POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Reply to: On the role of metal cations in CO2 electrocatalytic reduction

Original

Reply to: On the role of metal cations in CO2 electrocatalytic reduction / Dattila, Federico; Monteiro, Mariana C. O.; Koper, Marc T. M.; López, Núria. - In: NATURE CATALYSIS. - ISSN 2520-1158. - 5:11(2022), pp. 979-981. [10.1038/s41929-022-00877-1]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2981915 since: 2023-09-11T09:10:58Z

Publisher: NATURE PORTFOLIO

Published DOI:10.1038/s41929-022-00877-1

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

nature catalysis

Matters arising

Reply to: On the role of metal cations in CO_2 electroreduction reduction

Received: 13 June 2022

Accepted: 11 October 2022

Check for updates

Federico Dattila¹, Mariana C. O. Monteiro ²³, Marc T. M. Koper ³ & Núria López ⁴

REPLYING TO: D. Le et al. *Nature Catalysis* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-022-00876-2 (2021)^v

Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6

In our recent publication¹, we demonstrate the absence of CO_2 electroreduction on copper, gold and silver electrodes without alkali metal cations in solution through unequivocal experimental evidence. Additionally, we propose a mechanism for CO_2 electroreduction in which the metal cation is key to stabilizing CO_2 adsorption, thus enabling its activation and reduction. This last finding is supported by ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations on Au/solvent/cation/ CO_2 supercells. In their Matters Arising², Le and Rahman point out a constraint included in our computational setup, suggesting that it may affect our main conclusions. We here confirm that, although our computational framework was minimal, it is robust enough to support the proposed mechanism and thus our main conclusions.

In our study¹, we carried out density functional theory (DFT) simulations to compare the thermodynamic, structural and electronic properties of an activated CO₂ molecule on Au(111) with and without metal cations in solution (Fig. 7a, b in ref.¹). At that stage, we primarily aimed at investigating whether metal cations enable the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ on polycrystalline copper, gold and silver, as found in our experiments. We did this by following a divide-and-conquer approach, where different degrees of complexity are included in the simulations step by step. First, we focused on the effect of short-term interactions by alkali metal cations on the activation of CO₂, disregarding concurrent effects by mean electric field and surface charging. We employed a minimal computational setup to tackle our research question, highlighting the need to further improve the model. To allow other researchers to build upon our study¹, we provided full open access to the DFT datasets generated². We very much appreciate the efforts by Le and Rahman in this direction and we hope that future datasets related to the topic will be equally made available, as we typically do for all our DFT datasets through the ioChem-BD repository³.

Our initial setup included no electric field, low cation coverage, a neutral solvation layer, an alkali cation, a compensating hydroxide and the Au(111) supercell. During the AIMD simulations, we fixed the C–Au surface distance (d_{Au-C}) to a value of 2.16 Å to prevent CO₂ from desorbing, as evident from the supplementary videos¹ and open-access DFT

datasets² related to the study. Le and Rahman suggest that this last assumption was instrumental to our conclusions since they could not reproduce cation-CO₂ coordination nor CO₂ activation when allowing the carbon atom to move. In fact, AIMD simulations on Au(111) with explicit solvation and a K⁺ cation confirmed desorption of CO₂ within less than 100 fs if the C-Au distance was not fixed. Besides, they performed an ionic optimization of the initial bidentate $\eta_{\rm CO}^2 \rm CO_2$ configuration (without explicit solvation and cation), which equally desorbs. In this regard, the key message of our study¹ is indeed that CO₂ activation does not occur without the presence of a metal cation on gold, thus the instability of CO₂ on Au without cation is consistent with our findings. We note that ΔG for CO₂ chemisorption is around 1 eV in the absence of a metal cation¹, and the optimized structure is typically achieved by converging CO₂H and removing the H with the C fixed⁴. Allowing the O-C-O angle to bend to 120° without electron transfer or any external support by cations requires more than 3 eV (ref.⁵). Thus, it is not surprising that the ionic optimization or AIMD simulation of such an endergonic structure is difficult and requires electric field, excess electrons and cations to prevent desorption of a fully relaxed CO₂. In our original work¹, we fixed the C-Au distance to compute the thermodynamics of CO₂ activation in the absence and presence of cations and compare both cases. Such a comparison would have been impossible without fixing such a distance, as, in line with our main conclusions, CO₂ activation does not occur unless a cation is present. Finally, by highlighting the limitations of our approach, the authors indicate that more accurate modelling protocols must include electrode potential (grand-canonical DFT) with excess electrons within the simulation cell⁶. In a successive study published at the end of last year⁵, we included explicit electric field effects and higher cation concentrations. In this short reply, we demonstrate that the minimal setup employed in our original study¹ was sufficiently accurate to support our previous conclusion: without metal cation, CO2 activation does not occur. We have run additional simulations that support this conclusion⁷, which are freely and openly accessible in the ioChem-BD database³.

