POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Ecologically-oriented business strategy for a small-size rice farm: Integrated wetland management for the improvement of environmental benefits and economic feasibility.

Original

Ecologically-oriented business strategy for a small-size rice farm: Integrated wetland management for the improvement of environmental benefits and economic feasibility / Dominici, Laura; Magi, Elisa; Leidi, Beatrice; Eusebio Pastore, Mattia; Comino, Elena. - In: SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT. - ISSN 0048-9697. - ELETTRONICO. - 838:4(2022). [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156604]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2985986 since: 2024-02-15T16:44:16Z

Publisher: Elsevier

Published DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156604

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright Elsevier postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

© 2022. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156604

(Article begins on next page)

1 Ecologically-oriented business strategy for a small-size rice farm:

integrating wetland management for the improvement of environmental benefits
 and economic feasibility.

4

5 Abstract

The Italian rice agroecosystem plays a key role in the European production and provides a unique range of rice 6 7 varieties. As productive man-made wetlands, rice paddies are strategic and economic components in the habitat 8 provision for migratory wildlife at the European scale. However, the characteristic of being a "temporary 9 wetland" causes the creation of an ecological trap for a number of living organisms. For this reason, agricultural 10 practices adopted for the management of rice paddies are essential to move towards more sustainable 11 cultivations capable of promoting biodiversity and to minimising negative environmental impacts. This study 12 proposes an ecologically-oriented strategy to implement a circular and self-regulating farming system designed 13 considering the role of constructed wetlands in providing ecosystem services in rice agroecosystems. It 14 demonstrates the economic feasibility and benefits provided by a self-regulating biosystem based on an 15 integrated wetland for a small-size rice farm of the Vercelli province (Piedmont Region, Italy). The study was conducted in collaboration with the rice farm, which already experiments with organic farming techniques. 16 17 The investigation focuses on the current management structure of the farm and develops an ecologically-18 oriented business strategy to sustain local biodiversity. This strategy rediscovers and improves the traditional 19 co-culture technique through the development of a permanent pond. It explores the potential benefits generated 20 by the approach, in terms of biodiversity conservation, biological control of pests and weeds and habitat 21 provision for wildlife. The study presents a real case study of economic sustainability of the business strategy 22 through financial analysis. The findings highlight promising economic outcomes compared to the conventional 23 rice cultivation systems. The diversification of marketing strategy and the reduction of operating costs are key 24 factors in the success of the strategy. The ecologically-oriented design methodology presented in this article 25 can easily be applied to other small-scale farms in the agrifood sector.

26 Keywords:

27 Wetland agriculture, Biocultural diversity, Ecological-oriented design, Co-culture farming, Ecosystem services,

- 28 Economic sustainability
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 22
- 33
- 34
- 35

36 Graphical Abstract



THE DESIGN OF ECOLOGICAL-ORIENTED BUSINESS STRATEGY FOR AN AGRIFOOD COMPANY

39 **1. Introduction**

Rice plays a pivotal role for human nutrition for nearly half the global population and it has become an important aspect of the cultural and local identity in many countries, especially in the Asia (Prasad et al., 2017). At the global scale, approximately 155 million ha of land are cultivated with rice crops and the worldwide rice production is dominated by China, India and Indonesia as the biggest producers (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2019). Overall, Asian countries are the largest consumers of rice per capita (Statista, 2020). In Europe, Italy plays a significant role in the European and global market in terms of rice production and exports.

47

A rice agroecosystem is considered a temporary wetland characterised by an hydroperiod that 48 alternates floodings during the summer and droughts during the winter. It is a highly dynamic man-49 made ecosystem characterised by rapid changes of physical and chemical parameters and water levels 50 that affect the development of its biological community. As semi-natural temporary ponds, rice 51 paddies represent 15% of global wetlands. They play a valuable role in providing several ecosystem 52 services (Lawler, 2001; Chivenge et al., 2019; Preez et al., 2019), and offering a habitat for aquatic 53 fauna (Toffoli and Rughetti, 2017). Ecosystem services (ES) are described as the "benefits produced 54 by a healthy ecosystem that positively influence human well-being" (Millennium Ecosystem 55 Assessment, 2005) and they are classified into provisioning, regulating, supporting and cultural 56 57 services. The assessment of ES could be a useful tool to evaluate the benefits derived from ecosystems (Ajwang' Ondiek et al., 2016). Although rice paddies cannot be considered as fully 58 59 substitutes of natural temporary ponds, they significantly contribute to produce marketed ES, such as rice and straw as by-products, and non-marketed ES, such as soil formation, mineralisation of plant 60 nutrients and nitrogen fixation (Nayak et al., 2019; Buresh et al., 2008). Moreover, rice 61 agroecosystems as temporary wetlands create the ideal habitat to support the life cycle of numerous 62 63 living organisms such as algae, fish, amphibians, reptiles, molluscs, crustaceans, worms, insects and a variety of avifauna (Strada Del Riso Vercellese, n.d.; Toriyama et al., 2004). Many different human 64 transformations and adaptations of the terrain for rice cultivation have led to the creation of a unique 65 geometric landscape characterised by a high aesthetic value. Rice paddies are a distinctive landmark 66 of the agro-cultural system of the Piedmont region. A number of ecologically-oriented farms are 67 currently investigating co-adaptation strategies to promote the sustainable development of the 68 territory (Min & He, 2014; Banino & Matrone, 2016). Water is the essential element for rice 69 70 cultivation and the alternating submersion and dryness stages in rice paddies influences the ecosystem's dynamics as a temporary wetland. The flooding of rice paddies during the summer 71 creates the habitat for migratory avifauna, providing the opportunity to develop ecotourism and 72

educational activities, such as birdwatching or citizen science projects (Dem et al., 2018), in order to
promote the importance of ecological conservation and biodiversity in agroecosystems (McInnes &
Everard, 2017).

76

Rice agroecosystems are also affected by a series of criticalities. Their high level of biodiversity is 77 often negatively affected by modern cultivation techniques (Luo et al., 2014). The adoption of the 78 alternate submergence and drying technique, for instance, can lead to the creation of an ecological 79 trap for some species, such as the arthropod or amphibian communities, which cannot complete their 80 whole breeding cycle (Travisi and Nunes, 2010). Ecological traps usually occur when living 81 organisms form an inaccurate representation of a habitat that is not able to support a stable or growing 82 population (Robertson and Hutto, 2006). Environmental habitat are defined as ecological traps if they 83 84 lead to the direct mortality of individuals as result of rapid changes in the characteristics of the territory (e.g. hydrological, geomorphic, chemical changes) with a reduction of environmental quality 85 (Hale and Swearer, 2016). Stormwater ponds, polarised light pollution, game farms or bird nesting in 86 grasslands or agricultural landscapes are some examples of ecological traps and maladaptive 87 88 behaviour (Schlaepfer et al., 2002).

Moreover, fertilizers, pesticides and herbicides produce negative consequences not only on soil and 89 90 water quality, but also on flora and wildlife. Indeed, the rice agroecosystem is characterised by a wide 91 range of insects, some of which are rice pests (Norton and Heong, 2010), such as the Sypha glyceriae 92 and the *Rhopalosiphum padi* which are widely extended in Italy (Süss et al., n.d.). Pests and weeds are usually controlled by farmers using chemicals in order to avoid huge harvest and profit losses 93 94 which however cause a degradation of the local biodiversity, as well as water and soil pollution (Ferrero et al., 2016). Moreover, the alternate submergence and dryness conditions cause the emission 95 96 of methane (CH₄) in the atmosphere, while the use of nitrogen-based fertilizers is responsible for the increasing release of nitrous oxide (N2O) due to microbial nitrification and denitrification which occur 97 98 in the soil (Park et al., 2012; Arpa Piemonte, 2014; Ferrero et al., 2008).

A number of studies and practical experiences are currently exploring the implementation of sustainable agro-management techniques in temporary wetlands, such as organic farming (Verhoeven & Setter, 2010; Xu et al., 2020). The aim of these investigations is to reduce the impact of intensive rice cultivations and to meet the wildlife conservation goal (Calhoun et al., 2017). One area of interest is the co-culture techniques, which is based on constructed wetlands integrated in agriculture to support agroecosystems in providing ES. However, few studies explore the opportunities offered by these technique in the Italian context.

