POLITECNICO DI TORINO
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

It sounds sustainable: practices in designing sound for sustainability

Original
It sounds sustainable: practices in designing sound for sustainability / Marentakis, Georgios; Dal Palu', Doriana. - ELETTRONICO. - (2024), pp. 1-19. (DRS2024 Boston. Resistance, Recovery, Reflection, Reimagination. Design Research Society International Conference Boston (USA) 23–28 June 2024) [10.21606/drs.2024.791].

Availability:
This version is available at: 11583/2991114 since: 2024-07-22T22:23:56Z

Publisher:
Design Research Society

Published
DOI:10.21606/drs.2024.791

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

26 May 2026

Northeastern University

20 DRS 24

Design Research Society DRS

PROCEEDINGS OF DRS2024 BOSTON

RESISTANCE · REFLECTION RECOVERY · REIMAGINATION

DESIGN RESEARCH SOCIETY INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS, USA 23 – 28 JUNE 2024

EDITORS

COLIN M. GRAY ESTEFANIA CILIOTTA CHEHADE PAUL HEKKERT
LAURA FORLANO PAOLO CIUCCARELLI PETER LLOYD

ISSN 2398-3132

Proceedings of DRS2024 Boston

RESISTANCE, RECOVERY, REFLECTION, REIMAGINATION
Design Research Society International Conference

Northeastern University
Boston, Massachusetts, USA,
23–28 June 2024

Editors:
Colin M. Gray
Estefania Ciliotta Chehade
Paul Hekkert
Laura Forlano
Paolo Ciuccarelli
Peter Lloyd

Proceedings of DRS2024 Boston
Design Research Society International Conference
23–28 June 2024
Boston, Massachusetts, USA
www.drs2024.org

Cover and conference identity design by Viviane Kim
Proceedings copy edited and compiled by Lenny Martinez Dominguez

Editors: Colin M. Gray, Estefania Ciliotta Chehade, Paul Hekkert, Laura Forlano, Paolo Ciuccarelli, Peter Lloyd

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Proceedings of DRS 2024 International Conference

ISSN 2398-3132
ISBN 978-1-912294-62-6
doi.org/10.21606/drs.2022.cv001

Published by the Design Research Society
85 Great Portland Street
London, W1W 7LT
United Kingdom

Design Research Society
email: admin@designresearchsociety.org
website: www.designresearchsociety.org
digital library: dl.designresearchsociety.org

Founded in 1966 the Design Research Society (DRS) is a learned society committed to promoting and developing design research. It is the longest established, multi-disciplinary worldwide society for the design research community and aims to promote the study of, and research into, the process of designing in all its many fields.

DRS International Biennial Conference Series

DRS2002 London; DRS2004 Melbourne; DRS2006 Lisbon; DRS2008 Sheffield; DRS2010 Montreal; DRS2012 Bangkok; DRS2014 Umeå, DRS2016 Brighton, DRS2018 Limerick, DRS2020 Brisbane, DRS2022 Bilbao.

DRS Special Interest Groups

Design Education (EdSIG)
Design for Health, Wellbeing and Happiness (SIGWELL)
Design for the Pluriverse (PluriSIG)
Design for Policy and Governance (PoGoSIG)

DRS Special Interest Groups (continued)

Inclusive Design (Inclusive SIG)
Global Health SIG (Global Health SIG)
Designing Change (SIG DfC)
Design for Tangible, Embedded and Networked Technologies (TENT SIG)
Objects, Practices, Experiences, Networks (OPENSIG)
Sustainability SIG (SuSSIG)
Experiential Knowledge (EKSIG)
Design Retail & Services Futures (DRSF SIG)
Design Ethics (DE SIG)
Sound-Driven Design (SDD SIG)
Interdisciplinary Textiles (IT SIG)

DRS2024 Committees

Conference Chairs
Paolo Ciuccarelli, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Paul Hekkert, TU Delft, NL

Program Chair
Colin M. Gray, Indiana University Bloomington

Content Committee Leads
Paolo Ciuccarelli, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston *(Committee Chair)*
Estefania Ciliotta Chehade, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Colin M. Gray, Indiana University Bloomington

Strategy Committee Leads
Paolo Ciuccarelli, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Paul Hekkert, TU Delft, NL
Estefania Ciliotta Chehade, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Annabelle Tocco, Northeastern University, Boston

Strategy Committee
Julie Farkas, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Laura Forlano, Northeastern University, Boston
Aashita Jain, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Brittani LeBel Rousseau, Northeastern University, Boston
Sara Lenzi, University of Deusto, ES
Peter Lloyd, TU Delft, NL
Nikita Saner, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston

Keynote Event Committee
Laura Forlano, Northeastern University, Boston *(Committee Chair)*
Paolo Ciuccarelli, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Viviane K. Kim, Northeastern University, Boston
Kristian Kloeckl, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Michael Arnold Mages, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston

Papers Committee
Colin M. Gray, Indiana University Bloomington *(Committee Chair)*
Miso Kim, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Michael Arnold Mages, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston

Conversations Committee
Sofía Bosch Gómez, Northeastern University, Boston
Kees Dorst, University of Technology Sydney, AU
Miso Kim, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Frederick Van Amstel, University of Florida
Federico Vaz, Royal College of Art, UK

Workshops Committee
Estefania Ciliotta Chehade, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston *(Co-Chair)*
Catalina Cortes Loyola, Universidad del Desarrollo (UDD), Chile *(Co-Chair)*
Liz Allen, Northeastern University, Boston
Sara Carr, Associate Professor, School of Architecture, Northeastern University, Boston
Paulina Contreras, Universidad del Desarrollo (UDD), Chile
Miso Kim, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Alejandra Poblete Perez, Universidad Tecnológica Metropolitana, Chile
Mariluz Soto, Universidad del Desarrollo (UDD), Chile
Ignacio Galvarino Toledo Roman, Universidad del Desarrollo (UDD), Chile

Labs Committee
Estefania Ciliotta Chehade, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston *(Co-Chair)*
Sara Lenzi, University of Deusto, ES *(Co-Chair)*
Mark Araujo, The Mayor's Office of New Urban Mechanics (MONUM), Boston
Arlene Oak, University of Alberta, Canada

PhD Event Committee
Ryan Bruggeman, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Laura Forlano, Northeastern University, Boston
Luis Garcia, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh
Sofía Bosch Gómez, Northeastern University, Boston
Alayt Issak, Northeastern University, Boston
Michael Arnold Mages, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Jules Rochielle Sievert, Northeastern University Boston

Performance & Exhibition Committee
Kristian Kloeckl, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Laura Forlano, Northeastern University, Boston

Fringe Committee
Sofie Hodara, Northeastern University, Boston
Kristian Kloeckl, Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston
Ann McDonald, Northeastern University, Boston
Anna Nasi, Northeastern University, Boston
Bonnie Parrott, Northeastern University, Boston
Annabelle Tocco, Northeastern University, Boston

Partners
Mark Araujo, The Mayor's Office of New Urban Mechanics (MONUM), Boston
Roi Salgueiro Barrio, Morningside Academy for Design, MIT, Cambridge
Indigo Casais, Museum of Fine Art, Boston
Elizabeth Christoforetti, Graduate School of Design, Harvard, Cambridge
Marion Cunningham, Morningside Academy for Design, MIT, Cambridge
Michelle Fisher, Museum of Fine Art, Boston
Liana Mestas, Design Museum Foundation, Boston
Janessa Mulepati, Master in Design Engineering, Harvard, Cambridge
John A. Ochsendorf, Morningside Academy for Design, MIT, Cambridge
Jennifer Spungin, Morningside Academy for Design, MIT, Cambridge
Maria Villafranca, Design Museum Foundation, Boston

Andrew Witt, Graduate School of Design, Harvard, Cambridge
Adélaïde Zollinger, Morningside Academy for Design, MIT, Cambridge

DRS President
Rachel Cooper

DRS Executive Board
Laura Forlano *(Chair)*
Peter Lloyd
Colin M. Gray
Dan Lockton
Paul Hekkert

DRS International Advisory Council
Anna Vallgårda *(Chair)*
Kristina Andersen
Stella Boess
Rebecca Cain
Lin-Lin Chen
Paulina Contreras Correa
Catalina Cortés Loyola
Hua Dong
Kees Dorst
Martyn Evans
Jodi Forlizzi
Tincuta Heinzel
Sampsa Hyysalo
Sabine Junginger
Cecilia Landa-Avila
Sara Lenzi
Juan Giusepe Montalván
Tek-Jin Nam
Arlene Oak
Alejandra Poblete Pérez
Johan Redström
Heather Wiltse
Toshimasa Yamanaka

International Board of Reviewers

The following people provided one or more peer reviews for the 791 research papers that went out for review as part of DRS2024. Our thanks for your effort and commitment to ensuring the quality of the 386 final papers that were accepted.

Canan Akoglu, Design School Kolding, Denmark
Ajla Aksamija, University of Utah, USA
Bilge Merve Aktas, Independent Researcher, USA
Nóra Al Haider, Stanford Law School, USA
Amani Alaali, Ahlia University, Bahrain
Bethan Alexander, London College of Fashion, UAL, United Kingdom
Katerina Alexiou, The Open University, United Kingdom
Hena Ali, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Liz Allen, Northeastern University, USA
Matthew Allen, Washington University in St Louis, Canada
Ece Altinsbasak, Turkiye
Catalina Alzate Mora, The University of Texas at Austin, USA
Carla Amaral, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Mariana Victoria Amatullo, Parsons The New School, USA
Ahmed Ansari, NYU, USA
Alissa Antle, Simon Fraser University, Canada
Amy Archambault, North Carolina State University, USA
Helen Armstrong, North Carolina State University, USA
Michael Arnold Mages, Northeastern University, USA
Weslynne Ashton, Illinois Institute of Technology, USA
Lindsay Asquith, UTS, Australia
Jan Auernhammer, USA
Andrea Augsten, TU Dresden, Germany
Nyein Aung, Monash University, Australia
Stephen Awoniyi, Texas State University, USA
Camilo Ayala Garcia, Universidad de los Andes, Colombia
Joon Sang Baek, Yonsei University, South Korea
Saúl Baeza, ELISAVA, Spain
Ehsan Baha, University of Montreal, Canada
Corelia Baibarac-Duignan, University of Twente, Netherlands
Jocelyn Bailey, UAL, United Kingdom
Fernando Bajo, Spain
Yekta Bakırlıoğlu, Middle East Technical University, Turkiye
Madeline Balaam, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
Carol Bales, The Weather Company, USA
Paris Balla, Monash University, Australia
Anne Louise Bang, VIA University College, Denmark
Bahareh Barati, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Silvia Barbero, Politecnico di Torino, Italy
Alison Barnes, Western Sydney University, Australia
Nicholas Baroncelli Torretta, Lisbon University, Sweden
Mario Barros, Aalborg University, Denmark
Belen Barros Pena, Northumbria University, United Kingdom
Anne-Marie Bartlett, The Open University, United Kingdom
Kristin Alicia Bartlett, University of Kentucky, USA
Gemma Barton, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Zenab Bastawala, Rochester Institute of Technology, USA
Deaa Bataineh, USA
Weston Baxter, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Elif Baykal, Turkiye
Katie Beavan, New York University, USA
Jon Begiristain, University of the Basque Country, Spain
Taslima Begum, Cardiff Metropolitan University, United Kingdom
Sana Behnam Asl, North Carolina State University, USA

M. M. Bekker, tue, Netherlands
Fiona Bell, University of New Mexico, USA
Somaya Ben Allouch, Amsterdam University of Applied Science, Netherlands
Roy Bendor, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Pete Bennett, University of Bristol, United Kingdom
SJ Bennett, University of Durham, USA
Isabella Bergamini, Ministero dell'Istruzione, Italy
Francesco Bergamo, Iuav University of Venice, Italy
Katinka Bergema, Zwaluw | Innovatie & Samenwerking, Netherlands
Jan Peter Bergen, University of Twente, Netherlands
Estelle Berger, Strate School of Design, France
Constant Berkhout, Hasselt University, Netherlands
Roberta Bernabei, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Enrico Bertini, USA
Paola Bertola, Politecnico Di Milano, Italy
Frederic Bevilacqua, IRCAM, France
Sankalp Bhatnagar, Northeastern University, USA
Maharshi Bhattacharya, cultureNOW, USA
Massimo Bianchini, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Noemi Bitterman, Technion, Israel
Thea Blackler, QUT, Australia
Joanna Boehnert, Bath Spa University, United Kingdom
Stella Boess, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Spyros Bofylatos, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Erik Bohemia, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Norway
Elizabeth Boling, Indiana University Bloomington, USA
Patrizia Bolzan, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Emanuela Bonini Lessing, Università Iuav di Venezia, Italy
Elizabeth Bonsignore, USA
Naz A G Z Börekçi, Middle East Technical University, Turkiye
Sofia Bosch Gomez, Northeastern University, USA
Idil Bostan, TU Delft, Netherlands
Andrea Botero, Aalto University, Finland
Herman Botes, Tshwane University of Technology, South Africa
Wilhelmina Maria Botes, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg
Roberto Bottazzi, The Bartlett School of Architecture, United Kingdom
Remy Bourganel, IEP Paris, France
Jacky Bourgeois, TU Delft, Netherlands
Stephen Boyd Davis, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Bryan Boyer, University of Michigan, USA
Mikkel Snorre Wilms Boysen, University College Absalon, Denmark
Bodil Bøjer, The Royal Danish Academy, Denmark
Eva Brandt, Denmark
Úrsula Bravo, Universidad del Desarrollo, Chile
Philip Breedon, Nottingham Trent University, United Kingdom
Charlie Breindahl, University of Copenhagen, Denmark
Gerard Briscoe, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Loove Broms, Sweden
James BROWN, Umeå University, Sweden
Jacob T. Browne, Philips, Netherlands
Sophia Brueckner, University of Michigan, USA
Yolandi Burger, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Alison Burrows, Universidade do Minho, Portugal
Yousef Bushehri, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Deepa Butoliya, University of Michigan, USA
Jacob Buur, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark
Jonathan Cagan, USA
Roland Cahen, ENSCi Les Ateliers, France
Rebecca Cain, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Valentina Caiola, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
Jorge Camacho, Centro de Diseño, Cine y Televisión, Mexico

Filipe Campelo Xavier da Costa, Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos, Brazil
Elena Caratti, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Sara Carr, Northeastern University, USA
Martina Carraro, Italy
Sidse Carroll, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Fernando Carvalho, San Francisco State University, USA
Philip Cash, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark
Krystina Castella, Art Center College of Design, USA
Cabirio Cautela, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Michelle Cedeno, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Sine Celik, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Pınar Ceyhan, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
Senthil Chandrasegaran, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Fangyuan Chang, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China
Juyoung Chang, Dongseo University, South Korea
Jonathan Chapman, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Abhinav Chaturvedi, Bennett University, India
Maria Chatzichristodoulou, United Kingdom
Meira Chefitz, IBM, USA
Tatiana Chemi, aalborg university, Denmark
Chien-Hsiung Chen, National Taiwan University of Science & Technology, Taiwan
Dominique Chen, Waseda University, Japan
Fan CHEN, Tongji University, China
Lin-Lin Chen, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Yuning Chen, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Zeya Chen, Illinois Institute of Technology, USA
Ichen Chiang, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Laureline Chiapello, Université de Québec à Chicoutimi, Canada
Peter Childs, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Marcos Chilet, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile
Tseng-Ping Chiu, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan
Chanee Choi, USA
Brooke Chornyak, Northeastern University, USA
Bo Christensen, Copenhagen Business School, Denmark
Line Gad Christiansen, Designschool Kolding, Denmark
Wayne Chung, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Abdüsselam Selami Çifter, Mimar Sinan Fine Arts University, Turkiye
Nazli Cila, TU Delft, Netherlands
Estefania Ciliotta Chehade, Northeastern University, Center for Design, USA
Paolo Ciuccarelli, Northeastern University, USA
Violeta Clemente, University of Aveiro, Portugal
Gary Clough, RCA, United Kingdom
M.A. Cobussen, Leiden University, Netherlands
Ezequiel Collantes, University of the Basque Country, Spain
Alison Colwell-Matsuura, Monash University, Australia
Paulina Contreras, Universidad del Desarrollo, Chile
Sharon Cook, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Ginger Coons, Hogeschool Rotterdam, Netherlands
Rachel Cooper, lancaster university, United Kingdom
Jillian Coorey, Kent State University, USA
James Corazzo, Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom
Ana Correia de Barros, Fraunhofer Portugal AICOS, Portugal
Catalina Cortés, Universidad del Desarrollo, Chile
ANGELINA LEÃO COSTA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA, France
Paul Coulton, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
Adam Cowart, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Claire Craig, Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom
Nathan Crilly, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom
Leon Cruickshank, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
Beatriz Itzel Cruz Megchun, University of Portland, USA
Janka Csernák, Moholy-Nagy University of Art and Design, Hungary

