

Serious Game with Electromyography Feedback and Physical Therapy in Young Children with Unilateral Spastic Cerebral Palsy and Equinus Gait: A Prospective Open-Label Study

*Original*

Serious Game with Electromyography Feedback and Physical Therapy in Young Children with Unilateral Spastic Cerebral Palsy and Equinus Gait: A Prospective Open-Label Study / Boulay, Christophe; Gracies, Jean-Michel; Garcia, Lauren; Authier, Guillaume; Ulian, Alexis; Pradines, Maud; Martins, Taian; Pinto, Talita; Gazzoni, Marco; Desnous, Béatrice; Parratte, Bernard; Pesenti, Sébastien. - In: SENSORS. - ISSN 1424-8220. - ELETTRONICO. - 24:5(2024). [10.3390/s24051513]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11583/2994027 since: 2024-10-31T11:04:19Z

*Publisher:*

MDPI

*Published*

DOI:10.3390/s24051513

*Terms of use:*




This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

(Article begins on next page)

## Article

# Serious Game with Electromyography Feedback and Physical Therapy in Young Children with Unilateral Spastic Cerebral Palsy and Equinus Gait: A Prospective Open-Label Study

Christophe Boulay <sup>1,2,\*</sup> , Jean-Michel Gracies <sup>3,4</sup>, Lauren Garcia <sup>1,2</sup>, Guillaume Authier <sup>1,2</sup>, Alexis Ulian <sup>1,2</sup>, Maud Pradines <sup>3,4</sup>, Taian Martins Vieira <sup>5,6</sup>, Talita Pinto <sup>4,7</sup> , Marco Gazzoni <sup>5,6</sup>, Béatrice Desnous <sup>8</sup>, Bernard Parratte <sup>1</sup> and Sébastien Pesenti <sup>1,2</sup> 

- <sup>1</sup> Gait Laboratory, Pediatric Orthopaedic Surgery Department, Timone Children Hospital, 13385 Marseille, France; lauren.garcia0204@gmail.com (L.G.); guillaume.authier@ap-hm.fr (G.A.); alexis.ulian@ap-hm.fr (A.U.); bernard.parratte@gmail.com (B.P.); sebastien.pesenti@ap-hm.fr (S.P.)
- <sup>2</sup> Aix-Marseille University, CNRS, ISM UMR 7287, 13284 Marseille, France
- <sup>3</sup> AP-HP, Service de Rééducation Neurolocomotrice, Unité de Neurorééducation, Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor, F-94010 Créteil, France; jean-michel.gracies@aphp.fr (J.-M.G.); maudprad@gmail.com (M.P.)
- <sup>4</sup> UR 7377 BIOTN, Laboratoire Analyse et Restauration du Mouvement, Université Paris Est Créteil (UPEC), F-94000 Créteil, France; talitappinto@gmail.com
- <sup>5</sup> Laboratory for Engineering of the Neuromuscular System (LISiN), Department of Electronics and Telecommunication, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Turin, Italy; taian.martins@polito.it (T.M.V.); marco.gazzoni@polito.it (M.G.)
- <sup>6</sup> PoliToBIOMed Laboratory, Department of Electronics and Telecommunications, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Turin, Italy
- <sup>7</sup> Instituto D'Or de Pesquisa e Ensino (IDOR), Rio de Janeiro 22281-100, Brazil
- <sup>8</sup> Pediatric Neurology Department, Timone Children Hospital, 13005 Marseille, France; beatrice.desnous@ap-hm.fr
- \* Correspondence: christophe.boulay@ap-hm.fr or christophe.boulay@ap-hm.com; Tel.: +33-491-386-697



**Citation:** Boulay, C.; Gracies, J.-M.; Garcia, L.; Authier, G.; Ulian, A.; Pradines, M.; Vieira, T.M.; Pinto, T.; Gazzoni, M.; Desnous, B.; et al. Serious Game with Electromyography Feedback and Physical Therapy in Young Children with Unilateral Spastic Cerebral Palsy and Equinus Gait: A Prospective Open-Label Study. *Sensors* **2024**, *24*, 1513. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s24051513>

Academic Editor: Carlo Ricciardi

Received: 21 December 2023

Revised: 1 February 2024

Accepted: 21 February 2024

Published: 26 February 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Abstract:** The clinical effects of a serious game with electromyography feedback (EMGs\_SG) and physical therapy (PT) was investigated prospectively in children with unilateral spastic cerebral palsy (USCP). An additional aim was to better understand the influence of muscle shortening on function. Thirty children with USCP (age  $7.6 \pm 2.1$  years) received four weeks of EMGs\_SG sessions  $2 \times / \text{week}$  including repetitive, active alternating training of dorsi- and plantar flexors in a seated position. In addition, each child received usual PT treatment  $\leq 2 \times / \text{week}$ , involving plantar flexor stretching and command strengthening on dorsi- and plantar flexors. Five-Step Assessment parameters, including preferred gait velocity (normalized by height); plantar flexor extensibility (XV1); angle of catch (XV3); maximal active ankle dorsiflexion (XA); and derived coefficients of shortening, spasticity, and weakness for both soleus and gastrosoleus complex (GSC) were compared pre and post treatment ( $t$ -tests). Correlations were explored between the various coefficients and gait velocities at baseline. After four weeks of EMGs\_SG + PT, there was an increase in normalized gait velocity from  $0.72 \pm 0.13$  to  $0.77 \pm 0.13$  m/s ( $p = 0.025$ ,  $d = 0.43$ ), a decrease in coefficients of shortening (soleus,  $0.10 \pm 0.07$  pre vs.  $0.07 \pm 0.08$  post,  $p = 0.004$ ,  $d = 0.57$ ; GSC  $0.16 \pm 0.08$  vs.  $0.13 \pm 0.08$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ,  $d = 0.58$ ), spasticity (soleus  $0.14 \pm 0.06$  vs.  $0.12 \pm 0.07$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ,  $d = 0.46$ ), and weakness (soleus  $0.14 \pm 0.07$  vs.  $0.11 \pm 0.07$ ,  $p = 0.005$ ,  $d = 0.55$ ). At baseline, normalized gait velocity correlated with the coefficient of GSC shortening ( $R = -0.43$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ). Four weeks of EMGs\_SG and PT were associated with improved gait velocity and decreased plantar flexor shortening. A randomized controlled trial comparing EMGs\_SG and conventional PT is needed.