109 1.1 Research goal

110 This study presents the application of a multi-methodological approach for redesigning the business 111 management of a small-scale farm. The multi-methodological approach is applied in order to support 112 local biodiversity, as well as improve economic profit. The study was conducted at the Priorato Farm, located in the province of Vercelli (Piedmont, Italy), which is one of the most important site for rice 113 114 production in Europe (Sistema Piemonte, 2020). The business management of the Priorato Farm was analysed using a multi-methodological approach that integrates tools from Systemic Design 115 methodology (Battistoni et al., 2019) with tools from strategic planning and financial analysis. The 116 investigation through a multi-methodological approach led to the definition of an ecologically-117 oriented strategy aimed at the creation of a self-regulating biosystem. This strategy responds to the 118 119 urgent need of improving the sustainable use of natural resources in farming (Dominati et al., 2019). The self-regulating biosystem was based on integrating constructed wetland into rice paddies for the 120 implementation of new business opportunities at local scale. The business strategy developed in this 121 study considers ecological restoration principles (Newton et al., 2021) and promotes biodiversity 122 conservation as opportunities to move towards a multifunctional agroecosystem. The ecologically-123 oriented strategy was defined taking into consideration research outcomes of previous scientific 124 125 studies, in terms of food productivity and improvement of ecosystem health.

This study also analyses the economic feasibility of the new business plan in order to validate the 126 profitability of the proposed ecologically-oriented business strategy when applied to a small-scale 127 rice farm. The study demonstrates that the adoption of the multi-methodological approach can fill the 128 knowledge gap regarding the economic feasibility of the ecologically-oriented business project. This 129 aspect that is often overlooked in the field of study. It also addresses the urgent debate concerning the 130 131 adoption of sustainable practices to support ecosystem services in the Italian rice agroecosystem. The multi-methodological approach presented in this case study produced promising results suggesting 132 that it can be implemented to re-design business strategies on other rice farms and companies in the 133 agrifood sector, not only in the Italian context. 134

- 135
- 136

137 2. Materials and methods: a multi-methodological approach

A multi-methodological approach was adopted to analyse the case study. It combines tools from
Systemic Design (SD) methodology (Battistoni et al., 2020), such as the Holistic Diagnosis (HD),

and the SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats) analysis used as flexible model
in decision-making and strategic planning processes (Benzaghta et al., 2021).

142 In the first stage, the HD was conducted in order to collect information about the case study's farming and business management, and about the surrounding environment, following the methodology 143 144 described in (Battistoni et al., 2019). HD was adopted as an analytical tool useful to outline a complete overview of the case study based on the analysis of the context, products, services and processes. 145 Quantitative and qualitative data were collected on the local territory (e.g. demography, geography, 146 agriculture, vegetation and wildlife, services, local enterprises) and on the company itself through 147 field and desk research. HD consists into two stages: HD of the local territory and HD of the 148 production process (Battistoni et al., 2020). The HD analysis of the territory aims to highlight 149 150 geographical, cultural, and economic features to identify the main drivers of the design process. Territorial information was gathered consulting different official databases, such as the Italian 151 National Institute of Statistics (Istituto Nazionale di Statistica - ISTAT). Information was collected 152 to describe territories using data about population density, cultivated area, number of farms and 153 154 enterprises, principal and secondary production sectors, presence of natural and protected areas.

155 During the second stage of HD, data collection was performed using surveys with the farm manager or through field visits in order to understand the farm structure and its management of natural 156 157 resources and raw materials. Data were organised using giga-maps and flow charts in order to define the state of the art of the case study and to visualise the company's relationships with other local 158 159 economic realities and its connections with the local know-how and material culture (Sevaldson, 160 2018). The production process was investigated using an energy and material flow analysis that explores characteristics of the raw materials (inputs) that enter the production flow, and by-products 161 and waste (outputs) that are generated. The holistic approach applied to the material and energy 162 analysis is already adopted in permaculture and agroecology to move towards more sustainable 163 agrifood systems that ensure social and economic equity, conserve biodiversity and restore ecosystem 164 services (Didarali and Gambiza, 2019; Mollison, 1988). All the significant information on the 165 territory was collected in order to define the background scenario, which was structured in existing 166 correlations, criticalities and potentialities in order to design a project proposal for business 167 innovation (Gaiardo, 2016). 168

The economic status of the case study was also analysed using a conceptual matrix developed by Deloitte for SD methodology to describe its business core strategy (Battistoni et al., 2020). This conceptual matrix was implemented as a part of the HD, based on organization, financial statement, trading relationships and market dynamics. Each indicator was allocated weights in collaboration

with the farm owner in a focus group. The indicators on the y-axis describe the company's philosophy, 173 while those on the x-axis provide information about trading relationships which characterise the core 174 business. The three indicators on the x-axis were adjusted and adapted considering previous studies 175 conducted using this matrix (Battistoni et al., 2020), in order to provide a more accurate and adequate 176 description of the company's current business strategy and market position in the agrifood sector. 177 The first step of the focus group is the allocation of a percentage value to each of the five indicators 178 of the y-axis, that must weight 100% in total. The second step consists in the analysis of each indicator 179 180 on the y-axis using those on the x-axis. The percentage value assigned to each y-axis indicator is 181 considered as the reference value to assign a percentage to each indicator on x-axis. The matrix provide a qualitative description of the business strategy of the farm by defining three areas of 182 183 business investments. The "focus area" is the core business of the farm composed by all factors with a percentage > 12%. The most of economic investments are held considering these factors. The 184 185 "attention area" describes secondary investments of the farm composed by those factors with percentages between 5% and 12%. The "hinted presence area" consists of those factors (< 5%) that 186 187 are not considered in the core business of the farm. Factors included in the "attention area" and in the "hinted presence area" are potentialities that can be considered for the development of new business 188 strategies. 189

Data concerning the company organization and management, as well as information about the local 190 territory were organised using a SWOT analysis. The SWOT matrix clarifies how strengths and 191 weaknesses could be matched with opportunities and threats defining four strategies that provide 192 193 drivers for gaining an initial idea and to develop a business plan (GÜREL, 2017; Vlados, 2019). The SWOT helped to recognise internal (strengths and weaknesses) and external (opportunities and 194 threats) factors which may influence the achievement of the company's goals, to address main gaps 195 196 and to define new developing strategies. SWOT analyses have already been applied in the agricultural field with the aim of defining potential strategies to improve the use of water resources or to define 197 198 promising alternatives for farm enterprises and new product development (Diamantopoulou & Voudouris, 2008; Ommani, 2011; Wardhono & Wibowo, 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). Therefore, 199 200 SWOT analyses are performed during the initial stage of a pilot project as they afford in-depth 201 knowledge about all aspects of the current business framework.

The integration of the SWOT analysis into the SD methodology helped to organise a qualitative scenario and to outline alternative options for the business development (Davis, 2007). The SWOT also considered possible implication (positive or negative) with provisioning, regulating, supporting and cultural ES (as shown in the Figure 4). Main critical issues identified (weaknesses and threats) were analysed and affordable solutions were explored with the reference to the literature on how to reduce the environmental pressure and sustain ecosystem services. A list of significant priorities for the company was defined using results obtained from the HD and SWOT analyses. The list was used to combine the four strategies in order to move towards the desired ecological-oriented business vision. Sustainable improvement was the main driver in the decision-making process. The strategy adopted defines the strategic vision, main goals, detailed technical actions, and patrimonial and financial planning (Beale et al., 2012).

The economic feasibility assessment of the project proposal was conducted to evaluate its profitability
over a five-year period. The financial analysis of the new business plan was performed using:

- the balance sheet report that summarises the expected operating activities, based on assets,
 liabilities and shareholder equity over the accounting period adopted,
- the profit and loss (P&L) statement, also known as the income statement, that presents the
 business's financial position on a specific date focusing on the type of resources available for
 business operations and for achieving the goals. It provides information about the ability of
 the company to generate profit by increasing revenues, reducing costs, or both,
- the operating cash flow forecast that provides a projection of changes in the business's cash
 during the accounting period focusing on cash inflow and outflow transactions.
- 223

These methods are well known tools for the assessment of the economic and financial profitability of a new business (Cunningham et al., 2015). The information in the balance sheet and in the income statement was used to calculate the earnings before interests, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA). The EBITDA shows the company's overall earnings before the influence of accounting and financial deductions (as shown in Equation (1)) (Friedlob and Schleifer, 2003), where *D* is the depreciation and *A* the amortization.

