POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Rapid prototyping for Martian space systems

Original

Rapid prototyping for Martian space systems / Governale, Giuseppe; Rimani, Jasmine; Narducci, Giuseppe; Viola, Nicole; Fusaro, Roberta. - ELETTRONICO. - (2022). (Intervento presentato al convegno Moving To Mars: 2022 International Workshop on Technology Development for Mars Human Exploration tenutosi a Montreal, Canada nel November 2022).

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2974763 since: 2023-01-18T11:06:57Z

Publisher:

Moving To Mars: 2022 International Workshop on Technology Development for Mars Human Exploration

Published DOI:

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)



Rapid prototyping for Martian space systems

Giuseppe Governale, Jasmine Rimani, Giuseppe Narducci, Nicole Viola, Roberta Fusaro

Moving To Mars (M2M) 2022 Workshop Montreal, Canada



Overview

- 1. iDREAM overview and its capabilities
 - for microlaunchers and lunar vehicle
 - Database HyDAT
 - Design iASTRID-H
 - Cost HyCost
 - Technology Roadmap TRIS
- 2. iDREAM Mars application





iDREAM Integrated Framework



1. iASTRID-H

Design and Mission Analysis

 Mission Analysis in ASTOS

2. HyCost Cost estimation - LCC assessment

3. TRIS Technology Roadmap



Database - HyDat





 \checkmark

 \checkmark

0

0

0

an

iDREAM Microlaunchers





Design Routine – ASTRID H ML

Design Philosophy

The microlaunchers design methodology follows a top-down approach.

From high-level requirements to subsystems design through the vehicle main parameters.

Design Routine Logic

Inputs from DATABASE (or User)

- Mission data (target orbit, launch site, inclination and launch azimuth)
- Nominal Payload Mass
- Propellants' characteristics (specific impulse, mixture ratio and densities)





Microlauncher Design Routine





MicroLauncher Design Routine- Outputs



*]	Electron	_	User's	Guide
----	----------	---	--------	-------

Global Input Variable Name	iDREAM – Preliminary Design	Electron [*]	Percentage differences [%]
Payload Mass [kg]	268.59	280.00	-4.08
Payload Diameter [m]	1.07	1.08	-0.93
MTOM [t]	12.49	12.5	-0.08
1 st Stage Inert Mass [t]	0.89	0.90	-1.11
2 nd Stage Inert Mass [t]	0.19	0.20	-5.00
Fairing mass [kg]	44.04	44.00	0.09
Fairing Length [m]	2.57	2.40	7.08
Total Length [m]	18.00	18.00	0.00
1 st Stage Thrust [kN]	244.97	224.30	9.22
2 nd Stage Thrust [kN]	27.79	25.8	7.71
1 st Stage engine mass [kg]	35.58	35.00	1.66
2 nd Stage engine mass [kg]	38.15	35.00	9.00



Cost Estimation routine – HyCost ML

Inputs from DATABASE (or User)

Cost parameters

Inputs from DESIGN routine (or User)

- Pressurizant Tank mass
- Fuel Tank mass
- ✓ Oxidizer Tank mass
- Stage structure mass
- ✓ Engine(s) mass
- Thrust Vector Control mass
- ✓ Pipes mass
- Valves mass
- ✓ Stage Harness mass
- Payload mass
- Avionics mass
- Attitude mass
- ✓ Interstage mass



Outputs

- ✓ LCC assesment
 - Development cost
 - Operating cost
 - Manufacturing cost
 - Cost per flight
 - Price per flight
- ✓ Technologies Cost at Completion



Cost Estimation Methodology ML

Based on T1 equivalent units Applicable at subsystem and equipment level





Commercial factor ML

Scaling factor (cp) considering commercial applications: less subcontractors, more profit retain.

Considered in both development and manufacturing costs.

able 3.2: Proposed scaling of Manageme		
	Scale Factor S_m	2.85
	Original Cost Contributions	Scaled Commercial Cost Contributions
Management (M)	10%	3.5%
Product Assurance (PA)	5%	1.8%
Integration & Test (I&T)	9%	3.2%
Total Development Cost Contribution	26%	8.6%
Cost Reduction		-13.7%



Learning factor ML

Mainly in the manufacturing costs, different learning curves wrt to the process

- 3D printing (99%)
- Traditional manufacturing (90%)

Azul Costs	[k€]	[k€]
MAN (t) 3D print.	501,823	23,186
MAN (t) BaU	478,637	
MAN (u) 3D print.	10,036	464
MAN (u) BaU	9,573	
3D printing	delta	+4.8%

Learning curves



3D printing features



Technology Roadmap routine - TRIS

Technology Roadmap Philosophy

To generate technology roadmaps in support of strategic decisions, highlighting possible incremental paths towards the end-goal thanks to the exploitation of common System Engineering tools and processes.