**Keywords:** children with cerebral palsy; serious game; gait velocity; five-step assessment; coefficients of impairment; equinus gait

## 1. Introduction

Infant paresis, also known as ‘cerebral palsy’ (CP), is a developmental neurological and muscular disorder due to early non-progressive brain injury, leading, among other consequences, to spinal cord development disorder [1,2]. Motor impairment limits activities, participation, and quality of life, particularly linked with decreased gait velocity [3,4]. The prevalence of CP has remained stable at around 2.5 per 1000 births [5] in western countries, with a trend towards a relative increase in unilateral disorders (unilateral spastic cerebral palsy, USCP) [6,7]. Children often present with equinus gait [8–10], which is attributed to reduced extensibility and overactivity of ankle plantar flexors together with weakness of command to dorsiflexors [11,12] (Figure S1).

A syndrome of deforming spastic paresis develops in USCP, which includes a muscle disorder, *spastic myopathy*, clinically manifested by muscle extensibility loss, and a neural disorder comprising overactivity in antagonists (spastic dystonia, spastic cocontraction, and spasticity) and weakness (stretch-sensitive paresis) in agonists [11–14]. The entanglement of the muscle and the neurological disorders leads to dysregulation between agonist and antagonist muscle activation.

The Five-Step Assessment (FSA) has been developed in this context as a stepwise method to *clinically quantify* spastic paresis using measurements of antagonist stretches and ranges of motion in degrees, based on the concept of resisting antagonists as the main cause of motor impairment [11,12,15–18]. From  $X_{V1}$  (*angle of arrest* upon slow and strong stretch of the tested antagonist, i.e., maximal clinical extensibility of the tested antagonist, examined at rest),  $X_{V3}$  (*angle of catch* of the antagonist upon fast stretch, examined at rest, i.e., threshold of the stretch reflex of the antagonist), and  $X_A$  (*angle of match* between agonist-induced torque and antagonist resistances, i.e., maximal range of active motion against the resistance of the antagonist), coefficients of impairment have been derived, including coefficients of shortening ( $C_{SH}$ ), spasticity ( $C_{SP}$ ), and weakness ( $C_w$ ) (see Section 2). These coefficients provide estimates of the respective contributions of the muscular and neural disorders in deforming spastic paresis [19–21]. The relevance of these coefficients has not been documented in children with USCP.

Physical therapy (PT) plays the central role in the treatment of motor impairment following stroke among adults [22–24] and children [25,26]. Early child active motor learning interventions appear to improve movement and cognition compared to passive approaches in children with CP [26]. Yet, the optimal techniques remain uncertain [27]. Novak et al. [26] have reported on the low quality of evidence for some of the interventions used, such as massage or stretching. Another common limitation of PT management when using long rehabilitation programs is that children drop out, with this treatment depending on children’s and parents’ availability and willingness to attend PT sessions.

Biofeedback strategies represent an area of current intervention and research in children with CP, although lower limb studies with EMG biofeedback have been scarce [26,28]. In the past 20 years, a wide range of game-oriented interventions has emerged for the rehabilitation of children with CP, widely known as serious games [29]. Gamifying EMG biofeedback rather than presenting it with conventional acoustic or visual means [30] aimed to increase the playfulness of interventions so as to keep children better motivated [29,31]. Motivation and attention are indeed vital modulators for rehabilitation compliance and neuroplasticity [26]. Although effective [32,33], commercially available serious games for children with CP have mainly focused on the use of movement data; none seemed to directly use a key source of motor impairment, i.e., inappropriate muscle activity, using EMG-based serious games (EMGs\_SG) [11]. EMGs\_SG may provide therapists with ongoing data on agonist and antagonist recruitment while using repetitive alternating movement training in particular [34,35].

In this study, we used supervised EMGs\_SG in combination with in-hospital PT to control treatment adherence and progress while prompting repetitive alternating movement training in young children with USCP [36,37]. The hypothesis was that the combination of EMGs\_SG and PT in USCP children might improve gait function by decreasing plantar flexor shortening and overactivity as measured by the FSA [30,38].

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Study Design

This prospective interventional open-label study was approved by the national ethics committee (2018-A00831-54) and was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All parents and children gave written informed consent before participation.


### 2.2. Study Subjects

Children with USCP under care at a university children's hospital were prospectively screened between July 2019 and April 2021 for the following eligibility criteria: age 4–10, equinus gait pattern (equinovarus, equinovalgus), Level I or II on the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) [39], and sufficient cognition to understand and play the EMGs\_SG. Children were excluded if they had received surgery or focal administration of botulinum toxin within the past 6 months, showed severe gastrosoleus complex (GSC) contracture (maximum passive dorsiflexion, knee extended,  $X_{V1-GSC} < 70^\circ$ , i.e., less than  $-20^\circ$  of dorsiflexion), or presented with a leg length difference  $> 1$  cm.

### 2.3. Intervention

All participants received EMGs\_SG treatment sessions twice a week over four weeks. EMGs\_SG treatment was applied by the same therapist, with each game session lasting 30 min. During the four weeks, children also participated in their usual PT outside of the neurorehabilitation center in community-based PT offices. PT treatment of equinus consisted of PT sessions once or twice a week, outside the EMGs\_SG sessions days, comprising a physiotherapy program including plantar flexor stretching coupled with strengthening of tibialis anterior (TA) and plantar flexors.

All participants were evaluated at baseline and after the four weeks of EMG\_SG and PT treatment (Figure 1). Evaluations were carried out by the same therapists with over 15 years of experience in the assessment of children with CP.



**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of patients throughout the course of the study.

#### 2.4. Serious Game Using EMG Biofeedback

The EMGs\_SG by EMG biofeedback used here involves recording muscle activity in the paretic lower limb and transcribing it live on screen, offering children visual feedback on their muscle activities. EMGs\_SG training is a platform game in which participants can control the movements of a game character modulated according to the amplitude of surface EMGs. EMGs amplitudes (microV) reflect motor unit recruitment. Surface EMG electrodes (12 mm diameter, Ambu, Ballerup, Denmark) were placed on TA and gastrocnemius medialis (GM) muscles after cleaning the skin (Nuprep, Norwalk, CA, USA) and were connected via Bluetooth to an amplifier (DuePro, OT Bioelettronica in Italy). Children played in seated position, knee flexed, and were requested to move a game character (bee or helicopter) up and down to hit as many targets (flowers or stars) as possible by activating dorsiflexors or plantar flexors (see EMGs\_SG video applications in the Supplementary Materials). Feedback was delivered through a combination of audio and visual rewards during the entire game depending on TA and GM electromyographic activities. EMGs\_SG focused the attention on the performance correlated with the proportion to the targets reached (flowers or stars) [28]. The difficulty level and EMG feedback were calibrated for each child to their maximum voluntary contraction of each muscle (TA/GM), measured in the knee flexed position before each session. Difficulty was kept constant across sessions by varying the target position from 15% to 95% of the screen height, depending on the performance of each participant. Five minutes of familiarization were provided before the start of the EMGs\_SG session. Each EMGs\_SG session (30 min) randomly involved 10 min of required ankle dorsiflexor activation (agonists), 10 min of required plantar flexor activation (antagonists), and 10 min of required alternating dorsi- and plantar flexor activation.

