POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Riesz transforms on ax+b groups

Original Riesz transforms on ax+b groups / Martini, Alessio In: THE JOURNAL OF GEOMETRIC ANALYSIS ISSN 1050-6926 33:7(2023). [10.1007/s12220-023-01289-8]
Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2978242 since: 2023-04-29T17:23:30Z
Publisher: Springer
Published DOI:10.1007/s12220-023-01289-8
Terms of use:
This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository
Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)



Riesz Transforms on ax + b Groups

Alessio Martini¹

Received: 27 November 2022 / Accepted: 10 April 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

We prove the L^p -boundedness for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ of the first-order Riesz transforms $X_j \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$ associated with the Laplacian $\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{j=0}^n X_j^2$ on the ax + b group $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \mathbb{R}$; here X_0 and X_1, \ldots, X_n are left-invariant vector fields on G in the directions of the factors \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^n respectively. This settles a question left open in previous work of Hebisch and Steger (who proved the result for $p \leq 2$) and of Gaudry and Sjögren (who only considered n = 1 = j). The main novelty here is that we can treat the case $p \in (2, \infty)$ and include the Riesz transform in the direction of \mathbb{R} ; an operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem on \mathbb{R}^n turns out to be key to this purpose. We also establish a weak type (1, 1) endpoint for the adjoint Riesz transforms in the direction of \mathbb{R}^n . By transference, our results imply the L^p -boundedness for $p \in (1, \infty)$ of the first-order Riesz transforms associated with the Schrödinger operator $-\partial_s^2 + \mathrm{e}^{2s}$ on the real line.

Keywords Lie group \cdot Nondoubling manifold \cdot Riesz transform \cdot Singular integral operator

Mathematics Subject Classification 22E30 · 42B20 · 42B30

1 Introduction

Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, where \mathbb{R} acts on \mathbb{R}^n via dilations. If we write the elements of G as (x, u), where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, then the group operation is given by

$$(x, u) \cdot (x', u') = (x + e^{u}x', u + u').$$
 (1.1)

The group G is isomorphic to the group of transformations of \mathbb{R}^n generated by translations and dilations, also known as the ax + b group (see, e.g., [22, Sect. 6.7]). We

Published online: 29 April 2023

Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche "G. L. Lagrange" Dipartimento di Eccellenza 2018-2022, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca Degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Turin, Italy



[✓] Alessio Martini alessio.martini@polito.it

222 Page 2 of 53 A. Martini

equip G with the right Haar measure, that is, the Lebesgue measure dx du. A basis of left-invariant vector fields on G is given by

$$X_0 = \partial_u, \quad X_1 = e^u \partial_{x_1}, \dots, X_n = e^u \partial_{x_n}.$$
 (1.2)

The corresponding sum-of-square operator

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=0}^{n} X_j^2 = -\partial_u^2 - e^{2u} \Delta_x$$

is essentially self-adjoint on $L^2(G)$. If we equip G with the natural metric structure associated with \mathcal{L} , namely, the left-invariant Riemannian metric for which the vector fields (1.2) form an orthonormal frame, then G with the Riemannian distance and the Haar measure is a metric measure space of exponential volume growth.

In this work, we are interested in Lebesgue boundedness properties of the first-order Riesz transforms

$$\mathcal{R}_j = X_j \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}, \quad j = 0, \dots, n,$$

associated with the left-invariant Laplacian $\mathcal L$ on G. Our first main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1 For j = 0, ..., n, the Riesz transform \mathcal{R}_j is bounded on $L^p(G)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.

For $p \leq 2$, the above boundedness result was proved in [27]. (See also [45] for previous partial results, and [40] for an extension to the case $G = N \rtimes \mathbb{R}$, where N is a stratified Lie group.) To this purpose, in [27] the authors developed a Calderón–Zygmund theory adapted to the nondoubling structure of G, and showed that the integral kernel of each \mathcal{R}_j satisfies a suitable "integral Hörmander condition", which implies that \mathcal{R}_j is of weak type (1,1) and L^p -bounded for $p \in (1,2]$. The same "integral Hörmander condition" also implies that the \mathcal{R}_j are bounded from $H^1(G)$ to $L^1(G)$, where $H^1(G)$ is the atomic Hardy space on G introduced in [55].

As it turns out, the adjoint Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j^* do not satisfy the aforementioned "integral Hörmander condition". More is true: the operators \mathcal{R}_j^* are not bounded from $H^1(G)$ to $L^1(G)$. This fact, which was already discovered in [46] in the case n=2, demonstrates that the approach used for $p\leq 2$ does not immediately extend to p>2 by duality considerations, and a different approach is needed.

Regarding the L^p -boundedness of the Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j for p > 2, to the best of our knowledge, the only result so far available in the literature has been the one contained in [25] for n = 1 and j = 1. However, the method of [25] appears not to be suitable to treat the Riesz transform $\mathcal{R}_0 = X_0 \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$, and indeed the authors leave open the question of its L^p -boundedness. One of the main reasons of interest of Theorem 1.1 is that it also includes the case j = 0, thus solving a problem that has been open for some time even in the smallest dimensional case n = 1.



Our proof of Theorem 1.1 does not yield an endpoint bound for $p = \infty$. In particular, we do not know whether \mathcal{R}_0^* is of weak type (1, 1). However, we can obtain such an endpoint for the operators \mathcal{R}_j^* with j > 0 by a different method, namely, an extension of the approach of [25] to the higher-dimensional cases.

Theorem 1.2 For j = 1, ..., n, the adjoint Riesz transform \mathcal{R}_{j}^{*} is of weak type (1, 1).

The L^p -boundedness of Riesz transforms associated with elliptic and sub-elliptic operators on manifolds is a widely studied problem (see, e.g., [1, 6, 7, 13, 36, 37, 44, 52]), and it is impossible to include here a complete literature review on the subject. We refer to the introduction of [40] for a discussion of those works which are most closely related to our setting. The fact that the L^p -boundedness of Riesz transforms for p > 2 is a more delicate property than that for $p \le 2$ has already been observed in other contexts, see, e.g., [6, 12]; an additional difficulty in our case is that here we are working in a nondoubling setting.

We remark that the Laplacian \mathcal{L} on G considered here is not the Laplace–Beltrami operator for the aforementioned left-invariant Riemannian structure on G. Indeed, the group G with such Riemannian structure is nothing else than a realisation of the real hyperbolic space of dimension n+1, and the corresponding Laplace–Beltrami operator \mathcal{L}_H (which is self-adjoint with respect to the *left* Haar measure) is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{H}} = \mathcal{L} + nX_{\mathrm{0}},$$

i.e., \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}_{H} differ by a drift term. In these respects, the operator \mathcal{L} can be thought of as the natural Laplacian on the *weighted* Riemannian manifold G, where the weight is the modular function (i.e., the density of the right Haar measure with respect to the left Haar measure). Most importantly, and differently from \mathcal{L}_{H} , the Laplacian \mathcal{L} has no spectral gap, so there is no "extra decay" of the associated heat propagator for large time that can be exploited to deal with the part at infinity of the Riesz transforms associated with \mathcal{L} . In addition, here we work with the "unshifted" Riesz transforms $\mathcal{R}_{j} = X_{j}\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$, as opposed to the "shifted" Riesz transforms $X_{j}(a+\mathcal{L})^{-1/2}$ for some a>0 considered elsewhere in the literature. Correspondingly, the convolution kernels of the \mathcal{R}_{j} are not integrable at infinity, which, combined with the exponential volume growth of G, makes their analysis a nontrivial problem.

The group G has a family of irreducible infinite-dimensional unitary representations on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, in each of which the distinguished Laplacian \mathcal{L} on G corresponds to the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H} = -\partial_s^2 + \mathrm{e}^{2s}$ on the real line. As G is an amenable group, the L^p -boundedness of the Riesz transforms associated with \mathcal{L} transfers to an analogous result for the Schrödinger operator \mathcal{H} , which may be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.3 *The first-order Riesz transforms*

$$\partial_s \mathcal{H}^{-1/2}$$
, $V^{1/2} \mathcal{H}^{-1/2}$

associated with the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H} = -\partial_s^2 + V(s)$, where $V(s) = e^{2s}$, are bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.



222 Page 4 of 53 A. Martini

The L^p -boundedness of Riesz transforms associated with Schrödinger operators has also been studied extensively in the literature, and indeed the L^p bounds for $p \le 2$ in Theorem 1.3 can be deduced from more general results for Schrödinger operators with nonnegative potentials (see, e.g., [44]). As before, however, the case p > 2 is more delicate (see, e.g., [5, 34, 43]) and we are not aware of results in the literature for p > 2 encompassing the exponential potential $V(s) = e^{2s}$ considered here (for example, [5] requires a reverse Hölder condition on the potential which fails for our exponentially growing V, while the assumptions of the recent work [34] appear to rule out potentials with $\lim_{|s| \to \infty} V(s) = 0$).

The study of the Riesz transforms associated with the Laplacian \mathcal{L} on $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ has a discrete counterpart in the analysis of the Riesz transform for a distinguished "flow Laplacian" on homogeneous trees. Indeed, in the case $p \leq 2$, L^p bounds for the latter were proved in the same paper [27] treating the continuous case as well (see also [39] for a different proof). The recent work [35], tackling the problem of obtaining L^p bounds for p > 2 in the setting of homogeneous trees, can be thought of as a discrete counterpart to the present paper. As is often the case, while the overall proof strategies in the discrete and continuous settings present several similarities, there are a number of nontrivial issues that are specific to each setting.

Proof Strategy

The first step in our analysis is obtaining relatively explicit formulas for the convolution kernels of the Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j on G, that is, the X_j -derivatives of the convolution kernel of the negative fractional power $\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$ of the Laplacian. In the case n=1=j, a similar approach was adopted in [25, 45]; however, in those works, the formula for $\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$ was recovered via representation theory from a formula for the resolvent of the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H}=-\partial_s^2+\mathrm{e}^{2s}$ on the real line [31, 53]. Here instead we subordinate $\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$ to the heat semigroup $\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathcal{L}}$ and eventually reduce to known heat kernel formulas on real hyperbolic spaces. A crucial part of our analysis, presented in Sect. 2, is deriving precise asymptotics (at the origin and at infinity) for the convolution kernel of $\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$ and its derivatives.

Once these asymptotics are available, in Sect. 3 we analyze the convolution kernels of the adjoint Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j^* , both at the origin and at infinity; in each case we are able to identify a "main term", with a simple explicit expression, and a "remainder term", which is integrable, thus the boundedness properties of the Riesz transforms are reduced to those of the respective main terms. Unsurprisingly, the behavior of the main terms at the origin matches that of the corresponding kernels of the Euclidean Riesz transforms for the standard Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , and their boundedness properties are readily established (see Sect. 4). As may be expected, the challenging part of the problem lies in the study of the kernels at infinity.

Up to this point, our analysis broadly follows the lines (with some variations and additional technical complications) of that in [25], where the particular case n = 1 = j is discussed. However, the main term at infinity of \mathcal{R}_0^* does not appear to be amenable to the analysis of [25], and here a substantially different approach is developed, which allows us to treat in a uniform way all the adjoint Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_i^* for $j = 0, \ldots, n$.



Namely, we observe that left-invariant operators on $G = \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_u$ are invariant under Euclidean translations in the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; thus, by writing $L^p(G) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; L^p(\mathbb{R}))$, we can think of such operators as operator-valued Fourier multiplier operators with respect to the Euclidean Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^n . The L^p -boundedness of the main terms at infinity of the adjoint Riesz transforms is then proved by invoking an operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem [29, 51]. Specifically, the previously obtained formulas allow us to derive quite explicit expressions for the operator-valued symbols of the aforementioned Fourier multiplier operators, and the problem then reduces to verifying suitable R-boundedness properties on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for such symbols and their derivatives. Eventually, this verification reduces to proving the weighted L^2 -boundedness for any weight in the Muckenhoupt class $A_2(\mathbb{R})$ of certain explicit integral operators on \mathbb{R} . This program is carried out in Sect. 5 and completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The above approach via an operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem, which may be compared to that used in [32] in relation to Grushin operators, has the drawback of not yielding endpoint boundedness results. In particular, the problem of whether the adjoint Riesz transform \mathcal{R}_0^* is of weak type (1,1) remains open. For the adjoint Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j^* for $j=1,\ldots,n$, however, a different approach, based on that in [25], can be applied; we discuss this in Sect. 6, thus proving Theorem 1.2. Apropos endpoint results, what we can establish for *all* the adjoint Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j^* for $j=0,\ldots,n$ is that they are *not* bounded from $H^1(G)$ to $L^1(G)$; this was already proved in [46] in the case n=2, and in Sect. 7 we briefly discuss this negative result for any value of n.

The fact that left-invariant operators on G are operator-valued Fourier multiplier operators on \mathbb{R}^n is related to the unitary representation theory of G. Indeed, up to equivalence, all the infinite-dimensional irreducible unitary representations of G are obtained by induction from the nontrivial unitary characters of \mathbb{R}^n . Correspondingly, as we illustrate in Sect. 8, the operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem invoked in Sect. 5 can be thought of as a Fourier multiplier theorem for the group Fourier transform on G. Still in Sect. 8 we discuss the relation between the Laplacian \mathcal{L} on G and the Schrödinger operator \mathcal{H} , as well as the respective Riesz transforms, and we show how Theorem 1.1 can be transferred to deduce Theorem 1.3.

Some Open Questions

It would be interesting to investigate whether the results and methods of the present paper could be extended to other settings.

Real hyperbolic spaces are particular cases of symmetric spaces of the noncompact type, as well as of Damek–Ricci spaces, and the distinguished Laplacian $\mathcal L$ considered here has natural analogues in those more general settings (see, e.g., [16, 54]). In those contexts, tools from spherical Fourier analysis are available, which make it possible (see, e.g., [2, 3] and references therein) to obtain explicit formulas and asymptotics for many relevant quantities, such as heat kernels and fundamental solutions. For example, in [24] L^p -boundedness properties of second-order Riesz transforms associated with such distinguished Laplacians are established on arbitrary rank-one symmetric spaces



222 Page 6 of 53 A. Martini

of the noncompact type, and it is a natural question whether similar results could be obtained for first-order Riesz transforms as well.

Another natural setting to consider is that of [38, 40], where the factor \mathbb{R}^n in the semidirect product $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ is replaced with an arbitrary stratified Lie group N, and correspondingly the Laplacian is replaced with a sub-Laplacian. In [40] the L^p -boundedness for $1 of the natural first-order Riesz transforms on <math>N \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ is established, but the problem for p > 2 is left open. We point out that, differently from the aforementioned case of symmetric spaces, in the sub-Riemannian setting of [40] no explicit formulas for the heat kernel are available, while such formulas are a fundamental ingredient here. Additionally, here we crucially exploit an operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem on \mathbb{R}^n , which does not appear to have an obvious counterpart when \mathbb{R}^n is replaced by a noncommutative stratified group N. So a different approach and new ideas would likely be needed in that case.

Notation

We write \mathbb{N} to denote the set of nonnegative integers (including 0). Moreover, \mathbb{R}^* stands for $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and \mathbb{R}_+ for $(0, \infty)$. For any two nonnegative quantities A and B, we write $A \lesssim B$ to indicate that there is a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $A \leq CB$. We also write $A \simeq B$ to denote the conjunction of $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. Subscripted variants such as \lesssim_a and \simeq_a are used to indicate that the implicit constants may depend on a parameter a. Finally, χ_I denotes the characteristic function of a set I.

2 Heat Kernel Formulas and Asymptotics for Fractional Powers

We start by recalling a few useful facts and formulas about the analysis on the group $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. For more details, the reader is referred to [38, 40], where the more general case of $G = N \times \mathbb{R}$ is discussed, with N a stratified Lie group.

Recall that the group multiplication is given by (1.1); correspondingly, the group inversion of G is given by

$$(x, u)^{-1} = (-e^{-u}x, -u),$$

and the modular function m on G is given by

$$m(x, u) = e^{-nu}, (2.1)$$

that is, $e^{-nu} dx du$ is the left Haar measure on G. Thus, the group convolution is given by

$$f * g(x, u) = \int_{G} f((x, u) \cdot (x', u')^{-1}) g(x', u') dx' du'$$

$$= \int_{G} f(x - e^{u - u'} x', u - u') g(x', u') dx' du'$$
(2.2)



and the L^1 -isometric involution on G is given by

$$f^*(x, u) = m(x, u) \overline{f((x, u)^{-1})} = e^{-nu} \overline{f(-e^{-u}x, -u)};$$
 (2.3)

of course, these formulas make sense for suitably regular functions f and g, but can be extended to cover the case where f and g are distributions on G.

By the Schwartz Kernel Theorem, any linear left-invariant operator T mapping test functions to distributions on G can be expressed in terms of convolution on the right by a kernel k_T , which in general is just a distribution on G:

$$Tf = f * k_T$$
.

The adjoint operator T^* is also left-invariant, and $k_{T^*} = k_T^*$. For any $p \in [1, \infty]$, we denote by $\operatorname{Cv}^p(G)$ the space of the L^p -convolutors of G, i.e., the convolution kernels of $L^p(G)$ -bounded left-invariant operators.

We equip G with the left-invariant Riemannian metric for which the vector fields X_0, \ldots, X_n in (1.2) form an orthonormal frame. If d is the associated left-invariant distance function on G, then

$$\cosh d((x, u), (0, 0)) = \cosh u + e^{-u}|x|^2/2.$$
 (2.4)

We say that a function f on G is radial if the value of f at any point of G only depends on the distance of that point from the origin (0,0). With a slight abuse of notation, if f is a radial function on G and $R \ge 0$, we denote by f(R) the value of f at any point at distance R from the origin.