Technology Roadmapping Routine Logic

Inputs from DATABASE (or User) and COST ROUTINE

- Stakeholder lists and characterization
- ✓ Elements lists:
 - o Building blocks
 - Mission Concepts
 - Operational Capabilities
 - o Technologies
- ✓ Elements characterization
 - Links between elements
 - o TRL
 - o Costs



Politecnico

Torino



Outputs

- ✓ Technology roadmap
 - Techs prioritization
 - Mission concepts
 prioritization
 - Techs planning
 - Mission concepts
 planning

Technology Roadmap methodology

Prioritization Studies





iDREAM Human Landing System





Design Routine – ASTRID H HLS

Design Philosophy

The human landing system **design** methodology follows a **bottom-up approach**.

From subsystems to the whole vehicle design.

Design Routine Logic

Inputs from DATABASE (or User)

- Mission data: estimated deltaVs, mission phases time
- Nominal payload mass
- ✓ Number of crew
- ✓ Total mission time
- ✓ Subsystems data: PROPULSION, ECLSS, COMM, CDH, AOCS, TCS, STR, EPS)





Human Landing System Design Routine





Cost Estimation routine - HyCost HLS

Cost Philosophy

The human landing system **cost** methodology is based on the Advanced Mission Cost Model (AMCM)

Additionally, a cost estimation based on the analogy method was developed.

Cost Routine Logic





Human Landing System - Cost Estimation -Validation

The budget allocated for the HLS in the Artemis program is 21,300 \$FY2020M -14% The result of the cost estimation by analogy for the HLS is 18,619 \$FY2020M +5% The result of the AMCM cost estimation is equal to 17,618 \$FY2020M

from the allocated budget

from the AMCM model





iDREAM Mars



iDREAM for Mars



DESIGN

- 1. Propulsion
 - i. Nuclear or others
- 2. ECLSS
 - i. Lower in %mass
 - ii. Artificial gravity

COST

- 1. AMCM
- 2. Analogy method



Conclusions

iDREAM is an integrated vehicle design routine with the capabilities of:

- ✓ providing a preliminary design of the studied system
- ✓ estimating the overall life-cycle cost of the designed system
- ✓ supporting the evaluation of technology roadmaps

The three modules of iDREAM can be used as standalone software

✓ iDREAM has been validated for microlaunchers and lunar applications.

iDREAM will be extended to provide design, life-cost assessment and technology roadmapping analysis for other space systems, such as Mars missions, for both orbital and surface systems

- ✓ The HyDat database is continuously updated
- ✓ An overview of the main affecting changes to the iDREAM routines has been presented for the Mars case



giuseppe.governale@polito.it jasmine.rimani@polito.it nicole.viola@polito.it

Thanks for your attention

Any questions?



polito.it

bit.ly/SEEDSMaster22

Validation Study





MicroLauncher Design Routine- Inputs

The **Electron** launch vehicle is fully designed and manufactured by **Rocket Lab**. It combines the latest manufacturing technologies with the capability of multiple launch ranges in a domestic launch site (Mahia Launch Complex or Rocket Lab Launch Complex).

Electron exists both as a 2-stage and as a 3-stage configuration. In this case, the 2-stage architecture is analysed

Vehicle design inputs

Global Input Variable Name	Value
Target Orbit [km]	300.00
Orbital Inclination [deg]	45
Launch site selection	Mahia, New Zealand
Number of stages	2
Nominal payload mass [kg]	200.0
Rocket diameters [m]	1.2
Thrust over weigth stage 1	2.0
Thrust over weigth stage 2	0.95
Maximum Take Off Mass [t]	12.5
Total Length [m]	18.0
Stage 1 Propellant	LOX/RP1
Stage 2 Propellant	LOX/RP1
Number of engine first stage	9.0
Number of engine second stage	1.0



MicroLauncher Design Routine- Outputs



[*] Electron – User's Guide

Global Input Variable Name	iDREAM – Preliminary Design	Electron [*]	Percentage differences [%]
Payload Mass [kg]	268.59	280.00	-4.08
Payload Diameter [m]	1.07	1.08	-0.93
MTOM [t]	12.49	12.5	-0.08
1 st Stage Inert Mass [t]	0.89	0.90	-1.11
2 nd Stage Inert Mass [t]	0.19	0.20	-5.00
Fairing mass [kg]	44.04	44.00	0.09
Fairing Length [m]	2.57	2.40	7.08
Total Length [m]	18.00	18.00	0.00
1 st Stage Thrust [kN]	244.97	224.30	9.22
2 nd Stage Thrust [kN]	27.79	25.8	7.71
1 st Stage engine mass [kg]	35.58	35.00	1.66
2 nd Stage engine mass [kg]	38.15	35.00	9.00