Alma Leora Culén, University of Oslo, Norway
Bronwyn Cumbo, Monash University, Australia
Lisa D'Ambrosio, MIT, USA
Jaap Daalhuizen, DTU, Denmark
Doriana Dal Palù, Politecnico di Torino, Italy
Vici Daphne Handel, Denmark
Naga Nandini Dasgupta, Srishti Manipal Institute of Art, Design and Technology, India
Marie Davidová, IntCDC University of Stuttgart, Germany
Michel de Blois, Université Laval, Canada
Santiago De Francisco Vela, Universidad de los Andes, Colombia
Amalia De Götzen, Allborg University, Denmark
Bjorn de Koeijer, University of Twente, Netherlands
Sasha de Koninck, University of Colorado Boulder, USA
Jotte De Koning, TUDELFT, Netherlands
Christine De Lille, Northumbria University, Netherlands
Mirella de Menezes Migliari, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Annalinda De Rosa, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
João de Souza Leite, School of Design / Rio de Janeiro State University, Brazil
Cláudia de Souza Libânio, Federal University of Health Sciences of Porto Alegre, Brazil
Thomas Deacon, University of Surrey, United Kingdom
Colin Andrew Deevy, Institute of Technology Carlow, Ireland
Tessa Dekkers, University of Twente, Netherlands
Fernando Del Caro Secomandi, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Federico Del Giorgio Solfa, National University of La Plata, Argentina
Carine Delanoe, Université de Nîmes, France
Claudio Dell'Era, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Stefano Delle Monache, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Halime Demirkan, Bilkent University, Turkiye
Robert-Jan den Haan, University of Twente, Netherlands
Shital Desai, York University, Canada
Pieter Desmet, Delft University, Netherlands
Emma Dewberry, The Open University, United Kingdom
Kanan Dhru, The Hague University of Applied Sciences, Netherlands
Di Di Xiao, TUe, Netherlands
Myriam D. Diatta, Independent Scholar, Denmark
Ingvild Digranes, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Norway
Orsalia Dimitriou, University of Westminster, United Kingdom
Carl DiSalvo, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Brian Dixon, Ulster University, United Kingdom
Judith Marlen Dobler, Anhalt University of Applied Sciences, Germany
Sean Dockray, Australian National University, Australia
Michael Doherty, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
Markéta Dolejšová, Aalto University, Finland
Hua Dong, Brunel Univeristy London, United Kingdom
Erica Dorn, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Steven Dorrestijn, Saxion, Netherlands
Kees Dorst, UTS, Australia
Myf Doughty, Monash University, Australia
Craig Douglas, Harvard University, USA
Simon Downs, United Kingdom
Emilia Duarte, IADE, Universidade Europeia, Portugal
Sofia Soledad Duarte Poblete, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Jennifer DuBose, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Palak Dudani, Independent, Norway
Delia Dumitrescu, University of Borås, Sweden
David Durling, DurlingDesign, United Kingdom
Catherine Durose, University of Birmingham, United Kingdom
Abigail Durrant, Newcastle University, United Kingdom
Ricardo Dutra, Australia
Rebecca Earley, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Håkan Edeholt, Oslo School of Architecture and Design, Norway

Judy Edworthy, Psychology, United Kingdom
Pelin Efilti, Istanbul Technical University, Turkiye
Berry Eggen, EindhovenUniversity of Technology, Netherlands
Wouter Eggink, University of Twente, Netherlands
Coraline Ehmke, Organization for Ethical Source, USA
Jeannette Eicks, Vermont Law School, USA
Dina El Zanfaly, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Hannah Ellis, Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom
Chris Elsden, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Catherine Elsen, University of Liège, Belgium
Philip Ely, Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom
Nick Emerson, University of Canterbury, New Zealand
Stuart English, Northumbria University, United Kingdom
Alpay Er, Ozyegin University, Turkiye
Ozlem Er, Istanbul Bilgi University, Turkiye
Elina Eriksson, KTH, Sweden
Eva Eriksson, Aarhus University (DNK), Denmark
Carolina Escobar-Tello, Loughborough University / Doughnut Economics Action Lab, Portugal
Temidayo Eseonu, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
Motahhare Eslami, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Shabnam FakhrHosseini, MIT, USA
Priska Falin, Aalto University, Finland
Kjetil Falkenberg, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
Wenhao Fang, Birmingham city university, United Kingdom
Delfina Fantini van Ditmar, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Luke Feast, Aalborg University, Denmark
Karen Feder, Design School Kolding, Denmark
Nathan Felde, Northeastern University, USA
Jonathan Joseph Felix, RMIT University Vietnam, Vietnam
Deborah Fels, Canada
Beth Ferguson, University of California, USA
Clara Fernandes, Lasalle, Singapore
Aline Fernandes Barata, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Maria Fernandez de Osso, Ireland
Laura Ferrarello, EPFL, Switzerland
Joao Batalheiro Ferreira, IADE, Portugal
Gabriele Ferri, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Andre Fiebig, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany
Thomas Fischer, Southern University of Science and Technology, China
Tom Fisher, Nottingham Trent University, United Kingdom
Karen Fleming, Ulster University, United Kingdom
Aidan Flynn, MIT & the Queer Educators in Architecture Network, Canada
Mariana Fonseca Braga, University of Salford, United Kingdom
Francesca Fontana, University of Camerino, Italy
Jodi Forlizzi, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
James Forren, Canada
Tessa Forshaw, Harvard University, USA
Laetitia Forst, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Maria Foverskov, malmö university, Denmark
Karmen Franinovic, ZHdK, Switzerland
Joep Frens, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Johnny Friberg, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
Emma Frid, IRCAM, France
Ilya Fridman, Monash University, Australia
Ken Friedman, Tongji University, Sweden
Kyla Fullenwider, USA
Malika Gabbas, South Korea
Rachel Gadsden, United Kingdom
Anikó Gal, University of Ferrara, Italy
Fernando Galdon, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Lorraine Gamman, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom

Tomás García Ferrari, University of Waikato, New Zealand
Adrià Garcia i Mateu, holon, Spain
Claudia Garduño García, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico
Greg Garner, NC State University, USA
Ignacio Garnham, Aarhus University, Denmark
Gionata Gatto, Dubai Institute of Design and Innovation, United Arab Emirates
Katie Gaudion, Helen Hamlyn Centre for Design / Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Philippe Gauthier, Université de Montréal, Canada
Ilona Gaynor, Independant, USA
Anouk Geenen, University of Twente, Netherlands
Koray Gelmez, Istanbul Technical University, Turkiye
Georgi V. Georgiev, University of Oulu, Finland
Krity Gera, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Alix Gerber, Smith College, USA
Nolen Gertz, University of Twente, Netherlands
Elisa Giaccardi, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Mathieu Gielen, Netherlands
Carolina Gill, North Carolina State University, USA
Nabeel Gillani, Northeastern University, USA
Nandhini Giri, Purdue University, USA
Inte Gloerich, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences, Netherlands
Beatrice Gobbo, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Kate Goldsworthy, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Gonçalo Gomes, Universidade de Aveiro, Portugal
Gloria Gomez, Oceanbrowser & University of Sydney, New Zealand
Rafael Gomez, Queensland University of Technology, Australia
Milene Gonçalves, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Jon Goodbun, United Kingdom
Eric Gordon, Emerson College, USA
Kosa Goucher-Lambert, University of California, Berkeley, USA
Visda Goudarzi, USA
Phillip Joel Gough, The University of Sydney, Australia
Colin M. Gray, Indiana University Bloomington, USA
Rose Gridneff, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Silvia Grimaldi, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Jobnathan Grinham, Harvard University Graduate School of Design, USA
Lisa Grocott, Monash University, Australia
Nonkululeko Grootboom, Hasselt University, South Africa
Katharina Gross-Vogt, University of Music and Performing Arts Graz, Austria
Camilla Groth, University of South-Eastern Norway, Norway
Eduard Groutars, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Heng Gu, TU Delft, Netherlands
Sune Gudiksen, Design School Kolding, Denmark
NADIA GUEROUAOU, IRCAM, CNRS, France
Abby Guido Guido, Tyler School of Art and Architecture, Temple University, USA
Natalia Gulbransen-Diaz, University of Sydney, Australia
Hazal Gumus Ciftci, Arizona State University, USA
Xi Guo, Birmingham City University, United Kingdom
Alisdair Gurling, Monash University, Australia
Ian Gwilt, University of South Australia, Australia
Helena Haapio, University of Vaasa / University of Lapland / Lexpert Ltd, Finland
Tim Haats, University of Carleton, Canada
Margaret Hagan, Stanford University, USA
Young-ae Hahn, Yonsei University, USA
Vera Hale, the Open University, United Kingdom
Ashley Hall, Royal Coillege of Art, United Kingdom
Kevin Hamilton, USA
Olivia Hamilton, RMIT, Australia
Tarryn Handcock, RMIT University, Australia
David Hands, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
David Hands, United Kingdom

Flemming Tvede Hansen, The Royal Danish Academy, Denmark
Jakob Thestrup Hansen, Denmark
Preben Hansen, Stockholm University, Sweden
Cécile Hardebolle, EPFL, Switzerland
Alexandros Haridis, Harvard University, USA
Rachel Harkness, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Robert Harland, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Monica Louise Hartvigsen, Design School Kolding, Denmark
Juha Hartvik, Åbo Akademi University, Finland
Gillian Harvey, University of Alberta, Canada
Astrid Sri Haryati, North Carolina State University, USA
Paul Hatch, University of Illinois Chicago, USA
Vilde Haugrønning, OsloMet, Norway
Laura Hay, University of Strathclyde, United Kingdom
Naomi Hay, Australian National University, Australia
Sarah Hayes, Munster Technological University, Ireland
Juanjuan "June" He, Drexel University, USA
Liam Healy, Goldsmiths University, United Kingdom
Tero Heikkinen, University of the Arts Helsinki, Finland
Tincuta Heinzel, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Leah Heiss, Monash University, Australia
Paul Hekkert, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Karey Helms, Stockholm University, Sweden
Bart Hengeveld, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Kevin Henry, Columbia College Chicago, USA
Leigh-Anne Hepburn, University of Sydney, Australia
Pablo Hermansen, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile
Sander Hermsen, OnePlanet Research Centre, Netherlands
Lucie Hernandez, Falmouth University, United Kingdom
Jock Herron, Harvard Graduate School of Design, USA
Ann Heylighen, KU Leuven, Belgium
Clive Hilton, The Open University, United Kingdom
Xavier Ho, Monash University, Australia
Elise Hodson, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Michael Hohl, Anhalt University of Applied Sciences, Germany
Kim Holflod, Aarhus University, Denmark
Samuel Holleran, University of Melbourne, USA
Edward Hollis, United Kingdom
Rosie Hornbuckle, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Kei Hoshi, Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand
Olivier Houix, IRCAM, France
Michael Howlett, klrf'nr, Canada
Pete Howson, United Kingdom
Magnus Høholt Kaspersen, Aarhus University, Denmark
Chung-Ching Huang, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan
Xinyi Huang, University of Edinburgh, China
Yujia Huang, University of Dundee, United Kingdom
Ella-Mae Hubbard, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Oscar Huerta, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile
Daniel Hug, Zürcher Hochschule der Künste, Switzerland
Kristin Hughes, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Caroline Hummels, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
I Chun Hung, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan
Daniel Huppatz, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia
Karl Hurn, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Samuel Huron, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, France
Amanda Huynh, Pratt Institute, USA
Sampsa Hyysalo, Aalto University, Finland
Alayt Issak, Northeastern University, USA
Ninela Ivanova, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Ricardo J Hernandez, Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile, Chile

Irina Jackiva (Yatskiv), Transport and Telecommunication Institute, Latvia
Anna Jackson, AUT, New Zealand
Dan Jackson, NuLawLab - Northeastern University School of Law, USA
Naomi Jacobs, University of Twente, Netherlands
Mikko Jalas, Finland
Alison James, independent, United Kingdom
Bob Jerrard, Birmingham City University, United Kingdom
Emilie Bech Jespersen, Design School Kolding, Denmark
Keesa Johnson, USA
Michael Pierre Johnson, Glasgow School of Art, United Kingdom
Sarah Johnstone, Australia
Wolfgang Jonas, Braunschweig University of Art, Germany
Derek Jones, The Open University, United Kingdom
Peter Hayward Jones, Tec de Monterrey, Canada
Li Jönsson, Malmö University, Sweden
Jomy Joseph, University of Oslo, Norway
Hanne Hede Jørgensen, Denmark
Helle Jovgaard Jørgensen, University College Lillebælt, Denmark
Guy Julier, Aalto University, Finland
Gyuchan Thomas Jun, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Jiwon Jung, TU Delft, Netherlands
Silvana Juri, SARAS Institute, Uruguay
Lorraine Justice, Rochester Institute of Technology, USA
Melanie Kahl, USA
Anubha Kakroo, India
Eleni Kalantidou, Griffith University, Australia
Faith Kane, Massey University, New Zealand
Berrak Karaca Salgamcioglu, Istanbul University, Turkiye
Armağan Karahanoğlu, University of Twente, Netherlands
Elvin Karana, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Patrycja Kaszynska, University of the Arts London, Poland
Anastasia Katharine Ostrowski, MIT Media Lab, USA
Maria Antony Katticaran, HDR Inc, USA
Veronika Kelly, University of South Australia, Australia
Tobie Kerridge, Goldsmiths, University of London, United Kingdom
Hatice Server Kesdi, Eskişehir Osmangazi Iniversity, Turkiye
Sarah Kettley, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Sumbul Khan, Singapore University of Technology and Design, Singapore
Zakkiya Khan, University of Lincoln, United Kingdom
Surabhi Khanna, National Institute of Design Haryana, India
Chuan Khoo, Monash University, Australia
Byungsoo Kim, Kansas State University, USA
Chajoong Kim, UNIST, South Korea
Euiyoung Kim, TU Delft, Netherlands
Jinsook Kim, Georgian Court University, USA
KwanMyung Kim, South Korea
Kyulee Kim, Northeastern University, USA
MiHyun Kim, Texas State University, USA
Miso Kim, Northeastern University, USA
Nayeon Kim, The Catholic University of Korea, South Korea
Lucy Kimbell, UAL, United Kingdom
Sofie Kinch, Designschool Kolding, Denmark
Arja Kjällbom, Sweden
Kasey Klimes, Rhizome R&D, USA
Laura Knight, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Eva Knutz, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark
Anthony Kong, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong
Teksin Kopanoglu, Cardiff Metropolitan University, United Kingdom
Mikko Koria, Loughborough University London, United Kingdom
Hannah Korsmeyer, Monash University, Australia
Ilpo Koskinen, UNSW, Australia

