

A note on the distribution of weights of fixed-rank matrices over the binary field

Original

A note on the distribution of weights of fixed-rank matrices over the binary field / Sanna, C.. - In: FINITE FIELDS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS. - ISSN 1071-5797. - STAMPA. - 87:(2023), p. 102157. [10.1016/j.ffa.2022.102157]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2974495 since: 2023-01-11T07:48:42Z

Publisher:

Elsevier

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.ffa.2022.102157

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

Elsevier preprint/submitted version

Preprint (submitted version) of an article published in FINITE FIELDS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS © 2023,
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ffa.2022.102157>

(Article begins on next page)

A NOTE ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF WEIGHTS OF FIXED-RANK MATRICES OVER THE BINARY FIELD

CARLO SANNA[†]

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbf{M} be a random $m \times n$ rank- r matrix over the binary field \mathbb{F}_2 , and let $\text{wt}(\mathbf{M})$ be its Hamming weight, that is, the number of nonzero entries of \mathbf{M} .

We prove that, as $m, n \rightarrow +\infty$ with r fixed and m/n tending to a constant, we have that

$$\frac{\text{wt}(\mathbf{M}) - \frac{1-2^{-r}}{2}mn}{\sqrt{\frac{2^{-r}(1-2^{-r})}{4}(m+n)mn}}$$

converges in distribution to a standard normal random variable.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of q elements and, for every matrix \mathbf{M} over \mathbb{F}_q , let $\text{wt}(\mathbf{M})$ be the Hamming weight of \mathbf{M} , that is, the number of nonzero entries of \mathbf{M} .

Migler, Morrison, and Ogle [1] proved a formula for the expected value of $\text{wt}(\mathbf{M})$ when \mathbf{M} is a random $m \times n$ rank- r matrix over \mathbb{F}_q taken with uniform probability. Moreover, they suggested that if $m, n \rightarrow +\infty$, with fixed r and q , then $\text{wt}(\mathbf{M})$ approaches a normal distribution; and they made some considerations on the cases $r = 1, 2$ (see Remark 1.1 below).

We prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Fix a positive integer r and a real number $\rho > 0$. Let \mathbf{M} be a random $m \times n$ rank- r matrix over \mathbb{F}_2 taken with uniform probability. Then, as $m, n \rightarrow +\infty$ with $m/n \rightarrow \rho$, we have that*

$$\frac{\text{wt}(\mathbf{M}) - \frac{1-2^{-r}}{2}mn}{\sqrt{\frac{2^{-r}(1-2^{-r})}{4}(m+n)mn}}$$

converges in distribution to a standard normal random variable.

It might be interesting to strengthen Theorem 1.1 by letting also r goes to infinity, but sufficiently slowly in terms of m and n . Furthermore, one could consider analogs of Theorem 1.1 for matrices over an arbitrary finite field \mathbb{F}_q , or over rings such as $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (for a suitable definition of the rank). Then, instead of the Hamming weight, one could more generally consider the number of entries of \mathbf{M} that are equal to a prescribed fixed element.

Remark 1.1. Theorem 3 in [1] asserts that the weight distribution of $m \times n$ rank-1 matrices over \mathbb{F}_q approaches a normal distribution as $m, n \rightarrow +\infty$. However, the proof provided in [1] is incorrect since, in order to apply the central limit theorem, it assumes that the random variables $X_i Y$ are independent, while in fact they are not (they are all multiple of the same random variable Y).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Hereafter, let m, n, r be positive integers with $r \leq \min(m, n)$. For every field \mathbb{K} , let $\mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$ be the vector space of $m \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{K} , and let $\mathbb{K}^{m \times n, r}$ be the set of matrices $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$ such that $\text{rank}(\mathbf{M}) = r$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 15B52, 11T99 Secondary: 15B33, 05A16.

Key words and phrases. binary matrix; Hamming weight; normal distribution; random matrix; rank.

[†] C. Sanna is a member of GNSAGA of INdAM and of CryptTO, the group of Cryptography and Number Theory of Politecnico di Torino.

The following lemma regards the so-called ‘‘full rank factorization’’ of matrices and it is well known (cf. [2, Theorem 2]). We give a short proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathbb{K} be an arbitrary field. For every $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n, r}$ there exist $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times r, r}$ and $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{K}^{r \times n, r}$ such that $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}'$ for some $\mathbf{X}' \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times r, r}$ and $\mathbf{Y}' \in \mathbb{K}^{r \times n, r}$, then there exists $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{K}^{r \times r, r}$ such that $\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{Y}' = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$.*

Proof. Pick \mathbf{X} has a matrix whose columns form a basis of the column space of \mathbf{M} . Note that indeed $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times r, r}$. Since each column of \mathbf{M} can be uniquely written as a linear combination of the columns of \mathbf{X} , we get that $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}$ for a unique $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{K}^{r \times n}$. Therefore, we have that

