POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Validation and Practical Application of a Data Reduction Software for the Analysis of Data from Stress Relief Tests

Original

Validation and Practical Application of a Data Reduction Software for the Analysis of Data from Stress Relief Tests / Saltarin, Simone. - In: GEOTECHNICAL AND GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING. - ISSN 0960-3182. - 40:7(2022), pp. 3779-3797. [10.1007/s10706-022-02115-8]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2977457 since: 2023-03-27T07:06:59Z

Publisher: Springer

Published DOI:10.1007/s10706-022-02115-8

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

ORIGINAL PAPER

Validation and Practical Application of a Data Reduction Software for the Analysis of Data from Stress Relief Tests

Simone Saltari[n](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6511-125X)

Received: 18 June 2021 / Accepted: 5 March 2022 / Published online: 25 April 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract In his work "Rock Anisotropy and the Theory of Stress Measurements", Amadei (1983) highlights the importance of the correct geologicaltechnical characterisation of the rocks involved in open pit or underground excavation works. At the laboratory of Geomechanics and Geotechnology (IGG-CNR, Polytechnic of Turin), a data reduction software has been developed which, based on the theory developed by Amadei, allows the estimation of the main stresses acting in selected volumes of rock, on the basis of strains resulting from over-coring, possibly taking into account the transverse isotropy of the material. This paper, after listing the most popular techniques for assessing the state of stress in on-site rocks, focuses on the stress relief method. In particular, the validation tests of the software, called BM2000, are presented, comparing the calculated results with those published by Amadei and with those provided by two software packages from the literature (Smith82, STRESsOUT). After having outlined the input data and the necessary adjustments for their application in the aforementioned software, the

S. Saltarin

Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering-DIATI, Politecnico Di Torino, Turin, Italy

Present Address:

results obtained showed excellent correspondence, for the cases of both isotropic and transversely isotropic rock.

Keywords Isotropy · Transverse isotropy · Rock · Stress relief method · Over-coring · Hollow inclusion cell

1 Introduction

Carrying out safe rock excavations (open-pit or underground), for civil or military purposes, must always deal with the nature of the materials crossed and with their ability to self-sustain. An essential condition for carrying out rock excavations is therefore the knowledge of the strength and deformability characteristics of the materials being excavated, as well as their onsite stress conditions.

The methods for assessing the state of stress in rocks on-site, based on the physical reference principle adopted, are generally classifed into four groups: stress release, hydraulic fracturing, compression of rock samples, and propagation of fractures induced by drilling. Compared to the methods of hydraulic fracturing and fracture propagation that require decidedly more relevant equipment and fnancial resources for their execution, the frst method is certainly the most widespread, both for its adaptability to diferent case studies and for the relative simplicity of the experimental determination. In fact, the technique is

S. Saltarin (\boxtimes)

National Research Council, Geosciences and Georesources Institute-IGG, Politecnico Di Torino, Turin, Italy e-mail: simone.saltarin@polito.it

based on sensors of diferent shapes, which are able to evaluate, according to diferent directions, the endured strains from small rock surfaces, consequent to their separation from the boundary rock. Together with the development of procedures and equipment for the experimental determination of the natural and/ or induced stresses acting on site, methods for interpreting experimental data have been developed by diferent authors, through the application of mathematical techniques. In this context, specifc analytical and numerical solutions have been proposed for the data collected thanks to diferent geotechnical instruments, such as: the "*USBM borehole deformation gage*" (Leeman 1959; Obert et al. 1962; Panek 1966; Crouch & Fairhurst 1967; Merril 1967; Niwa et al. 1971; Suzuki 1966, 1971); the "*biaxial confnement device*" (Fitzpatrick 1962); the "*fat jack*" (Merril et al. 1964; Rocha et al. 1966); the "*CSIR-doorstopper*" and "*triaxial strain cell*" or "*hollow inclusion cell*" (Mohr 1956; Leeman & Hayes 1966; Leeman 1964, 1968, 1969, 1971; Hiramatsu & Oka 1968; Oka 1979; Rocha et al. 1974; Worotnicki & Walton 1976; "*borehole slotting*" (Becker & Werner 1994; Bock & Foruria 1983); the "*strains at the hemi-spherical borehole end*" (Sugawara et al. 1984; Sugawara & Obara 1986); and the "*strains at the conical end*" (Kobayashi et al. 1991). This innovative drive has been followed, in the last twenty years, exclusively by the evolution of existing measurement methods which are the result of technology transfer, such as: automatic data acquisition by means of miniaturised PCs directly connected to the measurement device and transmission of the data acquired and stored during the measurement via Wi-Fi (Gullì et al. 2006; Iabichino & Cravero 2010).

2 The Hollow Inclusion Stress‑Relief Method

In the context of excavations, both for mining purposes and for the construction of infrastructures, the most widespread techniques for determining the state of natural and/or induced stress in the rocks on site, are those which, based on "stress relief", allow the determination of the complete stress tensor, with a single set of measurements taken from a single borehole. Among these techniques, due to the relative simplicity of execution and, above all, to the wide availability and consistency of studies on data interpretation methods, the one most used is the technique proposed by the Commonwealth Scientifc and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), also called the Hollow Inclusion cell, or HI cell.

The measuring cell (HI cell) is a two-component epoxy resin cylinder with a length of approximately 100 mm, an internal diameter of approximately 32 mm and a thickness of approximately 3 mm, equipped with three (3) rectangular strain gauge rosettes, each consisting of three (3) or four (4) resistive electrical strain gauges, arranged at 120° along its inner median circumference (Fig. 1). For the execution of the experimental test, the external surface of the HI cell is made integral, by means of a gluing operation, to the internal wall of a pilot hole with a nominal diameter of 38 mm, drilled into the rock to reach the desired depth. Once the polymerisation of the glue is completed, the stresses are released by making a circular notch (over-coring) coaxially to the pilot hole equipped with the HI cell, using a thin-walled core barrel or a double-core barrel with a minimum internal diameter of about 120 mm.

