POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

LONG-TERM MONITORING CAMPAIGN OF LED STREET LIGHTING SYSTEMS: FOCUS ON THE ENERGY AND PHOTOMETRIC PERFORMANCES

Original

LONG-TERM MONITORING CAMPAIGN OF LED STREET LIGHTING SYSTEMS: FOCUS ON THE ENERGY AND PHOTOMETRIC PERFORMANCES / Valetti, Lodovica; Piccablotto, Gabriele; Taraglio, Rossella; Pellegrino, Anna. - In: SUSTAINABILITY. - ISSN 2071-1050. - ELETTRONICO. - 15:(2023). [10.3390/su152416910]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2989265 since: 2024-06-03T19:42:31Z

Publisher: MDPI

Published DOI:10.3390/su152416910

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)





Article Long-Term Monitoring Campaign of LED Street Lighting Systems: Focus on Photometric Performances, Maintenance and Energy Savings

Lodovica Valetti ¹, Gabriele Piccablotto ², Rossella Taraglio ² and Anna Pellegrino ^{1,*}

- ¹ TEBE Research Group, Department of Energy "Galileo Ferraris", Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Turin, Italy; lodovica.valetti@polito.it
- ² Analysis and Modelling of Environmental System Laboratory (LAMSA), Department of Architecture and Design, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Turin, Italy; gabriele.piccablotto@polito.it (G.P.); rossella.taraglio@polito.it (R.T.)
- * Correspondence: anna.pellegrino@polito.it

Abstract: The renovation of public lighting installations by replacing the traditional systems with LED technologies and introducing smart lighting control systems is a policy widely adopted to contain energy consumption and expenditure. Additionally, the long-term monitoring of the depreciation of the new lighting systems is a crucial issue. The aim of this study is to report the results of in-field measurements of new LED lighting systems in the city of Turin (Italy). A method was defined to assess: (i) energy performance (through data from the remote-control system); (ii) photometric performance (through in-field measurement campaigns); and (iii) depreciation of the photometric performance over a period of approximately 5 years. Results demonstrated that the new LED systems allow us to achieve an average energy saving of 51% compared to the ex-ante condition, improving the photometric performances and compiling the standard requirements by lowering the over-illumination levels. Moreover, the measured depreciation of the LED systems over time was compared with the predicted depreciation, estimated based on the calculation method proposed in Standards BS 5489-1:2020 and ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019. The results obtained showed that the measured depreciation of the photometric performance was closer to the predicted depreciation trend according to BS 5489-1:2020 (variations between 0% and 4%), while greater variations (between 17% and 23%) emerged considering the ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019.

Keywords: street lighting; lighting retrofit; LED lighting; lighting monitoring; photometric performances; energy performances; energy savings; depreciation of lighting performances; maintenance factor

1. Introduction

Public lighting is an essential service for cities and has a primary role in ensuring visibility and the safety of users during night-time. It represents a public service that influences citizens' well-being in several aspects, such as their perception of safety [1], crime prevention [2], social interactions, etc. Moreover, outdoor lighting systems allow us to define urban night-time image [3,4], improving the appearance of cities and landscape contexts and enhancing the cultural asset [5]. Providing high-quality outdoor lighting is therefore essential to ensure a good quality of life for citizens and urban users. Nevertheless, public lighting systems also have a relevant impact in terms of energy consumption and light pollution, representing one of the most significant expenses in the municipal administrations budget, primarily due to energy and maintenance costs. According to [6], the annual energy consumption for road lighting in Europe was approximately 35 TWh, representing 1.3% of the energy consumption of electricity. For municipalities, outdoor urban lighting installations significantly affect up to 80% of the total use of electricity, and public



Citation: Valetti, L.; Piccablotto, G.; Taraglio, R.; Pellegrino, A. Long-Term Monitoring Campaign of LED Street Lighting Systems: Focus on Photometric Performances, Maintenance and Energy Savings. *Sustainability* **2023**, *15*, 16910. https:// doi.org/10.3390/su152416910

Academic Editor: Aris Tsangrassoulis

Received: 14 November 2023 Revised: 13 December 2023 Accepted: 14 December 2023 Published: 16 December 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). street lighting can account for up to 40–60% of a city's budget energy expenditure [7,8]. Moreover, previous research demonstrated that in Europe, most of the lighting installations were designed some decades ago and are therefore obsolete and inefficient [9]. According to the data, about two-thirds of the lighting installations in the EU are from the 1960s, 1970s and 1980s, and in 2012, only 10% of new public streetlights were LED-based [10].

In this frame, the introduction of more sustainable and efficient systems represents an opportunity to improve energy efficiency and reduce costs and CO₂ emissions, moving toward the concept of Smart Cities [11]. Many international organizations, such as the European Union (EU) and the International Energy Agency (IEA), have undertaken policies to encourage the adoption of energy savings strategies and efficiency policies also related to public lighting [12,13]. Lighting Europe's Strategy Roadmap 2025 [14] suggests the adoption of new profitable approaches, such as "LEDification" and the introduction of intelligent lighting systems. The implementation of outdoor lighting is also recognized as a vector directly impacting the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) defined by the United Nations, contributing to solving some existing issues related to SDG targets [15]. Furthermore, the adoption of more sustainable solutions in outdoor lighting systems is promoted within EU financing projects (see as an example the research and development project "REPLICATE—Renaissance of Places with Innovative Citizenship And Technologies" funded by the EU's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program and aimed at deploying energy efficiency, mobility and ICT solutions while also considering the smart public lighting sector [16]).

Thanks to the important technological innovation that occurred in the field of lighting during the last decades, nowadays, the adoption of new technologies, i.e., the replacement of obsolete luminaires with new LEDs (light-emitting diodes) and/or the introduction of smart lighting control systems, allows us to significantly reduce the operating costs for both energy consumption and maintenance [17]. LED technology is currently considered a satisfactory solution thanks to its low energy consumption, high luminous efficiency, long life, reduced investment and maintenance costs, and lower environmental impact [18,19]. As a consequence, during recent years, many public authorities have undertaken a renovation process concerning their public lighting systems. The most frequently adopted measures involve the replacement of obsolete luminaires with old light sources with more efficient lighting technologies (e.g., light-emitting diode (LED)) and/or the introduction of smart lighting control systems. It is demonstrated that the simple adoption of energy-efficient LED lighting can provide potential energy savings between 50 and 70% compared to the old technologies, with considerable benefit to tight city budgets and a good return on investment [20–24]. Moreover, in terms of environmental sustainability, a potential saving of more than 1400 million tons of CO_2 [7] can be achieved, and the investments cannot be only profitable and sustainable but also improve lighting quality [25]. Besides the luminaires' substitution, the implementation of advanced control systems can further increase the economic and energy sustainability of public lighting systems. Pilot projects demonstrated that the integration of smart lighting control systems enables a significant reduction in energy consumption compared to traditional control systems [26,27], particularly when employing full adaptive installations (FAIs) [28,29]. In the literature, several studies have reported results about the effectiveness of interventions based on the renovation of the public lighting systems with more efficient ones, along with the introduction of dynamic lighting management (see a review in [7]). In general, results underlined that the refurbishment with LED technologies and the introduction of a control system allow for better results in terms of reduction of CO_2 emissions and energy savings, with significant positive impacts from an economic, social, and environmental point of view [9].

The reported framework demonstrated the potential effectiveness of lighting optimization interventions, in terms of both energy efficiency and reduction of costs for municipalities, along with improving visual comfort and citizens' safety. In this frame, the assessment of the performances of new installations and the comparison between traditional lighting systems and newly installed ones (in terms of photometric performance, energy, and economic savings) were conducted by simulation methods and/or in-field measurements [30–34]. In particular, the energy (and consequently economic) savings were assessed by monitoring in-field the energy consumption data and/or through the estimation of energy performance indicators. Instead, simulation methods were mainly used to assess the photometric performances of a lighting system, since simulation tools are nowadays considered affordable and promising techniques in the field of lighting optimization [35].

Besides comparing the performances of traditional and newly installed lighting systems, the assessment and monitoring of the photometric and energy performances of the new lighting systems over time is a crucial issue. Indeed, throughout the useful life of any lighting installation, the light emission, and so the light available for the visual task, progressively decreases. The rate of reduction depends on different factors, such as the type of lamps, luminaires, environment, and operation conditions, and length of time they are used [36]. During the design phase of a lighting system, light loss (or depreciation) has to be considered through the application of a maintenance factor to luminaire photometric data, to ensure that at the end of the design life of a specific lighting installation, the minimum required lighting level is still maintained. In other words, the maintenance factor reflects how the light output decreases over time, considering several different aspects such as the luminous flux depreciation, the environmental parameters, the maintenance, the cleaning intervals, etc. Within this frame, the PD ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019 "Light and lighting. Maintenance factor determination. Way of working" [37] is currently the main reference for the determination of the maintenance factor, also considering the increasing use of new technologies with different technical characteristics, such as LED. Furthermore, other national standard references such as the BS 5489-1:2020 [38] report the calculation method for determining the overall maintenance factor, as well as examples and typical values. Generally, during the design phase of a lighting system, the maintenance factor value is determined based on parameters and reference values provided by manufacturers and standards, and it is implemented in the simulation phase to size the light installation so it fulfills the standard requirements over its entire lifetime. However, lighting simulation is still challenging because of the complexity of reflecting the actual conditions of an environment over time [35]. In the literature, studies on the photometric performances of lighting installations over time focused only on forecast estimates, while there are no studies reporting long-term monitoring based on measured data. Therefore, in this paper, a field measurement campaign was set up to monitor the long-term performance of new LED lighting systems, in order to assess the performances over time and to compare the actual depreciation of the systems to the one estimated based on the standard values.

Main Goals of the Paper

The main issues that emerged from the outlined reference framework are the following:

- The implementation of public lighting systems with more efficient ones is currently promoted and supported by funding policies;
- In the literature, some studies focused on the assessments of performances due to the retrofit of lighting systems (in terms of photometric performance, energy, and economic savings) [16,20,22,24,27–29,31,33,34] and on a comparison with the previous system [17,21,23,25,26,30,32]. While the energy performances were assessed by data measured through in-field monitoring, photometric performances were mainly evaluated using simulation tools [30–34];
- Also, the monitoring of the photometric and energy performances of the new lighting systems over time is a crucial issue, and current studies are focused only on forecast estimates;
- The design of new lighting installations is based on maintenance factors defined according to standard reference values, but there are no studies that report monitoring the depreciation of the actual performances of current LED lighting systems based on field measurements.

Based on these considerations, the aim of the study presented in this paper is to report the results of in-field measurements aimed at assessing and monitoring the photometric and energy performances of new LED lighting systems, after the implementation of a retrofit project. A selection of roads and pedestrian areas included in the lighting renovation program of the city of Turin (Italy) were chosen as a case study. Through regular monitoring, both energy performances (data acquired from the remote-control system) and photometric performances (data from in-field measuring campaigns) were assessed. In particular, the study addressed the following main objectives:

- 1. To compare the energy performance of the previous traditional lighting system (exante installation) with the new LED lighting systems (ex-post installation), in order to evaluate the energy saving;
- 2. To compare the photometric performance of ex-ante and ex-post lighting systems and to monitor the performance of the new systems over a period of about 5 years (i.e., the duration of the monitoring campaign);
- 3. To assess the depreciation of the photometric performance of the ex-post installations over the period of the monitoring campaign and to compare it with the predicted depreciation of the photometric performance, estimated based on the maintenance factor calculation method proposed by the standards.

