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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study minimal monads associated to a rank two vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$. In particular, we study situations where $\mathcal{E}$ has $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for $1<i<n-1$, except for one pair of values $(k, n-$ k). We show that on $\mathbf{P}^{8}$, if $H_{*}^{3}(\mathcal{E})=H_{*}^{4}(\mathcal{E})=0$, then $\mathcal{E}$ must be decomposable. More generally, we show that for $n \geqslant 4 k$, there is no indecomposable bundle $\mathcal{E}$ for which all intermediate cohomology modules except for $H_{*}^{1}, H_{*}^{k}, H_{*}^{n-k}, H_{*}^{n-1}$ are zero.
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## 1 | INTRODUCTION

It has been difficult to disprove the existence of an indecomposable rank two bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ for large $n$. Most known results have been obtained by imposing other conditions on $\mathcal{E}$ to show that $\mathcal{E}$ cannot exist or must be split. For example, the so-called Babylonian condition which requires $\mathcal{E}$ to be extendable to $\mathbf{P}^{n+m}$ for every $m$ has been studied by a number of people including Barth and van de Ven [2] and Coanda and Trautmann [3]. Numerical criteria that force splitting are found again in Barth and van de Ven, where for a normalized rank two bundle with second Chern class $a$ and with splitting type $\mathcal{O}_{l}(-b) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{l}(b)$ on the general line $l$, a function $f(a, b)$ is found such that if $n>f(a, b)$, then a bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ with these invariants must be split.

Cohomological criteria for forcing the splitting of $\mathcal{E}$ start with Horrocks [7]. If $S$ is the polynomial ring corresponding to $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, then $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E})$ (defined as $\oplus_{\nu} H^{i}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}, \mathcal{E}(\nu)\right)$ ) is an $S$-module. The intermediate cohomology modules $\left.H_{*}^{i} s E\right), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ are all graded modules of finite length and there is a strong relationship between $\mathcal{E}$ and its intermediate cohomology modules. He shows that if $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for all $i$ with $i \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, then $\mathcal{E}$ is split. Moreover, Horrocks in [7] established

[^0]that a vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ is determined up to isomorphism and up to a sum of line bundles (i.e., up to stable equivalence) by its collection of intermediate cohomology modules and also a certain collection of extension classes involving these modules. This correspondence has been generalized to any Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (ACM) varieties in [12]. The Syzygy Theorem ([5, 6]) shows that for a rank two bundle $\mathcal{E}$, it is enough to know that $H_{*}^{1}(\mathcal{E})=0$ to force splitting. In [14], it is shown that for a indecomposable rank two bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, in addition to $H_{*}^{1}(\mathcal{E})$ and $H_{*}^{n-1}(\mathcal{E})$ being nonzero, some intermediate cohomology module $H_{*}^{k}(\mathcal{E})(1<k<n-1)$ (and hence also $\left.H_{*}^{n-k}(\mathcal{E})\right)$ must be nonzero. Various calculations in [13] and [14] show that there are limitations on the module structure of $H_{*}^{1}(\mathcal{E})$ and $H_{*}^{2}(\mathcal{E})$ for some values of $n$.

In this paper, we study situations where a rank two bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ has $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for $1<$ $i<n-1$, except for one pair of values $(k, n-k)$. We describe the minimal monads associated to $\mathcal{E}$. We show that on $\mathbf{P}^{8}$, if $H_{*}^{3}(\mathcal{E})=H_{*}^{4}(\mathcal{E})=0$, then $\mathcal{E}$ must be decomposable. More generally, we show that for $n \geqslant 4 k$, there is no indecomposable bundle $\mathcal{E}$ for which all intermediate cohomology modules except for $H_{*}^{1}, H_{*}^{k}, H_{*}^{n-k}, H_{*}^{n-1}$ are zero. The proof utilizes the space between $k$ and $n-k$ when $n \geqslant 4 k$ for making cohomological computations.

## 2 | MONADS FOR RANK TWO VECTOR BUNDLES ON $\mathbf{P}^{n}$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an indecomposable rank two vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from two. If $S$ is the polynomial ring on $n+1$ variables, let $N_{i}=H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E})=$ $\oplus_{\nu} H^{i}(\mathcal{E}(\nu))$ be the finite length graded $S$-module over $S$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. By the Syzygy Theorem, both $N_{1}$ and $N_{n-1}$ are nonzero modules. Horrocks ([8]) gives a brief description of the construction of a minimal monad for a bundle $\mathcal{E}$ of any rank on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ by "killing both $H_{*}^{1}$ and $H_{*}^{n-1}$." Barth and Hulek ([1]) use this idea to construct (with more detail) $l$-m minimal monads for a bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, where only $H_{\geqslant l}^{1}(\mathcal{E})$ and $H_{<-m-n}^{n-1}(\mathcal{E})$ are killed. Horrocks' construction, which we use below, is obtained when both $l$ and $m$ are very negative.

