POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Carsharing services in sustainable urban transport: An inclusive science map of the field

Original

Carsharing services in sustainable urban transport: An inclusive science map of the field / SHAMS ESFANDABADI, Zahra; Diana, Marco; Zanetti, Mariachiara. - In: JOURNAL OF CLEANER PRODUCTION. - ISSN 0959-6526. - ELETTRONICO. - 357:(2022), p. 131981. [10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131981]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2972340 since: 2022-10-15T12:23:10Z

Publisher: ELSEVIER SCI LTD

Published DOI:10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131981

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

1	Carsharing services in sustainable urban transport: An inclusive
2	science map of the field
3	
4	Zahra Shams Esfandabadi ^{1,2, *} , Marco Diana ¹ , Maria Chiara Zanetti ¹
5	¹ Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering (DIATI), Politecnico di Torino, Corso
6	Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy
7	² Energy center lab, Politecnico di Torino, Via Paolo Borsellino 38/16, 10138 Torino, Italy
8	* Corresponding author; email: <u>zahra.shamsesfandabadi@polito.it</u>

9 Abstract

Vehicle sharing, electrification, and automation, as the triple revolutions in urban transportation, 10 11 have been under debate towards a new transport paradigm. In this regard, carsharing services, as a potential solution for sustainable urban transport, have gained momentum within the context of 12 sustainable cities in recent years. This research, as the first attempt in the literature, aims to 13 14 render a comprehensive map of the body of knowledge in the carsharing field of research through conducting a systematic bibliometric analysis. To achieve that, a total of 729 peer-15 reviewed journal articles from the Web of Science database were scrutinized using keyword, text 16 17 mining, and bibliographic coupling analyses. The analyses revealed four main research themes 18 building the carsharing literature, including (1) collaborative consumption and carsharing business models development in the context of sustainable urban transport, (2) carsharing 19 adoption with a special focus on user behavior, intention, and preferences, (3) carsharing 20 21 operational challenges, considering infrastructure and fleet management, and (4) technological

advancement towards deployment of shared autonomous vehicles and mobility as a service. The 22 results showed that the carsharing literature lacks (i) a well-established and comprehensive long-23 24 term sustainability assessment framework, (ii) inclusive and integrative marketing and training plans, as well as effective incentives, (iii) a holistic analysis of the role of carsharing in the 25 achievement of Sustainable Development Goals, (iv) reliable circular economy indicators 26 27 designed to measure the circularity of carsharing to help transitioning towards a circular economy, and (v) a timely broad analysis on the implications of the COVID-19 pandemic and 28 29 the future of carsharing post pandemic era, which call for more investigations in the future. The 30 provided insights support both researchers and policy-makers by shedding light on carsharing services research by providing a state-of-the-art of carsharing studies and developments up to 31 date, uncovering the emergent research themes and trends, and identifying research gaps for 32 future studies towards better positioning carsharing services in sustainable cities developments. 33

34 **Keywords:** Car sharing, Shared mobility, Sustainable transport, SDGs, Urban transport

35

36 **1. Introduction**

In recent years, the concept of sharing economy has emerged as a new consumption style with the potential to support new production and effective use of products (Kurisu et al., 2021) through giving temporary access (i.e., without ownership transferring) enabled by using online platforms (Ranjbari et al., 2018). Due to the increasing population of urban areas, transport activities have become more critical than ever in developing sustainable cities action plans for local and regional governments and authorities (Martins et al., 2021). In this regard, carsharing services have gained momentum as a tool for transport policy-makers, since their improvements

in the urban transport system can reduce the number of cars, leading to more sustainable cities 44 (Ampudia-Renuncio et al., 2018). Carsharing, by providing the benefits of a private vehicle 45 without owning it through sharing the vehicles by different drivers at different times, supports 46 the transition of private mobility from ownership to service use (Shams Esfandabadi et al., 47 2020). Whether these services are provided through peer-to-peer (P2P) or business-to-consumer 48 49 (B2C) platforms, carsharing consists of round-trip or one-way services in which vehicles are available for use without drivers. Therefore, carsharing differs from ride-hailing in that there is 50 51 no driver to make a suitable trip for the service user, and differs from ride-sharing in that only 52 the use of a vehicle is shared not a trip.

53 A substantial amount of scientific research on different aspects of carsharing has been conducted in the last decade. The major subject areas include but are not limited to business models 54 (Münzel et al., 2019; Yun et al., 2020), sustainability aspects (Bocken et al., 2020; Hartl et al., 55 2018), operational challenges (Balac et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2018; Jian et al., 2016), adoption 56 (Burghard and Dütschke, 2019; Chun et al., 2019; Ullah et al., 2019), demand (Li and 57 Kamargianni, 2020; Zhang et al., 2019), technological advancements (Iacobucci et al., 2018; 58 Vosooghi et al., 2020), and travel behavior (de Luca and Di Pace, 2015; Jain et al., 2020; 59 Matowicki et al., 2021). Besides, few review articles have been published addressing different 60 61 aspects of carsharing services, such as price and taxation levels (Schwieterman and Bieszczat, 2017), free-floating carsharing (Mattia et al., 2019), business models (Lagadic et al., 2019), 62 vehicle relocation problem in one-way carsharing networks (Illgen and Höck, 2019), urban 63 64 sustainability impacts (Roblek et al., 2021), and electric carsharing (Liao and Correia, 2020). Nevertheless, although shared mobility strategies such as carsharing have gained significant 65 attention in research communities, even media, and public debate during recent years, the overall 66

impact of the sharing economy model on transport is still blurred (Standing et al., 2018).
Moreover, a holistic image of the carsharing research themes, hotspots, and tendencies is lacking
within the fragmented literature of carsharing services. Therefore, to fill the identified gap, this
systematic bibliometric review aims to provide a comprehensive map of the body of knowledge
on carsharing services.

To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first systematic bibliometric analysis on carsharing services in the literature, which significantly contributes to the carsharing field of research through (i) analyzing hotspots and research tendencies in the carsharing literature employing keywords and text mining analyses, (ii) discovering the main research themes building carsharing research background applying a bibliographic coupling analysis, and (iii) identifying potential directions for future carsharing research. Hence, the following research questions (RQs) are formulated and answered in this study:

79 **RQ1.** How has the scientific production in the field of carsharing performed over time?

80 **RQ2.** What are the hotspots and tendencies of carsharing research?

RQ3. What are the major research themes building carsharing literature?

RQ4. What are the potential directions for future research on carsharing services?

The structure of the paper is as follows. Materials, methods, and the overall research framework are explained in Section 2. The main findings of the research are presented and discussed in three sub-sections, representing descriptive analysis: Publication developments (Section 3.1), carsharing research hotspots, tendencies, and orientations (Section 3.2), and major emergent carsharing research themes (Section 3.3). Section 4 provides the identified potential research
direction for future studies on carsharing. Finally, Section 5 delivers the conclusions and
limitations of the research.

90

2. Materials, methods, and research framework

91 In this research, a systematic bibliometric review was conducted by employing an analytical method adopted from Ranjbari et al. (2021a), combining keyword analysis, text mining analysis, 92 93 and bibliographic coupling clustering to provide the state-of-the-art of carsharing research. The bibliometric analysis has been widely used by scholars over the recent years for science mapping 94 and providing an inclusive overview of the body of knowledge in any scientific domain and 95 discipline. The rationale behind adopting bibliometric analysis for achieving the main aim of the 96 present review was its capability to first, map the underlying conceptual structure, dynamics, and 97 paradigm developments (Krey et al., 2022), and second, deal more efficiently with a huge 98 99 amount of documents based on statistical measurement compared with traditional literature reviews (Su et al., 2021). 100

Figure 1 illustrates the overall research framework design of this research corresponding to the research questions and expected results. The search protocol for data sampling, screening, and collection (Section 2.1), and methods of analyses (Section 2.2) are explained in detail in the following sub-sections.

5



Figure 1. Research framework design.

2.1. Search protocol: Data collection process 107

108 Developing a suitable search protocol to collect as many relevant and reliable research articles as 109 possible has been extensively highlighted in the literature as a crucial prerequisite for conducting 110 comprehensive and systematic reviews (Chaudhary et al., 2021; Zahedi et al., 2016). On this 111 basis, a search protocol based on the PRISMA statement framework (Liberati et al., 2009) was defined to better establish search boundaries and capture relevant articles from the target 112 literature on carsharing research. In this regard, (i) formulating a well-defined search string, (ii) 113 114 selecting a reliable database with sufficient coverage, and (iii) defining inclusion and exclusion 115 criteria for collecting articles, are of most importance to ensure the quality of systematic reviews.

116 Since the concept of "carsharing", as the main focus of the present research, has been addressed by various terms and also different written forms in the literature (i.e., car sharing, car-sharing, 117 and carsharing), the following search string combining all potential terms and words was 118 119 designed: "carsharing" OR "car sharing" OR "car-sharing" OR "shared vehicle*" OR "car club" OR "shared-used car*" OR ("shared car*" AND "passenger*" AND "transport*"). The second 120

part of the search string, which is in parenthesis, was limited with "passenger*" AND "transport*" to avoid capturing papers from clinical research studies referring to shared care or caring of patients in healthcare facilities. In the next step, the Web of Science (WoS) Core Collection citation database was considered as the main database for conducting this review.

125 The initial run of the search string in the topic of documents (i.e., title, abstract, author keywords, and keywords plus) returned 1,392 articles without any time limitation. No time limitation was 126 127 considered to cover the whole scientific production up to date. Then, based on the defined inclusion criteria, only peer-reviewed journal articles in the English language were included in 128 129 the sample. In this stage, other types of documents, such as conference proceedings, editorials, 130 reports, book chapters, etc. were excluded from the data, leading to removing 499 documents. The titles and abstracts of the remaining 893 articles were manually checked to see whether they 131 are relevant to the focus of this review. On this basis, 164 articles that mainly had focused on 132 other types of shared mobility services, such as bike-sharing, scooter sharing, bus, and ride-133 134 hailing were excluded from the sample. As a result, a total of 729 articles published from 1980 to 2021 were selected as the base for the present review. The details of the adopted search protocol 135 to collect the final sample for analysis are tabulated in Table 1. 136

- 137 Table 1
- **138** The search protocol and data collection process information.

Search string	"carsharing" OR "car sharing" OR "car-sharing" OR "shared vehicle*" OR "car
	club" OR "shared-used car*" OR ("shared car*" AND "passenger*" AND
	"transport*")
Database	WoS Core Collection
Search field	Title, abstract, author keywords, and keywords plus
Initial result	1,392 records
Inclusion criteria	(i) English documents, (ii) peer-reviewed journal articles and reviews

Second result893 articlesThe last updateJuly 1, 2021Screening stage164 articles were removedFinal sample729 articles

139

140 **2.2.Analysis methods**

To scrutinize the carsharing literature corresponding to the RQs of this study, a systematic
review was conducted through using descriptive analysis, keyword analysis, text mining
analysis, and bibliographic coupling analysis in four phases.

First, a descriptive analysis was conducted to present the performance indicators of scientific production in the field of carsharing, addressing RQ1. On this basis, bibliometric information was extracted from the target literature, including time trends of publication, core journals, and geographical distribution of contributing countries to carsharing research development over the last four decades.

Second, keyword analysis of the author keywords of the articles (N=1,674) was combined with 149 a text mining analysis on the concatenation of the titles and abstracts of the articles (N=729), to 150 151 identify the hotspots, research tendencies, and theoretical orientations of carsharing research which has been conducted so far, addressing RQ2. Keywords defined by the authors of an article 152 reflect the core concepts of the research (Chiang, 2020). In this phase, keywords were analyzed 153 based on their occurrence, co-occurrence (i.e. joint occurrence of different keywords in the same 154 paper), and time overlay to map the intensity of keywords and also mutual interconnections 155 between them (Mustak et al., 2021). As a crucial step before conducting keyword-based 156 analyses, a data cleaning on the author keywords was done to increase the reliability of the 157

analysis (Ranjbari et al., 2020). In this vein, the following settings were performed: (i) keyword
abbreviations and their full forms were merged, (ii) singular and plural forms of the keywords
were considered the same to avoid duplicating, (iii) the American and British writing styles were
unified based on the American style, and (iv) parentheses within the keywords were removed.