In the following sections, the case study, the methodology adopted for the assessment and measurements of both lighting performance and energy performance, and the results obtained, as well as the comparison between predicted and measured depreciation of the lighting performance, are presented.

2. Case Study

The city of Turin (Italy) in 2015 implemented the "Torino a LED" project, which involved a program to renovate the public lighting system. The project consisted of the substitution of 55,000 luminaires of the public lighting systems, equipped with traditional high-pressure sodium or metal-halide technologies, with LED luminaires. Areas with different characteristics were involved in the replacement: traffic roads, pedestrian paths, squares, and parks. The primary goal of the project was energy saving, estimated at 20,000 MWh/year, corresponding to a reduction of 3.5 tons/year of CO₂ emissions. Moreover, additional energy savings were expected thanks to the adoption of a control system designed to dim the light in steps during the night. The project was therefore qualified to obtain Energy Efficiency Certificates. In addition to energy saving, the project aims to ensure compliance with safety and visual comfort lighting performance requirements (as specified by technical standards), to increase the utilance of the lighting installations, to prevent obtrusive light, and to mitigate light pollution. The replacement of the luminaires was based on the outcomes of a comprehensive project that involved lighting simulations, in agreement with the specifications of the Urban Traffic Plan [39] and the Municipal Illumination Plan [40] and in accordance with both national and international technical regulations [41,42].

Within the "Torino a LED" project, in this study, a sample of significant motorized roads and pedestrian areas where the previous traditional lighting systems (ex-ante installations) were replaced with new LED lighting systems (ex-post installations) was considered. In particular, 12 traffic roads and 8 pedestrian areas, representative of the total types of renovated installations, were analyzed (Figure 1).

The considered motorized traffic roads (ID01 to ID12) were characterized by conventional paving made of asphalt. The roads were single or multiple carriageways, with at least one or two lanes for each direction. The ex-ante stock of installed luminaires consisted of traditional lighting sources, i.e., high-pressure sodium lamps (HPS), compact high-intensity discharge lamps (CDM) and metal-halide gas discharge lamps (HMI). The project of the ex-post installations involved the substitution of the ex-ante lighting system with LED technologies equipped with asymmetric street optics. Regarding the luminaires' features, the luminaire installed power was reduced from 70–250 W (142 W on average) to 28.5–125 W (73 W on average), equal to an average reduction of 48%. Moreover, the project allowed us to increment the luminaire luminous efficacy from 49–83 Lm/W (66 Lm/W on *Sustainability* 2023, 15, x FOR PEER REVEW e) in the ex-ante condition to 105–117 Lm/W (112 Lm/W on average) in the ex-post installation, equal to an average increase of 70%.



Figure 1. On the detter parameter of the neity of TATIN (Halphay) twindication with the two tions with the arma separate set of the neity of the transfer of the set of the set

Evenensidered peterization traffes; and CIRSI to EP121 where a balact part by several and a point of the event of the even

Composibly other potarizzial traffiasy chals considered trian ple as chulted pathe locatifician park accorse for the period of t

Lamps of both motorized traffic roads and pedestrian areas in the ex-ante condition had a correlated color temperature (CCT) between 2000 and 3300 K and a color rendering index (CRI) between 20 and 89. The ex-post LED sources had a correlated color temperature (CCT) of 4000 K and CRI above 70. Moreover, LED chips from two different

SusSustatiilidui2023023, & E@R@RHEERRWEWEW SusSustatiilidui2022023, & F@R@RHEERRWEWEW SusSustatiilidui2023023, & F@R@RHEERRWEWEW SusSustatiilidui20233, & F@R@RHEERRWEWEW SusSustatiilidui20233, & F@R@RHEERRWEWEW SusSustatiilidui20233, & F@R@RHEERRWEWEWE	7 of 36 3 7 of 36 3
Talleo e Ab Abacus thiches in Had ex-antarina ind-post dischigheing stonion inclina analyzed alleo e Abacus thiches in Had ex-antarina ind-post dischigheing stonions inclina analyzed alleo e Abacus thiches inclinated ex-antarina ind-post dischigheing stores inclinated and a store alleo e Abacus of the unital field example in the analyzed example in the abacus of the alleo e Abacus of the unital field example in the analyzed example in the abacus of the abacus of the alleo e Abacus of the unital field example in the abacus of the abacus of the abacus of the alleo e Abacus of the unital field example in the abacus of the abacus of the abacus of the alleo e Abacus of the unital field example in the abacus of the abacus of the abacus of the abacus of the alleo e Abacus of the installeo example of the abacus of the alleo e Abacus of the installeo example of the abacus of the alleo e Abacus of the installeo example of the abacus of the abacus of the abacus of	choolzerized frigffication ds. choolzerized frigfication ds. Choolzerized fright frigfication ds. Choolzerized frigfication ds. Ch
IDIDanes Aramanyament Argenting Classes by Power Lands of the second sec	Thuncherry Langence Power and the provide the providence of the pr
Linustes Annaprinting Classifies Lypy Will Linustes Lypy Lypy Will Linustes Lypy Lypy Will Linustes Lypy Lypy <thlypy< th=""> <thlypy< th=""> Lypy</thlypy<></thlypy<>	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Image: state
Dictriction 8.228/20/- HMIT NUMI 50 190 50 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 50 50 59 59 50 50 59 59 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 50 50 74 74 74 50 50 74 74 74	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
-005 05 2 2 2 300 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	
07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 100.00 68.68 07.07 1 1000.00 68.68	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

	Statemetado	8.2 8 ,20/-		CDADM	7070	59 59		LEDAODO1	28.28.5	100.06	
04 04 Sustainability 20 	2 2 blebpopeole	8.2 8 ,20/-	MEAE3b	HMHMI	150150	59 59		LEDEDD01	46 46	11717	32
05 05	2 2 Sta Sygeger e	1 , ^{Cont} . 9. 49/40/10 .10	MEME3b	HPS	150150 Ex-A	7474		LE DØD 01	60 60 Ex	117/17 -Post	
ID nr. 06 0£anes	Arrangement 2 2 Sirfyihgsiekidæ	Height/ Lig tkdx ^{Arm} .[99]20/- Cl	hting lassi ME3b Typo	mpPower blogy [W]	Lu 15 0150 1in []	uminaire nous E 68 ca8y Lm/W]	I Contraction of the second se	Lamp LEDOD01 Typology	Power [W] ⁸⁴ 84Lun	Luminaire nino11\$Æff6cacy [Lm/W]	y
Sus Guinthiilithi (202) Sus Guinthiilithii (202) Sus Ganadhiilithii (202) Sus Ganadhiilithi (202) Sus Gunadhiilithi (202)	3023, ¥∓⊙ KOKIH BRWEN 2029/95 (870) (870) 2027/15 (870) (870) 2027/15 (870) (870) 2025/15 (870) (870) 2025/15 (870) (870) (870) 2025/15 (870) (870) (870) (870) 2025/15 (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870) (870)	₩5X- ₩ 6. 6040 /40/- № ₩	1EMH21E3b H	PSHPS00	100100	68 68 68			38. 3 8. 3 8.5	105105	8 08 01 33 8 08 03 33
0808 08 1 0808 0808 0808 0808	1 Sin Slotsinosiideside Sin Sin Slotsinosiideside Sin Sin Sin Stateside Sin Sin Sin Sin Sin Sin Sin Sin Sin Sin	xdx 5.6009/0/- X xdx 5.05/0/- xdx 5.05/0/- xdx 5.05/0/- xdx 5.05/0/- xdx 5.05/0/-	1EW0-120-30-11 M1-220-30 M1-220-30 M1-220-30 M1-220-30 M1-220-30		70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49			28 38 38 5 28 38 5 5 28 38 5 5 28 38 5 5 28 38 5 5 28 38 5 5 28 5 5 28 5 5 28 5 5 5 7 8 5 5 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 7 8 5 7 8 5 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 7 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	10/31/06 10/31/06 10/31/06 10/31/06 10/31/06	
$\begin{array}{c} 09 \ 09 \\ 099 \ 09 \ 2 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 09 \ 09 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \\ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \ 00 \$	2 2 Sin Sinesia estad 2 2 Sin Sinesia estades 2 2 Sin Sinesia estade 2 2 Sin Sinesia estades 2 2 Sinesia estades 2 2 Sinesia estades 2 2 Sinesia estades	s sx 8.28/00/0.20 s sx 8.28/00/00/0.20 sx 8.28/00/00/20 s sx 8.28/00/0.20 s sx 8.28/00/0.20 s sx 8.28/00/0.20	M M 36 1EM 26,35 H M 76,35 M 76,35 M 76,35	PS PS HTS PS HTS PS HTS PS		51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51			84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84		
	2 2 Siršinosiickide 2 2 Siršinosiickide 2 2 Siršinosiickide 2 2 Siršinosiickide 2 2 Siršinosiickide 2 2 Siršinosiickide	x dx 8.28/20/- x dx 8.20/20/- x dx 8.2028/20/- x dx 8.2028/20/- x dx 8.28/20/-	MIN 636 MIN 636 1ENG 25 MIN 636 MIN 636		10000 10000 10000 10000	58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 5			84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84		
	Sirgle Statice	<u>+ 5x-</u> + 5x- + 5x- + 1 + 1072 83 85 + 5x- + 1 + 1072 83 85 + 5x+ + 1 + 1072 83 85 + 5x+ + 1 + 1072 83 85 + 1 + 1072 83 + 1 + 1052 83	MHME2 MHME2 MHME2 MEMEXE2 MEXE2 HEME2 H	HPSPS HPSPS PSHPSPS PSHPSPS50	25(250) 25(250) 25(250) 25(250) 25(250) 25(250)	83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83			82 82 82 82 82 87 82 87 82 87 82 87 82 87		
$ \begin{array}{r} 1212 \\ 1212 \\ 1222 \\ $	Sin Sin Directory -2 -2 Sin Sin Period & -2 -2 Sin Sin Period & -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -	516 552- 552- 562- 562- 562- 562- 562- 562	MHXE2 MHXE2 MHXE2 MHXE2 MHXE2 MHXE2		25(250) 25(250) 25(250) 25(250) 25(250)	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80			$ \begin{array}{r} 103 63 5 \\ 103 63 5 \\ 103 63 5 \\ 103 63 5 \\ 103 63 5 \\ 103 63 5 \\ \end{array} $		
	argebrachtot Briter Briter Briter Briter Briter Briter Briter		A CARLEN AND A CAR				rs Asiastes 441-0 Asiastes 441-0 Asiastes 441-0 Ane (Asiastes 441-0 Ane (Asiastes 441-0 Ane (Asiastes 441-0 Asiastes 441-0 Asi	TENERS IN THE REAL PROPERTY INTO T	Wasvesnsnere Wasvesnsnere Wasvesnsnere Wasvesnsnere as the design pr	Cras and estimates was conducted and estimates was conducted and estimates and estimat	2 Con- con- con- con- con- con- con- con- c
		ender og vinder og vinder for for til star Ender og vinder og vinder Og vinder og vinder o Det og vinder o						15cas. 15cas. 15cas. 15cas.	Ęx	Boffost	
	Arrangegement Arrangegement Arrangegement Arrangegement	Heinitzht/ Heinitzht/ Light Artaint Artaint in Artaint in Artaintaintaintaintaintaintaintaintaintain		* Lanamp * Lana * Lan	Pokerwer Pokerwer Pokerwer Pokerwer Pokerwer Pokerwer Witwi		PhBlootenetr PhBlootenetr PhBlootenetr PhBlootenetr PhBlootenetr PhBlootenetr	Lanemp Lanemp Type Type Type Type Type Type Type Typ	Pokower Pokower Pokower Pokower Pokower Pokower Pokower Pokower		AB wheneyiry AB wheneyiry AB wheneyiry AB wheneyiry AB wheneyiry
		5.28/20/- 5.29/20/- 5.29/20/- 5.29/20/- 5.29/20/-	S3/4/54 S3/15/154 S3/15/154 S3/15/154 S3/15/154		150 50 150 50 150 50 150 50	<u>[[]</u> 72 72 73 72		F D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D		27 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97	

