dam. Environ. Monit. Assess.
- 536 191, 88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-7232-7</u>
- 537 Dudgeon, D., 2019. Multiple threats imperil freshwater biodiversity in the Anthropocene. Curr. Biol.
- 538 29 (19), R960–R967. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.002
- 539 Extence, C.A., Balbi, D.M., Chadd, R.P. (1999) River flow indexing using British benthic
- 540 macroinvertebrates: a framework for setting hydroecological objectives. Regul. Rivers Res. Manage.

- 541 15 (6), 545–574. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1646(199911/12)15:6<545::AID-</u>
 542 RRR561>3.0.CO;2-W
- 543 Friberg, N., Bonada, N., Bradley, D.C., Dunbar, M.J., Edwards, F.K., Grey, J., Hayes, R.B., Hildrew,
- A.G., Lamouroux, N., Trimmer, M., Woodward, G., 2011. Adv. Ecol. Res. 44, 1–68.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374794-5.00001-8
- 546 Greenwood, M.J., Booker, D.J., Smith, B.J., Winterbourn, M.J., 2016. A hydrologically sensitive
- 547 invertebrate community index for New Zealand rivers. Ecol. Indic. 61 (2), 1000–1010.
 548 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.10.058
- 549 Grill G, Lehner B, Thieme M, Geenen, B., Tickner, F., Antonelli, F., Babu, S., Borrelli, P., Cheng,
- 550 L., Crochetiere, H., Ehalt Macedo, H., Filgueiras, R., Goichot, M., Higgins, J., Hogan, Z., Lip, B.,
- 551 McClaini, M.E., Meng, J., Mulligan, M., Nilsson, C., Olden, J.D., Opperman, J.J., Petry, P., Reidy
- 552 Liermann, C., Sáenz, L., Salinas-Rodríguez, S., Schelle, P., Schmitt, R.J.P., Snider, J., Tan, F.,
- 553 Tockner, K., Valdujo, P.H., van Soesbergen, A., Zarfl, C., 2019. Mapping the world's free-flowing
- 554 rivers. Nature 569, 215–221. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1111-9</u>
- 555 Guareschi, S., Laini, A., Racchetti, E., Bo, T., Fenoglio, S., Bartoli, M., 2014. How do
- 556 hydromorphological constraints and regulated flows govern macroinvertebrate communities along an
- 557 entire lowland river? Ecohydrology 7 (2), 366–377. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1354</u>
- Hamilton, A.T., Schäfer, R.B., Pyne, M.I., Chessman, B., Kakouei, K., Boersma, K.S., Verdonschot,
- 559 P.F.M., Verdonschot, R.C.M., Mims, M., Khamis, K., Bierwagen, B., Stamp, J., 2020. Limitations of
- 560 trait-based approaches for stressor assessment: The case of freshwater invertebrates and climate
- 561 drivers. Glob. Chang. Biol. 26 (2), 364–379. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14846</u>
- 562 Karaouzas, I., Theodoropoulos, C., Vourka, A., Gritzalis, K., Skoulikidis, N.T., 2019. Stream
- 563 invertebrate communities are primarily shaped by hydrological factors and ultimately fine-tuned by
- 564 local habitat conditions. Sci. Total Environ. 665, 290–299.
- 565 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.134</u>