However, to enrich the knowledge obtained from the keyword-based analysis, as a type of 162 quantitative content analysis (Weismayer and Pezenka, 2017), further studies can be conducted 163 164 on the titles and abstracts of the articles to extract more information about the topics explored by the researchers. In this regard, text mining techniques have been extensively used by researchers 165 166 to analyze the research tendencies and orientation of scholars through extracting context and 167 meaning from the text of a huge collection of scientific documents (Jung and Lee, 2020). Text mining, as a knowledge discovery process (Usai et al., 2018) from unstructured data (Delen and 168 Crossland, 2008), provides an opportunity to extract meaningful terms and patterns from the title 169 170 and abstract texts of the articles. This process is different from data mining in that in the latter, 171 the patterns are extracted from structured data (i.e. keywords in our case) (Demeter et al., 2019). Therefore, the text mining analysis based on the term co-occurrence algorithm (Van Eck and 172 Waltman, 2011) was carried out to identify phrase patterns, semantic structures, and latent 173 research orientations, which best characterize the body of knowledge in extant carsharing 174 175 research. The VOSviewer software version 1.6.16, which is a Java-based computer program developed by van Eck and Waltman (2010) to visualize node-link maps within the documents 176 based on bibliographic data, was used for conducting bibliometric and text mining analyses in 177 178 this phase.

Third, due to the large number of articles (N=729), a data clustering technique using 179 bibliographic coupling networks was carried out to group the articles based on the bibliographic 180 coupling links (i.e., the number of times that every two articles have simultaneously cited 181 another article). Hence, a bibliographic coupling analysis using VOSviewer was performed to 182 uncover the main research themes building carsharing literature, addressing RQ3. The 183 184 bibliographic coupling clustering of articles, as one of the more accurate bibliographic techniques to quantitatively assess the relatedness between two scientific documents, with a 185 forward-looking perspective leads to unfolding the more recent research themes (Belussi et al., 186 187 2019) within the carsharing research domain up to date. The bibliographic coupling module of VOSviewer provides a map of clusters automatically based on the data presented to the software. 188 However, since the process is conducted through a machine-driven algorithm, as proposed by 189 190 van Eck and Waltman (2017), different values for the resolution parameter (Waltman et al., 2010) were tested to achieve a satisfactory level of detail in clustering. As a result, the number of 191 192 clusters was decided to be four as a further breakdown of the network did not add any further homogeneous topics. 193

Finally, based on the provided insights by the two aforementioned analyses, potential directionsfor future research on carsharing services were proposed to answer RQ4.

196

3. Results and discussion

197 To clearly address the RQs of the present review, the results are presented in the following three 198 subsections. On this basis, Section 3.1 presents the descriptive analysis to answer the RQ1, 199 including publication evolution over time, main contributing journals to the carsharing research 200 area, and geographical distribution of publications. RQ2 is answered in Section 3.2, which delivers the discovered research hotspots, tendencies, and orientations within the carsharing
literature. Finally, the results of the bibliographic coupling analysis are provided in Section 3.3 to
address the RQ3.

3.1. Descriptive analysis: Publication developments

The performance indicators of carsharing scientific production are presented in this section to address the first RQ of this study:

207 **RQ1.** How has the scientific production in the field of carsharing performed over time?

3.1.1. Publications trend over time

Figure 2 shows the trend in the number of articles published in the field of carsharing. In order not to exclude the early access research articles and reviews, which are not yet assigned a publication year, the early access year of these articles are considered in this figure and also other time-based analyses in this research. The early access articles within our dataset include 21 articles out of which only 1 has an early access year of 2019, 5 has been available online in 2020, and the remaining 15 has a more recent early access year of 2021.

As can be seen in Figure 2, the first article published in WoS in the field of carsharing services dates back to 1980. The number of published articles from 1980 to 2010 remain between 0 and 6 per year but in 2011 this number exceeds double. A continuous growth starts from 2011 (except for 2016) and through an exponential increase, the number of published articles in the year 2020 reached 125. The leap between 2016 and 2017 is also noticeable, which might be due to the massive entry into the market of free-floating services in those years that boosted the number of carsharing subscribers around the world. The number of published articles only in the first half of
2021 (from January 1st to July 1st) was 118, which is expected to lead to a higher record than
2020 by the end of the year. Therefore, this field seems to be still in its expansion period that will
probably continue as long as the carsharing services continue their diffusion in different
geographical regions beyond the western hemisphere.



228 **3.1.2.** Leading journals

229 A total of 255 journals contributed to the publication of the 729 articles in the studied field. It 230 was found that 12 journals, as listed in Table 2, have published at least 10 articles. These journals cooperatively contain 335 papers, representing approximately 45.95% of our sample articles. In 231 232 addition to the number of carsharing-related articles and their citations in these journals, the share of carsharing articles from the total number of articles published by these journals are 233 reported in Table 2. Since our search was limited to peer-reviewed articles and review papers, 234 235 this limitation was also considered to extract the total number of articles from WoS for each journal. Furthermore, regardless of the publication year of the first article published by the 236 journals and indexed in WoS, the time horizon for computing the total number of articles was 237

considered to be 1999 (consistent with the main growth of publications in the carsharing field of
study as shown in Figure 2) to the end of June, 2021, when the main data for the analyses were
taken from WoS. Table 2 also reports the average publication year (APY) of the carsharingrelated papers, which indicates a mean of the publication year of the carsharing articles published
in the listed journals.

As can be seen in Table 2, out of the presented 12 journals, 10 journals directly focus on the 243 244 transportation field of study. The other two journals, Sustainability and Journal of Cleaner *Production*, focus on environmental studies and green and sustainable science and technology. 245 Also, the share of carsharing-related research from the total number of articles shows that the 246 247 highest ratio (3.72%) refers to International Journal of Sustainable Transportation, whose category (in addition to transportation) is similar to the abovementioned journals. Therefore, this 248 may indicate that a part of attention towards carsharing is highly linked with its sustainability 249 250 and environmental aspects. Nevertheless, Journal of Cleaner Production and Sustainability have the lowest share of carsharing-related research from their overall articles published (0.06% and 251 0.13%, respectively), which highlights the difference between the main focus in these journals 252 and the transport-related ones. 253

Furthermore, the APY of the articles published by the journals shows that *Transportation Research Record* is older than other journals in terms of the active publication of articles in the field of carsharing (APY of 2013.8 for 45 articles). On the other side of the spectrum, *Journal of Advanced Transportation* (APY of 2019.4 for 15 articles) and *Sustainability* (APY of 2019.3 for 46 articles) are the most recently active journals contributing to the literature on carsharing. Referring to Figure 3, which shows the publication of carsharing research in the considered 12 journals over time, it can be inferred that *Transportation Research Record* has been almost continuously active in the publication of carsharing research since 2007 (except in 2021 that no article in the carsharing field was published in this journal by the end of June). Although the share of carsharing research from the total articles published in this journal is 0.36%, the continuous contribution of this journal in the publication of carsharing research has resulted in the lowest APY (as reported in Table 2) that highlights the role of this journal in research in this field.

267 Table 2

268	The list of	journals co	ntributing t	to the c	carsharing	literature	with a	a minimum	of 10	published a	articles.
-----	-------------	-------------	--------------	----------	------------	------------	--------	-----------	-------	-------------	-----------

	CS *	Citations	Share of CS	APY of	Publication
Journal name	Co	to CS	articles from	CS	year of the first
	articles	articles	the total	articles	article in WoS
Sustainability	46	296	0.13%	2019.3	2011
Transportation Research Record	45	1271	0.36%	2013.8	1998
Transportation Research Part A- Policy and Practice	37	990	1.35%	2016.7	1979
Transportation Research Part D- Transport and Environment	35	653	1.39%	2017.7	1996
Transportation Research Part C- Emerging Technologies	34	1362	1.31%	2017.6	1995
Transport Policy	29	611	1.76%	2017.1	2005
International Journal of Sustainable Transportation	25	639	3.72%	2017.3	2007
Transportation Research Part B- Methodological	24	547	1.11%	2018.2	1979
Transportation	20	548	1.71%	2017.6	1972
Journal of Advanced Transportation	15	62	0.82%	2019.4	1994
Journal of Cleaner Production	15	137	0.06%	2018.6	2002
IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems	10	344	0.29%	2017.5	2000

269 * Carsharing





Figure 3. Publication of carsharing research over time in the most contributing journals

273 **3.1.3.** Contribution and collaboration of countries

A total of 57 countries contributed to the formation of carsharing literature within the WoS 274 database. Figure 4 illustrated the collaboration network among these countries. The size and 275 276 color of the frames used for the countries correspond to their number of articles and their APY, respectively. Besides, the availability of a link between two countries indicates their co-277 authorship and the thickness of the links shows the occurrence of such co-authorship. In order to 278 clarify authorship and co-authorship of the countries, Table 3 provides the list of the top 10 279 contributing countries in terms of their articles, international collaborators, and collaborations, 280 and Table 4 gives an overview of the most frequent international collaborations among countries. 281 As can be seen in Table 3, the USA is ranked first with regard to all the mentioned 3 rankings, 282

283 and based on Table 4, its most frequent collaborators are China (23 collaborations), England (9 collaborations), Canada (7 collaborations), and Singapore (6 collaborations). Moreover, Table 5 284 introduces the most recent and also the oldest contributing countries based on their APY. Based 285 on this table, the Czech Republic, Algeria, Thailand, Egypt, and Slovenia with an APY of 2021 286 have started their investigations on carsharing very recently. On the other side of the spectrum, 287 Taiwan and the USA have the lowest APY indicating that they have considered this research 288 topic much earlier than the other countries. Since the USA has the highest number of articles and 289 at the same time, is very old in terms of the APY, we can consider this country as a pioneer in 290 291 terms of research production in the field of carsharing.



292

Figure 4. Collaboration network among countries contributing to the carsharing literature

294

295 Table 3

List of the top 10 countries contributing to the carsharing research in terms of (a) the number of articles, (b) the

297	number of collaborating partners.	, and (c) the number of collaborations.
-----	-----------------------------------	---

Top 10 contributing countries			Countr	ies with the h collaborating	ighest number of g partners	Countries with the highest number of collaborations			
Rank	Country	Articles	Citations	Rank	Country	Collaborating countries	Rank	Country	Collaborations
1	USA	162	6071	1	USA	28	1	USA	87

2	P.R. China	135	937	2	England	23	2	P.R. China	86
3	Germany	77	2004	3	P.R. China	21	3	England	62
4	Canada	58	1637	4	France	16	4	Netherlands	47
5	England	56	1103	5	Canada	15	5	Canada	33
6	Italy	55	656	6	Netherlands	15	6	Germany	31
7	Netherlands	54	662	7	Italy	14	7	France	27
8	Australia	37	434	8	Switzerland	13	8	Switzerland	24
9	South Korea	35	421	9	Germany	12	9	Australia	22
10	Switzerland	32	1090	10	Austria	9	10	Italy	21
10	France	32	444	10	Sweden	9			

299 Table 4

300 The most frequent co-authorship among countries in the field of carsharing research.

Collaboratir	Collaborations	
China	USA	23
Netherlands	China	11
Australia	China	9
England	USA	9
England	China	8
Netherlands	Portugal	8
China	Singapore	8
Canada	USA	7
Germany	Netherlands	7
Singapore	USA	6

301

302 Table 5

303 Most recent and oldest contributing countries to the carsharing research based on their average publication year.

	Most recent cont	tributing countri	es	Oldest contributing countries				
Rank	Rank Country APY Articles				Country	APY	Articles	
1	Czech Republic	2021.0	3	57	Taiwan	2014.3	3	
2	Algeria	2021.0	1	56	USA	2015.0	162	
3	Thailand	2021.0	1	55	Iran	2015.5	2	
4	Egypt	2021.0	1	54	New Zealand	2015.5	2	
5	Slovenia	2021.0	1	53	Chile	2015.7	3	
6	Estonia	2020.5	2	52	Saudi Arabia	2016.0	1	
7	Colombia	2020.5	2	51	Portugal	2016.1	12	

8	Norway	2020.4	7	50	Canada	2016.4	58
9	Russia	2020.0	2	49	England	2016.5	56
10	Poland	2019.8	12	48	Ireland	2016.5	8

305 3.2. Carsharing research hotspots, tendencies, and orientations

306 In this section, keyword analysis and also text mining of the title and abstract of the articles were

307 utilized to address the third research question in this study.