#### 2.5. Outcome Measure-Quantified Clinical Evaluation

Clinical assessment used the first three technical steps of the Five-Step Assessment (FSA) [16] involving angle measurements of  $X_{V1}$ ,  $X_{V3}$ , and  $X_A$  for soleus and GSC muscles. Measurements utilized a goniometer with the participant in a relaxed supine position, knee flexed to assess soleus and knee extended to assess GSC. The range of passive ankle dorsiflexion (angle of arrest  $X_{V1}$ ) was measured using the slowest and strongest possible stretch to move the ankle segment as far up as possible, which provided information on the maximal clinical extensibility of primarily the muscle tissue [40].  $X_{V3}$ , the angle of catch, was measured by applying the fastest possible plantar flexor stretch, providing information on the stretch reflex threshold.  $X_A$ , the maximal range of active ankle dorsiflexion, was the angle of match between maximal agonist effort and associated antagonist resistances (active through cocontraction and passive).

#### 2.6. Coefficients of Impairment

Three coefficients of impairment were derived from the  $X_{V1}$ ,  $X_{V3}$ , and  $X_A$  parameters for each of soleus and GSC.

- The coefficient of shortening ( $C_{SH}$ ) is derived from  $X_{V1}$  based on the formula  $C_{SH} = (X_N - X_{V1})/X_N$ .  $X_N$  is the maximal expected physiological range for the joint considered, here defined according to normative values of typically developed children of a similar age range [41]. In this study, for children aged between 4 and 7, the normal reference  $X_N$  for the ankle dorsiflexion was considered to be  $119^\circ$  knee flexed and  $113^\circ$  knee extended, while for children between 8 and 11, it was considered to be  $117^\circ$  knee flexed and  $112^\circ$  knee extended [41].
- The coefficient of spasticity ( $C_{SP}$ ) is defined by the ratio  $C_{SP} = (X_{V1} - X_{V3})/X_{V1}$ .  $C_{SP}$  evaluates spasticity, taking into account the maximal clinical extensibility of the tested muscle.
- The coefficient of weakness ( $C_W$ ) is defined by the ratio  $C_W = (X_{V1} - X_A)/X_{V1}$  to estimate the impairment of active command, once taking maximal passive extensibility

of the antagonist into account [19]. The intra- and inter-rater reliabilities of  $C_{SH}$ ,  $C_{SP}$ , and  $C_w$  have been previously demonstrated [42].

### 2.7. Normalized Gait Velocity

Gait velocity was assessed using an eight-camera system (100 Hz, MxT40, Vicon, Oxford, UK) and a set of 16 reflective markers according to the adapted Plug-in-Gait model. Children walked barefoot over a 10 m walkway at their preferred speed, until 3 to 6 gait cycles were collected. Gait velocity measurements were determined for a representative gait cycle [43]. Normalized gait velocity was calculated to take the subject height into account [44].

### 2.8. Statistical Analysis

Shapiro–Wilk tests were used to test for normal distribution of data ( $p > 0.05$ ). Greenhouse–Geisser estimates of sphericity were used to correct degrees of freedom wherever Mauchly’s test was significant. *t*-tests for paired data were used to compare the clinical parameters ( $X_{V1}$ ,  $X_A$ , and  $X_{V3}$ ), coefficients of impairments, and normalized gait velocity pre and post EMGs\_SG + PT. Univariable regression analyses were carried out to explore correlations between raw values ( $X_{V1}$ ,  $X_{V3}$ , and  $X_A$ ), coefficients of impairments, and the normalized gait velocity at baseline. In the two subgroups below or above the median of coefficient of shortening pre EMGs\_SG + PT, correlations between coefficients of shortening and spasticity were explored. Effect sizes were calculated. Significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . All analyses were performed using Statistical Jasp 0.16.0.0 version software (Flower Mound, TX, USA).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Patients

From the 66 screened children with USCP, 30 met the eligibility criteria. The reasons for ineligibility are provided in the flow chart of patient recruitment (Figure 1). Clinical characteristics before EMGs\_SG intervention are reported in Table 1.


**Table 1.** Clinical characteristics.

Hemiparetic Children ( $n = 30$ )	
Age (years)	data
Sex	7.6 ± 2.0
Weight (kg)	17 M/13 F
Height (m)	26.4 ± 7.1
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	1.25 ± 0.12
Paretic side	16.6 ± 2.1
Gait velocity (m/s)	18 R/12 L
Normalized gait velocity	0.89 ± 0.15

Values expressed as mean ± SD.

### 3.2. Changes in Clinical and Functional Performances

There was a decrease in the coefficient of shortening post EMGs\_SG and PT for both soleus ( $0.10 \pm 0.07$  vs.  $0.07 \pm 0.08$ ,  $p = 0.004$ ,  $d = 0.57$ , pre vs. post) and GSC ( $0.16 \pm 0.08$  vs.  $0.13 \pm 0.08$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ,  $d = 0.58$ ) (Figure 2A, Table 2). The coefficients of spasticity ( $0.14 \pm 0.06$  vs.  $0.12 \pm 0.07$ ,  $p = 0.018$ ,  $d = 0.46$ ) and weakness ( $0.14 \pm 0.07$  vs.  $0.11 \pm 0.07$ ,  $p = 0.005$ ,  $d = 0.55$ ) also decreased for the soleus muscle, whereas no change in the coefficients of spasticity and weakness were observed for GSC (Table 2). Normalized gait velocity increased by 7% ( $0.72 \pm 0.13$  vs.  $0.77 \pm 0.13$ ,  $p = 0.025$ ,  $d = 0.43$ ) (Figure 2B, Table 2).



**Figure 2.** Effects of the intervention (EMGs\_SG and PT) on clinical assessment (A) and on gait velocity (B). \*  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*  $p < 0.01$ . EMGs\_SG and PT, serious game and physical therapy.