We point out that G, thought of as a Riemannian manifold with the aforementioned structure, is nothing else than the real hyperbolic space of dimension n+1, and the Riemannian measure is the left Haar measure $e^{-nu} dx du$. Moreover, while the Laplacian \mathcal{L} is not the same as the Laplace–Beltrami operator \mathcal{L}_H on the hyperbolic space, the two operators are related. More precisely (see, e.g., [56, Sect. IX.1]), a power of the modular function m (thought of as a multiplication operator) intertwines the distinguished Laplacian \mathcal{L} and the shifted Laplace–Beltrami operator:

$$\mathcal{L}f = m^{1/2}(\mathcal{L}_{H} - n^2/4)(m^{-1/2}f).$$
 (2.5)

It is well known that real hyperbolic spaces are rank-one symmetric spaces, and that the group of isometries of a hyperbolic space fixing a point acts transitively on any sphere centered at that point. As the Laplace–Beltrami operator \mathcal{L}_H is invariant under isometries, the convolution kernels $k_{F(\mathcal{L}_H)}$ of operators in the functional calculus for \mathcal{L}_H are radial. This is not the case for the operators $F(\mathcal{L})$ in the functional calculus for \mathcal{L} ; however, the intertwining relation (2.5) between \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}_H implies that

$$k_{F(\mathcal{L})} = m^{1/2} k_{F(\mathcal{L}_{H} - n^2/4)},$$
 (2.6)

thus $m^{-1/2}k_{F(\mathcal{L})}$ is radial.



222 Page 8 of 53 A. Martini

The starting point for our analysis are the following formulas for the heat kernel $h_t = k_{e^{-t}\mathcal{L}}$ associated with \mathcal{L} on G.

Proposition 2.1 For all R > 0, if n is even,

$$(m^{-1/2}h_t)(R) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \right)^{n/2} h_t^{\mathbb{R}}(R),$$

while, if n is odd,

$$(m^{-1/2}h_t)(R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_R^\infty \frac{\sinh x}{(\cosh x - \cosh R)^{1/2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sinh x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{(n+1)/2} h_t^{\mathbb{R}}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

where $h_t^{\mathbb{R}}(x) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2} e^{-x^2/(4t)}$ is the heat kernel on \mathbb{R} .

Proof In light of (2.6), we know that

$$m^{-1/2}h_t = e^{(n^2/4)t}k_{e^{-t}\mathcal{L}_H},$$

thus the above formulas reduce to those for the heat kernel $k_{e^{-t}\mathcal{L}_H}$ on real hyperbolic spaces (see, e.g., [3, Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3)] or [15, Eqs. (8) and (9)]).

From the above heat kernel formulas one can derive, via subordination, relatively explicit formulas for the convolution kernel of the fractional power $\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$. To this purpose, it is convenient to introduce the notation $Q_{\lambda-1/2}^0$ for the Legendre function of the second kind with parameters 0 and $\lambda-1/2$, where $\lambda>0$. Note that, according to [20, Sect. 3.7, Eq. (5), p. 155], for all z>1,

$$Q_{\lambda-1/2}^{0}(z) = 2^{-\lambda-1/2} \int_{-1}^{1} (z-s)^{-\lambda-1/2} (1-s^2)^{\lambda-1/2} \, \mathrm{d}s, \tag{2.7}$$

and also, by [20, Sect. 3.7, Eq. (4), p. 155], for all r > 0,

$$Q_{\lambda-1/2}^{0}(\cosh r) = 2^{-1/2} \int_{r}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} (\cosh x - \cosh r)^{-1/2} dx.$$
 (2.8)

Proposition 2.2 The distribution $k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}}$ coincides with a smooth function away from the origin, and $m^{-1/2}k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}}$ is radial. Moreover, for all R > 0, if n is even, then

$$(m^{-1/2}k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}})(R) = \frac{1}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \frac{1}{R \sinh R},$$



while, if n is odd, then

$$(m^{-1/2}k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}})(R)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \right)^{(n-1)/2} \int_{R}^{\infty} (\cosh x - \cosh R)^{-1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi^{3/2}(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \right)^{(n-1)/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} Q_{\lambda-1/2}^{0}(\cosh R) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$
(2.9)

In the case n = 1, an analogous formula can be found in [45, p. 3304, last display]; in the case n = 2, see [46, Eq. (2.1)].

Proof Recall that, for all $\lambda > 0$,

$$\lambda^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1/2}},$$

thus, at least formally,

$$k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty h_t \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1/2}}.$$
 (2.10)

We now observe that, for all R > 0,

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\infty \left(-\frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \right) h_t^{\mathbb{R}}(R) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1/2}} &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{R}{\sinh R} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-R^2/(4t)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{R \sinh R}. \end{split}$$

Thus, for n even, if we plug into (2.10) the formula for the heat kernel h_t from Proposition 2.1, then we obtain

$$(m^{-1/2}k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}})(R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left(m^{-1/2} h_t \right) (R) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1/2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \right)^{n/2} h_t^{\mathbb{R}}(R) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1/2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \frac{1}{R \sinh R}, \tag{2.11}$$

as desired.

For *n* odd, instead, we preliminarily observe that, if $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is any smooth function decaying sufficiently rapidly at infinity together with its derivatives, then

$$\int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh x}{(\cosh x - \cosh R)^{1/2}} g(x) dx = -2 \int_{R}^{\infty} (\cosh x - \cosh R)^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x) dx$$



222 Page 10 of 53 A. Martini

for all R > 0, by integration by parts; therefore, differentiating under the integral sign yields the formula

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R}\right)^{N} \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh x}{(\cosh x - \cosh R)^{1/2}} g(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh x}{(\cosh x - \cosh R)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sinh x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{N} g(x) dx \qquad (2.12)$$

for N=1, and by iteration the same holds for any $N\in\mathbb{N}$.

Now, by arguing as in (2.11), but using the formula for n odd from Proposition 2.1, one obtains

$$(m^{-1/2}k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}})(R) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh x}{(\cosh x - \cosh R)^{1/2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\sinh x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{(n-1)/2} \frac{1}{x \sinh x} dx,$$

and an application of (2.12) turns this into the first expression for $m^{-1/2}k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}}$ in (2.9). To derive the second one, it is enough to observe that, by (2.8),

$$\sqrt{2} \int_0^\infty Q_{\lambda - 1/2}^0(\cosh R) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \int_0^\infty (\cosh x - \cosh R)^{-1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x},$$

which shows that the two expressions are indeed equal.

From the above formulas we can derive the following asymptotics, which will be crucial for the subsequent analysis.

Proposition 2.3 For all R > 0,

$$\left(m^{-1/2}k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}}\right)(R) = \frac{1}{\pi(2\pi)^{n/2}}\Phi_n(\cosh R),\tag{2.13}$$

where $\Phi_n:(1,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is real-analytic and, for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$, the kth derivative of Φ_n satisfies

$$\begin{split} & \varPhi_n^{(k)}(X) = \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma\left(k + \frac{n}{2}\right)}{X^{k+n/2} \log X} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right)\right), & \text{as } X \to \infty, \\ & \varPhi_n^{(k)}(X) = \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma\left(k + \frac{n}{2}\right)}{2(X - 1)^{k+n/2}} \left(1 + O\left((X - 1)^{\delta}\right)\right), & \text{as } X \to 1^+, \end{split}$$

where $\delta = 1/2$ if n = 1 and k = 0, and $\delta = 1$ otherwise.

Proof Let $N = \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$. Notice that the Chain Rule applied to the change of variables $X = \cosh R$ yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X} = \frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R}.$$
 (2.14)



Moreover,

$$\sinh R = \sqrt{X^2 - 1}, \quad R = \operatorname{arccosh} X = \log(X + \sqrt{X^2 - 1}).$$

Thus, from Proposition 2.2 we deduce that (2.13) holds with

$$\Phi_n(X) = (-1)^N \Psi_j^{(N)}(X),$$

where j is equal to 0 or 1 according to whether n is even or odd, and

$$\Psi_0(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{X^2 - 1} \log(X + \sqrt{X^2 - 1})},$$

$$\Psi_1(X) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty Q_0^0 + Q(X) d\lambda$$
(2.15)

$$\Psi_1(X) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty Q_{\lambda - 1/2}^0(X) \, d\lambda$$

$$= \pi^{-1/2} \int_{\operatorname{arccosh} X}^\infty (\cosh x - X)^{-1/2} \frac{dx}{x}.$$
(2.16)

Consequently, the proof of the above asymptotics reduces to showing that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and j = 0, 1,

$$\Psi_j^{(k)}(X) = \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma\left(k + 1 - \frac{j}{2}\right)}{X^{k+1-j/2} \log X} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right)\right), \quad \text{as } X \to \infty, \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\Psi_j^{(k)}(X) = \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma\left(k + 1 - \frac{j}{2}\right)}{2(X - 1)^{k+1 - j/2}} \left(1 + O\left((X - 1)^{\delta}\right)\right), \quad \text{as } X \to 1^+, \quad (2.18)$$

where $\delta = 1/2$ if j = 1 and k = 0, and $\delta = 1$ otherwise.

We first prove (2.17) in the case j = 0. Notice that, by (2.15), we can write

$$\Psi_0(X) = \frac{1}{X \log X} G(1/X, 1/\log X),$$

where

$$G(a,b) = \frac{(1-a^2)^{-1/2}}{1+b\log(1+\sqrt{1-a^2})}$$

is a bivariate analytic function in a neighborhood of (0, 0). Thus, from the power series expansion of G, we deduce that, for suitable coefficients $c_{\ell,m} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Psi_0(X) = \frac{1}{X \log X} \sum_{\ell, m > 0} c_{\ell, m} \frac{1}{X^{\ell}} \frac{1}{\log^m X}$$



222 Page 12 of 53 A. Martini

whenever X is sufficiently large. Notice now that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\frac{1}{X} = -\frac{1}{X^2}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\frac{1}{\log X} = -\frac{1}{X\log^2 X}.$$

Thus, by differentiating term by term the above series, we deduce that, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Psi_0^{(k)}(X) = \frac{1}{X^{1+k} \log X} \sum_{\ell, m > 0} c_{\ell, m}^k \frac{1}{X^{\ell}} \frac{1}{\log^m X}$$

with $c_{0,0}^{k+1} = -(k+1)c_{0,0}^k$. As $c_{0,0}^0 = c_{0,0} = G(0,0) = 1$, we conclude that $c_{0,0}^k = (-1)^k k! = (-1)^k \Gamma(k+1)$, thus proving (2.17) in the case j = 0.

We now prove (2.18) in the case j = 0. For this, we observe that

$$\frac{1}{\sinh R} = \frac{1 + O(R^2)}{R}, \quad \text{as } R \to 0,$$

where the term $O(R^2)$ stands for an even analytic function vanishing at R = 0. Thus, for all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \frac{1 + O(R^2)}{R^N} &= \frac{1 + O(R^2)}{R} \left[-N \frac{1 + O(R^2)}{R^{N+1}} + \frac{O(R)}{R^N} \right] \\ &= -N \frac{1 + O(R^2)}{R^{N+2}} \end{split}$$

and therefore, inductively,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R}\right)^k \frac{1}{R \sinh R} = (-1)^k 2^k k! \frac{1 + O(R^2)}{R^{2+2k}}, \text{ as } R \to 0.$$
 (2.19)

As $\Psi_0(\cosh R) = (R \sinh R)^{-1}$ by (2.15), in light of (2.14) the previous identity can be rewritten as

$$\Psi_0^{(k)}(\cosh R) = \frac{(-1)^k 2^k k!}{R^{2+2k}} (1 + O(R^2)), \text{ as } R \to 0.$$

On the other hand, if $X = \cosh R$, we deduce that

$$X - 1 = \frac{R^2}{2} (1 + O(R^2)) \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$R^2 = 2(X - 1)(1 + O(X - 1)), \text{ as } X \to 1^+,$$
 (2.21)



222

whence

$$\Psi_0^{(k)}(X) = \frac{(-1)^k k!}{2(X-1)^{1+k}} (1 + O(X-1)), \text{ as } X \to 1^+,$$

which proves (2.18) in the case i = 0.

Let us now prove (2.17) in the case i = 1. According to (2.7) and (2.16), we can write

$$\Psi_1(X) = \pi^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty 2^{-\lambda} \int_{-1}^1 (X - s)^{-\lambda - 1/2} (1 - s^2)^{\lambda - 1/2} \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}\lambda.$$

Now, we observe that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right)^k (X-s)^{-\lambda-1/2} = (-1)^k (X-s)^{-\lambda-1/2-k} \prod_{\ell=0}^{k-1} (\lambda+1/2+\ell)$$
$$= (-1)^k (X-s)^{-\lambda-1/2-k} \sum_{\ell=0}^k c_\ell^k \lambda^\ell$$

for suitable rational coefficients c_ℓ^k , where $c_0^k = 2^{-k}(2k-1)!! = \pi^{-1/2}\Gamma(k+1/2)$ [here we convene that (-1)!! = 1]. Consequently

$$\begin{split} &\Psi_{1}^{(k)}(X) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} c_{\ell}^{k} \int_{-1}^{1} (1-s^{2})^{-1/2} (X-s)^{-1/2-k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} \left(\frac{2(X-s)}{1-s^{2}}\right)^{-\lambda} d\lambda ds \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \ell! c_{\ell}^{k} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{(1-s^{2})^{-1/2} (X-s)^{-1/2-k}}{\log^{\ell+1} \left(\frac{2(X-s)}{1-s^{2}}\right)} ds, \end{split}$$
(2.22)

where we used that $\int_0^\infty \lambda^{\ell} e^{-\lambda} d\lambda = \ell!$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, under the assumption |s| < 1, for $X \to \infty$ we have

$$(X - s)^{-k-1/2} = X^{-k-1/2} (1 + O(1/X)),$$

while

$$\log\left(\frac{2(X-s)}{1-s^2}\right) = \log X + \log(2/(1-s^2)) + O(1/X)$$



222 Page 14 of 53 A. Martini

(notice that $2/(1-s^2) > 2$ and $\log(2/(1-s^2)) > \log 2 > 0$ here), thus

$$\frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{2(X-s)}{1-s^2}\right)} = \frac{1}{\log X} - \frac{\log(2/(1-s^2)) + O(1/X)}{(\log X)\left(\log X + \log(2/(1-s^2)) + O(1/X)\right)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\log X} \left(1 + \log(2/(1-s^2)) O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right)\right)$$

and

$$\frac{(X-s)^{-1/2-k}}{\log^{\ell+1}\left(\frac{2(X-s)}{1-s^2}\right)} = \frac{X^{-1/2-k}}{\log^{\ell+1}X} \left(1 + \log^{\ell+1}(2/(1-s^2)) O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right)\right).$$

So

$$\begin{split} & \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{(1-s^2)^{-1/2}(X-s)^{-1/2-k}}{\log^{\ell+1}\left(\frac{2(X-s)}{1-s^2}\right)} \, \mathrm{d}s \\ & = \frac{X^{-1/2-k}}{\log^{\ell+1}X} \left(\int_{-1}^{1} (1-s^2)^{-1/2} \, \mathrm{d}s + O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right) \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\log^{\ell+1}(2/(1-s^2))}{(1-s^2)^{1/2}} \, \mathrm{d}s \right) \\ & = \pi \frac{X^{-1/2-k}}{\log^{\ell+1}X} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right) \end{split}$$

and therefore, by (2.22),

$$\Psi_1^{(k)}(X) = (-1)^k \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{\ell=0}^k \ell! \, c_\ell^k \frac{X^{-1/2-k}}{\log^{\ell+1} X} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right),$$

which implies the desired asymptotics (2.17) for j=1, as $c_0^k=\pi^{-1/2}\Gamma(k+1/2)$. We are left with proving (2.18) in the case j=1. We start by observing that, by (2.16),

$$\Psi_1(\cosh R) = \pi^{-1/2} \int_{R}^{\infty} (\cosh x - \cosh R)^{-1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}.$$

Thus, by (2.14) and (2.12), we deduce that

$$\pi^{1/2} \Psi_1^{(k)}(\cosh R) = \left(\frac{1}{\sinh R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R}\right)^k \int_R^\infty (\cosh x - \cosh R)^{-1/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

$$= \int_R^\infty \frac{\sinh x}{(\cosh x - \cosh R)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sinh x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^k \frac{1}{x \sinh x} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$



Assume that R < 1, and split the above integral as $\int_{R}^{\infty} = \int_{R}^{1} + \int_{1}^{\infty}$. Then

$$\left| \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh x}{(\cosh x - \cosh R)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sinh x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{k} \frac{1}{x \sinh x} \, \mathrm{d}x \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh x}{(\cosh x - \cosh 1)^{1/2}} \left| \left(\frac{1}{\sinh x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{k} \frac{1}{x \sinh x} \right| \, \mathrm{d}x = O(1).$$

Moreover, for 0 < R < x < 1,

$$\cosh x - \cosh R = 2\sinh((x+R)/2)\sinh((x-R)/2) = \frac{x^2 - R^2}{2}(1 + O(x^2))$$

and, by (2.19),

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sinh x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^k \frac{1}{x \sinh x} = (-1)^k 2^k k! \frac{1 + O(x^2)}{x^{2+2k}},$$

whence

$$\int_{R}^{1} \frac{\sinh x}{(\cosh x - \cosh R)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sinh x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{k} \frac{1}{x \sinh x} dx$$
$$= (-1)^{k} 2^{k+1/2} k! \int_{R}^{1} \frac{x^{-1-2k}}{(x^{2} - R^{2})^{1/2}} (1 + O(x^{2})) dx.$$

We notice now that

$$\int_{R}^{1} \frac{x^{-1-2k}}{(x^{2}-R^{2})^{1/2}} dx = R^{-1-2k} \int_{1}^{1/R} u^{-1-2k} (u^{2}-1)^{-1/2} du$$

$$= R^{-1-2k} \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} - \int_{1/R}^{\infty} \right)$$

$$= C_{k} R^{-1-2k} (1 + O(R^{1+2k})),$$

where

$$C_k = \int_1^\infty u^{-1-2k} (u^2 - 1)^{-1/2} du = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 s^{k-1/2} (1 - s)^{-1/2} ds = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{\Gamma(k + 1/2)}{k!}.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{R}^{1} \frac{x^{-1-2k}}{(x^{2}-R^{2})^{1/2}} x^{2} dx = R^{1-2k} \int_{1}^{1/R} u^{1-2k} (u^{2}-1)^{-1/2} du$$
$$= R^{-1-2k} O(R^{2-\epsilon}),$$



222 Page 16 of 53 A. Martini

where $\epsilon = 1$ if k = 0, and $\epsilon = 0$ otherwise. Putting all together finally yields

$$\begin{split} &\sqrt{\pi} \Psi_1^{(k)}(\cosh R) \\ &= O(1) + (-1)^k 2^{k+1/2} k! C_k R^{-1-2k} (1 + O(R^{1+2k}) + O(R^{2-\epsilon})) \\ &= (-1)^k \sqrt{\pi} 2^{k-1/2} \Gamma(k+1/2) R^{-1-2k} (1 + O(R^{2\delta})) \end{split}$$

for R < 1, where $\delta = 1/2$ if k = 0 and $\delta = 1$ otherwise. In light of (2.21), we eventually deduce

$$\Psi_1^{(k)}(X) = (-1)^k 2^{-1} \Gamma(k+1/2)(X-1)^{-1/2-k} (1 + O((X-1)^{\delta}))$$

as $X \to 1^+$, which proves (2.18) in the case j = 1.