Anna Kouhia, University of Helsinki, Finland
Nantia Koulidou, Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom
Katie Krcmarik, Illinois State University, USA
Vinayak Krishnamurthy, Texas A&M University, USA
Tore Kristensen, Copenhagen Business School, Denmark
Peter Gall Krogh, Aarhus University, Denmark
Lenneke Kuijer, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Peter Kun, IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark
yesim kunter, yesimkunter ltd., United Kingdom
Jo-Yu Kuo, National Taipei University of Technology, Taiwan
Ana Kuštrak Korper, Linköping University, Sweden
Blair Kuys, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia
Ksenija Kuzmina, Loughborough University London, United Kingdom
Karolina La Fors, University of Twente, Netherlands
Tarja-Kaarina Laamanen, Aalto Univeristy, Finland
Thierry Lagrange, KU Leuven, Belgium
Henna Lahti, University of Helsinki, Finland
Riitta Lahtinen, Finland
Danielle Lake, Elon University, USA
Sotiris Lalaounis, University of Exeter Business School, United Kingdom
Carine Lallemand, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Busayawan Lam, Brunel University, United Kingdom
Cecilia Landa-Avila, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Matthias Laschke, University of Siegen, Germany
Thomas Laurien, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
Lea Holst Laursen, Denmark
Jakob Clemen Lavrsen, Technical University of Denmark - DTU, Denmark
Minh-Nguyet Le, Lucerne School of Art & Design, Switzerland
Marion Lean, Newcastle University, United Kingdom
Boyeun Lee, University of Exeter Business School, United Kingdom
Chaiwoo Lee, MIT, USA
Chang Hee Lee, KAIST, South Korea
Hyun-Kyung Lee, South Korea
Jen Yoohyun Lee, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong
Minha Lee, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Yanki Lee, Linnaeus University, United Kingdom
Youngsil Lee, Youngsil Lee, Netherlands
Jesper Falck Legaard, Designschool Kolding, Denmark
Sanna Lehtinen, Aalto University, Finland
Renata Leitao, Cornell University, USA
Catarina Lelis, University of Aveiro, Portugal
Sara Lenzi, Universidad de Deusto, Spain
Elena Carolina Li, University of Taipei, Taiwan
Matthew Lickiss, University of Leeds, United Kingdom
Ann Light, University of Sussex, United Kingdom
Petra Lilja, Konstfack, Sweden
Yihyun Lim, University of Southern California, USA
Joseph Lindley, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
Kristina Lindström, Malmö University, Sweden
Stephen Little, Tshwane University of Technology, United Kingdom
Houjiang Liu, The University of Texas at Austin, USA
Sylvia Liu, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong
Peter Lloyd, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Alex Lobos, Rochester Institute of Technology, USA
Dan Lockton, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Leon Loh, Kyushu University, Japan
Julia Lohmann, Finland
James Lomas, Delft university of Technology, Netherlands
Ricardo Lopez, Universidad Autonoma de Aguascalientes, Mexico
Franca Lopez Barbera, Braunschweig University, Germany
Nicole Lotz, The Open University, United Kingdom

Gijs Louwers, Technical University of Delft, Netherlands
Jasmine Lu, University of Chicago, USA
Yuan Lu, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Geke Ludden, University of Twente, Netherlands
Ding-Bang Luh, China
Emma Luke, RMIT, Australia
Rohan Lulham, University Of Technology Sydney, Australia
Eva Lutnæs, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
Harini M, National Institute of Design, India
Min-Yuan Ma, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan
Xiao Ma, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Mairi-Claire MacDonald, Denmark
Mairi-Claire MacDonald, Designskolen Kolding, Denmark
Herminia Machry, University of Kansas, USA
Angella Mackey, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences, Netherlands
Jeremy Madden, Atlantic Technological University,, Ireland
Ravi Mahamuni, TCS, India
Anja Maier, University of Strathclyde, United Kingdom
Donna Maione, Linnaeus University, Sweden
Maarit Mäkelä, Aalto University, Finland
Elena Malakhatka, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden
Carmen Malvar, ELISAVA ESCUELA DE DISENO, Spain
Ruchita Arvind Mandhre, Arizona State University, USA
William Mangold, Drexel University, USA
Arthi Manohar, Brunel University London, United Kingdom
Bilgen Manzakoglu, Bahcesehir University, Turkiye
Ezio Manzini, Italy
Anastasios Maragiannis, University of Westminster, United Kingdom
Georgios Marentakis, Østfold University College, Norway
Thomas Markussen, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark
Valentina Marques da Rosa, UFRGS, Spain
Jamie Marsden, United Kingdom
Lorraine Marshalsey, University of South Australia, Australia
Patrizia Marti, University of Siena, Italy
William Martin, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
João Martins, School of Technology and Management/ Polytechnic Institute of Viana do Castelo, Portugal
Tiago Martins, University of Coimbra, Portugal
Sara Patrícia Martins Gancho, IADE, Portugal
Sanna-Maria Marttila, IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark
Zach Mason, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
Sonia Massari, Pisa University, Italy
Gloria Mast, IUP, USA
M. Marie Mastrobattista, Drexel University, USA
Rebekah Matheny, The Ohio State University, USA
Goran Matic, University of Brighton, Canada
Julieta Matos Castano, University of Twente, Netherlands
Ben Matthews, The University of Queensland, Australia
Alexandra Matz, SAP SE, Germany
Michele Mauri, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Artur Mausbach, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Ramia Mazé, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Francesco Mazzarella, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Marco Mazzarotto, UTFPR, Brazil
Arianna Mazzeo, Didi Dubai Institute of Design and Innovation, United Arab Emirates
Erin Claire McAuliffe, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Sean McCusker, Northumbria University, United Kingdom
Kate McEntee, Victoria Legal Aid, Australia
Cameron McEwan, Northumbria University, United Kingdom
Troy McGee, Monash University, Australia
Chris McGinley, Helen Hamlyn Centre for Design / Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Anita McKeown, SMARTlab Skelligs, Ireland

Heather McKinnon, Queensland University of Technology, Australia
Muireann McMahon, University of Limerick, Ireland
Roisin Mcnaney, Monash University, Australia
Holly McQuillan, TU Delft, Netherlands
Jessica Meharry, IIT Institute of Design, USA
G. Mauricio Mejía, Arizona State University, USA
Abby Mellick Lopes, University of Technology Sydney, Australia
Paula Melo Signerez, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Daphne Menheere, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Tieni Meninato, wake to, Canada
Anna Meroni, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Paul Micklethwaite, Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom
Satu Miettinen, University of Lapland, Finland
Constanza Miranda, Johns Hopkins University, USA
Nicolas Misdariis, Ircam, France
Kimberly Mitchell, University of Tennessee Knoxville, USA
Robb Mitchell, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark
Richie Moalosi, University of Botswana, Botswana
Laia Mogas Soldevila, University of Pennsylvania, USA
Stefano Delle Monache, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Charu Monga, India
Charu Monga, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India
Vanessa Monna, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Juan Giusepe Montalván Lume, Pontifical Catholic University of Peru, Peru
Rui Costa Monteiro, ID+ Research Institute for Design, Media and Culture, Portugal
Stine Moons, University of Antwerp, Belgium
Joe Moore, Town of Zebulon, USA
Michael Moore, Ulster University, United Kingdom
Nicola Morelli, Aalborg University, Denmark
Jolanda Morkel, South Africa
Piera Morlacchi, University of Sussex, United Kingdom
Fabio Morreale, New Zealand
Andrew Morris, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Andrew Morrison, AHO, Norway
Elham Morshedzadeh, University of Houston, USA
Marzia Mortati, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Alireza Mortezapour, University of Salerno, Italy
Najla Mouchrek, Northeastern University, USA
Jeanne-Louise Moys, University of Reading, United Kingdom
hanne Mølbak, VIA UniversityCollege, Denmark
Signe Mørk Madsen, Via University College, Denmark
Dan Mu, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Ruth Mugge, TUDelft, Netherlands
Ingrid Mulder, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Maaike Mulder-Nijkamp, University of Twente, Netherlands
Louise Mullagh, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
Naureen Mumtaz, Mount Royal University, Canada
Mia Münster, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong
Francesca Murialdo, Middlesex University, United Kingdom
Ryan Murphy, Memorial University, Canada
Dave Murray-Rust, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Troy Nachtigall, Eindhoven University of Technology / Amsterdam University of Applied Sciencs, Netherlands
JAIST Nagai, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Japan
Michael Nagenborg, University of Twente, Netherlands
Lexi Namer, USA
Ulises Navarro Aguiar, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
Anja Neidhardt-Mokoena, Umeå University, Sweden
Marco Neves, Lisbon School of Architecture, University of Lisbon, Portugal
Amelyn Ng, RISD, USA
Blaise Nguendo-Yongsi, IFORD-University of Yaounde II, Cameroon
Iohanna nicenboim, tu delft, Netherlands

Claire Nicholas, University of Oklahoma, USA
Farnaz Nickpour, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
Oliver Niebuhr, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark
Kristina Niedderer, Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom
Liv Merete Nielsen, Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway
Evangelos Niforatos, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Kirsi Niinimäki, Finland
Nithikul Nimkulrat, OCAD University, Canada
Bettina Nissen, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Ijeoma Njaka, North Carolina State University and Georgetown University, USA
Ijeoma Njaka, North Carolina State University and Georgetown University, USA
Lesley-Ann Noel, North Carolina State University, USA
Esther Noëth, University of Antwerp, Belgium
André Nogueira, Leap, USA
Kieran Nolan, Dundalk Institute of Technology, Ireland
Christian Nold, The Open University, United Kingdom
Renee Noortman, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
Anitra Nottingham, RMIT Online, Australia
Katri Nousiainen, HLS, USA
Conall O Cathain, (Independent Scholar), United Kingdom
Michelle Marie O'Keeffe, Munster Technological University, Ireland
Arlene Oak, University of Alberta, Canada
Peter Oakley, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Maya Ober, University of Bern, Switzerland
Netta Ofer, USA
Dietmar Offenhuber, Northeastern University, USA
HyunJoo Oh, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Folasayo Olalere, The Open University, United Kingdom
Sissel Olander, Denmark
Catalina Olivas, Tecnologico de Monterrey, Mexico
Dimeji Onafuwa, Microsoft, USA
Doenja Oogjes, Netherlands
Lenard Opeskin, TU Dresden, Germany
Bruno Oro de Abreu, Iowa State University, USA
Iyare Oronsaye, North Carolina State University, USA
Susan Orr, York St John University, United Kingdom
Natalia Orrego, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile
Isaac Arturo Ortega Alvarado, Utrecht University, Netherlands
Frederick Peter Ortner, Singapore University of Technology and Design, Singapore
Sam Osys, Open University, United Kingdom
Erik Ottar Jensen, Denmark
Anja Overdiek, Rotterdam University of Applied Sciences, Netherlands
Elif Özcan, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Ayşegül Özçelik, Aalborg University, Denmark
Deger Ozkaramanli, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Helen Paine, United Kingdom
Maria Catalina Pajarito Caicedo, Georgetown University, USA
Paul Pangaro, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Fabio Parasecoli, New York University, USA
Jorge Paricio Garcia, University of Connecticut, USA
Stefano Parisi, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Chorong Park, Purdue University, USA
Hyunyim (Shera) Park, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (PolyU), Hong Kong
Jaehyun Park, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong
Sun Young Park, USA
Seungho Park-Lee, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, South Korea
Sandra Pauletto, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
Belinda Jane Paulovich, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia
Owain Pedgley, Middle East Technical University, Turkiye
Zhuochao Peng, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands

Jorge Brandão Pereira, IPCA Polytechnic University of Cavado and Ave / ID+ Research Institute for Design Media and Culture, Portugal
Vinícius J. Pereira, University of East Anglia, United Kingdom
Amina Pereno, Italy
Dulmini Perera, Bauhaus University Weimar, Germany
Laura J Perovich, Northeastern University, USA
Amanda Perry-Kessaris, University of Kent, United Kingdom
Eliza Pertigkiozoglou, McGill University, Canada
Ann Petermans, Hasselt University, Belgium
Bruna Petreca, United Kingdom
Iseline Peyre, Sorbonne Universite, France
Hien Phan, University of Florida, USA
Robert Phillips, Robert Phillips, United Kingdom
Silvia Pizzocaro, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Austeja Platukyte, Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania
Alise Plavina, Norwegian University of Science and Technology/ Pir II AS, Norway
Philip Plowright, Lawrence Technological University, USA
ALEJANDRA VIRGINIA POBLETE PÉREZ, UNIVERSIDAD TECNOLÓGICA METROPOLITANA, Chile
Anna Pohlmeyer, TU Delft, Netherlands
Rafael Poiate, ESDI - UERJ, Brazil
Anton Poikolainen Rosen, Finland
Fátima Pombo, University of Aveiro, Portugal
Vesna Popovic, QUT, Australia
Keith Porcaro, Duke Law School, USA
Monica Porteanu, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign, USA
Kruakae Pothong, LSE, United Kingdom
Mathias Poulsen, Designschool Kolding, Denmark
Emmi Pouta, Aalto University, Finland
Sharon Prendeville, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Alison Prendiville, LCC, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Rebecca Price, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Ilse Prinsloo, University of Johannesburg, South Africa
Sebastien Proulx, The Ohio State University, USA
Larissa Pschetz, The University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Emma Puerari, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Irma Puskarevic, Wichita State University, USA
Ambika Putri, Georgetown University, USA
Manuela Quaresma, Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Katelijn Quartier, Hasselt University, Belgium
Cristobal Quezada, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile
Jess Rahbek, Denmark
Jeroen Raijmakers, Philips, Netherlands
Prineeth Ramachandra, National Institute of Design, India
Carolina Ramirez-Figueroa, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Lucia Rampino, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Gail Ramster, RCA, United Kingdom
Charlie Ranscombe, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia
Vivek Rao, UC Berkeley, USA
Yaone Rapitsenyane, University of Botswana, Botswana
Idrees Rasouli, Anglia Ruskin University, United Kingdom
Sonja Rebecca Rattay, University of Copenhagen, Sweden
Marion REAL, IAAC, Spain
Annamaria Recupero, Italy
Muralidhar Reddy, CMR University, India
Johan Redström, Umeå University, Sweden
Pedro Reissig, UBA, Argentina
Lizette Reitsma, Malmö University, Sweden
Kenzo Repole, Onozo, USA
Denisa Reshef Kera, Bar Ilan University, Israel
Juliana Restrepo, Sweden
Karen Reuther, Harvard University, USA