**Table 2.** Clinical outcomes.

	Soleus (Knee Flexed)				Gastrosoleus Complex (Knee Extended)			
	Pre EMGs_SG + PT	Post EMGs_SG + PT	$p$	Effect Size	Pre EMGs_SG + PT	Post EMGs_SG + PT	$p$	Effect Size
$X_{V1}$ (°)	108.5 ± 8.4	111.2 ± 9.2	0.004	0.58	96.5 ± 8.7	99.5 ± 8.6	0.002	0.61
$X_{V3}$ (°)	93.0 ± 10.6	98.4 ± 12.5	<0.001	0.74	82.5 ± 9.0	86.5 ± 9.9	0.006	0.54
$X_A$ (°)	93.6 ± 11.3	99.0 ± 10.6	<0.001	0.90	81.6 ± 12.8	86.8 ± 12.9	<0.001	0.83
$C_{SH}$	0.10 ± 0.07	0.07 ± 0.08	0.004	0.57	0.16 ± 0.08	0.13 ± 0.08	0.003	0.583
$C_{SP}$	0.14 ± 0.06	0.12 ± 0.07	0.018	0.46	0.14 ± 0.09	0.13 ± 0.06	0.417	0.150
$C_W$	0.14 ± 0.07	0.11 ± 0.07	0.005	0.55	0.15 ± 0.13	0.13 ± 0.12	0.073	0.340
<b>Normalized gait velocity</b>								
	0.72 ± 0.13	0.77 ± 0.13	0.025	0.43				

$C_{SH}$ , coefficient of shortening;  $C_{SP}$ , coefficient of spasticity;  $C_W$ , coefficient of weakness; EMGs\_SG and PT, serious game and physical therapy;  $X_{V1}$ , maximal passive range of motion against the resistance of the investigated muscle;  $X_{V3}$ , angle of catch by applying stretch of the investigated muscle at the fastest possible velocity for the examiner;  $X_A$ , angle of match between agonist-induced torque and antagonistic resistances, i.e., active range of motion against the resistance of the investigated muscle. Normalized gait velocity was calculated to take the subject height into account.

### 3.3. Relationships between Technical Parameters and Normalized Gait Velocity


At baseline, normalized gait velocity correlated with  $X_{V1}$  of GSC and with the coefficient of shortening of GSC (Figure 3).



**Figure 3.** Baseline relationship between GSC coefficient of shortening and normalized gait velocity. GSC, gastrosoleus complex.

### 3.4. Relationships between Changes in Coefficients

The changes in the coefficient of spasticity of GSC correlated with the changes in the coefficient of shortening of soleus (Figure 4A), a correlation that was stronger in the group with a less extensible soleus at baseline (Figure 4B,C).



**Figure 4.** Relationship between changes in soleus coefficient of shortening coefficient (post minus pre-intervention) and changes in GSC coefficient of spasticity (post minus pre-intervention) for the entire sample (A) for children with a baseline soleus coefficient of shortening below the median ( $\leq 0.08$ ) (B) and for children with a baseline soleus coefficient of shortening above the median ( $> 0.08$ ) (C). GSC, gastrosoleus complex.

## 4. Discussion

This prospective open-label study evaluated the effects of four weeks of two weekly sessions of an EMG-biofeedback-based serious game involving ankle muscle training practiced in seated position combined with PT in children with USCP. We observed positive functional and technical effects, with an increase in normalized gait speed and a decrease in coefficients of shortening, spasticity, and weakness of the soleus as well as a decrease in the coefficient of shortening of GSC. In addition, correlations were shown between soleus lengthening through the four weeks of training and spasticity reduction in GSC.

### 4.1. Impact of EMGs\_SG and PT on Normalized Gait Velocity

EMGs\_SG intervention was adapted to the functional and cognitive performance of each child to maintain a greater level of concentration and to minimize fatigability. In this open-label study of EMGs\_SG and PT, we observed an increase in normalized gait velocity (Figure 2B) reflecting functional improvement, which corroborates prior non-randomized findings by Dursun and colleagues after EMG biofeedback treatment [45].

### 4.2. EMGs\_SG and PT Impact on Muscular and Neurological Disorders

The FSA, an expansion of the Tardieu scale, is a recent tool for quantified clinical measurements in spastic paresis that attempts to clinically estimate each of the muscle and the neurological disorders using specific coefficients of impairment [18,19]. The present study is the first to use these coefficients of impairment in CP children, which represents a novel method to evaluate treatment. Of note, participants in this study constitute a representative sample of children with USCP, i.e., presenting with typical proportions of GMFCS I and II (Table 1) [46]. Coefficients of impairment, particularly the coefficients of shortening [19], provided normalized clinical measurements from the FSA with respect to typical development [41]. In this prospective study, we observed decreased soleus and GSC shortening as well as decreased soleus spasticity and increased active dorsiflexion knee flexed (Table 2). Effect sizes for soleus and GSC  $X_A$  improvements were strong ( $d > 0.80$ ), likely reflecting meaningful balance improvement between agonists and antago-



nists during active dorsiflexion efforts. Coefficients of impairment, derived from the raw measurements of FSA, for soleus showed the same results as the raw  $X_{V1}$ ,  $X_{V3}$ , and  $X_A$  (Table 2, Figure 2A), in contrast with the GSC results, for which the coefficients of spasticity and of weakness did not significantly decrease (Table 2, Figure 2A). This might have to do with the position in which children trained, i.e., the seated position, knee flexed, a position in which gastrocnemius muscles were not under stretch. This may thus reflect selectivity of the effects of muscle training, as well as the importance of stretch to improve active command parameters.

The improved active dorsiflexion ranges after treatment suggest balance improvement between agonists and antagonists: repetitive and particularly *alternating* active dorsiflexion training may lead to decreasing active (spastic cocontraction) and passive resistance (reflected by  $X_{V1}$  or shortening, together with  $X_{V3}$  or spasticity) from plantar flexors, as has been demonstrated in adults after long-term guided self-stretch and active training [47,48]. Such improved regulation between agonists and antagonists after training in alternating efforts has been previously suggested in spastic paresis and may involve restored reciprocal inhibition, as is known from healthy subjects [47,49,50].