3 Kernel Asymptotics for the Riesz Transforms

Simple manipulations of the expression for $k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}}$ in Proposition 2.3 allow us to derive the following formulas for the convolution kernels of the Riesz transforms.

Proposition 3.1 *For all* $(x, u) \in G \setminus \{(0, 0)\},$

$$\begin{split} k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}(x, u) &= 2 \sinh u \frac{m^{1/2}(x, u)}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \Phi_n'(\cosh R), \\ k_{\mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{R}_0^*}(x, u) &= -\frac{m^{1/2}(x, u)}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \left(n \Phi_n(\cosh R) + \mathrm{e}^{-u} |x|^2 \Phi_n'(\cosh R) \right), \end{split}$$

and, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_{j}}(x, u) = x_{j} \frac{m^{1/2}(x, u)}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \Phi'_{n}(\cosh R),$$

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_{j}^{*}}(x, u) = -e^{-u} x_{j} \frac{m^{1/2}(x, u)}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \Phi'_{n}(\cosh R),$$

where R = d((x, u), (0, 0)), and Φ_n is as in Proposition 2.3.

Proof From (1.2), (2.1) and (2.4) it is not difficult to derive that, for j = 1, ..., n,

$$X_0 m^{1/2}(x, u) = -\frac{n}{2} m^{1/2}(x, u),$$
 $X_0 \cosh R = \sinh u - e^{-u} |x|^2 / 2,$
 $X_j m^{1/2}(x, u) = 0,$ $X_j \cosh R = x_j,$

where R = d((x, u), (0, 0)).



As a consequence, from (2.13) we deduce that

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_0}(x, u) = X_0 k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}}(x, u) = -\frac{n}{2} \frac{m^{1/2}(x, u)}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \Phi_n(\cosh R) + \left(\sinh u - e^{-u}|x|^2/2\right) \frac{m^{1/2}(x, u)}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \Phi'_n(\cosh R)$$

and, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_j}(x, u) = X_j k_{\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}}(x, u) = x_j \frac{m^{1/2}(x, u)}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \Phi'_n(\cosh R).$$

Now, from (2.1) and (2.3) we immediately derive that

$$(m^{1/2}f)^*(x,u) = m^{1/2}(x,u)\overline{f(-e^{-u}x,-u)}.$$

As $d((x, u)^{-1}, (0, 0)) = d((x, u), (0, 0)) = R$, we deduce that

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_0^*}(x, u) = k_{\mathcal{R}_0}^*(x, u) = -\frac{n}{2} \frac{m^{1/2}(x, u)}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \Phi_n(\cosh R)$$
$$-\left(\sinh u + e^{-u}|x|^2/2\right) \frac{m^{1/2}(x, u)}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \Phi'_n(\cosh R),$$

and the required expressions for $k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}$ and $k_{\mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{R}_0^*}$ follow. Moreover, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_{j}^{*}}(x, u) = k_{\mathcal{R}_{j}}^{*}(x, u) = -e^{-u}x_{j}\frac{m^{1/2}(x, u)}{\pi(2\pi)^{n/2}}\Phi'_{n}(\cosh R),$$

as desired.

Now, by means of the asymptotics in Proposition 2.3, we easily deduce precise information on the behavior of the convolution kernels $k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$ in a neighborhood of the origin, showing that, up to integrable terms, they match the kernels of the Euclidean Riesz transforms for the standard Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Proposition 3.2 For $j = 0, \ldots, n$,

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_j} = -\frac{\Gamma(1+n/2)}{\pi^{1+n/2}} K_j^0 + q_j^0, \quad k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*} = \frac{\Gamma(1+n/2)}{\pi^{1+n/2}} K_j^0 + \tilde{q}_j^0,$$

where q_j^0 and \tilde{q}_j^0 are locally integrable, while

$$K_j^0(x, u) = \begin{cases} (u^2 + |x|^2)^{-(n+2)/2} u & \text{if } j = 0, \\ (u^2 + |x|^2)^{-(n+2)/2} x_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



222 Page 18 of 53 A. Martini

Proof As the kernels $k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$ and $k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*}$ are locally integrable off the origin, we only need to analyze their behavior in a neighborhood of the origin.

Now, from the asymptotics in Proposition 2.3 and (2.20) we deduce that, if R is small, then

$$\Phi_n(\cosh R) = O(R^{-n}), \quad \Phi'_n(\cosh R) = -\frac{\Gamma(1 + n/2)2^{n/2}}{R^{n+2}}(1 + O(R^2)).$$

Moreover, by (2.4), if R = d((x, u), (0, 0)), then $|u| \le R$; so, by (2.1), in a neighborhood of the origin,

$$e^{-u} = 1 + O(R), \quad m^{1/2}(x, u) = 1 + O(R), \quad \sinh u = u(1 + O(R^2)),$$

and

$$1 + \frac{R^2}{2}(1 + O(R^2)) = \cosh R = \cosh u + e^{-u}|x|^2/2 = 1 + \frac{u^2 + |x|^2}{2}(1 + O(R)),$$

thus

$$R^{2} = (|x|^{2} + u^{2})(1 + O(R)).$$
(3.1)

Therefore, from Proposition 3.1 we deduce that

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_{j}}(x, u) = -\frac{\Gamma(1 + n/2)}{\pi^{1 + n/2}} \frac{x_{j}}{R^{n+2}} + O(R^{-n}),$$

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_{j}^{*}}(x, u) = \frac{\Gamma(1 + n/2)}{\pi^{1 + n/2}} \frac{x_{j}}{R^{n+2}} + O(R^{-n}),$$

for j = 1, ..., n, and moreover,

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}(x, u) = -2 \frac{\Gamma(1 + n/2)}{\pi^{1 + n/2}} \frac{u}{R^{n+2}} + O(R^{-n}),$$

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{R}_0^*}(x, u) = O(R^{-n}),$$

thus

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_0}(x, u) = -\frac{\Gamma(1 + n/2)}{\pi^{1 + n/2}} \frac{u}{R^{n+2}} + O(R^{-n}),$$

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_0^*}(x, u) = \frac{\Gamma(1 + n/2)}{\pi^{1 + n/2}} \frac{u}{R^{n+2}} + O(R^{-n}).$$

As G has dimension n+1, the terms $O(R^{-n})$ are locally integrable; moreover, by (3.1), in the above formulas for the $k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$ we can replace the denominators R^{n+2} with $(|x|^2 + u^2)^{(n+2)/2}$ and obtain the desired expressions.



(3.5)

It remains to analyze the behavior of the kernels $k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$ at infinity. By using the asymptotics of Proposition 2.3, we will split those kernels into a "main part", with a relatively simple expression, and a "remainder", which is integrable at infinity. In order to make it easy to recognise those terms that are integrable and therefore can be included in the remainder, it is convenient to record here some integration formulas for radial functions against certain weights on G (cf. [41, Lemma 2.1]).

Lemma 3.3 *Let* $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ *be measurable. Then*

$$\int_{G} |x| \, m^{1/2}(x, u) f(R) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}u \simeq \int_{0}^{1} f(r) \, r^{n+1} \, \mathrm{d}r + \int_{1}^{\infty} f(r) \, \mathrm{e}^{(1+n/2)r} \, \mathrm{d}r, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\int_{G} \chi_{\{u \le 1\}} |x| \, m^{1/2}(x, u) f(R) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}u \simeq \int_{0}^{1} f(r) \, r^{n+1} \, \mathrm{d}r + \int_{1}^{\infty} f(r) \, \mathrm{e}^{(1/2+n/2)r} \, \mathrm{d}r, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\int_{G} |\sinh u| \, m^{1/2}(x, u) f(R) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}u \simeq \int_{0}^{1} f(r) \, r^{n+1} \, \mathrm{d}r + \int_{1}^{\infty} f(r) \, \mathrm{e}^{(1+n/2)r} \, \mathrm{d}r, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\int_{G} \chi_{\{|u| \le 1\}} |u| \, m^{1/2}(x, u) f(R) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}u \simeq \int_{0}^{1} f(r) \, r^{n+1} \, \mathrm{d}r + \int_{1}^{\infty} f(r) \, \mathrm{e}^{nr/2} \, \mathrm{d}r, \tag{3.4}$$

where R = d((x, u), (0, 0)).

Proof For any $N \in \mathbb{R}$ and any measurable $w : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{G} w(u) |x|^{N} m^{1/2}(x, u) f(R) dx du$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} w(u) |x|^{N} e^{-nu/2} f(\operatorname{arccosh}(\cosh u + e^{-u}|x|^{2}/2)) dx du$$

$$\simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{\infty} w(u) e^{Nu/2} f(\operatorname{arccosh}(\cosh u + s)) s^{(n+N)/2-1} ds du$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} f(r) \left[\sinh r \int_{-r}^{r} w(u) e^{Nu/2} (\cosh r - \cosh u)^{(n+N)/2-1} du \right] dr,$$

thus our task is reduced to estimating the term in brackets in the last integral, i.e., the "radial density" of the measure $w(u)|x|^Nm^{1/2}(x,u) dx du$, for each of the choices of w and N appearing in the left-hands sides of Formulas (3.2) to (3.5).

Recall now that

$$\cosh r - \cosh u = 2 \sinh \frac{r + |u|}{2} \sinh \frac{r - |u|}{2}$$

$$\simeq \begin{cases} e^r & \text{if } |u| \le r - 1, \\ (r - |u|) \sinh r & \text{if } r - 1 \le |u| \le r. \end{cases}$$



222 Page 20 of 53 A. Martini

Consequently, if $r \leq 1$, then we deduce that

$$\sinh r \int_{-r}^{r} w(u) e^{Nu/2} (\cosh r - \cosh u)^{(n+N)/2-1} du$$

$$\simeq r^{n+N} \int_{-1}^{1} w(rv) (1 - |v|)^{(n+N)/2-1} dv,$$

and the latter quantity is indeed comparable to r^{n+1} for each of the four choices of N and w corresponding to the formulas to be proved [indeed, we have w(u) = 1 for $|u| \le 1$ and N = 1 in the case of (3.2) and (3.3), or $w(u) \simeq |u|$ for $|u| \le 1$ and N = 0 in the case of (3.4) and (3.5)].

If instead $r \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{split} & \sinh r \int_{-r}^{r} w(u) \, \mathrm{e}^{Nu/2} (\cosh r - \cosh u)^{(n+N)/2-1} \, \mathrm{d}u \\ & \simeq \mathrm{e}^{(n+N)r/2} \int_{|u| \le r-1} w(u) \, \mathrm{e}^{Nu/2} \, \mathrm{d}u \\ & + \mathrm{e}^{nr/2} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(n+N)/2-1} w(t-r) \, \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{e}^{(n/2+N)r} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(n+N)/2-1} w(r-t) \, \mathrm{d}t. \end{split}$$

Now, if we take w(u) = 1 and N = 1, then the above quantity is comparable to $e^{(n/2+1)r}$, which completes the proof of (3.2). If instead we take $w(u) = \chi_{\{u \le 1\}}$ and N = 1, then the above quantity is comparable to $e^{(n/2+1/2)r}$, thus completing the proof of (3.3). Further, if we take $w(u) = |\sinh u|$ and N = 0, then the above quantity is comparable to $e^{(n/2+1)r}$, thus proving (3.4). Finally, if we take $w(u) = |u|\chi_{\{|u| \le 1\}}$ and N = 0, then the above quantity is comparable to $e^{nr/2}$, thus completing the proof of (3.5).

For a function $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda > 0$, we write $F_{(\lambda)}$ for the rescaled function

$$F_{(\lambda)}(x) = \lambda^{-n} F(\lambda^{-1} x).$$

By exploiting the precise asymptotics of Proposition 2.3, we can derive the following formulas; in the case n = 1 = j, a similar result can be found in [45, Lemma 6].

Proposition 3.4 We can write

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*} = -\frac{2\Gamma(1 + n/2)}{\pi^{1 + n/2}} (\tilde{K}_0 + K_0) + q_0,$$

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*} = -\frac{2\Gamma(1 + n/2)}{\pi^{1 + n/2}} K_j + q_j,$$



for j = 1, ..., n, where q_0, q_j are integrable at infinity, while

$$\begin{split} \tilde{K}_0(x,u) &= r_0(x) \frac{\chi_{\{|u| \ge 1\}}}{u}, \\ K_0(x,u) &= \left[(r_0)_{(\mathrm{e}^u)}(x) - r_0(x) \right] \frac{\chi_{\{u \ge 1\}}}{u}, \\ K_j(x,u) &= r_j(x) \frac{\chi_{\{u \le -1\}}}{u}. \end{split}$$

and

$$r_0(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-1 - n/2}, \quad r_j(x) = x_j(1 + |x|^2)^{-1 - n/2}.$$

Proof We start with the kernel $k_{\mathcal{R}_{j}^{*}}$ for j = 1, ..., n. From the formula in Proposition 3.1 and the asymptotics in Proposition 2.3 we deduce that

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_j}(x, u) = -\frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{x_j \, m^{1/2}(x, u)}{(\cosh R)^{1 + n/2} \log \cosh R} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{R}\right)\right).$$

By (3.2), the term corresponding to the Big-O in the expression above is integrable at infinity; moreover, by (3.3), the part of the above expression where $u \le 1$ is integrable at infinity too. Thus $k_{\mathcal{R}_i}(x, u)$ differs from

$$-\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi(2\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{x_j \, m^{1/2}(x,u)}{(\cosh R)^{1+n/2} \log \cosh R} \chi_{\{u \ge 1\}} \tag{3.6}$$

by a term which is integrable at infinity.

We now observe that, if $u \ge 1$, then, by (2.4),

$$\cosh R = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{u} + e^{-u} + e^{-u} |x|^{2} \right) = \frac{e^{u}}{2} (1 + |e^{-u}x|^{2}) (1 + O(e^{-2u})),$$

and moreover

$$\log \cosh R = u + \log(1 + |e^{-u}x|^2) - \log 2 + O(e^{-2u}),$$

thus

$$\frac{1}{\log \cosh R} = \frac{1}{u} - \frac{\log(1 + |e^{-u}x|^2) - \log 2 + O(e^{-2u})}{u \log \cosh R}$$
$$= \frac{1}{u} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1 + \log(1 + |e^{-u}x|^2)}{u}\right) \right)$$



222 Page 22 of 53 A. Martini

(here we also used that $\cosh R \ge \cosh |u|$, thus $\log \cosh R \ge u$ for $u \ge 1$), and

$$\frac{m^{1/2}(x,u)}{(\cosh R)^{1+n/2}\log\cosh R} = 2^{1+n/2} \frac{e^{-(1+n)u}(1+|e^{-u}x|^2)^{-1-n/2}}{u} \times \left(1+O\left(\frac{1+\log(1+|e^{-u}x|^2)}{u}\right)\right), \quad (3.7)$$

in the region where $u \ge 1$.

Consequently, we can rewrite (3.6) as

$$-\frac{2\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi^{1+n/2}}\frac{e^{-nu}(e^{-u}x_j)(1+|e^{-u}x|^2)^{-1-n/2}}{u}\chi_{\{u\geq 1\}} \times \left(1+O\left(\frac{1+\log(1+|e^{-u}x|^2)}{u}\right)\right)$$
(3.8)

The term corresponding to the Big-O in (3.8) is integrable on G, as

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{e^{-nu}}{u} |e^{-u}x| (1 + |e^{-u}x|^{2})^{-1-n/2} \frac{1 + \log(1 + |e^{-u}x|^{2})}{u} dx du$$

$$= \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{u^{2}} |x| (1 + |x|^{2})^{-1-n/2} \left(1 + \log(1 + |x|^{2})\right) dx du < \infty.$$
(3.9)

By taking adjoints [see (2.3)] in the remaining term of (3.8), we finally obtain that $k_{\mathcal{R}_{i}^{*}} = k_{\mathcal{R}_{i}}^{*}$ differs from

$$-\chi_{\{u\leq -1\}} \frac{2\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi^{1+n/2}} \frac{1}{u} x_j (1+|x|^2)^{-1-n/2}$$

by a term which is integrable at infinity, as required.