230

(1)
$$EBITDA = Net income + Interest + Taxes + D + A$$

231

In addition to the EBITDA, interest and tax payments were also calculated as cash outflows to provide a more realistic overview of the financial and economic health of the business plan. The operating cash flow was adopted a key tool to demonstrate the company's ability to generate cash over the accounting period, thus maintaining itself and increasing its operations. Cash and cash equivalent (CCE) at the end of accounting period (4 years) was calculated to evaluate the value of the farm's assets that were cash-obtained from operating activities or that could be converted into cash immediately. Operating cash flow was considered an important benchmark tool to evaluate thefinancial success of the business plan (McLaney and Atrill, 2012).

- 240
- 241

3. Results: application of the multi-methodological approach to a case study of a rice farm's management

244 3.1 Holistic Diagnosis: territory, company's vision and cultivation techniques

Italy is the leading country that counts about the 51% of European rice paddies. It cultivates a unique 245 range of Oryza sativa L. ssp. Japonica and Indica varieties, such as the Arborio, the Carnaroli, the 246 247 Vialone Nano (Italian Parliament, 2020). More than two-thirds of European rice is produced by Italian 248 farms and about 60% is exported to other Mediterranean countries and Eastern Europe (Kraehmer et 249 al., 2017). Approximately 4200 companies, mainly located in Piedmont and Lombardy regions, in the huge area known as the "Golden Triangle" between Vercelli, Novara and Pavia provinces, cultivate 250 about 132 rice varieties (Istat, 2020). Rice cultivation was introduced in Italy at the end of the 15th 251 252 century and its development is strictly linked to the construction of the most important irrigation network, Canale Cavour, done by Camillo Cavour at the end of the 19th century (Arcieri and Ghinassi, 253 2020). The construction of Canale Cavour allowed the development of rice cultivation, especially in 254 255 Vercelli, Alessandria, Novara and Pavia provinces. The province of Vercelli is one of the most productive area concerning rice cultivation that counts the 58% of total rice farms (almost 917 local 256 producers) of Piedmont Region and 70.000 ha of land cultivated with more than 100 different rice 257 varieties (Sistema Piemonte, 2020). Extensive rice crops are the landmark of the territory 258 259 characterised by flooded plains symmetrically divided by rows of poplars. many protected areas and parks, such as the Po River Park, the Alta Valsesia and the Lame del Sesia Natural Parks, promote 260 wetlands preservation in order to maintain habitat for avifauna and wildlife. Four varieties of rice 261 cultivated in this area are Protected Designation Origin (PDO), such as the "Arborio" and the "S. 262 Andrea di Baraggia". The origin of these varieties is linked to the geographical features of Baraggia. 263 264 Baraggia area is close to the mountain chain (150-340 m altitude) between the provinces of Vercelli and Biella and it is characterised by large prairies and heaths. Baraggia is also the northernmost place 265 in the world where rice is cultivated and this *terroir* offers distinctive organoleptic features of rice 266 grains. 267

268

Priorato Farm was founded in 2017 and it is composed by the owner and a seasonal employee. Rice cultivation is the core business of Priorato Farm that cultivates 65 ha of rice paddies. Since the beginning, the farm tested both traditional and biological rice cultivation techniques and it obtained

the biological Biodiversitas certification in 2020 thanks to the adoption of green mulching (GM) 272 technique for the management of 27 ha of rice paddies. The implementation of GM technique refers 273 to the practical experience reported by Masanobu Fukuoka, a Japanese botanist and philosopher, 274 known as the pioneer of natural farming. Following the Fukuoka's model, the farm developed a non-275 invasive farming method which minimises the human intervention and fosters biological processes 276 getting inspiration from natural ecosystems (Fukuoka, 1985). Fukuoka's method does not require the 277 use of chemicals and agricultural machineries reducing soil and water pollution and the use of fossil 278 279 fuels (Fukuda, 2018). GM technique consists of covering the ground with a mulch derived from 280 herbaceous plants that maintains the fertility of soil and prevents proliferation of weeds, avoiding the use of chemical fertilizer and herbicide (Jabran, 2019). 281

282

290

291

292

297

Priorato Farm applies the GM technique (Fig.1) sowing herbaceous and legume plant such as *Trifolium pratense*, *Vicia villosa* and *Lolium perenne* as nitrogen fixers in November, at the end of the rice harvesting and soil harrowing . Rice seeds are usually sown at the beginning of May, while herbaceous and leguminous species are cut down and left on the field in order to create an organic mulching layer. Sometimes, the farm integrates the GM technique with the use of the horn-hoof based organic fertilizer (12%-14% N) as soil conditioner before sowing. After sowing, rice paddies are usually flooded until harvesting in October.



Figure 1.: Green mulching technique management of rice paddies (27 ha): the graph shows quantitative data referred to
 raw materials and agricultural machinery that enter into the agricultural system as inputs, and the 12-month timeline of
 main activities.

The others 38 ha of rice paddies are cultivated using conventional agronomic methods (Fig.2) that include rice water-seeding and permanent submersion. In this case, the GM technique is not appropriate for managing rice paddies due to soil characteristics, such as the gravel-based structure and the high percentage of clay. The gradually transition towards organic farming implies to test
 varied agronomic techniques in order to select the most appropriates for soil characteristics (structure,
 texture and permeability).



305

309

304

Figure 2.: Traditional management of rice paddies (38 ha): the graph shows quantitative data referred to raw materials
 (including pesticides, herbicides, and mineral fertilizers) and agricultural machinery that enter into the agricultural
 system as inputs, and the 12-month timeline of main activities.

Banks are constructed before rice seeding and rice paddies are usually prepared through ploughing, chain harrowing and laser levelling before the application of herbicides and fertilizers such as mineral manure. Rice paddies are flooded in May and consequently rice seeds are sown. During summer rice paddies are usually dried twice in order to carry out fertilizing and weeding cycles, firstly in June and secondly in July, and re-flooded again after each treatment. At the end, the rice is harvested in October.

316

317 *3.1.1.* The current company's business strategy

The current business strategy of Priorato Farm is shown in Figure 3. The company presents a good ability to combine traditional knowledge and innovation, also considering the strong inclination of the owner for the adoption of changes and solutions towards sustainability. Moreover, the farm owner is a founder member of the Polyculturae Association, composed by local producers, that acts as a cultural hub to disseminate basic concepts of agroecology and good practices to promote biodiversity in rice agroecosystems. Priorato Farm focuses on creating a business strongly connected to the local territory thanks to the active engagement in building bridges between citizens, local farmers, public and private research institutions.

326



327 328

Figure 3.: Matrix of the company current business strategy. In the x- and y-axes the evaluation parameters are positioned.
The focus area is highlighted in dark green with a percentage > 12%. The attention area is represented in light green
with percentages ranging between 5% and 12% and the hinted presence area is pointed out in light orange with
percentages < 5%.

333 334

335 3.2 Analysis of the company organisation through SWOT matrix

Data collected during interviews with farm owner were organised in strengths and weaknesses, as internal origin factors, and opportunities and threats, as external origin factors in order to highlight potentialities or risks addressed to the surrounding environment (Figure 4). Aspects that describe each factor were analysed considering possible implications within ecosystem services.



Figure 4.: S.W.O.T. analysis that shows internal factors (strengths and weaknesses) and external factors (opportunities and threats) with reference to possible implication with the four categories of ecosystem services.

The most significant strength of Priorato Farm is the attention focused on preserving biodiversity and investigating alternative and more sustainable agricultural techniques. Moreover, the company constructed in 2019 a ditch for water storage close to rice paddies to provide suitable habitat and avoid the creation of ecological trap for some aquatic species which can complete their life cycle. Thanks to the implementation of these practices, the company reported the presence of many wildlife species where rice paddies are managed using the GM method, such as Threskiornithinae, Ardea cinerea, Ardea alba, Bubulcus ibis, Alcedo atthis, Botaurus stellaris, Odonata, Amphibia, Reptilia. Despite many environmental benefits produced by the adoption of biological practices for cultivating rice, the productivity of biological rice paddies is 15% less than those managed using conventional method.