#### 4.3. Impact of Lengthening Soleus on the Command of Active Dorsiflexion

The syndrome of deforming spastic paresis in USCP comprises a muscle and a neurological disorder. The muscle disorder (spastic myopathy) involves a loss of extensibility of the muscle–tendon complex [11–13,20,51–57]. The neurological disorder comprises overactivity in antagonists (spastic cocontraction, spastic dystonia and spasticity) and agonist paresis [11–14,58]. In chronic acquired hemiparesis in adults, past a threshold of severity, the muscle disorder may contribute to worsen the neurological disorder by further altering the descending command [20]. Among very young USCP children, the present study may corroborate these findings [20], as the reduction of gastrocnemius spasticity correlates with the decrease in soleus shortening. In the present study, a tipping point may exist where such a relationship is strengthened (Figure 4). Spasticity has been defined as an increase in the velocity-dependent reflexes to phasic stretch, detected and measured at rest [13]. It is known that such stretch reflex hypersensitivity is enhanced when the muscle–tendon complex is contractured, as spindle firing is then enhanced for a given muscle stretch [11,12,20,59–61]. The heteronymous relationship between the decreases in soleus shortening and in gastrocnemius spasticity may involve reduced afferent soleus activity due to decreased intramuscular tension in a lengthened soleus muscle (through the present therapeutic intervention), with a then lesser degree of heteronymous facilitation from soleus afferents to gastrocnemius motor neurons, which might contribute to their decreased spasticity [62,63].

#### 4.4. The Role of Muscle Shortening in Limiting Gait Velocity

Similarly to adults presenting with acquired chronic hemiparesis, GSC shortening seemed to significantly impact gait velocity among our sample (Figure 3). Thus, GSC shortening conditions normalized gait velocity in pre EMGs\_PT (Figure 3), which is not confirmed post EMGs\_PT. This is an argument for the deleterious role of GSC shortening and the value to treat muscle shortening in CP children. Our findings suggest the key role of muscular–aponeurosis complex extensibility on functional performance in children with USCP: we would encourage working on the feasibility of intensive, dynamic, active stretching programs for GSC in the early treatment of paretic children [64,65].

#### 4.5. Study Limitations

This was an open-label, short-term study on a limited number of children. It would have been interesting to monitor ankle *movements* and not just EMG, perhaps even muscle tension during the sessions, thus quantifying the amount of actual muscle stretch obtained through the sessions. Another limitation is the specific EMGs\_SG application used here: EMGs\_SG was performed with children seated knee flexed and thus in a semi-closed

kinetic chain with no stretch imposed on GSC. This may explain the lesser results on GSC. EMGs\_SG application in the *standing* position could have led to children's fatigability and dropouts, but this remains to be tested. An additional limitation is the assessment methodology: the clinical measurements of  $X_{V1}$  mainly assessed passive extensibility, but residual spastic dystonia cannot be completely eliminated (neurological disorder) [20]. Finally, evaluation of the perceived effort in children could have been proposed, as in the Children's Effort Rating Table [66]. Motivation and satisfaction could have been measured [67].

## 5. Conclusions

Our experience with EMGs\_SG is that it is an innovative and an amusing way to improve clinical outcomes and gait velocity in children with an equinus gait. In this group of patients, EMGs\_SG and PT were associated with improvements in ICF (International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health) Activities and Participation and Body Function domains. EMGs\_SG allows children to be the agents of their own treatment and may thus increase their adherence to their rehabilitation program and their motivation. This coaching-based approach could be considered as a home-based rehabilitation program with EMGs\_SG carried out by parents.

Our study provides additional evidence on the benefits of early EMGs biofeedback and PT in children with USCP [26,28,68]. EMGs\_SG can also be adapted to the functional and cognitive performance of each child to maintain concentration levels and avoid fatigue. Here, difficulty levels were adjusted for each child in accordance with their functional performance based on calibration measurements before each session.

Our results support previous evidence of a probable link between repetitive active and alternative training and improved balance between agonists and antagonists [34,69,70], probably mediated by better regulated activation between those muscles [14,71–75]. Our findings also demonstrate the key impact of muscular–aponeurosis complex shortening (muscular aspect of the disorder) on neurological features (cocontraction, spasticity, dystonia, and paresis).

In very young children, this study emphasizes the need to focus on muscle shortening treatment by applying dynamic and active stretching programs on antagonists and by activated descending command with active training.