We can analyze $k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}$ in a similar way. Namely, from the formula in Proposition 3.1 and the asymptotics in Proposition 2.3 we deduce that

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}(x, u) = -\frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi (2\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{(2\sinh u) \, m^{1/2}(x, u)}{(\cosh R)^{1+n/2} \log \cosh R} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{R}\right)\right),$$

thus, by (3.4) and (3.5), we deduce that $k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}$ differs from

$$-\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi(2\pi)^{n/2}}\frac{(2\sinh u)\,m^{1/2}(x,u)}{(\cosh R)^{1+n/2}\log\cosh R}\chi_{\{|u|\geq 1\}}\tag{3.10}$$

by a term which is integrable at infinity.

We now restrict our analysis to the region where $u \ge 1$ (indeed, the remaining region $u \le -1$ can be recovered at the end due to skew-adjointness). If $u \ge 1$, then

$$2 \sinh u = e^u (1 + O(e^{-2u})),$$



and this, combined with (3.7), allows us to rewrite the part of (3.10) where $u \ge 1$ as

$$-\frac{2\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi^{1+n/2}}\frac{e^{-nu}(1+|e^{-u}x|^2)^{-1-n/2}}{u}\chi_{\{u\geq 1\}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1+\log(1+|e^{-u}x|^2)}{u}\right)\right).$$

By arguing as in (3.9), one sees that the Big-O term in the previous expression gives rise to an integrable term on G. Thus we conclude that, in the region where $u \ge 1$, $k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}$ differs from

$$-\frac{2\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi^{1+n/2}}\frac{e^{-nu}(1+|e^{-u}x|^2)^{-1-n/2}}{u}\chi_{\{u\geq 1\}}$$

by a term which is integrable at infinity. By taking adjoints [see (2.3)], we also deduce that, in the region where $u \le -1$, $k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}$ differs from

$$-\frac{2\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi^{1+n/2}}\frac{(1+|x|^2)^{-1-n/2}}{u}\chi_{\{u\leq -1\}}$$

by a term which is integrable at infinity. Thus, by summing the previous two expressions, we deduce that $k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}$ differs from

$$-\frac{2\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\pi^{1+n/2}}\frac{1}{u}(\chi_{\{u\geq 1\}}e^{-nu}(1+|e^{-u}x|^2)^{-1-n/2}+\chi_{\{u\leq -1\}}(1+|x|^2)^{-1-n/2})$$

by a term which is integrable at infinity. This is easily seen to match the required expression, as

$$\begin{split} \tilde{K}_0(x,u) + K_0(x,u) &= r_0(x) \frac{\chi_{\{|u| \ge 1\}}}{u} + \left[(r_0)_{(e^u)}(x) - r_0(x) \right] \frac{\chi_{\{u \ge 1\}}}{u} \\ &= \frac{1}{u} \left[(r_0)_{(e^u)}(x) \chi_{\{u \ge 1\}} + r_0(x) \chi_{\{u \le -1\}} \right], \end{split}$$

and we are done.

4 Analysis of the Local Part and Reduction to the Part at Infinity

The formulas in Proposition 3.2 show that the local behavior of the kernels of the Riesz transforms and their adjoints on G is analogous to that of the corresponding Euclidean kernels on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , which are standard Calderón–Zygmund operators. Based on this, it is not difficult to show, by using the argument described, e.g., in [23, Lemma 7], that the local part of the kernels $k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$ and $k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*}$ define operators which are of weak type (1,1) and bounded on $L^p(G)$ for all $p \in (1,\infty)$. We present here a slightly different approach, based instead on the Calderón–Zygmund theory of [27]. This has for us the



222 Page 24 of 53 A. Martini

technical advantage that it implies at once the boundedness from $H^1(G)$ to $L^1(G)$ too.

We first recall a useful fact about localisation of L^p convolutors, which is true on any Lie group G. We include a proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 4.1 Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Any $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$ is a multiplier of $Cv^p(G)$, i.e., $\zeta K \in Cv^p(G)$ for all $K \in Cv^p(G)$.

Proof In the cases p=1 and $p=\infty$, the space $\operatorname{Cv}^p(G)$ is just the space of finite complex measures on G, so it is clearly closed under multiplication by elements of $C_c^\infty(G)$.

Assume now $p \in (1, \infty)$. From the results of [14], it follows that any compactly supported element of the He Figà-Talamanca—Herz algebra $A_p(G)$ is a multiplier of $\operatorname{Cv}^p(G)$. (Specifically, in [14] it is shown that $\operatorname{Cv}^p(G)$ is the dual of a certain Banach *-algebra $\overline{A}_p(G)$ containing all the compactly supported elements of $A_p(G)$, thus $\operatorname{Cv}^p(G)$ is naturally a module over $\overline{A}_p(G)$.) To conclude, it just remains to show that $C_c^\infty(G) \subseteq A_p(G)$. However, this follows immediately from the definition of $A_p(G)$ and the Dixmier-Malliavin Theorem [18], allowing us to represent any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ as a finite sum of functions of the form $\psi * \eta$ with $\psi, \eta \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

We now revert to the case of the ax + b group G and proceed with the proof of the local boundedness of the Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j and \mathcal{R}_j^* .

Proposition 4.2 Let $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$. Then, for j = 0, ..., n, the convolution operators with kernels $\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$ and $\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*}$, as well as their adjoints, are of weak type (1, 1), bounded on $L^p(G)$ for all $p \in (1, 2]$, and bounded from $H^1(G)$ to $L^1(G)$.

Proof As $(\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j})^* = (m^{-1}\zeta^*)k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*}$ and $(\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*})^* = (m^{-1}\zeta^*)k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$, and moreover $m^{-1}\zeta^* \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$, the boundedness of the adjoints is reduced to that of the original operators.

We note that, by Proposition 3.2,

$$\begin{split} \zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_{j}} &= -\frac{\Gamma(1+n/2)}{\pi^{1+n/2}} \left(\zeta K_{j}^{0} \right) + \left(\zeta q_{j}^{0} \right), \\ \zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_{j}^{*}} &= \frac{\Gamma(1+n/2)}{\pi^{1+n/2}} \left(\zeta K_{j}^{0} \right) + \left(\zeta \tilde{q}_{j}^{0} \right), \end{split} \tag{4.1}$$

and the kernels ζq_j^0 and $\zeta \tilde{q}_j^0$ are integrable, so the boundedness properties of $\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$ and $\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*}$ are equivalent to those of ζK_j^0 . In particular, as \mathcal{R}_j and \mathcal{R}_j^* are $L^2(G)$ -bounded and $\mathrm{Cv}^2(G)$ is a $C_c^\infty(G)$ -module (see Lemma 4.1), we deduce that $\zeta K_j^0 \in \mathrm{Cv}^2(G)$ too.

In order to prove that the operator of convolution by ζK_j^0 has the desired boundedness properties, we shall apply the Calderón–Zygmund theory of [27]. Specifically, we will show that the kernel ζK_j^0 has a decomposition that satisfies the assumptions of [40, Theorem 2.3].



Consider a smooth nonnegative radial function η on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} supported in an annulus and such that

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta(2^{\ell}(x, u)) = 1$$

for all $(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Set $\eta_{\ell} = \eta(2^{-\ell} \cdot)$ and $\eta_* = \sum_{\ell \le 0} \eta_{\ell}$. We can identify η_* with a smooth compactly supported function on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} which is identically 1 in a neighborhood of the origin. By an appropriate choice of η , we may also assume that η_* is identically 1 on the support of ζ , thus $\zeta = \zeta \eta_* = \sum_{\ell \le 0} \zeta_{\ell}$, with $\zeta_{\ell} = \zeta \eta_{\ell}$. Correspondingly, we decompose

$$\zeta K_j^0 = \sum_{\ell < 0} \zeta_\ell K_j^0.$$

Now, recall that, by Proposition 3.2, the kernels K_j^0 are smooth off the origin and homogeneous of degree -(n+1) on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Recall also the formulas from (1.2):

$$X_0 = \partial_u, \quad X_1 = e^u \partial_{x_1}, \dots, X_n = e^u \partial_{x_n}.$$

If we define the right-invariant first-order differential operators X_i° by

$$X_i^{\circ} f = (X_j f^*)^*,$$

then it is readily checked [see (2.3)] that

$$X_0^{\circ} = -\partial_u - n - x \cdot \nabla_x, \quad X_1^{\circ} = -\partial_{x_1}, \dots, X_n^{\circ} = -\partial_{x_n}.$$

We then deduce that the functions $X_k^{\circ} K_i^0$ are also smooth off the origin, and moreover

- $X_k^{\circ} K_i^0$ is homogeneous of degree -(n+2) on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} for $k=1,\ldots,n$;
- $X_0^{\circ}K_j^{0}$ is the sum of two homogeneous terms of degrees -(n+1) and -(n+2) on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} respectively.

Additionally, by the Leibniz rule,

$$X_k^{\circ} \left(\zeta_{\ell} K_j^0 \right) = (\tilde{X}_k^{\circ} \zeta_{\ell}) K_j^0 + \zeta_{\ell} X_k^{\circ} K_j^0,$$

where the \tilde{X}_k° are the nonconstant parts of the X_k° , namely,

$$\tilde{X}_0^{\circ} = -\partial_u - x \cdot \nabla_x, \quad \tilde{X}_1^{\circ} = -\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \tilde{X}_n^{\circ} = -\partial_{x_n},$$

and clearly, for all $\ell \leq 0$,

$$\|\zeta_{\ell}\|_{\infty} \lesssim 1, \quad \|\tilde{X}_{k}^{\circ}\zeta_{\ell}\|_{\infty} \lesssim 2^{-\ell}.$$



222 Page 26 of 53 A. Martini

As a consequence, for all $\ell \leq 0$, by homogeneity considerations,

$$\left\| \zeta_{\ell} K_{j}^{0} \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim 2^{-(n+1)\ell}, \quad \left\| X_{k}^{\circ} (\zeta_{\ell} K_{j}^{0}) \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim 2^{-(n+2)\ell}.$$

Now we observe that, for any $\ell \le 0$, if (x, u) is in the support of ζ_{ℓ} , then, by (3.1), $R = d((x, u), (0, 0)) \simeq |x| + |u| \simeq 2^{\ell}$. Thus, for any $\ell \le 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_{G} |\zeta_{\ell} K_{j}^{0}(x, u)| (1 + 2^{-\ell} R)^{\epsilon} dx du \lesssim_{\epsilon} \int_{|x| + |u| \simeq 2^{\ell}} 2^{-(n+1)\ell} dx du \simeq 1,$$

and

$$\int_G \left| X_k \left((\zeta_\ell K_j^0)^* \right) (x, u) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}u = \int_G \left| X_k^\circ (\zeta_\ell K_j^0) (x, u) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}u \lesssim 2^{-\ell}.$$

By [40, Theorem 2.3 and Remark 2.4], we conclude that the convolution operator with kernel ζK_j^0 is of weak type (1, 1), bounded on $L^p(G)$ for $p \in (1, 2]$, and also bounded from $H^1(G)$ to $L^1(G)$. Due to the relations (4.1), the same boundedness properties are shared by the local parts $\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$ and $\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*}$ of the Riesz transform kernels.

Because of the boundedness of their local parts, the boundedness of the adjoint Riesz transforms is reduced to that of the corresponding parts at infinity, described in Proposition 3.4.

Corollary 4.3 Let $p \in (1, 2]$. For the adjoint Riesz transform \mathcal{R}_j^* with j = 1, ..., n, any of the following boundedness properties holds if and only if it holds for the convolution operator with kernel K_j :

- L^p(G)-boundedness:
- *weak type* (1, 1);
- boundedness from $H^1(G)$ to $L^1(G)$.

The same is true for the adjoint Riesz transform \mathcal{R}_0^* and the kernel $\tilde{K}_0 + K_0$.

Proof We already know (see [27, 40, 55]) that \mathcal{R}_0 is of weak type (1, 1), bounded from $H^1(G)$ to $L^1(G)$, and bounded on $L^p(G)$ for all $p \in (1, 2]$. Thus \mathcal{R}_0^* has any of those boundedness properties if and only if $\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*$ has.

Let us choose $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$ be supported in the region where |u| < 1, and be identically one in a neighborhood of the origin, and decompose

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*} = \zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*} + (1 - \zeta) k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}, \quad k_{\mathcal{R}_i^*} = \zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_i^*} + (1 - \zeta) k_{\mathcal{R}_i^*}.$$

By Proposition 4.2, we know that the "local parts" $\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}$ and $\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*}$ have all of the above boundedness properties, thus the problem is reduced to whether the "parts at infinity" $(1 - \zeta)k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}$ and $(1 - \zeta)k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*}$ do.



г

Now, the support of ζ is contained in the region $\{|u| < 1\}$, and therefore $1 - \zeta$ is identically 1 on $\{|u| \ge 1\}$. So, by Proposition 3.4, for j = 1, ..., n,

$$(1 - \zeta)k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*} = -\frac{2\Gamma(1 + n/2)}{\pi^{1 + n/2}} (\tilde{K}_0 + K_0) + (1 - \zeta)q_0,$$

$$(1 - \zeta)k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*} = -\frac{2\Gamma(1 + n/2)}{\pi^{1 + n/2}} K_j + (1 - \zeta)q_j.$$

As the kernels $(1 - \zeta)q_0$, $(1 - \zeta)q_j$ are integrable on G, the boundedness properties of $k_{\mathcal{R}_0 - \mathcal{R}_0^*}$ and $k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*}$ are indeed reduced to those of $\tilde{K}_0 + K_0$ and K_j respectively. \square

As we shall see in Sect. 7 below, the boundedness from $H^1(G)$ to $L^1(G)$ fails for the adjoint Riesz transforms, so we set this property apart. As for the remaining boundedness properties, a further reduction is quickly provided by the analysis of the kernel \tilde{K}_0 .

Proposition 4.4 Let \tilde{K}_0 be as in Proposition 3.4. Then the operator

$$f \mapsto f * \tilde{K}_0$$

is of weak type (1, 1) and bounded on $L^p(G)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Proof By (2.2),

$$\begin{split} f * \tilde{K}_0(x, u) &= \int_G f(x - \mathrm{e}^{u - u'} x', u - u') \, \tilde{K}_0(x', u') \, \mathrm{d}x' \, \mathrm{d}u' \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x - x', u - u') \, (r_0)_{(\mathrm{e}^{u - u'})}(x') \, \mathrm{d}x' \, \frac{\chi_{\{|u'| \ge 1\}}}{u'} \, \mathrm{d}u'. \end{split}$$

In other words, if we use the notation

$$f_x(u) = f^u(x) = f(x, u),$$

then $f * \tilde{K}_0 = ABf$, where

$$(Bf)^{u} = f^{u} *_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (r_{0})_{(e^{u})}, \quad (Af)_{x} = f_{x} *_{\mathbb{R}} k$$

and $k(u) = \frac{\chi\{|u| \ge 1\}}{u}$.

Recall that on G with coordinates (x, u) we are using the Lebesgue measure dx du as reference measure. As $r_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the scaling $F \mapsto F_{(\lambda)}$ preserves the L^1 -norm, it is immediately seen that B is $L^p(G)$ -bounded for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

On the other hand, k is the truncation of a Calderón–Zygmund kernel on \mathbb{R} , thus it is well known (see, e.g., [49, Chap. I, Sect. 7.1]) that the corresponding convolution operator is of weak type (1, 1) and $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -bounded for $p \in (1, \infty)$, whence one easily deduces that A is of weak type (1, 1) and $L^p(G)$ -bounded for $p \in (1, \infty)$.



222 Page 28 of 53 A. Martini

Corollary 4.5 Let $p \in (1, 2]$. For the adjoint Riesz transform \mathcal{R}_j^* with j = 0, ..., n, any of the following boundedness properties holds if and only if it holds for the convolution operator with kernel K_j :

- $L^p(G)$ -boundedness;
- *weak type* (1, 1).

Remark 4.6 Formally, the kernels K_j for $j=1,\ldots,n$ have a very similar expression to that of \tilde{K}_0 in Proposition 3.4. Indeed, by proceeding as in the proof of 4.4, one could write $f*K_j=A_jB_jf$, where $(B_jf)^u=f^u*_{\mathbb{R}^n}(r_j)_{(\mathrm{e}^u)}$ is bounded on $L^p(G)$ for $p\in[1,\infty]$, as $r_j\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, in this case $(A_jf)_x=f_x*_{\mathbb{R}}h$, where the kernel $h(u)=\frac{X[u\leq -1]}{u}$ is noncancellative, and indeed the corresponding convolution operator is unbounded on any L^p spaces. Thus, the fact that the composition A_jB_j is bounded on $L^p(G)$ for $p\in(1,\infty)$ is due to a delicate interplay between the two components, and exploits in a fundamental way the cancellative nature of the kernel r_j . See also [25, Remark at the end of Sect. 3].

5 The Fourier Multiplier Approach

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. As discussed, what we need to prove is the $L^p(G)$ -boundedness for $p \in (1, 2)$ of the adjoint Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j^* and, by Corollary 4.5, this is reduced to the corresponding boundedness properties of the convolution operators with kernels K_j .