The monad is a complex

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is a bundle with $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{P})=0$ for $i=1$ and $i=n-1$, and where $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are free bundles. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be kernel $\beta$. We have two sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

from which we see that $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E})=H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{G})$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-2$, while $H_{*}^{n-1}(\mathcal{G})=0$, and $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E})=H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{P})$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-2$, while $H_{*}^{1}(\mathcal{P})=H_{*}^{n-1}(\mathcal{P})=0$.

The minimality of the complex means that the rank of $\mathcal{B}$ equals the number of generators of $H_{*}^{1}(\mathcal{G})=N_{1}$ and the rank of $\mathcal{A}^{\vee}$ equals the number of generators of $H_{*}^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$. When the rank of the bundle $\mathcal{E}$ equals 2 , we find that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ have the same rank.

The two sequences give rise to

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

(Since the characteristic of the field is different from 2, we can assume that $S^{2}$ commutes with duals.)

Lemma 2.1. If $H_{*}^{2}(\mathcal{E})=0$, then $H_{*}^{1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)$ and $H_{*}^{n-1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)$ are nonzero. If $H_{*}^{l}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for some l, with $2 \leqslant l \leqslant n-2$, then $H_{*}^{l}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)=0$.

Proof. See [11, Theorem 2.2] for the first part. Next, suppose $H_{*}^{l}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for some $l$, with $2 \leqslant l \leqslant$ $n-2$. So, $N_{l}=N_{n-l}=0$ by Serre duality. In particular, $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ have $H_{*}^{l}=0$ as well. It follows from Equation (2), that $H_{*}^{l}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{G}\right)=0$ and hence $H_{*}^{l}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)=0$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $2 \leqslant t \leqslant n-2$. Let $A=H_{*}^{0}(\mathcal{A}), B=H_{*}^{0}(\mathcal{B})$. There is an exact sequence

$$
A \otimes N_{t} \rightarrow H_{*}^{t}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right) \rightarrow B \otimes N_{t}
$$

which is injective on the left if $t \geqslant 3$ and $N_{t-1}=0$, and is surjective on the right if $t \leqslant n-3$ and $N_{t+1}=0$.

Proof. Break up the first sequence in 2 as $0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow 0,0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow$ 0 . We get long exact sequences

$$
H_{*}^{t-1}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H_{*}^{t}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{G}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}^{t}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}^{t}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H_{*}^{t+1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{G}\right),
$$

where $H_{*}^{t}(\mathcal{D}) \cong B \otimes N_{t}$ (always) and $H_{*}^{t-1}(\mathcal{D}) \cong B \otimes N_{t-1}$ provided $t \geqslant 3$. Likewise break up the second sequence as $0 \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0,0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$. We see that $H_{*}^{i}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{G}\right) \cong H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{C})$ for $i=t, t+1, H_{*}^{t}(\mathcal{C}) \cong A \otimes N_{t}$ and when $t \leqslant n-3, H_{*}^{t+1}(\mathcal{C}) \cong A \otimes N_{t+1}$.

Moreover, when $H_{*}^{l}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for some $l$, with $2 \leqslant l \leqslant n-3$, from Equation (2) since $H_{*}^{l+1}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{A}\right)=$ $H_{*}^{l+2}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G})=H_{*}^{l}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)=H_{*}^{l+1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)=0$, we get $H_{*}^{l+1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{G}\right) \cong H_{*}^{l+1}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G})$. Since $H_{*}^{l-1}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{B}\right)=$ $H_{*}^{l}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{P})=0 H_{*}^{l+1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{G}\right) \hookrightarrow H_{*}^{l+1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)$. From

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0
$$

we obtain $H_{*}^{l+1}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{P}) \cong H_{*}^{l+1}(\mathcal{D})$ giving an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow A \otimes N_{l+1} \rightarrow H_{*}^{l+1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right) \rightarrow B \otimes N_{l+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=H_{*}^{0}(\mathcal{A}), B=H_{*}^{0}(\mathcal{B})$. Notice that the sequence is exact on the right if $N_{l+2}=0$.
Likewise, if $H_{*}^{l}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for some $l$, with $3 \leqslant l \leqslant n-2$, we get the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \otimes N_{l-1} \rightarrow H_{*}^{l-1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right) \rightarrow B \otimes N_{l-1} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the sequence is exact on the right if $N_{l-2}=0$.
The following proposition is a typical one that shows that a minimal monad for a rank two bundle is built very minimally out of the cohomological data for $\mathcal{E}$. Other examples of such a result can be found in [15] and [13]. Decker ([4]) has conjectured such a minimality for rank two bundles on $\mathbf{P}^{4}$.

Proposition 2.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is a nonsplit rank two bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}(n \geqslant 6)$, with $H_{*}^{l}(\mathcal{E})=$ 0 for some $l$ with $2 \leqslant l \leqslant n-2$. Then in the minimal monad for $\mathcal{E}$, the bundle $\mathcal{P}$ has no line bundle summands.