Our findings also suggest that EMGs\_SG is more efficient than a standard physical therapy care in children with USCP, but further studies are required to confirm these initial findings, including randomized controlled trials comparing the outcomes of EMGs\_SG and standard PT, as well as studies of the impact of botulinum toxin injection and of the importance of the standing position in the serious game in this context.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/s24051513/s1> Figure S1: Illustration of neuro-orthopedic deformities in children with unilateral spastic cerebral palsy (USCP) and equinus gait. Figure S2: Change in shortening coefficient vs. normalized gait velocity. Video S1: Active alternative training. Video S2: Dorsiflexion active training. Video S3: Plantarflexion active training.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, C.B., J.-M.G., B.P., T.M.V., T.P., M.G. and S.P.; methodology, C.B., L.G., M.P., T.M.V., T.P., M.G. and J.-M.G.; software, T.M.V., T.P. and M.G.; validation, C.B., J.-M.G., G.A., L.G., T.M.V., T.P., M.G. and B.P.; formal analysis, C.B., J.-M.G., L.G. and M.P.; investigation, C.B.; resources, C.B. and Assistance Publique—Hôpitaux de Marseille (AP-HM, France); data curation, C.B.; writing—original draft preparation, C.B., J.-M.G., L.G., B.D., T.M.V., T.P. and M.G.; writing—review and editing, C.B., J.-M.G., L.G., B.D., B.P. and S.P.; visualization, C.B., J.-M.G., L.G., G.A. and A.U.; supervision, C.B.; project administration, C.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** Fondation Paralysie Cérébrale (France) and Assistance Publique—Hôpitaux de Marseille (AP-HM, France).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the French National Ethics Committee (2018-A00831-54).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** Study data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Chabrier, S.; Pouyfaucou, M.; Chatelin, A.; Bleyenheuft, Y.; Fluss, J.; Gautheron, V.; Newman, C.J.; Sébire, G.; Van Bogaert, P.; Vuillerot, C.; et al. From Congenial Paralysis to Post-Early Brain Injury Developmental Condition: Where Does Cerebral Palsy Actually Stand? *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* **2020**, *63*, 431–438. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Graham, H.K.; Rosenbaum, P.; Paneth, N.; Dan, B.; Lin, J.-P.; Damiano, D.L.; Becher, J.G.; Gaebler-Spira, D.; Colver, A.; Reddihough, D.S.; et al. Cerebral Palsy. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* **2016**, *2*, 15082. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Moreau, N.G.; Bodkin, A.W.; Bjornson, K.; Hobbs, A.; Soileau, M.; Lahasky, K. Effectiveness of Rehabilitation Interventions to Improve Gait Speed in Children With Cerebral Palsy: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Phys. Ther.* **2016**, *96*, 1938–1954. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Bjornson, K.F.; McLaughlin, J.F. The Measurement of Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQL) in Children with Cerebral Palsy. *Eur. J. Neurol.* **2001**, *8*, 183–193. [[CrossRef](#)]
- McIntyre, S. The Continually Changing Epidemiology of Cerebral Palsy. *Acta Paediatr.* **2018**, *107*, 374–375. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Himmelmann, K.; Uvebrant, P. The Panorama of Cerebral Palsy in Sweden. XI. Changing Patterns in the Birth-Year Period 2003–2006. *Acta Paediatr.* **2014**, *103*, 618–624. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Smithers-Sheedy, H.; McIntyre, S.; Badawi, N.; Goldsmith, S.; Balde, I.; Gibson, C.; Reid, S.; Reddihough, D.; Maloney, E.; Khandaker, G.; et al. *Australian Cerebral Palsy Register Report, 2018*; Cerebral Palsy Alliance: Sydney, NSW, Australia, 2018.
- Kerr Graham, H.; Selber, P. Musculoskeletal Aspects of Cerebral Palsy. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Br.* **2003**, *85*, 157–166. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Miller, F. Ankle Equinus in Cerebral Palsy. In *Cerebral Palsy*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 1–24.
- Perry, J.; Avids, J.R. Gait Analysis: Normal and Pathological Function. *J. Pediatr. Orthop.* **1992**, *12*, 815. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Gracies, J.-M. Pathophysiology of Spastic Paresis. II: Emergence of Muscle Overactivity. *Muscle Nerve* **2005**, *31*, 552–571. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Gracies, J.-M. Pathophysiology of Spastic Paresis. I: Paresis and Soft Tissue Changes. *Muscle Nerve* **2005**, *31*, 535–551. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Baude, M.; Nielsen, J.B.; Gracies, J.-M. The Neurophysiology of Deforming Spastic Paresis: A Revised Taxonomy. *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* **2019**, *62*, 426–430. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Forman, C.R.; Svane, C.; Kruuse, C.; Gracies, J.-M.; Nielsen, J.B.; Lorentzen, J. Sustained Involuntary Muscle Activity in Cerebral Palsy and Stroke: Same Symptom, Diverse Mechanisms. *Brain Commun.* **2019**, *1*, fcz037. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Vinti, M.; Bayle, N.; Hutin, E.; Burke, D.; Gracies, J.-M. Stretch-Sensitive Paresis and Effort Perception in Hemiparesis. *J. Neural Transm.* **2015**, *122*, 1089–1097. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Gracies, J.-M.; Bayle, N.; Vinti, M.; Alkandari, S.; Vu, P.; Loche, C.M.; Colas, C. Five-Step Clinical Assessment in Spastic Paresis. *Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* **2010**, *46*, 411–421. [[PubMed](#)]
- Gracies, J.-M.; Burke, K.; Clegg, N.J.; Browne, R.; Rushing, C.; Fehlings, D.; Matthews, D.; Tilton, A.; Delgado, M.R. Reliability of the Tardieu Scale for Assessing Spasticity in Children with Cerebral Palsy. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **2010**, *91*, 421–428. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Tardieu, G. *IMC: Les Feuillettes de l'infirmité Motrice Cérébrale*; Association Nationale des Infirmités Motrices-Cérébrales: Paris, France, 1969.
- Gracies, J.-M. Coefficients of Impairment in Deforming Spastic Paresis. *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* **2015**, *58*, 173–178. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Pradines, M.; Ghédira, M.; Bignami, B.; Vielotte, J.; Bayle, N.; Marciniak, C.; Burke, D.; Hutin, E.; Gracies, J.-M. Do Muscle Changes Contribute to the Neurological Disorder in Spastic Paresis? *Front. Neurol.* **2022**, *13*, 817229. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Ghédira, M.; Pradines, M.; Mardale, V.; Gracies, J.-M.; Bayle, N.; Hutin, E. Quantified Clinical Measures Linked to Ambulation Speed in Hemiparesis. *Top. Stroke Rehabil.* **2022**, *29*, 411–422. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Khan, F.; Amatya, B.; Bensmail, D.; Yelnik, A. Non-Pharmacological Interventions for Spasticity in Adults: An Overview of Systematic Reviews. *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* **2019**, *62*, 265–273. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Van den Noort, J.C.; Bar-On, L.; Aertbeliën, E.; Bonikowski, M.; Braendvik, S.M.; Broström, E.W.; Buizer, A.I.; Burridge, J.H.; van Campenhout, A.; Dan, B.; et al. European Consensus on the Concepts and Measurement of the Pathophysiological Neuromuscular Responses to Passive Muscle Stretch. *Eur. J. Neurol.* **2017**, *24*, 981–e38. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Santiago, T.; Cosmek, S.; Marsal, C.; Gracies, J.M. Contrat d'autorééducation guidée dans la parésie spastique: Utilisation d'une application pour faciliter les exercices à la maison. *Kinésithérapie Rev.* **2017**, *17*, 84–85. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Jackman, M.; Sakzewski, L.; Morgan, C.; Boyd, R.N.; Brennan, S.E.; Langdon, K.; Toovey, R.A.M.; Greaves, S.; Thorley, M.; Novak, I. Interventions to Improve Physical Function for Children and Young People with Cerebral Palsy: International Clinical Practice Guideline. *Dev. Med. Child. Neurol.* **2022**, *64*, 536–549. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