The strain intensities, evaluated for each direction of measurement, are obtained by the diference between those acquired at the end of the over-coring operation and those acquired at the start (Fig. 2) and are related to the stress tensor through the elastic properties of the rock, which must be known. Given the wide choice of both the methods to be adopted for the determination of the pseudo-elastic constants of the rock being excavated, and the number of samples to be tested for each rock involved in the project, it follows that the choice of adopting greater/lesser

Fig. 1 Scheme of a generic CSIRO HI cell. Both the position of the strain gauge rosettes along the median circumference of the measuring instrument inside the pilot hole and the distribution of the electrical strain gauges in each individual rosette are highlighted

Fig. 2 Continuous strain vs time diagram obtained during the over-coring of a generic CSIRO HI cell. In the diagram, it is possible to identify the beginning of the over-coring, the moment of the passage of the diamond crown of the core barrel on the strain gauge rosettes, as well as the end part of the over-coring itself

accuracy in the characterisation of the rock mass is directly linked to the importance of the project. In any case, the best approximation that can be reached in determining the pseudo-elastic characteristics of the rock has a direct refection in the best estimate of the stress state of the rock itself. In this regard, it should be noted that according to Hakala (2006), when performing experimental tests with the HI cell, dispersions between 1.0 MPa and 3.8 MPa are acceptable, due to the intensity of the main stresses; and between 8° and 15° for the direction of the stresses.

3 Interpretation of Stress Relief Data

As previously shown, diferent mathematical solutions are proposed in the literature, all quite complex, to determine the state of stress acting in rocks for which a continuous, homogeneous, linear elastic behaviour, both isotropic and anisotropic, has been hypothesised. The objectives of this study do not refer to the analytical developments to determine the stresses acting in a rock, as 9 or 12 strains are known that are independent of each other, so the fundamentals of the most widely used data reduction software for the purpose, those of the program to be validated, as well as the diference between the calculation schemes themselves will be summarised.

The most commonly used softwares for the interpretation of data coming from stress relief tests (Smith 1982; Larson 1992; Worotnicki 1993) evaluate the acting stress tensor, using displacements and strains determined at the boundary of a circular hole of infnite length, made in a material considered to be continuous, linearly elastic and isotropic, with known geotechnical characteristics. A further hypothesis adopted in the numerical calculations is that of "plane deformation", which considers the strains detected, developed exclusively in the survey plane and in the plane orthogonal to the axis of the hole. The stresses acting around the hole are obtained using the classical Kirsch elastic solution (1898) or its generalised version for non-linearly dependent (non-parallel) holes provided by Hiramatsu and Oka (1962, 1968), Fairhurst (1968a, ba, b, 1968a, ba, b), Leeman (1968), and Fama and Pender (1980). The quoted solutions, albeit with the limitations implicit in their basic hypotheses (the component of the axial stress exclusively dependent on the Poisson's ratio, strength and deformability characteristics of the sensor adopted for the strain/displacement measurements, considered negligible), are widely used in construction site practice. This is largely attributable to the simplicity of the geomechanical characterisation required by the numerical calculations for the rock under study. In fact, the determination of the pseudo-elastic modules characterising the rock under excavation, although conducted on the basis of diferent assumptions made in the design feld (Amadei 1983; Hirashima & Hamano 1987; Amadei & Stephansson 1997), is

generally carried out by hypothesising, for the onsite rock, an isotropic, linearly elastic behaviour, and adopting, for each of the three necessary characteristics E (Young's modulus), ν (Poisson's ratio), and G (transverse stifness), an average value derived from specifically normed tests. These modules/coefficients can be evaluated in greater detail both in the laboratory and on site using established procedures, such as those listed by Fitzpatrick (1962) or the ISRM (1979).

The software for the interpretation of data from stress release tests (Cravero et al. 2005, 2016) is called "Berni1". It was originally developed by Amadei (1983), then modifed to be used on computers operating at 32/64 bit and updated with diferent numerical methods and mathematical routines (taken from the Fortran IMSL libraries), and equipped with specifc routines for the evaluation of the main stress tensor. This software is aimed at determining the state of stress in the boundary of an elastic inclusion, and has an annular section, integral to the walls of a circular hole made in a continuous, elastic, linear and anisotropic medium. The analytical formulation of the state of stress at the boundary of holes made in an anisotropic medium was given by Lekhnitskii (1963), while Amadei (1983) contributed the generalisation applicable to the rock mass, both of the Lekhnitskii formulations and of the hypothesis of "plane deformation" adopted, to calculate the distribution of stresses around arbitrarily oriented holes in equally arbitrarily arranged anisotropic rock masses. In greater detail, the Berni1/BM2000 software addresses and provides analytical solutions to two problems: the calculation of the deformations induced around a hole however oriented in an anisotropic medium, since the stresses acting at infnity are known (direct problem); the calculation of the stresses acting around a hole in any orientation, made in an anisotropic medium, known as the induced strains (inverse problem). Although the analytical formulations proposed by Amadei (1997) for the interpretation of stress release data are widely recognised as "rigorous", as they take into account the infuence both of the stifness of the measuring instrument and of the adhesive used for making the sensor itself integral with the rock, their application to practical cases is rather rare. One of the reasons for this lack of application is certainly the difficulty in the determination of the geotechnical characteristics of the rock, when considered transversely isotropic (the case of metamorphic rocks). In fact, to calculate the stresses acting around a hole made in a transversely isotropic medium using the strains resulting from the stress release, knowledge of fve independent parameters is required, as already pointed out: E_1 , E_2 , v_1 , v_2 and $G_{1,2}$, which cannot simply be assessed through laboratory or on-site tests.

A simple method for determining the pseudoelastic modules is ofered by Nunes (1997, 2002) who, on the basis of the transformations of the elastic and anisotropic constants developed by Lekhnitskii (1963) during his studies on the distribution of stresses in superimposed fat plates crossed by holes of diferent shapes, developed an original analytical method: through specifc formulations, this allows the data acquired to be used by carrying out simple confnement tests on hollow cylindrical specimens equipped with a "Hollow Inclusion cell", obtaining the 5 pseudo-elastic deformability modules $(E_{1,2}, \nu_{1,2},$ $G_{1,2}$), together with the orientation parameters of the rock's isotropy planes $(α, β)$. The proposed method is valid for transversely isotropic rocks with an anisotropy ratio between the elastic modules (E_1/E_2) in the range of $1 \sim 2$.

4 Validation of the BM2000 Software

The validation of the BM2000 software was frst required to build two sets of data relating to the geomechanical characterisation of the rocks to be examined. The results of the stress state analysis in the case of rock with linear elastic behaviour, both isotropic and anisotropic (transversely isotropic), were evaluated separately.