Emma Rhule, United Nations University International Insititute for Global Health, Malaysia
Marina Ricci, Polytechnic University of Bari, Italy
Dina Riccò, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Liz Richardson, University of Manchester, United Kingdom
Jekaterina Rindt, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
C. C. Risseeuw, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Holly Robbins, Netherlands
David Robertson, Australia
Davide Rocchesso, University of Palermo, Italy
Jules Rochielle Sievert, Northeastern University School of Law, USA
Stephen Roddy, Univeristy College Cork, Ireland
Paul Rodgers, University of Strathclyde, United Kingdom
Vanessa Rodrigues, Linköping University, Sweden
Valentina Rognoli, POlitecnico di Milano, Italy
Maximiliano Romero, Università IUAV di Venezia, Italy
Niklas Rönnberg, Linköping University, Sweden
Arianna Rossi, SnT, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg
Emilio Rossi, Università di Chieti-Pescara, Italy
Adolfo Ruiz, MacEwan University, Canada
Anna Rylander Eklund, Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden
shobhan s, slowstudio, India
Juan Sádaba, University of the Basque Country, Spain
Noemi Sadowska, London College of Communication, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Jasmijn Sagel, University of Twente, Netherlands
Mahmoud Reza Saghafi, Art University of Isfahan, Iran
Betul Sahin, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Fatina Saikaly, Co-Creando, Italy
Almila Akdag Salah, Utrecht University, Netherlands
Juan Salamanca, University of Illinois, USA
Petra Salaric, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Muhammad Saleem, Shaheed Allah Buksh Soomro University of Art, Design and Heritages Jamshoro, Pakistan
Lara Salinas, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Florian Sametinger, University of Arts Linz, Austria
Anne-Lene Sand, Design School Kolding, Denmark
Erik Sandelin, Konstfack University of Arts, Crafts and Design, Sweden
Carlos Sandoval Olascoaga, Northeastern University, USA
Flavio Sanson Fogliatto, Universidade Federal Do Rio Grande Do Sul, Brazil
Laura Santamaria, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Aguinaldo Santos, Paraná Federal University, Brazil
Joaquin Santuber, Hasso-Plattner-Institute, University of Potsdam, Germany
Rosana Sanz Segura, Zaragoza University, Spain
Tarcisio Saurin, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, Brazil
Nitin Sawhney, Aalto University, Finland
Joni Elaine Saylor, Ibm, USA
Marie-Monique Anastasia Schaper, Aarhus University, Denmark
Laura Scherling, Columbia University, USA
Tania Schlatter, Wheaton College, USA
Ruth Schmidt, Institute of Design, Illinois Tech, USA
Scott Schmidt, Georgetown University, USA
Nadine Schutz, IRCAM, France
Christine Schwobel-Patel, University of Warwick, United Kingdom
James Self, UNIST, South Korea
Daniela Selloni, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Avery Sen, Sen Sound, Germany
Prateek Shankar, USA
Agnivesh Sharma, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, India
Cara Shaw, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
Archana Shekara, Illinois State University, USA
Avinash Shende, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, India
Peining Sheng, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Mardelle M Shepley, Cornell University, USA

Irina Shklovski, Denmark
Mortaza Shoae Bargh, Netherlands
Carolina Short, University of Waikato, New Zealand
Miguel Sicart, IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark
Madeline Sides, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Perline, Hwee Ling Siek, Sunway University, Malaysia
Luca Simeone, Aalborg University, Denmark
Nidhi Singh Rathore, Independent Researcher, USA
Frances Singleton, Amsterdam Law Hub, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands
Andrea Siodmok, RMIT, Australia
Muriel Sippel, Open University, United Kingdom
Jennifer Ann Skriver, Denmark
Froukje Sleeswijk Visser, TU Delft, Netherlands
Geertje Slingerland, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
wina smeenk, Inholland, Applied University, Netherlands
Catherine Smith, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Rachel Charlotte Smith, Aarhus University, Denmark
Dirk Snelders, TU Delft, Netherlands
Kim Snooks, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
Robert Soden, University of Toronto, Canada
Mar De Dios Solana, Spain
Camilo Soler-Caicedo, United Kingdom
Bjorn Sommer, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Binyang Song, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Jihyun Song, Drexel University, USA
Natalie Sontopski, Universtity of Applied Sciences Anhalt, Germany
Ricardo Sosa, University of Sydney, Australia
Mariluz Soto, Universidad del Desarrollo, Chile
Nicos Souleles, Cyprus
Marie Louise Juul Søndergaard, The Oslo School of Architecture and Design, Denmark
Simone Spagnol, Iuav University of Venice, Italy
Chris Speed, RMIT University, Australia
Eamon Spelman, Limerick School of Art & Design / TUS, Ireland
Nicholas Spencer, Northumbria University, United Kingdom
Katta Spiel, Belgium
Gabriella Spinelli, Brunel University London, United Kingdom
Åsa Ståhl, Linnaeus University, Sweden
Liesbeth Stam, KU Leuven, Belgium
Abigale Stangl, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Pieter Jan Stappers, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands
Justyna Starostka, IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark
Modestos Stavrakis, University of the Aegean, Greece
Michael Stead, School of Design, Imagination, LICA, Lancaster University, United Kingdom, United Kingdom
Frederick Steier, Fielding Graduate University, USA
Ruth Stevens, Hasselt University, Belgium
Eve Stirling, sheffield hallam university, United Kingdom
Jodi Lynn Sturge, University of Twente, Netherlands
Laura Succini, università di Bologna, Italy
Shanti Sumartojo, Monash University, Australia
Kärt Summatavet, University of Tartu, Estonia
Qian Sun, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Azra Sungu, Illinois Institute of Technology, USA
Mari Suoheimo, The Oslo School of Architecture and Design, Norway
Aditi Surana, Design Informatics, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Patrick Susini, IRCAM, France
Sally Sutherland, University of Brighton, United Kingdom
Bruno Sutil, FGV EAESP, Brazil
Ben Sweeting, University of Brighton, United Kingdom
Oliver Szasz, Macromedia University of Applied Sciences, Germany
Raditya Ardianto Taepoer, Chiba University, Japan
Elise Talgorn, Royal Philips / Delft University of Technology, Netherlands

Anna Talley, University of Edinburgh / DRS, United Kingdom
Linus Tan, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia
Hsien-Hui Tang, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Francisco Tapia, University of Leeds, United Kingdom
Virginia Tassinari, Politecnico di Milano, Belgium
Sarah Teasley, RMIT University, Australia
Andris Teikmanis, Art Academy of Latvia, Latvia
Carlos Teixeira, Institute of Design, Illinois Tech, USA
Irem Tekogul, Illinois Institute of Technology, USA
Ida Telalbasic, Loughborough University London, United Kingdom
Koldo Telleria-andueza, EHU UPV, Spain
Andres Tellez, Appalachian State University, USA
Jan Tepe, University of Borås - Swedish School of Textiles, Sweden
Mellina Terres, UFCSPA, Brazil
Nazlı Terzioğlu, United Kingdom
Test Test, Test, USA
Myra Thiessen, Monash University, Australia
Joyce K Thomas, Auburn University, USA
Jana Thompson, North Carolina State University, USA
Tassy Thompson, University of South Eastern Norway, Norway
Alison Thomson, Queen Mary, University of London, United Kingdom
Katja Thoring, Technical University of Munich, Germany
T. Shea Tilllman, Auburn University, USA
Sebnem Timur, Ozyegin University, Turkiye
Martín Tironi, Pontificie Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile
Noopur Tiwari, UID, India
Nate Tkacz, The University of Warwick, United Kingdom
Rikke Toft, Denmark
Reto Togni, ETH Zurich, Switzerland
Christine Toh, University of Nebraska at Oklahoma, USA
Ignacio Toledo, Universidad del Desarrollo, Chile
Christian Tollestrup, Aalborg University, Denmark
Leandro Miletto Tonetto, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Damla Tonuk, METU, Turkiye
James Tooze, University of Brighton, United Kingdom
Simone Torresin, University of Trento, Italy
Guilherme Tortorella, University of Melbourne, Australia
Hande Işık Tosun, Tobb Etu, Turkiye
Rojda Tosun, Public Legal Design, Germany
Robert Tovey, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Test Track Chair, test, Afghanistan
Joshua Trees, Pratt Institute, USA
Nynke Tromp, TU Delft, Netherlands
Katja Tschimmel, University of Porto, Portugal
Emmanuel Tsekleves, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
Dion Tuckwell, Monash University, Australia
Amy Twigger Holroyd, Nottingham Trent University, United Kingdom
Tau Ulv Lenskjold, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark
Kelly Umstead, North Carolina State University, USA
Isabelle Şöhret Uner, Birmingham City University, United Kingdom
Megan Urban, Carnegie Mellon University / State University of New York at Fredonia, USA
German Valenzuela, University of Talca, USA
Julia Valle Noronha, Estonian Academy of Arts, Estonia
Anna Vallgårda, IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark
Francesca Valsecchi, Tongji University, China
Frederick M. C. van Amstel, University of Florida, USA
Margot van den Brink, Netherlands
Antonius Van den Broek, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Mieke van der Bijl-Brouwer, TU Delft, Netherlands
Vera van der Burg, TU Delft, Netherlands
Remko van der Lugt, Utrecht University of Applied Sciences, Netherlands

Willem van der Maden, ITU Copenhagen, Netherlands
Mascha van der Voort, University of Twente, Netherlands
Karel van der Waarde, Graphic Design - Research, Belgium
Jelle van Dijk, University of Twente, Netherlands
Niels van Huizen, University of Twente, Netherlands
Saskia van Kampen, San Francisco State University, USA
Lieselotte van Leeuven, University of Gothenburg, HDK-Valand, Sweden
Maarten Van Mechelen, Denmark
Mateus van Stralen, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil
Koen van Turnhout, Hogeschool van Utrecht, Netherlands
Nicholas Vanderschantz, University of Waikato, New Zealand
Theodora Vardouli, McGill University, Canada
Xanat Vargas Meza, University of Tsukuba, Japan
Rosana Vasques, USP, Brazil
Federico Vaz, Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Silvia Veiga-Seijo, Queen Margaret Univesity, United Kingdom
Rodrigo Vera, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile
Amalia Verdu-Sanmartin, University of Turku, Finland
Roberto Verganti, USA
G. Arno Verhoeven, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Jouke Verlinden, University of Antwerp, Belgium
Emilija Veselova, Aalto University, Finland
Helle Vesti, Aalborg University, Denmark
Arianna Vignati, UNSW, Australia
Susann Vihma, Aalto uni, Finland
Diana Pamela Villa Alvarez, Fundación Saldarriaga Concha, Colombia
John Vines, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Josina Vink, Oslo School of Architecture & Design (AHO), Norway
Joanne Vinke-de Kruijf, University of Twente, Netherlands
Klaasjan Visscher, University of Twente, Netherlands
Daniel Charles Vlahos, Merrimack College, USA
Valentina Volpi, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Bettina von Stamm, Innovation Leadership Forum, Germany
Thomas Georg Vrachliotis, TU Delft, Netherlands
Son Vu Dang, Carleton University, Canada
Shantanu Vyas, Texas A&M University, USA
Thijs Waardenburg, University of Twente, Netherlands
Bruce Walker, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Jayne Wallace, United Kingdom
Niki Wallace, University of the Arts London, United Kingdom
Greg Walsh, University of Baltimore, USA
Yixiao Wang, Georgia Tech, USA
Patrick Waterson, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Kathleen Waterston, USA
Penelope Webb, Philips North America, USA
Frithjof Wegener, Warwick Business School, Netherlands
Markus Wernli, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong
Michelle Westerlaken, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom
Renee Wever, Linköping University, Sweden
Judy Whipps, GVSU, USA
Andrew James Whitcomb, Arizona State University, USA
Roger Whitham, Lancaster University, United Kingdom
Mikael Wiberg, Umea University, Sweden
Catherine Wieczorek, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Catherine Wieczorek, USA
Danielle Wilde, SDU, Denmark
Sabine Wildevuur, University of Twente, Netherlands
Alex Wilkie, Goldsmiths University of London, United Kingdom
Rua M. Williams, Purdue University, USA
Sarah Williams, MIT, USA
Anne-Marie Willis, University of Tasmania, Australia

Paul Wilson, University of Leeds, United Kingdom
Heather Wiltse, Umeå University, Sweden
Jerrod Bradley Windham, Auburn University, USA
Suzanne Wint, independent scholar, USA
Jordan Wirfs-Brock, Whitman College, USA
Andrew Witt, Harvard University, USA
Ryan Wittingslow, University of Groningen, Netherlands
Rachel A. Wood, Open University, United Kingdom
Xueting Wu, Arizona State University, USA
Jieling Xiao, Birmingham City University, United Kingdom
Zijie Xie, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
Yaohan Xing, Birmingham City University, United Kingdom
Jie Xu, China Academy of Art, China
Aria Chien-hui Yang, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong
Eunhwa Yang, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Maria Yang, MIT, USA
Ya-Chun Yang, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan
Dvora Yanow, Netherlands
Joyce Yee, Northumbria University, United Kingdom
Yuanyuan Yin, University of Southampton, United Kingdom
Ahu Yolac, Lawrence Technological University, USA
Daisy Yoo, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands
So-Yeon Yoon, Cornell University, USA
Jinlong Yuan, Arizona State University, USA
PAULINA YURMAN, Central St Martin's College of Art and Design, United Kingdom
Cristina Zaga, University of Twente, Netherlands
Bieke Zaman, Belgium
Theo Zamenopoulos, The Open University, United Kingdom
Keila Zari, Denmark
Cecilia Zecca, Helen Hamlyn Centre for Design / Royal College of Art, United Kingdom
Xiaorui Zhang, United Kingdom
Wenqi Zheng, Arizona State University, USA
Jing Zhou, Monmouth University, USA
Haiou Zhu, University of Oxford, United Kingdom
Siqi Zhu, Sasaki, USA
Emilene Zitkus, United Kingdom
Ilya Zitter, Netherlands
Yushan Zou, Southwest University, China
Wang Zunfu, Hunan University, China
Neena Singh Zutshi, World University of Design, India

Contents

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International Licence.

Editorial: Welcome to DRS 2024

A very warm welcome to DRS2024, a historic moment as we gather for the first DRS conference in the United States. Design research in the US is rich but distributed and we hope with this conference to not only bring together design researchers across the US, but to provide an opportunity to connect with design researchers across the globe. This milestone is a testament to the expanding reach and inclusivity of the design research community. Our journey to this conference has been one of dedication and collaboration, highlighting the resilience, adaptability, and increased reach of our field.

Boston, a city renowned for its academic traditions and historical significance, is our setting for the conference this year. And Northeastern University's College of Arts, Media, and Design is our host institution, in partnership with the Harvard University Graduate School of Design and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Morningside Academy for Design. We are also welcomed by the City of Boston Mayor's Office of New Urban Mechanics, the Design Museum Foundation, and the Museum of Fine Arts Boston. This setting provides an inspiring backdrop for a conference that values the impact of design in a multitude of contexts and that reflects the diverse and dynamic nature of design research.

The call for papers for DRS2024 resulted in a huge response, with 1184 abstracts and 869 full paper submissions—over a 47% increase from DRS2022. Of these submissions, 78 were desk rejected and 791 papers underwent rigorous peer review. Based on this review process, we are pleased to include 386 accepted papers in our program, reflecting a 44.4% acceptance rate. This rigorous selection process ensures that we continue to uphold the highest standards of academic excellence in design research.

Our program is rich and varied, featuring 29 conversations and 38 workshops designed to foster deep engagement and lively debate. We are very pleased to welcome over 770 delegates, including more than 180 online participants and 590 participants on-site in Boston. This hybrid blend of in-person and online participation, a key feature of DRS2022 in Bilboa, enriches our conference through making it accessible.

Research papers remain at the center of the conference, and we are proud to present 28 invited theme tracks, comprising 342 of the accepted papers. These tracks cover a wide array of topics, from more-than-human design and sound design to design for policy, ethics, and pluriversal design. Themes that reflect both established and emerging areas of interest within the design research community. While many of these tracks are sponsored by

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International Licence.

DRS Special Interest Groups (SIGs), others represent new and exciting areas of exploration.

The diversity of our theme tracks underscores the expansive nature of design research. Our field continues to progress and evolve, integrating new perspectives from disciplines such as anthropology, politics, economics, law, and healthcare. This cross-disciplinary approach enriches our knowledge creation and pushes the boundaries of design research.

