

Use of Municipal Solid Waste Bottom Ashes in Rubberized Asphalt Mixtures

Original

Use of Municipal Solid Waste Bottom Ashes in Rubberized Asphalt Mixtures / Santagata, Ezio; Riviera, Pier Paolo; Baglieri, Orazio; Lanotte, Michele. - In: JOURNAL OF TESTING AND EVALUATION. - ISSN 0090-3973. - ELETTRONICO. - 51:4(2023). [10.1520/JTE20220301]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2972426 since: 2022-12-02T08:42:51Z

Publisher:

ASTM International

Published

DOI:10.1520/JTE20220301

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

ASTM postprint versione editoriale/Version of Record

postprint versione editoriale/Version of Record

(Article begins on next page)

Use of Municipal Solid Waste Bottom Ashes in Rubberized Asphalt Mixtures

Ezio Santagata¹, Pier Paolo Riviera², Orazio Baglieri³, Michele Lanotte⁴

¹ Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129, Torino, Italy, IT, e-mail: ezio.santagata@polito.it, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5548-4095>

² Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129, Torino, Italy, IT, (Corresponding author), e-mail: pierpaolo.riviera@polito.it, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1097-8670>

³ Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129, Torino, Italy, IT, e-mail: orazio.baglieri@polito.it, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1873-872X>

⁴ Civil Infrastructure and Environmental Engineering, Khalifa University, PO Box 127788, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, UAE, e-mail: michele.lanotte@ku.ac.ae, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3981-9876>

Use of Municipal Solid Waste Bottom Ashes in Rubberized Asphalt Mixtures

Ezio Santagata¹, Pier Paolo Riviera², Orazio Baglieri³, Michele Lanotte⁴

ABSTRACT

The increasing need of environment protection and preservation have been stimulating road agencies to progressively adopt sustainable technologies for the design, construction, and maintenance of their assets, with the consequent increasing use of recycled materials, industrial by-products, and wastes. In such a context, the experimental investigation presented in this paper moved from the idea of synergistically combining the use of bottom ashes originating from incineration of municipal solid waste and crumb rubber from end-of-life tires. The performance-related properties of rubberized asphalt mixtures containing municipal solid waste bottom ashes in partial substitution of natural aggregates were evaluated by means of laboratory tests focused on the determination of workability, viscoelastic characteristics, anti-rutting potential, and resistance to crack propagation. Tests were carried out by considering an Ash-Amended Rubberized Asphalt Mixture (AARM) and, for comparison purposes, a standard Gap-Graded Rubberized Mixture (GGRM). Obtained results indicate that the rubberized mixture containing bottom ashes exhibited lower workability (16 % decrease in k parameter), reduced stiffness (decrease of dynamic modulus at 20 °C of approximately 40 % and 60 % at 0.1 Hz and 10 Hz, respectively), and decreased anti-rutting potential (17 % decrease in flow number values) with respect to the standard gap-graded rubberized mixture. Conversely, the addition of bottom ashes was found to provide beneficial effects in terms of resistance to crack

propagation (with fracture toughness values five times larger than those of the reference mixture). These outcomes suggest that the use of municipal solid waste bottom ashes must be carefully considered, since environmental benefits may be counterbalanced by lower performance.

Keywords

Municipal Solid Waste, Asphalt Rubber, Viscoelastic properties, Rutting resistance, Crack propagation

Introduction

Management of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) has become increasingly complex in recent years, especially as a result of its highly diversified composition, which varies greatly from country to country and may also change significantly over time. Its continuous growth in urban areas represents a serious concern for local authorities due to waste disposal problems¹.

Incineration of MSW has proven to be of strategic value in the waste management process as it combines the advantage of possible energy production with a significant volume reduction (by 70 to 95 % of its original value) and consequent demand for landfill space^{2,3}. Moreover, recycling of by-products originating from the incineration of MSW in civil engineering applications may lead to additional benefits related to the conservation of natural resources. Residues produced from these incineration plants are traditionally classified as Bottom Ash (MSW-BA), Fly Ash (MSW-FA), or Air Pollution Control (APC) residue, the use of which has proven to be attractive as sustainable construction materials⁴.

Several research projects have explored the possible use of MSW-BA in road pavements, in full or partial replacement of natural aggregates and mineral filler in asphalt mixtures and cement concrete, focusing on either performance properties or environmental features⁵⁻²⁴. It has been shown that the optimum percentage of MSW-BA employed for aggregate substitution can vary widely (ranging from 10 to 75% by the total weight of dried aggregates) and seems to depend upon several factors such as the physicochemical treatment which MSW-BA has been subjected to, its chemical composition, and the pavement layer in which it is included²⁵⁻⁴¹.

The mechanical behavior of asphalt mixtures was found to be significantly affected by the type and amount of employed MSW-BA. In particular, it is currently well known that higher MSW-BA contents generally lead to poorer performance of the mixtures in terms of stiffness and anti-rutting potential^{30-32,36}, and to a reduction of their resistance to raveling^{23,27,32}. For this reason, several investigators have suggested using MSW-BA in the subgrade, subbase and base course^{26,31 35,39,41}.

The use of crumb rubber derived from end-of-life tires (ELTs) in asphalt pavements has become very popular worldwide in the last decades^{42,43}. Increasingly stringent environmental protection regulations have prompted the diffusion of such a technology, given that tire stockpiling and landfill disposal are currently banned in most Countries.