	2 doubte pole 9 doubte pole 9 doubte pole 9	:00/3:00/2 ME2ME2	HPS HPS	238 238	<u>80</u> 80		EEB&EB81	103:3103:3	112 112	
Sustainability 2023 , 15	* Acconding with * Acconding for ducted useff beth ducted useff beth ducted useff beth 5, 16910	etGitheGital UNU 102072 etGitheGital UNU 102082 orrelpasedizeeda 1051 vare orrelpasedizeeda tost vare	2123 Road Righti 243 Road Roant fore of the offance fore of the offance fore of the offance	right Gase Correctioner ng at new Correctioner at an (2016) 2016 at an (2016) 2016	o <mark>ficing lotining lati</mark> of ong lotin g lati	asgeslasses [#e]U asgeslasses [#e]U	NE HABBER 33	S2 wasidonsd 52 wasidonsd	स्त्रमीखर्वसंघ्रंष्ट्रवस् खार्मविल्लस्त्र्ष्ट्रवस्	Benepivasecuras con-
	Table Lable 2 cust	vactneonshalledtaxleanex.a vactneoinstalledtaxleanex.a	ndæanposkipps ndæarposkipps	ing systemster Ex-Al	meiartalyzed theiartalyzed iteAnte	lpedespidastrian lpedespidastrian	areas. areas.	Ex-P	Est-Rost	
	Table 2. Aba	acus of the installed ex-ar	nte and ex-post l	ighting system Lu	sta the analy minantenal minantenal	vzed pedestrian a ire ire	I amd amn		lot Post um inaine naire um inaine naire	5
ID ID Arrar ID Arrar	ngement Arm [m]	n [m] Lighting Class * Lan n [mghting Class * Lan Typo]	iss Lamp amp a Typology logy	Powerower VW- Iwmin Luminous Effic	im inons no Hicachicacy Hicacyicacy Cm/W/m/W Em/M/m/W	Phot eimeto met Phot eimeto met Photometry	ryLamLamp Dypology Lypology Typology Typology Typology Typology	Willowen Willowen Willowen Willowen Willowen Willowen Lumino	um hunsnou Efficienticacy Efficienticacy Efficienticacy Efficienticacy Efficienticacy Efficienticacy	hot Cineto metry hot oineto metry N]
18 13 ^{Singingingingingingingingingingingingingi}	i nigledsided — 5 <u>3020/5.</u> State and State and State	20/- ^{S3/ESB} /ESP	™ EBMEBM	158 15872	72 72		LED92EB0253	53 53 53 53	92 92	
Sustairsatältämeinen son sustairsataitameinen sustairsataitameinen sustairen	BOSINS DE WILD E VIEWO/5. BISTONIA DE VIEW	88/ <u>-</u>	M EBMERM	$\frac{150}{150}$ $\frac{150}{150}$	<u>28</u> 28 28 28		LED02EB0253	53 53 53 53	92 92 92 92	9 of 33 of 33 9 of 32 of 33 2 of 32 of 33 9 of 32 of 33 9 of 32 of 33
	indexided -									
15 15 Single 15 15 Single 15 15 With 15 15 With	SAR CONTRACT SD00/5. CONTRACT 5.00/5. CONTRACT 5.00/5. CONTRACT STATES CONTRACT STATES	00/- S3/ES3/ES8/ES9 53/ES8/ES4 00/- S3/ES8/ES4		250 250 ⁵³ 250 250 250 250					92 92 92 92 92 92	
16 16Single 16 16Single 16 16Single 16 16 Swith 16 16 With 16 16 With	aniz esided 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 520/5: 5	20/- s3/ess3/ES8/ES4 20/- S3/ES8/ES4 20/- S3/ES8/ES4		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Z1 Z1 Z1 Z1 Z1 Z1		LED02EB0243 LED02EB02			
17 17 Sing 7 17 Sing 17 17 Sing	Singlesided 5.20/5. Binglesided 5.20/5. Innglesided 5.20/5. Binglesided 5.20/5.	28/- 53/ES3/ES8/ES4 28/- 53/ES3/ES8/ES4		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32 32 32 32 32		LED022B0243			
	e Strædvered 5.20/5. Strædvered 5.20/5. Strædvered 5.20/5. Strædvered 5.20/5.	20/- EE5/SE5/S3 20/- EE5/SE5/S3 20/- CE5/SE5/SE5/S3 20/- CE5/SE5/SE5/SB	HPS HPS HPS HPS S HPS150PS	$150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 \ 150 $	Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4				112 112 112 112 112 112	
19 19 Sing 19 19 Sing 19 19 Sing 19 19 Sing	Binglesided 5-20/5. Binglesided 5-20/5. Binglesided 5-20/5. Binglesided 5-20/5.	28/		150 150 150 150 150 150	68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68		LEBUEBU LEBUEBU LEBUEBU	125 125 125 125 125 125		
20 20 Sta 20 20 Sta 20 20 Sta 20 20 Sta	schartered 5.20/5 schartered 5.20/5 schartered 5.20/5 genegered 5.20/5 genegered 5.20/5				Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4 Z4					
	ducted wetter och		THE PACE AND A CONTRACT OF THE PACE OF THE	aran(2a)(6)(20)(6)).).	asgeslasses [#e]U asgeslasses [#e]U		2. With the red 2. With the red 2. With the red 52. With the red 52. With the red	as encodenian de la encodenian de la encodenian de la encodenian de la encodenia de la encodeninda de la encod	Hene Wasecwas con-

15	15	without aomt arm	5:00/-5:00/-	53/E58/E54	CDMCDM	250	250	53	53		LED022ED02	2 53	53	92	92	
16 Sustai	18 nability	Singl Sigle csided — Singl Sigle csided — without aomit arm 2023, Historica arm	5:20/5:20/-	\$3/E \$8 /E\$4	EBMEBM	188	188	71	71		LEB82EB82	43	43	<u>81</u>	8 1	<u>f 32</u>
17	17	Singl Singles ided Singl Singles ided	5.20/5.20/- able 2. <i>Cont</i> .	\$3/E \$B /E\$4	H&EH&E	125	125	32 32	32 32		£EB82EB82	43	4 <u>3</u>	<u>81</u>	81	
18	18	Astangettenbered Stagginggered	Height/5.201/ig Arbi200/15:20/-	hting (CES/SE5/Camp CE5/SE5/SE Typolog	HPS HPS y [W]	E 150 Lumino	Ex-Ante 159ninai Dus Effica	ire74 cy [Lm	74 /w]		LEBHEB81	ow483:5 [W]	E 5103.5 5103.5 Lumino	x-Post Lumma ous Effica	irŧ12 cy [Em/W	
19	19	Singleindersided Singleingerided	5 <u>5020/5.20/-</u> 5.20/-5.20/-	S4/ES54/ES54/ES55	HPSIMPS	158	158 ⁶⁸	68	68		LEB91EB8	¹²⁵ 125	125	11 4	114	
20	28	Stag Staggered	5320/5:20/-	s4/es 54/E554/ES5 ps	HPS HPS HPS bops	158	15874	74 74	74		LEB91EB81	103. 5 03:5	3183:5	112 112	112	
	According thing to the second second and the second															

Considering the control system of the lighting installations, both the ex-ante and ex-Considering the control system of the lighting installations, both the ex-ante and post lighting systems were switched on and off through a centralized remote-control sys-ex-post lighting systems were switched on and off through a centralized remote-control tem (switchen the shold equal to 30 that a distronomical clock reserve). The ex-ante light-tem (switchen the shold equal to 30 that a distronomical clock reserve). The ex-ante lightsystemelswitchepnathraspolderanualto 30 the and astronomical clack reserve). The ex-ante lighting, system vss. kaptatatubee werth noughout the velocity trehile for the ex-post installation, a controlls out the padepted to dily the (Bg)/to intworstages indusing the nightfluxe: #rolf192:00:89:m.mot019609.mm, the power is reduced by 910%7990% of minilinal luminous hunin and tho The Program with beroberts, of the poppet installative of by 30% (70% of nominal per vear) spread throughout the 12 months are reported in Figure 2. fuminous flux). The average switch-on hours of the ex-post installation (4113 h average per year) spread throughout the 12 months are reported in Figure 2.



Figure 2. EROStostallatina fiorerage daily when on the source of the sou

3. Methods

3. Methods Considering the case study described above, a method was defined to assess and

comparing the case study please above, a method scaped efinant to assess and compare the philotometric and energy the response was been anteen the provisit installations mate to 5 wors to be the the the second by the second states of the second s ment campaigns and on the further elaboration of the same in particular, the adopted measurement 5 Years. The method was based on the acquisition of data through in-situ measurement method included, different phases: (i) assessment of the energy performance (ex-ante and campaigns and on the further elaboration of the same. In particular, the adopted method ex-post systems); (ii) assessment of the photometric performance (ex-ante and ex-post sysinclyded differents phases the assessment of the energy performance (ex-ante and ex-post systems); (iii) an estimating the photometric performance (extante and ext post systems); anth(iii)eassestmenteroftendepreciation of the photometring performance (ex-post system). sustainability 2023 Aflow chart illustrating the methodological approach is reported in Figure 3, and further

details about the method phases are reported in the following subsections



Figure 3. Description³ oP the internet blog of the product of th

3.1. Assessment of the Energy Performance

The energy performance and, consequently, the energy consumption, of a lighting system are influenced by several factors. Key factors include the luminous efficacy of the lamps, the optical efficiency of the luminaires, and the energy losses associated with ballasts. Additionally, the efficacy in exploiting the emitted luminous flux, often determined Eineller th

3.1. Assessment of the Energy Performance

The energy performance and, consequently, the energy consumption, of a lighting system are influenced by several factors. Key factors include the luminous efficacy of the lamps, the optical efficiency of the luminaires, and the energy losses associated with ballasts. Additionally, the efficacy in exploiting the emitted luminous flux, often determined by lighting design choices, is another significant factor. Finally, the type and features of the lighting control system, responsible for managing the on/off switching and light dimming, also have a direct impact on the annual energy consumption.

Within this study, the energy performances of both ex-ante and ex-post installations during the monitoring period were assessed. Data on the annual energy consumption of the switchboards connected to the sample areas were provided by the municipal energy operator. In particular, as regards the ex-ante condition, the annual energy consumption data provided by the municipal energy operator were calculated as the product of the installed power and the annual operational hours. Instead, as concerns the ex-post condition, the measured annual energy consumption data were provided. Based on these data, the ex-ante and ex-post energy consumptions of the considered switchboards were compared in order to assess the energy saving achieved through the retrofit of the lighting system. Moreover, the energy consumption trends over the 5 years of monitoring the new LED installations were evaluated.