The most significant company's weaknesses are the use of chemical herbicides, pesticides and fertilizers, andthe intensive use of water resource for flooding-drying cycles. The application of conventional agricultural practices causes water and soil contamination, biodiversity degradation, gas emission and the creation of an ecological trap for several aquatic species during the drying phase.

361

, The company has the great opportunity to expand the cultivation of biological rice applying the GM 362 method to all rice paddies supported by fundings provided by the Rural Development Program (RDP). 363 364 Moreover, natural farming suggests to improve and combine different agroecological practices, such 365 as the co-culture technique which consists of the integration of agriculture and animal husbandry, where animals are reared together with the crop (Bashir et al., 2020; Chinese Academy of Sciences, 366 367 2010; Furuno, 2001). Rice agroecosystem creates a unique landscape rich of fauna, especially where biological cultivation methods are adopted to manage rice paddies as temporary wetlands. The 368 369 enhancement of local biodiversity provides the opportunity to develop educational activities, 370 ecotourism, and recreational initiatives.

371

A significant threat that may negatively affect the quality of final products is the water used for irrigation that could be contaminated by chemicals released in nearby crops where biological cultivation techniques are not applied. This aspect could also damage the wildlife sustained and promoted by the adoption of biological cultivation. Adverse weather conditions such as drought and plant disease or infestations of exotic animals such as by *Procambarus clarkii* are harmful aspects that cannot be directly controlled by the farm.

378

379 3.3 Business strategy definition based on opportunities provided by literature review

The strategy was defined in order to exploit the opportunity to combine different agronomic 380 techniques, focusing on co-culture farming based on integrated wetland management, considering the 381 farm attitude towards biodiversity conservation (Bashir et al., 2020). The aim of the strategy is to 382 further improve farm strengths by using a part of the biologically cultivated field to improve its 383 productivity. The strategy proposed was obtained as a combination of a SO strategy, in which 384 385 opportunities are used to enhances strengths, and a WO strategy, which consists of exploiting opportunities to reduce weaknesses. The strategy is based on the development of co-culture of rice, 386 387 fish and ducks. Co-culture methods introduces animals in flooded paddies for breeding and then they are gathered in a permanent constructed wetland before rice harvest. Rice-duck-fish co-culture would 388 bring numerous benefits to the entire rice ecosystem such as the reduction of gas emissions, the 389

improvement of water and soil quality, the retention of nutrients. All of these benefitsare offered by
the adoption of *wetlaculture* (Jiang and Mitsch, 2020) and biodiversity conservation techniques.

392

393 The introduction of fish and ducks in to rice paddies helps to regulate CH_4 and N_2O emissions. Bhattacharyya et al. (2013) reported that the introduction of fish leads a decrease of N₂O emissions 394 395 by 9% but, at the same time, it causes an increase of CH₄ emissions by 26%. On the other hand, the introduction of ducks leads to a decrease of CH₄ emissions by 8,80-16,68% and an increase of N₂O 396 397 emissions by 4,23-15,20% (Xu et al., 2017). The integrated rice-duck-fish farming leads to an 398 increase of soil nutrient content such as soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, available nitrogen, available phosphorus and available potassium, more specifically total nitrogen level increase by about 399 400 126%. Moreover, values of dissolved oxygen and oxidation reduction potential are higher in coculture systems than in conventional ones, respectively by 8,4% and 31,8% (Nayak et al., 2018). 401

402

The study conducted by Wan et al. (2019) in China assess that the integration of fish farming in rice 403 404 paddies decreases the presence of insects pests, such as rice plant-hopper and leaf roller, by 24,07%, 405 weeds by 67,62%, while, it increases the presence of predators by 19,48%. While Teng et al. (2016) 406 assessed that the implementation of the rice-duck co-culture farming produces a reduction of rice pests population such as leaf rollers (- 39,19%), stem borers (-18,6%), planthoppers (-57,40%), and sheath 407 blight (-16,09%). The same study also reported that the presence of weeds is lower in the rice-duck 408 co-culture farming than in conventional cultivations, with a decrease of 91.9% in number and 75% in 409 the variety of weed species. 410

411

412 Different studies also focuses on the evaluation of consequences of the co-culture farming on productivity of rice paddies and on farm overall profit (Sheng et al., 2018; XIANG et al., 2006; Xu 413 et al., 2017; YUAN et al., 2009). Hossain et al. (2005) demonstrates that the adoption of rice-duck 414 co-culture leads to 20% increase per year of rice yield and to 50-60% increase of farm economic 415 income compared to conventional rice cultivation system. Moreover, Halwart & Gupta (2004) reports 416 417 that the rice-fish integrated farming generates an increase of 14-48% of rice yield and an increase of 50% of profit. These studies demonstrated that co-culture methods lead to a consistent reduction of 418 419 the use of chemical fertilizers, pesticides and herbicides thanks to foster self-regulating processes. 420 Co-culture methods produce benefits on the quality of soil and water and on the biodiversity of rice 421 agroecosystem (Halwart, 2008; Luo et al., 2014).

422

423 3.4 Description of the pilot project and new business proposal

The proposal focused on implementing co-culture farming based on integrated constructed wetland, 424 or *wetlaculture* (Boutin et al., 2021; Jiang and Mitsch, 2020). The project proposal designed for 425 Priorato Farm considers current European and regional policies, and characteristics of local market. 426 Approximately 5 ha of rice paddies, already cultivated with GM, are involved in the pilot project 427 based on designing a permanent constructed wetland as refugee for animals in order to foster co-428 culture farming. The pilot project consists of digging two lateral channels (1 m deep and 1 m wide) 429 and approximately 9500 m² of pond which provide overall 1 ha of water surface and about 4 ha of 430 fields for rice cultivation as shown in Figure 5. 431

433 434

435 *Figure 5.:* Details of the 5 ha pilot project.

436

Approximately 168 ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos*) and 500 fishes (*Tinca tinca*) are introduced
considering current regulations for animal breeding and organic integrated farming techniques
(Senato della Repubblica, 2021, Consiglio regionale del Piemonte, 2020; Ferrucci & Marcone, 2017).
Moreover, the introduction of *Anas platyrhynchos* and *Tinca tinca* also is regulated by the limited
space available during winter (about 1 ha of pond's freshwater) for animal breeding due to the drying
of rice paddies.

444 Both species are currently bred in Piedmont Region and their meat is widely used in the local cuisine. Anas platyrhynchos is the most popular duck species bred for meat and eggs that reaches a maximum 445 weight of 3.5 kg for males and 3.0 kg for females after six months and produces 130-200 eggs per 446 year. On the other hand, *Tinca tinca*, that usually reaches a medium length of 20-40 cm and a medium 447 weight of 600 g, is one of the most important fish species bred in Piedmont Region, well known as 448 the "Tinca Gobba Dorata del Pianalto di Poirino PDO" (Pagliarino and Pavone, 2012). The co-culture 449 farming that involves *Tinca tinca* and rice was a common practice usually adopted in the provinces 450 451 of Vercelli, Novara and Pavia until the 1970s when it was replaced by modern techniques of rice 452 cultivation (Dees et al., 2003; Russo, 1987).

453

The permanent pond was equipped by a 118 m^2 stilted duck shelter as refugee. The duck shelter is 454 large enough to accommodate maximum 354 ducks (3 ducks/m²) during summer according to current 455 regulations (Commissione Europea, 2020). The pilot project required the installation of a modular 456 457 fence along the pilot site for ducks and nets at the entrance of lateral channels for tench fish, while an incubator for breeding the duck's eggs is required for population growth. The pilot project should 458 459 start in November with the introduction of ducks and fish into the constructed wetland. Ducks and fishes can be bred directly in rice paddies from May onwards when they are flooded. Then ducks 460 should be gathered into the constructed wetland in August when rice is blooming, while fishes must 461 be channelled in October when rice paddies are dried for harvesting. 462

463

464 The new business strategy of the Priorato farm is shown in Figure 6 that highlights changes obtained465 by the implementation of the integrated co-culture method.