PhD and early-career researchers play a crucial role in this conference, representing the next generation of design scholars. Their fresh perspectives and innovative approaches are helping to shape the future of our field. We welcome those for whom DRS2024 is their first opportunity to participate in a conference, we hope you will have a rewarding and transformative experience.

Supporting and enabling the design research community is the DRS Digital Library, a central hub for disseminating design research with almost 1 million downloads. Since its inception in 2020, the Library has become a vital resource, facilitating connections, promoting collaboration, and communicating the scope and value of design research.

As we gather in Boston, we celebrate not only the achievements of our discipline but also the potential for future contributions. DRS2024 is a platform for new ideas, new connections, and new opportunities. We look forward to the powerful discussions and collaborations that will emerge from this conference, driving design research forward in innovative and impactful ways.

Acknowledgements

We extend our deepest gratitude to everyone who made DRS2024 possible. Special thanks to our local hosts in Boston for their support and hospitality. We also thank the 133 Theme Track Chairs and 1067 Reviewers for their incredible dedication and hard work, ensuring the high quality of this conference.

We are grateful to all the authors who submitted their work for review. Your contributions are the heart of this conference, and we hope that you will continue to engage with and grow within the design research community.

Finally, we acknowledge the invaluable efforts of our support team and volunteers. Your commitment and countless hours of work have made this conference a reality.

DRS2024 Proceedings Editors:

Colin M. Gray, Estefania Ciliotta Chehade,
Paul Hekkert, Laura Forlano, and Paolo Ciuccarelli

26 How Do You Sound Design? Articulating Experiences and Cultures via Listening

Session chairs

Nicolas Misdariis, Stefano Delle Monache, and Elif Özcan

Editorial

Stefano Delle Monache, Nicolas Misdariis, Elif Özcan, Daniel Hug, Sara Lenzi, Sandra Pauletto, Davide Rocchesso, and Simone Spagnol

https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.168

Sound-Driven Design: Foundations

Designing [The, With, Against] Sound [For]: Towards A Semantic-oriented Coding Scheme For Protocol Studies In Sound-driven Design

Stefano Delle Monache[1], Elif Özcan[1], Nicolas Misdariis[2]
[1]Critical Alarms Lab, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands; [2]STMS Ircam-Cnrs-SU / SPD group - Institute for Research and Coordination in Acoustics/Music, France

Sound-driven design is a collaborative and multidisciplinary design activity which uses sound as catalyst of the design approach. We present a semantic-oriented methodology and coding system to capture the diversity of sound-driven concepts that support the design process. We evaluate the methodology in a protocol study of a design team, composed of one sound designer, one acoustic engineer, one designer, and one expert user, engaged in exploring the listening dimension in the caregiving experience. We use linkographic analysis to integrate and evaluate our coding scheme. The methodology proves to be effective in revealing the semantic models of the participants and representing their semantic contribution to the design process. Two protocol studies in the same context are in progress to iterate the methodology and the coding scheme. The results are expected to provide a solid ground to devise methods and boundary tools to facilitate participation and co-creation in sound-driven design.

https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.761

Augmenting soundscapes of ICUs: A Collaborative approach

Gijs Louwers[1,2], Sylvia Pont[1], Esther Van der Heide[3], Diederik Gommers[2], Elif Özcan[1,2]
[1]Faculty of Industrial Design Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands; [2]Department of ICU, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands;

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International Licence.

[3]EHM Solutions Research Hospital Patient Monitoring, Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

In this study we investigated characteristics for designing soundscape augmentations within Intensive Care Units (ICUs). We introduced soundscape perception and fundamental needs as the basis of design strategies for augmenting sound-scapes experienced by critically ill patients. We used results of a previous study, where in interviews regarding current ICU soundscapes, patients revealed four concerns and underlying needs. They found that ICU soundscapes were alienating, unvaried, unfamiliar, and disruptive. These insights were used as contextual inputs in a collaborative workshop with ICU nurses, resident doctors, and researchers. In separate groups, they developed three system concepts named Smart Environmental Assistant, Patient Soundscape Dashboard, and Familiar Wake-ups. Based on qualities of these concepts, we found five characteristics for designing effective soundscape augmentation systems for ICUs: personalized, user-friendly, integrated, humanized, and familiar. These characteristics, rooted in perspectives of various ICU experts, are essential for reshaping the ICU soundscape into a more positive listening experience.

https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.792

Guiding design students to sound-driven design from the base camp of semiotics

Rosana Sanz-Segura[1,2], Eduardo Manchado-Pérez[1]
[1]School of Engineering and Architecture. University of Zaragoza (Spain); [2]Critical Alarms Lab (CAL). TU Delft Faculty of Industrial Design Engineering.

The lack of knowledge in the field of sound-driven design lags our educational efforts to teach BSc students about the role of sound in current design process methodologies. Teaching programs rarely include subjects dedicated to creating a coherent experience using data-to-sound strategies, sound informativeness, or the interactions that users have with product sounds. Understanding sound at the same level as other sensory cues prepares students to enrich the usability, attractiveness, and communicative qualities of products, services, and systems. This contribution aims to provide an integrative and multidisciplinary perspective of sound-driven design through the adaptation and application of the 'Design Framework for Audible Alarms' as a conceptual design tool in semiotics. The framework is exemplified through several design cases carried out in the sessions of the subject 'Semiotics in design' during two academic years, as part of the BSc in Industrial Design and Product Development Engineering.

https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.855

It sounds sustainable: practices in designing sound for sustainability

Georgios Marentakis[1], Doriana Dal Palù[2]
[1]Østfold University College, Norway; [2]Politecnico di Torino, Italy

Design for sustainability has received significant attention in the past years. Start-ing from green design, the focus gradually shifted towards eco-design, the circu-lar economy, and sustainable development. Meanwhile, design for pro-environmental behavior highlighted the importance of designing for a sustaina-ble use phase of products. Sound design encompasses several practices such as in sound for film, radio and podcasting, sound for interaction and games, and prod-uct sound design. Sound is an important element of any

experience and can con-vey several emotional and aesthetic product qualities. The relationship between designing sound and designing for sustainability, however, has not received much attention. Motivated by this, we review here situations in which sound design has been used when designing for sustainability or sustainable behavior. Subse-quently, we relate this to the broader perspectives offered by design for sustain-ability and identify opportunities for cross-fertilization between the two fields.

https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.791

Towards a Definition of Autographic Sonifications: Listening as an Act of Knowledge

Sara Lenzi[1,2], Paolo Ciuccarelli[3], Dietmar Offenhuber[3]
[1]Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain; [2]Faculty of Engineering, Universidad de Deusto, Bilbao, Spain; [3]College of Arts, Media and DesignCAMD, Northeastern University, Boston, United States

In recent years, sonification as a method to analyze, represent and communicate data through sound has grown significantly showing a diversity of purposes, users, and topics. In data journalism, education, art, or data monitoring, sound is used to both support and engage experts, researchers, and the general public with a broad range of scientific and social phenomena. As the field is moving towards shared design and evaluation processes, new practices seem to emerge that put the listener at the center. By analyzing recent cases from the Data Sonification Archive, the paper proposes a definition of autographic sonification as a self-encoding process in which the act of listening becomes central to making sense of complex phenomena.

https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.729

Sound-Driven Design in Action

ICU alarm management reimagined: Sound-driven design and the role of acoustic biotope

Idil Bostan[1,2], René van Egmond[1], Diederik Gommers[2], Elif Özcan[1,2]
[1]Faculty of Industrial Design Engineering, Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands; 2Adult Intensive Care, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

Staff well-being and patient safety are undermined by false alarms in the ICU. This study focuses on enhancing the effectiveness of sound-induced actions in the ICU by assessing the distinctness and informativeness of alarm sound events as perceived by nursing staff. We investigated the alarm load in an adult ICU, with an emphasis on alarm durations and their impact on actionability. As a strategy to mitigate false alarms, we simulated the introduction of alarm delays and examined how this affected alarm characteristics across various vital parameters. Results demonstrate that the introduction of alarm delays reduce the number of alarms remarkably, with a 10-second delay eliminating more than half of the alarms. Our results indicate that delays should be tailored to each specific vital parameter and medical context. We further address key considerations for implementing alarm delays in alarm management practice.

https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.553

Designing tools for designers: The Data Sonification Canvas

Sara Lenzi[1,2], Paolo Ciuccarelli[3,4]
[1]Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain; [2]Deusto Tech, Faculty of Engineering, Universidad de Deusto, Spain; [3]Center for Design, Northeastern University, Boston, United States; [4]Department of Design, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Although data sonification i.e., the use of sound to represent data is gaining momentum, its impact is still limited. The lack of shared design methods and tools is seen as an obstacle for the expansion of sonification from a scientific method to a mass-medium for better human-data interaction. The Data Sonification Canvas is a design tool that supports authors during the creative process. Our paper first describes the genesis of the Canvas, grounded in expert interviews and literature from sound design for film, human-computer interaction, and data visualization. We then present an evaluation study with 20 participants that measured the pragmatic and hedonic quality of the Canvas. Results show that users consider it a valuable, self-oriented, and practical tool that meets their needs in a structured, yet straightforward manner. Areas of improvement include uniform the terminology; increase accessibility; include multi-media content and customize the spatial organization of the components.

https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.730

Designing Sound for Public Spaces Through a Research-Creation Collaboration Framework

Valérian Fraisse[1,2,3], Marcelo Mortensen Wanderley[1,3], Nicolas Misdariis[2], Catherine Guastavino[3,4]
[1]Schulich School of Music, McGill University; [2]STMS IRCAM-CNRS-SU; [3]Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Music Media and Technology; 4School of Information Studies, McGill University

When designing a sound installation in public spaces, creators consider a wide range of factors related to the site where it will be deployed as part of the artistic statement. However, anticipating the impact of the sound installation on user experience is difficult in the absence of established methods to inform the design and evaluate the outcomes. Based on three case studies involving sound artists and soundscape researchers, we propose a research-creation collaboration framework through four stages: 1) field recordings of pre-existing sound environments; 2) diagnosis of pre-existing sound environments and public space usage; 3) sound installation prototyping in laboratory settings; 4) evaluation after deployment. These stages, alone or in combination, can systematically inform – or eventually drive – the design and evaluation of new sound installations in public spaces.

https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.1083

Designing through ecological soundscape to foster human-nature interaction

Francesca Valsecchi, Herun Chen, Qingyu Zhang
Tongji University, China, People's Republic of, College of Design and Innovation

Sense of hearing provides an effective, immersive and empathetic way to observe, map and explore places with a more-than-human approach. In our contemporary mediascape inundated by visual stimuli, the rediscovery of sound offers a different understanding of the world. By discussing two research-based sound design projects, this study discusses 1) the potential of using soundscape as an empathetic research tool in multispecies ethnography and 2) how soundscape as a communication media could stimulate more-than-human exploration and empathy. Such design outcomes develop an empathic and conscious understanding of human-nature interaction, even in an urban context. Intersecting science, research and creative practices, the projects use soundscape for ecological exploration and narratives. The projects contribute to how ecological soundscape plays a significant role in the sound-driven design process to re-establish kinship between humans and more-than-humans, thereby nurturing urban-nature re-discovery and coexistence.

https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.752

Acoustic patterns for urban attractors

Juan Salamanca
University of Illinois, United States of America

This study proposes the use of forms of entrainment as an interaction design principle for social computing. Entrainment could be used to design computational artifacts that produce socially beneficial effects, suitable for design projects that promote collaborative action. This study explores acoustic entrainment to gather cyclists into groups to reduce carbon-intensive urban commuting. The system uses visual cues and rhythmic patterns to gather riders with similar routes around mobile GPS signals. Preliminary results from a small empirical study (n=8) show how pattern phasing can encourage riders to accelerate. This method has limitations in communicating negative acceleration and proximity. Adjusting pattern tempo and volume may compensate for this shortcoming. Conclusions are drawn concerning the effects of acoustic entrainment on cyclist's engagement with others and with the environment.

https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.637

Design Research Society
DRS Digital Library

DRS Biennial Conference Series DRS2024: Boston

Jun 23rd, 9:00 AM - Jun 28th, 5:00 PM

It sounds sustainable: practices in designing sound for sustainability

Georgios Marentakis
Østfold University College, Norway

Doriana Dal Palù
Politecnico di Torino, Italy

Follow this and additional works at: https://dl.designresearchsociety.org/drs-conference-papers

 Part of the Art and Design Commons

Citation

Marentakis, G., and Dal Palù, D. (2024) It sounds sustainable: practices in designing sound for sustainability, in Gray, C., Ciliotta Chehade, E., Hekkert, P., Forlano, L., Ciuccarelli, P., Lloyd, P. (eds.), *DRS2024: Boston*, 23–28 June, Boston, USA. https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.791

This Research Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the DRS Conference Proceedings at DRS Digital Library. It has been accepted for inclusion in DRS Biennial Conference Series by an authorized administrator of DRS Digital Library. For more information, please contact dl@designresearchsociety.org.

DRS BOSTON 2024 JUNE 24 — 28

It sounds sustainable: practices in designing sound for sustainability

Georgios Marentakis[a,*], Doriana Dal Palù[b]

[a]Department of Computer Science and Communication, Østfold University College, Norway
[b]DAD – Department of Architecture and Design, Politecnico di Torino, Italy

*Corresponding e-mail: georgios.marentakis@hiof.no

doi.org/10.21606/drs.2024.791

Abstract: Design for sustainability has received significant attention in the past years. Starting from green design, the focus gradually shifted towards eco-design, the circular economy, and sustainable development. Meanwhile, design for pro-environmental behavior highlighted the importance of designing for a sustainable use phase of products. Sound design encompasses several practices such as in sound for film, radio and podcasting, sound for interaction and games, and product sound design. Sound is an important element of any experience and can convey several emotional and aesthetic product qualities. The relationship between designing sound and designing for sustainability, however, has not received much attention. Motivated by this, we review here situations in which sound design has been used when designing for sustainability or sustainable behavior. Subsequently, we relate this to the broader perspectives offered by design for sustainability and identify opportunities for cross-fertilization between the two fields.

Keywords: sound design; sustainable behavior; design for sustainability; eco-feedback

1. Introduction

Since the beginning of the century, several researchers have investigated how design research can contribute to solving the great sustainability challenges faced by humanity. The results of these investigations formed a notable trend within design research: *designing for sustainability*, which underwent through several refinements over the last years as did the very concept of sustainability.

Designing for sustainability has reached into several fields not necessarily strictly related to product design such as architecture and the built environment, transport, resource use and management, computing and interaction design, health but also music, art, and culture

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International Licence.

which only testifies to the fact that design is an area of major importance in the journey towards more responsible and sustainable production and consumption model (Bhamra & Hernandez, 2021).

Design for sustainability is concerned with all phases of the product lifecycle including the use phase. Sound is an essential part of the experience and use of products and can be shaped by design in accordance with predefined requirements. Methods for sound design become relevant in this context. Sound design existed for several years as a practice in film, animation, and contemporary music but is nowadays receiving significant attention by design researchers involved in sonic interaction design, auditory display, warnings and alarms, computer games, and sonic interactions with products.

Researchers in sound and music interacted relatively early with the sustainability discourse, which led to research in soundscape, acoustic communication, and acoustic ecology (Schafer, 1993; Truax, 2012; Westerkamp, 2002a). Initial attention was in understanding the soundscape and how it is perceived by listeners (Schafer, 1993), how information flows and creates relationships between listeners and their environments, and to the system of relationships between organisms and their sonic environments. This research also influenced music and composition (Truax, 1996; Westerkamp, 2002a). Subsequent investigations can be found in the related fields of ecoacoustics (Farina & Gage, 2017) and bioacoustics. Acoustic ecology helped sensitize to our changing soundscape but also to the adverse effects of noise (WHO, 2018).