- **RQ3.** What are the hotspots and tendencies of carsharing research?
- 309

3.2.1. Keyword-based analysis

The concept of carsharing has been referred to in different written forms. The most popular form to address these services is "carsharing", which has been applied in the author keywords of 149 articles within our collection. Other written forms for this term include "car sharing" and "carsharing", utilized in 107 and 56 articles, respectively. While cleaning the keywords data to prepare it for the analysis, "carsharing" was replaced for the other written form used to address these services. Besides, to estimate the APY of the keywords more precisely, "carsharing services" and "carsharing systems" were replaced with "carsharing".

After the data cleaning and preparation, a total of 1674 unique author keywords were identified, out of which 146 keywords had a minimum of 3 occurrences. Figure 5 illustrates the cooccurrence network built based on these 146 keywords. In this figure, the size of the circles and fonts shows the occurrence of each keyword, while colors are related to the keyword APY. Finally, the thickness of the links between every 2 keywords shows their co-occurrence.



Legend: AV: Autonomous vehicle; EV: Electric vehicle; ff carsharing: Free-floating carsharing; MaaS: Mobility as a Service;
 SAV: Shared autonomous vehicle; PSS: Product service system; BEV: Battery electric vehicle; MOD: Mobility on demand;
 GIS: Geographic information system; UTAUT: Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology; V2G: Vehicle-to-grid;
 AHP: Analytical hierarchy process; GHG: Greenhouse gas.

Figure 5. Co-occurrence network of author keywords within the carsharing research field.

As can be seen in Figure 5, after "carsharing", the keywords "EV", "shared mobility", "one-way carsharing", "sharing economy", and "free-floating carsharing" are the most popular keywords. Furthermore, "COVID-19" with 4 occurrences is the most recent keyword appearing among the author keywords within the carsharing field of study with an APY of 2021, due to the recentness of the pandemic and the recentness of the studies considering the effect of the pandemic on carsharing services.

334 A notable point is the appearance of "Uber" among the keywords in Figure 5 with 4 occurrences and an APY of 2017.5. Based on the general specifications of the carsharing services considered 335 336 in this paper, Uber is not a carsharing but a ride-hailing platform. However, Yun et al. (2020) regarded Uber as a short-distance carpooling platform whose business model falls under one of 337 the various categories of car-sharing business models and therefore, considered Uber as a 338 339 carsharing platform. Obtaining a similar approach, Sun et al. (2018) considered Uber as a carsharing platform with a carpool application. Santos (2018) and Cohen and Kietzmann (2014) 340 pointed to Uber while discussing various shared mobility business models and therefore, Uber 341 was noted in their keywords. 342

Table 6 provides more details on the occurrences and APY for some selected keywords from Figure 5. A comparison of the APYs of various forms of carsharing in Table 7 shows that on average, P2P carsharing (2018.8) is more recently focused on by the researchers, followed by free-floating carsharing (2018.1), one-way carsharing (2017.4), and round-trip carsharing (2016.7), respectively.

348 Table 6

349 Occurrence and average publication year of selected author keywords within the carsharing research field.

Keyword	Occurrence	APY	Keyword	Occurrence	APY
Carsharing	342	2017.8	Carpooling	5	2017.6
EV - Electric vehicle	81	2018.4	Energy consumption	5	2019.4
Shared mobility	45	2018.9	Lifecycle assessment	5	2020.4

One-way carsharing	44	2017.4	MOD – Mobility on demand	5	2017.2
Free-floating carsharing	37	2018.1	Climate change	4	2018.3
E-carsharing	29	2019.4	Fleet size	4	2018.3
Car ownership	18	2018.7	Sustainable consumption	4	2015.5
MaaS – Mobility as a service	13	2019.5	Access-based service	3	2018.3
P2P - Peer-to-peer carsharing	13	2018.8	Range anxiety	3	2019
SAV - Shared autonomous vehicles	13	2019.7	Ride sourcing	3	2020.3
Smart city	8	2019.4	Round-trip carsharing	3	2016.7

351 **3.2.2.** Text mining analysis

352 Through using the text mining function of VOSviewer for our corpus of documents, a list of 14,725 noun phrases was identified as the potential terms describing the research topics and 353 354 themes of the carsharing field. To capture the terms that are sufficiently frequent to be potential descriptors of our considered subject area, the terms with a minimum of 3 occurrences based on 355 a binary counting method (in which counting is based on the presence or absence of a term, not 356 357 all the occurrences of a term within a single article) were considered for further analysis, leading to a list of 1,598 terms. Furthermore, according to Van Eck and Waltman (2011), to remove 358 general terms such as paper, approach, and article, which fail to describe a specific topic, only 359 360 the 60% most relevant terms based on the statistical method applied in the software were considered, resulting in a list of 959 terms. We additionally checked the obtained list thoroughly 361 and removed the remaining irrelevant terms such as the name of the cities and countries. Finally, 362 363 754 terms remained for further analysis.

Figure 6 illustrates the time overlay of the terms extracted from titles and abstracts of our corpus of articles. The range of colors in this figure refers to the recentness of the APY of the identified terms. Besides, similar to Figure 5, the fonts and size of the circles in Figure 6 reflect the occurrence of the terms. As it can be seen, the nouns 'algorithm', 'relocation', and 'one-way' with 54, 51, and 44 occurrences are the identified most frequent terms in this figure, showing theresearch tendency towards optimization problems and algorithms in the field of carsharing.

However, focusing on the APY of the terms may indicate the most recent subjects and concerns 370 addressed by the researchers, regardless of the occurrence of the terms. In contrast with the terms 371 'smart card' and 'private automobile' that have the lowest APYs (2001.3 and 2002, 372 373 respectively), 'power grid' and 'mobile device' with 4 and 3 occurrences, respectively, are the two most recent terms with an APY of 2021. Although these recently noticed terms in 374 carsharing-related articles have a low occurrence in our database, their APY shows that the 375 articles using these terms have been published in 2021, and therefore, these terms address a very 376 377 recent concern. The third most recent term is 'COVID-19' with an APY of 2020.9 and 8 occurrences, pointing to the effects of the recent pandemic addressed by the researchers in the 378 379 carsharing-related articles.



381 Legend: IoT: Internet of things; ICT: Information and communications technology; P2P: Peer-to-peer; B2C: Business-to-

- 382 consumer; EV: Electric vehicle; SEV: shared electric vehicle; MaaS: Mobility as a Service; SAV: Shared autonomous vehicle;
- 383 SAEV: Shared autonomous electric vehicle; MOD: Mobility on demand; V2G: Vehicle-to-grid; GHG: Greenhouse gas.
- 385

384 Figure 6. Co-occurrence network and time overlay (average publication year) of the identified terms through text mining of the titles and abstracts of the articles within the carsharing research field

386

To show the general research tendencies in the considered study area, Figure 7 presents the 387 timeline of the selected terms that were identified from the text mining of titles and abstracts. 388 APYs of the terms shown in this figure indicate that urban planning (APY: 2019), urban traffic 389 (APY: 2018.7), land use (APY: 2018.8), and infrastructure planning (APY: 2020.2) have 390 attracted the attention of researchers in the field of carsharing. Studies on carsharing services 391 also point to other shared mobility services, including electric bikes (APY: 2019.5) and scooter 392 sharing (APY: 2020.3), and address the planning for smart mobility (APY: 2019.3) services for a 393 transition towards sustainable transportation in 2020 and 2021 more than before. Furthermore, 394 395 fuel consumption in carsharing systems is not a recent concern (APY: 2015.5), GHG emissions and pollution have been extensively addressed by researchers in this area (APY: 2017-2019), and 396 397 carsharing has been considered as a part of a sustainable transport system (APY: 2017.8) since many years ago. Nevertheless, in terms of the electrification of carsharing systems, the relevant 398 power grid (APY: 2021) structure and the recent technologies such as V2G - vehicle-to-grid 399 (APY: 2020.7) that provides the opportunity for selling the extra energy of electric shared 400 vehicles to a power grid (He and Yamamoto, 2020) have recently been the focus of researchers. 401 Therefore, carsharing-related studies seem to be getting far from the market-related studies (e.g. 402 403 market potential (APY: 2010.6) and business-to-consumer (APY: 2015.8)) and generally, have a

404 stronger tendency towards more technological advancements and building more sustainable and

405 smart cities.



407 Figure 7. Timeline of the hotspots and research tendencies within carsharing study area extracted from title and
 408 abstract of the articles (occurrences and average publication year in parentheses)

409

```
    3.3. Bibliographic coupling analysis: Discovering major emergent carsharing research
    themes
```

412 The findings of this section address the third RQ of this study:

413 **RQ3.** What are the major research themes building carsharing literature?

- 414 The article clustering technique based on bibliographic coupling links among the articles was
- 415 used. Table 8 provides the details of the carsharing research clusters in terms of the number of
- 416 articles and APY. In this regard, two points were considered in constructing the bibliometric

network. Firstly, review papers (N=24) were excluded from the articles (N= 729) in this stage
due to their high link strength, which may make the clustering results biased. In bibliographic
coupling networks, the link strength between two documents refers to the number of references
they have in common. The more references they have in common, the higher the link strength is.
Secondly, among the remained 705 articles, 19 articles that did not have a common reference
with others were also excluded from the data. Consequently, the bibliographic coupling network
was constructed including a total of 686 articles in the carsharing literature.

424 Table 8

425 Bibliographic coupling clusters details.

Cluster	Number	APY	Sample references
	of items		
Cluster 1: Collaborative	127	2017.8	Hartl et al. (2018), Münzel et al. (2018),
consumption and carsharing			Rotaris (2021), Vaskelainen and Münzel
business models development			(2018), Novikova (2017), Hartl and
in the context of sustainable			Hofmann (2021), Bocken et al. (2020),
urban transport			Diao et al. (2021)
Cluster 2: Carsharing	285	2015.9	Matowicki et al. (2021), Hjorteset and
adoption: User behavior,			Böcker (2020), Le Vine and Polak (2019),
intention, and preferences			Ko et al. (2019), Kim et al. (2019), Chen
			and Kockelman (2016), Jin et al. (2020),
			Diana and Ceccato (2019), Ramos et al.
			(2020)
Cluster 3: Carsharing	198	2017.7	Zhao et al. (2021), Zhang et al. (2020),
operational challenges:			Huang et al. (2020), Martínez et al. (2017),
Infrastructure and fleet			Correia and Antunes (2012), Illgen and
management			Höck (2018), Repoux et al. (2019)

Cluster 4: Technological	76	2019.0	Vosooghi et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2016),
advancement towards			Li and Liao (2020), Reck and Axhausen
deployment of shared			
autonomous vehicles and			(2020), Storme et al. (2020), Wadud and
MaaS			Chintakayala (2021), Haboucha et al.
			(2017)

As a result, the analysis revealed four main emergent research themes of the carsharing literature 427 428 as follows: (i) collaborative consumption and carsharing business models development in the context of sustainable urban transport, (ii) carsharing adoption: user behavior, intention, and 429 preferences, (iii) carsharing operational challenges: infrastructure and fleet management, and (iv) 430 431 technological advancement towards deployment of shared autonomous vehicles and MaaS. The bibliographic network of the clustered articles is visualized in Figure 8. In this Figure, nodes 432 represent the articles, and their size and font show their total link strength. Therefore, larger 433 nodes represent articles that share more references with other articles. 434



as a core of the sharing economy, has emerged in the mobility sector through providing
carsharing services (Novikova, 2017). Carsharing services based on collaborative consumption
have been increasingly developed as a promising solution for sustainable transportation (Hartl
and Hofmann, 2021) to enhance accessibility and reduce the negative externalities produced by
the transport sector (Rotaris, 2021).