467

Figure 6.: Matrix of the new business strategy that shows the core on innovating farming processes looking at the
 adoption of agro-ecological techniques that integrate new breeding activities, and at the attention to promote and
 restore the habitat for wildlife through the permanent pond and lateral canals.

472 3.5 Evaluation of potential economic outcomes

At the end, the fiancial feasibility aims to demonstrate the economic sustainability of the business plan applied to the Priorato Farm. In order to establish overall investment for the implementation of the project proposal, costs for constructions and raw materials were defined based on the price list of agriculture provided by the Piedmont Region (Regione Piemonte, 2021) and on the analysis of local market, as shown in Table 1. Moreover, the implementation of new breeding activity requires the employment of a part-time worker with an annual cost for the company equal to 16.000 €

479

Materials and works	Quantity	Total Costs
Wetland construction	1	25.246 €
Ditch construction	2	1.330 €
Duck's shelter	1	1.900 €
Fence	1	2.856 €
Incubator	1	140 €
Duck eggs	168	487,2 €
Tench	500	900€
Nets for the channel drain	2	28,90 €
Total costs		32.888.1 €

⁴⁸⁰

481 *Table 1.* List of total expected costs for system implementation.

Cost and revenues were analysed into the business plan and financial statement was defined for the first four years of operation in order to evaluate the economic feasibility of the project. The most of costs are borne by the farm during the first year (Year 1) for infrastructural operations and for supporting fish-duck breeding. Thanks to the local market research, the Table 2 shows potential earnings obtained by selling new food products of the implemented rice-fish-duck co-culture to other local food processing companies.

489

New saleable products

L	
Dack meat	10 €kg
Tench meat	15 €kg
Duck eggs	0,50 €piece

490

492

491 *Table 2. Potential earnings from the rice-fish-duck integrated farm.*

Projections based on literature review supposed a rise in rice productivity by about the 30% (Halwart and Gupta, 2004; Hossain et al., 2005). Therefore, the farm should start to increase earnings due to the implementation of the new farming system from the second year, as shown in Table 3. The potential increase of rice yield was taken into consideration based on data reported by Halwart & Gupta (2004) and Hossain et al. (2005). Following these outcomes, the profit obtained by the pilot project from the second year should increase by the 50% if compared with the same area of rice paddies cultivated only with the GM technique.

500

501

505

	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
Receivables	191.087 €	191.087 €	225.151 €	225.151 €	225.151 €

Table 3. Projection of sales and services revenue for the four years of business plan extracted from the P&L statement.
 The Year 0 shows values obtained at the current farm's management status, while the Year 1 represents the financial year in which investments carried out in order to implement the co-culture farm system.

Furthermore, the project could receive fundings from Piedmont Region, as shown in Table 4. During 506 507 the second year, the farm could receive fundings (31,093 €) for the construction of permanent pond and two ditches., The total costs for initial operations can be supported by local government that 508 promotes the transformation of conventional agricultural fields into semi-natural areas with restored 509 wetlands thanks to the measure 04.4.01 of RDP (Regione Piemonte, 2020). Also, the regional council 510 could dispose1000 €ha/year for ten years for maintaining and managing natural areas for wildlife, 511 such as vegetated banks. Moreover, 600 €ha/year for the first three years, then reduced to 450 512 €ha/year for the fourth and fifth year, can be allocated for the construction of a pond as a constructed 513 514 wetland. The transition of rice paddies towards integrated agriculture could be also financed of 210 515 €ha/year for five years, and 100 €ha could be allocated for sowing winter (Giuliano et al., 2017;

516 Regione Piemonte, 2020).

517

518 The Table 4 shows a part of the P&L statement that focuses on the EBITDA progression. It highlights

- an increase of earnings from Year 2 without the influence of fundings above-mentioned.
- 520

	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
EBITDA	84.738 €	57.489 €	106.259 €	107.103 €	107.103 €
Financing	39.000 €	2.972 €	31.093 €	8.222 €	8.222 €
Net result	123.738 €	60.361 €	137.252 €	115.225 €	115.225 €

521

Table 4. Net income extracted from the P&L statement that shows the farm's profit obtained including annual taxes.
523

524 While the Table 5 shows the forecast of cash flow statement obtained for the evaluation period that 525 shows operating, investing and financing activities made by the farm with and without (Year 0) the

526 co-culture farming.

527

	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
Cash and cash equivalent at initial of period		123.738 €	164.199 €	301.551 €	416.876 €
Net cash from operating activities	84.738 €	57.489 €	106.259 €	107.103 €	107.103 €
Investments		20.000 €			
Cash and cash equivalent before financing	84.738 €	161.227 €	270.458 €	408.645 €	523.979 €
Financing	39.000 €	2.972 €	31.093 €	8.222 €	8.222 €
Cash and cash equivalent at the end of period	123.738 €	164.199 €	301.551 €	416.876 €	532.201 €

528

529 *Table 5.* Cash flow statement that reveals a positive cash and cash equivalent at the end of the period.530

531

532 **4. Discussion**

The business proposal for 5 ha of the pilot project derives from a reflection about the environmental and cultural value of rice agroecosystem and about sustainable strategies for land management. An ecologically-based approach to rice cultivation was designed together with the farm owner with the aim of reducing the environmental pressure caused by conventional rice farming. Priorato Farm had already made an important investment in organic farming. The aim of the new business plan was to build on this approach by fostering biological conservation practices through the adoption of

integrated wetland in rice agroecosystems. The business plan implemented was based on a review of 539 540 the literature which evidences the positive contribution of agricultural practices such us the co-culture method on the capability of rice paddies to provide and support ecosystem services (ES) (Balzan et 541 al., 2020). The construction of a permanent pond contributes to habitat restoration and conservation 542 for wildlife and migratory birds (Supporting ES), acting as refugee for the aquatic fauna and some 543 benthonic species during draining of rice paddies. Moreover, habitat restoration creates the 544 545 opportunity to organise recreational and cultural activities such as ecotourism through citizens science 546 initiatives and educational farm projects (Cultural ES).

547

In addition to habitat restoration, the integrated wetland management in agriculture offers new 548 549 opportunities for business to improve sustainable economies at local scale. The new business matrix (in Figure 6) shows the new business strategy that is mainly oriented to innovating the rice cultivation 550 551 process by sharing knowledge about agro-ecological practices. The project proposal promotes the collaboration with private and public research institutes to foster ecological-based innovation. The 552 553 new business strategy aims to strengthen the ability of farm management to rediscover and renovate 554 traditional agricultural techniques. These are developed as sustainable practices without neglicting rice yield productivity. The communication of farm values is also an essential factor in building 555 partnerships with other stakeholders at the local scale. The introduction of tench fish and ducks 556 requires a collaboration with other food processing enterprises. Moreover, the communication of the 557 entrepreneurial mission can be an important tool to foster commitment towards the sustainable 558 development of agriculture and the ecological restoration of rice agroecosystem. 559

560

While sustainable agriculture should produce positive effects on the environment (Wezel et al., 2016), it must ensure adequate annual yield and enough profitability to sustain the farm. The economic sustainability of the business plan was addressed using well-known tools of financial analysis to provide monetary outcomes that would be clear to funders and to farm manager.

The P&L statement results positive EBITDA that highlights increase of earnings (+ 22.365 €) from 565 566 Year 0 (84.738 €) to Year 4 (107.103 €, about 20 % more than Year 0) without the influence of financing. In addition to the increase in earnings, the increase in "cash and cash equivalent" at the 567 568 end of each year of the accounting period demonstrates the capability of the farm to maintain itself 569 and to undertake further investments. The increase in earnings is the outcome of the introduction of 570 new food products and the reduction of operational costs, as showed in Table 6. Table 6 highlights a 571 saving of about 516 €ha and 214 €ha compared respectively to the conventional rice farming 572 technique (less about 30 % costs) and to the GM method.