Crumb rubber incorporated in bitumen leads to the production of asphalt rubber, characterized by enhanced stiffness and elasticity in comparison to neat binders. Therefore, in comparison with traditional ones, rubberized asphalt mixtures may exhibit a superior

performance in terms of fatigue life, resistance to crack propagation, anti-rutting behavior, ageing susceptibility, resistance to moisture damage, skid resistance, and noise absorption⁴⁴⁻⁶⁹.

Moving from the idea of synergistically combining MSW-BA and crumb rubber from ELTs, the experimental study described in this paper focused on the mechanical characterization of innovative Ash-Amended Rubberized Asphalt Mixtures (AARM), in which MSW-BA provided by a local incinerator was used in partial substitution of natural aggregates. A standard Gap-Graded Rubberized Mixture (GGRM), sampled from an asphalt plant, was also considered as a reference. The testing program included the evaluation of workability, viscoelastic characteristics, anti-rutting potential, and resistance to crack propagation. Based on obtained results, the use of AARMs in pavement construction and maintenance was critically evaluated and discussed.

Materials and Methods

The AARM considered in the experimental investigation was produced by combining mineral aggregates of different types and sizes with a single MSW-BA. Mineral aggregates included quartzite sand (0/4 mm) and metamorphic crushed gravel supplied in two size fractions (4/8 and 8/12 mm). MSW-BA was sourced from a local waste-to-energy plant. Since the cooling process of ashes adopted by the plant entails the use of water, MSW-BA was preliminarily dried to completely remove moisture (fig. 1).

The MSW-BA employed in the investigation consisted mainly of particles having different dimension and shape, including glass and ceramic-based grains. A visual inspection

of MSW-BA showed few coarse metal residues that were manually removed. The final ash gradation contained particles with sizes up to 16 mm.

FIGURE 1

MSW-BA before (left) and after (right) drying at 105 °C



Composition of the AARM was defined according to Technical Specifications commonly adopted in Italy for wearing course rubberized gap-graded mixtures⁷⁰. The target particle size distribution was obtained by using the relative percentages of mineral fractions and MSW-BA reported in Table 1. Final gradation of the mixture is graphically represented in figure 2, which also shows the gradation of a reference GGRM, sampled in an asphalt plant and produced by using the same aggregates and asphalt rubber employed for the AARM. The target binder dosage set during plant production was equal to 8.5 % (by total weight of dry aggregates).


TABLE 1

Composition of asphalt mixtures

Fractions	Percentage, %	
	AARM	GGRM
0/4	17	26
4/8	37	32
8/12	25	42
MSW-BA	21	-

FIGURE 2

Gradation of asphalt mixtures




The employed asphalt rubber binder was a commercially available product with a nominal crumb rubber content equal to 18 % (by weight of base bitumen). It was subjected to a preliminary rheological characterization that included determination of viscosity, complex modulus and phase angle. Viscosity tests were carried out by using a rotational Brookfield viscometer equipped with a SC4-27 spindle and by adopting a shear rate which was adjusted as a function of temperature (variable between 125 and 190 °C). Results obtained at the reference shear gradient of 6.8 s^{-1} are reported in figure 3A. The complex modulus G^* and the

phase angle δ were evaluated by means of frequency sweep tests performed using a dynamic shear rheometer, operating at frequencies comprised between 1 and 100 rad/s, while the temperature was varied between 4 and 82 °C (with increment steps of 6 °C). Recorded data are synthetically displayed in the Black diagram shown in figure 3B, which is consistent with the typical behavior expected for asphalt rubber.

FIGURE 3

Asphalt rubber (A) viscosity and (B) Black diagram



MIX-DESIGN OF AARM

According to the adopted Technical Specification⁷⁰ and in agreement with the approach adopted in the experimental investigations presented in the literature on the reuse of MSW-BA²⁵⁻⁴¹, mix design of the AARM was carried out by means of the Marshall method. To identify its optimum value, binder content was varied between 7.0 and 9.0 %. Due to the specific nature of MSW-BA⁷¹, which tends to absorb a large amount of bitumen, mixtures with lower binder contents exhibited an unsatisfactory degree of coating of aggregate particles. For this reason, after initial trials, mixtures prepared with 7 and 7.5 % asphalt rubber were not

considered further in the experimental investigation. Four specimens were compacted for each of the remaining mixtures by using the Marshall hammer, with the application of 75 blows per face⁷². Results obtained from the consequent volumetric and Marshall tests led to the identification of the optimum binder dosage, equal to 9.0 % (by weight of dry aggregates).

Average results of volumetric and Marshall tests obtained on the design AARM and on the reference GGRM are listed in Table 2. They are expressed in terms of Theoretical Maximum Density (TMD), voids content (v), voids in mineral aggregate (VMA), voids filled with bitumen (VFB), Marshall stability (S), Marshall flow (f), and Marshall quotient (MQ)⁷³⁻⁷⁶.

As expected, as a consequence of the higher binder dosage, in comparison with the GGRM, the AARM was compacted to a lower void content and higher VFB value. Due to the higher binder dosage and to the presence of MSW-BA particles, which are lighter in nature, the TMD of the AARM decreased. The AARM mixture also showed a significantly higher Marshall stability that can be explained by referring to the combined effects originating from the interaction of the finest MSW-BA particles with the binder matrix and from the presence of MSW-BA coarse grains characterized by a very rough surface which can improve the interlocking among particles, thus enhancing the stiffness of the mixture. Moreover, as indicated by the values of Marshall flow, the presence of the fine particles of the MSW-BA that intimately interact with the asphalt rubber binder seemed to enhance the ductility properties of the AARM.