26. Novak, I.; Morgan, C.; Fahey, M.; Finch-Edmondson, M.; Galea, C.; Hines, A.; Langdon, K.; Namara, M.M.; Paton, M.C.; Popat, H.; et al. State of the Evidence Traffic Lights 2019: Systematic Review of Interventions for Preventing and Treating Children with Cerebral Palsy. *Curr. Neurol. Neurosci. Rep.* **2020**, *20*, 3. [CrossRef]
27. Das, S.P.; Ganesh, G.S. Evidence-Based Approach to Physical Therapy in Cerebral Palsy. *Indian J. Orthop.* **2019**, *53*, 20–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
28. MacIntosh, A.; Lam, E.; Vigneron, V.; Vignais, N.; Biddiss, E. Biofeedback Interventions for Individuals with Cerebral Palsy: A Systematic Review. *Disabil. Rehabil.* **2019**, *41*, 2369–2391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
29. Bonnechère, B.; Jansen, B.; Omelina, L.; Degelaen, M.; Wermenbol, V.; Rooze, M.; Van Sint Jan, S. Can Serious Games Be Incorporated with Conventional Treatment of Children with Cerebral Palsy? A Review. *Res. Dev. Disabil.* **2014**, *35*, 1899–1913. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
30. Vieira, T.M.; Baudry, S.; Botter, A. Young, Healthy Subjects Can Reduce the Activity of Calf Muscles When Provided with EMG Biofeedback in Upright Stance. *Front. Physiol.* **2016**, *7*, 158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
31. Harris, K.; Reid, D. The Influence of Virtual Reality Play on Children’s Motivation. *Can. J. Occup. Ther.* **2005**, *72*, 21–29. [CrossRef]
32. Wallard, L.; Dietrich, G.; Kerlirzin, Y.; Bredin, J. Robotic-Assisted Gait Training Improves Walking Abilities in Diplegic Children with Cerebral Palsy. *Eur. J. Paediatr. Neurol.* **2017**, *21*, 557–564. [CrossRef]
33. Bonnechère, B.; Omelina, L.; Jansen, B.; Van Sint Jan, S. Balance Improvement after Physical Therapy Training Using Specially Developed Serious Games for Cerebral Palsy Children: Preliminary Results. *Disabil. Rehabil.* **2017**, *39*, 403–406. [CrossRef]
34. Bütetisch, C.; Hummelsheim, H.; Denzler, P.; Mauritz, K.H. Repetitive Training of Isolated Movements Improves the Outcome of Motor Rehabilitation of the Centrally Paretic Hand. *J. Neurol. Sci.* **1995**, *130*, 59–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Feys, H.M.; De Weerd, W.J.; Selz, B.E.; Cox Steck, G.A.; Spichiger, R.; Vereeck, L.E.; Putman, K.D.; Van Hoydonck, G.A. Effect of a Therapeutic Intervention for the Hemiplegic Upper Limb in the Acute Phase after Stroke. *Stroke* **1998**, *29*, 785–792. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Ritterband-Rosenbaum, A.; Justiniano, M.D.; Nielsen, J.B.; Christensen, M.S. Are Sensorimotor Experiences the Key for Successful Early Intervention in Infants with Congenital Brain Lesion? *Infant. Behav. Dev.* **2019**, *54*, 133–139. [CrossRef]
37. Canu, M.-H.; Fourneau, J.; Coq, J.-O.; Dannhoffer, L.; Cieniewski-Bernard, C.; Stevens, L.; Bastide, B.; Dupont, E. Interplay between Hypoactivity, Muscle Properties and Motor Command: How to Escape the Vicious Deconditioning Circle? *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* **2019**, *62*, 122–127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
38. Wright, Z.A.; Rymer, W.Z.; Slutzky, M.W. Reducing Abnormal Muscle Coactivation After Stroke Using a Myoelectric-Computer Interface: A Pilot Study. *Neurorehabil. Neural Repair.* **2014**, *28*, 443–451. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
39. Rodda, J.; Graham, H.K. Classification of Gait Patterns in Spastic Hemiplegia and Spastic Diplegia: A Basis for a Management Algorithm. *Eur. J. Neurol.* **2001**, *8* (Suppl. S5), 98–108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
40. Trudel, G.; Laneuville, O.; Coletta, E.; Goudreau, L.; Uhthoff, H.K. Quantitative and Temporal Differential Recovery of Articular and Muscular Limitations of Knee Joint Contractures; Results in a Rat Model. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **2014**, *117*, 730–737. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
41. Mudge, A.J.; Bau, K.V.; Purcell, L.N.; Wu, J.C.; Axt, M.W.; Selber, P.; Burns, J. Normative Reference Values for Lower Limb Joint Range, Bone Torsion, and Alignment in Children Aged 4–16 Years. *J. Pediatr. Orthop. B* **2014**, *23*, 15–25. [CrossRef]
42. Baude, M.; Loche, C.M.; Gault-Colas, C.; Pradines, M.; Gracies, J.M. Intra- and Inter-Raters Reliability of a Stepped Clinical Assessment of Chronic Spastic Paresis in Adults. *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* **2015**, *58*, e4–e5. Available online: <https://www.em-consulte.com/article/1004748/intra-and-inter-raters-reliabilities-of-a-stepped-> (accessed on 26 September 2015). [CrossRef]
43. Schweizer, K.; Cattin, P.C.; Brunner, R.; Müller, B.; Huber, C.; Romkes, J. Automatic Selection of a Representative Trial from Multiple Measurements Using Principle Component Analysis. *J. Biomech.* **2012**, *45*, 2306–2309. [CrossRef]
44. Hof, A. Scaling Gait Data to Body Size. *Gait Posture* **1996**, *4*, 222–223. [CrossRef]
45. Dursun, E.; Dursun, N.; Alican, D. Effects of Biofeedback Treatment on Gait in Children with Cerebral Palsy. *Disabil. Rehabil.* **2004**, *26*, 116–120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
46. McDowell, B.C.; Salazar-Torres, J.J.; Kerr, C.; Cosgrove, A.P. Passive Range of Motion in a Population- Based Sample of Children with Spastic Cerebral Palsy Who Walk. *Phys. Occup. Ther. Pediatr.* **2012**, *32*, 139–150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
47. Pradines, M.; Ghedira, M.; Portero, R.; Masson, I.; Marciniak, C.; Hicklin, D.; Hutin, E.; Portero, P.; Gracies, J.M.; Bayle, N. Ultrasound Structural Changes in Triceps Surae After a 1-Year Daily Self-stretch Program: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial in Chronic Hemiparesis. *Neurorehabil. Neural Repair.* **2019**, *33*, 245–259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
48. Gracies, J.-M.; Pradines, M.; Ghédira, M.; Loche, C.-M.; Mardale, V.; Hennegrave, C. Guided Self-rehabilitation Contract vs. conventional therapy in chronic stroke-induced hemiparesis: NEURORESTORE, a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *BMC Neurol.* **2019**, *19*, 39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
49. Hu, X.; Tong, K.Y.; Song, R.; Tsang, V.S.; Leung, P.O.; Li, L. Variation of Muscle Coactivation Patterns in Chronic Stroke during Robot-Assisted Elbow Training. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **2007**, *88*, 1022–1029. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
50. Floeter, M.K.; Danielian, L.E.; Kim, Y.K. Effects of Motor Skill Learning on Reciprocal Inhibition. *Restor. Neurol. Neurosci.* **2013**, *31*, 53–62. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
51. De Bruin, M.; Smeulders, M.J.; Kreulen, M.; Huijting, P.A.; Jaspers, R.T. Intramuscular Connective Tissue Differences in Spastic and Control Muscle: A Mechanical and Histological Study. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e101038. [CrossRef]