As it turns out, a convenient way to analyze the $L^p(G)$ -boundedness properties of the convolution operators corresponding to the kernels K_j , $j=0,\ldots,n$, is to write $L^p(G)=L^p(\mathbb{R}^n;L^p(\mathbb{R}))$ and to think of the aforementioned operators as operator-valued Fourier multiplier operators on \mathbb{R}^n . Indeed, by definition, left-invariant operators on G commute, with left translations on G; as

$$(x', 0) \cdot (x, u) = (x' + x, u),$$

this shows in particular that left-invariant operators on G commute with standard translations in the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

More explicitly, for a kernel K on G, we can write, at least formally, by (2.2),

$$f * K(x, u) = \int_{G} f(x - e^{u - u'} x', u - u') K(x', u') dx' du'$$

$$= \int_{G} f(x - x', u - u') K_{(e^{u - u'})}(x', u') dx' du',$$
(5.1)

where we are using the notation $K_{(\lambda)}(x, u) = \lambda^{-n} K(\lambda^{-1} x, u)$. So, if \mathcal{F} denotes the partial Fourier transform in the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\mathcal{F}(f * K)(\xi, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{F}K)(e^{u-u'}\xi, u')(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi, u - u') du'$$
$$= [M_K(\xi)(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi, \cdot)](u),$$



where for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $M_K(\xi)$ is the integral operator on \mathbb{R} given by

$$[M_K(\xi)\phi](u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_K^{\xi}(u, u')\phi(u') \, \mathrm{d}u', \quad H_K^{\xi}(u, u') = (\mathcal{F}K)(\mathrm{e}^{u'}\xi, u - u').$$
(5.2)

In other words, the partial Fourier transform \mathcal{F} intertwines the operator $f \mapsto f * K$ with the operator-valued multiplier M_K . Thus, in order to prove the $L^p(G)$ -boundedness for $p \in (1, \infty)$ of $f \mapsto f * K$, we can make use of a particular instance of an operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem proved in [51]. In what follows, $A_2(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the Muckenhoupt class of A_2 weights on \mathbb{R} , and $[w]_{A_2}$ denotes the A_2 -characteristic of a weight $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 5.1 Assume that there exists a nondecreasing function $\psi: [1, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that, for all $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^n$,

$$\left\| \xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} M_K(\xi) \right\|_{L^2(w) \to L^2(w)} \le \psi([w]_{A_2}).$$
 (5.3)

Then, the operator $f \mapsto f * K$ is bounded on $L^p(G)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Proof Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. According to [28, Proposition 4.2.15] and [29, Proposition 7.5.3], $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ is a UMD space with Pisier's contraction property, in the sense of [28, Definition 4.2.1] and [29, Definition 7.5.1]. Therefore, by [29, Corollary 8.3.22], to deduce the $L^p(G)$ -boundedness of $f \mapsto f * K$ it is enough to check that

$$\{\xi^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}M_K(\xi):\xi\in(\mathbb{R}^*)^n,\,\alpha\in\{0,1\}^n\}\tag{5.4}$$

is R-bounded as a family of operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$; here we refer to [29, Definition 8.1.1(1) and Remark 8.1.2] for the definition of R-boundedness of a family of operators. On the other hand, according to [29, Theorem 8.1.3(3)], a family of operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ is R-bounded if and only if it is ℓ^2 -bounded (in the sense of [29, Definition 8.1.1(3)]), and the ℓ^2 -boundedness on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ of the family (5.4) follows from the assumed uniform $L^2(w)$ -bound (5.3) by [29, Theorem 8.2.6].

Remark 5.2 The operator-valued symbol $\xi \mapsto M_K(\xi)$ admits an interpretation in terms of the group Fourier transform of the kernel K on G, defined in terms of unitary representation theory; see Remark 8.3 for details. In this sense, the above Proposition 5.1 can be thought of as an L^p Fourier multiplier theorem for the group Fourier transform on G.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we intend to apply Proposition 5.1 to the case where K is one of the kernels K_0, \ldots, K_n . Thus, we need to study the homogeneous ξ -derivatives of the Fourier multipliers $M_{K_j}(\xi)$ and the corresponding integral kernels $H_{K_j}^{\xi}$.



222 Page 30 of 53 A. Martini

Proposition 5.3 Let K_0, \ldots, K_n bet the kernels given in Proposition 3.4. Then, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $j = 1, \ldots, n, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $u, u' \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{split} \xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} H_{K_{0}}^{\xi}(u, u') &= \left[S_{\alpha, 0}(e^{u} \xi) - S_{\alpha, 0}(e^{u'} \xi) \right] \frac{\chi_{\{u \geq u' + 1\}}}{u - u'}, \\ \xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} H_{K_{j}}^{\xi}(u, u') &= S_{\alpha, j}(e^{u'} \xi) \frac{\chi_{\{u \leq u' - 1\}}}{u - u'}, \end{split}$$

where, for some $\epsilon > 0$, the functions $S_{\alpha,0}$ and $S_{\alpha,j}$ satisfy

$$|S_{\alpha,j}(\xi)| \lesssim_{\alpha} \min\{|\xi|^{\epsilon}, |\xi|^{-\epsilon}\},$$

$$|S_{\alpha,0}(\xi)| \lesssim_{\alpha} (1+|\xi|)^{-\epsilon}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, as well as

$$|S_{\alpha,0}(\xi) - S_{\alpha,0}(\xi')| \lesssim_{\alpha} |\xi - \xi'|^{\epsilon}$$
 whenever $|\xi|, |\xi'| \leq 1$.

Proof From (5.2) and Proposition 3.4, we deduce that, for j = 0, ..., n and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, the above formulas for the $\xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} H_{K_j}^{\xi}$ are satisfied if we set

$$S_{\alpha,j}(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \hat{r}_{j}(\xi) = \hat{r}_{\alpha,j}(\xi), \quad r_{\alpha,j}(x) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} (x^{\alpha} r_{j}(x)), \tag{5.5}$$

where \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f. From the expression for r_0 in Proposition 3.4, we immediately see that r_0 is in the Hörmander symbol class $S^{-(n+2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, therefore so is $r_{\alpha,0}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Similarly, for $j=1,\ldots,n$, we see that r_j is in the Hörmander symbol class $S^{-(n+1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and is an odd function in x_j , therefore so is $r_{\alpha,j}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. The desired properties of the functions $S_{\alpha,j}$, for $j=0,\ldots,n$, are then deduced by applying Lemma 5.4 below with $r=r_{\alpha,j}$, and taking into account that, for j>0, we have $S_{\alpha,j}(0)=0$ due to the mentioned parity property.

Lemma 5.4 Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$. If r is in the symbol class $S^{-(n+\delta)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then its Fourier transform \hat{r} is δ -Hölder continuous on \mathbb{R}^n and $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|)^N |\hat{r}(\xi)| < \infty$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof As $r \in S^{-(n+\delta)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\delta > 0$, we know that r is integrable on \mathbb{R}^n , thus \hat{r} is continuous and bounded. Since $(i\xi)^{\alpha}\hat{r}(\xi)$ is the Fourier transform of $\partial_x^{\alpha}r(x)$, which is in $S^{-(n+\delta+|\alpha|)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we deduce that $\xi^{\alpha}\hat{r}(\xi)$ is continuous and bounded too for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, whence the rapid decay of \hat{r} at infinity.

Now, from the fact that $r \in S^{-(n+\delta)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and from [30, Proposition 18.2.2 and Eq. (18.2.7)'] we deduce that $\hat{r} \in B^{\delta+n/2}_{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, thus also $\hat{r} \in B^{\delta}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by [9, Theorem 6.5.1]; here $B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Besov space on \mathbb{R}^n of indices p,q and order s, as defined in [9, Definition 6.2.2], and we remark that the notation ${}^{\infty}H_{(s)}$ used in [30] corresponds to $B^s_{2,\infty}$ here. From the characterisation of $B^{\delta}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given in [9, Theorem 6.2.5], we deduce that \hat{r} is δ -Hölder continuous, as required.



Remark 5.5 From the formulas for the functions r_j in Proposition 3.4, it is clear that the functions $S_{\alpha,j}$ in (5.5) could be more explicitly expressed in terms of derivatives of the kernels of Bessel potentials, thus ultimately in terms of Bessel functions (see, e.g., [4], [26, §6.1.2], [48, §V.3]). So the desired estimates for the $S_{\alpha,j}$ stated in Proposition 5.3 could also be derived from known properties and estimates for Bessel functions.

In light of the expressions in Proposition 5.3, the following result implies that the kernels K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_n satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 5.6 *Let* T *be an integral operator on* \mathbb{R} *. Assume that either of the following conditions is satisfied.*

(i) The integral kernel of T is of the form

$$(u, u') \mapsto [S(e^u \xi) - S(e^{u'} \xi)] \frac{\chi_{\{u \ge u'+1\}}}{u - u'}$$

for some $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $S : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$|S(\xi)| \le (1+|\xi|)^{-\epsilon} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$
$$|S(\xi) - S(\xi')| < |\xi - \xi'|^{\epsilon} \quad \text{whenever } |\xi|, |\xi'| < 1,$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$.

(ii) The integral kernel of T is of the form

$$(u, u') \mapsto S(e^{u'}\xi) \frac{\chi_{\{u \le u'-1\}}}{u - u'}$$

for some $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $S : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$|S(\xi)| \le \min\{|\xi|, |\xi|^{-1}\}^{\epsilon} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Then, for any $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R})$, the operator T is bounded on $L^2(w)$, with a bound only depending on ϵ and $[w]_{A_2}$.

Proof Set either

$$H_{\xi}(u, u') = [S(e^{u}\xi) - S(e^{u'}\xi)] \frac{\chi_{\{u \ge u'+1\}}}{u - u'}$$

or

$$H_{\xi}(u, u') = S(e^{u'}\xi) \frac{\chi_{\{u \le u'-1\}}}{u - u'},$$

according to which assumption is satisfied. In either case, we have

$$H_{\xi}(u, u') = H_{\xi/|\xi|}(u + \log |\xi|, u' + \log |\xi|).$$



222 Page 32 of 53 A. Martini

As the class $A_2(\mathbb{R})$ and the A_2 -characteristic are translation-invariant, we see that it is enough to prove the desired result with H_{ξ} replaced by $H_{\xi/|\xi|}$, i.e., we may assume that $|\xi| = 1$ without loss of generality.

Now, if assumption (ii) is satisfied, then

$$|H_{\xi}(u, u')| \le e^{-\epsilon |u'|} \frac{\chi_{\{|u-u'| \ge 1\}}}{|u-u'|}.$$
 (5.6)

If instead assumption (i) is satisfied, then we obtain different estimates according to the positions of u, u'. Namely, if $u \le 0$, then we also have $u' \le u - 1 \le -1$ on the support of H_{ξ} ; thus both $|e^{u}\xi|, |e^{u'}\xi| \le 1$ and

$$|S(e^{u}\xi) - S(e^{u'}\xi)| \le |e^{u}\xi - e^{u'}\xi|^{\epsilon} = (e^{u} - e^{u'})^{\epsilon} \le e^{-\epsilon|u|}.$$

Suppose instead that $u \ge 0$ and $u' \ge 0$; then

$$|S(e^{u}\xi) - S(e^{u'}\xi)| \le |e^{u}\xi|^{-\epsilon} + |e^{u'}\xi|^{-\epsilon} = e^{-\epsilon|u|} + e^{-\epsilon|u'|}$$

Finally, if $u \ge 0$ and $u' \le 0$, then

$$|S(e^{u}\xi) - S(e^{u'}\xi)| \le 2,$$

but, at the same time, $|u - u'| = |u| + |u'| \ge \sqrt{u^2 + (u')^2}$. Therefore, by combining the previous estimates, we conclude that

$$|H_{\xi}(u,u')| \le (e^{-\epsilon|u|} + e^{-\epsilon|u'|}) \frac{\chi_{\{|u-u'| \ge 1\}}}{|u-u'|} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{u^2 + (u')^2}}.$$
 (5.7)

In light of the estimates (5.6) and (5.7), the desired bound for T follows from Lemma 5.7.

Lemma 5.7 *Let* $\epsilon > 0$. *Each of the nonnegative kernels*

$$\begin{split} W(u,u') &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2 + (u')^2}}, \\ Z_{\epsilon}(u,u') &= \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon |u|} \frac{\chi_{\{|u-u'| \geq 1\}}}{|u-u'|}, \\ Z_{\epsilon}^*(u,u') &= \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon |u'|} \frac{\chi_{\{|u-u'| \geq 1\}}}{|u-u'|} \end{split}$$

defines an integral operator on \mathbb{R} which is bounded on $L^2(w)$ for all $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R})$, with bound depending only on ϵ and $[w]_{A_2}$.



Proof Let us first discuss the kernel W. By Schur's Test, one easily checks that the integral operator with kernel W is $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -bounded: indeed, for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} W(u, u') |u'|^{-\delta} du' \simeq |u|^{-1} \int_{0}^{|u|} s^{-\delta} ds + \int_{|u|}^{\infty} s^{-1-\delta} ds \simeq_{\delta} |u|^{-\delta}.$$

In addition, the kernel W trivially satisfies the pointwise estimates

$$|W(u, u')| \lesssim \frac{1}{|u - u'|},$$
 $|\partial_u W(u, u')| + |\partial_{u'} W(u, u')| \lesssim \frac{1}{(|u| + |u'|)^2} \leq \frac{1}{|u - u'|^2},$

i.e., W is a standard Calderón–Zygmund kernel on \mathbb{R} . The required weighted L^2 bounds for W therefore follow from the classical theory of Calderón–Zygmund operators (see, e.g., [49, Chap. V, Sect. 6.13]).

It remains to discuss the kernels Z_{ϵ} and Z_{ϵ}^* . It is immediately seen that the corresponding integral operators are adjoints of one another; as $A_2(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under the mapping $w \mapsto 1/w$, and $[1/w]_{A_2} = [w]_{A_2}$, it is enough to discuss the $L^2(w)$ bound for the kernel Z_{ϵ} .

By a slight abuse of notation, we write Z_{ϵ} to denote the integral operator as well as its integral kernel. Let $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for all $f \in L^2(w)$, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|Z_{\epsilon}f(u)| \le e^{-\epsilon|u|} ||f||_{L^{2}(w)} \left(\int_{|u-u'| \ge 1} \frac{du'}{|u-u'|^{2} w(u')} \right)^{1/2},$$

and therefore

$$\|Z_{\epsilon}f\|_{L^{2}(w)}^{2} \leq \|f\|_{L^{2}(w)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{|u-u'|>1} \frac{e^{-2\epsilon|u|}w(u)}{|u-u'|^{2}w(u')} du' du.$$

Thus, the desired bound will follow if we can prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{|t|>1} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\epsilon|u|} w(u)}{|t|^2 w(u-t)} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}u \lesssim_{\epsilon, [w]_{A_2}} 1.$$

Now, as both w, $1/w \in A_2(\mathbb{R})$, by the self-improving property of Muckenhoupt weights (see, e.g., [26, Corollary 9.2.6]) we deduce that w, $1/w \in A_p(\mathbb{R})$ for some p < 2 only depending on $[w]_{A_2}$. Thus, by the doubling property of Muckenhoupt weights (see, e.g., [26, Proposition 9.1.5(9)]), we deduce, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $r_2 \ge r_1 > 0$, the estimate

$$\frac{w((x-r_2,x+r_2))}{w((x-r_1,x+r_1))} \lesssim_{[w]_{A_2}} \left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right)^p,$$



222 Page 34 of 53 A. Martini

as well as the analogous estimate with w replaced by 1/w; here we write $w(I) = \int_I w$ for any Borel set $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, i.e., we identify the weight w with the measure on \mathbb{R} with density w with respect to the Lebesgue measure. As a consequence, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{|t|\geq 1} |t|^{-2} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{w(u-t)} \leq \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{\pm} 2^{-2k} (1/w)(u \pm [2^k, 2^{k+1}])$$

$$\lesssim_{[w]_{A_2}} \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-2k} 2^{kp} (1+|u|)^p (1/w)([-1, 1])$$

$$\lesssim_{[w]_{A_2}} (1+|u|)^p (1/w)([-1, 1]),$$

because p < 2; in the intermediate inequality we used the doubling property for 1/w. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{|t| \ge 1} \frac{e^{-2\epsilon |u|} w(u)}{|t|^2 w(u-t)} dt du \lesssim_{[w]_{A_2}} (1/w)([-1,1]) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\epsilon |u|} (1+|u|)^p w(u) du$$
$$\lesssim_{\epsilon,[w]_{A_2}} (1/w)([-1,1]) w([-1,1]) du \lesssim [w]_{A_2},$$

as desired; here the second inequality follows, via a dyadic decomposition, from the doubling property for w, while the last inequality is just a consequence of the definition of the A_2 characteristic.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We already know (see [27, Theorem 2.4] and [40, Theorem 1.1]) that the Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j are L^p -bounded for $p \in (1, 2]$, so it remains to show, by duality, that the adjoint Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j^* are L^p -bounded for $p \in (1, 2)$. By Corollary 4.5, it is enough to check the analogous boundedness property for the convolution operators with kernels K_j . In light of Propositions 5.3 and 5.6, the kernels K_j satisfy the assumption of Proposition 5.1, whence the desired L^p -boundedness follows.

6 The Haar Basis Approach

Here we aim at proving Theorem 1.2, i.e., the weak type (1, 1) boundedness of the adjoint Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_{j}^{*} for j = 1, ..., n. The argument presented here is an extension of that in [25], which treats the case n = 1.

We point out that the argument of [25] was adapted in [35] to prove the weak type (1, 1) boundedness of the adjoints of certain "horizontal Riesz transforms" on a homogeneous tree. In the context of the tree, the argument turns out to be particularly clean. However, in the continuous setting of ax + b groups, the proof becomes somewhat more technical due to a number of steps that appear to be needed, as in [25], to reduce the problem to a discrete model.

We start with a preliminary reduction, or discretisation.



Lemma 6.1 Let j = 1, ..., n and let r_j be as in Proposition 3.4. The adjoint Riesz transform \mathcal{R}_j^* is of weak type (1, 1) if and only if the operator T_j given by

$$T_{j} f(\cdot, k) = \sum_{h \ge k+1} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} f(\cdot, h+s) *_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (r_{j})_{(2^{h+s})} ds}{h-k}$$
(6.1)

is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ to $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof By Corollary 4.3, the weak type (1, 1) boundedness of the adjoint Riesz transform is equivalent of that of the convolution operator by the kernel K_j .