In the frst case, with the same data of "relieving" from over-coring to be evaluated, the results of the analyses carried out through BM2000 were compared with those from Berni1, and from the most common software available in the literature and widely used in the sector (Smith82, STRESsOUT).

In the second case, again with the same data to analyse, the results obtained and shown by Amadei (1983) through Berni1 were compared with the similar results from BM2000.

In order to make the data required by the various softwares homogeneous, a frst analysis was carried out regarding the sign conventions and the reference systems adopted by them, as well as the geometry of the measuring device (Hollow Inclusion cell).

In this regard, it has to be pointed out that the Smith82 and STRESsOUT software represent the strain and the compressive stress with the positive sign, whereas Berni1 and BM2000 adopt the negative sign for the same parameters. In any case, it has to be kept in mind that the over-coring of a portion of rock on-site has the local consequence of tension "relief", so that the stresses resulting from the analyses have a sign opposite to the strains used at the beginning of the calculation (positive input strains correspond to negative output stresses).

The reference systems adopted by the diferent software used for validation are generally three (3): two sets of axes orthogonal to each other and three Cartesian two-dimensional coordinates lying in a known position on planes tangential to the measurement hole. The frst orthogonal triad generally coincides with the NS and EW axes of the geographical reference, completed by the Zenith axis. The second one has an axis coinciding with the axis of the hole used for the execution of the experimental test and the other two axes lying in the plane normal to the axis of the hole. The third reference system identifes the position of the measuring elements (strain gauge) by means of two angles: the frst (circumferential angle) identifes the position of the centre of the single strain gauge along the circumference of the section of the hole; the second (rotational angle) identifes the inclination of the axis of the single strain gauge with respect to the horizontal axis of the two-dimensional reference system associated with the plane tangential to the measurement hole at the point of application of the strain gauge rosette. For example, this latter angle is evaluated in an anticlockwise direction according to Berni1 and BM2000, thus assuming a value of 90° if the axis of the strain gauge considered has the same direction as the axis of the measuring hole, and a value of 0° if the axis of the strain gauge is arranged according to the measurement section. For the different software used, Table 1 presents the individual reference systems adopted to identify the arrangement of the strain gauges in the hole in order to highlight the diferences. Table 2 shows the orientations of the electrical strain gauges along the circumference of the measuring instrument, depending on the specifc software analysed. Again, with the aim of highlighting the diferences among the software used, Table 3 shows the arrangement of the orthogonal reference triad associated with the measurement hole.

Table 4 presents the "transformations" necessary to make the inputs of a generic data reduction software "compatible" with those of another data reduction software selected for the validation of BM2000.

Further preliminary adaptations that were necessary to compare the results of the software chosen to validate BM2000 on the basis of "homogeneous" data were: the adaptation of the data relating to the geometry of the instrument used and the choice of the four (4) "K_i" stress concentration factors related to the calculation conditions (plane deformation), as well as the dimensions and deformability values of the HI cell used. In detail, respectively $E = 2000$ MPa and $\nu = 0.3$ (-) for the Young's modulus and the Poisson's ratio of inclusion were adopted, as well as the symbols ("a") for the internal radius of the measuring cell; ("b") for the radius of the pilot hole used to install the cell and ("r") for the radius of the circumference where the electrical strain gauges are positioned. The data on the radii necessary for the calculation are expressed as a ratio, where $a/b = 2$ (-), $r/a = 0.75$ (-). The K_i coeffcients used in the Smith82 and STRESsOUT software are calculated based on the solution developed by Savin (1961) in the case of a ring integral with a hole made in an isotropic and linear elastic material (Fama & Pender 1980; Jalkanen 1982).

4.1 Isotropic case

In a rock with isotropic, homogeneous, elastic, linear behaviour, the frst assessment for the validation of BM2000 was carried out using the input data proposed by Amadei (1983) (p. 234). Tables 5, 6 and 9 show the strain values, the orientation of the hole and the characteristics of the rock under study.

The results obtained from the stress state analyses performed in the case of homogeneous rock with linear elastic behaviour using the software Berni1, BM2000, Smith82, STRESsOUT are shown in Tables 8 and 11. The tables also show the results of the comparison carried out both in terms of the components of the acting stresses referred to the global reference system XYZ adopted by Amadei (1983), and in terms of the maximum principal stresses. These latter stresses have also been graphically reported in equi-angle stereographic projections. In this regard, it seems appropriate to highlight that the directions and inclinations of the results obtained by Amadei were deduced from the stereographic projections drawn up

Table 1 "Circumferential" and "rotational" reference angles associated with the position of the sensitive elements (electrical strain gauges) distributed along the circumference of the

Software convention

hole section chosen for the stress state measurement performed with the over-coring stress relief method

Smith82

 $h₂$ axis positive—direction entering into the hole

- -α—circumferential angle: from the top to the bottom of the hole, positive clockwise from the axis lying on the vertical plane normal to the axis of the hole and perpendicular to it (from h_3 to h_1 axis)
- -β—rotational angle: positive counterclockwise from z axis to u axis until the axis passing through the centreline of the strain gauge of interest (z axis in the same direction as $h₂$ axis)

STRESSOUT

z axis positive—direction entering into the hole

- -α—circumferential angle: from the top to the bottom of the hole, positive clockwise from the axis lying on the vertical plane normal to the axis of the hole and perpendicular to it (from x to y axis)
- -β—rotational angle: positive clockwise from z axis to u until the axis passing through the centreline of the strain gauge of interest

Berni1—BM2000

z axis positive—direction coming out of the hole

- -ϑ—circumferential angle: positive counterclockwise from x (from the horizontal to y axis);
- -Ψ—rotational angle: positive counterclockwise starting from the u axis lying on a plane locally tangential to the strain gauge considered and orthogonal to the axis of the hole

and published by himself, through a process of rectifed photographic reproduction.

