POLITECNICO DI TORINO
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

The ruins of Castiglion Balzetti: building materials and construction techniques

Original
The ruins of Castiglion Balzetti: building materials and construction techniques / Mattone, M., Rescic, S., Fratini, F., Arrighetti, A.. - STAMPA. - XVII:(2024), pp. 537-544. (Defensive Architecture of the Mediterranean Tirana (ALB) 18-20 aprile 2024).

Availability:
This version is available at: 11583/2988531 since: 2024-05-12T13:57:14Z

Publisher:
Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës ; Universitat Politècnica de València]

Published
DOI:

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

26 November 2026

17 DEFENSIVE ARCHITECTURE OF THE MEDITERRANEAN

Gjergji ISLAMI, Denada VEIZAJ (Eds.)



DEFENSIVE ARCHITECTURE OF THE MEDITERRANEAN

Vol. XVII

DEFENSIVE ARCHITECTURE OF THE MEDITERRANEAN

Vol. XVII

Editors
Gjergji Islami, Denada Veizaj
Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës

UNIVERSITETI
POLITEKNIK
I TIRANËS

CIP Katalogimi në botim BK Tiranë

Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës
Defensive architecture of the Mediterranean / Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës;
ed. Gjergji Islami, Denada Veizaj. - Tiranë : Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës, 2024.

Vol. 17, 350 f. ; 17 x 24 cm
ISBN 978-9928-4814-0-5

1.Arkitektura 2.Konferenca
72 (062)

Series *Defensive Architecture of the Mediterranean*
General editor: Pablo Rodriguez-Navarro

The papers published in this volume have been peer-reviewed by the Scientific Committee of FORTMED2024_Tirana
© editors: Gjergji Islami, Denada Veizaj
© editorial team: Saimira Arapi, Ana Pekmezi, Edmond Pergega
© cover picture: Giorgio Verdiani
© papers: the authors
© publishers: Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës, edUPV (Universitat Politècnica de València)

Published with the contribution of the University Politeknik I Tiranës

© Copyright 2024
Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës
Sheshi Nënë Tereza 4, 1001, Tirana, Albania
www.upt.al

ISBN 978-9928-4735-8-5 (electronic version)
ISBN 978-9928-4814-0-5 (vol. 17)

© Copyright edUPV (Universitat Politècnica de València) 2024
ISBN: 978-84-1396-243-6 (two-volume collection)
ISBN: 978-84-1396-245-0 edUPV Ref. 6769 (electronic version)
ISSN: 2792-5633 (Series *Defensive Architecture of the Mediterranean*)

PROCEEDINGS of the International Conference on Fortifications of the Mediterranean Coast FORTMED 2024
Tirana, 18, 19 and 20 April 2024

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0
Legal Code: *https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode.it*



© 2024 Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës / edUPV

Organization and committees

Organizing Committee

Chairs:
Gjergji Islami, Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës
Denada Veizaj, Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës

Members:
Saimira Arapi, Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës
Edmond Pergega, Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës
Ana Pekmezi, Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës

Honor Committee:

Prof. Andrea Maliqari, Rector of the Polytechnic University of Tirana
Prof. Armand Vokshi. Dean of the Faculty of Architecture and Urbanism, Polytechnic University of Tirana
Alessandro Ruggera, Director of the Italian Institute of Culture, Tirana

Scientific Committee

Almagro Gorbea, Antonio. Real Academia de Bellas Artes de San Fernando. Spain
Bertocci, Stefano. Università degli Studi di Firenze. Italy
Bevilacqua, Marco Giorgio. Università di Pisa. Italy
Bragard, Philippe. Université Catholique de Louvain. Belgium
Bouzid, Boutheina. École Nationale d'Architecture. Tunisia
Bru Castro, Miguel Ángel. Instituto de Estudios de las Fortificaciones – AEAC. Spain
Cámara Muñoz, Alicia. UNED. Spain
Camiz, Alessandro. Università "G. d'Annunzio" di Chieti-Pescara. Italy
Campos, João. Centro de Estudos de Arquitectura Militar de Almeida. Portugal
Castrorao Barba, Angelo. The Polish Academy of Sciences, Institute of Archaeology and Ethnology. Poland – Università degli Studi di Palermo. Italy
Cherradi, Faissal. Ministère de la Culture du Royaume du Maroc. Morocco
Cobos Guerra, Fernando. Arquitecto. Spain
Columbu, Stefano. Università di Cagliari. Italy
Coppola, Giovanni. Università degli Studi Suor Orsola Benincasa di Napoli. Italy
Córdoba de la Llave, Ricardo. Universidad de Córdoba. Spain
Cornell, Per. University of Gothenburg. Sweden
Corniello, Luigi. University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Italy
Daci, Entela. Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës. Albania
Dameri, Annalisa. Politecnico di Torino. Italy
Eppich, Rand. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid. Spain
Fairchild Ruggles, Dorothy. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaing. USA
Fatta, Francesca. Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria. Italy
Faucherre, Nicolas. Aix-Marseille Université – CNRS. France
García Porras, Alberto. Universidad de Granada. Spain
García-Pulido, Luis José. Escuela de Estudios Árabes, CSIC. Spain
Georgopoulos, Andreas. Nat. Tec. University of Athens. Greece
Gil Crespo, Ignacio Javier. Asociación Española de Amigos de los Castillos. Spain
Gil Piqueras, Teresa. Universitat Politècnica de València. Spain
Guarducci, Anna. Università di Siena. Italy
Guidi, Gabriele. Politecnico di Milano. Italy

