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Impact of current collecting grids on the scalability of 3-terminal perovskite/silicon tandems with bipolar transistor architecture
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Abstract—The heterojunction bipolar transistor (HBT) structure is an attractive solution for developing three-terminal perovskite/silicon tandem solar cells compatible with dominant silicon photovoltaic devices, such as PERC and heterojunction. However, in contrast to three-terminal tandems based on interdigitated back contact silicon cells, the three-terminal HBT requires the implementation of the third contact at the base (middle) layer. To this aim, the simplest solution is to access the base layer from the cell front side by implementing a grid layout with top interdigitated contacts (TIC). In this work, we elaborate on the feasibility of the HBT structure for 3T perovskite/silicon tandem solar cells. We report, based on optical and drift-diffusion simulations, proof-of-concept designs with high efficiency potential, and we analyze, with the aid of circuit level simulations, the implications of a TIC grid layout in the perspective of scaling up to large areas. Our results show that the HBT architecture is a promising candidate for developing 3T perovskite/silicon tandem solar cells compatible with industry standard silicon photovoltaics.

Index Terms—perovskite-silicon tandem solar cell, three-terminal, power loss, physics-based simulation, circuit-level simulation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Perovskite/silicon (PVS) tandem solar cells are among the most promising candidates for next generation photovoltaics [1]. They recently achieved the efficiency record of 32.5% [2], approaching that of costly III-V double-junction solar cells [2]. Besides two- and four-terminal approaches, three-terminal (3T) tandems based on interdigitated back contact (IBC) silicon cells are currently being investigated [3], in view of the advantages of the 3T approach in terms of energy yield in the field [4].

The 3T-HBT solar cell [5], [6] offers an attractive alternative for the development of 3T PVS tandem solar cells suitable for integration with industry standard photovoltaic technologies such as PERC and heterojunction (HTJ) silicon cells. The 3T-HBT solar cell has a theoretical (material-based) efficiency limit as high as that of a perfectly matched 2-terminal tandem, but with a simpler structure because the top and bottom subcells are seamlessly connected, without the need of any additional interconnecting layer. However, in the perspective of large-area devices, the drawback might come from the realization of the third terminal at the base layer. In fact, implementing the 3T-HBT in the PERC or HTJ silicon platform requires, as simplest possible solution, adopting a top-interdigitated-contact (TIC) [7], whose implications in terms of shading and resistive losses must be carefully evaluated.

In this work, we report a simulation study of 3T-HBT PVS tandem solar cells aimed at investigating their efficiency potential and perspectives for scaling up to large areas. We present proof-of-concept 3T-HBT PVS devices designed by means of optical and transport simulations and analyze the power losses induced by the current collecting grid and how they scale with the cell area based on circuit-level simulations.

II. DEVICE & METHODS

Fig. 1(a) sketches a 3T-HBT PVS tandem made of a n-i-p PVK subcell on top of a planar homojunction n+/p Si bottom cell with design parameters in line with [1]. From the top, the TCO (34 nm)/ PTAA (11 nm) / PVK(480 nm) layer stack constitutes the p-emitter layer; the SnO2 (25 nm) electron transport layer (ETL) and n-Si (150 nm) one form the base layer on top of the 150μm thick p-type c-Si collector. The cell uses a 92 nm thick MgF antireflection coating. As shown in Fig. 1(b,c), emitter and base are accessed from the top with a TIC layout, with the base contact (Z) placed on the n-Si layer and the emitter contact (T) on the TCO layer. A full-area contact (R) is used at the collector.
Under illumination, photogenerated electrons and holes induce current flows collected at the T, Z and R terminals. As depicted in Fig. 1 carrier transport through materials with finite conductivity and contacts cause power loss mechanisms that can be modeled as resistive effects. The resulting equivalent circuit model of the 3T-HBT is shown in Fig. 2. It consists of the Ebers-Moll circuit of the intrinsic device under illumination [5], [8] completed by parasitic series resistances at each terminal. In particular, as summarized in Table I the equivalent base and emitter series resistance account for the contribution of lateral current flow \( R_{S, \text{lateral}} \) and of contact \( R_c \) and finger \( R_{\text{finger}} \) resistance of the current collecting grids. These are calculated based on the equivalent lumped circuit model of the unit cell of the metal grid (Fig. 1) the efficiency loss can be minimized to less than 3% (representative of PVS tandems on homojunction c-Si cells as in Fig. 4, for the Si one, therefore its sheet resistance is strongly dependent of the bottom layer of the PVK subcell and the top layer of the Si one, therefore its sheet resistance is strongly dependent on the HBT configuration (p-n-p or n-p-n) and bottom cell technology. As seen in Fig. 4 for \( R_{\text{sheet}}^{\text{base}} \) up to 100 Ω/□ (representative of PVS tandems on homojunction c-Si cells as in Fig. 4, the efficiency loss can be minimized to less than 3%