U U U U F R O

500

MicroLauncher Cost Estimation Routine – Outputs



	Price per Flight [M€]	Specific price [k€/kg]
Electron	16	54
idream	17	52
Percentage differences [%]	7%	-4%



ML Backup





Microlaunchers design methodology

Main Requirements

- ✓ i-DREAM shall support the **conceptual and preliminary design** of Microlaunchers (MLs) and related **reference missions**.
- ✓ For the Mission Analysis, iDREAM shall make benefit of the commercial software ASTOS.
- ✓ The ML design methodology shall enable two different types of analysis:
 - Assessment and verification of an already existing ML design (Existing routine) supported by the HyDat Database
 - Definition of a new ML design and reference mission starting from a set of high-level requirements (**New routine**)

Expected Tool Developments

- ✓ Upgraded version of ASTRID-H (Aircraft on-board Systems sizing and TRade-off analysis in Initial Design)
 - ASTRID-H is a proprietary tool of the research group of Politecnico di Torino, developed for almost a decade through different research activities.
 - ASTRID-H has been validated for the conceptual and preliminary design of a wide-range of transportation system from civil aviation to access to Space and beyond.
- Exploitation of ASTOS commercial software to support the design of MLs with reliable and accurate Mission Analysis.
- Upgraded version of HyDat, a database developed by Politecnico di Torino to support technology roadmapping activities of ESA for hypersonic transportation systems and Reuseble Access to Space Vehicles



Micro-Launcher Design Routine (III)

Preliminary Mission Module ->

- 1) First trajectory estimation;
- 2) Variable trends throughout the mission;
- 3) Delta V and Gravity and Drag Losses.

Starting point for new iterations!



- [5] O. Sutton and G. Biblarz, Rocket Propulsion Elements.
- [6] F. Castellini, Multidisciplinary Design Optimization for expendable launch vehicles, 2012.
- [7] R. Ernst, Liquid Rocket Analysis (LiRA). Development of a Liquid Bi-Propellant Rocket Engine.
- [8] S. Contant, Design and Optimization of a Small Reusable Launch Vehicle Using Vertical Landing Techniques.
- 9] F. Miranda, Design Optimization of Ground and Air-Launched Hybrid Rockets. 10] R. V. Fernando de Souza Costa, "Preliminary Analysis of Hybrid Rockets for Laur
 - R. V. Fernando de Souza Costa, "Preliminary Analysis of Hybrid Rockets for Launching Nanosats into LEO".



Mass Estimation Module -> estimate the different masses of the Launcher

Two Staging algorithms implemented.

- 1. Optimal Staging
- **2. Restricted Staging**: simplified method (only for existing ML)
 -> MTOM is requested as input.





[2] H. Curtis, Orbital Mechanics for Engineering Students, Elsevier Aerospace Engineering Series, Elsevier, 2004.
[3] K. Suresh and B. Sivan, Integrated Design for Space Transportation Systems, Springer, 2015.
[4] D. M. Gaspar, "A Tool for Preliminary Design of Rockets".



Rapid prototyping for Martian space systems - M2M 2022

Propulsion System Module -> **Thrust** and **Geometrical characteristics** of the Engines.

Preloaded or New propellant in terms of:

- 1) Specific impulse;
- Density;
 Mixture I
- 3) Mixture Ratio (bi-propellant).

Dimensions Estimation Module -> **Geometrical characteristics** of the Launcher.

- 1) Tanks length;
- 2) Stages length;
- 3) Fairing length.



5] O. Sutton and G. Biblarz, Rocket Propulsion Elements.

- [6] F. Castellini, Multidisciplinary Design Optimization for expendable launch vehicles, 2012.
- [7] R. Ernst, Liquid Rocket Analysis (LiRA). Development of a Liquid Bi-Propellant Rocket Engine.
- [8] S. Contant, Design and Optimization of a Small Reusable Launch Vehicle Using Vertical Landing Techniques.
- 9 F. Miranda, Design Optimization of Ground and Air-Launched Hybrid Rockets.
- [10] R. V. Fernando de Souza Costa, "Preliminary Analysis of Hybrid Rockets for Launching Nanosats into LEO".



Aerodynamics Module -> Drag coefficient = f (Mach, AoA).

Components considered:

- Base Drag;
- 2) 3) Friction Drag;
- Boattail Drag;
- Supersonic and Transonic Drag.





[11] P. Sharma, "Drag Coefficient Prediction". [12] W. Stoney, "Collection of zero lift drag data on bodies of revolution from free flight investigation".



Preliminary Mission Module ->

- First trajectory estimation;
- 2) Variable trends throughout the mission;
- 3) Delta V and Gravity and Drag Losses.

Starting point for new iterations!