3.2. Assessment of the Photometric Performance

Measurement campaigns were conducted in the field to verify the lighting conditions with both the ex-ante and the ex-post LED lighting systems. One measurement campaign was carried out to assess the lighting performance of the ex-ante lighting systems. After the LED retrofit (ex-post), five measurement campaigns were carried out in a time span of approximately 5 years, with a frequency of one measurement campaign about every year (C1–C5). The monitoring campaign started after the renovation of all lighting installations was completed and therefore the first measurement campaign (C1) was carried out with a variable delay compared to the switch-on of the initial LED luminaires. Furthermore, each year, the duration of the measurement campaign (i.e., the time required to perform the measurements for all the selected lighting installations) was also variable, due to different types of contingencies (e.g., weather conditions, availability of the municipal police, etc.) The lighting performance of both ex-ante and ex-post installations for each analyzed road and pedestrian area was assessed through in-situ photometric measurements. The measurement campaigns were based on illuminance and luminance data acquisition, in accordance with technical standards EN 13201 part 3 and part 4 [43,44].

Luminance measurements of the road surface were conducted for motorized traffic roads, while horizontal illuminance was measured for pedestrian and cycle areas. The installations were assessed at full power to verify compliance with standard requirements, considering the lighting class defined in the design phase [45]. For the luminance acquisition in motorized traffic roads, a TechnoTeam "LMK Mobile" ILMD (Image Luminance Measuring Device) (based on a Canon EOS digital camera) with a measurement uncertainty of 4.7% was used to assess the luminance distribution of the framed carriageway, represented as a luminance image. In this study, the static measurement method was adopted as described by EN 13201-4 [44] and CIE TR 194 [46]. The luminance image was captured with the observer positioned in the center of each traffic lane, 60 m away from the measuring area of the carriageway. The analysis of the luminance images followed a three-step process, as shown in Figure 4 and described as follows:

Step (1): Luminance image acquisition of the relevant area of the carriageway;

Step (2): Rectification of the luminance image and definition of the measuring grid;

Step (3): Luminance data analysis (average value, overall and longitudinal uniformity).

the measuring area of the carriageway. The analysis of the luminance images followed a three-step process, as shown in Figure 4 and described as follows:

Sustainability 2023, 15, 16910

Step (1): Luminance image acquisition of the relevant area of the carriageway;

Step (2): Rectification of the luminance image and definition of the measuring grid;_{12 of 32} Step (3): Luminance data analysis (average value, overall and longitudinal uniformity).



Figure 4: Example of luminance image analysis for a two-lane carriageway motorized traffic road.

In particular, in this study, the photometric performance assessment of roads with two lanes was based on the mean videor of except and uninear, referred the the return rargieves and measured in each observer position at the center of the lane. This mean value of luminance was considered for data analysis, as described in Section 4 "Results"

riadeway and measured in each observer position at the center of the fane. This mean value of luminance was considered for data analysis, as described in Section 4 "Results". The static measurement system was preferred with respect to a dynamic one [47] the static measurement system was preferred with respect to a dynamic one [47] since with the former it can be easier to control repeatability and reliability over time. Since with the former it can be easier to control repeatability and reliability over time. The observer position with respect to the measured area (distance, camera height) was observer position with respect to the measured area (distance, camera height) was concontrolled in each measurement campaign by means of repeated distance measurements of of specific reference points (i.e., a lighting pole in the measured area) and employing a phophotographic survey (i.e., horizontal road traffic signs as markers). As a consequence of the static method, the measurements of road luminance were always carried out without traffic static method, the measurements of road luminance were always carried out without traffic store (i.e., the measurement area and employing a phophotographic survey (i.e., horizontal road traffic signs as markers). As a consequence of the static method, the measurements of road luminance were always carried out without traftraffic store in ecessarily the traffic on al luanes.

fic, stopping necessarily the traffic on all lanes. For pedestrian areas, horizontal illuminance was measured by means of a Minolta T-10M luxmeter with a measurement uncertainty of 2%, considering grid points at ground level.

Both luminance and illuminance measurements were taken in the 5 years of the study during the autumn and winter seasons. The measurement campaigns were conducted during night-time between September and February.

Throughout the measurement campaign, further information was acquired and recorded: the characteristics of the lighting system and its maintenance over time, the meteorological conditions and visibility, the asphalt condition (dry/wet) and its maintenance over time, and the presence of parasite lighting with respect to the relevant area.

The data obtained through the measurement campaigns were further investigated to evaluate the differences between ex-ante and ex-post photometric conditions, as well as the compliance of the new lighting systems with the standard requirements over the 5 years of analysis.

3.3. Assessment of the Depreciation of the Photometric Performance

The data measured during the 5 measurement campaigns (C1–C5) with the LED expost installations were also used to assess the depreciation of the photometric performance over time (approximately 5 years, i.e., the period between the luminaires' substitution and the end of the monitoring period). The depreciation trends obtained from the measured data were then compared with the depreciation, estimated based on the calculation method

proposed in the literature [37,38]. The final goal was to evaluate the consistency between actual and predicted trends.

The depreciation of the light output over time is defined as the ratio of the illuminance produced by the lighting system after a certain period to the illuminance produced by the system when new and is expressed by the maintenance factor (Equation (1)). Therefore, the maintenance factor trend reflects the light loss (or depreciation of the light output) of a given installation over time.

$$f_{\rm M} = \frac{E_{\rm m}}{E_{\rm in}} \tag{1}$$

where:

 f_M = maintenance factor E_m = maintained illuminance E_{in} = initial illuminance

In this study, the depreciation of the light output of the considered sample installations was assessed using the data measured to assess the lighting performances of the installations, that is: (i) the road luminance for motorized traffic roads and (ii) the horizontal illuminance on the pavement for pedestrian areas. The maintenance factor f'_M was therefore calculated as the ratio of luminance (or illuminance) referred to the lighting system at a given time (C1–C5) to the luminance (or illuminance) when the system was new (C0), as reported in the Equation (2).

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{M(L)} &= \frac{L_{Cn}}{L_{C0}} & \text{(as luminance ratio)} \\ f'_{M(E)} &= \frac{E_{Cn}}{E_{C0}} & \text{(as illuminance ratio)} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where:

 $f'_{M(L)}$ = maintenance factor (photometric performance depreciation) calculated as luminance ratio

 $f'_{M(E)}$ = maintenance factor (photometric performance depreciation) calculated as illuminance ratio

 L_{Cn} = luminance measured at a given time (Cn)

 L_{C0} = luminance measured when the lighting system was new (C0)

 E_{Cn} = illuminance measured at a given time (Cn)

 E_{C0} = illuminance measured when the lighting system was new (C0)

The predicted depreciation of the same lighting systems was calculated assuming the standard maintenance factor calculation method. In particular, the PD ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019 [37] was assumed as a reference for the maintenance factor determination method, since it is currently considered as the latest best practice guidance. As reported in [37], the predicted maintenance factor (f_M), is determined using the formula:

$$f_{\rm M} = f_{\rm LF} \cdot f_{\rm S} \cdot f_{\rm LM} \cdot f_{\rm SM} \tag{3}$$

where:

• f_{LF} = luminous flux factor—expresses the depreciation of the luminous flux over time due to the ageing of the light source or luminaire during regular operation. This is defined as the ratio of depreciated luminous flux to the initial luminous flux [37]. In general, for LED-based luminaires, the f_{LF} shall be determined based on the light source or luminaire replacement interval and shall be provided by manufacturers. Methods and conditions for measuring the lumen maintenance of LEDs are provided by the IES (Illuminating Engineering Society of North America) LM-80-08 "Approved method for measuring lumen maintenance of LED light sources" [48] and IES TM-21-11 "Projecting long term lumen maintenance of LED light sources" [49]. f_S = survival factor—expresses the probability of the light source and/or luminaire to continue to operate at a given time. This factor shall be based on the type of operational

regime [37]. In this study, the f_S was set equal to 1, since according to the operational regime adopted by the municipal operator, light sources are replaced directly in case of breakage.

• f_{LM} = luminaire maintenance factor—expresses the relative output of the luminaire due to dirt deposited on light sources, optical components or other components influencing the luminaire output [37]. In particular, for outdoor luminaires, the determination of f_{LM} shall be based on the combination of luminaire design (IP classification), environmental pollution category, and cleaning interval. References to f_{LM} typical values are reported in different standards. In this study, two main references were assumed to determine the f_{LM} : (i) PD ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019—Annex C.2 "Outdoor luminaires. Examples of outdoor luminaire maintenance factors f_{LM} " [37] and (ii) BS 5489-1:2020 Annex C1 "Typical luminaire maintenance factors" [38].

In Tables 3 and 4, the $f_{\rm LM}$ reference values from the two different standards are reported.

Table 3. Table from ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019—Annex C.2 "Outdoor luminaires. Examples of outdoor luminaire maintenance factors f_{LM} " [37].

Ontical Compartment IP Rating	Pollution Category		Exposure Time (Years)						
Optical compartment if Kating	Tonution Category	1	1.5	2	2.5	3			
IP2X	High	0.53	0.48	0.45	0.43	0.42			
	Medium	0.62	0.58	0.56	0.54	0.53			
	Low	0.82	0.8	0.79	0.78	0.78			
IP5X	High	0.89	0.87	0.84	0.8	0.76			
	Medium	0.9	0.88	0.86	0.84	0.82			
	Low	0.92	0.91	0.9	0.89	0.88			
IP6X	High	0.91	0.90	0.88	0.85	0.83			
	Medium	0.92	0.91	0.89	0.88	0.87			
	Low	0.93	0.92	0.91	0.90	0.90			

Table 4. Table from BS 5489-1:2020 Annex C1 "Typical luminaire maintenance factors" [38].

		Luminaire Maintenance Factor									
Environmental Zone	Mounting Height		Cleaning Frequency								
Lone		12 Months	24 Months	36 Months	48 Months	60 Months	72 Months				
E1/E2	$\leq 6 \text{ m}$	0.96	0.96	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.92				
E1/E2	>6 m	0.96	0.96	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.92				
E3/E4	$\leq 6 \mathrm{m}$	0.94	0.92	0.9	0.88	0.86	0.84				
E3/E4	>6 m	0.96	0.96	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.92				

• f_{SM} = surface maintenance factor—considers the depreciation of surface reflection [37]. For outdoor lighting, except for tunnels and underpasses, the f_{SM} is set to 1.00.

To compare actual and estimated depreciation trends, the overall lighting installation sample was divided into three subsets: (i) motorized and traffic roads with luminaires equipped with LED01, (ii) pedestrian areas (park areas) with luminaires equipped with LED02, and (iii) pedestrian areas (footpaths and cycling area) with luminaires equipped with LED01. The analysis was conducted separately for the three subsets since the measured photometric quantities and the characteristics of the LEDs, in terms of lumen maintenance, were different. For each subset, a regression analysis was applied to derive an overall measured depreciation trend to be compared to the estimated one.

4. Results

In this section, the results of the energy and lighting performance monitoring campaign of the sample of motorized traffic roads and pedestrian areas are reported.