Proof. Note that the statement is vacuous for $n=4,5$, since $\mathcal{E}$ will be split by [14]. So, assume that $n \geqslant 6$ and that $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies $H_{*}^{l}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for some $2 \leqslant l \leqslant n-2$. By [14], there must also be a $j$ such that $H_{*}^{j}(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ for some $2 \leqslant j \leqslant n-2$.

We may choose $l$ to be the lowest value with $H_{*}^{l}(\mathcal{E})=0$ and let us suppose that $l \geqslant 3$. Then $H_{*}^{l-1}(\mathcal{E})=N_{l-1} \neq 0$. Consider the exact sequence using Lemma $2.2($ with $t=l-1)$

$$
A \otimes N_{l-1} \rightarrow H_{*}^{l-1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right) \rightarrow B \otimes N_{l-1} \rightarrow 0
$$

Now if $\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(a)$, then $H_{*}^{l-1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right) \cong H_{*}^{l-1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{Q}\right) \oplus\left[S(a) \otimes N_{l-1}\right]$, where $N_{l-1} \neq 0$. The $\operatorname{map} S(a) \otimes N_{l-1} \rightarrow B \otimes N_{l-1}$ in the sequence is induced by the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(a) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{k} \xrightarrow{\beta_{2} \otimes I} \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{k}$, where $\beta=\left[\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right]$ in the monad for $\mathcal{E}$.

The map $A \otimes N_{l-1} \rightarrow S(a) \otimes N_{l-1}$ is induced by the map $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(a) \otimes \mathcal{P}$, hence by $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2} \otimes I} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ if $\alpha=\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right]^{T}$ in the monad.

The sequence above now reads

$$
A \otimes N_{l-1} \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{array}{c}
* \\
\alpha_{2} \otimes I
\end{array}\right]} H_{*}^{l-1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{Q}\right) \oplus\left[S(a) \otimes N_{l-1}\right] \xrightarrow{\left[*, \beta_{2} \otimes I\right]} B \otimes N_{l-1} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

If we tensor the sequence by the quotient $k=S /\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n+1}\right)$, since the matrix $\beta_{2}$ is a minimal matrix, $\left(\beta_{2} \otimes I\right) \otimes k=0$, hence $\left[S(a) \otimes N_{l-1} \otimes k\right]$ is inside the kernel of $\left[*, \beta_{2} \otimes I\right] \otimes k$. By exactness, $S(a) \otimes N_{l-1} \otimes k$ is inside the image of $\left(\alpha_{2} \otimes I\right) \otimes k$, which is not possible since $\alpha_{2}$ is also a minimal matrix.

It remains to study the case where $l=2$. There is a value $l^{\prime}$ between 3 and $n-3$ for which $H_{*}^{l^{\prime}}(\mathcal{E})=N_{l^{\prime}} \neq 0$ and $H_{*}^{l^{\prime}+1}(\mathcal{E})=0$. We now have an exact sequence of nonzero $S$-modules

$$
A \otimes N_{l^{\prime}} \rightarrow H_{*}^{l^{\prime}}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right) \rightarrow B \otimes N_{l^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0
$$

and we repeat the earlier argument to get a contradiction.
Definition 2.4. A rank two bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{n}, n \geqslant 6$, will be said to have isolated cohomology of type $(n, k)$ if there exists an integer $k, 1<k \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$, with $H_{*}^{k}(\mathcal{E})$ and $H_{*}^{n-k}(\mathcal{E})$ nonzero modules, and $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for $i \neq 1, k, n-k, n-1$.

Remark 2.5. By Lemma 2.1, we get that if $\mathcal{E}$ has isolated cohomology of type $(n, k)$, then $H_{*}^{i}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)=$ 0 for $i \neq 1, k, n-k, n-1$.

A special case in the definition is when the middle cohomology is not zero, that is, of type $(n, k)$, where $n$ is even, equal to $2 k$, and the only nonzero cohomology modules are $H_{*}^{1}(\mathcal{E}), H_{*}^{k}(\mathcal{E}), H_{*}^{n-1}(\mathcal{E})$.

Note that the conditions that $H_{*}^{1}(\mathcal{E}), H_{*}^{n-1}(\mathcal{E})$ are both nonzero for an indecomposable rank two bundle follow from the Syzygy Theorem. In [14], it is proved that for an indecomposable rank two bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}, n \geqslant 4$, at least one cohomology module $H_{*}^{l}(\mathcal{E})$ must be nonzero with $1<l<n-1$. The reason $n$ is chosen to be $\geqslant 6$ in the definition is that first, the definition is vacuous for $n=2,3$ and second, for $n=4,5, k$ must be 2 , and the definition made is always satisfied by any possible indecomposable rank two bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{4}$ or $\mathbf{P}^{5}$, and hence imposes no restrictions.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{k}(N)$ be the $k$ th syzygy bundle of the finite length module $N$. By this, we mean that in a minimal free resolution for $N$ over the polynomial ring $S$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow L_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} L_{n} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_{k+1} \xrightarrow{f_{k+1}} L_{k} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} L_{0} \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0 .
$$