- [5] O. Sutton and G. Biblarz, Rocket Propulsion Elements.
- [6] F. Castellini, Multidisciplinary Design Optimization for expendable launch vehicles, 2012.
- R. Ernst, Liquid Rocket Analysis (LiRA). Development of a Liquid Bi-Propellant Rocket Engine.
- S. Contant, Design and Optimization of a Small Reusable Launch Vehicle Using Vertical Landing Techniques.
- F. Miranda, Design Optimization of Ground and Air-Launched Hybrid Rockets. 91 [10]
 - R. V. Fernando de Souza Costa, "Preliminary Analysis of Hybrid Rockets for Launching Nanosats into LEO".



Rapid prototyping for Martian space systems – M2M 2022

MicroLauncher Cost Estimation

Main Requirements

- ✓ i-DREAM shall support the conceptual and preliminary design of Microlaunchers (MLs) with the Life-cycle costing (LCC) assessment of MLs.
- ✓ This capability shall be exploitable:
 - inside the integrated framework (thus using inputs coming from design)
 - o in standalone mode

Expected Tool Developments

- ✓ Upgraded version of HyCost
 - HyCost is a proprietary tool of the research group of Politecnico di Torino, developed with ESA, to support a wide range of high-speed vehicles
 - TRIS has been validated for in different fields, from space exploration, to reusable access to space and re-entry vehicles.
- ✓ The cost estimation uses the heritage and know-how developed for previous contracts with ESA on the Microlaunchers design and evaluation capabilities.
- ✓ The routine uses the collected data from previous contracts as available input for the "Existing" case.



MicroLauncher Technology Roadmapping – Outputs

			Tech Name	TRL 5	TRL 6	TRL 7	TRL 8	TRL 9
Ranking	Name	Current TRL	Engine(s) tech	01/01/2014	14/06/2014	26/11/2014	08/02/2017	10/11/2018
1	Engine(s) technology	5	Pipes tech		01/01/2014	01/07/2014	05/12/2016	10/11/2018
2	Pipes technology	6	TVC tech		01/01/2014	01/07/2014	05/12/2016	10/11/2018
3	Thrust Vector Control technology	6	Valves tech		01/01/2014	01/07/2014	05/12/2016	10/11/2018
4	Valves technology	6	Gantt Chart 1w 1m 6m YTD 1y all					TRL1>2







MicroLauncher Technology Roadmapping – Inputs

			Stakeholder	Role	Impact	Criterion	Prioriti zation Order
			Rocket Lab	OP	KE	Current TRL	ASC
duới.			Rocket Lab	OP	KE	Cost at Completion	DESC
\$			Rocket Lab	OP	KE	Number of Missions linked	ASC
	Start Date:	01-01-2014 (Electron	Rocket Lab	OP	KE	Number of Activities linked	ASC
			Rocket Lab	OP	KE	Number of BBs linked	ASC
	• End Date:	11-11-2018 (first	Rocket Lab	OP	KE	Number of Ocs linked	ASC
		Electron Mission – IT'S BUSINESS TIME)	PoliTo	E-U	MON	Current TRL	ASC
			PoliTo	E-U	MON	Cost at Completion	DESC
	• Target TRL:	9	NASA	С	KE	Cost at Completion	DESC
E	·		NASA	С	KE	Number of Missions linked	ASC
st Stage			U.S. Government	SP	KS	Number of Missions linked	ASC
			DARPA	S SP	КІ	Cost at Completion	DESC
			DARPA	SP	КІ	Number of Missions linked	ASC
			ESA	OP	KI	Cost at Completion	DESC
litecnico			ESA	OP	KI	Current TRL	ASC
		Rapid prototyping	Tor Martian space s	/stems –	M_2M_2022		4/

HLS Backup





HLS Design and mission analysis methodology

Objective:

i-DREAM shall support the conceptual and preliminary design of HLSs and related nominal mission, while exploiting some routines of the commercial software **ASTOS**.

Tool:

- Upgraded version of ASTRID-H (Aircraft on-board Systems sizing and TRade-off analysis in Initial Design)
 - Proprietary tool of the research group of Politecnico di Torino
 - Developed for almost a decade through research activities.
 - ASTRID-H supports the conceptual and preliminary design of a wide-range of high-speed transportation system from supersonic/hypersonic civil aircraft to Reusable Access to Space.

Expected Outputs:

iASTRID-H for HLS shall enable two different types of analysis:

- Assessment and verification of an already existing HLS design (Existing routine) supported by TREX DB (ESA proprietary DB)
- Definition of a new HLS design and reference mission starting from a set of high-level requirements (New routine)



TREX Database

To support the multidisciplinary design of HLS, Politecnico di Torino upgraded **TREX**, a database initially developed by ESA to support internal technology roadmapping activities.