Table 8 shows, for the different components of the stresses, the substantial equivalence of the results obtained from the analyses conducted with the diferent selected software. Assuming Berni1 as the reference software, as it is the application of an original theory capable of taking into account the peculiar characteristics of the instrument adopted for the experimental determinations, it can be noticed that, in absolute value, the results identifed by the other softwares deviate from it by a maximum of a few hundredths of MPa (0.034 MPa). As regards the

diferences in sign that can be detected in the individual results of the analyses, reference is made to Tables 3, 9, and 10. The frst, as previously pointed out, shows the diferences between the reference systems associated with the measurement hole adopted by each single software used; the second shows how to "transform" the output data obtained by each software, in order to obtain uniformity of orientation with respect to a measurement hole having a positive direction angle clockwise from North (towards East) and a positive angle of inclination towards up; the third shows the diferent sign conventions to be considered in the analysis of the results, in terms of stress

Table 2 Detail of the angular position of the electrical strain gauges along the circumference of the instrument, according to the specifc software analysed, as reported in the diagrams in Table 1 (the arrangement proposed by Amadei, 1983 is also used). The frst column shows the position of the centre of the single strain gauge along the circumference of the section of the hole involved in the measurement (θ or α , depending on the software); the other columns show the inclination of the axis of the single strain gauge with respect to the horizontal axis of the two-dimensional reference system associated with the plane tangential to the measurement hole at the point of application of the strain gauge rosette (ψ or β, depending on the software)

θ , α (°)	ε_A ψ , β (°)	$\varepsilon_{\rm B}$ ψ , β (\degree)	ε_{C} ψ , β (°)
Berni1-BM2000			
$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	90	225
90	θ	90	225
225	θ	90	225
Smith82			
90	90	θ	45
$\mathbf{0}$	90	θ	45
225	90	θ	45
STRESsOUT			
90	$\overline{0}$	90	45
$\mathbf{0}$	θ	90	45
225	$\overline{0}$	90	45

components, again in order to make the output data homogeneous and comparable. To pursue the aforementioned purpose, it is also useful to highlight the appropriate "precautions" to be adopted for the graphing of the results by means of polar stereographic projections (lower hemisphere). The use of this hemisphere requires careful evaluation of the value of the inclination returned in the output compared, with particular attention to its sign. For example, if the sign of the inclination is positive, in order to obtain a comparable polar stereographic projection (lower hemisphere) it is necessary to either change the sign by making it negative, or to rotate its direction by 180°. Table 7 shows, for each software, any changes to be applied to the direction and inclination data of the results obtained by the individual software used for verifying the BM2000 software.

Similarly to what was observed for Tables 11 and 12 and the related stereographic projection in the lower hemisphere (Fig. 3) show that the intensities of the maximum main stresses calculated with the software used for validation of BM2000 deviate from the results obtained through Berni1, once again, by a maximum of a few hundredths of MPa (0.043 MPa). Even with regard to the directions of the main stresses, it can be highlighted that they difer from those chosen as a reference by very small values, equal to a few sexagesimal degrees (max $β=1.68°$ and max $δ=1.2°$).

To consolidate the results obtained from the stress state analyses in an isotropic, homogeneous medium with linear elastic behaviour, a further analysis (second verifcation) was carried out, using the same data relating to the strains recorded during the over-coring operations, and modifying the data on the geometry and deformability characteristics of the instrument. In this comparison, the values of 1.19 (–) and 0.919 (–) have been adopted for the a/b and r/a ratios respectively, while for the Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio the following values have been adopted: $E = 2.647,68$ (MPa) and $\nu = 0.40$ (-).-The results obtained from the use of the selected software are shown in Tables 13 and 14, which give the comparison between the six stress components referred to the global reference system XYZ and between the 3 main maximum stresses acting.

Once again, Table 14 shows, for the diferent components of the stresses, the substantial equivalence of the results obtained from the analyses carried out with the diferent software. In fact, assuming Berni1 as the reference software, it can be noticed that, in absolute value, the results identifed by the other software deviate from the frst by a maximum of 0.143 MPa. By analogy to what was found in Tables 13 and 14 and the stereographic projection (Fig. 4) show that the maximum principal stresses calculated with the software used for the validation of BM2000 difer from the results obtained with Berni1 by a maximum of 0.071 MPa. Even as far as the directions of the main stresses are concerned, it is useful to highlight that they difer from those chosen as a reference by a few sexagesimal degrees ($δ = 2.96°$ and $β = 4.16°$).

4.2 Transverse isotropic case

The scheme adopted for the validation of the BM2000 software, based on the comparison of the results obtained in determining the state of natural and/or induced stress performed (with comparable input data) with the Smith82 and STRESsOUT software, cannot be extended to the case of rock **Table 3** Orthogonal reference triad associated with the measurement hole (in red) adopted by the individual software chosen for the validation of BM2000. In detail, the direction and inclination of the angles that relate the local triads to the global reference system of the software used for the validation are highlighted

with anisotropic behaviour (transversely isotropic) as the theories underlying the software are valid only in the case of isotropic rock with linear elastic behaviour. In the particular case of anisotropic rock (transversely isotropic), the validation of the BM2000 software can only take place through the comparison, with the same input data, of the results of the software under examination with those

Table 4 Adjustments to adapt inclination and bearing data used with BM2000 to the Smith82 and STRESsOUT software. Notice that the transformation for the bearing angle is the same for both softwares, while the sign must be changed for the angle of inclination, as shown in the diagrams in Table 3

Software	Inclination (positive)	Bearing
Smith ₈₂	Up	-90°
STRESSOUT	Down	-90°

Table 5 Strains and relative rotational angles adopted in the calculation of the state of natural stress by Amadei (1983). Note both the value of the angle θ (evaluated counterclockwise from the reference axis normal to the axis of the hole), and the sign of the strain, which indicates a "stress relief" in almost all components

Strain measurements							
θ (°)	$\epsilon_{A}(\mu\epsilon)$	$\epsilon_{\rm R}$ ($\mu \epsilon$)	$\epsilon_{\rm C}(\mu\epsilon)$				
θ	-79	-86	44				
90	-430	-85	-187				
225	-52	- 87	-210				

Table 6 Angle of direction and inclination of any transverse isotropy planes and of the measurement hole adopted in the calculation of the state of natural stress by Amadei (1983)

obtained by Amadei. In fact, only the theory proposed by Amadei and implemented in the Berni1 software is based on the generalisation of the formulas of the plane deformation case, placing no limits on the symmetry planes of the rock, or on the orientation of the main stresses. This formulation requires, for its application to the case of transverse

Table 7 Characteristic properties of the isotropic rock adopted in the calculation of the state of natural stress by Amadei (1983). $E_{1, 2, 3}$ = modulus of elasticity evaluated in the direction of the orthogonal reference axes associated with the isotropic

isotropy, in addition to the strain measurements and their arrangement in the measurement hole, the knowledge of six (6) deformability characteristics of the rock $(E_1, E_2, \nu_1, \nu_2, G_1, G_2)$. In detail, the input data necessary for this last assessment are collected in Table 15 and refer to 4 cases where the deformability characteristics of the rock (E, ν) vary, while the strains $(E_{A, B, C})$ evaluated around the measurement hole and the rotational angles $(θ)$ of the problem are the same as those used for the validation of the results in the case of a rock with isotropic behaviour, shown in Table 5.