¹Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy. ²Fritz Haber Institute of the Max Planck Society, Berlin, Germany. ³Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands. ⁴Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia, The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Tarragona, Spain. e-mail: m.koper@lic.leidenuniv.nl; nlopez@iciq.es



a–**g**, Inic optimization of adsorbed CO₂ on Au(111) (3 × 3) with (**a**,**b**,**d**,**f**) and without (**c**,**e**,**g**) metal cation K⁺. α_{CO_2} corresponds to the activation angle; q_{CO_2}

and $q_{\text{Au-surf}}$ stand for the Bader charges of CO₂ and the first Au surface layer and all of them are presented as a function of the external electric field, \vec{E} .

In Fig. 1 we report the structural and electronic properties of a CO₂ molecule adsorbed on Au(111) (3×3) at different external electric fields in the presence of a partially solvated K⁺ with three H₂O molecules in its solvation shell (Supplementary Methods). As shown in Fig. 1a, CO₂ does adsorb on Au(111) in a bent configuration with or without applied electric field, provided that the alkali metal donates its extra electron to the surface. Instead, if we impose charge neutrality (as in our original AIMD simulations), CO₂ desorbs towards the non-activated, linear configuration (Fig. 1b). Thus, increasing charge separation through excess electrons within the simulation cell (and thus the cation-induced local electric field) is crucial for the accurate assessment of cation effects in CO₂ activation. By contrast, excess electrons or an external electric field alone does not enable CO_2 activation if K⁺ is absent (Fig. 1c). Remarkably, CO₂ activation angles (α), C–Au distances and CO₂ Bader charges for optimized *CO₂ (* indicates adsorbtion) configurations in the presence of K⁺ are in line with the ones achieved in our previous AIMD study (Fig. 1d, f). All these parameters confirm that, without a metal cation, even an initially activated CO₂ molecule deactivates towards the linear configuration (Fig. 1c,e). As shown in Fig. 1g, although the extra electron increases the excess electronic density at the surface $(q_{\text{Au-surf}})$, the electron transfer to CO₂ does not occur without metal cation $(q_{\text{CO}_2} \circ 0 |e|^-)$. Thus, CO₂ activation on Au(111) does not occur without a metal cation, even if an excess electron is added to the system.

Taking all the previous considerations into account, the complementary findings that we report here highlight that our previous insights on thermodynamic, structural and electronic properties of CO₂ activation with and without a metal cation in solution hold true for more accurate simulations including electrode charging through excess electrons and electric field (as shown independently in ref.⁸). Additionally, we observe that an external homogeneous electric field up to $-0.6 \text{ V} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ (-1.6 V vs SHE, Supplementary Methods) does not enable CO₂ activation alone, since to increase charge separation through excess electrons and high cation-induced electrostatic fields are needed to assist the electron transfer to adsorbed CO₂. Thus, although higher level (grand canonical) modelling approaches are desirable to achieve realistic modelling of cation effects, simplified simulations without applied electric potential may be representative enough under specific and well-motivated assumptions. This is was the case of our original study¹, where we showed that alkali cations

enable adsorption of short-lived CO_2 species on Au(111) prone to fast desorption or protonation. We highlight that modelling choices should be validated by robust experimental evidence, as we exercise in our studies^{1,5}.

We agree with Le and Rahman that a generalized protocol to fully assess cation effects in electrochemical CO_2 reduction should include electrode charging through excess electrons and electric field, but also mass transfer effects, as shown recently⁹. Indeed, the state of the art is evolving fast in this research area (see the very recent work on CO_2 activation and C_2 selectivity⁸). As a last remark, we call the community for a shared effort toward the development of an ultimate protocol to assess cation effects in electrochemical CO_2 reduction and other electrocatalytic reactions. Such a protocol should integrate electrode potential and excess charge, cation accumulation, local pH, local solvent structure, mass transfer effects and their mutual dependences¹⁰. All the data and models related to them should be open to ensure that all researchers can validate and reuse them, as it was in our original study.

