POLITECNICO DI TORINO
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Echocardiographic Normal Reference Ranges for Non-invasive Myocardial Work Parameters in Pediatric Age: Results From an International Multi-Center Study

Original
Echocardiographic Normal Reference Ranges for Non-invasive Myocardial Work Parameters in Pediatric Age: Results From an International Multi-Center Study / Sabatino, J., Leo, I., Strangio, A., Bella, S.L., Borrelli, N., Avesani, M., Josen, M., Paredes, J., Piccinelli, E., Sirico, D., Pergola, V., Fraisse, A., De Rosa, S., Indolfi, C., Di Salvo, G.. - In: FRONTIERS IN CARDIOVASCULAR MEDICINE. - ISSN 2297-055X. - 9:(2022), p. 792622. [10.3389/fcvm.2022.792622]

Availability:
This version is available at: 11583/2970590 since: 2022-08-10T15:45:32Z

Publisher:
Frontiers Media S.A.

Published
DOI:10.3389/fcvm.2022.792622

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

09 September 2026

frontiers | Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

ORIGINAL RESEARCH
published: 25 April 2022
doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.792622

Echocardiographic Normal Reference Ranges for Non-invasive Myocardial Work Parameters in Pediatric Age: Results From an International Multi-Center Study

*Jolanda Sabatino[1,2,3,4,5,6], Isabella Leo[3], Antonio Strangio[3], Sabrina La Bella[3], Nunzia Borrelli[5,6], Martina Avesani[1,5,6], Manjit Josen[5,6], Josefa Paredes[5,6], Enrico Piccinelli[5,6], Domenico Sirico[1,5,6], Valeria Pergola[7], Alain Fraisse[5,6], Salvatore De Rosa[3,4], Ciro Indolfi[3,4] and Giovanni Di Salvo[1,2,5,6]**

[1] Division of Paediatric Cardiology, Department of Women's and Children's Health, University Hospital Padua, Padua, Italy, [2] Pediatric Research Institute (IRP) "Città della Speranza", Padua, Italy, [3] Division of Cardiology, Department of Medical and Surgical Science, Magna Graecia University, Catanzaro, Italy, [4] Cardiovascular Research Center, Magna Graecia University, Catanzaro, Italy, [5] Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, United Kingdom, [6] National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom, [7] Department of Cardiac Thoracic Vascular Sciences and Public Health, University of Padua, Padua, Italy

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:
Piet Claus,
KU Leuven, Belgium

Reviewed by:
Maria Concetta Pastore,
Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy
Federico Gutierrez-Larraya,
University Hospital La Paz, Spain
Thomas Kriebel,
Westpfalz-Klinikum GmbH, Germany

***Correspondence:**
Giovanni Di Salvo
giovanni.disalvo@unipd.it

Specialty section:
This article was submitted to Pediatric Cardiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

Received: *10 November 2021*
Accepted: *17 March 2022*
Published: *25 April 2022*

Citation:
Sabatino J, Leo I, Strangio A, Bella SL, Borrelli N, Avesani M, Josen M, Paredes J, Piccinelli E, Sirico D, Pergola V, Fraisse A, De Rosa S, Indolfi C and Di Salvo G (2022) Echocardiographic Normal Reference Ranges for Non-invasive Myocardial Work Parameters in Pediatric Age: Results From an International Multi-Center Study. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 9:792622. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.792622

Aims: This international multi-center study aimed to demonstrate the feasibility and reliability of non-invasive myocardial work (MW) parameters in the pediatric population, and to provide normal reference ranges for this useful echocardiographic tool in this specific subset of patients.

Methods and Results: In this retrospective multi-center study involving three pediatric laboratories, 150 healthy children and adolescents (mean age of 10.6 ± 4.5, 91 males) were enrolled. A complete echocardiographic examination has been performed, including global longitudinal strain (GLS) assessment. The following parameters of non-invasive MW have been obtained through a dedicated software: global work index (GWI), global constructive work (GCW), global work waste (GWW), and global work efficiency (GWE), using left ventricular (LV) strain loops and non-invasive brachial artery cuff pressure values. The lowest expected values were for GWI 1,723 mmHg% in males and 1,682 mmHg% in females, for GCW 2,089 and 2,106 mmHg%, for GWE 95.9 and 95.5% whereas the highest expected value for GWW was 78 mmHg% in men and 90 mmHg% in women. The univariable and multivariable analysis showed significant associations between either GWI or GCW with SBP (β coefficient = 0.446, $p < 0.001$; β coefficient = 0.456, $p < 0.001$, respectively) and LV GLS (β coefficient = −0.268, $p = 0.001$; β coefficient = −0.233, $p = 0.003$, respectively). Inter- and intra-observer variability showed good reproducibility of non-invasive MW parameters.

Conclusion: Non-invasive MW parameters were feasible and reliable in the pediatric population. This study provided normal reference ranges of these useful echocardiographic indices.