The results obtained from the stress state analyses performed in the 4 cases examined led to the results shown in Table 16 and Fig. 5. The table highlights the results of the comparison in terms of the maximum principal stresses. The maximum principal stresses were also plotted in equi− angle stereographic projections. In this regard, it has to be pointed out that the results of Amadei's analyses were obtained from the graphs published in his PhD thesis, appropriately corrected with photographic items, to remove the distortions produced by the reproduction process.

Table 16 shows that the intensities of the maximum main stresses calculated with BM2000 differ from those obtained with the Berni1 software by a few hundredths of MPa (maximum value of 0.027 MPa). Even with regard to the directions of the main stresses, it has to be highlighted that they difer from those chosen as a reference by a few sexagesimal degrees (maximum value of $\delta = 2.36^{\circ}$ and $\beta = 3.51^{\circ}$). Considering that the differences in the results obtained by the two types of software are repeated in all the analyses performed, it can be deduced that these can be attributed to the possible graphic reproduction errors indicated above.

planes; Poisson's ratio v_{21} , v_{31} , v_{23} , evaluated in the direction of Young's modulus; tangential (shear) modulus of elasticity $G_{1,2}$; $G_{1,3}$; $G_{2,3}$, evaluated in the isotropy plans of the material under study

Rock properties								
E_{1} (MPa)	E, (MPa)	ونا (MPa)	G_{12} , G_{13} (MPa)	G_{23} (MPa)	V_{21} , V_{31} $\overline{}$	V_{23} \overline{a}		
40,000	40,000	40,000	16,000	16,000	0.25	0.25		

Table 8 Transformations to be made to the results obtained, in terms of direction of the reference system associated with the measurement hole, by the software used for the validation of BM2000 for their standardisation. It should be noted that the direction of the reference hole obtained by using the Berni1 and BM2000 software must be increased by 90° and that the only modifcation to be made on the angle of inclination concerns STRESsOUT, where the sign of the output value must be changed

	Berni1	BM2000	Smith82	STRESSOUT
Bearing	$+90^\circ$	$+90^\circ$	N/A	N/A
Inclination	N/A	N/A	N/A	-1

Table 9 Transformations to be made on the stress values obtained by the software used for the validation of BM2000, in terms of sign, in order to standardise them for a correct comparison

Berni1	BM2000	Smith ₈₂	STRESSOUT
$\sigma_{\rm x}$	$\sigma_{\rm x}$	σ_{EW}	σ_{EW}
σ_{y}	$\sigma_{\rm v}$	$\sigma_{\rm Ver}$	$\sigma_{\rm Ver}$
σ_{z}	σ_z	σ_{NS}	$\sigma_{\rm NS}$
τ_{yz}	τ_{yz}	$-\tau_{\rm NS/V}$	$\tau_{\rm V/NS}$
τ_{xz}	τ_{xz}	$-\tau_{\text{Hor}}$	– $\tau_{\rm Hor}$
τ_{xy}	τ_{xy}	$\tau_{\rm EW/V}$	$ \tau_{\rm EW/V}$

Table 10 Transformations to be made on the values of the direction angles of the maximum main stresses obtained from the calculations carried out through the software used for the validation of the BM2000 to standardise them for a correct comparison and stereographic representation

Table 11 Stress components obtained by the diferent software used for the validation of BM2000. Data are referred to the isotropic case proposed by Amadei (1983)

	Berni1 (MPa)	BM2000 (MPa)	Smith ₈₂ (MPa)	STRESSOUT (MPa)
σx	7.000	7.030	7.033	7.034
σу	2.500	2.503	2.506	2.507
67.	5.800	5.823	5.832	5.832
τyz	-1.800	-1.808	1.809	-1.809
τxz.	1.000	1.010	-1.018	-1.018
τxy	2.600	2.572	2.611	-2.611

4.3 Further validation of the transverse isotropy case

To further validate the BM2000 software, a comparison was also made between the results obtained by Amadei in the other examples proposed by him where, using the characteristics of the rock assumed for Case 1 and shown in Table 15, the characteristic angles of the transverse isotropy plane shown in Fig. 6 vary: the inclination angle Ψ was considered equal to 30° for the frst case and 90° for the second, while the transverse isotropy plane angle β varies with intervals of 10° , from 0° up to 90° for both cases analysed.

The results obtained by Amadei through the BM2000 software are presented in Table 17 and in Fig. 7 in the case where Ψ is 30°, and in Table 18 and in Fig. 8 when Ψ is 90°.

To better compare the results obtained in the two cases developed, Figs. 9 and 10 show the trends of the three main stresses for each of the two transverse isotropy confgurations, analysed as a function of the variation of β. The results are superimposed on those plotted by Amadei. As in the previous paragraphs, it can be noticed that the slightest diferences seem

	Berni1		BM2000		Smith ₈₂			STRESSOUT			
	(MPa)	δ (°)	β (°)				(MPa) δ (°) β (°) (MPa) δ (°) β (°)		(MPa) δ (°)		β (°)
σ_{1}										$8.200 - 29.97$ 274.42 $8.210 - 29.88$ 276.06 $8.240 - 30.15$ 276.05 8.243 -30.20 276.10	
σ ,										$6.580 -27.97$ 177.38 $6.610 -29.17$ 176.61 $6.620 -29.02$ 176.41 $6.620 -29.07$ 176.40	
σ_{2}										$0.520 -63.76 +47.51$ $0.535 -63.96 +7.16$ $0.510 -63.85 +47.42$ $0.510 -63.85 +47.40$	