Data availability

Computational details are available in the Supplementary Information. The new datasets generated through DFT simulations and analysed during the current study are available in the ioChem-BD database³ at https://doi.org/10.19061/iochem-bd-1-243⁷.

References

- Monteiro, M. C. O. et al. Absence of CO₂ electroreduction on copper, gold and silver electrodes without metal cations in solution. *Nat. Catal.* 4, 654–662 (2021).
- Le, D., & Rahman, T. S. On the role of metal cations in CO2 electroreduction reduction. *Nat. Catal.* https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41929-022-00876-2 (2022).
- Dattila, F. Cation effect CO₂ reduction. *ioChem-BD* https://doi. org/10.19061/iochem-bd-1-194 (2021).
- Álvarez-Moreno, M. et al. Managing the computational chemistry big data problem: The ioChem-BD platform. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 55, 95–103 (2015).
- García-Muelas, R. et al. Origin of the selective electroreduction of carbon dioxide to formate by chalcogen m odified copper. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 9, 7153–7159 (2018).
- Monteiro, M. C. O., Dattila, F., López, N. & Koper, M. T. M. The role of cation acidity on the competition between hydrogen evolution and CO₂ reduction on gold electrodes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 144, 1589–1602 (2022).

- Qin, X., Vegge, T. & Hansen, H. A. CO₂ activation at Au(110)-water interfaces: An ab initio molecular dynamics study. *J. Chem. Phys.* 155, 134703 (2021).
- 8. Dattila, F. Benchmark cation effect CO₂ reduction. *ioChem-BD* https://doi.org/10.19061/iochem-bd-1-243 (2022).
- Shin, S.-J. et al. A unifying mechanism for cation effect modulating C₁ and C₂ productions from CO₂ electroreduction. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 5482 (2022).
- Gu, J. et al. Modulating electric field distribution by alkali cations for CO₂ electroreduction in strongly acidic medium. *Nat. Catal.* 5, 268–276 (2022).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the European Commission (Innovative Training Network ELCOREL, 722614-ELCOREL). F.D. and N.L. further acknowledge the Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC-RES) for providing generous computational resources.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to this study (PLACEHOLDER).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-022-00877-1.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Marc T. M. Koper or Núria López.

Peer review information *Nature Catalysis* thanks Hyungjun Kim for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

 \circledast The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2022

QUERY FORM

Manuscript ID	[Art. Id: 877]	
Author	Federico Dattila	2.6

AUTHOR:

The following queries have arisen during the editing of your manuscript. Please answer by making the requisite corrections directly in the e.proofing tool rather than marking them up on the PDF. This will ensure that your corrections are incorporated accurately and that your paper is published as quickly as possible.

Query No.	Nature of Query	
Q1:	Please check your article carefully, coordinate with any co-authors and enter all final edits clearly in the eproof, remembering to save frequently. Once corrections are submitted, we cannot rou- tinely make further changes to the article.	
Q2:	Note that the eproof should be amended in only one browser window at any one time; otherwise changes will be overwritten.	
Q3:	Author surnames have been highlighted. Please check these carefully and adjust if the first name or surname is marked up incorrectly. Note that changes here will affect indexing of your article in public repositories such as PubMed. Also, carefully check the spelling and numbering of all author names and affiliations, and the corresponding email address(es).	
Q4:	You cannot alter accepted Supplementary Information files except for critical changes to scien- tific content. If you do resupply any files, please also provide a brief (but complete) list of changes. If these are not considered scientific changes, any altered Supplementary files will not be used, only the originally accepted version will be published.	
Q5:	In the e.proof tool, the numbers for those compounds that will be deposited in PubChem do not appear bold, and the link is not visible. You do not need to amend this, they will appear correctly once published online.	
Q6:	Please check Figures for accuracy as they have been relabelled. Please markup minor changes in the eProof. For major changes, please provide revised figures. (Please note that in the eProof the figure resolution will appear at lower resolution than in the pdf and html versions of your paper.)	
Q7:	Please replace the placeholder author contributions text with the appropriate contributions from each author.	