$P_{k}(N)$ will denote the image of $f_{k+1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{k}(N)$ will denote the sheafification of $P_{k}(N)$. Hence, $H_{*}^{k}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}(N)\right)=N$, with $H_{*}^{i}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}(N)\right)=0$ when $i \neq 0, k, n$. According to [7], if $\mathcal{P}$ is any bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ with the property that $H_{*}^{k}(\mathcal{P})=N$ and $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{P})=0$ when $i \neq 0, k, n$, then $\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \oplus \mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is a direct sum of line bundles.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ with nonzero cohomology modules $H_{*}^{k}(\mathcal{P})=N$, $H_{*}^{l}(\mathcal{P})=M$ for $1 \leqslant k<l \leqslant n-1$, and with $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{P})=0$ when $i \neq 0, k, l, n$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{l}(M) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is some free bundle.
Proof. This too follows from [7]. Letting $P$ denote $H_{*}^{0}(\mathcal{P})$, form an exact sequence (by partially resolving $P^{\vee}$ )

$$
0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow L_{k} \rightarrow L_{k-1} \rightarrow \ldots L_{1} \rightarrow A \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0
$$

where $A$ is not a free module. Compare this with a truncated minimal free resolution of $N$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow P_{k}(N) \rightarrow L_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{k-1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \ldots L_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0
$$

The induced $\operatorname{map} P_{k}(N) \rightarrow P$ gives a map $\mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ that is an isomorphism at the cohomology level $H_{*}^{k}$. Minimally add a free module $F$ to $P$ to force a surjection $P^{\vee} \oplus F^{\vee} \rightarrow P_{k}(N)^{\vee}$. This gives an inclusion of bundles $\mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ whose cokernel is $\mathcal{P}_{l}(M) \oplus \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ where $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is a free bundle (since it has only $H_{*}^{l}$ intermediate cohomology). We notice that both for $k=1$ and for $k>1$, the $\operatorname{map} H_{*}^{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}(N)\right) \rightarrow H_{*}^{1}(\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism, so we get a surjection from $H_{*}^{0}(\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F})$ to $H_{*}^{0}\left(\mathcal{P}_{l}(M) \oplus \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$. By the minimality of $F$, we may conclude that $F^{\prime}=0$

Summarizing this below, we get the following.
Proposition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank two bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}, n \geqslant 6$ with isolated cohomology of type $(n, k)$ with $H_{*}^{k}(\mathcal{E})=N$, for some $k$ strictly between 1 and $\frac{n}{2}$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ has the monad

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0
$$

where

- $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \rightarrow 0$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is some free bundle, $M=H_{*}^{n-k}(\mathcal{E})$ (which can be identified with $N^{\vee}$ up to twist).
- $H_{*}^{i}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)=0$ for $i \neq 1, k, n-k, n-1$.
- $H_{*}^{1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)$ and $H_{*}^{n-1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)$ are nonzero if $k \neq 2$.

In the case left out in the above proposition, where $\mathcal{E}$ has isolated middle cohomology with $n=2 k$ and with $H_{*}^{k}(\mathcal{E})=N \neq 0$ equal to the only nonzero cohomology module in the range $1<$ $i<n-1$, the monad for $\mathcal{E}$ has the form

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0
$$

Also, there is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow A \otimes N \rightarrow H_{*}^{k}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}(N)\right) \rightarrow B \otimes N \rightarrow 0
$$

Thus,

Proposition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank two bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, $n=2 k, n \geqslant 6$, with $H_{*}^{k}(\mathcal{E})=N, H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E})=$ $0, i \neq 1, k, n$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ be the kth syzygy bundle of $N$ where $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ is the sheafification of $P_{k}$ with $P_{k}=$ Image of $\left(f_{k+1}: L_{k+1} \rightarrow L_{k}\right)$ in a minimal free resolution of $N$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ has the monad

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{P}_{k} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0,
$$

where $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are sheafifications of free summands $A, B$ of $L_{k+1}$ and $L_{k}$, respectively, and where $\alpha, \beta$ are induced by $f_{k+1}$. Furthermore,

- $H_{*}^{i}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)=0$ for $i \neq 1, k, n-1$,
- the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow A \otimes N \rightarrow H_{*}^{k}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}\right) \rightarrow B \otimes N \rightarrow 0$ is exact,
- $H_{*}^{1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)$ and $H_{*}^{n-1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)$ are nonzero.