52. Dayanidhi, S.; Dykstra, P.B.; Lyubasyuk, V.; McKay, B.R.; Chambers, H.G.; Lieber, R.L. Reduced Satellite Cell Number in Situ in Muscular Contractures from Children with Cerebral Palsy. *J. Orthop. Res.* **2015**, *33*, 1039–1045. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Barber, L.; Hastings-Ison, T.; Baker, R.; Barrett, R.; Lichtwark, G. Medial Gastrocnemius Muscle Volume and Fascicle Length in Children Aged 2 to 5 Years with Cerebral Palsy. *Dev. Med. Child. Neurol.* **2011**, *53*, 543–548. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Smith, L.R.; Lee, K.S.; Ward, S.R.; Chambers, H.G.; Lieber, R.L. Hamstring Contractures in Children with Spastic Cerebral Palsy Result from a Stiffer Extracellular Matrix and Increased in Vivo Sarcomere Length. *J. Physiol.* **2011**, *589*, 2625–2639. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Gough, M.; Shortland, A.P. Could Muscle Deformity in Children with Spastic Cerebral Palsy Be Related to an Impairment of Muscle Growth and Altered Adaptation? *Dev. Med. Child. Neurol.* **2012**, *54*, 495–499. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Noble, J.J.; Charles-Edwards, G.D.; Keevil, S.F.; Lewis, A.P.; Gough, M.; Shortland, A.P. Intramuscular Fat in Ambulant Young Adults with Bilateral Spastic Cerebral Palsy. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* **2014**, *15*, 236. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
57. Herbert, R.D.; Héroux, M.E.; Diong, J.; Bilston, L.E.; Gandevia, S.C.; Lichtwark, G.A. Changes in the Length and Three-Dimensional Orientation of Muscle Fascicles and Aponeuroses with Passive Length Changes in Human Gastrocnemius Muscles. *J. Physiol.* **2015**, *593*, 441–455. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
58. Lorentzen, J.; Pradines, M.; Gracies, J.-M.; Bo Nielsen, J. On Denny-Brown’s “spastic Dystonia”—What Is It and What Causes It? *Clin. Neurophysiol.* **2018**, *129*, 89–94. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
59. Gioux, M.; Petit, J. Effects of Immobilising Cat Peroneus Longus Muscle on the Activity of Its Own Spindles. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **1993**, *75*, 2629–2635. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
60. Giroux-Metges, M.A.; Pennec, J.P.; Petit, J.; Morel, J.; Talarmin, H.; Droguet, M. Effects of Immobilizing a Single Muscle on the Morphology and the Activation of Its Muscle Fibers. *Exp. Neurol.* **2005**, *194*, 495–505. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Rosant, C.; Nagel, M.D.; Pérot, C. Adaptation of Rat Soleus Muscle Spindles after 21 Days of Hindlimb Unloading. *Exp. Neurol.* **2006**, *200*, 191–199. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Pierrot-Deseilligny, E.; Burke, D. *The Circuitry of the Human Spinal Cord: Its Role in Motor Control and Movement Disorders*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2005; ISBN 978-0-521-82581-8.
63. Meunier, S.; Pierrot-Deseilligny, E.; Simonetta, M. Pattern of Monosynaptic Heteronymous Ia Connections in the Human Lower Limb. *Exp. Brain Res.* **1993**, *96*, 534–544. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Trusculli, D.; Lespargot, A.; Tardieu, G. Variation in the Long-Term Results of Elongation of the Tendo Achillis in Children with Cerebral Palsy. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Br.* **1979**, *61-B*, 466–469. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Tardieu, C.; Lespargot, A.; Tabary, C.; Bret, M.D. For How Long Must the Soleus Muscle Be Stretched Each Day to Prevent Contracture? *Dev. Med. Child. Neurol.* **1988**, *30*, 3–10. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
66. Marinov, B.; Mandadjieva, S.; Kostianev, S. Pictorial and Verbal Category-Ratio Scales for Effort Estimation in Children. *Child Care Health Dev.* **2008**, *34*, 35–43. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
67. Chervinsky, A.B.; Ommaya, A.K.; deJonge, M.; Spector, J.; Schwab, K.; Salazar, A.M. Motivation for Traumatic Brain Injury Rehabilitation Questionnaire (MOT-Q): Reliability, Factor Analysis, and Relationship to MMPI-2 Variables. *Arch. Clin. Neuropsychol.* **1998**, *13*, 433–446. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
68. Bao, X.; National Cooperative Research Group for Lowering Incidence of Cerebral Palsy of Premature Infants through Early Intervention. Lowering incidence of cerebral palsy of premature infants through early intervention. *Zhonghua Er Ke Za Zhi* **2005**, *43*, 244–247.
69. Gracies, J.-M.; Lugassy, M.; Weisz, D.J.; Vecchio, M.; Flanagan, S.; Simpson, D.M. Botulinum Toxin Dilution and Endplate Targeting in Spasticity: A Double-Blind Controlled Study. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **2009**, *90*, 9–16.e2. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
70. Subramanian, A.; Schilling, T.F. Thrombospondin-4 Controls Matrix Assembly during Development and Repair of Myotendinous Junctions. *Elife* **2014**, *3*, e02372. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Hultborn, H.; Jankowska, E.; Lindström, S. Recurrent Inhibition from Motor Axon Collaterals of Transmission in the Ia Inhibitory Pathway to Motoneurons. *J. Physiol.* **1971**, *215*, 591–612. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
72. Geertsen, S.S.; Kirk, H.; Nielsen, J.B. Impaired Ability to Suppress Excitability of Antagonist Motoneurons at Onset of Dorsiflexion in Adults with Cerebral Palsy. *Neural Plast.* **2018**, *2018*, 1265143. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Crenna, P. Spasticity and “spastic” Gait in Children with Cerebral Palsy. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **1998**, *22*, 571–578. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Leonard, C.T.; Moritani, T.; Hirschfeld, H.; Forssberg, H. Deficits in Reciprocal Inhibition of Children with Cerebral Palsy as Revealed by H Reflex Testing. *Dev. Med. Child. Neurol.* **1990**, *32*, 974–984. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Schless, S.-H.; Cenni, F.; Bar-On, L.; Hanssen, B.; Goudriaan, M.; Papageorgiou, E.; Aertbeliën, E.; Molenaers, G.; Desloovere, K. Combining Muscle Morphology and Neuromotor Symptoms to Explain Abnormal Gait at the Ankle Joint Level in Cerebral Palsy. *Gait Posture* **2019**, *68*, 531–537. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.