The basic carsharing business model was introduced by local entrepreneurs, often not-for-profit 448 449 initiatives, and then was developed through regional replication and mimicry processes (Schaltegger et al., 2016). In this regard, the value proposition and delivery of carsharing 450 services have been evolved from station-based business models (i.e., cars should be picked up 451 452 and dropped off only at specific stations) to free-floating carsharing systems (i.e., cars could be picked up and dropped off at any place within a specific operational area), which deliver a 453 454 different value proposition for users (Schaltegger et al., 2016). Carsharing operators provide services, as an alternative to private car ownership, through different ownership structures and 455 456 business models (Münzel et al., 2018).

In this regard, various business model types have been characterized by scholars within the literature. For instance, Münzel et al. (2018) highlighted four different business models for carsharing services, including (i) cooperative with a not-for-profit orientation and interest for sharing vehicles, (ii and iii) B2C divided into roundtrip and one-way models, which refers to a company owning a fleet of cars to rent them on-demand for a temporarily use, and (iv) P2P carsharing that addresses sharing cars between individuals with the help of a company as a mediating platform, mainly enabled by using online platforms. Most notably, P2P carsharing, as 464 a socio-technical innovation, has gained momentum intending to support a transition from the465 traditional to a more sustainable urban mobility system (Valor, 2020).

466 According to Yun et al. (2020), the idea of promoting carsharing services until 2000 was mainly focused on addressing environmental concerns, such as emission reduction through decreasing 467 car ownership and providing eco-efficient services. However, professional carsharing 468 development by environmentally concerned citizens shifted to market expansion through user-469 470 led innovation processes (Truffer, 2003) and technologies, such as relocation algorithms for freefloating car-sharing systems (Weikl and Bogenberger, 2013; Yun et al., 2020). Research has 471 shown that personal car-based mobility in cities is losing its share of urban travels by entering 472 473 new mobility business models such as carsharing, which delivers functionality rather than ownership (Bocken et al., 2020, 2014; Kent and Dowling, 2013). In their research on the 474 implications of the sharing economy for transport, Standing et al. (2018) highlighted the trend to 475 avoid assets ownership, trust, and using online platforms as facilitating factors of the growth of 476 477 sharing economy and collaborative consumption in transport. In this regard, member-to-vehicle ratios, market segments, parking approaches, technology, insurance, and vehicle and fuel variety 478 have been highlighted in the literature as the key factors characterizing carsharing operations 479 growth in the carsharing market (Perboli et al., 2018; Ranjbari et al., 2019; Shaheen and Cohen, 480 2007). 481

The research in this cluster has been mainly focused on issues regarding developing carsharing services within cities, such as proposing innovative subsidy models (Fan et al., 2020), sharing and trust in online environments (Julsrud and Priya Uteng, 2021), social capital, and value cocreation (Hartl and Hofmann, 2021; Tchorek et al., 2020), carsharing business models diffusion

31

(Münzel et al., 2018), the effects of institutional logics on the carsharing business model 486 development (Vaskelainen and Münzel, 2018), carsharing business models and tariff simulation 487 (Perboli et al., 2018), and upscaling strategies for carsharing business models (Meijer et al., 488 2019). Besides, some articles in this cluster address the environmental impacts of carsharing 489 (Shams Esfandabadi et al., 2020) and its sustainability (Akyelken et al., 2018; Bocken et al., 490 491 2020; Hartl et al., 2018), since carsharing is a promising idea towards transportation sustainability especially when electric vehicles (EVs) are utilized to provide carsharing services 492 493 (Kot, 2020). Shams Esfandabadi et al. (2020) pointed to the circular economy approach in the 494 development of carsharing services and highlighted the role of car manufacturers, regulators, and service providers in the organization of carsharing platforms and services. In this vein, they 495 highlighted the effect of positive and negative effects of carsharing on the pollution of air, water, 496 and soil. Nevertheless, Hartl et al. (2018) showed that the sustainable impact of carsharing is 497 perceived by the users as a positive side effect rather than a main argument; and environmental 498 499 concerns become important for carsharing users when they decide to use P2P over B2C services.

However, despite the potential benefits of developing carsharing platforms as a solution for 500 sustainable mobility, its role in supporting urban mobility sustainability is still unclear and under 501 intense debate. For instance, Diao et al. (2021) showed that promoting large-scale carsharing 502 503 platforms and transportation network companies in the United States have intensified urban transport challenges, such as increased road congestion in terms of both intensity (by 0.9%) and 504 duration (by 4.5%). In another research, Boons and Bocken (2018) outlined the potential of 505 506 expanding carsharing services to increase car dependency. Taken together, the actual role of existing shared mobility services within the whole transportation system in the path towards 507 508 sustainability of the urban mobility system needs more critical research and investigative

509 explorations. It is likely that the above-mentioned different carsharing schemes have a510 diversified impact in terms of environmental sustainability.

511

3.3.2. Cluster 2: Carsharing adoption: User behavior, intention, and preferences

Potential users of carsharing constitute the demand side of the market for these services. 512 513 Therefore, efficient planning by carsharing service providers for a profitable business requires adequate knowledge about the users' behavior, intention, and preferences. On the other hand, 514 understanding the implications of carsharing adoption for car ownership, using other transport 515 modes, and the environment are crucial for decision-makers in urban planning, as well as the 516 517 service providers who need to strengthen their marketing programs. Therefore, carsharing use 518 intention, switching intention among various travel modes, and the outcomes of using carsharing services have been widely discussed in the carsharing literature. 519

520 Studies have pointed to different socio-demographic, socio-economic, and attitudinal variables affecting the utilization of carsharing by commuters in different regions and cities. Research 521 522 conducted by Burghard and Dütschke (2019) in Germany, Matowicki et al. (2021) in the Czech Republic, Hjorteset and Böcker (2020) in Norway, Ramos et al. (2020) in Italian and Swedish 523 cities, Kim et al. (2017) in the Netherlands, and Li and Kamargianni (2019) in China are a few 524 examples in this regard. Furthermore, Bulteau et al. (2019) explored a comprehensive set of 525 socio-demographic and socio-economic, interpersonal, and contextual variables in Paris to 526 analyze the possibility of the carpooling and carsharing implementation in this region. Prieto et 527 al. (Prieto et al., 2017) studied the socio-demographic drivers of the intention to adopt carsharing 528 in London, Madrid, Paris, and Tokyo, and concluded that the probability of carsharing adoption 529 530 is significantly higher among those who live in the city center, who are male, and who are highly

educated. Similarly, Ceccato and Diana (2018) found that young males living in low-size and 531 high-income households with many workers and few cars constitute the main share of carsharing 532 members in Turin, Italy. The majority of studies concerning the switching intention among 533 various transport modes, and more specifically switching towards and from carsharing, have 534 implications both for service providers and the authorities, the latter with a more emphasis. 535 536 Based on the obtained data from two surveys in Turin, Ceccato et al. (2021) highlighted that the willingness to switch towards new transport modes is stronger for people with multimodal travel 537 538 habits. Also, Diana and Ceccato (2019) found that both personal car drivers and public transport users are willing to walk up to five minutes to reach a shared car; and in contrast with a majority 539 of the personal car drivers, public transport users are more likely to switch to carsharing if the 540 cost of these services is lower. Therefore, they called for more attention by the decision-makers 541 and authorities to increase the attractiveness of public transport with respect to carsharing to 542 avoid switches from public transport. 543

Besides, in a stated-preference mode choice analysis in Beijing, China, it was shown that if 544 shared electric vehicles (SEVs) are incorporated into an urban transport system, they are more 545 favorable for leisure trips than commuting ones and can be replaced for taxis in long-distance 546 trips (Jin et al., 2020). Furthermore, Münzel et al. (2019) targeted B2C and P2P carsharing 547 548 adopters in the Netherlands and considering variables reflecting motivations and obstacles for the attitude towards carsharing usage, highlighted the importance of forming a connected multi-549 550 modal transportation system by the regulators instead of setting separate regulations for each 551 carsharing business model. In addition to the switching intention among various transport modes, 552 several papers discuss the relationship between carsharing adoption and car ownership (e.g. Le

Vine and Polak (2019), Ko et al. (2019), and Kim et al. (2019)), whose major audience includes
authorities and policy-makers.

555 Moreover, the adoption of carsharing services has resulted in different environmental outcomes, which should be carefully considered by the authorities and regulators. On the one hand, the 556 557 reduction of the number of the required passenger cars to satisfy the mobility demand and the substitution of more fuel-efficient shared vehicles for private vehicles use result in the reduction 558 559 of GHG emissions; and on the other hand, attracting car-less commuters towards using carsharing leads to increasing GHG emissions (Jung and Koo, 2018). For instance, a study 560 conducted by Namazu and Dowlatabadi (2015) showed that using a newer and optimized 561 562 carsharing fleet in a Canadian context can potentially reduce the GHG emission by more than 30% regardless of modal shifts. Furthermore, despite a large growth potential for carsharing 563 market share in Turin (Ceccato and Diana, 2018), Chicco and Diana (2021) found that the 564 carsharing modal share in this Italian city might grow up to a maximum of 10% out of all trips 565 made by any means, for all distances, by the city population aged 18 or more; nevertheless, 566 potential environmental benefits from this growth are partially offset due to the switches from 567 public transport and active modes to carsharing services. Chen and Kockelman (2016) analyzed 568 the lifecycle impacts of carsharing on energy consumption and GHG emissions in the USA and 569 570 concluded that net savings resulting from the adoption of carsharing are expected to be 5% across all households. Based on their research, modal shift, avoided travel, fuel consumption, and 571 savings in parking infrastructure demands result in 5% savings in all household transport-related 572 573 energy use and GHG emissions; however, since a part of this saving is then spent on other goods and services, the net savings across all households in the USA would be 3%. Therefore, more 574 comprehensive studies are encouraged to be conducted by researchers in this regard to better 575

576 help regulators and policy-makers in their decision-making processes regarding carsharing577 development.

578 3.3.3. Cluster 3: Carsharing operational challenges: Infrastructure and fleet 579 management

Fleet management operations and optimal design and location of facilities are the prominent challenges at the operational level of carsharing services, which are addressed by the articles in this cluster. These challenges are the main objectives for optimization and simulation in carsharing systems (Ferrero et al., 2018) and therefore, major methodological approaches of the articles in this cluster are based on optimization, simulation, or a combination of both.

Research shows that depot locations can affect the usage of carsharing services (Jian et al., 585 2016). Therefore, deciding on the optimal location of the shared vehicle depots plays a key role 586 in the profitability of carsharing service providers (Correia and Antunes, 2012). Incorporating 587 EVs into carsharing programs adds to the importance of the optimal location of the depots and 588 589 charging stations because of the limited driving range and low charging speed of EVs, which are 590 considered as discouragements for their broad adoption (Hu et al., 2019). Moving towards the 591 decarbonization of transportation, as a leading contributor to the emission of GHGs, draws more 592 attention towards the diffusion of alternative-fuel vehicles, such as EVs (Keith et al., 2020); and therefore, shared EVs have been the focus of many researchers in the field of carsharing. As a 593 result, in line with the timeline presented in Figure 7, the challenges with the state-of-charge 594 (SOC) of EVs and the possible opportunities regarding the vehicle-to-grid (V2G) electricity 595 selling in EV sharing have been the subject of recent studies in the field of carsharing research. 596 Kahlen et al. (2018) focused on the virtual power plants (VPPs) potentials in balancing the 597

electricity smart grids and analyzed the exchange of electricity between EVs and the grid, addressing the demand patterns of electric carsharing vehicles. The simulation-based optimization model developed by Zhao et al. (2021) to address a system infrastructure design problem for an electric autonomous vehicle sharing, the optimization model proposed by Zhang et al. (2020) to investigate the benefits of integrating V2G in electric carsharing, and the discrete event simulation model presented by Illgen and Höck (2018) to examine the operation of EVs in carsharing networks are some other examples.