	Conventional farming	GM method	Co-culture farming
Seeds	57.8 €ha	57.8 €ha	57.8 €ha
Fertilizers	122.5 €ha	255.1 €ha	-
Herbicides	200 €ha	-	-
Fuel	270 €ha	161.25 €ha	105 €ha
Machinery rental	56.3 €ha	56.3 €ha	56.3 €ha
Energy	35 €ha	35 €ha	35 €ha
Water	161 € ha	80.5 €ha	80.5 €ha
Maintenance	147.7 €ha	147.7 €ha	200 €ha
Land rental	461 €ha	461 €ha	461 €ha
Insurance	153 €ha	153 €ha	153 €ha
Others	60.9 €ha	60.9 €ha	60.9 €ha
Total	1,725.2 €ha	1,423.5 €ha	1,209.5 €ha

574

575 *Table 6.* Comparison of operating costs between the three farming methods extracted and manipulated from the balance
576 sheet.

577

578 Promising financial outcomes reveal that the project proposal is economically feasible, and it may 579 inspire other enterprises to explore ecologically-oriented approaches for their business strategy. The overall cost-benefit analysis used in this study provides a focused overview of the ability of initial 580 investment to generate profits and reduce costs. Periodical monitoring of the business plan and regular 581 updating of the expected financial outcomes periodically (e.g. every year) are good practices in order 582 to assess the progress of the project and to reduce risk factors. Monitoring provides an up to date 583 584 overview of the status of the business plan that can be compared with expectations in order to adjust future investments. It is also good practice to assess environmental performances of the effects of the 585 adopted wetlaculture on local biodiversity, soil and water quality, and of rice productivity (Boutin et 586 al., 2021; Jiang and Mitsch, 2020). This investigation proposes the implementation of co-culture 587 588 farming in the province of Vercelli through the involvement of local agrifood companies. The creation of a network of virtuous farms can improve local biodiversity and increase biological rice yield as 589 590 well as offer a competitive alternative to rice monoculture. Local biodiversity is fostered by the introduction of Anas platyrhynchos and Tinca tinca in rice paddies, rediscovering the Piedmontese 591 592 culinary tradition. The adoption of rice-fish-duck farming requires the development of the network of local companies able to process and sell new food products. Future steps for the implementation 593 594 of the business should include a market analysis to identify potential partners with the aim of building a network of ecologically-orientes enterprises at the local and regional scale. 595

- 596
- 597
- 598 **5.** Conclusions

This study explores the potentialities afforded by integrated constructed wetlands in supporting the 599 600 transition towards sustainable rice farming and the restoration of agricultural landscape. Economic profit is a key factor in this investigation. The study demonstrates the economic feasibility of the new 601 ecologically-oriented business plan through the financial analysis. The aim of this research is to raise 602 awareness among farmers about opportunities provided by an ecologically-oriented approach for 603 business strategy going beyond mere profit. Small farms may have fewer financial resources to invest 604 605 in high-risk innovative projects to improve the environmental sustainability. The outcomes obtained 606 through the financial analysis in this study can be a valid support for decision making and for 607 implementing eco-friendly practices in small enterprises. This study also highlights the importance of fostering collaboration and dialogue between academic and local enterprises to develop innovative 608 609 business strategies adapted to local territories. The collaboration between academia and local enterprises described in this paper developed a strategy based on findings in literature that were 610 611 discussed with the farmer and adapted to the Vercelli context taking inspiration from traditional knowledge. The new business plan was also designed with the purpose of rediscovering and 612 613 revitalising local know-how that has been forgotten as result of the spread of monoculture. The new business plan promotes biocultural diversity (Bridgewater and Rotherham, 2019) through the transfer 614 of cross-generational and cross cultural knowledge that enhance the role of wetlands in sustainable 615 agriculture. This purpose is also in line with the mission of Polyculturae Association, that works to 616 overcome the dichotomy between technocratic culture and nature. The association works to foster the 617 sustainable development for agrifood system and eco-cultural landscapes, exploiting cultural ES 618 related to integrated wetland ecosystems to build bridges between citizens and local enterprises. This 619 ecological-based business strategy is an opportunity to establish a place-based nexus between cultural 620 diversity (regarding the human sphere) and ecological diversity (regarding nature). This strategy 621 promotes the adoption of the cultural variety of agricultural practices that may enrich local 622 biodiversity and contribute to the conservation of natural resources. 623

- 624
- 625
- 626

627 References:

- Ajwang' Ondiek, R., Kitaka, N., Omondi Oduor, S., 2016. Assessment of provisioning and cultural
 ecosystem services in natural wetlands and rice fields in Kano floodplain, Kenya. Ecosyst. Serv. 21,
 166–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2016.08.008
- Arcieri, M., Ghinassi, G., 2020. Rice cultivation in Italy under the threat of climatic change: Trends,
 technologies and research gaps. Irrig. Drain. 69, 517–530. https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.2472
- Arpa Piemonte, 2014. Coltivazione del riso [WWW Document]. Arpa Piemonte. URL
 http://www.arpa.piemonte.it/reporting/indicatore-della-settimana/archivio-indicatori/archivio-

- 635 2014/coltivazione-del-riso-1
- Balzan, M. V., Sadula, R., Scalvenzi, L., 2020. Assessing ecosystem services supplied by agroecosystems in
 mediterranean Europe: A literature review. Land 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/LAND9080245
- Banino, M., Matrone, F., 2016. Il canale Cavour e le risaie: iconografia del paesaggio risicolo piemontese in trasformazione, in: CIRICE 2016. Delli Aspetti de Paesi. Vecchi E Nuovi Media per l'Immagine Del Paesaggio. Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Napoli, Italy, p. 157.
- Bashir, M.A., Liu, J., Geng, Y., Wang, H., Pan, J., Zhang, D., Rehim, A., Aon, M., Liu, H., 2020. Co-culture
 of rice and aquatic animals: An integrated system to achieve production and environmental
 sustainability. J. Clean. Prod. 249, 119310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119310
- Battistoni, C., Dominici, L., Barbero, S., Comino, L., 2020. Systemic Design Methodology applied to
 hazelnut processing. Int. J. Des. Sci. Technol. 24, 32.
- Battistoni, C., Nohra, C.G., Barbero, S., 2019. A systemic design method to approach future complex
 scenarios and research towards sustainability: A holistic diagnosis tool. Sustain. 11.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/su11164458
- Beale, B., Shannon, D., Johnson, D., 2012. Farm Business Planning. University of Manryland Instructional
 Workbook.
- Benzaghta, M.A., Elwalda, A., Mousa, M., Erkan, I., Rahman, M., 2021. SWOT analysis applications: An
 integrative literature review. J. Glob. Bus. Insights 6, 55–73. https://doi.org/10.5038/26406489.6.1.1148
- Bhattacharyya, P., Sinhababu, D.P., Roy, K.S., Dash, P.K., Sahu, P.K., Dandapat, R., Neogi, S., Mohanty, S.,
 2013. Effect of fish species on methane and nitrous oxide emission in relation to soil C, N pools and
 enzymatic activities in rainfed shallow lowland rice-fish farming system. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 176,
 53–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.05.015
- Boutin, K.D., Mitsch, W.J., Everham, E., Bakshi, B.R., Zhang, L., 2021. An evaluation of corn production
 within a WetlacultureTM system at Buckeye Lake, Ohio. Ecol. Eng. 171, 106366.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2021.106366
- Bridgewater, P., Rotherham, I.D., 2019. A critical perspective on the concept of biocultural diversity and its
 emerging role in nature and heritage conservation. People Nat. 1, 291–304.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10040
- Buresh, R.J, Reddy, K.R. & Van Kessel, C., 2008. Nitrogen transformations in submerged Soils. Soil Sci.
 Agronomic, 401–436.
- Calhoun, A.J.K., Mushet, D.M., Bell, K.P., Boix, D., Fitzsimons, J.A., Isselin-Nondedeu, F., 2017.
 Temporary wetlands: challenges and solutions to conserving a "disappearing" ecosystem. Biol. Conserv. 211, 3–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.11.024
- 669 Chinese Academy of Sciences, 2010. Proposal for Globally Important Agricultural Heritage Systems
 670 (GIAHS) Programme: Traditional Dong's Rice-Fish-Duck Agroecosystem in Southeast Guizou, China.
- 671 Chivenge, P., Angeles, O., Hadi, B., Acuin, C., Connor, M., Stuart, A., Puskur, R., Johnson-Beebout, S.,
 672 2019. Ecosystem services in paddy rice systems, The Role of Ecosystem Services in Sustainable Food
 673 Systems. Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816436-5.00010-X
- 674 Commissione Europea, 2020. REGOLAMENTO DI ESECUZIONE (UE) 2020/464 DELLA
- 675 COMMISSIONE del 26 marzo 2020 che fissa talune modalità di applicazione del regolamento (UE)
 676 2018/848 del Parlamento europeo e del Consiglio riguardo ai documenti necessari per il riconoscimento
- 677 retroattivo de.
- 678 Consiglio regionale del Piemonte, 2020. Regolamento regionale 29 ottobre 2007, n. 10/R.
- 679 Cunningham, B.M., Nikolai, L.A., Bazley, J.D., Kavanagh, M., Slaughter, G., Simmons, S.S., 2015.