Design has been an issue within acoustic ecology in particular in relationship to designing soundscape interventions for urban spaces. In such cases, users are asked to adjust the relative balance between ambient recordings, foreground sources, and the sound of possible interventions e.g., (Botteldooren et al., 2020; Davies et al., 2014; Stevens et al., 2018; Sudarsono et al., 2017). However, the approach taken when designing and evaluating soundscape is not entirely compatible with the *designing for sustainability* discourse, which often is directed to topics such as the circular economy, green product design, and sustainable behavior. More recently, however, researchers were involved in understanding how sound can be used in contexts closer to the ones targeted when *designing for sustainability*. However, there has been little effort to understand how the two fields interact and provide possibilities for cross-fertilization.

We attempt here to provide this perspective by analyzing the results of a literature review aiming to identify existing connections between the two fields (Figure 1). We proceed by presenting key concepts in designing for sustainability and in sound design. Then we present the results of the literature review and our interpretation on the main categories that emerge as a result of an inductive analysis of the publications we have identified. We then close with a discussion of perspectives we consider worth investigating further in relation to sound design for sustainability. We find that there is significant potential for innovation through *designing sound for sustainability*.

Figure 1 A visualization of the process followed.

2. Design for sustainability

Design for sustainability has been an area of research that has developed significantly over the last 30 years. Following the first definitions of sustainable development in the late 1980s, initial efforts such as *Green design* primarily focused on lowering environmental impact through redesigning (Burall, 1991). *Eco design* emerged as a design approach soon thereafter that aimed to reduce the environmental impact of each stage of the product life cycle – material acquisition, manufacturing, use, and disposal - in accordance to the life cycle approach (Han, 1997). More recent approaches highlighted the social and human-related aspects emphasizing user behavior during the use phase. The Product-Service Systems (PSS) approach (Roy, 2000) aims to reduce the amount of physical products circulating by leveraging shared use, social design, and related services. Emotionally durable design has similar aims but focuses on forming stronger emotional bonds between products and users (J. A. Chapman, 2008). Design for Sustainable Behavior (Bhamra & Hernandez, 2021; Lilley, 2009) also focuses on the use phase emphasizing and the resources required for product operation. Design for sustainable behavior draws on environmental psychology and theories of pro-environmental behavior (Klöckner, 2015) but also behavior change (Daae & Boks, 2014). The use of eco-feedback (Froehlich et al., 2010) is quite prominent to inform users on resource use, enable comparisons but also to help keep track with consumption goals.

3. Sound design

Sound design originated as a practice in film and product sound design but nowadays finds applications in video games, auditory display and sonification, sonic interaction design, aural architecture, etc. Sound design aims to make these (listening) intentions audible (Susini et al., 2014) and designers target sound form (i.e., sound quality) and sound function (i.e., purpose in the context of use). Cera et al. (Cera et al., 2016) emphasizes composing sound using a lexicon of *physically-grounded phenomena*, thus keeping a direct link to sound imagination.

Most sound design processes evolve in a linear manner. A well-cited approach consists of analysis, creating, and testing steps (Misdariis & Cera, 2017; Misdariis & Hug, 2020; Susini et al., 2014). A similar three-step approach called briefing, debriefing, and validation is presented by Carron (Carron et al., 2014). Özcan & van Egmond (Özcan & van Egmond, 2006)

also propose a linear sound design process that involves problem analysis, conceptual design, embodiment and detailing steps and included small feedback cycles.

Similarly, Nykänen et al. (Nykänen, 2008; Nykänen et al., 2015) also propose a linear process which involves identifying customer needs, establishing target specifications, generating, selecting, and testing product concepts, setting final specifications, planning development and obtaining feedback in focus groups.

Sound design often includes a sketching step which aims to support the thinking process but also to store and communicate ideas (Marentakis, 2023). Several approaches to sketching have been proposed which include the use of low-fi sound (Nykänen et al., 2015), sketching using similar sounds (Buxton, 2010; Kemper & Hug, 2014; Pirhonen et al., 2007), performative sketching (Kemper & Hug, 2014; Pauletto, 2014), embodied sketching (Delle Monache et al., 2018; Jansen et al., 2011), and verbal sketching (Carron et al., 2017). Cera et al. (Cera et al., 2016) suggested designing sound by transforming sound sketches into sound designs.

The aforementioned processes combine design and evaluation steps and emphasize function similar to designing for auditory displays and sonification (Barrass, 1998; Brazil & Fernström, 2009; Frauenberger & Stockman, 2009). Hug & Misdariis (Hug & Misdariis, 2011), however, identified tensions between sound design methods and sound design practice. Practitioners tend to weigh form and sonic considerably more than when designing functional sounds (icons, earcons, and sonifications) and emphasized emotional and expressive qualities and context. Activities are hard to identify as parts of a process (Hug, 2020). In reaction to this (Kemper & Hug, 2014) propose a sound-driven performance-led design approach.

4. Sound design and sustainability in the literature

In this section, we present the result of the literature search we carried out. The goal of the literature search was to identify existing connections between sound design and sustainability. The literature search was performed in Google Scholar in two steps. The first was a title search using the keywords: sustainability AND sound, sustainability AND music, and sustainability AND sound design. The second step was a full search for again for sound and sustainability, music and sustainability, and sound design and sustainability but this time in ACM, IEEE, Audio Engineering Society, and Acoustical Society of America and in a number of related conferences such as New Interfaces for Musical Expression, Sound and Music Computing, Audio Mostly, International Conference on Auditory Display. Google scholar was also used for the latter search. Even if soundscape, acoustic communication, and acoustic ecology research have documented links with sustainability, these were not investigated using keywords due to space limitations but also because these research areas are well established but have a limited focus on design (for sustainability). However, we included articles that relate to these areas which were provided but the keyword search we did perform. Finally, we followed up the citation lists in the found articles for references we might have missed. The results were screened for relevance and finally we came up with 105 publications which were considered. The first article in the collection was published in 2002 and the

most recent was from 2024. By observing Figure 3, we see that there is an increasing interest in the intersection between sound design and sustainability research. There were 52 journal articles, 43 conference papers, 6 books, and 4 theses in our sample.

Subsequently the publications were reviewed and categorized according to their research domain as this emerged by considering the publication venue and the disciplinary area we believed was most appropriate to describe the work presented. These are presented in Figure 2. Then an inductive process based on thematic analysis took place in order to identify the research themes according to which the articles could be grouped as deemed appropriate by the two authors. These are presented in the next section. The whole process was summarized in Figure 1.



Figure 2 The distribution of the publication domains (i.e. the disciplinary areas).

5. Themes in sound design and design for sustainability

We present here the results of an inductive analysis of our sample which aimed to identify themes around which the intersections between designing sound and designing for sustainability have formed. The themes and the frequency with which they appeared are presented in Figure 4.

5.1.Sound, music, and the environment

Despite not targeting soundscape research, a number of entries we identified related to the themes of sound, music, and the environment as these appear in the soundscape and acoustic ecology discourse. These include contributions reexamining the notion of soundscape (Droumeva, 2021), studies and devices on noise, ambient and even ultra-sound sound, and perceptual studies on the effect of noise-masking sounds on brain activities (Gerlsbeck & van Orden, 2009; Grimshaw-Aagaard & Bemman, 2022; Li et al., 2022; Lucherelli et al., 2014). We also have studies on ecological sound art (Gilmurray, 2017) and music (Keller & Lazzarini, 2017; Spence & Ballora, 2021) that follow up on established themes in this line of research (Truax, 1996; Westerkamp, 2002b).

5.2. Eco-musicology

Eco-musicology often pops up when looking for articles looking at the relationship between sustainability, sound and music. Eco-musicology sprang out of eco-criticism, a field of literature that studies cultural products which imagine and portray human-environment relationships. In this sense, eco-musicology is eco-critical musicology and not necessarily related to sustainability or the environment (Allen, 2011).



Figure 3 The number of articles by publication year



Figure 4 The frequency of the identified research themes

Still, eco-musicology relates aesthetics to sustainability, advocates sustaining a world that 'looks good, feels good, sounds good, and is good' (Allen et al., 2014; Allen & Dawe, 2015) and reached out into music education (Soliman, 2012). Within this category, we also have a line of research that is looking into the sustainability of music ecosystems, musical heritage, and music in general (Abels, 2015; Castelo-Branco, 2022; Harrison, 2020; Kagan & Kirchberg, 2016; Schippers & Bendrups, 2015; Schippers & Grant, 2016, 2016). (Kagan & Kirchberg, 2016) provide a review of cultural sustainability but also of cultures of sustainability in relation to music including the DIY artist (Oliver, 2010). In total 20 publications were assigned to this category.

5.3. Environmental impact of the music industry

Another line of research looks at the environmental impact of the music industry (Harkins, 2022). We identified 27 publications in this category. Live music and music tourism encourage travel but also energy consumption in live performances and several studies look into the impact on the environment (Brennan et al., 2019, 2020; Chamoff, 2023; Gohoungodji & Amara, 2024; Schmid, 2024). Sustainability has also been considered among music therapists (Bolger & McFerran, 2013). Streaming music has resulted in that 'the price that consumers are willing to pay for listening to recorded music has never been lower than today, yet the hidden environmental impact of that experience is enormous' (Brennan, 2020; Brennan & Devine, 2019, 2020; Della Wirasti et al., 2023). The environmental impact of music also includes music production but also the manufacturing of loudspeakers and musical instruments both acoustic and electronic ones (Isenegger et al., 2024; Kahl-Placek, 2022). Quite

striking is the observation that while the amount of non-recyclable materials in acoustic instruments in small, this is not the case with electronic instruments which are primarily made of plastic and electronic components (Brennan, 2020). Furthermore, much of the commodities produced e.g., vinyl plates often originate in non-renewable oil-based plastics.

Another line of research looks closer on the environmental impact of the internet of sounds or the internet of audio things and considers how this can become sustainable (Cannam et al., 2012; Gabrielli & Turchet, 2022; Lostanlen et al., 2021; Turchet et al., 2020, 2023).

5.4.Sound design for sustainable behavior

A significant body of work exists in auditory and sonification for sustainable behavior in particular with the provision of sonic eco-feedback using non-speech sound, while more recently conversational agents also come into play.

Fickert et al. (Fickert et al., 2006) developed SonEnvir an environment for working with data (including electrical) in scientific and artistic ways using sonification. Lockton et al. (Lockton et al., 2014b, 2014a, 2017, 2019) has investigated the use of sonification and ambient auditory feedback for electricity consumption. Lockton et al. (Lockton et al., 2019) further developed ideas in an installation. (Ford et al., 2014) looked intro designing audio feedback to current electricity consumption level. Groß-Vogt et al. (Groß-Vogt et al., 2018, 2020) also look into sonifying the energy consumption of a research institute kitchen using an artificial reverberation system. (Madaghiele & Pauletto, 2022) created a sonic carpet which sonified that amount of energy, emissions, as well as the grid power source. Pauletto et al. (Pauletto et al., 2023) also investigate sonification of energy consumption using the sound of fire consisting of a fire base mixed with the sound of crackles. Giudice et al. (Giudice et al., 2019) develop a framework for the development of conversational agents for supporting domestic sustainability. Cowden & Dosiek (Cowden & Dosiek, 2018) looked at sonifying the voltage in the power grid in order to provide a window to this complex phenomenon.

Concerning water, Waterbot (Arroyo et al., 2005) monitors faucet water flow, and provides auditory feedback when tap is closed, visual feedback about consumption (light & sound). Fernström & Taylor (Fernström & Taylor, 2010) looked into sonifying water toxicity using pitch and duration of sounds to represent the number of Daphnia magna crustaceans in a Petri dish. Hammerschmidt et al. (Hammerschmidt et al., 2013) focus on enhancing the showering experience and present a system that uses auditory feedback and blended sonification. Stewart and Willis (Stewart et al., 2013; Willis et al., 2010) experimented with the WaiTEK Shower Monitor which (together with a visual display) sounds an alarm (beeps) for excessive water consumption. Groß-Vogt (Groß-Vogt, 2020) developed a smart jar which would remind people to keep up with the regular water intake using a sound whole amplitude varied in inverse proportion to the distance to the drinking goal. Bird sounds were used. Sohn & Nam (Sohn & Nam, 2015) developed several feedback designs for sinks. Golan & Fenko (Golan & Fenko, 2015) demonstrate that amplifying the sound of water in faucets can change the judgment of the amount of running water which can be used to motivate people to close the tap faster. Seznec & Pauletto (Seznec & Pauletto, 2022b) investigate a

workshop methodology for getting input towards the design of sonic interactions related to energy in the home setting. Seznec & Pauletto (Seznec & Pauletto, 2022a) develop the signing shower in which singing is used to activate water flow and help reduce water use towards to this of a naval shower.

Sound has also been used to help reduce fuel consumption. Hammerschmidt & Hermann (Hammerschmidt & Hermann, 2017) used a continuous sonification of fuel consumption. Compared to a visual display the sonifications helped reduce consumption and optimize engine RPM. Jamson et al. (Jamson et al., 2015) point out that for visual displays, the inclusion of complementary auditory feedback not only improved eco-driving performance, but also lowered visual distraction. Ketonen (Ketonen, 2021) perform parameter mapping sonification of air quality data.

Another application of sound design for sustainable behavior can be found in influencing consumer choices in retail environments. Nature sounds have been used by retailers to enhance in-store ambiance, but also contributed to customers make more sustainable food choices. In fact, 'nature sounds might therefore be an effective, yet subtle in-store tool to use on groups of consumers who might otherwise respond negatively to more overt forms of sustainable food information' (Spendrup et al., 2016). On the other side, hedonic attributes such as luxury (Lageat et al., 2003) or premiumness (Almiron et al., 2021) associated to materials and product sounds are more investigated.

5.5. Product sound

The relationship between product sound and the perception of sustainable product properties, what could provide a basis for the aesthetics of sustainability, has been little investigated. A very recent investigation was carried out on the sensoaesthetic sustainable properties of biomaterials, in terms of "sensory enhancement" and the construction of a "memory identity," with a focus on those offered by new biomaterials applied here to create drumsticks (Inglese et al., 2023). In the same category, we find studies looking at designing sound for electric vehicles (Kato & Yokote, 2022; Lee et al., 2023).

5.6. Sustainable Acoustics

Such investigations have already taken place for novel but also existing materials for sound treatment which have been investigated from an acoustical but also a sustainability point of view (Desarnaulds et al., 2005; Pelletier et al., 2019). Furthermore, considerations are being made concerning the impact of such materials on the acoustics of new spaces (Rogers, 2006; Salter et al., 2006; Wilson, 2017) but also into designing sustainable 3D printed instruments (Jackson, 2017).

6. Designing sound for sustainability

Motivated by the desire to explore links between the designing for sustainability and sound design literature, we carried out a literature review which we then analyzed in order to identify the major trends in addressing sustainability within sound design. Our intention was to

scope the areas of convergence between the two domains in order to move closer to what we imagine can become *designing sound for sustainability*: a niche that is concerned with the the design of sustainable practices on the basis of an enhanced aural perception of what is sustainable. In the literature, we identified the following research themes: (1) sound, music, and the environment, (2) eco-musicology, (3) designing sound for sustainable behavior, (4) product sound design, and (5) sustainable acoustics.