Keywords: myocardial work indices, advanced echocardiography, speckle tracking analysis, systolic function, congenital heart disease

INTRODUCTION

The evaluation of left ventricular (LV) global and regional function is a crucial step of the pediatric echocardiographic examination, providing important diagnostic and prognostic information in several clinical contexts (1, 2).

Myocardial deformation analysis sheds new light on the study of myocardial mechanics in the last decade (3–5). The two-dimensional (2D) speckle-tracking echocardiography (STE) has been universally recognized as a reliable technique, when compared with traditional parameters of LV systolic function. Its capability to assess not only longitudinal, but also radial, circumferential, and torsional deformation, adds pivotal information on cardiac performance in the pediatric population (3–6).

On the other hand, the relative load dependency of LV strain makes myocardial deformation indices scarcely accurate in specific clinical settings (7).

Invasively measured myocardial work (MW) parameters have been employed to estimate ventricular contractility since the 1970s (8–10). MW reflects the myocardial stroke work and the consumption of oxygen; it has been historically calculated as the area of the invasively obtained LV pressure-volume loop, thus limiting its routine use in clinical practice.

More recently, Russell et al. (11) proposed a novel non-invasive method for calculating MW, on the basis of speckle-tracking analysis with the estimation of LV pressure from brachial artery cuff pressure.

In this regard, accounting for multiple hemodynamic factors, MW indices have been shown to provide a more accurate estimation of systolic function compared with the strain measurements alone (12).

The Normal Reference Ranges for Echocardiography (NORRE) sub-study demonstrated an optimal reproducibility, presenting reference ranges for non-invasive MW in adult patients. (13). However, no data on reference ranges of MW indices have been reported up to now in pediatric age.

Therefore, the present study aimed (i) to establish normal reference limits for MW parameters in healthy children and adolescents and (ii) to evaluate the impact of age, gender, and body surface area (BSA) on normal reference ranges.

METHODS

Study Population

In this retrospective multi-center study, 150 healthy children and adolescents were included. Those subjects were identified from those who underwent complete echocardiograms for clinical indications (heart murmur, atypical chest pain, syncope, and family history of congenital heart diseases) from databases of the following institutions: (1) Pediatric Echocardiographic Laboratory of the Magna Graecia University Hospital of Catanzaro (Italy); (2) Pediatric Echocardiographic Laboratory of the Royal Brompton Hospital of London (United Kingdom); (3) Pediatric Echocardiographic Laboratory of the Department of Women's and Children University Hospital of Padua (Italy). Retrospective use of clinical data for this study was approved by the local competent clinical director in charge.

Demographic data such as gender, age, weight, height, and BSA have been collected for each subject. Moreover, non-invasive blood pressure values have been measured for every individual at the time of echocardiographic examination.

Inclusion criteria were: age between 0.5 and 18 years and normal cardiac structure and function at cardiovascular examination. Children were selected among those referred to our ambulatory for different clinical indications (heart murmur, chest pain of unknown origin, or as a volunteer among relatives of patients with simple congenital heart disease). All the selected subjects have an electrocardiogram and a complete echocardiography examination excluding any cardiovascular abnormalities. Exclusion criteria were: poor acoustic window, poor compliance, marked sinus arrhythmia or frequent extra-systole, any cardiac and extracardiac abnormality, and the presence of any genetic syndrome or disease.

Echocardiographic Examination

Echocardiographic assessment has been performed using a GE E95 ultrasound system (GE Vivid E95; Horten, Norway). Both M5S-D and 6SD transducers (GE Healthcare) have been used, according to the age and body size of the patient. A complete echocardiographic examination has been performed as previously described (11–13). Moreover, 2D 4-chambers, 3-chambers, and 2-chambers apical views were acquired with a frame rate of 60–100 frames/s. The acquired images were subsequently transferred to an offline workstation (Echopac V.202, GE Healthcare) to perform strain analysis using a dedicated software. In order to calculate global and regional 2D STE myocardial longitudinal strain, the endocardial border was manually traced, and the region of interest (ROI) automatically created by the software was then adjusted. Once strain analysis curves were obtained, a dedicated function of the GE software was used for MW estimation. The non-invasive blood pressure systolic and diastolic values, obtained by a brachial-cuff aneroid sphygmomanometer, were plugged into the software. The time of open and closure of the aortic and mitral valve was identified by the operator on the basis of the 3-chamber recording, as requested for the synchronization of strain and pressure data. Thus, the global myocardial work index (GWI) has been calculated as previously described, along with derived parameters:

- Global myocardial constructive work (GCW): work performed by a segment during shortening in systole plus negative work during lengthening in Isovolumic Relaxation (IVR);
- Global myocardial wasted work (GWW): negative work performed by a segment during lengthening in systole plus work performed during shortening in IVR;
- Global myocardial work efficiency (GWE): constructive work divided by the sum of constructive and wasted work (0–100%).

Data Analysis and Statistics

Data distribution was assessed by visual inspection of frequency histograms. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to test for normality. Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation (*SD*) for normal distribution or as median (interquartile range) for non-normal distribution. Categorical data are expressed as percentages. Comparison between study

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the population.