4.1. Energy Performance

To assess the energy performances, the installed power, and the annual energy consumption data (expressed in kWh) of the switchboards, which included the areas of the sample, were provided by the municipal energy operator. Table 5 reports the installed power values of the considered switchboards for each year. Moreover, the calculated ex-ante and measured ex-post energy consumptions in the 5 years of monitoring were assessed (considering in both cases the total amount of energy consumption of the considered switchboards). Figure 5 shows the comparison between ex-ante and ex-post annual energy consumption data.

Table 5. Installed power of the considered switchboards.

		Year	Installed Power [kW]
	Ex-ante	2014	665.5
		2017	377.9
		2018	368.1
	Encode	2019	365.0
	Ex-post	2020	359.9
Sustainability 2023 , 15, x FOR PEER REVIEW		2021	362.6 16 of 33
v		Average 2017–2021	366.7



Figure 5. Calculated ex-ante and measured ex-post annual energy consumptions of the considered switchboards.

Results showed that the percent ge exclusion developments during the percent general general general general general general general general developments and the source of the percent general genera

4.2. Photometric Performance

The lighting performances of pother souther and exceptional lations are evaluated by an arts of the in-situs phonetrie measurements. Figure of the invitation of the intermediate in the meterized traffic rands of the pedestrian areas. The measurement uncertainties of the instruments used in the measurement campaign are reported through error bars.

4.2. Photometric Performance

The lighting performances of both ex-ante and ex-post installations were evaluated by means of the in-situ photometric measurements. In Figure 6, the luminance data measured in the motorized traffic roads are reported. Likewise, Figure 7 shows the illuminance data measured in the pedestrian areas. The measurement uncertainties of the instruments used in the measurement campaign are reported through error bars.

measured in the pedestrian areas. The measurement uncertainties of the instruments used in the measurement campaign are reported through error bars.



Figure 6. Luminance values measured on the motorized traffic roads (ID 01 to 12) during the exante and ex-post (C1–C5) measurement campaigns. Error bars report the measurement uncertainty **Figure 6.** Luminance values in the motorized traffic and solve the measurement uncertainty **Figure 6.** Luminance values in the motorized traffic and solve the measurement uncertainty of the ILMD (Image Luminance Measuring Device). The standard requirements of the UNI EN 13201-2 are reported as dotted lines.



Figure 7. Illuminance values measured on the pedestrian and cycling areas (ID 13 to 20) during the ex-ante and expose ((I-1CS)) have surran examples in the surran with the su

The measured data of both ex-ante and ex-post installations were compared to the stand**End measured** data of the UNX-ENICL 2001 ex-post installations measured data of the UNX-ENICL 2004 (istallatiostandardcimforced) training the second and the training the second and the ex-post condition; in ex-ante installations, only 1 case in 12 did not achieve the requirements (requirements satisfied in 92% of cases). Also considering pedestrian areas, the requirements of maintained average horizontal illuminance were always respected both in ex-ante and ex-post installations. As a general comment regarding the comparison with the standard requirements, the measured data referred to

Sustainability 2023, 15, 16910

1 case in 12 did not achieve the requirements (requirements satisfied in 92% of cases). Also considering pedestrian areas, the requirements of maintained average horizontal illuminance were always respected both in ex-ante and ex-post installations. As a general comment regarding the comparison with the standard requirements, the measured data referred to different conditions of the luminaires' maintenance: the ex-ante installations were close to the end of their life, while the ex-post installations were in the first five years of operating life.

Comparing the luminance and illuminance data to the minimum standard requirements, several cases of over-lighting conditions emerged. The issue of the over-illumination of road surfaces is addressed in road lighting standards primarily to avoid energy waste. According to UNI EN 13201-5 [50], the lighting level should not exceed the specified lighting value of the next higher lighting class. In the UNI 11248:2016 [41], it is specified that the maximum acceptable deviation is +35% for M lighting classes and +25% for other lighting classes. The results of the study showed elevated instances of over-illumination in almost all areas and for both ex-ante and ex-post installations. In particular, concerning the luminance measurements conducted in motorized traffic roads, 6 cases of 12 (i.e., 50% of the sample) M lighting categories exceed the requirement by more than 35% in the ex-ante condition. The average percentage of over-illumination for ex-ante installations was +118% (SD 112%) with a maximum of +341%. In the ex-post condition, 10 cases (i.e., 83% of the sample) exceeded the requirement by more than 35%, and the average percentage of overillumination at C5 was equal to +56% (SD 27%) with a maximum of +103%. In pedestrian areas, seven cases (i.e., 88% of the sample) exceeded the requirement by more than 25% in both ex-ante and ex-post conditions. For ex-ante installations, the average percentage of over-illumination was equal to +121% (SD 68%) with a maximum of +227%, while in the ex-post condition, the average percentage of over-illumination at C5 was equal to +154% (SD 141%) with a maximum of +446%. It should be underlined that a maintenance factor of 0.8 was adopted during the design phase. Consequently, it was foreseeable that when the installations were new, they had values higher by 20-25% than the design value based on standard requirements. The results showed some cases of over-lighting even after 5 years, beyond the expected limits.

Analyzing the data obtained from the five measurement campaigns, irregular trends of the measured parameter (average luminance or illuminance) emerged for many of the areas included in the study. The performance variation between one measurement cycle and the following was in some cases negative (reduction of the photometric performance, as expected) and in other cases positive (increase in the lighting performance), with variations that were often above the measurement uncertainty. This trend was particularly evident for the motorized traffic roads, where the luminance of the pavement was measured to assess the luminous performance of the lighting system. In general, results concerning the pedestrian areas, where illuminance measurements were conducted, showed more regular trends.

4.3. Depreciation of the Photometric Performance

Data from the ex-post LED installations acquired during the five measurement campaigns were further investigated in order to assess the depreciation of the photometric performances over the monitoring period. As described in Section 3.3. the maintenance factor trends obtained from data measured in the field ($f'_{M(L)}$ and $f'_{M(E)}$) were compared with the predicted maintenance factor trends (f_M) calculated based on methods defined in the standards and the literature.

4.3.1. Measured Depreciation of the Photometric Performances

Since the photometric monitoring started after the completion of the lighting systems retrofit, the first measurement campaign (C1) was carried out with a variable delay (from 221 to 8338 operation hours) compared to the LED luminaires' installation and, therefore, the measured photometric performances of the systems at switch-on time (C0) were not

available. To allow for the calculation of $f'_{M(L)}$ and $f'_{M(E)}$ (measured maintenance factor trend) and the comparison with f_M (predicted maintenance factor trend), the initial luminance/illuminance values (corresponding to C0) were estimated, for each analyzed motorized traffic road and pedestrian area, through a linear regression of the corresponding C1–C5 measured values.

Figures 8–10 report, respectively, the luminance and illuminance values that were measured during the measurement campaigns (C1–C5) and the estimated values corre-*Sustainability* 2023, 15, x FOR PEER REPORTING to the first switch-on time, namely the initial performance (C0)? The average

difference (in operating hours) between C0 and C1 was equal to 5102 h, with a minimum difference of 221 h and a maximum difference of 8338 h.



Figure 8. Measured (C1–C5) and estimated (C0) luminance values of motorized traffic roads **Figure 8.** Measured (C1–C5) vertices (inhered (C0) further at certains of the obliged traffic Toads (LED01) estimate the initial luminance values at C0 = 0 h and is plotted in the graph as an example (dotted line) for the ID 12. Over time (in hours). The linear regression was applied to each ID to estimate the initial luminance values at C0 = 0 h and is plotted in the graph as an example (dotted line) for the line) for the ID 12.



Figure 9. Mnasured (CCF-C3) distingtification (CO) information values of nedestrian and reacting dreading areas (LED 02) (i.e., ID 13 to ID 17) over time (in hours). The linear regression was applied to each ID to (LED 02) (i.e., ID 13 to ID 17) over time (in hours). The linear regression was applied to each ID to estimate the initial luminance values at C0 = 0 h and is plotted in the graph as an example (dotted estimate regression) is plotted in the graph as an example (dotted in the graph as an example (dotted in the line) for the ID 15.

2fg 8f 323



Figure 10. Measured (C1–C5) and estimated (C0) illuminance values of pedestrian and cycling areas (LED 01) (i.e., ID 18 to ID 20) over time (in hours). The linear regression was applied to each ID to **Figure 10**. Measured (C1–C5) and estimated (CO) illuminance values of pedestrian and cycling areas (LED 01) (i.e., ID 18 to ID 20) over time (in hours). The linear regression was applied to each ID to **Figure 10**. (i.e., ID 18 to ID 20) over time (in hours). The linear regression was applied to each ID to estimate the initial luminance values at C0=0 and is platted as an estimate the initial luminance values at C0=0 and is platted as an estimate the initial luminance values at C0=0 and is platted as an estimate the initial luminance values at C0=0 and is platted as an estimate the initial luminance values at C0=0 and is platted as an estimate the initial luminance values at C0=0 and is platted as an estimate the initial luminance values at C0=0 and is platted as an estimate the initial luminance values at C0=0 and is platted as an estimate the initial luminance values at C0=0 and is platted as an estimate the estimate the maintenance factor over time (f'M(L) and f'M(E)), based on the acquired measured data, the formula of Equation (2) was applied. Figures 11–13 report, respectively to estimate the maintenance factor over time (from the estimate the estimate the estimate the estimate of the photometric of the estimate the estimate the estimate of the photometric of the estimate the estimate of the estimate of the estimate the estimate the estimate the estimate of the estimate of



Figure 11. Maintenance factor (f'M(L)) trends of motorized traffic roads (LED01) (i.e., ID 01 to ID 12).



Figure 12. Maintenance factor (f'ME) trends of pedestrian park areas (LED 02) (i.e., ID 13 to ID 17).





Figure 13. Maintenance factor (ff MA) provide stopped to an and evel is is readed. (DED 101) (i. e.D. 118 to 10 й9)20). Figure 13. Maintenance factor (f'м(Е)) trends of pedestrian and cyclist areas (LED 01) (i.e., ID 18 to ID

20). As already outlined in Section A.2. The lata table to be defined in the incommensation

paippoiden destrated a tradition of the second s Tables 8, and percentage way at the data obtained from the five measurement campatens demonstrated the integritar frends of the measured parameters over time. In Laples 6 and 2, the percentage variation between one measurement cycle and the previous and the pr between the last measurement dycle 22,5 the switch within the first and chies the last jumanance values were considered, an increase in lighting, with respect to the previous iweesy concept civeles was a recorded in 27 or the cases while the sid line in the state at this bare o Renard initiance trasers Furtherance values. Trass values of the near contage values of the tween one cycle and the following and the corresponding standard deviation are also

2108f 32

nature of the quantities (illuminance directly related to the luminous flux emitted by the luminaires and luminance related to both the luminous flux of the luminaires and the pavement reflectance) and to the different complexity of the measurement procedures.

Table 6. Percentage variation between one measurement cycle and the previous, and between the last measurement cycle (C5) and the switch-on time (C0) in motorized traffic roads (luminance measurements).