Efficient fleet rebalancing through the relocation of shared vehicles to balance supply and 605 606 demand is a challenge for service providers, both in one-way (Yang et al., 2021) and free-607 floating (Willing et al., 2017) carsharing services. To conquer the imbalanced distribution of vehicles' supply, user-based (Di Febbraro et al., 2019), operator-based (Santos and de Almeida 608 Correia, 2019), or a combination of user-based and operator-based relocation strategies can be 609 applied (Huang et al., 2020). Each of these strategies entails several challenges, and the 610 611 replacement of combustion engine vehicles with EVs adds more operational challenges due to the range limitation of EV batteries. For instance, aiming at the maximization of the carsharing 612 service provider's profit, Huang et al. (2020) compared the efficiency of a user-based and an 613 operator-based relocation system in a one-way electric carsharing platform through the 614 615 development of three mixed integer nonlinear programming models, taking SOC of EVs into 616 account. Di Febbraro et al. (2019) proposed a two-stage optimization model to optimize the 617 alternative destinations suggested to users and also to maximize the operator's profit in a one-618 way carsharing system with a user-based relocation strategy. A bilevel nonlinear mathematical programming model, considering the vehicle fleet, prices, relocation operations, and the choice 619 620 of travelers between carsharing and private cars, was proposed by Lu et al. (2021) to maximize

the carsharing service provider's profit and minimize the overall travel cost for travelers.
Furthermore, a proactive operator-based relocation policy based on Markov chain dynamics was
introduced by Repoux et al. (2019), which applies reservation information for the prediction of
stations' future states and aims to maximize the number of accepted user requests.

625 In addition to the optimization models, simulations have been considered by researchers to incorporate their analysis in a realistic operational environment. For instance, Boyacı et al. 626 627 (2017) developed an integrated multi-objective mixed-integer linear programming optimization and discrete event simulation framework to deal with the operational decisions of vehicle and 628 personnel relocation in a carsharing platform, which allows reservation by users in advance. 629 630 Also, an agent-based model was developed and applied to the city of Lison by Martínez et al. (2017), considering the complex supply-demand relationship, maintenance operations, 631 relocations, and reservations. The supply-demand imbalance has also been analyzed through 632 other methodological approaches, too. In this regard, Willing et al. (2017) developed a spatial 633 634 decision support system based on data from a carsharing service provider in Amsterdam, which contributes to lowering the risk of supply-demand imbalance in free-floating carsharing systems 635 through variable trip pricing. 636

In addition to the vast amount of research regarding fleet management and infrastructure in this cluster, other challenges such as the competition among carsharing operators (Balac et al., 2019) and the effect of carsharing on the market and the number of vehicles (Ke et al., 2019) have also been addressed in optimization and simulation models in the articles. Balac et al. (2019) investigated the competition of two free-floating carsharing companies by analyzing the impact of different price levels and performing relocations in an agent-based simulation environment.

38

The growth strategies in carsharing networks were evaluated by Fassi et al. (2012) through a discrete-event simulation model considering the maximization of the carsharing members' satisfaction level and the minimization of the number of shared vehicles used. Nevertheless, the main focus of the research gathered in this cluster is the concerns at the operational level of carsharing activities.

648 3.3.4. Cluster 4: Technological advancement towards deployment of shared 649 autonomous vehicles and MaaS

Vehicle sharing, electrification, and automation are three revolutions (so-called "3-R") on track 650 651 in urban transportation (Fulton, 2018). Although the earliest carsharing experiences date back to 652 more than seven decades ago (Shaheen et al., 1998), these services are still an emerging phenomenon (Münzel et al., 2018) and represent a small share of trips only in some urban areas 653 (Fulton, 2018). Given the growing attention of policy-makers to shifting towards electric and 654 655 green transportation to respect the environment (e.g. EC (2009) and EC (2014)) and the recent advances in EVs' battery technologies, incorporating EVs into carsharing programs and 656 657 providing electric carsharing services has been expanded quickly around the world but it has not 658 yet become mainstream (Hu et al., 2019). Moreover, full automation of vehicles is the next major 659 evolution in urban mobility and autonomous vehicles (AVs) (also called driverless or self-660 driving vehicles) are anticipated to bring fundamental shifts in urban transportation systems 661 (Mourad et al., 2019). The arrival of AVs on the one hand is argued to make driving cheaper, 662 safer, faster, and greener, reducing traffic congestion and environmental impacts reduced; and on the other hand, is increasing concerns on inducing addition driving that can result in offsetting or 663 664 overwhelming the positive effects (Naumov et al., 2020). Although AVs are still being tested,

they are expected to be an integral part of future transportation within the next few decades (Vosooghi et al., 2020) and serve as shared autonomous vehicles (SAVs) within the carsharing scheme. Future SAVs are likely to be electric (Vosooghi et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2021) and hence, these emerging technological advances can help make the carsharing systems more efficient and environmental-friendly.

Several recent studies have focused on the development of AVs, SAVs, and shared autonomous 670 671 electric vehicles (SAEVs) and have analyzed their relevant implications. For instance, Zhao et al. (2021) provided an optimization model for a near-optimal design of charging station location of 672 SAEVs; Chen et al. (2016) suggested a simulation model to examine the operation of SAEVs 673 674 under various vehicle and infrastructure scenarios; and Vosooghi et al. (2020) investigated the impact of charging infrastructure on the performance of SAEVs. Also, Li and Liao (2020) 675 developed an optimization model to moderate the supply and demand of SAVs. Another group 676 of researchers, such as Wadud and Chintakayala (2021), Haboucha et al. (2017), and Nazari et al. 677 (2018), considered user preferences and the willingness to own an AV or use an SAV. 678

679 However, technological advancements linked with carsharing in the urban transportation system 680 are not limited to the electrification and automation of vehicles. Mobility as a service (MaaS) is 681 an emerging concept in this regard, which aims at breaking the determining role of car ownership 682 (Becker et al., 2020) by matching the travel needs of an individual with a tailored mobility 683 package (Storme et al., 2020) that includes various mobility services such as carsharing, ride 684 sharing, bike sharing, car rental, taxi services, and public transport. MaaS integrates payment and routing across several transport service providers on a single platform (Reck and Axhausen, 685 686 2020) and includes a real-time journey planner (Storme et al., 2020). Although this digital interface increases the efficiency of passenger transportation networks (Esztergár-Kiss and Kerényi, 2020), it is suggested that it should be regarded as a complement of private car use rather than a substitution for it (Storme et al., 2020). Despite considering carsharing as a model of transport in some recent studies on developing MaaS (e.g. Esztergár-Kiss and Kerényi (2020), Brezovec and Hampl (2021), and Reck and Axhausen (2020)), research on MaaS plans is still in its infancy and needs to receive more attention from the researchers in the field of shared mobility.

4. Implications for research: Directions for future studies

Based on the inclusive map of carsharing research provided in previous sections, the potential
directions for further research in the future are presented in this section to address the last RQ of
this study:

698 **RQ4.** What are the potential directions for future research on carsharing services?

Having scrutinized the main research themes and trends, hotspots, and theoretical and practical
contributions of existing studies within the carsharing literature so far, five main research gaps,
as potential directions for future research, were identified as follows.

702 **4.1. Developing a long-term sustainability assessment framework**

The actual impact of the entrance of shared-mobility service providers, such as carsharing platforms, to the market on transitioning towards sustainability is still under discourse. On the one hand, carsharing can provide benefits, such as lower individual transportation energy use and greenhouse gas emissions (Chen and Kockelman, 2016; Namazu and Dowlatabadi, 2015). On 707 the other hand, despite the positive aspects, carsharing can intensify some urban mobility challenges, such as increased road congestion in terms of both intensity and duration (Diao et al., 708 2021), and car dependency (Boons and Bocken, 2018). This dilemma, which is also addressed by 709 Shams Esfandabadi et al. (2020), requires to be analyzed through a framework based on a 710 systems thinking approach, as a proper lens to look at the long-term effects of these services 711 712 from the sustainability assessment point of view. To the best of the authors' knowledge, a systems thinking approach to monitor and capture the sustainability implications of carsharing 713 714 services as a whole for urban transport in long term is lacking in the literature. In this regard, 715 developing assessment frameworks and applying simulation models with a macro level of analysis, such as System Dynamics, is highly recommended for future studies to better asses and 716 analyze the implications of carsharing and plan to incorporate carsharing services into the urban 717 transport system to move towards sustainability. 718

719 **4.2.** Drafting inclusive marketing and training plans, and designing effective incentives

720 For a successful and sustainable diffusion of alternative technologies in transportation systems, 721 keeping marketing programs and subsidies in place for long periods is essential (Keith et al., 722 2020; Struben and Sterman, 2008). This is while unawareness of people about carsharing has 723 been mentioned as the main reason for a low diffusion of carsharing in some areas, such as in 724 Italy (Rotaris, 2021). In this regard, a holistic plan to sufficiently encourage the public to use 725 carsharing services seems to be required in many parts of the world, as the deployment of 726 carsharing can accelerate the transition to sustainable urban mobility if accompanied by proper 727 policies. Therefore, more research and investigative explorations are needed to fill this research 728 gap, in particular in the following research directions: (i) formulating effective marketing

729 strategies to increase the familiarity of people with carsharing and subsequently, increase the share of carsharing services from the whole urban transport; (ii) proposing innovative incentives 730 for citizens as potential users, intermediary companies as service providers, and local 731 government and relevant authorities as supporting stakeholders; (iii) conducting more context-732 sensitive research to customize the evaluation frameworks of different potential carsharing users 733 734 characteristics in various geographical regions; (iv) designing effective plans to prevent switches 735 from public transport to carsharing, and at the same time, increase switches from private cars to 736 carsharing; and (v) formulating training programs for different segments of the population to 737 increase knowledge about the potential role of carsharing in developing a sustainable society.

4.3. Analyzing the role of carsharing in achieving Sustainable Development Goals

When it comes to sustainability analysis, shared mobility and more specifically, carsharing is mainly analyzed from the environmental point of view. This is while the two other pillars of sustainability can also be affected by the development of these services. The three pillars of sustainability reflected in the United Nation's 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, containing 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and 169 targets on a variety of perspectives, can serve as a guideline to analyze the diffusion of carsharing contribution to the progress towards achieving SDGs.

The emergence and development of carsharing platforms within the mobility system is notably in line with SDG 12, referring to ensuring sustainable consumption and production patterns. However, the expansion of carsharing services can potentially affect other SDGs and their associated targets. Carsharing literature contains a vast amount of research on the energy consumption and environmental effects of these services that are mostly linked with SDG 7

43

(affordable and clean energy) and SDG 13 (climate action), while carsharing research taking 751 other SDGs into account is still in its infancy stage. For instance, social exclusion issues such as 752 753 gender inequality in carsharing have been addressed in the research conducted by Alonso-Almeida (2019) and Singh (2020), although no direct implications have been elaborated for any 754 specific SDG in their research. In other words, the explicit and implicit effects of carsharing 755 756 services on the achievement of SDGs are still blurred, calling for more comprehensive research 757 and developments to foster the progress towards sustainable development. In this regard, some 758 potential avenues for future carsharing research towards achieving SDGs could be based on (i) 759 developing initiatives to reduce inequalities and avoid social exclusion in using carsharing services, corresponding to SDG 5 and SDG 10, (ii) promoting sustainable consumption patterns 760 and plans to increase economic growth, corresponding to SDG 8 and SDG 12, and (iii) 761 762 contributing to building sustainable cities and communities, corresponding to SDG 11. Moreover, since the achievement of the SDGs has been affected by the COVID-19 pandemic 763 764 (Ameli et al., 2022; Ranjbari et al., 2021b), a potential avenue for future carsharing research could be evaluating the long-term and short-term effects of the pandemic on the achievement of 765 SDGs related to the urban mobility. 766

4.4. Developing circular economy indicators and circularity measurement system within the shared mobility domain

Transitioning from a linear economy to a circular economy, as a tool to promote sustainable development has brought economic, environmental, and social benefits to societies at the local and global scales (Shevchenko et al., 2021). Carsharing services through providing more utilization of shared vehicles instead of privately-owned vehicles can potentially support the transition towards a circular economy in the transportation system. In this regard, carsharing services, as a potential alternative for personal cars, can decrease the demand for car ownership and car manufacturing, resulting in less consumption of materials and resources as well as less pollution and waste generated by car manufacturers (Shams Esfandabadi et al., 2020). As a result, shared mobility services, in particular carsharing deserve to be put forward as a potential solution to implement the circular economy strategies in urban mobility systems.