The MySQL version of TREx backend has been properly modified to store data of existing HLS projects and to support:

- Design & mission analysis
- Cost Estimation
- Technology Roadmap





iDREAM (iASTRID-H) – HLS – Overview

Bottom-up approach:

From subsystem to vehicle design

Inputs from TREX (or User):

- **Mission data** (estimated Delta Vs, mission phases time)
- Nominal Payload Mass
- Number of **crew**
- Total mission time
- Details on the vehicle
 Configuration (subsystems data: PROPULSION, ECLSS, COMM, CDH, AOCS, TCS, STR, _____
 EPS etc...)



Outputs:

- Vehicle geometry and Layout
- Vehicle performance (propellant mass, final DeltaV, mass budgets, power budgets)
- Mission Concepts



iDREAM (iASTRID-H) - HLS - Design Steps

Human Landing System Design Routine -> Everyting see ase



iDREAM (iASTRID-H) - HLS - Subsystems

ECLSS Module

Environment Control Life Support Subsystem (ECLSS) shall be able to sustain life for 4 astronauts during the mission.

TCS Module

Thermal Control Subsystem (TCS) shall be able to control temperature for human habitat ,and other subsystems' component. (MLI, louvres, heat pipes, coldplates, heaters)

STR e Mechani

Structure and Mechanism module estimates the mass, power and volume budgets of total structure, landing gear, docking mechanism and thermal protection system.

AOCS Module

Attitude and Orbit Control Subsystem (AOCS) shall be able to sustain HLS asset along manoeuvres duration during the mission (AOCS fuel, tanks, AOCS engines, sun sensors, star trackers, etc...).

TCS for cryogenic Module

Thermal Control Subsystem (TCS) for cryogenic shall be able to control temperature for cryogenic fuel tanks.(MLI for fuel tanks)

Communication Module

Communication shall be able to sustain communication between astronauts and LOPG orbit during the whole mission.

DH Module

Command and Data Handling(CDH) subsystem allows to interface human with other subsystems.

PROP Module

Propulsion Subsystem shall be able to sustain the descent manoeuvre during the mission. (fuel tanks, engine, pressurant and turbopumps)

EPS Module

Electrical Power Subsystem(EPS) shall be able to produce power required from the LSAM subsystems (batteries , solar arrays and fuel cells).



iDREAM (iASTRID-H) – HLS – Subsystems

 $m_{consumable} = 9.4 * n_{Crew} * n_{days} + 2.3 * n_{days} + 4.5 * n_{evacycles} [kg]$

	$Air_{need} = Respiration_{data} *$	ECLSS main Data		
	$V_{tot_{air}} = 7.772 * 10^{-1}$	Crew_members	Value 4	
	$V_{habitat} = n_{crew} * 20 *$	n.Days	7	day
	$m_{cabin} = 1.25 + 525 *$	Habitat_vol num suit	31.8 1	m3
auts	$m_{tot_{ECLSS}} = m_{CO2_{scrubber}} + m_{Trace_{contaminant_{cs}}} + m_{Major}$	num EVA	1	
	$+ m_{Avionics_{Air_{assembly}}} + m_{Inter_{moduel_{ventil}}}$	Suggested Values		I
	$+ m_{fire_{exting}} + m_{N2tot_{withmarg}} + m_{Oxtot_W}$	R gas	8.31	J/mol/K
	$+ m_{toto2} + + m_{cons} + m_{PCS} + mass_{OGA}$	Respiration Pressure	0.84 65500	kg/p/day Pa
	$\begin{split} &+ m_{toto_2} + + m_{cons} + m_{PCS} + mass_{OG} \\ &+ m_{gas_{reservoir}} \left[kg \right] \\ &P_{tot_{BCLSS}} = P_{CO2_{scrubber}} + P_{Trace_{contaminant_{cs}}} + P_{Majo} \end{split}$	Temperature	295	ĸ
	$P_{tot_{ECLSS}} = P_{CO2_{scrubber}} + P_{Trace_{contaminant_{cs}}} + P_{Major}$	Mmair	28.965	g/mol
	$+ P_{Avionics_{Air_{assembly}}} + P_{Inter_{moduel_{venti}}}$	Pressured volume	31.8	m3
	$V_{tot_{ECLSS}} = V_{CO2_{scrubber}} + V_{Major_{constituent_{analyzer}}} + V_{V_{tot_{eclss}}} + V_{V_{tot_$	O2 concentration Vol	30	%
	$+ V_{Intermoduel_{ventilation}} + V_{HEPA_{filter}} +$	O2 molar mass	0.032	kg/mol
	$+ V_{reservoir_{tank}} + V_{inflatable_{airlock}}$	N2 leakage	0	Ng/ mor
		num CO2 Scrubber (LiOH - based)	1	
		num Trace Contaminant Control Subsystem (TCCS)	1	
		num Major Constituent Analyzer (MCA)	1	

Inputs:

- Number of Astronauts
- Mission Duration

idream (Astos) - Hls

INP

De

liter

Missio

Human Landing System Design Routine -> ASTOS Routine

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="ISO-8859-1"?>

xmlns="http://www.astos.de/schema/astos/9.17/scenario">

ASTOS routine can be launched directly from iDREAM GUI.