The articles in the first category essentially follow up already established directions within soundscape and acoustic ecology research. Links to *designing sound for sustainability* originate in understanding, documenting, and preserving acoustic cultures and extend to the design of contemporary soundscapes for urban areas. The preservation and documentation of soundscape cultures is a significant resource for sound designers, vital for recreating soundscapes for productions. In addition, the very act of observation and documentation is an important sound design task. Further links may be found in understanding the restorative function of sound and the potential for contribution in sound design for health and well-being. King (King, 2022) reflects on how noise can represent a very real barrier to achieving many of the SDGs, and argues that good sound management, alongside noise control, can assist in the realization of some of the SDGs. However, design is not always central in these investigations and the focus is on the science of soundscape and on how it affects us.

A related category is eco-musicology which focuses on cultural links between music and sustainability. Publications in this category investigate the relationship between music and nature in composition but also the sustainability of musical ecosystems and musical heritage which are endangered by changes in lifestyle and climate. The cultural and social topics addressed here are often absent from the *designing for sustainability* discourse.

The theme of assessing the environmental impact of the music and sound industry is also receiving significant attention. Early results indicate that both live and streamed music have a considerable environmental impact. Several of the concerns regarding the environmental impact of the music industry are likely shared by sound design practitioners who also rely on streaming services and plastic and electronic components for equipment. On the other hand, some sound design practices, for example, foley or sound design using found objects, rely less on such technology, and may thus have a smaller environmental impact. Circular economy (MacArthur & others, 2013) and circular systems suggested that sustainability is a system property and a holistic process-based, multi-scale and systemic approach guided by a vision instead of traditional goal-based optimization approaches (Ceschin & Gaziulusoy, 2016) is necessary. This perspective is not always considered by the music, audio, and sound design industries.

The category in which sound design and design for sustainability intersect the most is probably what we named *sound design for designing for sustainable behavior*. This category includes interventions aiming at reducing electricity, water, and fuel consumption were identified using techniques found in auditory display and sonification. However, in contrast to sev-

eral examples in the *designing for sustainable behavior* literature, comparisons to other modalities are not done and the potential of the proposed designs to encourage behavior change is not evaluated. This is important as sustainable lifestyle, is achieved when the person makes a change in their habits, whether conscious or unconscious, in the direction of new practices (Chick & Micklethwaite, 2011). Departing from the sonification approach often adopted, sound design for sustainable behavior could be directed to the study of the activation of new, more sustainable practices, even at the subliminal level, given the ability of sound to communicate to the final consumer in an immediate and direct, yet subliminal way (Beckerman & Gray, 2014). Why couldn't for example *eco* programs not only be (sometimes) quieter, but also emphasized thanks to sounds characterized by a sustainable identity, and therefore pleasant, convincing, encouraging? The right sound to convey sustainability values will have to be defined for each project, product, and probably culture under consideration in order to truly link it to a shared sustainable meaning (Horlings, 2015).

The next two categories we identified relate to sustainable acoustic materials and their perception and product sound design. The first category is very much aligned with designing for sustainability in particular in relation to building and room acoustics. Product sound design, however, is likely the area in which the least work has been done and significant achievements may be expected in the future. Design for sustainability is tightly related to the materials with which products are produced and the sound of their operation. Subsequently, this context truly enables one to focus on "how a specific product/service/material/interface/system/etc. should sound to communicate its sustainability”? The sonic perception of sustainable qualities, i.e., understanding what is more (or perhaps even truly) sustainable, is a fundamental issue here. Links to product sound memory, visual context, but also affective qualities have been investigated (Nykänen, 2008; Özcan et al., 2014, 2017; Özcan & van Egmond, 2007, 2009, 2012). However, the role of sound in forming “green aesthetics” remains obscure and could have an important role to play in shaping “green aesthetics” and their adoption by customers (J. Chapman, 2014; Rognoli & Karana, 2014; Walker, 2009). Building on training a culture of listening (Purdy, 2000), people can be empowered to distinguish, understand and orient themselves in sustainable ways based on auditory perceptions as, when we could still recognize a bird by its song, or judge the ripeness of a fruit by its full or hollow sound, or identify a celebration by the tolling of a bell (Schafer, 1993).

Such investigations could enable the design of sound that could help differentiate between different types of packaging (plastic, or bioplastic, or paper) and guide purchasing or even recycling decisions. Exciting opportunities emerge also while developing new materials with reduced environmental impact as for example biopolymers and other circular materials. Materials could even be designed so as to sound in ways that communicate values related to sustainability. In this context, the role of material libraries - intended as research centers dedicated to innovative and traditional materials - is crucial, and several organizations invest heavily in this topic (Del Curto et al., 2022; Lerma & Dal Palù, 2016; Miodownik, 2007; Rognoli, 2010). For some material families, and in particular for biopolymers, perceptual qualities related to sustainability have been investigated more thoroughly (Martyn, 2021;

Rognoli et al., 2011). Similarly, explorations on the aesthetics of *revived* (i.e. circular) materials have also been recently published (Du Bois et al., 2021; Sauerwein et al., 2017). In most of these studies, however, the visual aspect is shown to be preponderant (Zafarmand et al., 2003), likely due to lack of familiarity with the material itself.

It is apparent that s*ound design for sustainability* can have a positive impact on different aspects of sustainable development which can be related to the 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Designing sound for sustainability can increase resource use efficiency and lead to a greater adoption of clean technologies and a more conscious use of resources, materials, and inform consumption choices. There are clear links to SDG11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities), SDG12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), SDG9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure), SDG6 (Clean water and Sanitation), and SDG7 (Affordable and Clean Energy). Designing sound for sustainability can increase interest in acoustic ecologies and help shape the soundscape of public environments to support psychological and physical well-being. It can also help shape indoor environments and increase the quality of work or learning, and thus contribute to SDG3 (Good Health and Well-Being). Lastly, the listening education mentioned above, and in particular with a reference to the relationship between sound and sustainability (environmental, economic, social), crosses SDG4 (Quality Education), the cradle of greater attention towards these issues also by the new generations of designers, and ordinary people, key figures for achieving progress in sustainable development.

7. Conclusion

Motivated by significant developments in designing for sustainability and sustainable behavior and in sound design we carried out a literature review to understand better ways to sound sustainable as these have been developed by researchers in the intersections of the two fields. We identified possible intersections in music, sound and sustainability, soundscape, and acoustic ecology, but also sound design for sustainable behavior, and in product sound design, sustainability, and sustainable materials. The results highlight the potential of a sound design for sustainability as a practice directed towards the Sustainable Development Goals aiming to enhance our understanding of what sounds sustainable and how to design for it.

Acknowledgements: This work has been supported by RFF Viken project number 337821 awarded to Georgios Marentakis.

8. References

Abels, B. (2015). *Sound futures: Exploring contexts fur music sustainability*. VWB-Verlag für Wissenschaft und Bildung.

Allen, A. S. (2011). Ecomusicology: Ecocriticism and musicology. *Journal of the American Musicological Society*, *64*(2), 391–394.

Allen, A. S., & Dawe, K. (2015). *Current directions in ecomusicology: Music, culture, nature*. Routledge.

Allen, A. S., Titon, J. T., & Von Glahn, D. (2014). Sustainability and sound: Ecomusicology inside and outside the academy. *Music and Politics*, *8*(2).

Almiron, P., Barbosa Escobar, F., Pathak, A., Spence, C., & Velasco, C. (2021). Searching for the sound of premium beer. *Food Quality and Preference*, *88*, 104088.

Arroyo, E., Bonanni, L., & Selker, T. (2005). Waterbot: Exploring feedback and persuasive techniques at the sink. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 631–639.

Barrass, S. (1998). *Auditory information design*. The Australian National University (Australia).

Beckerman, J., & Gray, T. (2014). *The sonic boom: How sound transforms the way we think, feel, and buy*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

Bhamra, T., & Hernandez, R. J. (2021). Thirty years of design for sustainability: An evolution of research, policy and practice. *Design Science*, *7*, e2.

Bolger, L. E., & McFerran, K. L. S. (2013). Demonstrating sustainability in the practices of music therapists: Reflections from Bangladesh. *Voices: A World Forum for Music Therapy*, *13*, Article 2.

Botteldooren, D., De Pessemier, T., Filipan, K., Sun, K., De Coensel, B., & Van Renterghem, T. (2020). *Modifying and co-creating the urban soundscape through digital technologies*. Edições Universitárias Lusófonas.

Brazil, E., & Fernström, M. (2009). Empirically based auditory display design. *Proceedings of the SMC*, 7–12.

Brennan, M. (2020). The Environmental Sustainability of the Music Industries. In K. Oakley & M. Banks (Eds.), *Cultural Industries and the Environmental Crisis* (pp. 37–49). Springer International Publishing.

Brennan, M., & Devine, K. (2019). Music streaming has a far worse carbon footprint than the heyday of records and CDs–new findings. *The Conversation*, *8*.

Brennan, M., & Devine, K. (2020). The cost of music. *Popular Music*, *39*(1), 43–65.

Brennan, M., Devine, K., & Boudreault-Fournier, A. (2020). The infrastructure and environmental consequences of live music. *Audible Infrastructures: Music, Sound, Media*.

Brennan, M., Scott, J. C., Connelly, A., & Lawrence, G. (2019). Do music festival communities address environmental sustainability and how? A Scottish case study. *Popular Music*, *38*(2), 252–275.

Burall, P. (1991). *Green design, published By The Design Council, London*. United Kingdom.

Buxton, B. (2010). *Sketching user experiences: Getting the design right and the right design*. Morgan Kaufmann.

Cannam, C., Figueira, L. A., & Plumbley, M. D. (2012). Sound software: Towards software reuse in audio and music research. *2012 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2745–2748.

Carron, M., Dubois, F., Misdariis, N., Talotte, C., & Susini, P. (2014). Designing Sound Identity: Providing new communication tools for building brands" corporate sound". *Proceedings of the 9th Audio Mostly Conference*, 1–8.

Carron, M., Rotureau, T., Dubois, F., Misdariis, N., & Susini, P. (2017). Speaking about sounds: A tool for communication on sound features. *Journal of Design Research*, *15*(2), 85–109.

Castelo-Branco, S. E.-S. (2022). Sustainability, agency, and the ecologies of music heritage in alentejo, portugal. *Music, Communities, Sustainability: Developing Policies and Practices*, 177.

Cera, A., Mauro, D. A., & Rocchesso, D. (2016). Sonic in (tro) spection by vocal sketching. *Extending Interactivity. Atti Del XXI CIM-Colloquio Di Informatica Musicale*, 198–202.

Ceschin, F., & Gaziulusoy, I. (2016). Evolution of design for sustainability: From product design to design for system innovations and transitions. *Design Studies*, *47*, 118–163.

Chamoff, J. (2023). *Sustainability in emerging chamber music festivals* [Phd]. American University.

Chapman, J. (2014). Meaningful Stuff. In *Materials Experience* (pp. 135–143). Elsevier.

Chapman, J. A. (2008). Emotionally Durable Design: Sustaining relationships between users and domestic electronic products. *University of Brighton: Brighton, UK*, 171.

Chick, A., & Micklethwaite, P. (2011). *Design for sustainable change: How design and designers can drive the sustainability agenda; required reading range course reader*. AVA Academia.

Cowden, P., & Dosiek, L. (2018). Auditory displays of electric power grids. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Auditory Display*.

Daae, J. Z., & Boks, C. (2014). Dimensions of behaviour change. *Journal of Design Research*, *12*(3), 145–172.

Davies, W. J., Bruce, N. S., & Murphy, J. E. (2014). Soundscape reproduction and synthesis. *Acta Acustica United with Acustica*, *100*(2), 285–292.

Del Curto, B., Sossini, L., Santi, R., & Papile, F. (2022). Perception and sustainable plastics. A digital tool to manage aesthetics and sustainability. *AGATHÓN | International Journal of Architecture, Art and Design*, *12*, 280–289.

Della Wirasti, H., Seta, H., Witarsyah, D., Prabu, H. K., Azzahro, A., & Hananto, B. (2023). Challenges on cloud computing migration strategy for music industry: A systematic literature review. *2023 International Conference on Informatics, Multimedia, Cyber and Informations System (ICIMCIS)*, 699–704.

Delle Monache, S., Rocchesso, D., Bevilacqua, F., Lemaitre, G., Baldan, S., & Cera, A. (2018). Embodied sound design. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, *118*, 47–59.

Desarnaulds, V., Costanzo, E., Carvalho, A., & Arlaud, B. (2005). Sustainability of acoustic materials and acoustic characterization of sustainable materials. *Proceedings of the 12th International Congress on Sound and Vibration*.

Droumeva, M. (2021). The sound of the future: Listening as data and the politics of soundscape assessment. *Sound Studies*, *7*(2), 225–241.

Du Bois, E., Veelaert, L., Tormans, E., & Moons, I. (2021). How should plastic recyclates look like to be perceived as sustainable: A first exploration. *Proceedings of the Design Society*, *1*, 1765–1774.

Farina, A., & Gage, S. H. (2017). *Ecoacoustics: The ecological role of sounds*. John Wiley & Sons.

Fernström, M., & Taylor, S. (2010). Exploring ambient sonification of water toxicity. *International Conference on Auditory Display,(Washington, DC, USA)*. https://www.academia.edu/download/43146658/Fernstr_C3_B6mTaylor2010.pdf

Fickert, L., Eckel, G., Nagler, W., De Campo, A., & Schmautzer, E. (2006). New development of teaching concepts in multimedia learning for electrical power systems introducing sonification. *Paper, Region*, *8*. https://www.academia.edu/download/43545797/New_Development_of_Teaching_Concepts_in_20160309-7434-1bz3mtx.pdf

Ford, R., Penn, J., Liu, Y.-C., Nixon, K., Cronje, W., & McCulloch, M. (2014). User-Centred Design of an Audio Feedback System for Power Demand Management. *Design, User Experience, and Usability. User Experience Design for Everyday Life Applications and Services: Third International Conference, DUXU 2014, Held as Part of HCI International 2014, Heraklion, Crete, Greece, June 22-27, 2014, Proceedings, Part III 3*, 530–541.

Frauenberger, C., & Stockman, T. (2009). Auditory display design—An investigation of a design pattern approach. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, *67*(11), 907–922.

Froehlich, J., Findlater, L., & Landay, J. (2010). The design of eco-feedback technology. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1999–2008.

Gabrielli, L., & Turchet, L. (2022). Towards a sustainable internet of sounds. *Proceedings of the 17th International Audio Mostly Conference*, 231–238.

Gerlsbeck, A., & van Orden, P. (2009). Sustainablity and noise: An exploration of perception of noise as a significant component in sustainable design in a variety of cities internationally. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *125*(4_Supplement), 2504–2504.

Gilmurray, J. (2017). Ecological Sound Art: Steps towards a new field. *Organised Sound*, *22*(1), 32–41.

Giudice, N. A., Whalen, W. E., Riehle, T. H., Anderson, S. M., & Doore, S. A. (2019). Evaluation of an accessible, real-time, and infrastructure-free indoor navigation system by users who are blind in the mall of america. *Journal of Visual Impairment & Blindness*, *113*(2), 140–155.

Gohoungodji, P., & Amara, N. (2024). Greening live shows: What factors matter?: A systematic review of factors affecting sustainability practices in music festivals. *International Journal of Arts Management*, *26*(2).

Golan, A., & Fenko, A. (2015). Toward a Sustainable Faucet Design: Effects of Sound and Vision on Perception of Running Water. *Environment and Behavior*, *47*(1), 85–101.

Grimshaw-Aagaard, M., & Bemman, B. (2022). An exploratory study on ultrasound presence in urban spaces. *Proceedings of the 17th International Audio Mostly Conference*, 67–73.

Groß-Vogt, K. (2020). The drinking reminder: Prototype of a smart jar. *Proceedings of the 15th International Audio Mostly Conference*, 257–260.