Much as in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we can write, for $f \in L^1(G)$,

$$-f * K_j(\cdot, u) = \int_{u+1}^{\infty} \frac{f(\cdot, u') *_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_j)_{(e^{u'})}}{u' - u} du';$$

thus, if $c = \log 2$ and Sf(x, u) = f(x, cu), then $S(-f * K_j) = \tilde{T}_j Sf$, where

$$\tilde{T}_j f(\cdot, u) = \int_{u+1/c}^{\infty} \frac{f(\cdot, u') *_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_j)_{(2^{u'})}}{u' - u} du',$$

and therefore the convolution operator by K_j is of weak type (1, 1) if and only if the operator \tilde{T}_j is. Now we write $\tilde{T}_j = \tilde{T}_j^1 + \tilde{T}_j^2$, where

$$\tilde{T}_j^1 f(\cdot, u) = \int_{|u|+1}^{\infty} \frac{f(\cdot, u') *_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_j)_{(2^{u'})}}{\lfloor u' \rfloor - \lfloor u \rfloor} du',$$

while T_i^2 is an integral operator with kernel H_j given by

$$H_{j}((x,u),(x',u')) = (r_{j})_{(2^{u'})}(x-x')$$

$$\times \left[\chi_{\{u+1/c \leq u'\}} \left(\frac{1}{u'-u} - \frac{1}{\lfloor u' \rfloor - \lfloor u \rfloor}\right) - \frac{\chi_{\{\lfloor u \rfloor + 1 \leq u' \leq u + 1/c\}}}{\lfloor u' \rfloor - \lfloor u \rfloor}\right].$$

As c < 1, clearly $u' - u \simeq \lfloor u' \rfloor - \lfloor u \rfloor \geq 1$ in the region where $u' \geq u + 1/c$, whence it easily follows that the factor in brackets above is bounded in absolute value by a multiple of $1/(1 + (u - u')^2)$; as moreover $r_j \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, this shows that $\sup_{x',u'} \iint |H_j((x,u),(x',u')| \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}u \lesssim 1$, i.e., \tilde{T}_j^2 is bounded on $L^1(G)$. The weak type (1,1) boundedness of \tilde{T}_j is therefore reduced to that of \tilde{T}_j^1 .

In order to conclude, it is enough to observe that

$$\tilde{T}_j^1 f(\cdot, u) = \sum_{h > \lfloor u \rfloor + 1} \int_0^1 \frac{f(\cdot, h + s) *_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_j)_{(2^{h + s})}}{h - \lfloor u \rfloor} \, \mathrm{d}s = T_j f(\cdot, \lfloor u \rfloor)$$

by (6.1), and therefore $\|\tilde{T}_j^1 f\|_{L^{1,\infty}(G)} = \|T_j f\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z})}$.



222 Page 36 of 53 A. Martini

We now recall the key result from [25] that constitutes the core of the weak type boundedness argument. For this, we need some definitions.

For any half-open interval $I = [a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we write $I^- = [a, (a+b)/2)$ and $I^+ = [(a+b)/2, b)$ for the lower and upper halves of I, and write

$$\psi_I = |I|^{-1}(\chi_{I^-} - \chi_{I^+})$$

for the basic (L^1 -normalised) Haar function supported on I.

Let $\lambda > 0$. A *scale-\lambda partition* is a partition of \mathbb{R} made of half-open intervals [a, b) of length λ . A *scale-\lambda Haar-like function* is a function of the form

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{P}}a_I\psi_I,$$

where \mathcal{P} is a scale- λ partition and $a_I \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $I \in \mathcal{P}$. The following result is [25, Theorem 3].

Theorem 6.2 Let $\beta > 0$. For any $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, let Δ_h be a scale- $\beta 2^h$ Haar-like function. Assume that $\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\Delta_h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$. Then

$$\left| \left\{ (t,k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z} : \left| \sum_{h \ge k+1} \frac{\Delta_h(t)}{h-k} \right| > \alpha \right\} \right| \lesssim \alpha^{-1} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\Delta_h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$$
 (6.2)

for all $\alpha > 0$, where the implicit constant is absolute.

While there are similarities between the sum in the left-hand side of (6.2) and the expression for the operator T_j in (6.1), a number of further reductions are needed before we can apply Theorem 6.2 to deduce information on T_j .

The following result is essentially a rephrasing of [25, Lemma 4]. Here, if J is a countable set, we denote by $\ell \log \ell(J)$ the set of all sequences $a: J \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\sum_{i \in J} |a(j)|(1 + |\log a(j)|) < \infty;$$

moreover, for all $\delta \in (0, \infty)$, we denote by $\ell^{\delta}(J)$ the set of all sequences $a: J \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\sum_{j\in J} |a(j)|^{\delta} < \infty.$$

Clearly

$$\ell^{\delta}(J) \subseteq \ell \log \ell(J) \tag{6.3}$$

whenever $\delta \in (0, 1)$.



Lemma 6.3 There exists a family $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{P}_m$ of half-open intervals, where \mathcal{P}_m is a scale- 2^m partition for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the following hold. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\rho \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$|\rho(t)| \le (1+|t|)^{-1-\epsilon}, \quad |\rho'(t)| \le (1+|t|)^{-2-\epsilon}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(t) dt = 0$. Set $c_I(\rho) = |I| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_I(t) \rho(t) dt$ for all $I \in \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$\rho = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} c_I(\rho) \psi_I, \tag{6.4}$$

where the series converges uniformly on \mathbb{R} and in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover

$$|c_I(\rho)| \le \kappa_{\epsilon}(I) \tag{6.5}$$

for all $I \in \mathcal{P}$, where the sequence $(\kappa_{\epsilon}(I))_{I \in \mathcal{P}}$ depends only on ϵ and not on ρ , and there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) \in (1/2, 1)$ such that

$$((1 + \log_{+} |I|)^{N} \kappa_{\epsilon}(I))_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \in \ell^{\delta}(\mathcal{P})$$
(6.6)

for all $N \geq 0$.

Proof If we take $\mathcal{P}_{-m} = \{D_{mk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where the D_{mk} are the intervals defined in [25, Sect. 1.3], then [25, Lemma 4] gives the decomposition (6.4) and the estimate (6.5) with

$$\kappa_{\epsilon}(D_{mk}) = C(\epsilon)2^{\epsilon m}(1 + 2^m + |k|)^{-2 - \epsilon}.$$

It only remains to check (6.6). Notice that, for any $N \ge 0$,

$$(1 + \log_{+}(|D_{mk}|))^{N} \kappa_{\epsilon}(D_{mk}) \lesssim_{\epsilon,N} (1 + m_{-})^{N} 2^{\epsilon m} (1 + 2^{m} + |k|)^{-2 - \epsilon},$$

where $m_{-} = \max\{-m, 0\}$ is the negative part of m. We can now find $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) \in (1/2, 1)$ such that $\delta(2 + \epsilon) > 2$. As a consequence,

$$\sum_{m,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left((1 + \log_{+}(|D_{mk}|))^{N} \kappa_{\epsilon}(D_{mk}) \right)^{\delta} \lesssim_{\epsilon,N} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} (1 + m_{-})^{N\delta} 2^{\epsilon\delta m} (1 + 2^{m})^{1 - \delta(2 + \epsilon)}$$
$$\lesssim_{\epsilon,N} \sum_{m\geq 0} 2^{m(1 - 2\delta)} + \sum_{m<0} |m|^{N\delta} 2^{-\delta\epsilon |m|} < \infty,$$

thus
$$((1 + \log_+(|D_{mk}|))^N \kappa_{\epsilon}(D_{mk}))_{m,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^{\delta}$$
.

We finally recall some addition results for the quasi-Banach space $L^{1,\infty}$ (see, e.g., [50, Lemma 2.3] and [47, Proposition 3]).



222 Page 38 of 53 A. Martini

Lemma 6.4 Let X be a measure space and $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

(i) If $F_1, ..., F_N \in L^{1,\infty}(X)$, then $F_1 + ... + F_N \in L^{1,\infty}(X)$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{N} F_j \right\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \le 4(1 + \log N) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|F_j\|_{L^{1,\infty}}.$$

(ii) If $F_1, ..., F_N \in L^{1,\infty}(X)$, and $||F_j||_{L^{1,\infty}} \le A_j$ for some $A_j > 0$ and all j = 1, ..., N, with $\sum_{j=1}^N A_j = 1$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{j} \right\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \le 4 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{j} \log(1/A_{j}).$$

We are now ready to prove the weak type bound for the adjoint Riesz transforms.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Without loss of generality we assume that j = 1. We write any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as (x_1, x') , where $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

By Lemma 6.1, in order to show that \mathcal{R}_1^* is of weak type (1, 1), it is enough to show the analogous property for the operator T_1 defined in (6.1).

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ be bounded and compactly supported. Set $f^u(x) = f(x, u)$. We now define, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$, an approximation of f^u by means of a sequence of measures on \mathbb{R}^n . Specifically, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$a_{h,\ell}^{u}(x') = \int_{[\ell 2^{h}, (\ell+1)2^{h})} f^{u}(x_{1}, x') dx_{1}, \quad \mu_{h}^{u} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{\ell 2^{h}} \otimes a_{h,\ell}^{u}.$$
 (6.7)

It is easy to check that

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_{h,\ell}^{u}(x')| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^{u}(x_1, x')| \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \tag{6.8}$$

and that the sequence of measures μ_h^u converges weakly to f^u as $h \to -\infty$; moreover, one can readily prove that

$$\|\mu_h^u * \phi - f^u * \phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le 2^h \|f^u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\partial_{x_1} \phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{6.9}$$

for any $p \in [1, \infty]$ and any bounded $\phi \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\partial_{x_1} \phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, if we set

$$\mu_{h,d}^{u} = \begin{cases} \mu_{h}^{u} & \text{if } d = 0, \\ \mu_{h-d}^{u} - \mu_{h-d+1}^{u} & \text{if } d > 0, \end{cases}$$



then we can write, at least in the sense of weak convergence,

$$f^u = \sum_{d \ge 0} \mu^u_{\lfloor u \rfloor, d}$$

and consequently, for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\int_0^1 f^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_1)_{(2^{h+s})} ds = \sum_{d>0} \int_0^1 \mu_{h,d}^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_1)_{(2^{h+s})} ds.$$
 (6.10)

We point out that, as f is bounded and compactly supported, the terms f^{h+s} and $\mu_{h,d}^{h+s}$ in (6.10) are nonvanishing only for finitely many $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, and moreover, for any $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, by (6.9), the convergence of the series in the right-hand side of (6.10) holds in any $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space, $p \in [1, \infty]$. Thus, from (6.1) we deduce that

$$T_1 f(\cdot, k) = \sum_{d>0} \sum_{h>k+1} \frac{\int_0^1 \mu_{h,d}^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_1)_{(2^{h+s})} ds}{h-k}, \tag{6.11}$$

where the sum in h is actually finite, and the sum in d converges in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Notice now that, from (6.7), it follows that

$$a_{h+1}^{u} = a_{h}^{u} + a_{h}^{u} + a_{h}^{u} + a_{h+1}^{u}$$

thus

$$\begin{split} \mu_{h+1}^{u} - \mu_{h}^{u} &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{\ell 2^{h+1}} \otimes a_{h+1,\ell}^{u} - \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{\ell 2^{h}} \otimes a_{h,\ell}^{u} \\ &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} (\delta_{\ell 2^{h+1}} - \delta_{\ell 2^{h+1} + 2^{h}}) \otimes a_{h,2\ell+1}^{u} \\ &= \tau_{-2^{h} \ell_{1}} \sigma_{h}^{u} - \sigma_{h}^{u}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\sigma_h^u := \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{\ell 2^{h+1} + 2^h} \otimes a_{h, 2\ell+1}^u,$$

 $e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and τ_v is the translation operator by $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, if d > 0, then

$$\begin{split} \mu_{h,d}^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_1)_{(2^{h+s})} &= \left(\sigma_{h-d}^{h+s} - \tau_{-2^{h-d}e_1}\sigma_{h-d}^{h+s}\right) *_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_1)_{(2^{h+s})} \\ &= \sigma_{h-d}^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left((r_1)_{(2^{h+s})} - \tau_{-2^{h-d}e_1} (r_1)_{(2^{h+s})} \right) \\ &= \sigma_{h-d}^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left((r_1)_{(2^s)} - \tau_{-2^{-d}e_1} (r_1)_{(2^s)} \right)_{(2^h)}. \end{split}$$



222 Page 40 of 53 A. Martini

In conclusion, we have established that, for all $d \ge 0$,

$$\mu_{h,d}^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_1)_{(2^{h+s})} = \sigma_{h,d}^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^n} (R_d^s)_{(2^h)}, \tag{6.12}$$

where

$$\sigma_{h,d}^{u} = \begin{cases} \mu_{h}^{u} & \text{if } d = 0, \\ \sigma_{h-d}^{u} & \text{if } d > 0, \end{cases} \quad R_{d}^{s} = \begin{cases} (r_{1})_{(2^{s})} & \text{if } d = 0, \\ (r_{1})_{(2^{s})} - \tau_{-2^{-d}e_{1}}(r_{1})_{(2^{s})} & \text{if } d > 0, \end{cases}$$

and actually

$$\sigma_{h,d}^{u} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{\ell 2^{h-d}} \otimes a_{h,d,\ell}^{u}, \tag{6.13}$$

where

$$a_{h,d,\ell}^{u} = \begin{cases} a_{h-d,\ell}^{u} & \text{if } d = 0 \text{ or } \ell \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (6.14)

From the formula for r_1 in Proposition 3.4 we deduce that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} R_0^s(x_1, x') dx_1 = 0$ for all $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $s \in [0, 1)$, and moreover

$$|R_0^s(x)| \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-n-1},$$

$$|\partial_{x_1} R_0^s(x)| \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-n-2},$$

$$|\partial_{x_1}^2 R_0^s(x)| \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-n-3}$$
(6.15)

uniformly in $s \in [0, 1)$. Additionally, when d > 0, we can write

$$R_d^s(x) = -2^{-d} \int_0^1 \partial_{x_1} R_0^s(x_1 + 2^{-d}t, x') dt.$$
 (6.16)

Consequently the zero-average property

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} R_d^s(x_1, x') \, \mathrm{d}x_1 = 0 \tag{6.17}$$

holds for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|R_d^s(x)| \lesssim 2^{-d} (1+|x'|)^{-(n-1)-\epsilon} (1+|x_1|)^{-1-\epsilon},$$

$$|\partial_{x_1} R_d^s(x)| \lesssim 2^{-d} (1+|x'|)^{-(n-1)-\epsilon} (1+|x_1|)^{-2-\epsilon},$$
(6.18)

uniformly in $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in [0, 1)$; indeed, in the case d = 0 the estimates (6.18) follow from the first two inequalities in (6.15), while in the case d > 0 they are obtained from (6.16) by differentiating as appropriate and estimating the corresponding integrands via the last two inequalities in (6.15).



In light of (6.17) and (6.18), we can invoke Lemma 6.3 and deduce that, for all $s \in [0, 1)$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write

$$R_d^s = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \psi_I \otimes c_{I,d}^s \tag{6.19}$$

where the functions $c_{I,d}^s = |I| \int_I \psi_I(x_1) R_d^s(x_1, \cdot) dx_1$ satisfy, for all $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$,

$$|c_{I,d}^s(x')| \lesssim 2^{-d} (1 + |x'|)^{-(n-1)-\epsilon} \kappa_{\epsilon}(I)$$
 (6.20)

uniformly in $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in [0, 1)$.