González Avilés, Ángel Benigno. Universitat d'Alacant. Spain
Hadda, Lamia. Università degli Studi di Firenze. Italy
Harris, John. Fortress Study Group. United Kingdom
Islami, Gjergji. Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës. Albania
Jiménez Castillo, Pedro. Escuela de Estudios Árabes, CSIC. Spain
León Muñoz, Alberto. Universidad de Córdoba. Spain
López González, Concepción. Universitat Politècnica de València. Spain
Marotta, Anna. Politecnico di Torino. Italy
Martín Civantos, José María. Universidad de Granada. Spain
Martínez Medina, Andrés. Universitat d'Alacant. Spain
Mazzoli-Guintard, Christine. Université de Nantes. France
Mira Rico, Juan Antonio. Universitat Oberta de Catalunya. Spain
Navarro Palazón, Julio. Escuela de Estudios Árabes, CSIC. Spain
Orihuela Uzal, Antonio. Escuela de Estudios Árabes, CSIC. Spain
Parrinello, Sandro. Università di Firenze. Italy
Pirinu, Andrea. Università di Cagliari. Italy
Pompejano, Federica. Università di Genova. Italy
Quesada García, Santiago. Universidad de Sevilla. Spain
Rodríguez Domingo, José Manuel. Universidad de Granada. Spain
Rodríguez-Navarro, Pablo. Universitat Politècnica de València. Spain
Romagnoli, Giuseppe. Università degli Studi della Tuscia. Italy
Ruiz-Jaramillo, Jonathan. Universidad de Málaga. Spain
Santiago Zaragoza, Juan Manuel. Universidad de Granada. Spain
Spallone, Roberta. Politecnico di Torino. Italy
Toscano, Maurizio. Universidad de Granada. Spain
Ulivieri, Denise. Università di Pisa. Italy
Veizaj, Denada. Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës. Albania
Varela Gomes, Rosa. Universidade Nova de Lisboa. Portugal
Verdiani, Giorgio. Università degli Studi di Firenze. Italy
Vitali, Marco. Politecnico di Torino. Italy
Vokshi, Armand. Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës. Albania
Zaragoza, Catalán Arturo. Generalitat Valenciana. Spain
Zerlenga, Ornella. Università degli Studi della Campania Luigi Vanvitelli. Italy

Advisory Commitee

Pablo Rodríguez-Navarro. President of FORTMED. Universitat Politècnica de València
Giorgio Verdiani. Vice-president of FORTMED. Università degli Studi di Firenze
Teresa Gil Piqueras. Secretary of FORTMED. Universitat Politècnica de València
Roberta Spallone. FORTMED advisor. Politecnico di Torino
Marco Giorgio Bevilacqua. FORTMED advisor. Università di Pisa
Denise Ulivieri. FORTMED advisor. Università di Pisa

Organized by:

UNIVERSITETI POLITEKNIK I TIRANËS

Partnership:

UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI FIRENZE

UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA

With the support of:

ISTITUTO italiano DI CULTURA TIRANA

QENDRA MUZEORE DURRËS

© 2024 Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës / edUPV

Table of contents

Preface XIII

Contributions

HISTORICAL RESEARCH

"Different ways to find the first delineations of fortresses". Italian, French, and Dutch bastions in the Trattato di Fortificatione by Guarini 339
R. Spallone

Le fortificazioni di terra delle mura medievali di Pisa nel XVII secolo. Analisi tecnico-militare del progetto di Gabriello Ughi 347
M. G.o Bevilacqua, P. Rechichi

"Non serve, non servirà mai di niente"
La cittadella di Asti: il progetto, gli errori, la demolizione 355
A. Dameri

Reading the process of formation of military fortifications on the Algerian coast in the nineteenth century.. 363
S. Cherif, O. Menouer, S. Benselama-Messikh

Algiers fortified city vs. Algiers occupied in 1830 371
O. Menouer

Dos fortalezas fronterizas entre los reinos de Castilla y Granada en las *Cantigas de Santa María* de Alfonso X *El Sabio* (último tercio del siglo XIII). 379
L. J. García-Pulido

La fortaleza de Porto Longón: el puesto avanzado de Felipe V en Italia (1715-1735). 387
V. García González

El castillo Angevino-Aragonés de Gaeta en los dibujos de Leonardo Paterna Baldizzi 397
A. Gallozzi, M. Cigola

La Puerta de la Xarea de la muralla árabe de Valencia 405
C. López González, C. Romaní López

Microcosmi mediterranei narrati e illustrati nell'isolario dell'ingegnere militare Francesco Ferretti. 413
M. A. Bertini

La *traça* di El fratin. Forma e progetto delle fortificazioni "alla moderna" nel disegno di Jacopo Paleari. 421
A. Pirinu

Assedi e macchine da guerra nel Mezzogiorno normanno, XI e XII secolo 429
G. Coppola

Lo scudo descritto nel trattato di al-Tarsūsī, fine XII secolo 437
L. Hadda

Governare il mare. Due mari fortificati lungo lo stretto di Piombino tra il XVI e il XVII secolo 445
D. Ulivieri, O. Vaccari, I. Branca

I quartieri di cavalleria del Regno di Napoli..... 453
R. Serraglio

La fortificazione dell'isola di Carloforte. Logiche militari e disegno illuminista nell'opera dell'ingegnere piemontese Augusto De la Vallea..... 461
A. Pirinu, A. Martínez Medina, G. Sanna

Freehand draw and the study of military architecture 467
F. Broglia, M. Pucci

Castelli e arsenali delle isole balcaniche nella *Peregrinatio* di Bernhard von Breydenbach..... 475
D. Jacazzi, R.Fiorillo

Viewpoints on Nisida. Iconographic comparisons of views of the island..... 483
V. Cirillo, R. Miele

Historical and spatial analysis of Šibenik bunkers..... 491
A. Nakić

Cartography as a source for the medieval fortifications of Šibenik. 497
J. Pavić

Fortezze del mediterraneo orientale e rappresentazioni attraverso la storia: il caso di Durazzo 505
F. Di Girolamo, L. Çapeli