Proof. The only item to verify is that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are sheafifications of free summands $A, B$ of $L_{k+1}$ and $L_{k}$, respectively, and that $\alpha, \beta$ are induced by $f_{k+1}$. Since $L_{k+1} \rightarrow P_{k}$ is surjective, $\alpha: A \rightarrow P_{k}$ factors through $\tilde{\alpha}: A \rightarrow L_{k+1}$. Likewise, since $L_{k}^{\vee} \rightarrow P_{k}^{\vee}$ is surjective, $\beta^{\vee}: B^{\vee} \rightarrow P_{k}^{\vee}$ factors through $\tilde{\beta}^{\vee}$ : $B^{\vee} \rightarrow L_{k}^{\vee}$. It remains to show that the matrices $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ have full rank when tensored by $k$.

The map $H_{*}^{k}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}\right) \rightarrow B \otimes N \rightarrow 0$ in the short sequence above is obtained from $\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes$ $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ where $p \wedge q$ maps to $\beta(p) \otimes q-\beta(q) \otimes p$. This factors through $\mathcal{L}_{k} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{k}$ via the lift $\tilde{\beta}$. In particular, the map $L_{k} \otimes N \rightarrow B \otimes N$, given by $\tilde{\beta} \otimes I$, is onto. Hence so is $(\tilde{\beta} \otimes k) \otimes I$, a map of vector spaces. Hence, the matrix $\tilde{\beta} \otimes k$ has rank equal to the rank of $B$. So, $B$ is a direct summand of $L_{k}$.

The map $0 \rightarrow A \otimes N \rightarrow H_{*}^{k}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)$ is obtained from $H_{*}^{k}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G}) \cong H_{*}^{k}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{G}\right) \hookrightarrow H_{*}^{k}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)$, which, in turn, is obtained from $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}$, where $a \otimes g$ maps to $\alpha(a) \wedge g$ in $\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}$. This map $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}$ factors through $\mathcal{L}_{k+1} \otimes \mathcal{G}$, vial the lift $\tilde{\alpha}$.

It follows that the injection $A \otimes N \rightarrow H_{*}^{k}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)$ factors through $A \otimes N \rightarrow L_{k+1} \otimes N$, by the map $\tilde{\alpha} \otimes I$. This must also be injective. Choose a socle element $n$ in $N$ (an element that is annihilated by all linear forms in $S$ ). The submodule generated by $n,\langle n\rangle$, is a one-dimensional vector space and $A \otimes\langle n\rangle$ is mapped injectively by $\tilde{\alpha} \otimes I$ to $L_{k+1} \otimes N$. Since the image of $\tilde{\alpha} \otimes I$ on $A \otimes\langle n\rangle$ is the same as the image of $(\tilde{\alpha} \otimes k) \otimes I$ on $(A \otimes k) \otimes\langle n\rangle$, it follows that the rank of the matrix $\tilde{\alpha} \otimes k$ has rank equal to the rank of $A$. Thus, $A$ is a direct summand of $L_{k+1}$.

We now review a result of Jyotilingam [9] about cohomology modules of tensor products, applying it to the special case of syzygy bundles for our purposes. In the theorem below, $N$ and $M$ will be graded finite length $S$-modules where $S=k\left[X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ corresponding to $\mathbf{P}^{n} . \mathcal{P}_{k}(N)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{l}(M)$ will indicate syzygy bundles obtained from minimal free resolutions of $N$ and $M$. Note that in the minimal free resolution,

$$
0 \rightarrow L_{n+1} \rightarrow L_{n} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_{1} \rightarrow L_{0} \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0
$$

when we tensor by $M$, the map $L_{n+1} \otimes M \rightarrow L_{n} \otimes M$, cannot be injective since $M$ has finite length, hence $\operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^{S}(N, M) \neq 0$, and by Lichtenbaum's theorem [10] $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S}(N, M) \neq 0$ for all $i \leqslant n+1$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $N$ be a finite $S$-module and let $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ be its $k t h$ syzygy bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, with $k \geqslant 1$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ with $H_{*}^{l}(\mathcal{Q})=M \neq 0$, with $k \leqslant l \leqslant n-2$, and with $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{Q})=0$ for $i=l-1, l-$ $2, \ldots, l-k+2$. Then $H_{*}^{l+1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right) \neq 0$.

Proof. The cases $k=1$ and $k=2$ require no conditions on $H_{*}^{l-1}(\mathcal{Q})$. When $k=1$, we get the sequence $H_{*}^{l}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}^{l}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}^{l+1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and the map $L_{1} \otimes M \rightarrow L_{0} \otimes M$ can never be surjective. When $k>1$, consider the diagram obtained from the sequences $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{i} \otimes$ $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{i} \otimes \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{i-1} \otimes \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0, i=k, k-1, k-2\left(\right.$ with $\mathcal{P}_{j}=0$ if $j<0$ and $\left.\mathcal{P}_{0}=\mathcal{L}_{0}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{k} \otimes M & =L_{k} \otimes M & H_{*}^{l-1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k-3} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right) \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \gamma & \downarrow \\
H_{*}^{l}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} L_{k-1} \otimes M \xrightarrow{\beta} & H_{*}^{l}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k-2} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right) \\
\downarrow \mu & \downarrow \delta & \downarrow \\
H_{*}^{l+1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right) & L_{k-2} \otimes M= & L_{k-2} \otimes M
\end{array}
$$