- Krajenbrink, H.J., Acreman, M., Dunbar, M.J., Hannah, D.M., Laize, C.L., Wood, P.J., 2019.
 Macroinvertebrate community responses to river impoundment at multiple spatial scales. Sci. Total
 Environ. 650, 2648-2656. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.264</u>
- 569 Kunz, S., Kefford, B.J., Schmidt-Kloiber, A., Matthaei, C.D., Usseglio-Polatera, P., Graf, W., Poff,
- 570 N.L., Metzeling, L., Twardochleb, L., Hawkins, C.P., Schäfer, R.B., 2021. Tackling inconsistencies
- among freshwater invertebrate trait databases: harmonising across continents and aggregating
 taxonomic resolution. Freshw. Biol. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13840
- 573 Laini, A., Viaroli, P., Bolpagni, R., Cancellario, T., Racchetti, E., Guareschi, S., 2019. Taxonomic
- and Functional Responses of Benthic Macroinvertebrate Communities to Hydrological and Water
- 575 Quality Variations in a Heavily Regulated River. Water 11 (7), 1478. 576 https://doi.org/10.3390/w11071478
- Lancaster, J., Downes, B.J. 2010. Linking the hydraulic world of individual organisms to ecological processes: Putting ecology into ecohydraulics. River Res. and Appl. 26 (4), 385–403.
- 579 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1274</u>
- 580 Mellado-Díaz, A., Sánchez-González, J.R., Guareschi, S., Magdaleno, F., Velasco, M.T., 2019.
- 581 Exploring longitudinal trends and recovery gradients in macroinvertebrate communities and
- biomonitoring tools along regulated rivers. Sci. Total Environ. 695, 133774.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133774
- 584 Mellado-Díaz, A.M., Alonso, M.L.S., Vidal-Abarca Gutiérrez, M.R., 2008, Biological traits of stream
- 585 macroinvertebrates from a semi-arid catchment: patterns along complex environmental gradients.
- 586 Freshw. Biol. 53 (1), 1–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2007.01854.x</u>
- Mims, M.C., Olden, J.D., 2012. Life history theory predicts fish assemblage response to hydrologic
 regimes. Ecology 93(1), 35–45. https://doi.org/10.1890/11-0370.1
- 589 Mondy, C.P., Usseglio-Polatera, P., 2014. Using fuzzy-coded traits to elucidate the non-random role
- of anthropogenic stress in the functional homogenisation of invertebrate assemblages. Freshw. Biol.
- 591 59 (3), 584–600. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12289</u>

- 592 Mouillot, D., Graham, N.A.J., Villéger, S., Mason, M.H.W., Bellwood, D.R., 2013. A functional
- approach reveals community responses to disturbances. Trends Ecol. Evol. 28 (3), 167–177.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.10.004
- 595 Nakagawa, S., Schielzeth, H., 2013. A general and simple method for obtaining R2 from generalized
- 596 linear mixed-effects models. Methods Ecol. Evol. 4 (2), 133–142. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-</u>

597 <u>210x.2012.00261.x</u>

- 598 Parasiewicz, P., Rogers, J.N., Vezza, P., Gortázar, J., Seager, T., Pegg, M., Wiśniewolski, W.,
- Comoglio, C., 2013. Applications of the MesoHABSIM Simulation Model. In: Ecohydraulics. John
 Wiley & Sons, Ltd, pp 109–124
- Poikane, S., Herrero, F. S., Kelly, M. G., Borja, A., Birk, S., van de Bund, W., 2020. European aquatic
- 602 ecological assessment methods: A critical review of their sensitivity to key pressures. Sci. Total
- 603 Environ. 740, 140075. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140075</u>
- Poff, N.L., Olden, J.D., Vieira, N.K.M., Finn, D.S., Simmons, M.P., Kondratieff, B.C., 2006.
- Functional trait niches of North American lotic insects: traits-based ecological applications in light of phylogenetic relationships. J. North Am. Benthol. Soc. 25 (4), 730–755.
- 607 <u>https://doi.org/10.1899/0887-3593(2006)025[0730:FTNONA]2.0.CO;2</u>
- 608 Poff, N.L., 2018. Beyond the natural flow regime? Broadening the hydro-ecological foundation to
- meet environmental flows challenges in a non-stationary world. Freshw. Biol., 63 (8), 1011-1021.
- 610 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13038</u>
- 611 Prudhomme, C., Giuntoli, I., Robinson, E.L., Clark, D.B., Arnell, N.W., Dankers, R., Fekete, B.M.,
- Franssen, W., Gerten, D., Gosling, S.N., Hagemann, S., Hannah, D.M., Kim, H., Masaki, Y., Satoh,
- 613 Y., Stacke, T., Wada, Y., Wisser, D., 2014. Hydrological droughts in the 21st century, hotspots and
- uncertainties from a global multimodel ensemble experiment. PNAS 111 (9), 3262-3267.
- 615 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1222473110