Table 12 Intensity, inclinations δ and directions β of the main maximum stresses obtained by the diferent software used for the validation of BM2000. Data are referred to the isotropic case proposed by Amadei (1983)

Fig. 3 Stereogram of the maximum principal stresses calculated with the diferent software used for the validation of BM2000 in the isotropic case. The symbols Δ , \Box , \bigcirc , \bigcirc , respectively, identify the maximum principal stresses calculated σ_1 , σ_2 , σ_3 (MPa), for the software Berni1, BM2000, Smith82 and STRESsOUT

Table 13 Intensity of the stress components obtained by the diferent software. The data refer to the isotropic case proposed by Amadei (1983), where the geometry data and deformability characteristics of the instrument adopted have been modifed

BM2000	Smith82	STRESSOUT
(MPa)	(MPa)	(MPa)
6.914	6.914	6.914
3.523	3.524	3.524
6.049	6.057	6.057
-1.906	1.907	-1.907
1.065	-1.073	-1.073
2.098	1.955	-1.955

essentially due to the errors in acquiring the comparison data from its graphic representation.

5 Conclusions

The results obtained show that the BM2000 software developed at the IGG-CNR Geomechanics Laboratory, applied to rock with linear elastic behaviour, both isotropic and transversely isotropic, is fully satisfactory, provided that the strain characteristics of the medium and the geometry of the measuring instrument adopted are known.

In fact, observing the results in the isotropic case in the comparison software (Berni1, Smith82, STRESsOUT), after having correctly homogenised the input data, the diferences are in the order of a few hundredths of MPa for the stresses and a few degrees for the angles of the stresses with respect to the reference axes.

Most likely, the diferences detected are due to the greater calculation precision obtained thanks to the use of diferent IMSL subroutines within the numerical methods and to the inaccuracies due to the positioning of the individual sensors (strain gauges) along the circumference of the measurement section of the pilot hole. The software, which has been successfully validated, allows, once the deformability characteristics of the rock are known, the complete state of stress to be determined even in the case of rocks with transversely isotropic behaviour.

In this respect, the state of natural and/or induced stress in open pit or underground activity of dimension stones with more or less evident planes of weakness, where the ratio between the elastic modules in orthogonal directions is > 1 , can be examined more

	of BM2000. The data refer to the isotropic case proposed by										
	BM2000			Smith ₈₂				STRESSOUT			
	(MPa)	δ (\degree)	β (°)	(MPa)	δ (\degree)	β (°)	(MPa)	δ (\degree)	β (°)		
σ_1	7.910	-28.85	279.07	7.850	-25.89	282.54	7.846	-25.92	282.50		
σ ₂	7.070	-34.93	177.44	7.080	-36.84	181.56	7.079	-36.88	181.60		
σ_{3}	1.500	-60.93	42.40	1.570	-61.05	41.36	1.571	-61.02	41.40		

Table 14 Stress intensity, inclinations δ and directions β obtained by the diferent software used for the validation ϵ BM2000. The data refer

Amadei (1983), where the geometry data and deformability characteristics of the instrument adopted have been modifed

Fig. 4 Stereogram of the maximum principal stresses calculated with the diferent software used for the validation of BM2000 in the modified isotropic case. The symbols Δ , \square , \square , respectively, identify the maximum principal stresses calculated σ_1 , σ_2 , σ_3 (MPa), for the software BM2000, Smith82 and **STRESSOUT**

closely. This, in agreement with Hakala (2006), implies that the strain anisotropy (E_1/E_2) between the intensities of 1.14 MPa and 1.33 MPa has a noticeable systematic effect on the interpretation of the stress state on-site and, for this purpose, the elastic parameters of the rock at the measuring point should be defned as accurately as possible.

In this regard, the required experimental determination can be carried out directly on-site with a circumferential press capable of containing the overcored rock sample with the hollow inclusion cell still inside it, using the method proposed by Nunes (1997, 2002). Another experimental method, still being tested, for defning the deformability characteristics of the on-site rock with transversely isotropic linear elastic behaviour is based on the use of a circumferential press capable of containing the over-cored rock sample with the hollow inclusion cell still inside it, and a numerical process of minimisation implemented by means of the BM2000 software.

Table 15 Values of the characteristic properties of the transverse isotropic rock adopted in the calculation of the state of natural stress by Amadei (1983) (p. 241), for the 4 diferent cases examined. $E_{1, 2, 3}$ = modulus of elasticity evaluated in the direction of the orthogonal reference axes associated with the

isotropic planes; Poisson's ratio v_{21} , v_{31} , v_{23} , evaluated in the direction of Young's modulus; tangential (shear) modulus of elasticity $G_{1,2}$; $G_{1,3}$; $G_{2,3}$, evaluated in the isotropy plans of the material under study

Rock properties									
	E_1 (MPa)	E_{2} (MPa)	E_{3} (MPa)	G_{12} , G_{13} (MPa)	G_{23} (MPa)	V_{21} , V_{31} $-$	V_{23} $\prime -$		
Case 1	20,000	40,000	40,000	4,000	16,000	0.40	0.25		
Case 2	30,000	40,000	40,000	8,000	16,000	0.27	0.25		
Case 3	35,000	40,000	40,000	14,000	16,000	0.23	0.25		
Case 4	39,000	40,000	40,000	15,500	16,000	0.24	0.25		

Table 16 Intensity, inclinations δ and directions $β$ of the main maximum stresses obtained for the validation of BM2000 software. The data refer to the transverse isotropic cases proposed by Amadei (1983)

Fig. 6 Representation of the characteristic angles of the transverse isotropy plane. β shows the strike (direction), positive clockwise from $-Z$, while ψ is the inclination angle (dip)

Fig. 5 Stereogram of the maximum principal stresses calculated with Berni1 and BM2000 software to validate the transverse isotropic case. The symbols \circlearrowleft , Δ , \Box , \circlearrowright , respectively, identify the maximum principal stresses calculated σ_1 , σ_2 , σ_3 , in MPa, for the 4 cases analysed

Table 17 Results and diferences, in terms of intensity, inclinations δ and directions β, of the maximum principal stresses obtained for the validation of the BM2000 software, when $\beta = 0^\circ \sim 90^\circ$ and ψ = 30°. The data refer to the transversely isotropic case proposed by Amadei (1983)