The vanishing conditions on $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{Q})$ show that $H_{*}^{l-1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k-3} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right)=H_{*}^{l-2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k-4} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right)=$ $\cdots=H_{*}^{l-k+2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right)=0$. So, $\operatorname{ker} \delta=\operatorname{im} \alpha$ and the diagram induces a surjection $\operatorname{im} \mu \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{k-1}(N, M)$. By Lichtenbaum's theorem, $H_{*}^{l+1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k} \otimes \mathcal{Q}\right) \neq 0$.

## 3 I ISOLATED COHOMOLOGY OF TYPE ( $n, k$ ), WITH $n \geqslant 4 k$

In this section, we will prove that there are no indecomposable rank two bundles on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ with isolated cohomology of type $(n, k)$, where $n \geqslant 4 k$. We study the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \rightarrow 0$ of Proposition 2.7. We will need to pay special attention to the case where $N$ is a cyclic module. Hence the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $N$ be a graded cyclic $S$-module. For the corresponding syzygy bundle $\mathcal{P}_{2}(N)$ on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, $H_{*}^{3}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N)\right)=0$ and $H_{*}^{3}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N)\right) \neq 0$.

Proof. From the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1} \rightarrow 0$ obtained from a minimal resolution of $N$, it suffices to show that the map $H_{*}^{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{1}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}^{1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$ is surjective to prove that
$H_{*}^{3}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N)\right)=0$. This map can be studied using the natural commuting diagram

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{0} \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{1} \rightarrow
\end{array}\right) \wedge^{2} \mathcal{L}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{0} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{L}_{0}
$$

It simplifies when $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ has rank one, where without loss of generality, we can take $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ to be $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}$, yielding

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2} \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{1} \rightarrow & \wedge^{2} \mathcal{L}_{1} & \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1} \rightarrow 0
\end{array} .
$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ surjects onto the global sections of $\mathcal{P}_{1}$, it follows from the diagram of long exact sequences of cohomology modules that $H_{*}^{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{1}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}^{1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$ is onto.

For the second part, we will show that $H_{*}^{3}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{2}\right) \neq 0$. (This argument will be repeated later in a slightly different setting.) With $H_{*}^{3}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}\right)=0$, since $H_{*}^{3}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{2}\right)=H_{*}^{3}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}\right) \oplus H_{*}^{3}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$, the conclusion of the lemma follows.

Consider $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{0} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{2} \rightarrow 0$. From $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes$ $\mathcal{P}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{0} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{2} \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
H_{*}^{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{1} \otimes N \rightarrow L_{0} \otimes N\right)=L_{1} \otimes N
$$

since $N$ is cyclic. Hence, we get

$$
H_{*}^{3}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{coker}\left(L_{2} \otimes N \rightarrow L_{1} \otimes N\right)
$$

which is clearly nonzero.
Proposition 3.2. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ is a rank two bundle of type $(n, k)$ with $n \geqslant 7, k$ strictly less than $\frac{n}{2}$. Then the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{l}(M) \rightarrow 0$, in Proposition 2.7, is not-split.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \oplus \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M)$. Neither $\mathcal{P}_{k}(N)$ nor $\mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M)$ has any line bundle summands, hence $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \oplus \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M)$. So, $\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}$ has summands $\mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M)$ and $\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}(N)$. If $k>2$, then using Proposition 2.9, $H_{*}^{n-k+1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M)\right)$ is nonzero which contradicts the requirement in Proposition 2.7 that $H_{*}^{n-k+1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)=0$.

If $k=2$, there are two cases: if $N$ is cyclic, then $H_{*}^{3}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N)\right) \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.1, which contradicts Proposition 2.7 since $n-k>3$ when $n \geqslant 6$.

If $N$ is noncyclic, then from the sequences $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1}(N) \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{L}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{0} \rightarrow 0$, we get $H_{*}^{4}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N)\right) \neq 0$. This a contradiction to Proposition 2.7 when $n \geqslant 7$.

Remark 3.3. The case $n=6, k=2$ is not answered above. A weaker argument can be made here that even though $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \oplus \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M), N$ itself is neither cyclic nor a direct sum of submodules $N_{1} \oplus N_{2}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank two vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{8}$ with $H_{*}^{3}(\mathcal{E})=H_{*}^{4}(\mathcal{E})=0$, then $\mathcal{E}$ splits.
Proof. Let $N=H_{*}^{2}(\mathcal{E})$ and $M=H_{*}^{6}(\mathcal{E})$. Both are nonzero unless $\mathcal{E}$ splits. By Proposition 3.2 (with $k=2$ ), we know that the sequence below is nonsplit.