Occupare lo spazio dentro la città fino alle mura: Oristano in alcuni documenti del primo Seicento 513
M. G. R. Mele

Il campo trincerato di Portoferraio all'isola d'Elba prima dell'epoca francese e napoleonica 523
G. L. Dalle Luche, E. J. Karwacka

CHARACTERIZATION OF GEOMATERIALS

The Spanish Fort (16th Century) in the Kasbah of Bejaia (Algeria) 531
M. Akouche, N. Mahindad, F. Fratini, S. Rescic, G. Misseri, L. Rovero

The ruins of Castiglion Balzetti: building materials and construction techniques..... 537
S. Rescic, A. Arrighetti, F. Fratini, M. Mattone

Il borgo fortificato di Ventimiglia Alta (IM): il monitoraggio geotecnico per la conservazione..... 545
M. Abbo, F. L. Buccafurri, A. C. De Hugo Silva

DIGITAL HERITAGE

Estándares y métodos para optimizar la digitalización 3Dde las fortificaciones 555
P. Rodríguez-Navarro, T. Gil-Piqueras

The Castle in Paphos, a fascinating, iconic, neglected, abused remain of a layered fortification) 563
G. Verdiani, A. Charalambous

Técnicas de digitalización para el levantamiento gráfico y de diagnóstico mediante pruebas no destructivas para el estudio de lesiones en el patrimonio construido 571
Á. Sánchez Corrochano, E. Martínez Sierra, A. Greco

From a survey the current state to a hypothesis of the former state. A digital trip in augmented reality into the 'deleted history' of the Capo d'Uomo Tower on Mount Argentario..... 579
P. Barlozzini, F. Camagni, M. Fasolo, F. Lanfranchi, L. Martelli

Storia della Torre di San Giovanni Battista o Torre Scola vicino a Porto Venere, SP (Italia) e applicazione delle nuove tecnologie di rilevamento per la restituzione 3D e lo studio architettonico. . 587
N. Frroku, A. Lami, M. Xeka

Levantamiento y análisis espacial de la presa de Garganta del Ciervo y el paisaje regado por el embalse andalusí Albuhera (s. XII) 595
S. Quesada-García, M. Lozano-Gómez

Virtual reconstruction of destroyed fortifications: the case study of Santa Caterina in Verona..... 603
M. Russo, G. Flenghi, A. Buonacucina, V. Russo

Torri costiere del XV-XVII secolo all'isola d'Elba 611
T. Empler, A. Caldarone, A. Fusinetti

Historical and 3D Survey Analyses for an Informative Database on the Venetian fort of Sant'Andrea..... 619
L. Galeazzo, S. Parrinello

Study and representation of the bastion of San Maurizio in Turin: an educational experience..... 627
M. Vitali, P. Rodríguez-Navarro, R. Spallone, M. Russo, G. Verdiani, F. Natta

The "Castelvecchio" of Matera. Documentation and analysis of a urban fortress in the apulian-lucanian context..... 635
E. Lamacchia

Ricostruzione 3D del sito fortificato di Monte Croccia (Basilicata, Italia)..... 643
M. Delli Santi, M. Passarelli

MISCELLANY

When form is substance. Castles of Puglia and the art of building..... 651
R. de Cadilhac, L. Serafini

In search of a possible dialogue between restoration and ruins. From ekphrasis to the *'new whole'* evoked by the architectural fragment. 659
V. Montanari

Defensive Architecture of the Mediterranean / Vol. XVII / Islami, Veizaj (Eds.)
© 2024 Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës / edUPV

DOI: https://doi.org/10.4995/Fortmed2024.2024.18360

Preface

“Defensive Architecture of the Mediterranean” (volumes XVI and XVII) is the continuation of a series of publications that highlight the latest research on the fortifications of the Mediterranean region. These contributions were gathered in the seventh edition of the international conference Fortifications of the Mediterranean Coast, FORTMED 2024, hosted by Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës in Tirana, Albania on April 18, 19, and 20, 2024.

The series 'Defensive Architecture of the Mediterranean' aims to share knowledge about the historical and current state of military architecture along the Mediterranean coast, including structures built overseas that are related to or influenced by those in the Mediterranean.
The conference and the 17 published volumes of the series have demonstrated to be the most persistent and serious effort in researching and documenting military architecture in the Mediterranean over the last decade.

While fortresses and castles have always been recognized as vital components of historic built heritage, their strategic defensive role hasn't always been fully understood and explored. The study of military architecture, a multidisciplinary task, prompts a reassessment of this cultural environment, often neglected or at risk. From prehistoric fortification traces to contemporary bunkers and military infrastructures, the discourse on documenting and preserving military heritage welcomes and encourages contributions from diverse fields, including architecture, engineering, archaeology, history, geography, and cultural heritage. FORTMED, the international conference on the Fortifications of the Mediterranean Coast, has evolved into a research-based platform that transcends borders and centuries, delving into the strategic, historical, and cultural significance of fortifications along the Mediterranean coast. FORTMED has embraced diverse venues, ranging from Valencia to Florence, Alicante to Turin, later to Granada, and finally to Pisa. These conferences have become synonymous with collaboration, knowledge exchange, and the exploration of multifaceted perspectives on defensive architecture. This initiative has turned into an intellectual odyssey, traversing the historical landscapes of the Mediterranean, exploring intricate fortifications that have shaped civilizations, and engaging with contemporary challenges in the preservation and restoration of architectural heritage.

FORTMED 2015
The genesis of FORTMED can be traced back to the Polytechnic University of Valencia. Here, a research group led by Pablo Rodríguez-Navarro initiated the inaugural conference. Held at the Instituto Universitario de Restauración del Patrimonio of the Universitat Politècnica de València on October 15, 16, and 17, 2015, the conference aimed to establish the groundwork for future editions. This vision promptly materialized, firmly establishing FORTMED as a recognized reality.