779 Nevertheless, although the potential of shared mobility services vs. privately-owned vehicles to 780 more effectively keep the current vehicles in use and at value seems to be in line with the circular 781 economy principles, the research in this area is very limited. In the same vein, the literature on 782 shared mobility services notably lacks (i) a clear circular economy conceptual framework and policy toolkit to manage how carsharing platforms can engage with the urban transport systems, 783 (ii) an inclusive set of circular economy indicators and accordingly, a reliable circularity 784 785 measurement system to monitor, measure and improve carsharing performance, and (iii) 786 sufficient clarifications on how shared mobility services affect the circularity of urban mobility 787 business models over time in terms of various factors, such as reduction of raw materials for manufacturing the vehicles, reduction of fossil fuels consumption, and stakeholders structure in 788 789 the whole transport supply chain. The identified gaps in this arena provide potential lines of 790 research in the future of carsharing services towards creating circular and sustainable mobility 791 systems.

792

4.5. Assessing the implications of the COVID-19 pandemic for carsharing

The impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on different aspects of human lives are undeniable(Ranjbari et al., 2021c). Restrictions on the mobility of people during the pandemic and the

requirement of keeping a safe distance from others changed the behavior of people in using various modes of transportation during this period. Therefore, long-term impacts on the transport sector in the post-pandemic era seemed likely, and it was projected that the new normal situation after the pandemic could provide an opportunity to move towards a more sustainable transport sector (Zhang and Zhang, 2021). Nevertheless, carsharing was identified as a sector seriously suffering from the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic (Garaus and Garaus, 2021).

801 Despite a significant amount of research on the changes caused by the lockdowns and mobility restrictions on the overall urban transportation (Andara et al., 2021; Ravina et al., 2021; Zhou et 802 al., 2021), limited research in the carsharing domain has pointed to changes borne by these 803 804 services during the pandemic, and opportunities and threats in the normal future after that. For instance, concerning the pandemic period, Garaus and Garaus (2021) analyzed the consumers' 805 intention to use carsharing during the pandemic in Germany; Alonso-Almeida (2022) studied the 806 807 drivers and barriers, as well as the usage and advantages of carsharing during the pandemic; and 808 Turoń et al. (2021) studied the required aspects to be considered in the context of a pandemic when modeling and optimizing energy services for electric carsharing, as a part of electric shared 809 mobility services. Also with regard to the movement towards decarbonization of the transport 810 sector in the new normal after COVID-19, Zhang and Zhang (2021) analyzed the reduction 811 812 potential od CO_2 emissions by 2060 as a result of change in the lifestyle of the people and the usage of transport modes including carsharing. Therefore, a comprehensive overview of the 813 short-term and long-term implications of the pandemic for the usage of carsharing is still lacking. 814 815 As a result, decision-makers need to take potential scenarios and policies related to the COVID-19 outbreak into account to better manage the diffusion of carsharing towards a sustainable 816 transport system. 817



819

Figure 9. The research agenda for future research in the field of carsharing.

820 **5. Concluding remarks**

Carsharing services with the aim of reducing private car ownership have been increasing in recent years. A huge amount of research has been carried out on carsharing considering different aspects from business models and operational challenges to sustainability aspects and travel behavior, leading to fragmented literature. As the first attempt in the literature, our research provided a systematic bibliometric analysis on carsharing research, covering a total of 729 peerreviewed journal articles in WoS that were published by the end of June 2021.

The research contributes to the existing studies research through (i) analyzing hotspots and 827 research tendencies in the carsharing literature by employing keywords and text mining analyses, 828 829 (ii) discovering the main research themes building carsharing research background by applying a bibliographic coupling analysis, and (iii) identifying potential directions for future carsharing 830 research. The results uncovered four main research themes of carsharing literature, including (1) 831 832 collaborative consumption and carsharing business models development in the context of sustainable urban transport, (2) carsharing adoption: user behavior, intention, and preferences, 833 834 (3) carsharing operational challenges: infrastructure and fleet management, and (4) technological 835 advancement towards deployment of shared autonomous vehicles and MaaS.

836 Based on the provided inclusive map of the carsharing research background to date, five main research gaps were identified and proposed for future studies. First, since the actual impact of 837 carsharing services on transitioning towards building sustainable cities is still unclear, 838 839 developing a long-term sustainability assessment framework for carsharing activities could be a 840 promising direction for further studies. Second, in order to increase the awareness and familiarity of people with carsharing services, developing inclusive marketing and social exposure plans to 841 encourage all actors could help better promote carsharing usage more sustainably. Third, 842 carsharing is basically developed in line with SDG 12 and can affect other SDGs of the UN's 843 844 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Analyzing the role of carsharing in the achievement 845 of different SDGs and their targets could support more effective planning to step towards sustainable cities and communities. Fourth, literature on carsharing lacks circular economy 846 847 indicators and circularity measurement systems to assess the circularity of the activities taking place in relation with carsharing, which deserves to be considered in future research. And finally, 848 849 despite the significant implications of the COVID-19 pandemic for urban transportation systems,

studies on the effects of pandemic on the future of carsharing is scare, which can be furthersupported by researchers in future studies.

The present research had two limitations. Firstly, we used bibliographic coupling analysis as a base for article clustering in our analysis. Employing other article clustering methods such as cocitation analysis is recommended to compare the results and highlight the amendments. Secondly, this research was conducted based on data collected from the WoS database. Incorporating other well-known databases such as Scopus may help improve the results due to potential differences in the coverage of scientific literature on various domains and disciplines.

858 Acknowledgment

- 859 The authors acknowledge financial support received from Energy Center Lab, Politecnico di
- 860 Torino in Italy for this research.

861 **References**

- Akyelken, N., Banister, D., Givoni, M., 2018. The sustainability of shared mobility in London:
 The dilemma for governance. Sustain. 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10020420
- Alonso-Almeida, M. del M., 2022. To Use or Not Use Car Sharing Mobility in the Ongoing
 COVID-19 Pandemic? Identifying Sharing Mobility Behaviour in Times of Crisis. Int. J.
 Environ. Res. Public Health 19, 3127. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19053127
- Alonso-Almeida, M. del M., 2019. Carsharing: Another gender issue? Drivers of carsharing
 usage among women and relationship to perceived value. Travel Behav. Soc. 17, 36–45.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tbs.2019.06.003
- Ameli, M., Shams Esfandabadi, Z., Sadeghi, S., Ranjbari, M., Zanetti, M.C., 2022. COVID-19
 and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): Scenario analysis through fuzzy cognitive
 map modeling. Gondwana Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2021.12.014
- Ampudia-Renuncio, M., Guirao, B., Molina-Sanchez, R., 2018. The impact of free-floating
 carsharing on sustainable cities: analysis of first experiences in Madrid with the university
 campus. Sustain. Cities Soc. 43, 462–475. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.09.019
- Andara, R., Ortego-Osa, J., Gómez-Caicedo, M.I., Ramírez-Pisco, R., Navas-Gracia, L.M.,

- Vásquez, C.L., Gaitán-Angulo, M., 2021. Behavior of Traffic Congestion and Public
 Transport in Eight Large Cities in Latin America during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Appl.
- Sci. 11, 4703. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11104703
- Balac, M., Becker, H., Ciari, F., Axhausen, K.W., 2019. Modeling competing free-floating
 carsharing operators A case study for Zurich, Switzerland. Transp. Res. Part C Emerg.
 Technol. 98, 101–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2018.11.011
- Becker, H., Balac, M., Ciari, F., Axhausen, K.W., 2020. Assessing the welfare impacts of Shared
 Mobility and Mobility as a Service (MaaS). Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract. 131, 228–243.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2019.09.027
- Belussi, F., Orsi, L., Savarese, M., 2019. Mapping Business Model Research: A Document
 Bibliometric Analysis. Scand. J. Manag. 35, 101048.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scaman.2019.101048
- Bocken, N., Jonca, A., Södergren, K., Palm, J., 2020. Emergence of carsharing business models
 and sustainability impacts in Swedish cities. Sustain. 12.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041594
- Bocken, N.M.P., Short, S.W., Rana, P., Evans, S., 2014. A literature and practice review to
 develop sustainable business model archetypes. J. Clean. Prod. 65, 42–56.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.11.039
- Boons, F., Bocken, N., 2018. Towards a sharing economy Innovating ecologies of business
 models. Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change 137, 40–52.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.06.031
- Boyacı, B., Zografos, K.G., Geroliminis, N., 2017. An integrated optimization-simulation
 framework for vehicle and personnel relocations of electric carsharing systems with
 reservations. Transp. Res. Part B Methodol. 95, 214–237.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2016.10.007
- Brezovec, P., Hampl, N., 2021. Electric Vehicles Ready for Breakthrough in MaaS? Consumer
 Adoption of E-Car Sharing and E-Scooter Sharing as a Part of Mobility-as-a-Service
 (MaaS). Energies 14, 1088. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14041088
- Bulteau, J., Feuillet, T., Dantan, S., 2019. Carpooling and carsharing for commuting in the Paris
 region: A comprehensive exploration of the individual and contextual correlates of their
 uses. Travel Behav. Soc. 16, 77–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tbs.2019.04.007
- Burghard, U., Dütschke, E., 2019. Who wants shared mobility? Lessons from early adopters and
 mainstream drivers on electric carsharing in Germany. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ.
 71, 96–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2018.11.011
- 911 Ceccato, R., Chicco, A., Diana, M., 2021. Evaluating car-sharing switching rates from traditional
 912 transport means through logit models and Random Forest classifiers. Transp. Plan. Technol.
 913 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/03081060.2020.1868084
- Ceccato, R., Diana, M., 2018. Substitution and complementarity patterns between traditional
 transport means and car sharing: a person and trip level analysis. Transportation (Amst). 1–
 18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-018-9901-8

- Chaudhary, S., Dhir, A., Ferraris, A., Bertoldi, B., 2021. Trust and reputation in family
 businesses: A systematic literature review of past achievements and future promises. J. Bus.
 Res. 137, 143–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.07.052
- Chen, T.D., Kockelman, K.M., 2016. Carsharing's life-cycle impacts on energy use and
 greenhouse gas emissions. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 47, 276–284.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2016.05.012
- Chen, T.D., Kockelman, K.M., Hanna, J.P., 2016. Operations of a shared, autonomous, electric
 vehicle fleet: Implications of vehicle & charging infrastructure decisions. Transp. Res. Part
 A Policy Pract. 94, 243–254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2016.08.020
- Chiang, C.-T., 2020. Developing an eMarketing model for tourism and hospitality: a keyword
 analysis. Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag. 32, 3091–3114. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-032020-0230
- Chicco, A., Diana, M., 2021. Air emissions impacts of modal diversion patterns induced by oneway car sharing : A case study from the city of Turin. Transp. Res. Part D 91, 102685.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102685
- Chun, Y.-Y., Matsumoto, M., Tahara, K., Chinen, K., Endo, H., 2019. Exploring Factors
 Affecting Car Sharing Use Intention in the Southeast-Asia Region: A Case Study in Java,
 Indonesia. Sustainability 11, 5103. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11185103
- Cohen, B., Kietzmann, J., 2014. Ride On! Mobility Business Models for the Sharing Economy.
 Organ. Environ. 27, 279–296. https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026614546199
- Correia, G.H. de A., Antunes, A.P., 2012. Optimization approach to depot location and trip
 selection in one-way carsharing systems. Transp. Res. Part E Logist. Transp. Rev. 48, 233–
 247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2011.06.003
- de Luca, S., Di Pace, R., 2015. Modelling users' behaviour in inter-urban carsharing program: A
 stated preference approach. Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract. 71, 59–76.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2014.11.001
- Delen, D., Crossland, M.D., 2008. Seeding the survey and analysis of research literature with
 text mining. Expert Syst. Appl. 34, 1707–1720. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2007.01.035
- Demeter, K., Szász, L., Kő, A., 2019. A text mining based overview of inventory research in the
 ISIR special issues 1994–2016. Int. J. Prod. Econ. 209, 134–146.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.06.006
- Di Febbraro, A., Sacco, N., Saeednia, M., 2019. One-Way Car-Sharing Profit Maximization by
 Means of User-Based Vehicle Relocation. IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst. 20, 628–641.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2018.2824119
- Diana, M., Ceccato, R., 2019. A multimodal perspective in the study of car sharing switching
 intentions. Transp. Lett. 00, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/19427867.2019.1707351