TABLE 2

Results of volumetric and Marshall tests (mean values) performed on asphalt mixtures

Blend	Binder content, %	TMD, kg/m ³	v, %	VMA, %	VFB, %	S, kN	f, mm	MQ, kN/mm
AARM	9.0	2378	6.0	24.0	75.4	9.8	3.8	2.6
GGRM	8.5	2540	6.9	24.5	71.9	7.1	2.7	2.6

TEST METHODS

Following the preliminary design and characterization phase, the optimized AARM and the reference GGRM were subjected to an extended laboratory investigation focused on the evaluation of their workability, viscoelastic properties, anti-rutting potential, and resistance to crack propagation.

Workability was assessed by making use of the Gyratory Shear Compactor (GSC), according to EN 12697-31⁷⁷. Cylindrical specimens with 150 mm diameter were prepared in the laboratory at a fixed number of gyrations, equal to 100, and at a compaction temperature of 175 °C, selected based on the recommendations of the asphalt rubber producer. For both mixtures, the workability assessment was performed by referring to four independent replicates.

Viscoelastic properties were determined by means of dynamic modulus tests performed at three temperatures (4, 20 and 35 °C) and multiple loading frequencies (from 25 to 0.01 Hz), according to AASHTO T 378-17⁷⁸. Measurements were carried out by means of the Asphalt Mixture Performance Tester (AMPT) on cylindrical specimens of 100 mm diameter and 150 mm height, obtained by coring and sawing larger gyratory specimens.

The same equipment and gyratory sample geometry were adopted in flow number tests,

conducted in accordance with AASHTO T 378-17⁷⁸ for the evaluation of the anti-rutting potential. The employed testing protocol entailed the application of repeated compressive stresses (600 kPa) at a fixed temperature of 58 °C and in confined conditions (with a confining pressure of 25.6 kPa, corresponding to 4 psi).

Resistance to crack propagation was finally investigated through semi-circular bending (SCB) tests, carried out on notched samples and at a temperature of 20 °C, according to EN 12697-44⁷⁹. Test specimens (50 mm thickness) were obtained by sawing from gyratory samples, which were subsequently notched in their center (0.35 mm width, 10 mm depth). Tests were performed by imposing a displacement rate of 5 mm/min.

Four replicates were considered for both mixtures and for all the mechanical characterization tests described above, thereby referring to average results in the subsequent analyses. Test specimens were prepared with a target void content of 7.0 ± 0.5 % (as recommended for flow number tests by NCHRP project 9–33 and in AASHTO T 378-17⁷⁸).

Results and Discussion

WORKABILITY

Data recorded during GSC compaction were processed to determine mixture densification curves, which describe the variation of percent compaction (C) as a function of the number of gyrations (N) and can be modelled according to the relationship reported in the following equation (1):

$$C = C_1 + k \cdot \log(N) \quad (1)$$

where C_1 and k are regression parameters obtained from data fitting.

Average densification curves and regression parameters C_1 and k are provided in figure 4 and Table 3, respectively. Table 3 also shows the void contents of the two mixtures at 100 gyrations (v_{100}).

FIGURE 4

Densification curves of asphalt mixtures




TABLE 3

Voids at 100 gyrations and regression parameters C_1 and k derived from densification curves

Mixture	v_{100} , %	K , %/logN	C_1 , %
AARM	7.2	7.8	77.3
GGRM	4.4	9.3	77.2

Parameter C_1 is commonly considered as an indicator of the self-compaction properties of an asphalt mixture. Since it is mainly dependent upon the nominal maximum diameter of aggregates and on the density of component materials, coherently with expectations, no

differences were observed between the two mixtures. Parameter k is associated to the intrinsic workability of a mixture, which depends upon the reorganization of the internal aggregate structure under loading while approaching progressively higher density levels. The lower value of k observed for the AARM with respect to the GGRM can be attributed to two concurrent factors: the first one being related to the high binder absorption of MSW-BA that results in a reduction of the effective binder, and the second one being associated with the stiffening of the filler-binder mastic caused by the MSW-BA. As a result of the significant difference in k , at 100 gyrations (that is the typical the two mixtures achieved completely different levels of compaction with voids contents equal to 7.2 % and 4.4 % for the AARM and GGRM, respectively).

VISCOELASTIC PROPERTIES

Results of dynamic modulus tests obtained at various temperatures were shifted to the reference temperature of 20 °C based on the time-temperature superposition principle^{80,81}. Raw data were modelled by means of a sigmoidal function (equation (2)), used to mathematically describe the evolution of the dynamic modulus $|E^*|$ in the frequency domain, and by simultaneously fitting shift factors $a(T)$ to the Arrhenius equation⁸² (equation (3)):

$$\log|E^*| = \kappa + \frac{\mu - \kappa}{1 + e^{\gamma + \delta \log f_R}} \quad (2)$$

$$\log a(T) = \frac{E_a}{19.14714} \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_r} \right) \quad (3)$$

In the equations reported above, $|E^*|$ is the dynamic modulus, γ , δ , κ and μ are

regression constants, f_R is reduced frequency (calculated as the product of physical frequency f and shift factor $a(T)$), $a(T)$ is the shift factor at the generic test temperature T , E_a is activation energy, and T_r is reference temperature.

Table 4 lists the values of model parameters obtained for the two mixtures from non-linear regression analysis.