ID	Δ%(C2–C1)	Δ%(C3–C2)	Δ%(C4–C3)	Δ%(C5–C4)	Δ%(C5–C0)
01	-18.00%	4.75%	17.36%	-3.43%	6.31%
02	-2.17%	-5.42%	-0.85%	-3.77%	-12.65%
03	-21.03%	-4.99%	10.60%	2.65%	-8.17%
04	4.56%	-4.54%	-9.57%	-6.35%	-20.53%
05	-3.03%	-16.56%	16.40%	-4.20%	-9.54%
06	-4.43%	-10.25%	-2.21%	-12.15%	-32.92%
07	-2.48%	7.28%	-8.92%	6.03%	1.26%
08	3.31%	5.11%	-4.84%	-0.03%	1.94%
09	-8.75%	-10.10%	-5.54%	-2.97%	-29.70%
10	-4.50%	-23.70%	24.84%	-8.69%	-21.17%
11	-9.10%	-8.09%	3.79%	-1.91%	-16.68%
12	-3.19%	-7.63%	7.18%	-5.95%	-12.05%
$\Delta\%_{\rm av}$	-5.73%	-6.18%	4.02%	-3.40%	12.83%
SD	7.59%	8.97%	11.29%	4.86%	12.24%

Table 7. Percentage variation between one measurement cycle and the previous, and between the last measurement cycle (C5) and the switch-on time (C0) in pedestrian and cyclist areas (illuminance measurements).

ID	Δ%(C2–C1)	Δ%(C3–C2)	Δ%(C4–C3)	Δ%(C5–C4)	Δ%(C5–C0)
13	0.00%	-1.55%	-0.79%	-0.79%	-3.80%
14	-0.70%	-2.83%	-3.27%	-3.01%	-11.42%
15	-3.59%	1.06%	-3.68%	-4.92%	-14.76%
16	6.67%	-3.57%	-10.19%	4.12%	-10.84%
17	-3.57%	-1.23%	-6.25%	4.00%	-9.15%
18	2.07%	0.00%	-1.02%	0.00%	0.05%
19	-4.97%	-0.65%	-12.50%	-7.52%	-27.57%
20	4.41%	-2.11%	-1.44%	-0.36%	-2.49%
$\Delta\%_{av}$	0.04%	-1.36%	-4.89%	-1.06%	-10.00%
SD	4.12%	1.51%	4.40%	4.05%	8.71%

Considering the significant variability of the calculated trends, a linear regression analysis was carried out for each of the three sub-samples (motorized and pedestrian areas, with different types of LED). The results of the linear regressions were assumed as representative of the depreciation of the photometric performance (maintenance factor trends) measured in the period C0-C5 for the considered subsets of data. Considering the high dispersion among the measured data, the results of the regression models showed low R² values, respectively, equal to (i) 0.24, (ii) 0.65 and (iii) 0.18. Figures 14–16 show the results of the regression analysis for the considered subsets.

Susstainadvility 2023, 15, 169 QR PEER REVIEW



Figure 14. Regression analysis conducted on measured luminance data in motorized traffic roads (LED01). Figure 14: Regression analysis conducted on measured luminance data in motorized traffic roads (LED01):



Figure 15. Regression analysis conducted on measured illuminance data in pedestrian park areas (LED 02). Figure 15. Regression analysis conducted on measured illuminance data in pedestrian park areas (LED 02).



Figure 16. Regression analysis connected on an an an and the second states of the second stat

4.3.2. Evaluation of the Predicted Depreciation of the Photometric Performances

The predicted depreciation of the same lighting systems was calculated assuming the maintenance factor calculation method (Equation (3)) in accordance with the standards references, as described in Section 3.3. In particular, considering the terms in the formula, to determine the predicted maintenance factor (fm), the following data were assumed:

- Is = survival factor—constituting the the palaement of the failed components with similar the field of the survival factor (a) was set to 1:00:
- f4_M = Iluminaire maintenance factor as specified in Section 3:3:, two approaches were used to determine fAM values: the one specified in PD ISO/CHE TS 22012:2019 Annex C2 [37] and the one reported in BS 5489-1:2020 Annex C1 [38]. For the determination of fAM with the two methods, the following input values were considered:

(i) PD ISO/SCIE IS ISO/201201119 Rathing #11980X" as declared in the huminaires datasheet/apbirition/which conversion of the state of t

(ii) BS B489492029: Environmental Zone=^{-,} ES7EF4, constituting that the analyzed zones & energy with the geothetry with the

 f_{SM} = surface maintenance factor—for outdoor lighting, it only refers to tunnels and underpasses. Since this study includes only outdoor lighting installations, the f_{SM} is set to 4.00.

Based on the data of EDDOI in the EDDOI in the flat in the factor of th

Sustainability 2023, 15, 165 QR PEER REVIEW

The trends of the predicted maintenance factor of the lighting installations with LED01 and LED02 up to the time of the first cleaning interval, are shown in Figures 17 of 1 Miterien satching predicted maintenance factor of the lighting installations with and 18 respectively from the time of structure factor of the lighting installations with LED01 and 18 respectively predicted maintenance factor of the lighting installations with LED01 and LED02, up to the time of the first cleaning interval, are shown in Figures 17 and 18 respectively up to the time of the first cleaning interval, are shown in Figures 17 and 18, respectively



Figure 17. Predicted maintenance factor (fM) trends considering luminaires equipped with LED01.

Figure 17. Predicted maintenance factor (f_M) trends considering luminaires equipped with LED01.



Figure 18. Predicted maintenance factor ((f_M) considering luminaires equipped with LED02.

Figure 18. Predicted maintenance factor (fm) considering luminaires equipped with LED02. 4.3.3. Comparison between Predicted and Measured Depreciation of the Photometric **Photometric Photometric 4.3.3.** Comparison between Predicted and Measured Depreciation of the Photometric

4.3.3. Comparison between Predicted and Measured Depreciation of the Photometric Performance the predicted depreciation trends were compared with the measured deprecicitation Figures 1922 show the comparison between predicted imagintum and the final twents (f_M) and the linear regressions assumed as representative of the maintenance factor trends ciation. Figures 19–21 show the comparison between predicted maintenance factor trends ciation. Figures 19–21 show the comparison between predicted maintenance factor trends (f_M) and the linear regressions assumed as representative of the maintenance factor trends obtained from data measured in the field (f'_M) for the three subsets: (i) luminance data, (f_M) and the linear regressions assumed as representative of the maintenance factor freefds luminaires with LEDUI (f'_{M(E)}); (ii) illuminance data with LEDU2 (f'_{M(E)}); and (iii) illumiobtained from data measured in the field (f'_M) for the three subsets: (i) luminance data, luminaires with LEDUI (f'_{M(E)}); (ii) illuminance data with LED02 (f'_{M(E)}); and (iii) illumiobtained from data measured in the field (f'_M) for the three subsets: (i) luminance data, luminaires with LED01 (f'_{M(L)}); (ii) illuminance data with LED02 (f'_{M(E)}); and (iii) illumiobtained from data programmed in the field (f'_M) for the three subsets: (i) luminance data, luminaires with LED01 (f'_{M(L)}); (ii) illuminance data with LED02 (f'_{M(E)}); and (iii) illuminance data with LED01 (f'_{M(L)}); (ii) illuminance data with LED02 (f'_{M(E)}); and (iii) illuminance data with LED01 (f'_{M(L)}); (iii) illuminance data with LED02 (f'_{M(E)}); and (iii) illuminance data with LED01 (f'_{M(L)}); (iii) illuminance data with LED02 (f'_{M(E)}); and (iii) illuminance data with LED01 (f'_{M(L)}); (iii) illuminance data with LED02 (f'_{M(E)}); and (iii) illuminance data with LED01 (f'_{M(L)}); (iii) illuminance data with LED01 (f'_{M(E)}); and (iii) illuminance data with LED01 (f'_{M(E)}).



Figure 19. Comparison between the measured maintenance factor trends of motorized traffic roads (red line) ($f'_{M(L)}$) and the predicted maintenance factor trends (f_{M}) (dotted lines)—LED01. Figure 19. Comparison between the measured maintenance factor trends of motorized traffic roads (red line) ($f'_{M(L)}$) and the predicted maintenance factor trends (f_{M}) (dotted lines)—LED01.



Figure 20. Comparison between the measured maintenance factor trends of park areas (red line) ($f'_{M(E)}$) and the predicted maintenance factor trends (f_{M}) (dutted lines)—IEE002. Figure 20. Comparison between the measured maintenance factor trends of park areas (red line) ($f'_{M(E)}$) and the predicted maintenance factor trends (fM) (dotted lines)—LED02.



Figure 21. Comparison between the measured maintenance factor trends of footpaths and cycle path (red line) (Mar) and the predicted maintenance factor trends (4x) (Rothed ines) = LEED01.

The results demonstrated that the analytic foctor devived of measured whether data wased of hear the prefice predicted encounter a construction of the state of the st (standards (Kypatticulapatiedy)at which investigates in other spectal strends were insel moressimilar similar fyot knocked backen at the state of the BS 5489e 1BB 2489A 12020 [BA]. The p28deTitagperaria taggs variation store t/we ere that fan dreinel 6, a cod el va lixulare of alco dei de la code 5689nd : B62048924,8080na(fi24t678almi(firsinteeral)) g cintederal), gr the idecensubaeth rover sues used tweele finan to a 0 % (datai b E120 12 a 28 LEDOM) 128 4 (21 blat a 1 E 1 20 2) aan d E12 0 (21 landi 4 3 a Gel data hand date period by destended by her part and a period of the period of than spanning divertify the find on the land at the date of the construction of the co 127/11 (2:201197487); 17 14 (HEPAHarl& dillune Donge 1892a (HEPAHand 2826a (HEDO)2) and 2894 killDatihanspectationelyED01), respectively.

5. Biscussion

This study reported results on the photometric and energy performances of new LED public lighting systems, installed based on a retrofit project of the previous traditional light ing systems. The study included some significant areas, selected from a larger measured lighting systems. The study included some significant areas, selected from a larger measured isome significant areas, selected from a larger measured Ingstystems, The study included some significant areas, selected from a larger measured sample. In particular, 20 areas (12 motorized traffic roads and 8 pedestrian and cyclist ured sample. In particular, 20 areas (12 motorized traffic roads and 8 pedestrian and cyclist ured sample. In particular, 20 areas (12 motorized traffic roads and 8 pedestrian and cyclist areas) were selected, based on the observation of the environmental conditions in which clist areas) were selected, based on the observation of the environmental conditions in which the measurements were carried out. In fact, the cases in which the surrounding conditions which the measurements were carried out. In fact, the cases in which the surrounding (e.g., unsuitable weather conditions during the measurement campaigns, changes to the conditions (e.g., unsuitable weather conditions of interference or discontinuity between the years changes to the road surface, etc.) highlighted elements of interference or discontinuity be-the energy data acquired by the municipal energy operator and the photometric data at measured in field during the measurement campaigns were analyzed to assess the measured in field during the measurement campaigns were analyzed to assess the energy and photometric performance of the considered installations and to evaluate the and photometric performance of the considered installations and to evaluate the depreci-depreciation of the photometric performance of the energy operator and the photometric data at measured in field during the measurement campaigns were analyzed to assess the energy and photometric performance of the considered installations and to evaluate the depreci-depreciation of the photometric performance of the ex-post lighting systems over time. atom of the photometric performance of the energy performance, an average saving of 51% Concerning the assessment of the energy performance, an average saving of 51%

Concerning the assessment of the energy performance, an average saving of 51% emerged from the comparison between the ex-ante and ex-post annual energy consumption emerged from the comparison between the ex-ante and ex-post annual effergy consumption of the twitchboards, which included the lighting installations of the remains a consider ngn isothiestudied bedrestanternetad be on virghtyn tented unniversated them traditional light sources to LED escuts repaired the adoption to the pight-time luminous fluxreduction program and were in line with results reported in similar studies (see Section 1

tion"). The percentage of saving (51%) was calculated considering only the areas analyzed in this study. Overall, however, the "Torino a LED" project, considering all the luminaires involved in the retrofit (i.e., 54,000 luminaires), allowed for 60% savings for the city.