Diao, M., Kong, H., Zhao, J., 2021. Impacts of transportation network companies on urban mobility. Nat. Sustain. 4, 494–500. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00678-z

- EC, 2014. Directive 2014/94/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 October
 2014 on the deployment of alternative fuels infrastructure, Official Journal of the European
 Union.
- EC, 2009. Directive 2009/33/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009
 on the promotion of clean and energy-efficient road transport vehicles, Official Journal of
 the European Union.
- 961 Esztergár-Kiss, D., Kerényi, T., 2020. Creation of mobility packages based on the MaaS concept.
 962 Travel Behav. Soc. 21, 307–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tbs.2019.05.007
- Fan, J., Wang, J., Zhang, X., 2020. An innovative subsidy model for promoting the sharing of
 Electric Vehicles in China : A pricing decisions analysis. Energy 201, 117557.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117557
- Fassi, A. El, Awasthi, A., Viviani, M., 2012. Evaluation of carsharing network's growth
 strategies through discrete event simulation. Expert Syst. Appl. 39, 6692–6705.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.11.071
- Ferrero, F., Perboli, G., Rosano, M., Vesco, A., 2018. Car-sharing services: An annotated
 review. Sustain. Cities Soc. 37, 501–518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2017.09.020
- Fulton, L.M., 2018. Three Revolutions in Urban Passenger Travel. Joule 2, 575–578.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2018.03.005
- Garaus, M., Garaus, C., 2021. The Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic on Consumers' Intention to
 Use Shared-Mobility Services in German Cities. Front. Psychol. 12, 1–14.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.646593
- Haboucha, C.J., Ishaq, R., Shiftan, Y., 2017. User preferences regarding autonomous vehicles.
 Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol. 78, 37–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2017.01.010
- Hamari, J., Sjöklint, M., Ukkonen, A., 2016. The sharing economy: Why people participate in collaborative consumption. J. Assoc. Inf. Sci. Technol. 67, 2047–2059.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.23552
- Hartl, B., Hofmann, E., 2021. The social dilemma of car sharing The impact of power and the
 role of trust in community car sharing. Int. J. Sustain. Transp. 0, 1–24.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/15568318.2021.1912224
- Hartl, B., Sabitzer, T., Hofmann, E., Penz, E., 2018. "Sustainability is a nice bonus" the role of
 sustainability in carsharing from a consumer perspective. J. Clean. Prod. 202, 88–100.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.138
- He, J., Yamamoto, T., 2020. Characterization of daily travel distance of a university car fleet for
 the purpose of replacing conventional vehicles with electric vehicles. Sustain. 12.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/su12020690
- Hjorteset, M.A., Böcker, L., 2020. Car sharing in Norwegian urban areas. Transp. Res. Part D
 Transp. Environ. 84, 102322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102322
- Hu, S., Chen, P., Xin, F., Xie, C., 2019. Exploring the effect of battery capacity on electric

- vehicle sharing programs using a simulation approach. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ.
 77, 164–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2019.10.013
- Huang, K., An, K., Rich, J., Ma, W., 2020. Vehicle relocation in one-way station-based electric
 carsharing systems: A comparative study of operator-based and user-based methods.
 Transp. Res. Part E Logist. Transp. Rev. 142, 102081.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.102081
- Huang, K., Correia, G.H. de A., An, K., 2018. Solving the station-based one-way carsharing
 network planning problem with relocations and non-linear demand. Transp. Res. Part C
 Emerg. Technol. 90, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2018.02.020
- Iacobucci, R., McLellan, B., Tezuka, T., 2018. Modeling shared autonomous electric vehicles:
 Potential for transport and power grid integration. Energy 158, 148–163.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.06.024
- 1005 Illgen, S., Höck, M., 2019. Literature review of the vehicle relocation problem in one-way car
 1006 sharing networks. Transp. Res. Part B Methodol. 120, 193–204.
 1007 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2018.12.006
- 1008 Illgen, S., Höck, M., 2018. Electric vehicles in car sharing networks Challenges and simulation 1009 model analysis. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 63, 377–387.
 1010 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2018.06.011
- Jain, T., Johnson, M., Rose, G., 2020. Exploring the process of travel behaviour change and
 mobility trajectories associated with car share adoption. Travel Behav. Soc. 18, 117–131.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tbs.2019.10.006
- Jian, S., Hossein Rashidi, T., Wijayaratna, K.P., Dixit, V. V., 2016. A Spatial Hazard-Based
 analysis for modelling vehicle selection in station-based carsharing systems. Transp. Res.
 Part C Emerg. Technol. 72, 130–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2016.09.008
- Jin, F., An, K., Yao, E., 2020. Mode choice analysis in urban transport with shared battery
 electric vehicles: A stated-preference case study in Beijing, China. Transp. Res. Part A
 Policy Pract. 133, 95–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2020.01.009
- Julsrud, T.E., Priya Uteng, T., 2021. Trust and Sharing in Online Environments: A Comparative
 Study of Different Groups of Norwegian Car Sharers. Sustainability 13, 4170.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084170
- Jung, H., Lee, B.G., 2020. Research trends in text mining: Semantic network and main path
 analysis of selected journals. Expert Syst. Appl. 162, 113851.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113851
- Jung, J., Koo, Y., 2018. Analyzing the effects of car sharing services on the reduction of
 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Sustain. 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10020539
- Kahlen, M.T., Ketter, W., van Dalen, J., 2018. Electric Vehicle Virtual Power Plant Dilemma:
 Grid Balancing Versus Customer Mobility. Prod. Oper. Manag. 27, 2054–2070.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.12876
- 1031 Ke, H., Chai, S., Cheng, R., 2019. Does car sharing help reduce the total number of vehicles?

1033 Keith, D.R., Struben, J.J.R., Naumov, S., 2020. The Diffusion of Alternative Fuel Vehicles: A 1034 Generalised Model and Future Research Agenda. J. Simul. 14, 260–277. https://doi.org/10.1080/17477778.2019.1708219 1035 Kent, J.L., Dowling, R., 2013. Puncturing automobility? Carsharing practices. J. Transp. Geogr. 1036 32, 86–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2013.08.014 1037 Kim, D., Park, Y., Ko, J., 2019. Factors underlying vehicle ownership reduction among 1038 1039 carsharing users: A repeated cross-sectional analysis. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 1040 76, 123–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2019.09.018 Kim, J., Rasouli, S., Timmermans, H.J.P., 2017. The effects of activity-travel context and 1041 1042 individual attitudes on car-sharing decisions under travel time uncertainty: A hybrid choice modeling approach. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 56, 189–202. 1043 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2017.07.022 1044 Ko, J., Ki, H., Lee, S., 2019. Factors affecting carsharing program participants' car ownership 1045 changes. Transp. Lett. 11, 208-218. https://doi.org/10.1080/19427867.2017.1329891 1046 Kot, S., 2020. Carsharing concept implementation in relation to sustainability – Evidence from 1047 Poland, in: Energy Transformation Towards Sustainability. Elsevier, pp. 179–197. 1048 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817688-7.00009-4 1049 Krev, N., Picot-Coupey, K., Cliquet, G., 2022. Shopping mall retailing: A bibliometric analysis 1050

Soft Comput. 23, 12461–12474. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-019-03791-0

1032

and systematic assessment of Chebat's contributions. J. Retail. Consum. Serv. 64, 102702.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102702

Kurisu, K., Ikeuchi, R., Nakatani, J., Moriguchi, Y., 2021. Consumers 'motivations and barriers
 concerning various sharing services. J. Clean. Prod. 308, 127269.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127269

- Lagadic, M., Verloes, A., Louvet, N., 2019. Can carsharing services be profitable? A critical
 review of established and developing business models. Transp. Policy 77, 68–78.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2019.02.006
- Le Vine, S., Polak, J., 2019. The impact of free-floating carsharing on car ownership: Early-stage
 findings from London. Transp. Policy 75, 119–127.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2017.02.004
- Li, Q., Liao, F., 2020. Incorporating vehicle self-relocations and traveler activity chains in a bi level model of optimal deployment of shared autonomous vehicles. Transp. Res. Part B
 Methodol. 140, 151–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2020.08.001
- Li, W., Kamargianni, M., 2020. Steering short-term demand for car-sharing: a mode choice and policy impact analysis by trip distance. Transportation (Amst). 47, 2233–2265.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-019-10010-0

Li, W., Kamargianni, M., 2019. An Integrated Choice and Latent Variable Model to Explore the
 Influence of Attitudinal and Perceptual Factors on Shared Mobility Choices and Their
 Value of Time Estimation. Transp. Sci. 54. https://doi.org/10.1287/trsc.2019.0933

- Liao, F., Correia, G., 2020. Electric carsharing and micromobility: A literature review on their
 usage pattern, demand, and potential impacts. Int. J. Sustain. Transp. 0, 1–30.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/15568318.2020.1861394
- Liberati, A., Altman, D.G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P.C., Ioannidis, J.P.A., Clarke,
 M., Devereaux, P.J., Kleijnen, J., Moher, D., 2009. The PRISMA statement for reporting
 systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions:
- 1077 explanation and elaboration, Journal of clinical epidemiology.
- 1078 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.006
- Lu, R., Correia, G.H. de A., Zhao, X., Liang, X., Lv, Y., 2021. Performance of one-way
 carsharing systems under combined strategy of pricing and relocations. Transp. B Transp.
 Dyn. 9, 134–152. https://doi.org/10.1080/21680566.2020.1819912
- Martínez, L.M., Correia, G.H. de A., Moura, F., Mendes Lopes, M., 2017. Insights into
 carsharing demand dynamics: Outputs of an agent-based model application to Lisbon,
 Portugal. Int. J. Sustain. Transp. 11, 148–159.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/15568318.2016.1226997
- Martins, L. do C., de la Torre, R., Corlu, C.G., Juan, A.A., Masmoudi, M.A., 2021. Optimizing
 ride-sharing operations in smart sustainable cities: Challenges and the need for agile
 algorithms. Comput. Ind. Eng. 153, 107080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.107080
- Matowicki, M., Pribyl, O., Pecherkova, P., 2021. Carsharing in the Czech Republic:
 Understanding why users chose this mode of travel for different purposes. Case Stud.
 Transp. Policy 9, 842–850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2021.04.003
- Mattia, G., Guglielmetti Mugion, R., Principato, L., 2019. Shared mobility as a driver for
 sustainable consumptions: The intention to re-use free-floating car sharing. J. Clean. Prod.
 237, 117404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.06.235
- Meijer, L.L.J., Schipper, F., Huijben, J.C.C.M., 2019. Align , adapt or amplify : Upscaling
 strategies for car sharing business models in Sydney , Australia 33, 215–230.
- Mourad, A., Puchinger, J., Chu, C., 2019. A survey of models and algorithms for optimizing
 shared mobility. Transp. Res. Part B Methodol. 123, 323–346.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2019.02.003
- Münzel, K., Boon, W., Frenken, K., Vaskelainen, T., 2018. Carsharing business models in
 Germany: characteristics, success and future prospects. Inf. Syst. E-bus. Manag. 16, 271–
 291. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-017-0355-x
- Münzel, K., Piscicelli, L., Boon, W., Frenken, K., 2019. Different business models different users? Uncovering the motives and characteristics of business-to-consumer and peer-to-peer carsharing adopters in The Netherlands. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 73, 276–306.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2019.07.001
- Mustak, M., Salminen, J., Plé, L., Wirtz, J., 2021. Artificial intelligence in marketing: Topic
 modeling, scientometric analysis, and research agenda. J. Bus. Res. 124, 389–404.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.10.044
- 1110 Namazu, M., Dowlatabadi, H., 2015. Characterizing the GHG emission impacts of carsharing: a