TABLE 4

Fitting coefficients of sigmoidal and Arrhenius functions

Mixture	E_a , J/mol	κ	μ	γ	δ
AARM	195,921	1.919	7.014	-1.307	-0.376
GGRM	151,732	4.653	7.344	-0.315	-0.572

Activation energy E_a can be interpreted as a resisting barrier to viscous flow⁸³⁻⁸⁵, thus providing a measure of the thermal susceptibility of the binder phase included in a mixture. Binders with a higher E_a value typically exhibit a higher sensitivity to temperature changes⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷. Obtained results indicate that inclusion of MSW-BA led to an asphalt mixture that was more sensitive to temperature changes, probably due to the interactions occurring between the MSW-BA particles and the binder matrix. As discussed in the literature⁸⁵, it was also confirmed that a higher value of E_a was found for the mixture (AARM) which required higher compaction efforts to achieve a given level of densification (or, equivalently, with a lower value of workability parameter k).


κ and μ coefficients correspond to the lower and upper asymptotes of the sigmoidal

equation, respectively. Comparison of the results obtained for the two mixtures at a reference temperature of 20°C highlights the fact that the AARM showed reduced dynamic moduli at both low and high frequencies with respect to the GGRM, with a difference of the order of 40 % and 60 %, for testing frequencies of 0.1 Hz and 10 Hz respectively. This is presumably related not only to the higher binder content and higher VFB value, but also to the effective stiffness of ashes (lower than that of virgin aggregates) and to their interaction with asphalt rubber.

Finally, the effects of MSW-BA on the viscoelastic properties of the mixture were also reflected by the observed change in the shape of the sigmoidal function, described in terms of the position (γ parameter) and slope (δ parameter) of the master curve at its inflection point (fig. 5).

FIGURE 5

Master curves of asphalt mixtures at 20 °C



PERFORMANCE-RELATED PROPERTIES

The experimental data retrieved from flow number and semi-circular bending tests are expressed in terms of Flow Number (FN) and Fracture Toughness (K_{IC}), respectively. Obtained average values are summarized in Table 5.

TABLE 5

Flow Number and Fracture Toughness of asphalt mixtures (and their relative Coefficient of Variation – CoV)

Mixture	FN	CoV_{FN} , %	K_{IC} , N/mm ^{3/2}	CoV_{KIC} , %
AARM	2942	6.6	7.3	25.6
GGRM	3552	4.1	1.4	9.2

Contrasting observations can be drawn from the experimental results when comparing the potential and expected performance of the mixtures based on the two parameters listed in Table 5.

In the case of FN (calculated by using the Francken model⁷⁸), a lower value was exhibited by the AARM with respect to the GGRM, thus indicating that partial replacement of mineral aggregates with MSW-BA resulted in a reduced anti-rutting potential. This is probably due to the lower stiffening effect produced by the finer portion of the MSW-BA in comparison to that associated with the use of a standard mineral filler. Such an outcome is consistent with the results obtained from dynamic modulus tests, which highlighted a significant difference in stiffness between the two mixtures especially at low frequencies.

Conversely, the K_{IC} value of the AARM was found to be significantly higher than that recorded for the reference mixture, thus revealing an improved ability to dissipate energy and to control crack propagation phenomena. This behavior may be due to the presence in the MSW-BA of unburned particles such as elongated glass spikes, ceramic residues, and plastic or metallic fibers, which may induce a “sewing action” on cracks, thus hindering their propagation. To prove such an assumption, two samples of AARM, previously subjected to the SCB test, were subjected to ignition at 540 °C with the subsequent recovery of the resulting residue. As expected, metallic fibers were clearly detected in the unburned residue, thus confirming the possibility that they may have contributed to the recorded increase of the resistance to crack propagation.

Conclusions

The study presented in this paper focused on the experimental characterization of rubberized asphalt mixtures containing Municipal Solid Waste Bottom Ash (MSW-BA) in partial replacement of mineral aggregates.

Results obtained from laboratory testing indicate that the environmental advantages associated with the recycling of ashes may be counterbalanced by the slightly lower performance of the mixture. In fact, the Ash-Amended Rubberized Asphalt Mixture (AARM) considered in this study exhibited reduced workability, lower stiffness, and decreased resistance to rutting when compared to the standard Gap-Graded Rubberized Mixture (GGRM) assumed as a reference. Conversely, addition of MSW-BA was found to provide beneficial

effects in terms of resistance to crack propagation.

The outcomes of the investigation suggest that the use of MSW-BA must be carefully evaluated and limited to pavement construction and maintenance projects in which fracture properties of rubberized asphalt mixtures are expected to have a prominent role. Nevertheless, the findings of this preliminary study need to be corroborated by further research involving a wider and more comprehensive array of asphalt binders, formulations, and volumetric conditions. Furthermore, understanding the effect of the potential variability of MSW-BA composition on mixtures' behavior is of utmost importance since this factor can limit the future applications of this technology. A comprehensive evaluation of the potential environmental impact of the use of MSW-BA (e.g., leachate effects) also deserves consideration in future research.

References

1. D. Hoornweg, and P. Bhada-Tata, *What a Waste - A Global Review of Solid Waste Management* (Urban Development Series Knowledge Papers 15, World Bank, Washington, DC, USA, 2012).
2. *Municipal Solid Waste Generation, Recycling, and Disposal in the United States: Tables and Figures for 2012* (EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery: Washington, DC, USA, 2014).