- Accounting: information for business decision. 2nd Edition. Cengage Learning Australia PTY Limited,
 Australia.
- 682 Davis, F.R., 2007. Strategic management concepts and cases. Prentice Hall.
- Dees, A., Roncarati, A., Melotti, P., Mordenti, O., 2003. PROVE DI ALLEVAMENTO DELLA VARIETA'
 DORATA DI TINCA (TINCA TINCA, L.) FINALIZZATE ALLA COSTITUZIONE DI UNO
 STOCK DI RIPRODUTTORI ED ALLA PRODUZIONE DI GIOVANILI IN STAGNO, in: Convegno
 Nazionale "Parliamo Di ... Allevamenti Alternativi E Valorizzazione Del Territorio." Cuneo, pp. 175–
 178.
- Dem, E.S., Rodríguez-Labajos, B., Wiemers, M., Ott, J., Hirneisen, N., Bustamante, J.V., Bustamante, M.,
 Settele, J., 2018. Understanding the relationship between volunteers' motivations and learning
 outcomes of Citizen Science in rice ecosystems in the Northern Philippines. Paddy Water Environ. 16,
 725–735. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10333-018-0664-9
- Diamantopoulou, P., Voudouris, K., 2008. Optimization of water resources management using SWOT
 analysis: the case of Zakynthos Island, Ionian Sea, Greece. Environ. Geol. 54, 197–211.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-007-0808-5
- Didarali, Z., Gambiza, J., 2019. Permaculture: Challenges and Benefits in Improving Rural Livelihoods in
 South Africa and Zimbabwe. Sustain. . https://doi.org/10.3390/su11082219
- bominati, E.J., Maseyk, F.J.F., Mackay, A.D., Rendel, J.M., 2019. Farming in a changing environment:
 Increasing biodiversity on farm for the supply of multiple ecosystem services. Sci. Total Environ. 662,
 703–713. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.268
- Ferrero, A., Milan, M., Fogliatto, S., De Palo, F., Vidotto, F., 2016. Ruolo della gestione dell'acqua in risaia
 nella mitigazione del rischio di contaminazione delle acque superficiali da prodotti fitosanitari., in:
 ATTI Giornate Fitopatologiche. Chianciano Terme, Siena, Italy, pp. 37–46.
- Ferrucci, D., Marcone, A., 2017. La certificazione del metodo biologico: avicoli [WWW Document].
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2019. Crops and livestock products [WWW
 Document]. FAOSTAT. URL https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL
- 706 Friedlob, G.T., Schleifer, L.L.F., 2003. Essentials of Financial Analysis. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Fukuda, K., 2018. The Advantage of Natural Farming as an Eco-Friendly Way of Living: Practice and
 Discourse on the "Learners' Fields" in Fukuoka, Japan. Cult. Agric. Food Environ. 40, 15–23.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/cuag.12101
- 710 Fukuoka, M., 1985. The Natural Way of Farming. Bookventure.
- 711 Furuno, T., 2001. The Power of Duck. Tagari Publications.
- Gaiardo, A., 2016. Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Sustainable Design. A Methodology Proposal for
 Sustainable Innovation Initiatives. Polythecnic University of Turin.
- Giuliano, D., Rossi, P., Luoni, F., Celada, C., Bogliani, G., 2017. Biodiversity Action Plan per le aree
 risicole dell'Italia Nord-occidentale. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.16342.55361
- 716 Grignani, C., Sacco, D., 2008. Suolo e pianta in risaia. Colt. Cult. riso 290–297.
- GÜREL, E., 2017. SWOT ANALYSIS: A THEORETICAL REVIEW. J. Int. Soc. Res. 10, 994–1006.
 https://doi.org/10.17719/jisr.2017.1832
- Hale, R., Swearer, S.E., 2016. Ecological traps: current evidence and future directions. Proceedings. Biol.
 Sci. 283, 20152647. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.2647
- Halwart, M., 2008. Biodiversity, nutrition and livelihoods in aquatic rice-based ecosystems. Biodiversity 9, 36–40. https://doi.org/10.1080/14888386.2008.9712879

- Halwart, M., Gupta, M. V., 2004. Culture of fish in rice fields. FAO and WorldFish Center.
- Hossain, S.T., Sugimoto, H., Ahmed, G.J.U., Islam, M.R., 2005. Effect of integrated rice-duck farming on
 rice yield, farm productivity, and rice-provisioning ability of farmers. Asian J. Agric. Dev. 2, 79–86.
- 726 Istat, 2020. Coltivazioni [WWW Document]. URL
 727 http://dati.istat.it/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=DCSP_COLTIVAZIONI
- 728 Italian Parliament, 2020. D.Lgs 4 August 2017, n.131, art.6: Register of rice varieties.
- Jabran, K., 2019. Role of Mulching in Pest Management and Agricultural Sustainability. SpringerBriefs in
 Plant Science. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-22301-4
- Jiang, B.B., Mitsch, W.J., 2020. Influence of hydrologic conditions on nutrient retention, and soil and plant
 development in a former central Ohio swamp: A wetlaculture mesocosm experiment. Ecol. Eng. 157,
 105969. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2020.105969
- 734 Korn, L., 2003. Masanobu Fukuoka â€[™] s Natural Farming and Permaculture [WWW Document].
- Kraehmer, H., Thomas, C., Vidotto, F., 2017. Rice Production in Europe, in: B., C., K., J., G., M. (Eds.),
 Rice Production Worldwide. Springer, Cham, pp. 1–563. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47516-5
- Lawler, S.P., 2001. Rice fields as temporary wetlands: A review. Isr. J. Zool. 47, 513–528.
 https://doi.org/10.1560/X7K3-9JG8-MH2J-XGX1
- Luo, Y., Fu, H., Traore, S., 2014. Biodiversity conservation in rice paddies in China: Toward ecological sustainability. Sustain. 6, 6107–6124. https://doi.org/10.3390/su6096107
- McInnes, R.J., Everard, M., 2017. Rapid Assessment of Wetland Ecosystem Services (RAWES): An
 example from Colombo, Sri Lanka. Ecosyst. Serv. 25, 89–105.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2017.03.024
- 744 McLaney, E., Atrill, P., 2012. Accounting: An Introduction (6th ed.). Pearson, Harlow, Eng.; New York.
- 745 Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005. Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Synthesis. Washington.
- Min, Q., He, L., 2014. Agro-cultural Landscape in China: Types and Significances, in: Sun-Kee Hong,
 Quingwen Min, J.B. (Ed.), Biocultural Landscapes. Diversity, Functions and Values. Springer, Beijing,
 China, pp. 9–24.
- Mollison, B., 1988. Permaculture: A Designer's Manual. Tagari Publications, Tyalgum, New SouthWales,
 Australia.
- Nayak, A.K., Shahid, M., Nayak, A.D., Dhal, B., Moharana, K.C., Mondal, B., Tripathi, R., Mohapatra,
 S.D., Bhattacharyya, P., Jambhulkar, N.N., Shukla, A.K., Fitton, N., Smith, P., Pathak, H., 2019.
 Assessment of ecosystem services of rice farms in eastern India. Ecol. Process. 8, 1–16.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-019-0189-1
- Nayak, P.K., Nayak, A.K., Panda, B.B., Lal, B., Gautam, P., Poonam, A., Shahid, M., Tripathi, R., Kumar,
 U., Mohapatra, S.D., Jambhulkar, N.N., 2018. Ecological mechanism and diversity in rice based
 integrated farming system. Ecol. Indic. 91, 359–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.04.025
- Newton, A.C., Evans, P.M., Watson, S.C.L., Ridding, L.E., Brand, S., McCracken, M., Gosal, A.S., Bullock,
 J.M., 2021. Ecological restoration of agricultural land can improve its contribution to economic
 development. PLoS One 16, e0247850.
- Norton, L.R., Heong, K.L., 2010. Rice Pest Management: Issues, projections, and Implications, in: Rice in
 the 21st Century Global Economy: Strategic Research and Policy Issues for Food Security. pp. 297–
 332.
- Ommani, A.R., 2011. Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats (SWOT) analysis for farming system
 businesses management: Case of wheat farmers of Shadervan District, Shoushtar Township, Iran.
 African J. Bus. Manag. 5, 9448–9454.