Consequently, by (6.12), (6.13) and (6.19), we can write

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mu_{h,d}^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (r_{1})_{(2^{h+s})} ds$$

$$= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\delta_{\ell 2^{h-d}} *_{\mathbb{R}} (\psi_{I})_{(2^{h})} \right) \otimes \int_{0}^{1} \left(a_{h,d,\ell}^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (c_{I,d}^{s})_{(2^{h})} \right) ds$$

$$= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} G_{I,d,h} = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{j=0}^{|2^{d}I|_{*}-1} G_{I,d,h,j}, \tag{6.21}$$

where $|I|_* := \max\{1, |I|\}$, and

$$G_{I,d,h,j} = \sum_{\ell \in j + |2^{d}I|_{*}\mathbb{Z}} \psi_{\ell 2^{h-d} + 2^{h}I} \otimes \int_{0}^{1} \left(a_{h,d,\ell}^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (c_{I,d}^{s})_{(2^{h})} \right) ds. \quad (6.22)$$

We now point out that, for any fixed $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, the function $G_{I,d,h,j}(\cdot,x')$ is a scale- $2^h|I|$ Haar-like function; indeed, the gap between consecutive indices ℓ in the sum in the right-hand side of (6.22) is a multiple of $2^d|I|$, thus the intervals $\ell 2^{h-d} + 2^h I$ are all disjoint and contained in a scale- $2^h|I|$ partition. So from Theorem 6.2 we deduce that, for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $I \in \mathcal{P}$, $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and $j = 0, \ldots, |2^dI|_* - 1$,

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \sum_{h \geq k+1} \frac{G_{I,d,h,j}(x_{1},x')}{h-k} \right\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{x_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{k})} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \|G_{I,d,h,j}(x_{1},x')\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}_{x_{1}})} \\ & \leq \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell \in j+|2^{d}I|_{*}\mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \left(a_{h,d,\ell}^{h+s} *_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (c_{I,d}^{s})_{(2^{h})} \right) (x') \right| \, \mathrm{d}s \\ & \lesssim \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell \in j+|2^{d}I|_{*}\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-d} \kappa_{\epsilon}(I) \left(\int_{0}^{1} |a_{h-d,\ell}^{h+s}| \, \mathrm{d}s *_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} ((1+|\cdot|)^{-(n-1)-\epsilon})_{(2^{h})} \right) (x'), \end{split}$$



222 Page 42 of 53 A. Martini

where (6.14) and (6.20) were used. Thus, by integration in $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and summation in j,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=0}^{|2^{d}I|_{*}-1} \left\| \sum_{h\geq k+1} \frac{G_{I,d,h,j}(x)}{h-k} \right\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{x}\times\mathbb{Z}_{k})} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{h,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-d}\kappa_{\epsilon}(I) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{1} \left| a_{h-d,\ell}^{h+s}(x') \right| \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}x' \\ &= 2^{-d}\kappa_{\epsilon}(I) \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| a_{\lfloor u \rfloor - d,\ell}^{u}(x') \right| \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}x' \\ &\leq 2^{-d}\kappa_{\epsilon}(I) \|f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}\times\mathbb{R})}, \end{split}$$

where Young's inequality was applied to estimate the convolution in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , and (6.8) was used in the last step. So, by (6.21) and Lemma 6.4(i), for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$\left\| \sum_{h \geq k+1} \frac{G_{I,d,h}(x)}{h-k} \right\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{Z}_k)}$$

$$\lesssim (1 + \log_+ |2^d I|) 2^{-d} \kappa_{\epsilon}(I) \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})}$$

$$\lesssim (1 + |d| + \log_+ |I|) 2^{-d} \kappa_{\epsilon}(I) \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})}.$$
(6.23)

Now, from (6.6), we deduce that, for some $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) \in (1/2, 1)$,

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} [(1 + |d| + \log_{+} |I|) 2^{-d} \kappa_{\epsilon}(I)]^{\delta} \\ & \lesssim_{\epsilon} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} (|d| 2^{-d})^{\delta} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} (\kappa_{\epsilon}(I))^{\delta} + \sum_{d \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-\delta d} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} ((1 + \log_{+} |I|) \kappa_{\epsilon}(I))^{\delta} < \infty; \end{split}$$

in particular, by (6.3),

$$((1+|d|+\log_+|I|)2^{-d}\kappa_{\epsilon}(I))_{(d,I)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathcal{P}}\in\ell\log\ell(\mathbb{N}\times\mathcal{P}).$$

Thus again, by (6.11), (6.21), (6.23) and Lemma 6.4(ii),

$$||T_1 f||_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z})} = \left| \left| \sum_{h \ge k+1} \frac{\sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}, d \in \mathbb{N}} G_{I,d,h}(x)}{h-k} \right| \right|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{Z}_k)}$$

$$\lesssim_{\epsilon} ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})}.$$

As bounded and compactly supported functions f are dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$, this shows that T_1 is of weak type (1, 1), as desired.



7 Hardy Space Unboundedness

Here we prove the following negative result, extending the analogous one in [46, Theorems 4.2 and 5.2] for the case n = 2. Our proof below appears to be somewhat shorter than the proofs in [46], but of course here we can rely on the various reductions and asymptotics established above.

Proposition 7.1 For j = 0, ..., n, the adjoint Riesz transform \mathcal{R}_j^* is not bounded from $H^1(G)$ to $L^1(G)$.

Proof In light of Corollary 4.3, for $j=1,\ldots,n$, in order to show that \mathcal{R}_j^* is not bounded from $H^1(G)$ to $L^1(G)$, it is enough to prove the same for the convolution operator with kernel K_j . To this purpose, we will exhibit (multiples of) atoms a_j of $H^1(G)$ such that $a_j * K_j \notin L^1(G)$.

Let $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be nontrivial nonnegative cutoffs, and let us consider, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$a_v(x, u) = (\phi(x + v) - \phi(x))\psi(u).$$

Clearly a_v is bounded and compactly supported, and $\int_G a_v = 0$, thus a_v is a multiple of an $H^1(G)$ atom (see, e.g., [38, Definitions 3.4 and 3.10]). Moreover, by (5.1) and Proposition 3.4,

$$a_{v} * K_{j}(x, u)$$

$$= \int_{G} a_{v}(x - x', u - u')(K_{j})_{(e^{u - u'})}(x', u') dx' du'$$

$$= \int_{G} \phi(x - x') \psi(u - u') \left[(r_{j})_{(e^{u - u'})}(x' + v) - (r_{j})_{(e^{u - u'})}(x') \right] \frac{\chi_{\{u' \le -1\}}}{u'} dx' du'.$$
(7.1)

Now, by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,

$$(r_j)_{(\lambda)}(y+v) - (r_j)_{(\lambda)}(y) = \lambda^{-1} \int_0^1 (v \cdot \nabla r_j)_{(\lambda)}(y+tv) \, \mathrm{d}t,$$
 (7.2)

and moreover

$$\nabla r_i(x) = (1+|x|^2)^{-1-n/2} e_i - (2+n)x_i(1+|x|^2)^{-2-n/2} x,$$

thus

$$e_j \cdot \nabla r_j(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-1 - n/2} - (2 + n)x_j^2 (1 + |x|^2)^{-2 - n/2}.$$

In particular, if x is in the region $V_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ where $x_i^2 \ge (1 + |x|^2)/2$, then

$$e_j \cdot \nabla r_j(x) \le -(n/2)(1+|x|^2)^{-1-n/2}.$$
 (7.3)



222 Page 44 of 53 A. Martini

Clearly, we can find a nonnegligible region $U_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that, if $x \in U_j$, $|x - x'| \le 2$ and $|u| \le 1$, then $e^u x' \in V_j$; for example, one can take $U_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_j| \ge 100, |x|^2 - x_j^2 \le 1\}$. As a consequence, if $x \in U_j$, $|x - x'| \le 1$ and $|u| \le 1$, then, by (7.2) and (7.3),

$$-[(r_j)_{(e^u)}(x'+e_j)-(r_j)_{(e^u)}(x')] \gtrsim (1+|x|^2)^{-1-n/2}.$$

Thus, if we choose ϕ and ψ supported in balls of radius 1 centered at the origin, and we take $x \in U_i$ and $u \le -2$, then, by (7.1),

$$a_{e_j} * K_j(x, u) \gtrsim (1 + |x|^2)^{-1 - n/2} |u|^{-1},$$

and clearly the right-hand side is not integrable on $U_j \times (-\infty, -2]$. We can then take $a_j = a_{e_j}$ to get the desired result.

The argument for \mathcal{R}_0^* is analogous. Here, by Corollary 4.3, it is enough to find a multiple a_0 of an $H^1(G)$ -atom such that $a_0 * (\tilde{K}_0 + K_0) \notin L^1(G)$. If we take $a_0 = a_v$ as before, with ϕ , ψ supported in centered balls of radius 1, then from (5.1) we deduce that $a_v * K_0(x, u) = 0$ whenever $u \le -2$, as K_0 is supported in the region where $u \ge 1$. Thus, by restricting to $u \le -2$, we have

$$\begin{split} a_v * (\tilde{K}_0 + K_0)(x, u) \\ &= a_v * \tilde{K}_0(x, u) \\ &= \int_G \phi(x - x') \psi(u - u') \left[(r_0)_{(e^{u - u'})} (x' + v) - (r_0)_{(e^{u - u'})} (x') \right] \frac{\chi_{\{u' \le -1\}}}{u'} dx' du'. \end{split}$$

So we can proceed with the same argument as before with r_i replaced by r_0 . As

$$\nabla r_0(x) = -(2+n)(1+|x|^2)^{-2-n/2}x,$$

here one can just take as v any unit vector, and as $V_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ the region where $x \cdot v \ge \sqrt{(1+|x|^2)/2}$, in order to show that

$$a_v * (\tilde{K}_0 + K_0)(x, u) \gtrsim (1 + |x|^2)^{-3/2 - n/2} |u|^{-1}$$

on a region of the form $U_0 \times (-\infty, -2]$, and conclude that $a_v * (\tilde{K}_0 + K_0)$ is not integrable.

8 Representation Theory of G and Riesz Transforms for a One-Dimensional Schrödinger Operator

The following proposition collects a few basic results about the representation theory of the group G (cf., e.g., [19, 31, 33] and [22, Sect. 6.7] for the case n = 1). Here, for a unitary representation π of G on a Hilbert space H and a function $F \in L^1(G)$, we write



 $\pi(F) = \int_G F(x, u) \, \pi((x, u)^{-1}) \, dx \, du$ for the bounded operator on H corresponding to F via π .

Proposition 8.1 *Let* $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

(i) The formula

$$\sigma^{\xi}(x, u)\phi(s) = e^{ie^{s}\xi \cdot x}\phi(s + u)$$

defines a unitary representation of G on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which also acts by isometries on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$.

(ii) If $\tau_v \phi(s) = \phi(s-v)$ denotes the translation operator by v on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\sigma^{\mathrm{e}^{v}\xi} = \tau_{-v}\sigma^{\xi}\tau_{v}.$$

(iii) For all $K \in L^1(G)$.

$$\sigma^{\xi}(K) = M_K(\xi),$$

where $M_K(\xi)$ is the integral operator with kernel H_K^{ξ} defined in (5.2). (iv) For all $K \in L^1(G)$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$||M_K(\xi)||_{L^p(\mathbb{R})\to L^p(\mathbb{R})} \le ||K||_{\mathrm{Cv}^p(G)},$$

and in particular

$$||M_K(\xi)||_{L^p(\mathbb{R})\to L^p(\mathbb{R})} \le ||K||_{L^1(G)}.$$

Proof It is readily checked that σ^{ξ} is a representation of G, and it is evident from the given expression that it acts isometrically on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for any $p \in [1, \infty]$; this proves part (i). It is similarly easily checked that translations intertwine representations σ^{ξ} with parameters that are positive multiples of each other, as described in part (ii).

Now, if $K \in L^1(G)$, then, for all $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\sigma^{\xi}(K)\phi(s) = \int_{G} K(x, u)\sigma^{\xi}((x, u)^{-1})f(s) dx du$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K(x, u)e^{-ie^{s-u}\xi \cdot x}\phi(s - u) dx du$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K(x, s - s')e^{-ie^{s'}\xi \cdot x}\phi(s') dx ds'$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}K(e^{s'}\xi, s - s')\phi(s') ds',$$

where \mathcal{F} is the partial Fourier transform in the variable x. Comparing the above formula with (5.2) proves part (iii).



222 Page 46 of 53 A. Martini

In light of the identity in part (iii), the second estimate in part (iv) is an immediate consequence of the fact that σ^{ξ} acts isometrically on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$. The first, more precise estimate follows from the transference principle (see, e.g., [11, Theorem 2.4] or [8, Theorem 2.7]), as G is an amenable group since it is solvable (see [42, Corollary 13.5]).

Remark 8.2 The unitary representations σ^{ξ} (where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$) on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ introduced in Proposition 8.1 are nothing else than the representations of G induced by the nontrivial characters $x \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{i\xi \cdot x}$ of the normal subgroup \mathbb{R}^n . Another family of unitary representations of G is obtained by lifting to G the characters of $\mathbb{R} = G/\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., is given by the characters $\chi_{\mu}(x,u) = \mathrm{e}^{i\mu u}$ (where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$) of G. By the "Mackey machine" (see, e.g., [22, Theorem 6.43]) all these representations are irreducible and any irreducible unitary representation of G is equivalent to one of these; moreover, the only nontrivial equivalences between these representations are those described in Proposition 8.1(ii). This corresponds to the fact that the coadjoint action of G on (the Pontryagin dual of) \mathbb{R}^n factors through the normal abelian subgroup \mathbb{R}^n and corresponds to the action by dilations $\xi \mapsto \mathrm{e}^u \xi$ of \mathbb{R}_u on \mathbb{R}^n_ξ ; the orbits are therefore $\{0\}$ and the half-lines $\mathbb{R}_+\xi$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Remark 8.3 By Remark 8.2, the unitary representations σ^{ξ} for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ exhaust (up to equivalence) all the infinite-dimensional irreducible representations of G. We can therefore think of the mapping $K \mapsto (\sigma^{\xi}(K))_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}}$ as a "concrete" realisation of the group Fourier transform on G (where we neglect one-dimensional representations and allow for some redundancy in the parametrisation of the infinite-dimensional ones). The identity $\sigma^{\xi}(K) = M_K(\xi)$ discussed in Proposition 8.1(iii) above thus yields a potentially suggestive interpretation of Proposition 5.1: namely, Proposition 5.1 can be thought of as an L^p Fourier multiplier theorem for the group Fourier transform of G, where $L^p(G)$ -boundedness properties of $f \mapsto f * K$ are deduced from suitable boundedness properties of the "symbol" $\xi \mapsto \sigma^{\xi}(K)$ and its derivatives. In these respects, Proposition 5.1 can be compared to results of a similar flavor appeared in the literature for other Lie groups (see, e.g., [10, 17, 21] and references therein).

In what follows, we write S(G) for the usual Schwartz class on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and S'(G) for the corresponding dual space of tempered distributions.

Lemma 8.4 $Cv^2(G) \subseteq S'(G)$. Moreover, if a sequence K_n in $Cv^2(G)$ converges to $K \in Cv^2(G)$ with respect to the weak operator topology on $L^2(G)$, then $K_n \to K$ in S'(G) too.

Proof Of course $S(G) \subseteq L^2(G)$, as we are using the standard Lebesgue measure dx du on G. Additionally, from (1.1) it is not difficult to see that S(G) is invariant under left translations (indeed, left G-translations acts as affine linear maps on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$). Moreover, the right-invariant vector fields corresponding to (1.2) are

$$X_0^r = \partial_u + x \cdot \nabla_x, \quad X_1^r = \partial_{x_1}, \dots, X_n^r = \partial_{x_n},$$

thus S(G) is clearly invariant under right-invariant differential operators.



Let $K \in \text{Cv}^2(G)$. Now, for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ and right-invariant differential operator D on G, we have $Df \in \mathcal{S}(G) \subseteq L^2(G)$, thus also $D(f * K^*) = (Df) * K^* \in L^2(G)$, because $K^* \in \text{Cv}^2(G)$. By Sobolev's embedding we conclude that $f * K^*$ is smooth, and moreover, if e = (0, 0) is the identity element, then we can bound

$$|\langle f, K \rangle| = |\langle f * K^*, \delta_e \rangle| = |(f * K^*)(e)| \lesssim \sum_{D} ||(Df) * K^*||_2 \lesssim \sum_{D} ||Df||_2,$$

where the sum is extended over a suitable finite family (independent of f) of rightinvariant differential operators D. The above estimate shows that K indeed defines a bounded linear functional on S(G), i.e., $K \in S'(G)$.

By the discussion at the beginning of the proof, we have a continuous representation of G on the Fréchet space $\mathcal{S}(G)$, where G acts by left translations, and moreover all elements of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ are smooth vectors for this representation, as $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is invariant by right-invariant differential operators. If we apply [18, Théorème 3.3] to this representation, then we conclude that any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ can be written as a finite sum of the form

$$\phi = \sum_{j} \psi_{j} * \phi_{j}, \tag{8.1}$$

where $\psi_j \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$ and $\phi_j \in \mathcal{S}(G)$. Let now $K_n \in \text{Cv}^2(G)$ be a sequence converging to $K \in \text{Cv}^2(G)$ in the sense of the weak operator topology on $L^2(G)$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, and decompose ϕ as in (8.1). Then

$$\langle K_n, \phi \rangle = \sum_j \langle K_n, \psi_j * \phi_j \rangle = \sum_j \langle \overline{\check{\psi}_j} * K_n, \phi_j \rangle,$$
 (8.2)

where $\check{\psi}_i(x, u) = \psi_i((x, u)^{-1}) = \psi_i(-e^{-u}x, -u)$. So $\overline{\check{\psi}_i} \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$ and therefore both $\overline{\psi_i}$, $\phi_i \in L^2(G)$. As $K_n \to K$ in the sense of weak operator convergence on $L^{2}(G)$, when we pass to the limit in the right-hand side of (8.2) we replace K_{n} with K, thus we conclude that $\langle K_n, \phi \rangle \to \langle K, \overline{\phi} \rangle$. As $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ was arbitrary, this shows that $K_n \to K$ in $\mathcal{S}'(G)$.

Remark 8.5 Observe that S(G) and S'(G) are not invariant under right translations, nor under the involution $f \mapsto f^*$. Nevertheless $Cv^2(G)$ is.

We now show that, at least in the smallest dimensional case n = 1, the correspondence $K \mapsto (M_K(\xi))_{\xi}$ discussed in Proposition 8.1 can be extended to the case where K is a distribution in $Cv^2(G)$. This result should be compared with, e.g., [33, Sect. 4] and [19, Sect. 5].

Lemma 8.6 Assume that n = 1. For any $K \in Cv^2(G)$, the partial Fourier transform \mathcal{F} , thought of as a unitary isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}: L^2(G) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^*_{\xi}; L^2(\mathbb{R}_u)),$$



222 Page 48 of 53 A. Martini

intertwines the operator of convolution by K on $L^2(G)$ with the direct integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^*}^{\oplus} M_K(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi,$$

of bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_u)$, whose Schwartz kernels H_K^{ξ} are given, in the sense of distributions, by

$$H_K^{\xi}(u, u') = (\mathcal{F}K)(e^{u'}\xi, u - u').$$
 (8.3)

Moreover

$$M_K(e^v \xi) = \tau_{-v} M_K(\xi) \tau_v \tag{8.4}$$

for any $v \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^*$, and

$$\|K\|_{\mathrm{Cv}^2(G)} = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^*} \|M_K(\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \max_{\pm} \|M_K(\pm 1)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Proof Recall from Lemma 8.4 that any distribution $K \in \text{Cv}^2(G)$ is a tempered distribution. As a consequence, we can make sense of the partial Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}K \in \mathcal{S}'(G)$ and of the computations at the beginning of Sect. 5, which show that indeed \mathcal{F} intertwines the convolution operator by K with the direct integral of the $M_K(\xi)$. Here the identity from (5.2), expressing the integral kernel of $M_K(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^*$, can be be interpreted in the sense of distribution: indeed, if $\xi \neq 0$, then the change of variables $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (u, u') \mapsto (\mathrm{e}^{u'}\xi, u - u') \in \mathbb{R}_+ \xi \times \mathbb{R}$ is nondegenerate.