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{Y}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{M}) = r,$$

and so $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{K}^{r \times n, r}$. If $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}'$ for some $\mathbf{X}' \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times r, r}$ and $\mathbf{Y}' \in \mathbb{K}^{r \times n, r}$, then the columns of \mathbf{X}' form a basis of the column space of \mathbf{M} . Hence, there exists $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{K}^{r \times r, r}$ such that $\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{R}$. Consequently, we have that

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}' = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{Y}',$$

By the uniqueness of \mathbf{Y} , we get that $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{Y}'$ and so $\mathbf{Y}' = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$. \square

We identify \mathbb{F}_2 with $\{0, 1\}$ and we let \oplus and \otimes denote the addition and multiplication of \mathbb{F}_2 , respectively. The next lemma relates the operations of \mathbb{F}_2 with the usual addition and multiplication of \mathbb{N} .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Then:*

- (i) $\otimes_{k=1}^r a_k = \prod_{k=1}^r a_k$ and
- (ii) $\oplus_{k=1}^r a_k = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\} \\ S \neq \emptyset}} (-2)^{|S|-1} \prod_{k \in S} a_k$.

Proof. Claim (i) is obvious. For claim (ii), let $\mathcal{T} := \{k \in \{1, \dots, r\} : a_k = 1\}$. Then

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^r a_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\mathcal{T}| \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } |\mathcal{T}| \text{ is even} \end{cases} = \frac{((1-2)^{|\mathcal{T}|} - 1)}{-2} = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \mathcal{T} \\ S \neq \emptyset}} (-2)^{|S|-1} = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\} \\ S \neq \emptyset}} (-2)^{|S|-1} \prod_{k \in S} a_k,$$

as desired. \square

In what follows, let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times r}$ and $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{r \times n}$ be independent uniformly distributed random matrices. Moreover, for each $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$, let

$$X_{\mathcal{S}} := \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{k \in \mathcal{S}} x_{i,k} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{\mathcal{S}} := \sum_{j=1}^n \prod_{k \in \mathcal{S}} y_{k,j},$$

and let also

$$Z := \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^r (1 - x_{i,k}) \quad \text{and} \quad W := \sum_{j=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^r (1 - y_{k,j}),$$

where $x_{i,j}$ and $y_{i,j}$ are the entries of \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} , respectively.

We shall need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *We have*

$$\text{wt}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}) - \frac{1}{2}mn = \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-2)^{|\mathcal{S}|-1} X_{\mathcal{S}} Y_{\mathcal{S}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we get that

$$\text{wt}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \bigoplus_{k=1}^r (x_{i,k} \otimes y_{k,j}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \bigoplus_{k=1}^r x_{i,k} y_{k,j} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\} \\ S \neq \emptyset}} (-2)^{|S|-1} \prod_{k \in S} x_{i,k} y_{k,j}.$$

Hence, since the empty product is equal to 1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(\mathbf{XY}) - \frac{1}{2}mn &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-2)^{|\mathcal{S}|-1} \prod_{k \in \mathcal{S}} x_{i,k} y_{k,j} \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-2)^{|\mathcal{S}|-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{k \in \mathcal{S}} x_{i,k} \sum_{j=1}^n \prod_{k \in \mathcal{S}} y_{k,j} = \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-2)^{|\mathcal{S}|-1} X_{\mathcal{S}} Y_{\mathcal{S}}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. \square

Lemma 2.4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(\mathbf{XY}) - \frac{1-2^{-r}}{2}mn &= \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-2)^{|\mathcal{S}|-1} (X_{\mathcal{S}} - 2^{-|\mathcal{S}|}m)(Y_{\mathcal{S}} - 2^{-|\mathcal{S}|}n) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}n(Z - 2^{-r}m) - \frac{1}{2}m(W - 2^{-r}n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.3 and the identity

$$X_{\mathcal{S}} Y_{\mathcal{S}} = (X_{\mathcal{S}} - 2^{-|\mathcal{S}|}m)(Y_{\mathcal{S}} - 2^{-|\mathcal{S}|}n) + 2^{-|\mathcal{S}|}n X_{\mathcal{S}} + 2^{-|\mathcal{S}|}m Y_{\mathcal{S}} - 2^{-2|\mathcal{S}|}mn,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \text{wt}(\mathbf{XY}) - \frac{1}{2}mn &= \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-2)^{|\mathcal{S}|-1} (X_{\mathcal{S}} - 2^{-|\mathcal{S}|}m)(Y_{\mathcal{S}} - 2^{-|\mathcal{S}|}n) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}n \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|\mathcal{S}|} X_{\mathcal{S}} - \frac{1}{2}m \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|\mathcal{S}|} Y_{\mathcal{S}} + \frac{1}{2}mn \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-\frac{1}{2})^{|\mathcal{S}|}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|\mathcal{S}|} X_{\mathcal{S}} &= \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{|\mathcal{S}|} \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{k \in \mathcal{S}} x_{i,k} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} \prod_{k \in \mathcal{S}} (-x_{i,k}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^r (1 - x_{i,k}) = Z, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for the third sum in (1); while the fourth sum in (1) is equal to $(1 - \frac{1}{2})^r = 2^{-r}$.