- Pagliarino, E., Pavone, S., 2012. Rapporto di approfondimento sulle produzioni agroalimentari di qualità
 piemontesi.
- Park, S., Croteau, P., Boering, K.A., Etheridge, D.M., Ferretti, D., Fraser, P.J., Kim, K.-R., Krummel, P.B.,
 Langenfelds, R.L., van Ommen, T.D., Steele, L.P., Trudinger, C.M., 2012. Trends and seasonal cycles
 in the isotopic composition of nitrous oxide since 1940. Nat. Geosci. 5, 261–265.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1421
- Prasad, R., Shivay, Y.S., Kumar, D., 2017. Current Status, Challenges, and Opportunities in Rice Production,
 in: Chauhan, B.S., Jabran, K., Mahajan, G. (Eds.), Rice Production Worldwide. Springer, Cham, pp. 1–
 563. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47516-5
- Preez, C.C. Du, Huyssteen, C.W. van, Kotze, E., Tol, J.J. van, 2019. Ecosystem services in sustainable food systems: operational definition, concepts, and applications, in: The Role of Ecosystem Services in Sustainable Food Systems. Elservier Inc. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/C2018-0-00522-8
- Regione Piemonte, 2021. Elenco prezzi agricoltura 2021 Allegato B [WWW Document]. URL
 https://www.regione.piemonte.it/web/temi/agricoltura/elenco-prezzi-agricoltura-2021
- Regione Piemonte, 2020. Programma di sviluppo rurale 2014-2020 [WWW Document]. URL
 https://www.regione.piemonte.it/web/temi/agricoltura/programma-sviluppo-rurale-2014-2020
- Robertson, B.A., Hutto, R.L., 2006. A FRAMEWORK FOR UNDERSTANDING ECOLOGICAL TRAPS
 AND AN EVALUATION OF EXISTING EVIDENCE. Ecology 87, 1075–1085.
 https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[1075:AFFUET]2.0.CO;2
- Russo, S., 1987. Moderne tecniche di coltivazione del riso in rapporto all'allevamento del pesce. Piscic.
 risaia nuove Tec. per l'incremento della Prod. 43–52.
- Schlaepfer, M.A., Runge, M.C., Sherman, P.W., 2002. Ecological and evolutionary traps. Trends Ecol. Evol.
 17, 474–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02580-6
- Sevaldson, B., 2018. Visualizing Complex Design: The Evolution of Gigamaps, in: Systemic Design.
 Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, pp. 243–269.
- Sheng, F., Cao, C. gui, Li, C. fang, 2018. Integrated rice-duck farming decreases global warming potential and increases net ecosystem economic budget in central China. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 22744– 22753. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2380-9
- Sistema Piemonte, 2020. Anagrafe agricola unica [WWW Document]. URL
 https://servizi.regione.piemonte.it/catalogo/anagrafe-agricola-data-warehouse
- Statista, 2020. Rice consumption worldwide in 2019/2020 [WWW Document]. Statista. URL
 https://www.statista.com/statistics/255977/total-global-rice-consumption/
- Strada Del Riso Vercellese, n.d. La risaia vercellese: oasi naturale d'Europa [WWW Document]. Str. Del
 Riso Vercellese. URL https://www.stradadelrisovercellese.it/sai-di-riso/la-risaia-vercellese-oasi naturale-deuropa.html
- Süss, L., Lupi, D., Savoldelli, S., n.d. Parassiti animali, in: Coltura & Cultura. Il Riso. ART Servizi Editoriali
 S.r.l.
- Teng, Q., Hu, X.F., Cheng, C., Luo, Z., Luo, F., Xue, Y., Jiang, Y., Mu, Z., Liu, L., Yang, M., 2016.
 Ecological effects of rice-duck integrated farming on soil fertility and weed and pest control. J. Soils
 Sediments 16, 2395–2407. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-016-1455-9
- Toffoli, R., Rughetti, M., 2017. Bat activity in rice paddies: Organic and conventional farms compared to
 unmanaged habitat. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 249, 123–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.08.022
- 809 Toriyama, K., Heong, K.L., Hardy, B., 2004. Rice is Life Scientific Perspectives for the 21st Century.
- 810 Travisi, C., Nunes, P.A.L.D., 2010. La biodiversità e le risaie. Agriregionieuropa 21.

- Verhoeven, J.T.A., Setter, T.L., 2010. Agricultural use of wetlands: Opportunities and limitations. Ann. Bot.
 105, 155–163. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcp172
- Vlados, C., 2019. On a correlative and evolutionary SWOT analysis. J. Strateg. Manag. 12, 347–363.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/JSMA-02-2019-0026
- Wan, N.F., Li, S.X., Li, T., Cavalieri, A., Weiner, J., Zheng, X.Q., Ji, X.Y., Zhang, J.Q., Zhang, H.L., Zhang,
 H., Bai, N.L., Chen, Y.J., Zhang, H.Y., Tao, X. Bin, Zhang, H.L., Lv, W.G., Jiang, J.X., Li, B., 2019.
 Ecological intensification of rice production through rice-fish co-culture. J. Clean. Prod. 234, 1002–
 1012. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iclepro.2019.06.238
- Wardhono, A., Wibowo, R., 2020. Institutional Arrangement of Agriculture Development in Indonesia:
 Lesson Learn from Korea through 6th Order of Industrial Agriculture System, in: E3S Web of
 Conferences. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014205004
- Wezel, A., Brives, H., Casagrande, M., Clément, C., Dufour, A., Vandenbroucke, P., 2016. Agroecology
 territories: places for sustainable agricultural and food systems and biodiversity conservation. Agroecol.
 Sustain. Food Syst. 40, 132–144. https://doi.org/10.1080/21683565.2015.1115799
- XIANG, P. an, HUANG, H., HUANG, M., GAN, D. xin, ZHOU, Y., FU, Z. qiang, 2006. Studies on
 Technique of Reducing Methane Emission in a Rice-Duck Ecological System and the Evaluation of Its
 Economic Significance. Agric. Sci. China 5, 758–766. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1671-2927(06)60121-1
- Xu, G., Liu, X., Wang, Q., Yu, X., Hang, Y., 2017. Integrated rice-duck farming mitigates the global
 warming potential in rice season. Sci. Total Environ. 575, 58–66.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.233
- Xu, X., Chen, M., Yang, G., Jiang, B., Zhang, J., 2020. Wetland ecosystem services research: A critical
 review. Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 22, e01027. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e01027
- YUAN, W. ling, CAO, C. gui, LI, C. fang, ZHAN, M., CAI, M. li, WANG, J. ping, 2009. Methane and
 Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Rice-Duck and Rice-Fish Complex Ecosystems and the Evaluation of
 Their Economic Significance. Agric. Sci. China 8, 1246–1255. https://doi.org/10.1016/S16712927(08)60335-1
- 837 Zhang, L., Zhao, Z., Zhang, J., Ding, L., 2020. Research on the Strategic Choice of Brand Development of
- Agricultural Products in Jilin Province Driven by Financial Service Innovation, in: International
- 839 Conference on Management Science and Industrial Economy. pp. 328–331.
- 840 https://doi.org/10.2991/msie-19.2020.65
- 841