FORTMED 2016
The second edition of the conference, chaired by Giorgio Verdiani and hosted at the Dipartimento di Architettura of Università degli Studi di Firenze from November 10 to 12, 2016, expanded its thematic scope to encompass “the whole family of fortifications of the Mare Nostrum”. This extension primarily focused on structures dating from the 15th to the 18th centuries.

FORTMED 2018
The fourth edition of the conference, chaired by Anna Marotta and Roberta Spallone and organized at the Dipartimento di Architettura e Design of the Università Politecnica di Torino, in the Valentino Castle venue from October 18 to 20, 2018, expanded its field of interest embracing Northern Europe and Far Eastern countries and including studies on defensive architecture from the Middle Ages to contemporary military buildings and settlements.

FORTMED 2020
The fifth conference faced challenges due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Initially scheduled for March 2020, in Granada, it was later shifted to an online format held on November 4, 5, and 6, 2020. The online event was organized by the Escuela de Estudios Árabes of Granada, coordinated by Julio Navarro Palazón and Luis José García-Pulido. Given the significance of Islamic architecture in the Mediterranean and the previous studies conducted by the Escuela de Estudios Árabes of Granada, this theme was prominently featured in the conference.

FORTMED 2023
The sixth edition, organized by the Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Energia, dei Sistemi, del Territorio e delle Costruzioni (DESTeC) of the University of Pisa in collaboration with the Municipality of San Giuliano Terme, took place on March 23, 24, and 25, 2023. Chaired by Marco Giorgio Bevilacqua and Denise Ulivieri, the conference aimed to advance a collaborative, integrated, and contemporary vision, recognizing the value of contemporary architectural heritage, such as bunkers built during World War II, and addressing emerging issues related to their preservation and restoration.

***

In 2024, FORTMED expands beyond the borders of Italy and Spain for the first time, following six successful editions in those countries, to host the international discussion on Mediterranean military architecture in Tirana. This seventh edition ambitiously aims to invite and involve researchers from the Balkan countries within the FORTMED network, beginning with participants from Albania, Kosovo, Montenegro, Croatia, and Serbia. Spanning from ancient fortifications to Cold War bunkers, this edition efforts to present a broader spectrum of realities, reflecting and highlighting the rich multicultural environment surrounding the Mediterranean basin.

Supported by the Polytechnic University of Tirana, the organization of this conference has involved the Faculty of Architecture and Urbanism, the Department of Architecture, and the Department of Restoration and Technology of Architecture. Among others, the call has raised the interest of researchers from Albania, Balkan countries, and Italy, who have been studying the eastern Adriatic coast—a topic that has not been thoroughly explored in previous editions.

FORTMED 2024 received numerous contributions, which demonstrates the continuous interest and involvement of scholars in the topic of promoting the knowledge, preservation, and enhancement of the heritage of fortified architecture. All of the submitted papers were double-blind and peer-reviewed by the Scientific Committee. From these submissions, about 90 were selected, with authors from Albania, Algeria, Croatia, France, Greece, Italy, Kosovo, Montenegro, Morocco, Poland, Portugal, Serbia, Spain, Turkey, and the UK.

The contributions are collected in these two volumes (XVI and XVII) and organized according to their content into thematic sections, representing different topics and ways of approaching the study of defensive heritage: Historical research, Research on Built Heritage, Characterization of geomaterials, Digital Heritage, Culture and Management, and Miscellany.

We hope FORTMED 2024 will significantly contribute to fulfilling the mission of the conference in strengthening knowledge exchange and sharing for the better understanding, evaluation, and management of the culture and heritage of fortified architecture. At the same time, we believe that being present in the Balkans for the first time would result in the enlargement of the network of researchers that follow and actively contribute to FORTMED. This expansion will promote and disseminate knowledge on the eastern Adriatic fortifications and the rich cultural context of the region.

The organizers express gratitude to the Advisory Committee of the Conference, with special acknowledgment to Pablo Rodriguez-Navarro and Giorgio Verdiani, for their constant and valuable support throughout the entire process. Sincere appreciation is extended to the members of the Scientific Committee for their expertise and dedicated effort in thoroughly reviewing the submitted proposals.

We would like to thank Andrea Maliqari, Rector of Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës, for the support provided in hosting and organizing the conference. Special recognition is given to both the Organizing Committee members and the university's administrative staff for their valuable engagement and cooperation.

Our collaborations with the Italian Institute of Culture and the Museum Centre of Durrës proved invaluable in organizing the event, and we are grateful for their collaboration.

Finally, and most importantly, heartfelt thanks are extended to all the authors for their participation in this edition and for presenting qualitative and intriguing contributions, enriching the conference and the state of art collected and presented in the 'Defensive Architecture of the Mediterranean' series.

Next year will mark a decade since the first conference, and we look forward to commemorating the achievements made during this period, while continuing to promote qualitative research and to bring together esteemed and passionate academics and professionals.