- 616 Quesada-Alvarado, F., Umaña-Villalobos, G., Springer, M., Picado-Barboza, J., 2020. Classification
- of aquatic macroinvertebrates in flow categories for the adjustment of the LIFE Index to Costa Rican
- 618 rivers. Ecohydrology & Hydrobiology, 21 (2), 368–376.
 619 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecohyd.2020.08.005
- R Core Team, 2020. A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.
- Richter, B., Baumgartner, J., Wigington, R., Braun, D., 1997. How much water does a river need?
 Freshw. Biol. 37 (1), 231–249. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.1997.00153.x
- Rolls, R.J., Heino, J., Ryder, D.S., Chessman, B.C., Growns, I.O., Thompson, R.M., Gido, K.B.,
- 625 2018. Scaling biodiversity responses to hydrological regimes. Biol. Rev. 93 (2), 971–995.
- 626 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12381</u>
- 627 Richoux, P., 1994. Theoretical habitat templets, species traits and species richness: aquatic Coleoptera
- 628 in the Upper Rhône River and its floodplain. Freshw. Biol. 31 (3), 377-395.
- 629 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1994.tb01747.x</u>
- 630 Rue, H., Martino, S., Chopin, N. 2009. Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models
- by using integrated nested Laplace approximations. J. R. Stat. Soc. Series B Stat. Methodol. 71 (2),
- 632 319–392. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2008.00700.x
- Ruhi, A., Dong, X., McDaniel, C.H., Batzer, D.P., Sabo, J.L., 2018. Detrimental effects of a novel
- flow regime on the functional trajectory of an aquatic invertebrate metacommunity. Glob. Chang.
- 635 Biol. 24 (8), 3749–3765. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14133</u>
- 636 Schmidt-Kloiber, A., Hering, D., 2015. www.freshwaterecology.info An online tool that unifies,
- 637 standardises and codifies more than 20,000 European freshwater organisms and their ecological
- 638 preferences. Ecol. Indic. 53, 271–282. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.02.007</u>
- 639 Spiegelhalter, D.J., Best, N.G., Carlin, B.P., Linde, A.V.D., 2002. Bayesian measures of model
- 640 complexity and fit. J. R. Stat. Soc. Series B Stat. Methodol. 64 (4), 583-639.
- 641 https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9868.00353

- 642 Statzner, B., Holm, T.F., 1989. Morphological adaptation of shape to flow: Microcurrents around
- 643 lotic macroinvertebrates with known Reynolds numbers at quasi-natural flow conditions. Oecologia

644 78, 145–157. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00377150</u>

- 645 Suter, G.W., Cormier, S.M., 2015. Why care about aquatic insects: Uses, benefits, and services.
- 646 Integr. Environ. Assess. Manag. 11 (2), 188–194. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.1600</u>
- Tachet, H., Richoux, P., Bournaud, M., Usseglio-Polatera, P., 2010. Invertébrés d'eau douce:
 Systématique, biologie, écologie, édition revue et augmentée. CNRS EDITIONS, Paris.
- 649 Theodoropoulos, C., Karaouzas, I., Stubbington, R., 2021. Biotic indices of hydrological variability
- as tools to inform dynamic ecological status assessments in river ecosystems. J. Environ. Manage.
- 651 295, 113124. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113124</u>
- Theodoropoulos, C., Karaouzas, I., Vourka, A., Skoulikidis, N., 2020. ELF A benthic
 macroinvertebrate multi-metric index for the assessment and classification of hydrological alteration
 in rivers. Ecol. Indic. 108, 105713. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105713
- Tickner, D., Opperman, J.J., Abell, R., Acreman, M., Arthington, A.H., Bunn, S.E., Cooke, S.J.,
- Dalton, J., Darwall, W., Edwards, G., Harrison, K., Hughes, K., Jones, T., Leclère, D., Lynch, A.J.,
- 657 Leonard, P., McClain, M.E., Muruven, D., Olden, J.D., Ormerod, S.J., Robinson, J., Tharme, R.E.,
- 658 Thieme, M., Tockner, K., Wright, M., Young, L., 2020. Bending the Curve of Global Freshwater
- Biodiversity Loss: An Emergency Recovery Plan. BioScience 70 (4), 330–342.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa002
- Timm, H., Käiro, K., Möls, T., Virro, T. 2011. An index to assess hydromorphological quality of
- 662 Estonian surface waters based on macroinvertebrate taxonomic composition. Limnologica 41 (4),
- 663 398–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2011.09.006
- Tockner, K., Pusch, M., Borchardt, D., Lorang, M.S. 2010. Multiple stressors in coupled river–
 floodplain ecosystems. Freshw. Biol. 55 (1), 135–151. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-</u>
 2427.2009.02371.x

667	Tonkin JD, Merritt DM, Olden JD, et al (2018) Flow regime alteration degrades ecological networks
668	in riparian ecosystems. Nature Ecology & Evolution 2:86-93. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-
669	<u>0379-0</u>