- Connection allowed thanks:
- IT collaboration
 - Connection
 GUI
- homotopy.xml file

<Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="DaysToAddForStartingDate">0.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="GS_Altitude">0.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="GS_Latitude">0.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="GS_Longitude">0.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="InclinationLLO">75.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="InitialArgOfPeriapsis">10.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="InitialRAAN">20.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="InitialTrueAnomaly">30.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Initial_Apoapsis">101.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Initial_Periapsis">100.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Isp">453.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Nozzle_Ae">1.471</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase10 PitchConstant Time">5.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase10_Throttle_PitchConstant">1.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase11_BurnToLLOTime">120.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase11_Throttle_BurnToLLO">1.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase12 CoastToLLOTime">200.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase12_TargetAltitude">100.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase12_TargetInclination">70.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase13_CircLLO_Time">1000.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase1_LLOInitialTime">2910.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase1_Pitch">0.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase1_Yaw">-15.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase2_BurnTime">35.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase2 TargetPeriapsisToReduce">0.5</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase2 Throttle_DecreasingPeriapsis">1.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase3_CoastToPeriapsisTime">2560.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase3 FinalPitchToDecrApo">0.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase3_FinalYawToDecrApo">207.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase3_TargetAltitudeToDecreaseApoapsis">30.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase4_DecreaseApoTime">200.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase4_TargetApoapsis">40.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase4 Throttle DecreaseApoapsis">1.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase5_CoastToImpactTime">50.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase5_FinalPitchToBrake">10.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase5_FinalYawToBrake">205.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase5_TargetAltitudeToBrake">15.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase6_BrakingTime">500.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase6_TargetImpactAltitude">0.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase6_Throttle_Braking">1.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase7_DesiredYawForLaunch">27.0</Variable> <Variable type="Floating Point Value" name="Phase7 TimeOnMoon">1.0</Variable> Weishis how "Flasting Daint Value" same "Dhage" Lifeoffrima"'S F. O. Madahlas

<Variables checksum="a725273773" xsl:schemaLocation="http://www.astos.de/schema/astos/9.17/scenario Scenario.xsd" xmlns:xsl="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"



5

iDREAM (iASTRID-H) - HLS

Human Landing System Design Routine -> Mission Analysis Routine

Mission Analysis

3 parts:

- ASTOS: Descent (with no Soft Landing Phase)
- Python environment: **Soft Landing Routine**
- **ASTOS: Ascent**

anit bendistan Reutine:

- Phases 8-9-10: ascent phase: the sequence
- fphosetian diffeothererische Lift stifbringh in
- orbit 15 km Phases 11: main burn during the ascent Phase: insertion of the vehicle in the transfer • **Bhase 3900 sting heat inthe Phase of** the
- torgeother and the station enable the
- Phase end condition due to the new **Phase 12**, consting phase to reach the desired altitude
- previous burn
- Phase 13: Once the target altitude is reached,
- Phase 4 becetibe desilect additude is theachied, a second burn is used to decrease the apoapsis of the orbit
- Phase 5: coasting phase to decrease the altitude of the Lunar Lander





Pitch and Yaw Angle - Tir







Human Landing System - Cost Estimation

Objective:

The **second capability** of i-DREAM consists in supporting the conceptual and preliminary design of HLSs with the LCC assessment of HLSs.

Politecnico di Torino upgraded HyCost, a proprietary tool of the research group of Politecnico di Torino developed especially with ESA, to support a wide range of high-speed vehicles.

The AMCM model was implemented in the tool as cost routine for the HLS case. However, also a cost estimation methodology was developed based on analogy.



Human Landing System - Cost Estimation

Inputs from TREX (or User):

- Number of units
- Initial Operating Capability year
- Inheritance
- Difficulty
- Mission Type

Inputs from iASTRID-H:

• Dry mass



Outputs:

LCC assessment for HLSs



Human Landing System - Cost Estimation

Integration of the AMCM

- 1. Input from GUI
- 2. Run estimation
- 3. Graph and print of results

Quantity	
Dry Weight	
Mission Type	
IOC Year	
Block Number	7
 Difficulty	7



Human Landing System - Cost Estimation -Validation

Analogy method cost estimation

- For early design stages with no consistent ٠ data available
- attributes Based available for on ٠ analogue missions.
- Evaluating the closeness of one project to ٠ another based on distance metrics

Eulerian distance metric stributes/characteristics used for the adjustment, weighted as for α , normalised to measure the distance between the i-th and j-th projects.