3. *Decision Makers' Guide to Municipal Solid Waste Incineration* (The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank, Washington, DC, USA, 1999).
4. B. H. Cho, B. H. Nam, J. An, and H. Youn, "Municipal Solid Waste Incineration (MSWI) Ashes as Construction Materials - A Review," *Materials* 13, no. 14 (July 2020).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13143143>
5. C. E. Walter, "Practical Refuse Recycling," *Journal of the Environmental Engineering Division* 102, no. 1 (February 1976): 139-148. <https://doi.org/10.1061/JEEGAV.0000443>
6. M. Bauchard, "Perspectives d'Utilisation des Machefers d'Incineration d'Ordures Menageres en Technique Routiere - Outlook for the Use of Cinders Obtained from the Incineration of Domestic Refuse in Roadmaking Techniques," *Bulletin de Liaison des Laboratoires des Ponts et Chaussées* 90 (1977): 21-28.
7. *Use of Waste Materials and By-Products in Road Construction* (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development Road Research Group, 1977).
8. R. J. Collins, "Utilization of Incinerator Residue in the United States" (Proceedings of the International Conference on the Use of By-Products and Waste in Civil Engineering, Paris, France, 1978: 413-418).
9. C. C. Wiles, "Municipal Solid Waste Combustion Ash: State-of-the-Knowledge," *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 47, no. 1-3 (May 1996): 325-344.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3894\(95\)00120-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3894(95)00120-4)
10. S. P. Lucido, and W. Wilson, "Bituminous Pavement Constructed with Municipal Solid Waste Combustor Ash: Construction, Performance, and Economic Issues" (Proceedings of

the 10th Annual North American Waste to Energy Conference NAWTEC 10, Philadelphia, PA, USA, May 6-8, 2002: 225-239).

11. Y. Lu, A. Tian, J. Zhang, Y. Tang, P. Shi, Q. Tang, and Y. Huang, "Physical and Chemical Properties, Pretreatment, and Recycling of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Fly Ash and Bottom Ash for Highway Engineering: a Literature Review," *Advances in Civil Engineering* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8886134>

12. S. Kumar, and D. Singh, "Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Bottom Ash: a Competent Raw Material with New Possibilities," *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions* 6, no. 4 (December 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-021-00567-0>

13. J. E. Aubert, B. Husson, and A. Vaquier, "Use of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Fly Ash in Concrete," *Cement and Concrete Research* 34, no. 6 (June 2004): 957-963. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2003.11.002>

14. B. Jurič, L. Hanžič, R. Ilić, and N. Samec, "Utilization of Municipal Solid Waste Bottom Ash and Recycled Aggregate in Concrete," *Waste Management* 26, no. 12 (2006): 1436-1442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2005.10.016>

15. O. Ginés, J. M. Chimenos, A. Vizcarro, J. Formosa, and J. R. Rosell, "Combined Use of MSWI Bottom Ash and Fly Ash as Aggregate in Concrete Formulation: Environmental and Mechanical Considerations," *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 169, no. 1-3 (September 2009): 643-650. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.03.141>

16. R. Siddique, "Utilization of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Ash in Cement and Mortar," *Resources, Conservation & Recycling* 54, no. 12 (October 2010): 1037-1047.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2010.05.002>
17. R. Siddique, "Use of Municipal Solid Waste Ash in Concrete," *Resources, Conservation & Recycling* 55, no. 2 (December 2010): 83-91. <https://doi.org/j.resconrec.2010.10.003>
18. D. Chen, Y. Zhang, Y. Xu, Q. Nie, Z. Yang, W. Sheng, and G. Qian, "Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Residues Recycled for Typical Construction Materials - a Review," *RSC Advances* 12, no. 10 (2022): 6279-6291. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ra08050d>
19. M. -J. Lee, M. -G. Lee, Y. -M. Su, Y. Huang, and W.-C. Tung, "The Study of UHPC Precast Concrete Containing Incinerator Fly Ash," *Journal of Testing and Evaluation* 46, no. 1 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1520/JTE20160348>
20. A. Taherlou, G. Asadollahfardi, A.M. Salehi, A. Katebi, "Sustainable use of municipal solid waste incinerator bottom ash and the treated industrial wastewater in self-compacting concrete," *Construction and Building Materials* 297, (August 2021).
[10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.123814](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.123814)
21. J. Kleib, G. Aouad, N.-E. Abriak, M. Benzerzour, "Production of Portland cement clinker from French Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Bottom Ash," *Case Studies in Construction Materials* 15, (December 2021). [10.1016/j.cscm.2021.e00629](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2021.e00629)
22. X. Zheng, Q. -Q. Zhang, S. -J. Wang, S. -Q. Wu, and W. Cui, "Performance Evaluation of Abandoned Fly Ash Deposit Improved by Dynamic Compaction for Construction of

Highway Embankment,” *Journal of Testing and Evaluation* 50, no. 2 (March 2022).

<https://doi.org/10.1520/JTE20210092>

23. J. Xiang, J. Qiu, Z. Li, J. Chen, and Y. Song, “Eco-Friendly Treatment for MSWI Bottom Ash Applied to Supplementary Cementing: Mechanical Properties and Heavy Metal Leaching Concentration Evaluation,” *Construction and Building Materials* 327, (April 2022).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2022.127012>

24. D. Chen, Y. Zhang, Y. Xu, Q. Nie, Z. Yang, W. Sheng, G. Qian, “Municipal solid waste incineration residues recycled for typical construction materials- a review,” *RSC Advances* 12, no. 10 (2022): 6279-6291. [10.1039/d1ra08050d](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ra08050d)

25. D. L. Gress, X. Zhang, S. Tarr, I. Pazienna, and T. T. Eighmy, “Physical and Environmental Properties of Asphalt-Amended Bottom Ash,” *Transportation Research Record* 1345 (1992): 10-18.