Fig. 7 Stereogram of the trend of the maximum main stresses, calculated with Berni1 and BM2000 for the validation in the transversely isotropic case, when $β = 0° \sim 90°$ and $ψ = 30°$. The maximum principal stresses calculated $σ1, σ2, σ3$ are respectively identified with the symbols \bigcirc , Δ , \square

Fig. 8 Stereogram of the trend of the maximum main stresses, calculated with Berni1 and BM2000 for the validation in the transversely isotropic case, when $β = 0° \sim 90°$ and $ψ = 90°$. The maximum principal stresses calculated $σ1$, $σ2$, $σ3$ are respectively identified with the symbols \bigcirc , Δ , \square

Table 18 Results and diferences, in terms of intensity, inclinations δ and directions β, of the maximum principal stresses obtained for the validation of the BM2000 software, when $\beta = 0^\circ \sim 90^\circ$ and $\psi = 90^\circ$. The data refer to the transversely isotropic case proposed by Amadei (1983)

Fig. 9 Trend of the maximum principal stresses (MPa), calculated with Berni1 and BM2000 for the validation in the transversely isotropic case, where $\beta = 0 \sim 90^{\circ}$ and $\psi = 30^{\circ}$. The maximum principal stresses calculated $σ1, σ2, σ3$ are respectively identified with the symbols \bigcirc , Δ , \square

Fig. 10 Trend of the maximum principal stresses (MPa), calculated with Berni1 and BM2000 for the validation in the transversely isotropic case, where $β=0~90°$ and $ψ=90°$. The maximum principal stresses calculated $σ1, σ2, σ3$ are respectively identified with the symbols \bigcirc , Δ , \square

Acknowledgements A special thanks to Prof. Giorgio Iabichino and Prof. Marilena Cardu for suggestions and supervision. A further thank is due to Eng. Valentina Isaia for the graphical contribution.

Funding Open access funding provided by Politecnico di Torino within the CRUI-CARE Agreement. This research received no external funding.

Availability of Data and Material Not applicable.

Code Availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conficts of Interest The author declare no confict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

References

- Amadei B (1983) Rock anisotropy and the theory of stress measurements. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin.<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-82040-3>
- Amadei B, Stephansson O (1997) Rock stress and its measurement. Springer Science & Business Media. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5346-1) [10.1007/978-94-011-5346-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5346-1)
- Becker A, Werner D (1994) Strain measurements with the borehole slotter. Terra Nova 6:608–617. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.1994.tb00527.x) [1111/j.1365-3121.1994.tb00527.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.1994.tb00527.x)
- Bieniawski ZT, Bernede MJ (1979) Suggested methods for determining the uniaxial compressive strength and deformability of rock materials: Part 1–2. Suggested method for determining deformability of rock materials in uniaxial compression. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr 16(2):138-140. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(79)91451-7) [9062\(79\)91451-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(79)91451-7)
- Bock HF, Foruria V (1983) A new stressmeter for rocks. Australian Geomechanics
- Commonwealth Scientifc and Industrial Research Organisation (1979) Field manual for CSIRO hollow inclusion gage. Royal Institute of Technology (KTH)
- Cravero M, Iabichino G (2005) BM2000, un software per l'analisi di dati provenienti da determinazioni sperimentali condotte in calcare microcristallino con il metodo

del rilascio tensionale conseguente a sovra-carotaggio di inclusioni cave (CSIRO Hollow Inclusion cell). Rapporto interno all'Istituto di Geologia Ambientale e Geoingegneria, sede di Torino Politecnico