- 670 Usseglio-Polatera, P., Bournaud, M., Richoux, P., Tachet H., 2000a. Biological and ecological traits
- of benthic freshwater macroinvertebrates: relationships and definition of groups with similar traits.
- 672 Freshw. Biol. 43 (2), 175–205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.2000.00535.x</u>
- 673
- Usseglio-Polatera, P., Bournaud, M., Richoux, P., Tachet, H. 2000b. Biomonitoring through
 biological traits of benthic macroinvertebrates: how to use species trait databases? In: Jungwirth M.,
 Muhar S., Schmutz S. (eds) Assessing the Ecological Integrity of Running Waters. Developments in
- 677 Hydrobiology, vol 149. Springer, Dordrecht. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4164-2_12</u>
- Ventrucci, M., Cocchi, D., Burgazzi, G., Laini, A. 2020. PC priors for residual correlation parameters
 in one-factor mixed models. Stat. Methods Appl. 29 (4),745–765. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10260-</u>
 019-00501-w
- Verberk, W.C.E.P., van Noordwijk, C.G.E., Hildrew, A.G., 2013. Delivering on a promise:
 integrating species traits to transform descriptive community ecology into a predictive science.
 Freshw. Sci. 32 (2), 531–547. https://doi.org/10.1899/12-092.1
- 684 Vezza., P., Zanin, A., Parasiewicz, P., 2017. Manuale tecnico-operativo per la modellazione e la
- valutazione dell'integrità dell'habitat fluvial. ISPRA Manuali e Linee Guida154/2017, Roma
- 686 Water Development Department, 2006. Tender for supplying services for sampling from river water
- bodies for the purpose of participation in the Intercalibration Exercise of European Community.
- 688 White, J.C., Hannah, D.M., House, A., Beatson, S.J.V., Martin, A., Wood, P.J., 2017.
- 689 Macroinvertebrate responses to flow and stream temperature variability across regulated and non-
- 690 regulated rivers. Ecohydrology 10 (1), e1773. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1773</u>

- 691 White, J.C., Fornaroli, R., Hill, M.J., Hannah, D.M., House, A., Colley, I., Perkins, M., Wood, P.J.
- 692 (2021). Long-term river invertebrate community responses to groundwater and surface water
- 693 management operations. Water Res. 189, 116651. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116651</u>
- 694 Wickham, H. 2009. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 695 Wood, P.J., Agnew, M.D., Petts, G.E., 2000. Flow variations and macroinvertebrate community
- responses in a small groundwater-dominated stream in south-east England. Hydrol. Process. 14 (16-
- 697 17), 3133–3147. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1085(200011/12)14:16/17<3133::AID-</u>
 698 HYP138>3.0.CO;2-J
- 699 Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N., Walker, N.J., Saveliev, A.A., Smith, G.M., 2009. Mixed effects models and
- roo extensions in ecology with R. Springer Nature, New York, NY.

Table 1 Different versions of the Flow-T index used in this research. The equation of $Flow-T_{sub}$ is the same of $Flow-T_{pa}$ and $Flow-T_{abu}$ for presence-absence and abundance data but excludes Chironomidae and Oligochaeta from the computation. $Flow-T_{tsi}$ flow velocity preferences are weighted by tsi, calculated according to the reported equation. Weighted flow velocity preferences are standardized to sum 1 for each species prior to the calculation of $Flow-T_{tsi}$. The presenceabsence equation is reported for $Flow-T_{tsi}$ for illustrative purposes, but the abundance-based equation can also be used.

ACRONYM	MEANING	EQUATION			
Flow-T _{pa}	Presence-absence data using all	$Flow - T_{pa} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} (m_{i} + f_{i})}{n} \times 100$			
-	the taxa receiving a score.				
Flow-T _{abu}	Abundance data using all the	$Flow - T_{abu} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \log A_{i} \times (m_{i} + f_{i})}{\sum_{i}^{n} \log A_{i}} \times 100$			
	taxa receiving a score.				
Flow-T _{sub}	Excluding Chironomidae and	-			
	Oligochaeta.				
Flow-T _{tsi}	More weight assigned to flow-	$Flow - T_{tsi} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} (m_i + f_i) * tsi_i}{n} \times 100; \ tsi = \frac{\sum_{i}^{k} F_k \times \frac{1}{k}}{1 - \frac{1}{k}}$			
	specialist taxa.	ĸ			

n = number of taxa in a sample; Ai = abundance of the ith taxon; mi and fi = "moderate" and "fast" velocity preference classes of the ith taxon according to Tachet et al. (2010); k is the number of flow velocity classes; F the kth scores transformed to relative frequencies for the ith taxon.