Groß-Vogt, K., Weger, M., Frank, M., & Höldrich, R. (2020). Peripheral Sonification by Means of Virtual Room Acoustics. *Computer Music Journal*, *44*(1), 71–88.

Groß-Vogt, K., Weger, M., Höldrich, R., Hermann, T., Bovermann, T., & Reichmann, S. (2018). Augmentation of an institute's kitchen: An ambient auditory display of electric power consumption. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Auditory Display*, 105–112.

Hammerschmidt, J., & Hermann, T. (2017). EcoSonic: Auditory peripheral monitoring of fuel consumption for fuel-efficient driving. *Displays*, *47*, 40–50.

Hammerschmidt, J., Tunnermann, R., & Hermann, T. (2013). *Infodrops: Sonification for enhanced awareness of resource consumption in the shower*.

Han, B. (1997). Ecodesign-A promising approach to sustainable production and consumption. *United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP)*.

Harkins, P. (2022). *Beyond sustainability: The music industries declare emergency on planet Earth–or do they?*

Harrison, K. (2020). Indigenous music sustainability during climate change. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *43*, 28–34.

Horlings, L. (2015). The inner dimension of sustainability: Personal and cultural values. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *14*, 163–169.

Hug, D. (2020). How Do You Sound Design? An Exploratory Investigation of Sound Design Process Visualizations. *Proceedings of the 15th Audio Mostly Conference*, 114–121.

Hug, D., & Misdariis, N. (2011). Towards a Conceptual Framework to Integrate Designerly and Scientific Sound Design Methods. *Proceedings of the 6th Audio Mostly Conference*, 23–30.

Inglese, G., Lucibello, S., & Rotondi, C. (2023). The Sound of Sustainability. Biomaterials and new sensory frontiers. *DIID*.

Isenegger, M., Schedler, E., Hutter, V., Zumbühl, C., Schälli, O., & Taghipour, A. (2024). The loudspeaker as a sustainable and aesthetic product using additive manufacturing. *Audio Engineering Society Conference: AES 2024 International Acoustics & Sound Reinforcement Conference*.

Jackson, C. M. (2017). Sustainability models for 3D printed woodwinds. *2017 IEEE Conference on Technologies for Sustainability (SusTech)*, 1–5.

Jamson, A. H., Hibberd, D. L., & Merat, N. (2015). Interface design considerations for an in-vehicle eco-driving assistance system. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, *58*, 642–656.

Jansen, R. J., Özcan, E., & van Egmond, R. (2011). Psst! Product sound sketching tool. *Journal of the Audio Engineering Society*, *59*(6), 396–403.

Kagan, S., & Kirchberg, V. (2016). Music and sustainability: Organizational cultures towards creative resilience–a review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *135*, 1487–1502.

Kahl-Placek, A. A. (2022). *Equilibria: Sustainability and eco-awareness in music production*.

Kato, T., & Yokote, R. (2022). Improvement in driving performance evaluation using driving sound of electric vehicles. *2022 9th International Conference on Behavioural and Social Computing (BESC)*, 1–4.

Keller, D., & Lazzarini, V. (2017). Ecologically grounded creative practices in ubiquitous music. *Organised Sound*, *22*(1), 61–72.

Kemper, D. H. M., & Hug, D. (2014). From foley to function: A pedagogical approach to sound design for novel interactions. *Journal of Sonic Studies*, *6*(1), 1–23.

Ketonen, O. (2021). *Sonifying air quality: Investigating musicality and aesthetics in data sonification*.

King, E. A. (2022). Here, There, and Everywhere: How the SDGs Must Include Noise Pollution in Their Development Challenges. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, *64*(3), 17–32.

Klöckner, C. A. (2015). *The psychology of pro-environmental communication: Beyond standard information strategies*. Springer.

Lageat, T., Czellar, S., & Laurent, G. (2003). Engineering hedonic attributes to generate perceptions of luxury: Consumer perception of an everyday sound. *Marketing Letters*, *14*, 97–109.

Lee, Y.-J., Huang, P.-C., Chen, C.-C., & Lung, C.-H. (2023). Exploring the suitability of AVAS for electric scooters. *2023 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics-Asia (ICCE-Asia)*, 1–4.

Lerma, B., & Dal Palù, D. (2016). Material (and product) sensoriality: Can perceptive evaluations strengthen the meta-design phase? *ELISAVA TDD*, *32*, 10–23.

Li, J., Maffei, L., Pascale, A., & Masullo, M. (2022). Effects of spatialized water-sound sequences for traffic noise masking on brain activities. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *152*(1), 172–183.

Lilley, D. (2009). Design for sustainable behaviour: Strategies and perceptions. *Design Studies*, *30*(6), 704–720.

Lockton, D., Bowden, F., Brass, C., & Gheerawo, R. (2014a). Bird-wattching: Exploring sonification of home electricity use with birdsong. *Conference on Sonification of Health and Environmental Data*.

Lockton, D., Bowden, F., Brass, C., & Gheerawo, R. (2014b). Powerchord: Towards ambient appliance-level electricity use feedback through real-time sonification. *Ubiquitous Computing and Ambient Intelligence. Personalisation and User Adapted Services: 8th International Conference, UCAmI 2014, Belfast, UK, December 2-5, 2014. Proceedings 8*, 48–51.

Lockton, D., Bowden, F., & Matthews, C. (2017). Powerchord: Exploring ambient audio feedback on energy use. *Living Labs: Design and Assessment of Sustainable Living*, 297–308.

Lockton, D., Crawford, G., Singh, D., & Wu, S. (2019). Electric Acoustic: Exploring Energy Through Sonic & Vibration Displays. *Extended Abstracts of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–6.

Lostanlen, V., Bernabeu, A., Béchennec, J.-L., Briday, M., Faucou, S., & Lagrange, M. (2021). Energy efficiency is not enough: Towards a batteryless internet of sounds. *Proceedings of the 16th International Audio Mostly Conference*, 147–155.

Lucherelli, A., De Pinto, C., Querci, G., & Balloni, M. (2014). HALEY: sound around the clock. *Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers: Adjunct Program*, 71–76.

MacArthur, E. & others. (2013). Towards the circular economy. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, *2*(1), 23–44.

Madaghiele, V., & Pauletto, S. (2022). The sonic carpet: Realtime feedback of energy consumption and emission data through sonic interaction design. *27nd International Conference on Auditory Display (ICAD), Online*, *6*.

Marentakis, G. (2023). Sketching in Sound and Interaction Design. *Proceedings of Forum Acusticum*. Forum Acusticum, Torino.

Martyn, R. (2021). Adventure: Biopolymer Aesthetics and Empathetic Materialism—Another World is Possible. *Matter: Journal of New Materialist Research*, *2*(1), 120–150.

Miodownik, M. A. (2007). Toward designing new sensoaesthetic materials. *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, *79*(10), 1635–1641.

Misdariis, N., & Cera, A. (2017). Knowledge in Sound Design–The Silent Electric Vehicle: A Relevant Case Study. *DeSForM-Sense and Sensitivity*.

Misdariis, N., & Hug, D. (2020). Sound Design Methodologies: Between Artistic Inspiration and Academic Perspiration. In *The Bloomsbury Handbook of Sonic Methodologies*.

Nykänen, A. (2008). *Methods for product sound design* [PhD Thesis]. Luleå tekniska universitet.

Nykänen, A., Wingstedt, J., Sundhage, J., & Mohlin, P. (2015). Sketching sounds–Kinds of listening and their functions in designing. *Design Studies*, *39*, 19–47.

Oliver, P. G. (2010). The DIY artist: Issues of sustainability within local music scenes. *Management Decision*, *48*(9), 1422–1432.

Özcan, E., Cupchik, G. C., & Schifferstein, H. N. (2017). Auditory and visual contributions to affective product quality. *International Journal of Design*, *11*(1), 35–50.

Özcan, E., & van Egmond, R. (2006). Product sound design and application: An overview. *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Design & Emotion*.

Özcan, E., & van Egmond, R. (2007). Memory for product sounds: The effect of sound and label type. *Acta Psychologica*, *126*(3), 196–215.

Özcan, E., & van Egmond, R. (2009). The effect of visual context on the identification of ambiguous environmental sounds. *Acta Psychologica*, *131*(2), 110–119.

Özcan, E., & van Egmond, R. (2012). Basic semantics of product sounds. *International Journal of Design*, *6*(2).

Özcan, E., Van Egmond, R., & Jacobs, J. J. (2014). Product sounds: Basic concepts and categories. *International Journal of Design*, *8*(3), 97–111.

Pauletto, S. (2014). Film and theatre-based approaches for sonic interaction design. *Digital Creativity*, *25*(1), 15–26.

Pauletto, S., Barahona-Rios, A., Madaghiele, V., & Seznec, Y. (2023). Sonifying energy consumption using SpecSinGAN. *Sound and Music Computing Conference*.

Pelletier, M. G., Holt, G. A., Wanjura, J. D., Greetham, L., McIntyre, G., Bayer, E., & Kaplan-Bie, J. (2019). Acoustic evaluation of mycological biopolymer, an all-natural closed cell foam alternative. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *139*, 111533.

Pirhonen, A., Tuuri, K., Mustonen, M.-S., & Murphy, E. (2007). Beyond clicks and beeps: In pursuit of an effective sound design methodology. *Haptic and Audio Interaction Design: Second International Workshop*, 133–144.

Purdy, M. W. (2000). Listening, Culture and Structures of Consciousness: Ways of Studying Listening. *International Journal of Listening*, *14*(1), 47–68.

Rogers, P. (2006). How does sustainability sound. *Acoustics Bulletin*, *31*, 14–17.

Rognoli, V. (2010). A Broad Survey on Expressive-sensorial Characterization of Materials for Design Education. *METU Journal of Faculty of Architecture*, *27*(2), 287–300.

Rognoli, V., & Karana, E. (2014). Toward a New Materials Aesthetic Based on Imperfection and Graceful Aging. In *Materials Experience* (pp. 145–154). Elsevier.

Rognoli, V., Salvia, G., & Levi, M. (2011). The aesthetic of interaction with materials for design: The bioplastics' identity. *Proceedings of the 2011 Conference on Designing Pleasurable Products and Interfaces*, 1–8.

Roy, R. (2000). Sustainable product-service systems. *Futures*, *32*(3–4), 289–299.

Salter, E., Salter, C. M., Davis, C., & Shell, S. (2006). Achieving acoustical satisfaction in a green building. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *120*(5_Supplement), 3185–3185.

Sauerwein, M., Karana, E., & Rognoli, V. (2017). Revived Beauty: Research into Aesthetic Appreciation of Materials to Valorise Materials from Waste. *Sustainability*, *9*(4), 529.

Schafer, R. M. (1993). *The soundscape: Our sonic environment and the tuning of the world*. Simon and Schuster.

Schippers, H., & Bendrups, D. (2015). Ethnomusicology, ecology and the sustainability of music cultures. *The World of Music*, 9–19.

Schippers, H., & Grant, C. (Eds.). (2016). *Sustainable Futures for Music Cultures: An Ecological Perspective*. Oxford University Press.

Schmid, F. (2024). *Sustainability in the music festival industry: The power of music to change politics?* [Phd].

Seznec, Y., & Pauletto, S. (2022a). The singing shower: A melody-sensitive interface for physical interaction and efficient energy consumption. *Sound and Music Computing Conference, June 2022 June 5-12, 2022 Saint-Etienne*.

Seznec, Y., & Pauletto, S. (2022b). Towards a workshop methodology for involving non-experts in the sonic interaction design process: Connecting household sounds and energy. *International Conference on Auditory Display*.

Sohn, M., & Nam, T.-J. (2015). The Effects of Eco-Feedback Design on Users' Immediate Reactions to Water Conservation. *Archives of Design Research*, *28*(4), 77–93.

Soliman, N. (2012). *Sustainability in music education: Composing music inspired by nature*. Prescott College.

Spence, H. R., & Ballora, M. (2021). Layers of meaning: The ocean's natural acoustics and the music of its datasets. *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Auditory Display*, 60–64.

Spendrup, S., Hunter, E., & Isgren, E. (2016). Exploring the relationship between nature sounds, connectedness to nature, mood and willingness to buy sustainable food: A retail field experiment. *Appetite*, *100*, 133–141.

Stevens, F., Murphy, D. T., & Smith, S. L. (2018). Soundscape auralisation and visualisation: A cross-modal approach to soundscape evaluation. *DAFx 2018*.

Stewart, R. A., Willis, R. M., Panuwatwanich, K., & Sahin, O. (2013). Showering behavioural response to alarming visual display monitors: Longitudinal mixed method study. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, *32*(7), 695–711.

Sudarsono, A. S., Lam, Y. W., & Davies, W. J. (2017). The validation of acoustic environment simulator to determine the relationship between sound objects and soundscape. *Acta Acustica United with Acustica*, *103*(4), 657–667.

Susini, P., Houix, O., & Misdariis, N. (2014). Sound design: An applied, experimental framework to study the perception of everyday sounds. *The New Soundtrack*, *4*(2), 103–121.

Truax, B. (1996). Soundscape, acoustic communication and environmental sound composition. *Contemporary Music Review*, *15*(1–2), 49–65.

Truax, B. (2012). Music, soundscape and acoustic sustainability. *Moebius Journal*, *1*(1).

Turchet, L., Fazekas, G., Lagrange, M., Ghadikolaei, H. S., & Fischione, C. (2020). The internet of audio things: State of the art, vision, and challenges. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, *7*(10), 10233–10249.

Turchet, L., Lagrange, M., Rottondi, C., Fazekas, G., Peters, N., Østergaard, J., Font, F., Bäckström, T., & Fischione, C. (2023). The internet of sounds: Convergent trends, insights and future directions. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*.

Walker, S. (2009). After Taste – The Power and Prejudice of Product Appearance. *The Design Journal*, *12*(1), 25–39.

Westerkamp, H. (2002a). Linking soundscape composition and acoustic ecology. *Organised Sound*, *7*(1), 51–56.

Westerkamp, H. (2002b). Linking soundscape composition and acoustic ecology. *Organised Sound*, *7*(1), 51–56.

WHO, W. (2018). *Environmental noise guidelines for the European region*. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe Denmark.

Willis, R. M., Stewart, R. A., Panuwatwanich, K., Jones, S., & Kyriakides, A. (2010). Alarming visual display monitors affecting shower end use water and energy conservation in Australian residential households. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *54*(12), 1117–1127.

Wilson, J. (2017). The sound of sustainability: Acoustics in high-performance design. *The Building Green Report*, *26*(9), 1–19.

Zafarmand, S. J., Sugiyama, K., & Watanabe, M. (2003). Aesthetic and Sustainability: The Aesthetic Attributes Promoting Product Sustainability. *The Journal of Sustainable Product Design*, *3*(3–4), 173–186.

About the Authors:

Georgios Marentakis, PhD, is an Associate Professor at Østfold University College, Norway. He focuses on sound and interaction design in his teaching and research activities.

Doriana Dal Palù, PhD, is an Assistant Professor at Politecnico di Torino, Italy. Her research focuses on the development of new practices of designing product sounds, innovation in materials for design, and new technological paradigms.

PROCEEDINGS OF DRS 2024 BOSTON

Design Research Society International Conference
23 – 28 June 2024
Boston, MA, USA

COVER AND CONFERENCE IDENTITY DESIGNED

by Viviane Kim

PROCEEDINGS COMPILED

by Colin M. Gray

EDITORS

Colin M. Gray
Estefania Ciliotta Chehade
Paul Hekkert
Laura Forlano
Paolo Ciuccarelli
Peter Lloyd

ISBN 978-1-912294-62-6

9 781912 294626

WWW.DRS2024.ORG