Gjergji Islami, Denada Veizaj
FORTMED 2024 Chairs

Contributions

Defensive Architecture of the Mediterranean / Vol. XVII / Islami, Veizaj (Eds.)
© 2024 Universiteti Politeknik i Tiranës / edUPV

DOI: https://doi.org/10.4995/Fortmed2024.2024.18094

The ruins of Castiglion Balzetti: building materials and construction techniques

Silvia Rescic[a], Andrea Arrighetti[b], Fabio Fratini[c], Manuela Mattone[d]

[a] CNR-ISPC-Institute of Heritage Science, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy, silvia.rescic@cnr.it, [b] École normale supérieure, Université PSL (AOROC UMR 8546), Paris, France, andrea.arrighetti@ens.psl.eu, [c] CNR-ISPC-Institute of Heritage Science, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy, fabio.fratini@cnr.it, [d] Politecnico di Torino, Dipartimento Architettura e Design, Torino, Italy, manuela.mattone@polito.it, Associated CNR-Institute of Heritage Science, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

Abstract

The ruins of Castiglion Balzetti, from the aristocratic family that initially had lordship over this territory, lie isolated, far from roads, communication routes and inhabited places, in the woods of the Val di Merse, in the province of Siena. This is why it is commonly known as “Castiglion che Dio sol sa”. Few historical sources are available on this settlement. It is mentioned for the first time in the Sienese statutes in 1262, being under the jurisdiction of Siena. In the early 14th century, it belonged to the powerful Sienese Saracini family with an important mill located in the Merse river. The ruins are impressive. The castle consists of a large rectangular donjon, on which the eastern side was leaned another building that originally housed the church, and a second probably used as stables. A smaller tower stands at the south-west corner, with an oven and well inside. The buildings are connected by a curtain wall that delimits the courtyard, with the main entrance door opening on the southern side. Around this complex, a village developed in ancient times, included within a second fortified circuit of which numerous remains are visible. The castle, like the neighbouring villages of Orgia and Brenna, suffered various pillages during the 14th century and, with the end of the Sienese Republic, gradually fell into ruin until it was completely abandoned and forgotten. The research will examine the different construction phases through the study of the wall apparatus and the natural and artificial stone materials according to mineralogical and petrographic methodologies. The data will be correlated with the local supply sources.

Keywords: medieval buildings, Southern Tuscany, geomaterials, building techniques.

1. Introduction

The ruins of Castiglion Balzetti are commonly known as 'Castiglion che Dio sol sa', an appellation that perfectly describes the place where it stands, located south-west of Siena, in a sparsely inhabited hilly area covered by extensive Mediterranean scrub woods (Fig. 1, 2). These ruins are to be identified with the ancient Castellione Bencetti, first mentioned in the statutes of Siena in 1262. This name most likely derives from the aristocratic family that had lordship over this territory. There are very few historical sources available on the castle. Among the most important information that has come down to us is that at the end of the 13th century, the castle was under Sienese jurisdiction, as reported in a sentence received for not sending foot soldiers to the service of the municipality. However, another document from 1271 shows that Siena did not send its own rector to the castle, thus recognising a certain political and jurisdictional autonomy to the local lords. At the time, these must have been the Saracini, a powerful Sienese family that in 1318 nonetheless

owned the fortress, much of the surrounding land and a mill located not far away on the Merse river.

The research will examine the different construction phases through the study of the wall apparatus and the natural and artificial stone materials according to mineralogical and petrographic methodologies. The data will be correlated with the local supply sources [SR, AA, FF, MM].



Fig. 1- The ruins of Castiglion Balzetti surrounded by the Mediterranean scrub woods (photo by F. Fratini)



Fig. 2- Position of Castiglion Balzetti with respect to Siena (after Google Earth, modified, 2022)

2. Geological setting and building materials

From a structural-geological point of view, the territory where Castiglion Balzetti is located, belongs to the "Middle Tuscany Ridge", a geological alignment that runs from Monte Pisano chain up to Uccellina and Monte Argentario in Southern Tuscany. This ridge represents the deepest part of the structural edifice of the Northern Apennines, in which it is possible to observe the basement of the Tuscan metamorphic units, consisting of relicts of the ancient European Hercynian chain and of the "post-Hercynian" Palaeozoic-Triassic sedimentary succession [Conti et al. 1991]. Particularly, in this sector of the "Middle Tuscany Ridge" it is mostly exposed the Monticiano-Roccastrada Unit, represented by metamorphic rocks in green schist facies of Upper Palaeozoic to Cretaceous age. The basal part is represented by small outcrops of "post-Variscan" Palaeozoic sedimentary succession consisting of phyllites, metasandstones, metaconglomerates with local carbonate levels attributed to Mississippian-late Permian [Capezzuoli et al. 2021]. The uppermost part of the succession is represented by the typical Triassic continental quartz-dominated clastic sedimentation belonging to the Verrucano Group [Brogi et al. 2023] overlain by Upper Triassic and Jurassic carbonate formations. The Monticiano Roccastrada tectonic unit is overlain by the Tuscan Nappe Unit, which in this area is represented by the Cavernous Limestone of the Upper Triassic. During the Apennines collisional stages, the above-mentioned Palaeozoic-Triassic successions were involved in duplex structures, up to HP-LT conditions ($P \geq 1.1$ GPa and $T \sim 350–400$ °C) and retrograde green schist metamorphic conditions [Giorgetti et al. 1998; Brogi & Giorgetti 2012]. Their exhumation was favoured by the development of Miocene extensional detachments [Liotta et al. 1998], which produced extensional horses and the lateral segmentation of the previously stacked tectonic units. In particular, the geological formations that crop out in the area surrounding the castle and from which come the lithotypes used for its construction are the following [Explanatory Notes Geological Map of Italy]:

- Anageniti minute Formation: these are quartzarenites and fine metaconglomerates with white and pink quartz elements interspersed with purple or grey-green metasiltites. The size of the clasts is about 1 cm. The thickness is 100-150 m. The sedimentation environment is continental floodplain. The age is Middle Triassic. The quartzarenites and fine metaconglomerates, due to their particular resistance to erosion, form the major reliefs in the area and give rise to steep slopes and gorges where they are incised by watercourses;