Mission	\$FY2020M	Unit	Price	Crew	С	Target	Т	GLOW [kg]	G	dry mass [kg]	М	Σ
Apollo LM	23,413	15	2,341*	2	0.40	Lunar Surface	0.0	16,374	0.07	4,920	0.21	0.17
Orion	29,500	12	2,458*	4	0.00	Lunar Orbit	0.5	33,446	0.72	15,485	0.79	0.50
Crew Dragon	3,153		55mln/seat	7	0.60	LEO	1.0	12,055	0.28	6,350	0.07	0.49
Starliner	4,949		90mln/seat	7	0.60	LEO	1.0	13,000	0.23	5,591	0.14	0.49
HLS	21,300	3	7100	4		Lunar Surface		17,975		7,150		

$$_{j} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \left[\frac{x_{k}^{i} - x_{k}^{j}}{\max(x_{k}^{i}) - \min(x_{k}^{i})}\right]^{2}}{\sum_{k} \alpha_{k}}}$$

 d_{i}



Human Landing System - Cost Estimation -Validation

The budget allocated for the HLS in the Artemis program is

21,300

\$FY2020M

The result of the cost estimation by analogy for the HLS is

18,619

\$FY2020M

The result of the AMCM cost estimation is equal to

17,618

\$FY2020M

-14%

from the allocated budget

+5%

from the AMCM model







Mars Backup





Bepid prototyping for Martian space systems - M2M 2022

- Let's say that the mission type is the one of a cycler. We would probably have:
 - A cycler
 - A crew transfer vehicle
 - A payload transfer vehicle (maybe)









- If we have a cycler mission and we focus on the transfer vehicle between Earth orbit and the cycler, we can reuse a lot of the work performed for Idream Moon.
 - ECLSS definition without including ECLSS
 - All the part related to chemical propulsion



Bapid prototyping for Martian space systems – M2M 2022 ECLSS (i)

Inputs → number crew, mission time M_crew = n_crew(70 kg + 42 kg) [70 kg – astronaut weight [1], 42 kg – suits weight [2]] M_consumables [3] (modified because wrona)→

$$n_{\text{consumables}} = n_{\text{crew}} t_{\text{mission}} \left(2.9 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{day}} \left(1 - 0.7 \right) + 1.83 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{day}} + 0.82 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{day}} + 1.22 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{day}} \right)$$

[1] Hanford, A., "Advanced Life Support Baseline Values and Assumptions Document," NASA TP-2015-218570, 2015.

2] McMann, K. S. T., and Harold, J., US Spacesuits, Springer Praxis, Chichester, England, U.K., 2005, Chap. 4.

3 Machado, Larissa Balestrero, and Markus Wilde. "Parametric design of a crew transfer vehicle for Earth-Mars cyclers." Journal of Spacecraft and



Bapid prototyping for Martian space systems – M2M 2022 ECLSS (II)

Inputs → number crew, mission time Habitable Volume



Figure 1: Averaged habitable volume curve.

[1] Simon, Matthew, et al. "Factors impacting habitable volume requirements: Results from the 2011 Habitable Volume Workshop." Center for Advanced Space Studies-Universities Space Research Association (2011).



Bapid prototyping for Martian space systems – M2M 2022 ECLSS (III)

Inputs \rightarrow number crew, mission time

Crew Habitat Mass = From G. Drake ed., Mars Architecture Steering Group, 2nd Addendum of the Human Exploration of Mars, Design Reference Architecture 5.0, NASA Johnson Space Center, 2014. Or you can use the formula of Human Spaceflight to have a first estimate of the mass of the crew habitat. It keeps into account the pressurized volume as well!

From the study of other transfer vehicles it looks like the Crew Habitat Mass is around: 8%-12% of the total mass for a nuclear propelled system (To be refined) Around 20% for transfer vehicles toward a cycler (short travels)

> Table 3. Mass of the habitable module (kg) as a function of the crew size and number of days, according to NASA data (NASA Design Reference Architecture, annex 2, 2014, page 370 [8]).

Crew size \ duration (d)	600	800	1000
3	23505	26794	30139
4	27128	31144	35199

 $m_{bo} = 592 \times (number of crew \times mission duration [d] \times pressurized volume [m³])^{0.346}$ (12-1)



Bapid prototyping for Martian space systems – M2M 2022 **ECLSS**

- Inputs \rightarrow number crew, mission time
- From human spaceflight the power associated to the crew habitat can be estimated as:

average power required = 1000 W + 500 W × number of crew peak power required = average power × 1.75

• This value is around 5%-7% of the total required power for a transfer vehicle toward Mars (always from the book: Human Spaceflight)



Bapid prototyping for Martian space systems – M2M 2022 Propulsion System Sizing – Chemical

- Estimate thrust level from the initial calculate weight (T/W = 1.5/2)
- Estimate number of Thrusters given an engine thrust from database (same as IDREAM standard) or estimate mass Thruster from the IDREAM regression formulae (the one that Giuseppe Narducci built for different types of propulsion systems).
- Estimate the tanks and engine length and diameter following what Giuseppe Narducci did.