26. N. W. Garrick, and K. -L. Chan, “Evaluation of Domestic Incinerator Ash for Use as Aggregate in Asphalt Concrete,” *Transportation Research Record* 1418 (October 1993): 30-34.

27. X. Zhang, D. L. Gress, S. Karpinski, and T. T. Eighmy, “Utilization of Municipal Solid Waste Combustion Bottom Ash as a Paving Material,” *Transportation Research Record* 1652 (1998): 257-263.

28. A. M. Zaban, “Hot Mix Asphalt Pavement Construction with Municipal Solid Waste Combustor Ash: Hennepin County, Minnesota” (Proceedings of the 98th Air and Waste

Management Association's Annual Conference and Exhibition AWMA, Minneapolis, MN, USA, June 21-24, 2005).

29. H. F. Hassan, "Recycling of Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator Ash in Hot-Mix Asphalt Concrete," *Construction and Building Materials* 19, no. 2 (March 2005): 91-98.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2004.05.010>

30. C. -M. Huang, C. -T. Chiu, K. -C. Li, and W. -F. Yang, "Physical and Environmental Properties of Asphalt Mixtures Containing Incinerator Bottom Ash," *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 137, no. 3 (October 2006): 1742-1749.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.05.016>

31. O. Hjelm, J. Holm, and K. Crillesen, "Utilisation of MSWI Bottom Ash as Sub-Base in Road Construction: First Results from a Large-Scale Test Site," *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 1369, no. 3 (January 2007): 471-480.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.02.059>

32. J. -S. Chen, P. -Y. Chu, J. -E. Chang, H. -C. Lu,; Z. -H. Wu, and K. -Y. Lin, "Engineering and Environmental Characterization of Municipal Solid Waste Bottom Ash as an Aggregate Substitute Utilized for Asphalt Concrete," *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* 20, no. 6 (June 2008): 432-439. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0899-](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0899-1561(2008)20:6(432))

[1561\(2008\)20:6\(432\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0899-1561(2008)20:6(432))

33. S. W. Goh, and Z. You, "The Dynamic Modulus of Asphalt Mixture with Bottom Ash Aggregates," *Geotechnical Special Publication* 178 (2008): 1008-1015.

[https://doi.org/10.1061/40971\(310\)126](https://doi.org/10.1061/40971(310)126)

34. Y. Xue, H. Hou, S. Zhu, and J. Zha, "Utilization of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Ash in Stone Mastic Asphalt Mixture: Pavement Performance and Environmental Impact," *Construction and Building Materials* 23, no. 2 (February 2009): 989-996.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2008.05.009>

35. M. M. Abdulahi, "Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Bottom Ash as a Road Construction Material," *AU Journal of Technology* 13, no. 2 (October 2009): 121-128.

36. H. F. Hassan, and K. Al-Shamsi, "Characterisation of Asphalt Mixes Containing MSW Ash Using the Dynamic Modulus $|E^*|$ Test," *International Journal of Pavement Engineering* 11, no. 6 (December 2010): 575-582.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10298436.2010.501865>

37. M. M. Hassan, and H. Khalid, "Mechanical and Environmental Characteristics of Bituminous Mixtures with Incinerator Bottom Ash Aggregates," *International Journal of Pavement Engineering* 11, no. 2 (April 2010): 83-94.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10298430802524800>

38. D. Liu, L. Li, and H. Cui, "Utilization of Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator Bottom Ash Aggregate in Asphalt Mixture" (Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Asphalt Pavements ISAP 2014, Raleigh, NC, USA, June 1-5, 2014, volume 2: 1169-1176).

39. C.J. Spreadbury, M. McVay, S.J. Laux, T.G. Townsend, "A field-scale evaluation of municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash as a road base material: Considerations for reuse practices," *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 168, (May 2021).

[10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105264](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105264)

40. K. Yan, L. Li, Z. Long, L. Xiao, K. Liu, W. Zhou, L. You, "Conversion of municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash in asphalt pavements," *Advances in Civil Engineering Materials* 11, no. 1 (March 2022): 138-154. [10.1520/ACEM20210032](https://doi.org/10.1520/ACEM20210032)
41. N. Baldo, F. Rondinella, F. Daneluz, M. Pasetto, "Foamed Bitumen Mixtures for Road Construction Made with 100% Waste Materials: A Laboratory Study," *Sustainability* 14, no. 10 (May 2022). [10.3390/su14106056](https://doi.org/10.3390/su14106056)
42. C. H. McDonald, "Recollections of Early Asphalt-Rubber History" (National Seminar on Asphalt-Rubber, San Antonio, TX, USA, October 27-29, 1981).
43. *A study of the use of recycled paving material - Report to Congress* (Federal Highway Administration FHWA - US Environmental Protection Agency EPA, Report FHWA-RD-93-147, EPA/600/R-93/095, 1993).
44. C. -T. Chiu, "Use of Ground Tire Rubber in Asphalt Pavements: Field Trial and Evaluation in Taiwan," *Resources, Conservation & Recycling* 52, no. 3 (January 2008): 522-532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2007.06.006>
45. C. -T. Chiu, and L. -C. Lu, "A Laboratory Study on Stone Matrix Asphalt Using Ground Tire Rubber," *Construction and Building Materials* 21, no. 5 (May 2007): 1027-1033. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2006.02.005>
46. S. -J. Lee, C. K. Akisetty, and S. N. Amirghani, "The Effect of Crumb Rubber Modifier (CRM) on the Performance Properties of Rubberized Binders in HMA Pavements," *Construction and Building Materials* 22, no. 7 (July 2008): 1368-1376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2007.04.010>