- Grezzoni Formation: these are grey, hazel-grey, massive or coarsely stratified dolomites, sometimes interspersed with thin marly limestone

levels. There are levels, sometimes several tens of metres thick, of intra-formational breccias with angular elements consisting of dolomite fragments in a dolomite matrix. Subjected to weathering, these levels take on a carious appearance that makes them resemble Cavernous limestone. The thickness of the formation is about 70 m. The sedimentation environment is shallow water. The age is Upper Triassic. These dolomites exhibit high weathering durability even in the carious varieties;

- Cavernous limestone: it is a tectonic and autoclastic breccia of grey carbonate elements and calcareous cement with a typical 'cellular' structure sometimes filled with grey dolomitic dust. It rarely presents a coarse stratification. The maximum thickness is a few hundred metres. This lithotype derives from the Burano Anhydrite Formation, which consists of alternating layers of anhydrite and dark dolostones. When this formation is exposed in a subaerial environment, the anhydritic layers hydrate to gypsum, generating stresses that shatter the dolomitic layers, resulting in the formation of the autoclastic breccia. The sedimentation environment of the anhydritic formation is evaporitic and the age is Upper Triassic. This lithotype has a high durability to weathering and was used in Siena in the medieval period where it is known as “Pietra da Torre” (stone for towers);

- Breccia di Grotti: it consists of breccias and conglomerates, locally coarsely layered, with clasts varying in size from 2 to 30 cm mostly from the Burano Anhydrite Formation-Cavernous Limestone. Subordinate clasts of fine metaconglomerates, quartzites and limestones of the Tuscan and Ligurian units may be present. The matrix consists of orange-yellow or rust-red calcareous sands and silty sands. Where the matrix is sparse or absent, this lithotype is poorly distinguishable from the Cavernous Limestone. The maximum thickness is about 180 m, the formation environment is continental, subaerial alluvial to lacustrine, and the age is Messinian. This lithotype is highly resistant to the action of atmospheric agents and, like the Cavernous Limestone, from which it is difficult to distinguish, it was used in Siena in the construction of towers, indeed, it appears to be the prevalent [Gandin et al. 2008];

Travertine: an extended outcrop of this lithotype lies to the east of the village of Orgia. Travertines are “continental carbonate rocks” which are formed in relation to the presence of springs fed by supersaturated calcium carbonate waters coming from a deep hydrothermal circuit. The presence of normal faults that allow the rise of deep fluids heated both by the geothermal gradient and by the possible presence of magmatic bodies, as it is the case in southern Tuscany, favours this circulation. These travertine deposits are compact, well stratified and have a whitish colour that indicates the absence of life in the immediate vicinity of the source [Capezzuoli & Gandin 2005].[SR, FF].

3. The study of construction phases: methodology

The castle, in its summit portion, presents a well-preserved architecture that allows for almost complete stratigraphic legibility of its exterior walls. Unfortunately, the interiors are inaccessible as they are in a clear state of decay, while the areas relating to the village, built at the foot of the summit area, are concealed under thick layers of earth and vegetation. The archaeological analysis, carried out using the tools of the archaeology of architecture [Brogiolo-Cagnana 2012], therefore focused on the external facings of the architectural complex of the noble area, attempting to recognise a construction macro-sequence attributable to reference building and construction phases. The phases were subsequently connected in order to define the construction periods of the four buildings bodies (CF) that make up the current complex (Fig.3) [AA].




Fig. 3- The buildings that make up the top portion of the castle: the oldest tower (CF1), the second tower (CF2), the east wall with the corner tower (CF3), the west wall (CF4). (photo elaboration by A. Arrighetti)

3.1 Analysis

The archaeological investigation envisaged an initial subdivision of the buildings by assessing the stratigraphic relationships between them and reporting everything within an overall plan. Subsequently, the individual buildings were analysed to assess whether different construction techniques were present in the facings walls or whether they appeared homogenous from a constructional point of view (Fig.4). Finally, the data from the previous analyses were compared in order to achieve an overall chronological-constructive interpretation.



Fig. 4- The north façade of the summit area with the evident resting of the second-period tower to the left (CF2) on the older tower (CF1) to the right (photo by F. Fratini)

The results of the stratigraphic investigation can be summarised as follows:

- PERIOD 0: construction of a large wall (cross-section of approximately 1.80 metres) characterised by a course that does not conform to the rest of the buildings of the site and stratigraphically placed below the cantonal of the oldest tower, therefore ascribable to a pre-existence with respect to the complex. Given its construction characteristics, it could be a boundary wall built prior to the first tower of the settlement, reused during the first phases of the castle's life. The technique used is characterised by partially worked pebbles and stones, on thick mortar layers and with very wide joints and beds.

The lithotype used is attributable to cavernous limestone, which is present in the area in a limited outcrop that forms the very base on which the castle is founded.

- PERIOD 1: the construction of a large tower (CF1), located in the north-western part of the summit area of the site, seems to refer to the first construction period. The building, the first phase of which reaches a height of approximately three metres, is built with a masonry technique characterised by the use of roughly hewn and split stones, set in a fairly regular fashion, with wide and irregular joints. The corners are of squared stone, with large ashlars and a surface finish worked with subbia. Again, the lithotype used is attributable to the Cavernous Limestone (Fig.5).



Fig. 5- Detail of the construction technique of Period 1 (photo by F. Fratini)

- PERIOD 2: The second period saw an overall reconstruction of this portion of the archaeological site with an internal redefinition of the spaces. In fact, several construction phases can be ascribed to this period, which involved all the buildings that make up the summit area. In particular, the following can be included in this large construction yard: the raising of the oldest tower (CF1-Phase 2), the construction of a second tower (CF2-Phase 1) leaning against the east wall of the previous one and smaller in size, and the construction of a defensive circuit (CF3-Phase 1 and CF4-Phase 1) surrounding an inner courtyard. The masonries are all homogenous in typological terms and are characterised by the use of partially dressed stone, with very wide joints and perfectly squared corners using the subbia and the chisel (Fig. 6). The corner ashlars are made of travertine (Fig.7), while different lithotypes such as Cavernous limestone/Breccia di Grotti, quartzites and microconglomerates from the "Anageniti minute Formation" (Fig.8) were used for the masonry ashlars. In addition, wedges of purple metasiltites yet belonging to the "Anageniti minute Formation" are present to stabilise the angular ashlars (Fig.9).