- Cravero M, Iabichino G, Saltarin S (2016) Adattamento per elaboratori a 32/64 bit di software specifco per l'analisi di dati provenienti da determinazioni sperimentali condotte con il metodo del rilascio tensionale conseguente a sovra-carotaggio di inclusioni cave (CSIRO Hollow Inclusion cell). Rapporto interno all'Istituto di Geologia Ambientale e Geoingegneria, sede di Torino Politecnico
- Crouch SL, Fairhurst C (1967) A four-component borehole deformation gauge for the determination of in situ stresses in rock masses. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr 4:209–217. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(67)90045-9) [0148-9062\(67\)90045-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(67)90045-9)
- D Gullì G Iabichino M Cravero 2006 Design and calibration of a new triaxial strain cell for rock stress measurement In-Situ Rock Stress 95 102 [https://doi.org/10.1201/97814](https://doi.org/10.1201/9781439833650.ch13) [39833650.ch13](https://doi.org/10.1201/9781439833650.ch13)
- Fairhurst C (1968a) Methods of Determining In-Situ Rock Stresses at Great Depths. Tech Report No. 1–68, School of Mineral and Metallurgical Engineering, University of Minnesota, February 1968a.
- Fairhurst, C (1968b) Borehole Methods of Stress Determination. In: Rock Mechanics Symp. Proc., Madrid, Spain
- Fama MD, Pender MJ (1980) Analysis of the hollow inclusion technique for measuring in situ rock stress. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr Pergamon [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(80)91360-1) [doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062\(80\)91360-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(80)91360-1)
- Fitzpatrick J (1962) Biaxial device for determining the modulus of elasticity of stress-relief cores. Vol. 6128. US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines
- Hakala, M, Sjöberg J (2006) A methodology for interpretation of overcoring stress measurements in anisotropic rock (No. POSIVA-WR--06–99), Posiva Oy
- Hiramatsu Y, Oka Y (1968) Determination of the stress in rock unafected by boreholes or drifts, from measured strains or deformations. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr 5(4):337–353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(68)90005-3) [0148-9062\(68\)90005-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(68)90005-3)
- Hiramatsu Y, Oka Y (1962) Analysis of stress around a circular shaft or drift excavated in ground in a three dimensional stress state. Journal of Mining and Metallurgy Institute of Japan 78:93–98. [https://doi.org/10.2473/](https://doi.org/10.2473/shigentosozai1953.78.884_93) [shigentosozai1953.78.884_93](https://doi.org/10.2473/shigentosozai1953.78.884_93)
- Hirashima KI, Hamano H (1987) Some basic reconsiderations for determination methods of stresses in anisotropic elastic medium. Doboku Gakkai Ronbunshu 382:141–147. [https://doi.org/10.2208/jscej.1987.382_](https://doi.org/10.2208/jscej.1987.382_141) [141](https://doi.org/10.2208/jscej.1987.382_141)
- Iabichino, G, Cravero M (2010) Application of a New Stress Measurement Device In Underground Marble Quarrying, a Case Study. ISRM International Symposium on In-Situ Rock Stress, Beijing, China, August 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780415601658-86>
- Jalkanen GJ (1982) A frst experience with the CSIRO Hollow Inclusion Stress Cell to estimate in situ rock stresses. PhD Thesis. Michigan Technological University
- Kirsch EG (1898) Die Theorie der Elastizitat und die Bedurfnisse der Festigkeitslehre. Zeitschrift Des Vereines Deutscher Ingenieure 42(29):797–807
- Kobayashi S, Yoshikawa T, Harada T, Uchita Y (1991) In-situ stress measurement using a conical shaped borehole strain gage plug. Proc. 7th Cong. Int. Soc. Rock Mech. (ISRM). Aachen, Balkema, Rotterdam 1:545–548. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(92)92840-9) [10.1016/0148-9062\(92\)92840-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(92)92840-9)
- Larson MK (1992) STRESsOUT a data reduction program for inferring stress state of rock having isotropic material properties: a user's manual. US Dept. of the Interior, Bureau of Mines
- Leeman ER (1959) The measurement of changes in rock stress due to mining. Mine and Quarry Eng 25:300–304
- Leeman ER (1964) Absolute Rock Stress Measurements Using a Borehole Trepanning Stress-Relieving Technique. Paper presented at the 6th US Symposium on Rock Mechanics (USRMS), Rolla, Missouri, October 1964.
- Leeman ER (1968) The determination of the complete state of stress in rock in a single borehole - Laboratory and underground measurements. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 5:31–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062\(68\)90021-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(68)90021-1)
- Leeman ER (1969) The "doorstopper" and triaxial rock stress measuring instruments developed by the CSIR. J South African Inst of Min Met 69:305–339
- Leeman ER (1971) The CSIR "doorstopper" and triaxial rock stress measuring instruments. National Mechanical Engineering, Research Institute, Pretoria, South Africa. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01243550) doi.org/10.1007/bf01243550
- Leeman ER, Hayes DJ (1966) A technique for determining the complete state of stress in rock using a single borehole. Proc. 1st Cong. Int. Soc. Rock Mech. (ISRM), Lisbon.
- Lekhnitskii SG (1963) Theory of elasticity of an anisotropic elastic body. Holden-Day Inc., San Francisco
- Merrill RH (1964) In situ determination of stress by relief techniques. Proc. Int. Conf. State of stress in the Earth's crust, 343–369
- Merrill RH (1967) Three-component borehole deformation gage for determining the stress in rock. US Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations, 7015
- Mohr HF (1956) Measurement of rock pressure. Min Quarr Eng 178-189
- Niwa Y, Hirashima KI (1971) The theory of the determination of stress in an anisotropic elastic medium using an instrumented cylindrical inclusion. Mem Faculty of Eng, Kyoto Univ, Japan 33:221–232
- Nunes ALLS (1997) Nouvelle méthode de détermination de la déformabilité des roches transversalement isotropes avec la cellule triaxiale CSIR. Ph.D. thesis, École Polytechnique de Montreal, University of Montreal, Canada
- Nunes ALLS (2002) A new method for determination of transverse isotropic orientation and the associated elastic parameters for intact rock. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 39(2):257–273. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1365-1609\(02\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1365-1609(02)00025-4) [00025-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1365-1609(02)00025-4)
- Obert L, Merrill RH, Morgan TA (1962) Borehole deformation gage for determining the stress in mine rock. US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, 5978
- Oka Y (1979) Investigations on the new method of determining rock stress by the stress relief technique and applications of this method. Rock Mechanics in Japan 3:68–70
- Panek L (1966) Calculation of the average ground-stress components from measurements of the diametral deformation of a drill hole. Testing Techniques for Rock Mechanics. ASTM International.<https://doi.org/10.1520/stp45139s>
- Rocha M, Lopes JJB, Silva JN (1966) A new technique for applying the method of the fat jack in the determination of stresses inside rock masses. Proc. 1st Cong. Int. Soc. Rock Mech. (ISRM). Lisbon 2:57–65
- Rocha M, Silvério A, Pedro JO, Delgado JS (1974) A new development of the LNEC stress tensor gauge. Proc. 3rd ISRM Congress, Denver. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(76)90497-6) [9062\(76\)90497-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(76)90497-6)
- Savin GN (1961) Stress concentration around holes. Pergamon, Oxford, pp 241–296
- Smith WK (1982) Two BASIC computer programs for the determination of in situ stresses using the CSIRO hollow inclusion stress cell and the USBM borehole deformation gage. No. 82–489. US Geological Survey. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr82489) [10.3133/ofr82489](https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr82489)
- Sugawara K, Obara Y, Kaneko K, Aoki T (1986) Hemispherical-ended borehole technique for measurement of absolute rock stress. ISRM International Symposium. ISRM. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062\(87\)90659-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(87)90659-0)
- Sugawara K, Okamura H, Obara Y, Katoh H (1984) Strain relief measurement in hemi-spherically ended borehole for determining the stresses in rock masses. Proc. 2nd Rock Mechanics Symp. Japan Soc. Civil Eng., 165169
- Suzuki K (1966) Fundamental study on the rock stress measurement by borehole deformation method. Proc. 1st Cong. Int. Soc. Rock Mech. (ISRM), Lisbon, Lab. Nac. de Eng. Civil, Lisbon II:35–39
- Suzuki K (1971) Theory and practice of rock stress measurement by borehole deformation method. Proc. Int. Symp. on the Determination of Stresses in Rock Masses, Lisbon, Lab. Nac. de Eng. Civil, Lisbon, pp. 173–182
- Worotnicki G (1993) CSIRO triaxial stress measurement cell. In: Rock Testing and Site Characterization, Pergamon Press, pp. 329‒394. [https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-042066-0.50020-3) [042066-0.50020-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-042066-0.50020-3)
- Worotnicki G, Walton RJ (1976) Triaxial hollow inclusion gauges for determination of rock stresses in-situ. ISRM Symp. on Investigation of Stress in Rock, $1-8$. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(76)90651-3) [org/10.1016/0148-9062\(76\)90651-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-9062(76)90651-3)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.