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \rightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof will analyze the consequences of the two sequences below obtained from sequence.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2}(N) \otimes[\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F}] \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P} \oplus[\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{F}] \oplus \wedge^{2} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \rightarrow 0  \tag{6}\\
& 0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N) \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P} \oplus[\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{F}] \oplus \wedge^{2} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \otimes[\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F}] \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \rightarrow 0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 1 If $N$ is cyclic, we look at the sequence (6).
It breaks into

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2}(N) \otimes[\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F}] \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow 0  \tag{8}\\
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D} & \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P} \oplus[\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{F}] \oplus \wedge^{2} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

$H_{*}^{3}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}(N) \otimes[\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F}]\right) \neq 0$ by the same argument in the second part of the proof of Lemma 3.1, and by the same lemma, $H_{*}^{3}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N)\right)=0$. Hence, $H_{*}^{3}(\mathcal{D}) \neq 0$ from the first sequence in (8).

In the second sequence in (8), $H_{*}^{3}(\mathcal{P})=0$. Hence so is $H_{*}^{3}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)$. Finally, $\mathcal{P}_{6}(M)$ fits into a sequence with free bundles

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{9}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{8}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{7}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{6} \rightarrow 0
$$

This yields two exact sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{7} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{L}_{7}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{7}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{6} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{6} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{L}_{9}^{\prime} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{L}_{8}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{8}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{7} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{7} \rightarrow 0 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

From these, we can chase down $H_{*}^{2}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{6}\right)$ to be equal to zero since $H_{*}^{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{6}\right)=0, H_{*}^{4}\left(\mathcal{P}_{7}\right)=$ $0, H_{*}^{6}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{L}_{9}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Hence, $H_{*}^{3}(\mathcal{D})$ is both zero and nonzero, a contradiction.

Case 2 If $N$ is noncyclic, we look at the sequence (7)

$$
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N) \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P} \oplus[\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{F}] \oplus \wedge^{2} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \otimes[\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F}] \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \rightarrow 0
$$

It breaks into

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \rightarrow & \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N) \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P} \oplus[\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{F}] \oplus \wedge^{2} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \otimes[\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F}] \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \rightarrow 0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

From

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{1}(N) \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{L}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{0} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{L}_{0} \rightarrow 0 \\
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N) \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{L}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{1} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{1}(N) \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

we get $H_{*}^{2}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{1}(N)\right) \neq 0$ and $H_{*}^{4}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N)\right) \neq 0$. Since $H_{*}^{4}(\mathcal{P})$ and $H_{*}^{4}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)$ are zero, we obtain $H_{*}^{3}(\mathcal{D}) \neq 0$.

Again, in the second sequence in (10), $H_{*}^{3}\left(\mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \otimes \mathcal{F}\right)=0$ and $H_{*}^{3}\left(\mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \otimes \mathcal{P}\right)$ can be studied using a resolution for $\mathcal{P}_{6}(M)$ and tensoring with $\mathcal{P}$.

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{9}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{8}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{7}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0
$$

Then $H_{*}^{3}\left(\mathcal{P}_{6}(M) \otimes \mathcal{P}\right)=0$ since $H_{*}^{3}(\mathcal{P}), H_{*}^{4}(\mathcal{P}), H_{*}^{5}(\mathcal{P})$ are all zero.
We compute $H_{*}^{2}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{6}(M)\right.$ ), breaking up the resolution of $\mathcal{P}_{6}$ (suppressing the letter $M$ ) into short exact sequences:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{7} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{L}_{7}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{7}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{6} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{6} \rightarrow 0  \tag{11}\\
& 0 \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{L}_{9}^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{L}_{8}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{8}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{7} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{7} \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

$H_{*}^{2}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{6}(M)\right)$ will vanish since $H_{*}^{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{6}\right), H_{*}^{4}\left(\mathcal{P}_{7}\right)$ and $H_{*}^{6}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{L}_{9}^{\prime}\right)$ are all zero.
Corollary 3.5. Let $n \geqslant 8$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank two vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ with $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for $i=3, \ldots n-3$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ splits.

Proof. Use induction on $n$. The case $n=8$ is proved in the above theorem. Assume the result for $n-1$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank two vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ with $H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E})=0$ for $i=3, \ldots n-3$. For a hyperplane $H$, by the restriction sequence in cohomology,

$$
H_{*}^{i}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H_{*}^{i}\left(\mathcal{E}_{H}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}^{i+1}(\mathcal{E}(-1)),
$$

we get that $H_{*}^{i}\left(\mathcal{E}_{H}\right)=0$ for $i=3, \ldots n-4$ on $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. So, $\mathcal{E}_{H}$ splits and hence also $\mathcal{E}$.