Fig. 6- Detail of the construction technique of Period 2 (photo by F. Fratini)



Fig. 7- Corner stones made of travertine (photo by F. Fratini)



Fig. 8- Masonry ashlars in microcronglomerate from the "Anageniti minute Formation"(photo by F. Fratini)

The use of travertine for corner ashlars denotes a period of particular economic prosperity as the travertine was sourced from an outcrop some distance from the castle site.



Fig. 9- Wedges of purple metasiltites (photo by F. Fratini)

- PERIOD 3: the reconstruction of the top portion of CF2 takes place, whose construction technique appears different from all the others visible on the site and to which the second construction phase of CF3, carried out in Period 4, is stratigraphically related. The masonry of this phase is made of split stones of a heterogeneous nature, arranged in irregular rows with the frequent use of stone and brick wedges and with cornerstones made of perfectly squared ashlars (Fig.10).



Fig. 10- Detail of the construction technique of Period 3 (photo by A. Arrighetti)

In this phase one observes the introduction of brick as a building material, albeit in a fairly sporadic manner and often as a wedge. As for the lithotypes used, the corner ashlars are made of Cavernous Limestone/Breccia di Grotti (Fig.11), while the masonry is a mixture of Cavernous Limestone/Breccia di Grotti, quartzites and microconglomerates.



Fig. 11- corner stones made of Cavernous limestone/Breccia di Grotti (photo by A. Arrighetti)

- PERIOD 4: comprises the phases relating to the raising and redefining of the high portions of CF3 and CF4. In this case, the techniques are extensively influenced by the use of bricks for the construction of the openings and for the realisation of specific architectural details (e.g. pontoon holes). With regard to the stone materials of the masonry, Cavernous limestone/Breccia di Grotti stone ashlars seem to prevail (Fig.12)[AA].



Fig. 12- Detail of the construction technique of Period 4 (photo by F. Fratini)

4. The study of the bedding mortars

Among the materials used in the construction of the fortress there are also bricks and bedding mortars. The bricks in particular have been used as wedges and for the construction of openings and specific architectural details (period 4). Unfortunately, it was not possible to sample these bricks because they were located in positions that can not be reached from the ground level. However, it was possible to take samples of the bedding mortars from period 0 and from the construction phases (CF) 1, 2, 3, and 4. From each construction phase four samples have been taken. The following investigations were carried out:

-the mineralogical composition was determined on the ground samples using a PANalytical X'PertPRO diffractometer with CuK1 = 1,545 Å radiation, operating at 40 KV, 30 mA, investigated range 2θ =3-70°, equipped with an X' Celerator multidetector and High Score data acquisition and interpretation software;

-the petrographic study was carried out on thin section (30 microns thick) observed under a transmitted polarised light optical microscope (ZEISS Axioscope. A1 equipped with a camera (5-megapixel resolution) [Pecchioni et al 2014a; Scala et al. 2021].

The mineralogical-petrographic study of the mortars made it possible to recognise the presence of three groups:

-the mortars of the oldest structures [period 0 and first phase of CF 1] consist of an abundant calcitic binder rich in impurities presumably present in the original stone for lime that provided slightly hydraulic characteristics. The aggregate consists mainly of fragments of carbonate rocks of dolomitic composition (as also evidenced by diffractometric analysis), referable to the Grezzoni formation (Fig. 13). Numerous lumps are present;

- the mortars of the second phase of CF1 consist of an abundant binder of pure air lime. The aggregate consists almost exclusively of silicate rocks (mycascists and quartzites), quartz and rare carbonate rocks. Lumps are abundant (Fig. 14);

- CF2 and CF3 mortars are similar. They consist of a binder present in medium quantities, which is very rich in impurities. This may indicate the addition of earth to the lime. The aggregate consists predominantly of silicate rocks (micaschists, quartzites, sandstones) and secondarily of carbonate rocks (Fig. 15). Rare lumps are present. The characteristics observed indicate that in the earliest phase, the aggregate was taken directly from the ground on which the fortress was being built, a ground consisting of a limited outcrop of Cavernous Limestone, while the aggregate of the other two groups were sourced from the beds of nearby streams. As for the binder, for the first group an impure limestone was burned while for the second group a pure limestone was used. For the third group, lime and earth were mixed.




Fig. 13- bedding mortar of the oldest structures (period 0 and first phase of CF 1) (image at the optical microscope in thin section, crossed polarized light) (photo by S. Rescic)




Fig. 14- bedding mortar of the second phase of CF1 (image at the optical microscope in thin section, crossed polarized light) (photo by S. Rescic)




Fig. 15- bedding mortar of the CF2 and CF3 (image at the optical microscope in thin section, crossed polarized light) (photo by S. Rescic)

Thus, with regard to mortar production technologies, one can point to a particular selection of raw materials (lime and aggregate) for the second group, while the other two groups indicate a lesser attention to the production of good quality mortars [SR,FF].