47. L. A. Cooley Jr, J. W. Brumfield, R.B. Mallick, W. S. Mogawer, M. Partl, M. L. Poulidakos, and G. Hicks, G. *Construction and Maintenance Practices for Permeable Friction Courses* (National Cooperative Highway Research Program, NCHRP report 640, 2009).
48. T. Hsu, S. Chen, and K. Hung, “Performance Evaluation of Asphalt Rubber in Porous Asphalt-Concrete Mixtures,” *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* 23, no. 3 (March 2011): 342-349. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)MT.1943-5533.0000181](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0000181)
49. E. Pasquini, F. Canestrari, F. Cardone, and F. A. Santagata, “Performance Evaluation of Gap Graded Asphalt Rubber Mixtures,” *Construction and Building Materials* 25, no. 4 (April 2011): 2014-2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.11.048>
50. W. Hong, Q. S. Li, G. Q. Guan, J. Liu, J. Sun, and G. Z. Xing, “Pavement Performance and Application of Anion Rubber-Modified Asphalt,” *Chinese Science Bulletin* 57, no. 18 (June 2012): 2323-2328. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-012-5065-7>
51. K. E. Kaloush, K. P. Biligiri, T. Nordgren, W. A. Zeiada, M. C. Rodezno, M I. Souliman, J. X. Reed, and J. Stempihar, “Laboratory Evaluation of Asphalt-Rubber Gap Graded Mixture Constructed on Stockholm Highway in Sweden” (Proceedings of the Asphalt Rubber 2012 Conference, Munich, Germany, October 23-26, 2012).
52. Y. Liu, S. Han, Z. Zhang, and O. Xu, “Design and Evaluation of Gap-Graded Asphalt Rubber Mixtures,” *Materials & Design* 35 (March 2012): 873-877. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2011.08.047>

53. M. Losa, P. Leandri, and M. Cerchiai, "Improvement of Pavement Sustainability by the Use of Crumb Rubber Modified Asphalt Concrete for Wearing Courses," *International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology* 5, no. 6 (November 2012): 395-404.
54. E. Pasquini, F. Canestrari, and F. A. Santagata, "Durability Assessment of Asphalt Rubber Mixtures," *International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology*, no. 2 (2012): 69-74.
55. E. Santagata, P. P. Riviera, D. Dalmazzo, M. Lanotte, M. C. Zanetti, S. Fiore, and B. Ruffino, "Design and Construction of a Full-Scale Test Section with Asphalt Rubber Gap-Graded Wearing Course Mixture," *Procedia: Social & Behavioral Sciences* 53 (2012): 524-534. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.903>
56. B. V. Kök, M. Yilmaz, and A. Geçkil, "Evaluation of Low-Temperature and Elastic Properties of Crumb Rubber- and SBS-Modified Bitumen and Mixtures," *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* 25, no. 2 (February 2013): 257-265. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)MT.1943-5533.0000590](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0000590)
57. S. Liu, "Influence of Different Factors of Crumb Rubber on the Properties of Crumb Rubber Modified Asphalt," *Asian Journal of Chemistry* 25, no. 3 (March 2013): 1583-1586.
58. F. Moreno, M. Sol, J. Martín, M. Pérez, and M. C. Rubio, "The Effect of Crumb Rubber Modifier on the Resistance of Asphalt Mixes to Plastic Deformation," *Materials & Design* 47 (May 2013): 274-280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2012.12.022>
59. S. E. Paje, J. Luong, V. F. Vázquez, M. Bueno, and R. Miró, "Road Pavement Rehabilitation Using a Binder with a High Content of Crumb Rubber: Influence on Noise

Reduction,” *Construction and Building Materials* 47 (October 2013): 789-798.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.05.008>

60. M.C. Zanetti, S. Fiore, B. Ruffino, E. Santagata, D. Dalmazzo and M. Lanotte,

“Characterization of crumb rubber from end-of-life tyres for paving applications,” *Waste*

Management 45 (November 2015): 161-170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.05.003>

61. E. Santagata, O. Baglieri, P.P. Riviera and M. Alam, "Correlating creep properties of

bituminous binders with anti-rutting performance of corresponding mixtures,” *International*

Journal of Pavement Research and Technology 10, no. 1 (January 2017); 38-44.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijprt.2016.11.008>

62. A. Farina, M.C. Zanetti, E. Santagata and G.A. Blengini, “Life cycle assessment applied

to bituminous mixtures containing recycled materials: crumb rubber and reclaimed asphalt

pavement,” *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 117 (February 2017): 204-212.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.10.015>

63. E. Santagata, O. Baglieri, P.P. Riviera, M. Lanotte and M. Alam, “Influence of lateral

confining pressure on flow number tests” (Proceedings of the 10th International Conference

on the Bearing Capacity of Roads, Railways and Airfields, BCRRA 2017, Athens, Greece,

June 28-30, 2017: 237-242). <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315100333-31>

64. V. Venudharan, and K. P. Biligiri, “Investigation of Cracking Performance of Asphalt-

Rubber Gap-Graded Mixtures: Statistical Overview on Materials’ Interface,” *Journal of*

Testing and Evaluation 47, no. 5 (September 2019). <https://doi.org/10.1520/JTE20180744>