As the partial Fourier transform \mathcal{F} intertwines the convolution operator by K and the direct integral of the $M_K(\xi)$, we clearly have

$$||K||_{\mathrm{Cv}^2(G)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^*} ||M_K(\xi)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Now, from the formula for H_K^{ξ} it is immediately checked that (8.4) holds. This shows in particular that $M_K(\xi)$ and $M_K(\xi/|\xi|)$ are intertwined by an L^2 -isometry, so they have the same operator norm, and the required characterisation of the norm $||K||_{\text{Cv}^2(G)}$ follows.

As a consequence of the L^p -boundedness of the Riesz transforms on G (see Theorem 1.1) and the amenability of the group G, via transference we can deduce a corresponding result for the Riesz transforms associated with the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H} = -\partial_s^2 + \mathrm{e}^{2s}$ on \mathbb{R} , stated as Theorem 1.3 above.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 It will be enough to work with the smallest ax + b group $G = \mathbb{R}_x \rtimes \mathbb{R}_u$, i.e., here we take n = 1.

As before, let \mathcal{F} denote the partial Fourier transform in the variable x on $\mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_u$. Then it is immediately checked that, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^*$,

$$\mathcal{F}(X_0 f)(\xi, u) = \partial_u \mathcal{F} f(\xi, u), \quad \mathcal{F}(X_1 f)(\xi, u) = i \xi e^u \mathcal{F} f(\xi, u),$$



and therefore also

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}f)(\xi, u) = \mathcal{H}^{\xi} f(\xi, u),$$

where \mathcal{H}^{ξ} is the Schrödinger operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_u)$ given by

$$\mathcal{H}^{\xi} = -\partial_u^2 + \xi^2 e^{2u}.$$

Consequently, \mathcal{F} intertwines, in the sense of Lemma 8.6, the Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_0 and \mathcal{R}_1 with the direct integrals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^*}^{\oplus} \mathcal{R}_0^{\xi} \, \mathrm{d} \xi, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^*}^{\oplus} \mathcal{R}_1^{\xi} \, \mathrm{d} \xi,$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_0^{\xi} := \partial_u (\mathcal{H}^{\xi})^{-1/2}, \quad \mathcal{R}_1^{\xi} := i \xi e^u (\mathcal{H}^{\xi})^{-1/2};$$

note that the latter operators are trivially $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -bounded for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^*$, with norm at most 1. We shall show that the \mathcal{R}_j^ξ are actually $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -bounded for all $p \in (1, \infty)$; the case $\xi = 1$ gives the desired boundedness result.

As the Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j are L^2 -bounded left-invariant operators on G, the aforementioned intertwining property can be written, in the notation of Lemma 8.6, as

$$\mathcal{R}_{j}^{\xi}=M_{k_{\mathcal{R}_{j}}}(\xi).$$

As we know that $k_{\mathcal{R}_j} \in \operatorname{Cv}^p(G)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ by Theorem 1.1, the L^p -boundedness of the Schrödinger–Riesz transforms \mathcal{R}_j^ξ would follow if we could apply the transference result in Proposition 8.1(iv) with $K = k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$. This is not directly possible as $k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$ is not integrable; to overcome this, we shall show that $k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$ is approximable by integrable kernels satisfying analogous L^p bounds.

As discussed, e.g., in [40, Sect. 4.2], we can subordinate the Riesz transforms to the heat propagator and obtain that

$$k_{\mathcal{R}_j} = \lim_{n \to \infty} K_{j,n}, \quad K_{j,n} = \int_{2^{-n}}^{2^n} X_j h_t \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{\pi t}},$$

where h_t is the heat kernel of \mathcal{L} , as in Sect. 2, and the convergence is in the sense of the strong operator topology on $L^2(G)$; so, from Lemma 8.4 we deduce that

$$K_{j,n} \to k_{\mathcal{R}_j} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(G),$$
 (8.5)

and moreover, by [40, Proposition 4.1(v)],

$$||K_{j,n}||_{\mathrm{Cv}^2(G)} \le ||k_{\mathcal{R}_j}||_{\mathrm{Cv}^2(G)} \le 1.$$

222 Page 50 of 53 A. Martini

Additionally, the proof of [40, Theorem 1.1(i)] shows that each $K_{j,n}$ satisfies the "Calderón–Zygmund" assumptions of [40, Theorem 2.3] uniformly in n, whence we deduce a uniform bound

$$\sup_{n} \|K_{j,n}\|_{\operatorname{Cv}^{p}(G)} < \infty \tag{8.6}$$

for all $p \in (1, 2]$.

Now, clearly $K_{j,n} \in L^1(G)$, as $\sup_{t \in [2^{-n}, 2^n]} \|X_j h_t\|_1 < \infty$ (see, e.g., [40, Proposition 3.1]). Transference [as stated in Proposition 8.1(iv) above] can then be applied to the $K_{j,n}$, and from (8.6) we deduce that, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $p \in (1, 2]$,

$$\sup_{n} \|M_{K_{j,n}}(\xi)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})\to L^p(\mathbb{R})} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, from (8.3) and (8.5) it follows that

$$H_{K_{j,n}}^{\xi} \to H_{k_{\mathcal{R}_j}}^{\xi} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

As $H_{K_{j,n}}^{\xi}$ and $H_{k_{\mathcal{R}_{j}}}^{\xi}$ are the Schwartz kernels of $M_{K_{j,n}}$ and \mathcal{R}_{j}^{ξ} respectively, this allows us to deduce that the \mathcal{R}_{j}^{ξ} are also $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ -bounded for all $p \in (1, 2]$. In order to conclude, we need to show that the adjoint operators $(\mathcal{R}_{j}^{\xi})^{*}$ satisfy analogous bounds.

Let $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$ be any cutoff, and let $p \in (1, 2]$. As $\operatorname{Cv}^p(G)$ is a module over $C_c^{\infty}(G)$ (see Lemma 4.1), we conclude that the kernels $\zeta K_{j,n}$ are also in $\operatorname{Cv}^p(G)$ with a uniform bound in n. Additionally, $\zeta K_{j,n} \to \zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(G)$, so $H_{\zeta K_{j,n}}^{\xi} \to H_{\zeta \mathcal{R}_j}^{\xi}$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Arguing as before, by means of transference we can then deduce that $M_{\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j}}(\xi)$ is $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -bounded for all $p \in (1, 2]$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

Now, from Proposition 3.2 we deduce that $\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j + \mathcal{R}_j^*} \in L^1(G)$, thus trivially $M_{\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j + \mathcal{R}_j^*}}(\xi)$ is $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -bounded for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, and by difference we deduce that $M_{\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j^*}}(\xi)$ and $M_{\zeta k_{\mathcal{R}_j - \mathcal{R}_j^*}}(\xi)$ are $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -bounded for $p \in (1, 2]$.

In order to conclude that $(\mathcal{R}_0^{\xi})^*$ and $(\mathcal{R}_1^{\xi})^*$ are $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ -bounded for $p \in (1,2]$, it is therefore enough to show that $M_{(1-\zeta)k_{\mathcal{R}_0-\mathcal{R}_0^*}}(\xi)$ and $M_{(1-\zeta)k_{\mathcal{R}_1^*}}(\xi)$ are. If we choose the cutoff ζ appropriately, as in the proof of Corollary 4.3, then

$$(1-\zeta)k_{\mathcal{R}_0-\mathcal{R}_0^*} = c(\tilde{K}_0 + K_0) + r_0, \quad (1-\zeta)k_{\mathcal{R}_1} = cK_1 + r_1$$

for some constant c and some $r_0, r_1 \in L^1(G)$. Consequently, we are reduced to showing that $M_{\tilde{K}_0}(\xi)$, $M_{K_0}(\xi)$, $M_{K_1(\xi)}$ are L^p -bounded for $p \in (1, 2]$. While it would be possible to use transference for this as well, here we can proceed more directly.

Indeed, from the expression for \tilde{K}_0 in Proposition 3.4, we have that

$$H_{\tilde{K}_0}^{\xi}(u, u') = (\mathcal{F}r_0)(e^{u'}\xi)\frac{\chi_{|u-u'|\geq 1}}{u-u'}.$$



In other words, the operator $M_{\tilde{K}_0}(\xi)$ on \mathbb{R} is the composition of the operator of convolution by $u\mapsto \frac{\mathbb{X}|u|\geq 1}{u}$ and the operator of multiplication by $(\mathcal{F}r_0)(\mathrm{e}^u\xi)$. The latter is trivially bounded on any L^p as the function $u\mapsto (\mathcal{F}r_0)(\mathrm{e}^u\xi)$ is bounded, while the former is L^p -bounded for any $p\in(1,\infty)$ as discussed in the proof of Proposition 4.4.

As for the remaining operators $M_{K_0}(\xi)$ and $M_{K_1}(\xi)$, from Sect. 5 we already know that they are $L^2(w)$ -bounded for any $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R})$, which implies by extrapolation (see, e.g., [26, Theorem 9.5.3]) that they are also L^p -bounded for any $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Acknowledgements The financial support of Compagnia di San Paolo is gratefully acknowledged. The author is a Member of the Gruppo Nazionale di Analisi Matematica, Probabilità e Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

Funding Open access funding provided by Politecnico di Torino within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Alexopoulos, G., Lohoué, N.: Riesz means on Lie groups and Riemannian manifolds of nonnegative curvature. Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 122, 209–223 (1994)
- 2. Anker, J.-P., Damek, E., Yacoub, C.: Spherical analysis on harmonic *AN* groups. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **23**(4), 643–679 (1996)
- Anker, J.-P., Ostellari, P.: The heat kernel on noncompact symmetric spaces. In: Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces, American Mathematical Society Translation Series 2, vol. 210, pp. 27–46. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2003)
- Aronszajn, N., Smith, K.T.: Theory of Bessel potentials. I. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 11, 385–475 (1961)
- Auscher, P., Ben Ali, B.: Maximal inequalities and Riesz transform estimates on L^p spaces for Schrödinger operators with nonnegative potentials. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 57, 1975–2013 (2007)
- Auscher, P., Coulhon, T., Duong, X.T., Hoffman, S.: Riesz transform on manifolds and heat kernel regularity. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 37, 911–957 (2004)
- Bakry, D.: The Riesz transforms associated with second order differential operators. In: Seminar on Stochastic Processes, 1988 (Gainesville, FL, 1988), Programs in Probability, vol. 17, pp. 1–43. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston (1989)
- Berkson, E., Paluszyński, M., Weiss, G.: Transference couples and their applications to convolution operators and maximal operators. In: Interaction Between Functional Analysis, Harmonic Analysis, and Probability (Columbia, MO, 1994), Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 175, pp. 69–84. Dekker, New York (1996)
- Bergh, J., Löfström, J.: Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 223. Springer, Berlin (1976)
- Coifman, R.R., Weiss, G.: Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 242. Springer, Berlin (1971)
- Coifman, R.R., Weiss, G.: Transference Methods in Analysis, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 31. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1976)



222 Page 52 of 53 A. Martini

12. Coulhon, T., Duong, X.T.: Riesz transforms for $1 \le p \le 2$. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **351**, 1151–1169 (1999)

- Coulhon, T., Duong, X.T.: Riesz transform and related inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 56, 1728–1751 (2003)
- 14. Cowling, M.G.: The predual of the space of convolutors on a locally compact group. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 57, 409–414 (1998)
- Cowling, M.G., Gaudry, G.I., Giulini, S., Mauceri, G.: Weak type (1, 1) estimates for heat kernel maximal functions on Lie groups. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 323, 637–649 (1991)
- Cowling, M.G., Giulini, S., Hulanicki, A., Mauceri, G.: Spectral multipliers for a distinguished Laplacian on certain groups of exponential growth. Stud. Math. 111, 103–121 (1994)
- De Michele, L., Mauceri, G.: L^p multipliers on the Heisenberg group. Mich. Math. J. 26, 361–371 (1979)
- Dixmier, J., Malliavin, P.: Factorisations de fonctions et de vecteurs indéfiniment différentiables. Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 102, 307–330 (1978)
- 19. Eymard, P., Terp, M.: La transformation de Fourier et son inverse sur le groupe des ax + b d'un corps local. In: Analyse harmonique sur les groupes de Lie (Sém. Nancy–Strasbourg 1976–1978), II, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 739, pp. 207–248. Springer, Berlin (1979)
- Erdélyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F., Tricomi, F.G.: Higher Transcendental Functions, vol. I. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co. Inc., Melbourne (1981)
- 21. Fischer, V., Ruzhansky, M.: Fourier multipliers on graded Lie groups. Coll. Math. 165, 1–30 (2021)
- Folland, G.B.: A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis. Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton (1995)
- 23. Gaudry, G.I., Qian, T., Sjögren, P.: Singular integrals associated to the Laplacian on the affine group ax + b. Ark. Mat. **30**, 259–281 (1992)
- Gaudry, G.I., Sjögren, P.: Singular integrals on Iwasawa NA groups of rank 1. J. Reine Angew. Math. 479, 39–66 (1996)
- Gaudry, G.I., Sjögren, P.: Haar-like expansions and boundedness of a Riesz operator on a solvable Lie group. Math. Z. 232, 241–256 (1999)
- Grafakos, L.: Modern Fourier Analysis. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 250. Springer, New York (2009)
- Hebisch, W., Steger, T.: Multipliers and singular integrals on exponential growth groups. Math. Z. 245, 37–61 (2003)
- 28. Hytönen, T., van Neerven, J., Veraar, M., Weis, L.: Analysis in Banach Spaces: Vol. I, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 63. Springer, Cham (2016)
- Hytönen, T., van Neerven, J., Veraar, M., Weis, L.: Analysis in Banach Spaces: Vol. II, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 67. Springer, Cham (2017)
- Hörmander, L.: The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. III. Classics in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin (2007)
- 31. Hulanicki, A.: On the spectrum of the Laplacian on the affine group of the real line. Stud. Math. **54**, 199–204 (1975/1976)
- 32. Jotsaroop, K., Sanjay, P.K., Thangavelu, S.: Riesz transforms and multipliers for the Grushin operator. J. Anal. Math. 119, 255–273 (2013)
- 33. Khalil, I.: Sur l'analyse harmonique du groupe affine de la droite. Stud. Math. 51, 139–167 (1974)
- Kucharski, M., Wróbel, B.: On L^p estimates for positivity-preserving Riesz transforms related to Schrödinger operators, preprint (2022). arXiv:2203.15530
- 35. Levi, M., Martini, A., Santagati, F., Tabacco, A., Vallarino, M.: Riesz transform for a flow Laplacian on homogeneous trees. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 29, 15 (2023)
- Li, X.-D.: Riesz transforms for symmetric diffusion operators on complete Riemannian manifolds. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 22, 591–648 (2006)
- 37. Lohoué, N., Mustapha, S.: Sur les transformées de Riesz sur les groupes de Lie moyennables et sur certains espaces homogènes. Canad. J. Math. **50**, 1090–1104 (1998)
- 38. Martini, A., Ottazzi, A., Vallarino, M.: Spectral multipliers for sub-Laplacians on solvable extensions of stratified groups. J. Anal. Math. 136, 357–397 (2018)
- Martini, A., Santagati, F., Vallarino, M.: Heat kernel and Riesz transform for the flow Laplacian on homogeneous trees, preprint (2023). arXiv:2210.07148
- 40. Martini, A., Vallarino, M.: Riesz transforms on solvable extensions of stratified groups. Stud. Math. **251**, 175–200 (2021)



- 41. Müller, D., Thiele, C.: Wave equation and multiplier estimates on ax + b groups. Stud. Math. 179, 117-148 (2007)
- 42. Pier, J.-P.: Amenable Locally Compact Groups. Wiley, New York (1984)
- Shen, Z.: L^p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)
 45, 513–546 (1995)
- Sikora, A.: Riesz transform, Gaussian bounds and the method of wave equation. Math. Z. 247, 643–662 (2004)
- 45. Sjögren, P.: An estimate for a first-order Riesz operator on the affine group. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **351**, 3301–3314 (1999)
- Sjögren, P., Vallarino, M.: Boundedness from H¹ to L¹ of Riesz transforms on a Lie group of exponential growth. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58, 1117–1151 (2008)
- 47. Stein, E.M.: Boundary behavior of harmonic functions on symmetric spaces: maximal estimates for Poisson integrals. Invent. Math. **74**, 63–83 (1983)
- Stein, E.M.: Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions. Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970)
- Stein, E.M.: Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals. Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 43. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1993)
- Stein, E.M., Weiss, N.J.: On the convergence of Poisson integrals. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 140, 35–54 (1969)
- Štrkalj, Ž, Weis, L.: On operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 359, 3529–3547 (2007)
- Strichartz, R.S.: Analysis of the Laplacian on the complete Riemannian manifold. J. Funct. Anal. 52, 48–79 (1983)
- Titchmarsh, E.C.: Eigenfunction Expansions Associated with Second-Order Differential Equations Part I, 2nd edn. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1962)
- 54. Vallarino, M.: Spectral multipliers on Damek-Ricci spaces. J. Lie Theory 17, 163-189 (2007)
- 55. Vallarino, M.: Spaces H^1 and BMO on ax + b-groups. Collect. Math. **60**, 277–295 (2009)
- Varopoulos, NTh., Saloff-Coste, L., Coulhon, T.: Analysis and Geometry on Groups. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 100. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