Table 2. Dataset used in this work with an indication of the sample size, taxonomic resolution, available metadata and the type of analysis performed on each dataset (N = number of samples).

COUNTRY	TIME PERIOD	Ν	TAXONOMIC LEVEL	METADATA	ANALYSIS
	1986-1997	133			Correlation with the LIFE index,
IIV			Fourily unived level		Sensitivity of family level index
UK	1986-2019	285	Family, mixed-level	-	to random selection of finer
					taxonomic levels
					Correlation with the LIFE index,
	2005-2018	872	Family	mesohabitat	Sensitivity of family level index
CVDDLIC					to random selection of finer
CYPRUS					taxonomic levels,
					Mixed model of index vs
					mesohabitat_1
ITALY	2020	180	Family, mixed-level		Correlation with the LIFE index,

	Sensitivity of family level index
	to random selection of finer
masshahitat	taxonomic levels,
mesonaonat,	Mixed model of index vs
velocity	mesohabitat_1 and
velocity	mesohabitat_2,
	Mixed model of index vs
	mesohabitat*current velocity

*mesohabitat_1 refers to the subdivision of mesohabitat in riffles and pools used for biomonitoring in Italy and Cyprus. Mesohabitat_2 refers to the subdivision in mesohabitat according to Belletti et al. (2017), frequently used in habitat modelling.

Table 3 Correlation between LIFE and flow-T index for each dataset and typology (pa = presenceabsence, abu = abundance; sub = reduced Flow-Tl, tsi = weighted flow-T). Data are shown for family level information.

Index	UK	Cyprus	Italy	
Flow-T _{pa}	0.86	0.46	0.73	
Flow-T _{abu}	0.96	0.72	0.90	
$Flow-T_{sub_pa}$	0.87	0.52	0.82	
$Flow-T_{sub_abu}$	0.96	0.73	0.89	
$Flow\text{-}T_{tsi_pa}$	0.54	0.23	0.51	
$Flow\text{-}T_{tsi_abu}$	0.86	0.40	0.68	

Table 4: R^2 of the mixed model analysis performed using LIFE and the two versions of Flow-T as dependent variables and mesohabitat, velocity and their interaction as independent variables for the Italian dataset. LIFE was calculated at family level while Flow-T at both family and mixed taxonomic level for presence absence (pa) and abundance (abu) data. Both median values and credible intervals are reported, together with the Deviance Information Criteria (DIC) for the models with and without interaction and their differences (Δ DIC). See main text and S2 for further results about Cyprus and other versions of Flow-T.

Index	Taxonomic level	R2 _{m_median}	R2 _{m_ci}	$R2_{c_median}$	R2 _{c_ci}	DICinteraction	DICadditive	Δ DIC
LIFE	Family	0.54	0.21-0.63	0.66	0.58-0.86	207.8	222.6	14.8
$Flow-T_{pa}$	Family	0.49	0.42-0.56	0.50	0.42-0.56	1197.5	1205.2	7.7
Flow-T _{abu}	Family	0.67	0.61-0.71	0.70	0.65-0.74	1200.9	1218.8	17.9
Flow-T _{pa}	Mixed	0.74	0.70-0.77	0.77	0.72-0.79	1294.0	1333.8	39.8
Flow-T _{abu}	Mixed	0.74	0.70-0.77	0.76	0.72-0.79	1237.9	1266.1	28.2

FIGURE CAPTION

Fig. 1. Relationship between LIFE values and both Flow-T based on the UK using the original dataset from 1986 to 1997.

Fig. 2. Median effect of mesohabitat in LIFE and both Flow-T indices for the Cyprus dataset. Both standardized (left y-axis) and raw (right y axis) of the indices are displayed to ease the comparison among indices. Bayesian credible intervals are also displayed.

Fig. 3. a) Relationships of the LIFE and Flow-T indices with flow velocity for the Italian dataset (pa = presence-absence, abu = abundance). The trend line was computed with a loess function and the grey band represents the 95% confidence intervals; b) boxplot of the association between LIFE and Flow-T indices and mesohabitats for the same dataset (i_p= isolated pond, b=backwater, p=pool, g=glide, r=riffle). Boxplots report median and interquartile ranges and the whiskers represent the maximum/minimum value of the data that lie within 1.5 times the interquartile range. Box plots with the same letter indicate that no significant difference between groups were recorded according to a model with mesohabitat as a fixed effect and collection site as a random effect. Statistical significance was determined via credible intervals: full details of the analysis are reported in supplementary material 8.