65. L. Urbano, D. Dalmazzo, P. P. Riviera, and E. Santagata, "Performance Assessment of Rubberized Mixtures Containing Reclaimed Asphalt and a Viscosity Reduction Additive," *Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering* 76 (2020): 457-467. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-48679-2_43
66. V. Venudharan, and K. P. Biligiri, "Development and Performance Analysis of Asphalt-Rubber Gap-Graded Mixtures: Study on Aggregate Gradations," *Journal of Testing and Evaluation* 48, no. 4 (July 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1520/JTE20170751>
67. S. Hung, M. Elkashef, J. Harvey, R. Wu, and D. Jones, "Effect of Different Loading Conditions on the Fatigue Response and Recovery of Neat and Modified Asphalt Binders," *Journal of Testing and Evaluation* 50, no. 3 (May 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1520/JTE20210522>
68. V. Mishra, and D. Singh, "Comparative Evaluation of Surface Science Approach with Indirect Tensile Strength and Binder Bond Strength Tests to Determine Aggregate-Bitumen Bond," *Journal of Testing and Evaluation* 50, no. 1 (January 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1520/JTE20210050>
69. P. Rath, J. Meister, B. Jahangiri, and W. Buttlar, W. "Evaluation of the Effects of Engineered Crumb Rubber (ECR) on Asphalt Mixture Characteristics," *Journal of Testing and Evaluation* 50, no. 2 (March 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1520/JTE20210077>
70. *Asphalt Rubber Gap Graded Concrete Mixture - Technical Specification* (Asphalt Rubber Italia, Pistoia, Italy, 2013, in Italian).

71. C. J. Lynn, G.S. Ghataora, and R.K. Dhir, "Municipal incinerated bottom ash (MIBA) characteristics and potential for use in road pavements," *International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology* 10, no. 2 (March 2017): 185-201.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijprt.2016.12.003>

72. *Bituminous Mixtures - Test Methods - Part 30: Specimen Preparation by Impact Compactor*, EN 12697-30 (Brussels, Belgium: CEN European Committee for Standardization, approved December 19, 2018).

73. *Bituminous Mixtures - Test Methods - Part 5: Determination of the Maximum Density*, EN 12697-5 (Brussels, Belgium: CEN European Committee for Standardization, approved December 19, 2018).

74. *Bituminous Mixtures - Test Methods - Part 6: Determination of Bulk Density of Bituminous Specimens*, EN 12697-6 (Brussels, Belgium: CEN European Committee for Standardization, approved February 26, 2020).

75. *Bituminous Mixtures - Test Methods - Part 8: Determination of Void Characteristics of Bituminous Specimens*, EN 12697-8 (Brussels, Belgium: CEN European Committee for Standardization, approved December 19, 2018).

76. *Bituminous Mixtures - Test Methods - Part 34: Marshall Test*, EN 12697-34 (Brussels, Belgium: CEN European Committee for Standardization, approved February 26, 2020).

77. *Bituminous Mixtures - Test Methods - Part 31: Specimen Preparation by Gyratory Compactor*, EN 12697-31 (Brussels, Belgium: CEN European Committee for Standardization, approved March 6, 2019).

78. *Determining the Dynamic Modulus and Flow Number for Asphalt Mixtures Using the Asphalt Mixture Performance Tester (AMPT)*, AASHTO T 378-17 (Washington, DC, USA: AASHTO American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, version revised in 2021).
79. *Bituminous Mixtures - Test Methods - Part 44: Crack Propagation by Semi-Circular Bending Test*, EN 12697-44 31 (Brussels, Belgium: CEN European Committee for Standardization, approved March 6, 2019).
80. G. R. Chehab, Y. R. Kim, R. A. Schapery, M. W. Witzczak, and R. Bonaquist, "Time-Temperature Superposition Principle for Asphalt Concrete with Growing Damage in Tension State," *Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists* 71 (2002): 559–593.
81. M. W. Witzczak, *Simple Performance Tests: Summary of Recommended Methods and Database* (National Cooperative Highway Research Program, NCHRP report 547, 2005).
82. *Standard Practice for Developing Dynamic Modulus Master Curves for Asphalt Mixtures Using the Asphalt Mixture Performance Tester (AMPT)*, AASHTO R 84-17 (Washington, DC, USA: AASHTO American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, version revised in 2021).
83. D. Salomon, and H. Zhai, "Ranking Asphalt Binders by Activation Energy for Flow," *Journal of Applied Asphalt Binder Technology* 2, no. 2 (2002).
84. D. Salomon, and H. Zhai, "Asphalt Binder Flow Activation Energy and its Significance for Compaction Effort" (Proceedings of the 3rd Eurasphalt and Eurobitume Congress, Wien, Austria, May 12-14, 2004).

85. Y. H. Abed, and A. H. A. Al-Haddad, "Temperature Susceptibility of Modified Asphalt Binders" (Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Engineering Sciences, Kerbala, Iraq, November 4-6, 2019).
86. S. W. Haider, M. W. Mirza, A. K. Thottempudi, J. Bari, and G.Y. Balad, "Characterizing Temperature Susceptibility of Asphalt Binders Using Activation Energy for Flow" (Proceedings of the First Congress of Transportation and Development Institute TDI, Chicago, IL, USA, March 13-16, 2011; 493-503).
87. H. Wang, X. Liu, P. Apostolidis, and A. Scarpas, "Rheological Behavior and its Chemical Interpretation of Crumb Rubber Modified Asphalt Containing Warm-Mix Additives," *Transportation Research Record* 2672, no. 28 (January 2018): 337-348.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198118781376>