The assessment of photometric performance was carried out through several measurement campaigns based on the acquisition of illuminance and luminance data. For the motorized traffic roads, luminance data were collected, while illuminance values were acquired in the pedestrian areas. With respect to this aspect, while illuminance is a photometric quantity directly related to the luminous flux emitted by the luminaire, the luminance data also depend on the reflective characteristics of the road surface [52]. In this study, it was not possible to measure the photometric characteristics of the pavements during the measurement campaigns. Therefore, the photometric performances of the motorized traffic roads and their trend throughout the monitoring campaign may have been influenced, rather than by the luminaire depreciation, by the variation of the road surface reflectance due to the aging of the pavement [53,54]. Furthermore, other external conditions could affect the measured illuminance and luminance data [55] and contribute to explaining the non-linear trend of the performances measured over time. Indeed, the in-field monitoring of photometric performances involved a high level of complexity and limitations, which may have influenced the results. Measured data can be affected by obstructions (such as trees and other constructions), nearby ambient lighting (light trespass from advertising, floodlighting, etc.), and environmental conditions (temperature and humidity levels during the in-field measurements). The equipment used and the personnel may also introduce a further level of uncertainty. Moreover, the approach adopted for luminance measurements, i.e., the one provided by the technical international standards EN 13201 part 3 and part 4 [43,44], is quite complex and can lead to mismatches between the measurement points in the different measuring campaigns. As an example, one of the most critical points of the current approach regards the reference observation angle currently used in the standards and the consequent difficulties in making measurements at a 1° observation angle [56]. Therefore, in addition to the lack of information regarding road surface reflectance, the complexity of the measurement method and the difficulties related to the reproducibility of measurements can partially explain the highly variable data over time.

The comparison between the photometric data measured in the field and the standard requirements showed that both in the ex-ante and ex-post conditions the photometric performance satisfies the limits set by the standard. However, as underlined in Section 4.2, some cases of over-illumination emerged, in both motorized traffic roads and pedestrian and cyclist areas and with both ex-ante and ex-post lighting installations. The results showed that in the ex-ante condition, the levels of over-illumination were on average higher, both in the motorized roads and in the pedestrian areas. The over-illumination levels decreased with the LED systems (ex-post condition), but in many cases, they were still higher than the limits set by the standard. The over-illumination of the ex-post installation was calculated after 5 years, and at the end of the sixth year, the cleaning of the luminaires is scheduled; therefore, it can be assumed that the photometric performance of the LED system will return following the cleaning of the luminaires, to values close to the initial ones (net of the depreciation of the light sources). However, it should be considered that the measured performances of the ex-ante condition referred to lighting installations that were close to the end of their life, while the LED systems were in the fifth year of their operating life. Therefore, their performance will be destined to further decrease during their useful life.

Over-illumination can be determined, among other things, by the value of the maintenance factor that is set during the design phase of the lighting installation. Based on this consideration, one of the goals of this study was the assessment of the depreciation of the photometric performance of the LED lighting systems (ex-post installation), based on the photometric data acquired in the field. The determination of the maintenance factor (f_M) is an important aspect in the design of a lighting system, in order to properly set up the equipment and the installation to maintain the required photometric performances, while avoiding unnecessary energy consumption. In fact, the maintenance factor reflects the depreciation of the light output of a given installation over time. Nowadays, the calculation models available for determining the f_M have only been partially updated with respect to the technological evolution that has occurred in the field of lighting during recent decades. The topic is currently of interest, and numerous research studies are under development at an international level (e.g., within the CIE). In this study, maintenance factor trends were determined based on luminance and illuminance data acquired in the field during a monitoring campaign of approximately 5 years, and they were compared with the predicted maintenance factor trends of the same lighting systems, determined based on the calculation method reported in the main standards in force. The goal was to evaluate the accuracy of the f_M calculation methods with respect to the maintenance factor trends measured in the field. The measured illuminance and luminance variation over time, as discussed previously, was quite variable and, therefore, the comparison was conducted with functions obtained from the linear regression of the measured maintenance factor (f'_{M}) trends. As expected, considering the high variability of the measured data, the obtained R^2 value was quite low, in particular in the case of luminance data ($R^2 = 0.18$). Moreover, the predicted maintenance factor (f_M) trends were determined based on the calculation method proposed by the PD ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019 [37], assumed as the latest practice guidance. Considering the factors of the calculation formula (Equation (3)), both the f_S (survival factor) and the f_{SM} (surface maintenance factor) were considered equal to 1 for the characteristics of this study. Consequently, the two factors that influenced the determination of the f_M were the f_{LF} (luminous flux factor), which was related to the depreciation of the luminous flux over time of the light source, and the f_{LM} (luminaire maintenance factor), which expressed the relative light output of the luminaire due to dirt deposited. In this study, data on $f_{I,F}$ were acquired from manufacturers' datasheets. However, generally, many difficulties in obtaining these data emerged, increasing the level of difficulty in correctly estimating the f_M . Difficulties also emerged in defining the f_{LM} factor, which depends on the dirt deposited on the luminaires. Therefore, as regards the determination of the f_{LM} factor, both the reference values proposed in PD ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019 [37] and in BS 5489-1:2020 [38] were assumed. The calculated f_M trends were then compared to the linear regression of the f'_M trends obtained from the measured data. The results demonstrated that, for all the considered subsets, the linear regression of the maintenance factor (f'_{M}) at 24,678 h (approximately 5 years, therefore shortly before the first cleaning interval) was close to the predicted level (f_M), considering the reference values provided by BS 5489-1:2020 [38]. Instead, greater variations emerged with respect to the maintenance factor (f_M) trends defined based on the reference values reported in the PD ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019 [37]. In general, in all the analyzed subsets, the measured maintenance factor (f'_{M}) trends were higher with respect to the predicted ones according to both the considered standards. In other words, the measured depreciation trends of the LED lighting systems were lower with respect to the predicted trends.

Moreover, the predicted maintenance factor (f_M) showed a non-linear trend with respect to the first year of life (significant difference between f_M values at t = 0 and t = 1year of life). These trends were determined by the f_{LF} reference values provided by the standards. Therefore, according to the predicted maintenance factor (f_M) trends, the lighting installations would suffer a greater depreciation during the first year of life. In this study, it was not possible to verify this aspect through the measured maintenance factor (f'_M) trends, as the measurement intervals between the measuring campaigns were not regular and therefore the data measured at 1 year of life were not available.

6. Conclusions

The study presented in this paper was aimed at assessing and monitoring the performances of LED public lighting systems, based on a retrofit project of the previous traditional lighting systems. A method was set up to assess and compare the performances of the ex-ante (traditional luminaires) and ex-post (LED) lighting systems, in order to evaluate both energy performances (data acquired from the remote-control system) and photometric performances (data from in-field measuring campaigns). Moreover, the study involved the assessment of the depreciation of the photometric performance of the ex-post installations over a period of about 5 years (based on measured data) and comparison with the predicted depreciation (estimated based on the calculation method proposed by the main standards currently in force).

In the study, the proposed method was applied to a significant sample of roads and pedestrian areas included in the lighting renovation program of the city of Turin (Italy). Results showed that:

- The retrofit project, and therefore the adoption of more efficient technologies as well as a control system to dim the light during night-time, allowed for significant energy savings, equal to a 51% reduction in annual energy consumption in the considered areas;
- The new LED system complied with the requirements imposed by the standard in force. Furthermore, the new lighting system allowed for improving critical issues related to the over-illumination of some areas, avoiding energy waste as well as reducing the environmental impact;
- By monitoring the performance of the new LED system over a period of 5 years, it
 was possible to determine the measured depreciation of the photometric performance,
 based on in-field measurements;
- The comparison of the measured depreciation with the predicted one (based on the maintenance factor calculation method) showed that the measured depreciation was lower than the predicted one. Furthermore, it was possible to observe that, among the standards considered, the predicted maintenance factor trends defined according to the reference data provided by BS 5489-1:2020 [38] were closer to the measured one. Instead, more variation emerged with respect to the predicted maintenance factor trends defined according to reference values provided by the PD ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019 [37].

The presented study suffers from some limitations, mainly related to external conditions that could influence the measured data. In particular, concerning luminance data, the reflective characteristics of the road surface were not considered, and future efforts may be directed toward implementing this aspect.

Despite some limitations, the study reported results of long-term monitoring based on measured data (while previous studies were mainly focused on forecast estimates) and allowed us to define useful considerations with respect to the depreciation of LED lighting systems that may be useful within the design phase, to properly assess the maintenance factor. Indeed, designing with appropriate reference values for calculating the f_M is crucial to avoid oversizing the lighting systems. Over-lighting can have many negative impacts: excessive and useless energy consumption, negative impact on light pollution with potential consequences on the well-being of humans and ecosystems, and environmental impacts.

The results obtained in this study showed that the measured depreciation of the photometric performance during the first 5 years of life of the considered lighting installations was closer to the predicted depreciation trend defined according to BS 5489-1:2020 (variations between 0% and 4%). Instead, significant variations (between 17% and 23%) emerged considering the ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019. As shown in the Methods section, the two standards are based on the same calculation method for determining the maintenance factor but differ in the reference values provided in determining the f_{LM} (luminaire maintenance factor), which expresses the relative output of the luminaire due to dirt deposited. According to the results of the study, considering the reference values of the BS 5489-1:2020 to determine the f_{LM} (luminaire maintenance factor), and therefore the predicted maintenance factor trends, in the design phase would be more appropriate.

The results presented in this study are limited to the sample installations considered as a case study; therefore, additional efforts would be needed to extend the proposed methodology to a larger sample and to obtain generalizable results. However, the obtained results could be useful in order to define indications to properly size the lighting systems, avoiding unnecessary energy consumption and limiting the environmental impact.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.P.; methodology, A.P., L.V., G.P. and R.T.; formal analysis, L.V., G.P. and R.T.; investigation, A.P., G.P. and R.T.; data curation, L.V, G.P. and R.T.; writing—original draft preparation, L.V., G.P. and R.T.; writing—review and editing, L.V. and A.P.; supervision, A.P.; project administration, A.P.; funding acquisition, A.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by IREN Smart Solution S.p.A. (IT).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available upon request.