Hence, we get that

$$\text{wt}(\mathbf{XY}) - \frac{1}{2}mn = \sum_{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} (-2)^{|\mathcal{S}|-1} (X_{\mathcal{S}} - 2^{-|\mathcal{S}|}m)(Y_{\mathcal{S}} - 2^{-|\mathcal{S}|}n) - \frac{1}{2}nZ - \frac{1}{2}mW + \frac{1}{2}2^{-r}mn,$$

and the claim follows. \square

Lemma 2.5. *We have that*

$$\mathbf{P}[\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{Y}) = r] \rightarrow 1,$$

as $m, n \rightarrow +\infty$ with r fixed.

Proof. It is well-known (see, e.g., [1, Formula 3]) that

$$|\mathbb{F}_2^{m \times r, k}| = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{(2^m - 2^i)(2^r - 2^i)}{2^k - 2^i},$$

for every nonnegative integer $k \leq r$. Therefore, we have that

$$\mathbf{P}[\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) < r] = \frac{1}{2^{mr}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} |\mathbb{F}_2^{m \times r, k}| < \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} 2^{rk-m(r-k)} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $m \rightarrow +\infty$ with r fixed. A similar reasoning gives that $\mathbf{P}[\text{rank}(\mathbf{Y}) < r] \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ with r fixed. The claim follows. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Fix a positive integer r and a real number $\rho > 0$, and assume that $m, n \rightarrow +\infty$ with $m/n \rightarrow \rho$. By Lemma 2.5, the probability that \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} have ranks equal to r tends to 1. Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, under the condition that \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} have rank r , the random variable \mathbf{XY} is uniformly distributed in $\mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n, r}$. Therefore, for the sake of proving Theorem 1.1, we can assume that $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{XY}$.

It can be easily checked that X_S and Y_S are binomial random variables of m and n trials, respectively, and probabilities of success equal to $2^{-|S|}$. Similarly, Z and W are binomial random variables of m and n trials, respectively, and probabilities of success equal to 2^{-r} . For the sake of brevity, for each random variable T that has finite expected value and finite nonzero variance, we put $T' := (T - \mathbf{E}[T])/\sqrt{\mathbf{V}[T]}$. Then, by the central limit theorem, we have that X'_S, Y'_S, Z', W' converge in distribution to some standard normal random variables, which we call $\hat{X}_S, \hat{Y}_S, \hat{Z}, \hat{W}$, respectively.

Moreover, from Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$(2) \quad \frac{\text{wt}(\mathbf{M}) - \frac{1-2^{-r}}{2}mn}{\sqrt{\frac{2^{-r}(1-2^{-r})}{4}(m+n)mn}} = \sum_{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} \frac{(-1)^{|S|-1}(1-2^{-|S|})}{\sqrt{2^{-r}(1-2^{-r})(m+n)}} X'_S Y'_S \\ - \frac{Z'}{\sqrt{1+m/n}} - \frac{W'}{\sqrt{1+n/m}}.$$

Since X'_S and Y'_S are independent, their product converges in distribution to the product $\hat{X}_S \hat{Y}_S$. Therefore, from Slutsky's theorem, we get that the sum in (2) converges in distribution to the constant 0. Consequently, the sum in (2) converges in probability to the constant 0.

Since Z' and W' are independent and $m/n \rightarrow \rho$, we get that

$$(3) \quad \frac{Z'}{\sqrt{1+m/n}} + \frac{W'}{\sqrt{1+n/m}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\rho}} \hat{Z} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+1/\rho}} \hat{W}$$

in distribution. Also, since Z' and W' are independent, it follows that the right-hand-side of (3) is a standard normal random variable. Hence, again from Slutsky's theorem, we obtain that the left-hand-side of (2) converges in distribution to a standard normal random variable.

The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. T. Migler, K. E. Morrison, and M. Ogle, *How much does a matrix of rank k weigh?*, Math. Mag. **79** (2006), no. 4, 262–271.
2. R. Piziak and P. L. Odell, *Full Rank Factorization of Matrices*, Math. Mag. **72** (1999), no. 3, 193–201.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, POLITECNICO DI TORINO
 CORSO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI 24, 10129 TORINO, ITALY
 Email address: carlo.sanna@polito.it