The theorem above can be generalized to arbitrary $k$ using the similar calculations.
Theorem 3.6. Let $n \geqslant 4 k$, with $k>1$. Then there cannot exist a rank two bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, for which the only nonzero intermediate cohomology modules are $H_{*}^{1}(\mathcal{E}), H_{*}^{k}(\mathcal{E})=N, H_{*}^{n-k}(\mathcal{E})=M$, and $H_{*}^{n-1}(\mathcal{E})$.

Proof. The case $k=2$ was done in the corollary above. So, we assume that $k>2$. The proof will analyze the consequences of the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \rightarrow 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is nonsplit by Proposition 3.2. We get the collateral sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P} \oplus[\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{F}] \oplus \wedge^{2} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \otimes[\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F}] \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \rightarrow 0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove it using several cases.
Case 1 The case where $N$ is cyclic, $k$ is even and $>2$.
We look at the sequence (13) which breaks into

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}(N) \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P} \oplus[\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{F}] \oplus \wedge^{2} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \otimes[\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{F}] \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \rightarrow 0 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

$H_{*}^{3}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N)\right) \neq 0$. This yields $H_{*}^{2 k-1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}(N)\right) \neq 0$, since $n>2 k-1$. On the other hand, $H_{*}^{2 k-1}(\mathcal{P})$ and $H_{*}^{2 k-1}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)$ are zero, since $k<2 k-1<n-k$ when $n \geqslant 4 k$. Hence $H_{*}^{2 k-2}(\mathcal{D}) \neq 0$ using the first short exact sequence in (14).

In the second sequence in (14), $H_{*}^{2 k-2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \otimes \mathcal{F}\right)=0$ since $2 k-2 \neq n-k$. $H_{*}^{2 k-2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \otimes \mathcal{P}\right)$ can be studied using a resolution for $\mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M)$ and tensoring with $\mathcal{P}$.

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n+1}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \ldots \mathcal{L}_{n-k+2}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n-k+1}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0
$$

Then $H_{*}^{2 k-2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \otimes \mathcal{P}\right)=0$ provided $H_{*}^{2 k-2}(\mathcal{P}), H_{*}^{2 k-1}(\mathcal{P}), \ldots, H_{*}^{3 k-2}(\mathcal{P})$ are all zero. Since $n \geqslant 4 k, n-k>3 k-2$ and since $k>2, k<2 k-2$. Hence, these vanishings hold.

We compute $H_{*}^{2 k-3}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M)\right.$ ), breaking up the resolution of $\mathcal{P}_{n-k}$ (suppressing the letter $M$ ) into short exact sequences:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-k+1} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{L}_{n-k+1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n-k+1}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{n-k} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-k} \\
0 \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-k+2} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{L}_{n-k+2}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n-k+2}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{n-k+1} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-k+1} \\
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-k+3} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{L}_{n-k+3}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n-k+3}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{n-k+2} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-k+2}  \tag{15}\\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
0 \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{L}_{n+1}^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{2} \mathcal{L}_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-1}
\end{array}
$$

$H_{*}^{2 k-3}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M)\right)$ will vanish provided $H_{*}^{2 k-3}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-k}\right), H_{*}^{2 k-1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-k+1}\right), \ldots, H_{*}^{4 k-5}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}\right)$ and $H_{*}^{4 k-3}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{L}_{n+1}^{\prime}\right)$ are all zero. $H_{*}^{4 k-3}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{L}_{n+1}^{\prime}\right)=0$ since $n>4 k-3$. For the others, $H_{*}^{2 k-3+2 i}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-k+i}\right)=0$ since $n-k+i>2 k-3+2 i$ when $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$. We have concluded that $H_{*}^{2 k-2}(\mathcal{D})=0$ from the second sequence, contradicting the earlier result of being nonzero.

Case 2 The case where $N$ is noncyclic, $k>2$ is even.
This is very similar to Case 1 . We use the same sequence (13). Now $H_{*}^{4}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{2}(N)\right) \neq 0$. Hence, $H_{*}^{2 k}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}(N)\right) \neq 0$, since $n>2 k . H_{*}^{2 k}(\mathcal{P})$ and $H_{*}^{2 k}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}\right)$ are zero, since $k<2 k<n-k$, hence $H_{*}^{2 k-1}(\mathcal{D}) \neq 0$.

Again, $H_{*}^{2 k-1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \otimes \mathcal{F}\right)=0$ since $2 k-1 \neq n-k$ and $H_{*}^{2 k-1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M) \otimes \mathcal{P}\right)=0$ since $n-$ $k>3 k-1$ and $k<2 k-1$. Lastly, $H_{*}^{2 k-2}\left(S^{2} \mathcal{P}_{n-k}(M)\right)=0$ since $n>4 k-2$ and $n-k+i>2 k-$ $2+2 i$ when $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$. Hence, $H_{*}^{2 k-1}(\mathcal{D})$ is also equal to 0 .

Case 3 The case where $k$ is odd.
$H_{*}^{2 k}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathcal{P}_{k}(N)\right) \neq 0$ as in Case 2 . We use sequence (13) and copy the proof in Case 2.
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