3. Conclusions

The combination of the archaeological data and the mineralogical-petrographic analyses of the building materials, with particular attention to the mortars, makes it possible to propose a well-defined construction sequence of the site and the building yards that characterised it over time. In particular, from the analyses of the mortar samples, it is clear that the surrounding wall (Period 0) and the first tower (Period 1) are actually part of a single construction moment, which we could define as Period 1. The archaeological subdivision, which originated from the evident physical resting of the CF1 angle on the boundary wall, thus represents only a constructive expedient of two different construction phases carried out at the same historical moment. In addition, it is evident that a reconstruction of the wall circuit of the summit area (CF3 and CF4) occurred concurrently with the reconstruction of CF2. From the archaeological reading, these data had only been assumed on the basis of the well-defined physical relationships between the various material components and the very similar masonry techniques, but thanks to the analysis of the bedding mortars, they were confirmed. Also of interest is the clear differentiation in the composition of the binder in the masonry of the CF1 elevation with that used for the construction of CF2; these two operations had been archaeologically interpreted as belonging to the same construction phase since they featured similar masonry techniques, instead they show clear signs of their distinct time scanning.

From a building point of view, some reflections on the techniques and materials used in the different building periods can also be proposed. In this case, the analysis carried out on Castiglion Balzetti showed three very different situations ascribable to the three main building periods analysed in this contribution. Period 1 attests the construction of the site, built with a well-defined masonry technique, with well-squared angles and large ashlars. The mortars of this period were made with an aggregate taken directly from the ground on which the fortress was being built and a binder obtained by burning impure limestones. This period is followed by a reconstruction of part

of CF1, carried out in Period 2, which instead presents a more irregularly laid masonry, with smaller ashlars defined by perfectly squared angles. This appears to be a punctual operation that does not change the morphology of CF1 and that portion of the settlement. The mortars of this period were made with an aggregate taken from the bed of the stream at the base of the relief of the rock and a binder obtained by burning pure limestone. The most evident change, however, occurs in Period 3 when CF2, CF3 and CF4 are realised and the space of the summit area is redefined. The use of hewn stones laid in horizontal and parallel rows and with perfectly squared angles is attested in this period. The introduction of the chisel is also attested in this period, in addition to the subbia, the use of which is also attested in earlier phases. The mortars of this period were made from an aggregate taken from the bed of the nearby stream and a binder obtained by mixing lime and earth [SR, AA, FF, MM].

Authors contributions are indicated by the initials of their names at the end of each paragraph: SR (Silvia Rescic), AA (Andrea Arrighetti); FF (Fabio Fratini); MM (Manuela Mattone)

References

Brogi A., Regoli R., Spina A., Capezzuoli E., Zucchi M., Lucci F. (2023) The Permian-Triassic succession of the Montagnola Senese Ridge (Middle Tuscan Ridge, Italy): a perspective for late Palaeozoic magmatism and continentalisation in the western Tethys. *International Geology Review*, https://doi.org/10.1080/00206814.2023.2220011

Brogi A., Giorgetti. G. (2012) Tectono-metamorphic evolution of the siliciclastic units in the Middle Tuscan Range (inner Northern Apennines): Mg–carpholite bearing quartz veins related to syn-metamorphic syn-orogenic foliation, *Tectonophysics*, 526–529, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2011.09.015

Brogiolo G.P., Cagnana A.(2012) "Archeologia dell'Architettura. Matodi e interpretazioni", Florence, All'Insegna del Giglio

Capezzuoli E., Gandin A. (2005) Facies distribution and microfacies of thermal-spring travertine from Tuscany. In: Ozkul M., Yagiz S. & Jones B. eds, Proceedings of 1st International Symposium on Travertine, September 2l-25, 2005, Pamukkale University, Denizli Turkey, pp. 43-50

Capezzuoli E., Spina A., Brogi A., Liotta D., Bagnoli G., Zucchi M., Molli G., Regoli R. (2021) Reconsidering the Variscan Basement of Southern Tuscany (Inner Northern Apennines). *Geosciences*, 11, 84. https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences11020084

Conti P., Costantini A., Decandia F.A., Elter F.M., Gattiglio M., Lazzarotto A., Meccheri M., Pandeli E., Rau A., Sandrelli F. (1991) Structural frame of Tuscan Paleozoic. *Boll.Soc.Geol.Ital.,* 110,523–541

Explanatory Notes Geological Map of Italy, scale 1:50,000, sheet 296 SIENA (2009), edited by Costantini A., Decandia F.A., Lazzarotto A., Liotta D., Mazzei R., Pascucci V., Salvatorini G., Sandrelli F., ISPRA-Istituto Superiore Protezione e Ricerca Ambientale, Servizio Geologico d'Italia

Gandin A., Guasparri G., Mugnaini S., Sabatini G. (2008) La pietra da torre nel centro storico di Siena, *Etruria Natura*, 82–94.

Giorgetti G., Goffé B., Memmi I., Nieto F. (1998) "Metamorphic evolution of Verrucano metasediments in northern Apennines: new petrological constraints", Eur. J. Mineral., 10, 1295–1308

Google (2022) *Google terms of service*, available at: https://policies.google.com/terms?hl=en-US (Accessed: 13 September 2023).

Lazzarotto, A.; Aldinucci, M.; Cirilli, S.; Costantini, A.; Decandia, F.A.; Pandeli, E.; Sandrelli, F.; Spina, A. Stratigraphic correlation of the Upper Palaeozoic-Triassic successions in Tuscany, Italy: A review. *Boll. Soc. Geol. Ital*. 2003, 1, 25–35

Liotta D., Cernobori L., Nicolich R. (1998) Restricted rifting and its coexistence with compressional structures: results from the Crop03 traverse (Northern Apennines, Italy). *Terra Nova*, 10, 16–20

Pecchioni E., Fratini F., Cantisan E. (2014a) Atlas of the ancient mortars in thin section under optical microscope, *Quaderni di Kermes*, Florence, Nardini Editore

Scala A., Gabbrielli, F., Giamello M., Mugnaini S. (2021) Archaeometric analysis of building mortars used in the historic centre of Siena (Italy) between the 13th and 16th centuries. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 35, February 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2021.102790