You can have chemical propulsion if you use a cycler -> you just



Bepid prototyping for Martian space systems – M2M 2022 IDREAM Regression Propulsion – Chemical

$$\begin{split} m_{engine} & (Turbo - pump) \\ 7.54354 \ e - 3 \ Thr^{0.885635} + 20.2881 \ for \ cryo \ propellant \ (Thr \leq 200e3) \\ 1.9101 \ e - 3 \ Thr \ for \ cryo \ propellant \ (Thr > 200e3) \\ 3.75407e3 \ Thr^{0.0705627} - 8.8479e3 \ for \ cryo \ storable \ propellant \ (Thr \geq 450e3) \\ -0.0003 \ \left(\frac{Thr}{1000}\right)^2 + 1.3807 \left(\frac{Thr}{1000}\right) \ for \ cryo \ storable \ propellant \ (Thr < 450e3) \ [kg] \\ 6.37913 \ Thr^{0.353665} - 148.832 \ for \ storable \ propellant \ (Thr < 200e3) \\ -0.0021 \left(\frac{Thr}{1000}\right)^2 + 2.0264 \left(\frac{Thr}{1000}\right) \ for \ storable \ propellant \ (Thr < 200e3) \\ -0.0021 \left(\frac{Thr}{1000}\right)^2 + 2.0264 \left(\frac{Thr}{1000}\right) \ for \ storable \ propellant \ (Thr < 200e3) \\ \end{array}$$

$$\end{split}$$



The total mass of the engine can be evaluated as in [6] using eq. (36):

$$m_{engine \ TOT} = n_{engine} m_{engine} [kg]$$

(36)

Bod prototyping for Martian space systems – M2M 2022 IDREAM Regression Propulsion – Chemical

$$\begin{cases} m_{press} = 1.1 \frac{p_{tank} \cdot 10^{5} (V_{F} + V_{ox})}{R T_{press}} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{1 - p_{tank} / p_{press}} [kg] \\ m_{press_{tank}} = 4 \pi (D_{press})^{2} \tau_{press} \rho_{tank} [kg] \end{cases} \begin{cases} m_{tank} (bi - prop) = m_{tank_{ex}} + m_{tank_{fx}} \begin{cases} \frac{p_{und_{ex}} \pi}{D_{(rotat)}^{2} - (D_{rotat}^{2} - \tau_{tank})^{2}}{\pi (D_{rotat}^{2} - \tau_{tank})^{2}} \\ + 15 \left(\frac{(D_{rotat}^{2})}{2} - \frac{(D_{rotat}^{2} - \tau_{tank})^{2}}{\pi (D_{rotat}^{2} - \tau_{tank})^{2}} \right) \\ m_{tank} (bi - prop) = m_{tank_{ex}} + m_{tank_{fx}} \end{cases} \end{cases} \begin{cases} m_{tank} (bi - prop) = m_{tank_{ex}} + m_{tank} \end{cases} \begin{cases} m_{tank} (bi - prop) = m_{tank_{ex}} + m_{tank} \end{cases} \begin{cases} m_{tank} (bi - prop) = m_{tank_{ex}} + m_{tank} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$L_{tank} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{m_{ox}}{\rho_{ox}} + \frac{m_{F}}{\rho_{F}} + \frac{\pi}{6} \right) \frac{(1 + V_{ullage}) 4}{\pi D_{tank}^{2}}} & \text{if bi - prop} \\ \frac{m_{tank} (bi - prop)}{\rho_{mono}} \frac{m_{tank}}{\pi D_{tank}}} & \text{if mono} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Take at home lesson: for most of the propulsion subsystem we can use what is already in



Bepid prototyping for Martian space systems – M2M 2022 IDREAM Regression Propulsion – Chemical



SRM - HRE (Thr-L)

Figure 9: SRM - HRE Regression law Thrust [N]-Length [m]

Take at home lesson: for most of the propulsion subsystem we can use what is already in



Bepid prototyping for Martian space systems – M2M 2022 Propulsion System Sizing Beyond Idream – Chemical

Propulsion system mass of valves, lines structure from [1] $m_{valves,lines,structure} = \left(\frac{27}{73}\right) * \left(m_{engine} + m_{tanks} + m_{intertank}\right)$

You have an itertank if your tank is not spherical

[1] Machado, Larissa Balestrero, and Markus Wilde. "Parametric design of a crew transfer vehicle for Earth–Mars cyclers." Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets 57.3 (2020): 565-579.



iDREAM for Mars



DESIGN

- 1. Propulsion
 - i. Nuclear
- 2. ECLSS
 - i. Lower in %mass
 - ii. Artificial gravity

COST

- 1. AMCM
- 2. Analogy method