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistive loss</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Expression [Ω cm²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateral conduction</td>
<td>( R_{S, \text{lateral}} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{12} R_{\text{ad}} d_f^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>( R_c )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} d_t \sqrt{\frac{R_c}{\rho_c}} \coth \left( \frac{w_f}{2} \sqrt{\frac{R_c}{\rho_c}} \right) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fingers</td>
<td>( R_t )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{3} \frac{w_f}{t_f} l_f^2 d_t )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emitter</td>
<td>( R_E )</td>
<td>( R_{E, \text{lateral}}^E + R_E^E + R_t^E )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base</td>
<td>( R_B )</td>
<td>( R_{B, \text{lateral}}^B + R_B^B + R_t^B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collector</td>
<td>( R_C )</td>
<td>( \rho_{p, \text{Si}} \text{collector} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finger width (µm)</td>
<td>( w_f )</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger height (µm)</td>
<td>( t_f )</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact resistivity (Ag/ITO) (mΩ cm²)</td>
<td>( \rho_c )</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact resistivity (Ag/Si) (mΩ cm²)</td>
<td>( \rho_c )</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gridline resistivity (Ω cm)</td>
<td>( \rho_{\text{grid}} )</td>
<td>2.65 x 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITO resistivity (Ω cm)</td>
<td>( \rho_{\text{ITO}} )</td>
<td>9.31 x 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-Si(n⁺) (resistivity (Ω cm)</td>
<td>( \rho_{\text{c-Si}} )</td>
<td>1.11 x 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂ resistivity (Ω cm)</td>
<td>( \rho_{\text{SnO}_2} )</td>
<td>5.2 x 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with finger distance of 1.5 mm or larger. On the other hand, for PVS 3T-HBT tandems on HTJ silicon cells, the high sheet resistance of the a-Si:H layers ($\approx 10^3 \Omega/\square$) must be mitigated by exploiting a highly conductive transport layer for the PVK top cell, such as the SnO$_2$ layer used in the $p-i-p$ HBT under study (sheet resistance $\approx 10^2 \Omega/\square$).

Fig. 5 shows the emitter and base resistance and the corresponding fractional power loss estimated for the 3T-HBT PVS in Fig. 4 with $R_{\text{sh}}^{\text{Base}} = 74 \Omega/\square$, finger length 1.5 cm, finger distance 1.5 mm. Here, the dominant resistive path is associated to the lateral transport across base and emitter. The total base resistance is about 1/3 of the emitter one, but the fractional power loss ($\propto R_J^2$) associated to base and emitter grids is comparable since the current through the base, which corresponds to the sum of the top and bottom subcell currents, is about 70% higher than that of the emitter. Therefore, as the cell area and finger length increase, the resistive effects of the base grid become the dominant cause of efficiency loss.

In Fig. 6 we analyze the variation of efficiency loss with scaling up of the finger length for two values of the base sheet resistance, representative of 3T-HBT tandems on homojunction and heterojunction silicon cells. An optimized interdigitated front grid can keep power losses below 5% for finger lengths up to about 6-8 cm depending on the base sheet resistance. Such power loss could be reduced by material and device optimization, and by developing more advanced grid designs, for example employing overlapped contact grids to reduce shading losses. Overall, these results show the potential, even in the perspective of scaling up to large areas, of using the HBT architecture to develop high efficiency three-terminal perovskite/silicon tandems that can be integrated with industry standard silicon bottom cells.
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