- 1111case of Vancouver. Environ. Res. Lett. 10, 124017. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-11129326/10/12/124017
- Naumov, S., Keith, D.R., Fine, C.H., 2020. Unintended Consequences of Automated Vehicles
 and Pooling for Urban Transportation Systems. Prod. Oper. Manag. 29, 1354–1371.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13166
- 1116 Nazari, F., Noruzoliaee, M., Mohammadian, A. (Kouros), 2018. Shared versus private mobility:
 1117 Modeling public interest in autonomous vehicles accounting for latent attitudes. Transp.
 1118 Res. Part C Emerg. Technol. 97, 456–477. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2018.11.005
- 1119 Novikova, O., 2017. The Sharing Economy and the Future of Personal Mobility: New Models
 1120 Based on Car Sharing. Technol. Innov. Manag. Rev. 7, 27–31.
 1121 https://doi.org/10.22215/timreview/1097
- Perboli, G., Ferrero, F., Musso, S., Vesco, A., 2018. Business models and tariff simulation in carsharing services. Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract. 115, 32–48.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2017.09.011
- Prieto, M., Baltas, G., Stan, V., 2017. Car sharing adoption intention in urban areas: What are the key sociodemographic drivers? Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract. 101, 218–227.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2017.05.012
- Ramos, É.M.S., Bergstad, C.J., Chicco, A., Diana, M., 2020. Mobility styles and car sharing use
 in Europe: attitudes, behaviours, motives and sustainability. Eur. Transp. Res. Rev. 12, 13.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12544-020-0402-4
- 1131 Ranjbari, M., Morales-Alonso, G., Carrasco-Gallego, R., 2018. Conceptualizing the Sharing
 1132 Economy through Presenting a Comprehensive Framework. Sustainability 10, 2336.
 1133 https://doi.org/10.3390/su10072336
- Ranjbari, M., Saidani, M., Shams Esfandabadi, Z., Peng, W., Lam, S.S., Aghbashlo, M.,
 Quatraro, F., Tabatabaei, M., 2021a. Two decades of research on waste management in the
 circular economy: Insights from bibliometric, text mining, and content analyses. J. Clean.
 Prod. 314, 128009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128009
- 1138 Ranjbari, M., Shams Esfandabadi, Z., Scagnelli, S.D., 2020. A big data approach to map the
 1139 service quality of short-stay accommodation sharing. Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag. 32,
 1140 2575–2592. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-02-2020-0097
- Ranjbari, M., Shams Esfandabadi, Z., Scagnelli, S.D., 2019. Sharing Economy Risks:
 Opportunities or Threats for Insurance Companies? A Case Study on the Iranian Insurance
 Industry, in: De Vincentiis, P., Culasso, F., Cerrato, S.A. (Eds.), The Future of Risk
 Management, Volume II. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 343–360.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-16526-0
- Ranjbari, M., Shams Esfandabadi, Z., Scagnelli, S.D., Siebers, P.-O., Quatraro, F., 2021b.
 Recovery agenda for sustainable development post COVID-19 at the country level:
 developing a fuzzy action priority surface. Environ. Dev. Sustain.
- 1149 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01372-6
- 1150 Ranjbari, M., Shams Esfandabadi, Z., Zanetti, M.C., Scagnelli, S.D., Siebers, P.-O., Aghbashlo,

M., Peng, W., Quatraro, F., Tabatabaei, M., 2021c. Three pillars of sustainability in the 1151 1152 wake of COVID-19: A systematic review and future research agenda for sustainable development. J. Clean. Prod. 297, 126660. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126660 1153 Ravina, M., Esfandabadi, Z.S., Panepinto, D., Zanetti, M., 2021. Traffic-induced atmospheric 1154 1155 pollution during the COVID-19 lockdown: Dispersion modeling based on traffic flow monitoring in Turin, Italy. J. Clean. Prod. 317, 128425. 1156 1157 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128425 Reck, D.J., Axhausen, K.W., 2020. How Much of Which Mode? Using Revealed Preference 1158 Data to Design Mobility As a Service Plans. Transp. Res. Rec. J. Transp. Res. Board 2674, 1159 1160 494–503. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198120923667 1161 Repoux, M., Kaspi, M., Boyacı, B., Geroliminis, N., 2019. Dynamic prediction-based relocation 1162 policies in one-way station-based carsharing systems with complete journey reservations. Transp. Res. Part B Methodol. 130, 82–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2019.10.004 1163 1164 Roblek, V., Meško, M., Podbregar, I., 2021. Impact of Car Sharing on Urban Sustainability. Sustainability 13, 905. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020905 1165 Rotaris, L., 2021. Carsharing Services in Italy: Trends and Innovations. Sustainability 13, 771. 1166 https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020771 1167 Santos, G., 2018. Sustainability and Shared Mobility Models. Sustainability 10, 3194. 1168 1169 https://doi.org/10.3390/su10093194 Santos, G.G.D., de Almeida Correia, G.H., 2019. Finding the relevance of staff-based vehicle 1170 relocations in one-way carsharing systems through the use of a simulation-based 1171 optimization tool. J. Intell. Transp. Syst. 23, 583-604. 1172 https://doi.org/10.1080/15472450.2019.1578108 1173 1174 Schaltegger, S., Lüdeke-Freund, F., Hansen, E.G., 2016. Business Models for Sustainability. Organ. Environ. 29, 264–289. https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026616633272 1175 Schwieterman, J.P., Bieszczat, A., 2017. The cost to carshare: A review of the changing prices 1176 1177 and taxation levels for carsharing in the United States 2011–2016. Transp. Policy 57, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2017.03.017 1178 1179 Shaheen, S., Sperling, D., Wagner, C., 1998. Carsharing in Europe and North America: Past, 1180 present, and future. Transp. Q. 52, 35-52. Shaheen, S.A., Cohen, A.P., 2007. Growth in Worldwide Carsharing. Transp. Res. Rec. J. 1181 Transp. Res. Board 1992, 81–89. https://doi.org/10.3141/1992-10 1182 Shams Esfandabadi, Z., Ravina, M., Diana, M., Zanetti, M.C., 2020. Conceptualizing 1183 environmental effects of carsharing services: A system thinking approach. Sci. Total 1184 Environ. 745, 141169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141169 1185 Shevchenko, T., Vavrek, R., Danko, Y., Gubanova, O., Chovancová, J., 2021. Clarifying a 1186 Circularity Phenomenon in a Circular Economy under the Notion of Potential. Probl. 1187 Ekorozwoju 16, 79–89. https://doi.org/10.35784/pe.2021.1.09 1188

- Singh, Y.J., 2020. Is smart mobility also gender-smart? J. Gend. Stud. 29, 832–846.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/09589236.2019.1650728
- Standing, C., Standing, S., Biermann, S., 2018. The implications of the sharing economy for
 transport. Transp. Rev. 0, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/01441647.2018.1450307
- Storme, T., De Vos, J., De Paepe, L., Witlox, F., 2020. Limitations to the car-substitution effect
 of MaaS. Findings from a Belgian pilot study. Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract. 131, 196–
 205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2019.09.032
- Struben, J., Sterman, J.D., 2008. Transition challenges for alternative fuel vehicle and transportation systems. Environ. Plan. B Plan. Des. 35, 1070–1097.
 https://doi.org/10.1068/b33022t
- Su, M., Peng, H., Li, S., 2021. A visualized bibliometric analysis of mapping research trends of
 machine learning in engineering (MLE). Expert Syst. Appl. 186, 115728.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115728
- Sun, K., Liu, F., Tan, V., 2018. The Study of Car Sharing Algorithm Based on the Time Value.
 Wirel. Pers. Commun. 102, 2519–2526. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11277-018-5273-9
- Tchorek, G., Brzozowski, M., Dziewanowska, K., Allen, A., Koziol, W., Kurtyka, M.,
 Targowski, F., 2020. Social capital and value co-creation: The case of a polish car sharing
 company. Sustain. 12. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12114713
- Truffer, B., 2003. User-led Innovation Processes: The Development of Professional Car Sharing
 by Environmentally Concerned Citizens. Innov. Eur. J. Soc. Sci. Res. 16, 139–154.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/13511610304517
- Turoń, K., Kubik, A., Chen, F., 2021. Electric Shared Mobility Services during the Pandemic:
 Modeling Aspects of Transportation. Energies 14, 2622.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/en14092622
- Ullah, I., Liu, K., Vanduy, T., 2019. Examining travelers' acceptance towards car sharing
 systems-Peshawar City, Pakistan. Sustain. 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11030808
- Usai, A., Pironti, M., Mital, M., Aouina Mejri, C., 2018. Knowledge discovery out of text data: a
 systematic review via text mining. J. Knowl. Manag. 22, 1471–1488.
 https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-11-2017-0517
- 1218 van Eck, N.J., Waltman, L., 2017. VOSviewer manual. Leiden: Universiteit Leiden.
- van Eck, N.J., Waltman, L., 2010. Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for
 bibliometric mapping. Scientometrics 84, 523–538. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-0090146-3
- Van Eck, N.J., Waltman, L., 2011. Text Mining and Visualization using VOSviewer. ISSI
 Newsl. 7, 50–54.
- Vaskelainen, T., Münzel, K., 2018. The Effect of Institutional Logics on Business Model
 Development in the Sharing Economy: The Case of German Carsharing Services. Acad.
 Manag. Discov. 4, 273–293. https://doi.org/10.5465/amd.2016.0149

- Vosooghi, R., Puchinger, J., Bischoff, J., Jankovic, M., Vouillon, A., 2020. Shared autonomous
 electric vehicle service performance: Assessing the impact of charging infrastructure.
 Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 81, 102283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102283
- Wadud, Z., Chintakayala, P.K., 2021. To own or not to own That is the question: The value of
 owning a (fully automated) vehicle. Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol. 123, 102978.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2021.102978
- Waltman, L., van Eck, N.J., Noyons, E.C.M., 2010. A unified approach to mapping and clustering of bibliometric networks. J. Informetr. 4, 629–635.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2010.07.002
- Weikl, S., Bogenberger, K., 2013. Relocation strategies and algorithms for free-floating car
 sharing systems. IEEE Intell. Transp. Syst. Mag. 5, 100–111.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/MITS.2013.2267810
- Weismayer, C., Pezenka, I., 2017. Identifying emerging research fields: a longitudinal latent
 semantic keyword analysis. Scientometrics 113, 1757–1785.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-017-2555-z
- Willing, C., Klemmer, K., Brandt, T., Neumann, D., 2017. Moving in time and space Location
 intelligence for carsharing decision support. Decis. Support Syst. 99, 75–85.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2017.05.005
- Yang, S., Wu, J., Sun, H., Qu, Y., Li, T., 2021. Double-balanced relocation optimization of oneway car-sharing system with real-time requests. Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol. 125,
 103071. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2021.103071
- Yun, J.J., Zhao, X., Wu, J., Yi, J.C., Park, K., Jung, W., 2020. Business Model, Open Innovation,
 and Sustainability in Car Sharing Industry—Comparing Three Economies. Sustainability
 1250 12, 1883. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12051883
- Zahedi, M., Shahin, M., Ali Babar, M., 2016. A systematic review of knowledge sharing
 challenges and practices in global software development. Int. J. Inf. Manage. 36, 995–1019.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2016.06.007
- Zhang, C., He, J., Liu, Z., Xing, L., Wang, Y., 2019. Travel demand and distance analysis for
 free-floating car sharing based on deep learning method. PLoS One 14, e0223973.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223973
- Zhang, R., Zhang, J., 2021. Long-term pathways to deep decarbonization of the transport sector
 in the post-COVID world. Transp. Policy 110, 28–36.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2021.05.018
- 1260 Zhang, Y., Lu, M., Shen, S., 2020. On the Values of Vehicle-to-Grid Electricity Selling in
 1261 Electric Vehicle Sharing. Manuf. Serv. Oper. Manag. 23, msom.2019.0855.
 1262 https://doi.org/10.1287/msom.2019.0855
- 1263 Zhao, D., Li, X., Cui, J., 2021. A simulation-based optimization model for infrastructure
 1264 planning for electric autonomous vehicle sharing. Comput. Civ. Infrastruct. Eng. 36, 858–
 1265 876. https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12506

Zhou, H., Wang, Y., Huscroft, J.R., Bai, K., 2021. Impacts of COVID-19 and anti-pandemic
policies on urban transport—an empirical study in China. Transp. Policy 110, 135–149.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2021.05.030