Acknowledgments: The study was carried out within a research project between the Department of Energy of the Politecnico di Torino and IREN Smart Solution S.p.A. The authors acknowledge IREN Smart Solution for the data provided for the study and booth IREN Smart Solution and the City of Turin for the support given during the in-field monitoring campaigns.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Peña-García, A.; Hurtado, A.; Aguilar-Luzón, M.C. Impact of public lighting on pedestrians' perception of safety and well-being. *Saf. Sci.* 2015, *78*, 142–148. [CrossRef]
- 2. Fotios, S.A.; Robbins, C.J.; Farrall, S. The Effect of Lighting on Crime Counts. Energies 2021, 14, 4099. [CrossRef]
- 3. Seshadri, K. City beautification at night. J. Illum. Eng. Inst. Jpn. 1997, 81, 139–140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Boyce, P.R. The benefits of light at night. Build. Environ. 2019, 151, 356–367. [CrossRef]
- 5. CIE 234:2019; A Guide to Urban Lighting Masterplanning. CIE Technical Report: Wien, Austria, 2019. [CrossRef]
- Traverso, M.; Donatello, S.; Moons, H.; Rodriguez Quintero, R.; Gama Caldas, M.; Wolf, O.; Van Tichelen, P.; Van Hoof, V.; Geerken, T. *Revision of the EU Green Public Procurement Criteria for Street Lighting and Traffic Signals*; Publications Office of the European Union: Luxembourg, 2017. [CrossRef]
- 7. Bachanek, K.H.; Tundys, B.; Wiśniewski, T.; Puzio, E.; Maroušková, A. Intelligent street lighting in a smart city concepts. A direction to energy saving in cities: An overview and case study. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 3018. [CrossRef]
- 8. Zissis, G.; Bertoldi, P.; Serrenho, T. *Update on the Status of LED-Lighting World Market Since 2018*; Publications Office of the European Union: Luxembourg, 2021. [CrossRef]
- 9. Cellucci, L.; Burattini, C.; Drakou, D.; Gugliermetti, F.; Bisegna, F.; Vollaro, A.D.L.; Salata, F.; Golasi, I. Urban lighting project for a small town: Comparing citizens and authority benefits. *Sustainability* **2015**, *7*, 14230–14244. [CrossRef]
- Griffiths, H. *The Future of Street Lighting: The Potential for New Service Development; IoT UK*; Future Cities Catapult: London, UK, 2017; Available online: http://iotuk.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/The-Future-of-Street-Lighting.pdf (accessed on 1 May 2023).
- Kostic, M.; Djokic, L. Recommendations for energy efficient and visually acceptable street lighting. *Energy* 2009, 34, 1565–1572. [CrossRef]
- Donatello, S.; Rodriguez Quintero, R.; Gama Caldas, M.; Wolf, O.; Van Tichelen, P.; Van Hoof, V.; Geerken, T. Revision of the EU Green Public Procurement Criteria for Road Lighting and Traffic Signals; Publications Office of the European Union: Luxembourg, 2019. [CrossRef]
- 13. Available online: https://www.iea.org/about (accessed on 1 May 2023).
- 14. Lighting Europe. Strategic Roadmap 2025 of the European Lighting Industry. Available online: https://www.lightingeurope. org/media/34-roadmap-of-human-centric-lighting (accessed on 1 May 2023).
- 15. Tavares, P.; Ingi, D.; Araújo, L.; Pinho, P.; Bhusal, P. Reviewing the Role of Outdoor Lighting in Achieving Sustainable Development Goals. *Sustainability* **2021**, *13*, 12657. [CrossRef]
- 16. Pardo-Bosch, F.; Blanco, A.; Sesé, E.; Ezcurra, F.; Pujadas, P. Sustainable strategy for the implementation of energy efficient smart public lighting in urban areas: Case study in San Sebastian. *Sustain. Cities Soc.* **2022**, *76*, 103454. [CrossRef]
- 17. Pagden, M.; Ngahane, K.; Amin, M.S.R. Changing the colour of night on urban streets—LED vs. part-night lighting system. *Socio-Econ. Plan. Sci.* 2020, *69*, 100692. [CrossRef]
- De Almeida, A.; Santos, B.; Paolo, B.; Quicheron, M. Solid state lighting review. Potential and challenges in Europe. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2014, 34, 30–48. [CrossRef]
- 19. Weisbuch, C. Historical perspective on the physics of artificial lighting. Comptes Rendus Phys. 2018, 19, 89–112. [CrossRef]
- Tähkämö, L.; Ylinen, A.; Puolakka, M.; Halonen, L. Life cycle cost analysis of three renewed street lighting installations in Finland. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 2012, 17, 154–164. [CrossRef]

- 21. Tähkämö, L.; Halonen, L. Life cycle assessment of road lighting luminaires—Comparison of light-emitting diode and high-pressure sodium technologies. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2015, *93*, 234–242. [CrossRef]
- 22. Beccali, M.; Bonomolo, M.; Ciulla, G.; Galatioto, A.; lo Brano, V. Improvement of energy efficiency and quality of street lighting in South Italy as an action of Sustainable Energy Action Plans. The case study of Comiso (RG). *Energy* **2015**, *92*, 394–408. [CrossRef]
- 23. Campisi, D.; Gitto, S.; Morea, D. Economic feasibility of energy efficiency improvements in street lighting systems in Rome. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2018, 175, 190–198. [CrossRef]
- 24. Picardo, A.; Galván, M.J.; Soltero, V.M.; Peralta, E. A Comparative Life Cycle Assessment and Costing of Lighting Systems for Environmental Design and Construction of Sustainable Roads. *Buildings* **2023**, *13*, 983. [CrossRef]
- 25. Gil-De-Castro, A.; Moreno-Munoz, A.; Larsson, A.; de La Rosa, J.J.G.; Bollen, M.H.J. LED street lighting: A power quality comparison among street light technologies. *Light. Res. Technol.* **2013**, *45*, 710–728. [CrossRef]
- Djuretic, A.; Kostic, M. Actual energy savings when replacing high-pressure sodium with LED luminaires in street lighting. Energy 2018, 157, 367–378. [CrossRef]
- 27. Gorgulu, S.; Kocabey, S. An energy saving potential analysis of lighting retrofit scenarios in outdoor lighting systems: A case study for a university campus. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2020**, *260*, 121060. [CrossRef]
- Life-DIADEME, Sustainable Smart Lighting System, Project Co-Financed by EU. Available online: https://www.diademe.it/ (accessed on 15 June 2023).
- 29. Di Lecce, P.; Mazzocchi, A.; Rossi, G. Outdoor Adaptive Lighting in the New UNI 11248 Italian Standard and Result of Experience. In Proceedings of the Lux Europa, Ljubljana, Slovenia, 18–20 September 2017.
- 30. Valetti, L.; Floris, F.; Pellegrino, A. Renovation of public lighting systems in cultural landscapes: Lighting and energy performance and their impact on nightscapes. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 509. [CrossRef]
- 31. Beccali, M.; Bonomolo, M.; Lo Brano, V.; Ciulla, G.; Di Dio, V.; Massaro, F.; Favuzza, S. Energy saving and user satisfaction for a new advanced public lighting system. *Energy Convers. Manag.* **2019**, *195*, 943–957. [CrossRef]
- 32. Yoomak, S.; Jettanasen, C.; Ngaopitakkul, A.; Bunjongjit, S.; Leelajindakrairerk, M. Comparative study of lighting quality and power quality for LED and HPS luminaires in a roadway lighting system. *Energy Build*. **2018**, *159*, 542–557. [CrossRef]
- Beccali, M.; Bonomolo, M.; Galatioto, A.; Pulvirenti, E. Smart lighting in a historic context: A case study. *Manag. Environ. Qual. Int. J.* 2017, 28, 282–298. [CrossRef]
- 34. Leccese, F.; Salvadori, G.; Rocca, M. Critical analysis of the energy performance indicators for road lighting systems in historical towns of central Italy. *Energy* **2017**, *138*, 616–628. [CrossRef]
- 35. Ogando-Martínez, A.; López-Gómez, J.; Febrero-Garrido, L. Maintenance Factor Identification in Outdoor Lighting Installations Using Simulation and Optimization Techniques. *Energies* **2018**, *11*, 2169. [CrossRef]
- 36. Mockey Coureaux, I.O.; Manzano, E. The energy impact of luminaire depreciation on urban lighting. *Energy Sustain. Dev.* **2013**, 17, 357–362. [CrossRef]
- 37. *PD ISO/CIE TS 22012:2019*; Light and lighting. Maintenance Factor Determination. Way of Working. International Organization for Standardization: Brussels, Belgium, 2019.
- BS 5489-1:2020; Code of Practice for the Design of Road Lighting Part 1: Lighting of Roads and Public Amenity Areas. British Standards Institution (BSI): London, UK, 2020.
- Città di Torino. Piano Urbano del Traffico [Urban Traffic Plan]. 2001. Available online: https://www.comune.torino.it/put2001/ (accessed on 15 June 2023).
- 40. Città di Torino, IRIDE Servizi. Piano Regolatore dell'Illuminazione Comunale (PRIC) [Municipal Lighting Regulatory Plan]. 2011. Available online: http://www.comune.torino.it/canaleambiente/pric/ (accessed on 15 June 2023).
- 41. UNI 11248:2012; Illuminazione Stradale. Selezione Delle Categorie Illuminotecniche. UNI: Rome, Italy, 2012.
- 42. EN 13201:2015; Road Lighting. European Committee for Standardization (CEN): Belgium, Brussels, 2015.
- 43. EN 13201-3:2015; Road Lighting. Part 3: Calculation of Performance. European Committee for Standardization (CEN): Belgium, Brussels, 2015.
- 44. EN 13201-4:2015; Road Lighting. Part 4: Methods of Measuring Lighting Performance. European Committee for Standardization (CEN): Belgium, Brussels, 2015.
- 45. EN 13201-2:2015; Road Lighting. Part 2: Performance Requirements. European Committee for Standardization (CEN): Belgium, Brussels, 2015.
- 46. *CIE 194:2011;* On Site Measurement of the Photometric Properties of Road and Tunnel Lighting. CIE Technical Report: Wien, Austria, 2011.
- Tomczuk, P.; Chrzanowicz, M.; Jaskowski, P.; Budzynski, M. Evaluation of Street Lighting Efficiency Using a Mobile Measurement System. *Energies* 2021, 14, 3872. [CrossRef]
- 48. IES LM-80-08; Approved Method for Measuring Lumen Maintenance of LED Light Source. IES: New York, NY, USA, 2008.
- 49. *IES TM-21-11*; Projection Long Term Lumen Maintenance of LED Light Sources. IES: New York, NY, USA, 2011.
- 50. *EN 13201-5:2015;* Road Lighting. Part 5: Energy Performance Indicators. European Committee for Standardization (CEN): Belgium, Brussels, 2015.
- 51. ARPA Piemonte. Available online: https://www.arpa.piemonte.it/ (accessed on 15 June 2023).
- 52. Gidlund, H.; Lindgren, M.; Muzet, V.; Rossi, G.; Iacomussi, P. Road Surface Photometric Characterisation and Its Impact on Energy Savings. *Coatings* **2019**, *9*, 286. [CrossRef]

- 53. Florian, G.; Boucher, V.; Dronneau, R.; Muzet, V. Design of an adaptive road lighting installation taking into account the evolution of pavement reflection properties according to the weather conditions. In Proceedings of the Lux Europa, Prague, Czech Republic, 20–22 September 2022.
- 54. Muzet, V.; Liandrat, S.; Bour, V.; Dehon, J.; Christory, J.P. Is it possible to achieve quality lighting without considering the photometry of the pavements? In Proceedings of the Conference CIE 2021, NC Malaysia online, 27–29 September 2021. [CrossRef]
- 55. Bouroussis, C.A.; Gašparovský, D. A holistic method for the commissioning and optimisation of adaptive road lighting systems using field measurements. In Proceedings of the Conference CIE 2021, NC Malaysia online, 27–29 September 2021. [CrossRef]
- 56. Muzet, V.; Bernasconi, J.; Iacomussi, P.; Liandrat, S.; Greffier, F.; Blattner, P.; Reber, J.; Lindgren, M. Review of road surface photometry methods and devices—Proposal for new measurement geometries. *Light. Res. Technol.* 2021, 53, 213–229. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.