Parameters	Total ($n = 150$)	Male ($n = 91$)	Female ($n = 59$)	p-Value
Age (years)	10.6 ± 4.5	11.3 ± 4.4	9.5 ± 4.5	0.014
Age range (years)	0.5–17.9	0.7–17.9	0.5–16.6	
Height (cm)	142.6 ± 26.9	148.26 ± 26	134 ± 26	0.002
Weight (kg)	41.5 ± 19	45.3 ± 19.7	35.5 ± 16.3	0.003
Body surface area (m^2)	1.27 ± 0.4	1.35 ± 0.4	1.13 ± 0.35	0.001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	112 ± 9.4	113 ± 9.7	110.3 ± 8.6	0.072
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	69 ± 7.6	70 ± 7.6	67 ± 7.3	0.013
Ejection fraction (%)	64 ± 4.9	64.6 ± 5.3	64.2 ± 4.3	0.65
GLS (%)	−21 ± 2.5	−20.9 ± 1.7	−21.1 ± 3.4	0.55
LVEDD	39 ± 7	41 ± 7.2	38 ± 6.4	0.02
LVEDD Zscore	−0.35 ± 1.03	−0.4 ± 0.94	−0.3 ± 1.16	0.55
LVESD	25.4 ± 4.9	26 ± 5	24.6 ± 4.8	0.08
LVESD Zscore	0.01 ± 1.07	−0.06 ± 0.93	0.07 ± 1.25	0.50

GLS, Global longitudinal strain; LVEDD, Left ventricular end diastolic diameter; LVESD, Left ventricular end systolic diameter.

TABLE 2 | Myocardial work non-invasive parameters.

Parameters	Total, mean ± *SD* or median (IQR)	Total, 95% *CI*	Male, mean ± *SD* or median (IQR)	Male, 95% *CI*	Female, mean ± SD or median (IQR)	Female, 95% *CI*	*p*-Value
GWI, mmHG%	1,760 ± 228	1,723–1,796	1,767 ± 212	1,723–1,811	1,748 ± 252	1,682–1,814	0.62
GCW, mmHG%	2,141 (306)	2,115–2,204	2,159 (338)	2,089–2,201	2,111 (294)	2,106–2,259	0.42
GWW, mmHG%	69 (46)	68–80	63 (39)	63–78	71 (48)	70–90	0.13
GWE, %	96 (2)	95.9–96.3	96 (2)	95.9–96.5	96 (2)	95.5–96.3	0.17

GWI, Global work index; GCW, global constructive work; GWW, global wasted work; GWE, global work efficiency.

groups was analyzed using the unpaired *t*-test or the Mann–Whitney U test for normally and non-normally distributed continuous variables, respectively.

Pearson's correlation was used to assess correlations between variables. Univariable and multivariable linear regression analyses were calculated to evaluate the association between MW indices and demographic or echocardiographic parameters. Inter and intra-rater consistency was assessed in a sample of patients ($n = 20$) using the Bland–Altman analysis (14) and the intraclass correlation coefficients.

For sample size calculation, we estimated the expected data distribution of GWI from previously published data involving adults and adolescents. We calculated a minimum sample size of 65 individuals to reach a power of 95%. However, the total number of subjects was increased to warrant a minimum number per age group.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS v.21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A two-tailed *p*-value of 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Baseline Demographic and Clinical Data

Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of the study population. A total of one hundred fifty children and adolescents were included with an overall mean age of 10.6 ± 4.5 (age range 0.5–17.9 years). Of those, 91 were males (mean age 11.3 ± 4.4, age range 0.7–17.9 years) and 59 were females (mean age 9.5 ± 4.5 years, age range 0.5–16.6 years). Seven patients (4.6%) were 6-to-12-month-old, 16 (10.6%) patients were 1-to-5-year-old, 33 (22%) were 6-to-9-year-old, 39 (26%) were 10-to-13-year-old, and 55 (36%) were 14-to-18-year-old. Significant differences in height ($p = 0.002$), weight ($p = 0.003$), BSA ($p = 0.001$), diastolic blood pressure ($p = 0.040$), and LV end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD) ($p = 0.020$) between male and female subjects were observed. There were no significant differences in LV ejection fraction (EF) and LV global longitudinal strain (GLS) between sexes.

Global Myocardial Work Parameters

Two-dimensional MW parameters acquired from the study population are shown in **Table 2** and in **Figure 1**. The lowest expected values were 1,723 mmHg% in males and 1,682 mmHg% in females for GWI, 2,089 mmHg% and 2,106 mmHg% for GCW, and 95.9 and 95.5% for GWE, respectively. The highest expected value for GWW was 78 mmHg% in men and 90 mmHg% in women. GWI, GCW, and GWE were slightly lower in females than in males, while the opposite occurred for GWW, with no statistical significance.

There were significant linear correlations between GWI and GCW with both systolic blood pressure (SBP) and GLS ($p < 0.001$ for all). No significant correlations were observed between



FIGURE 1 | Non-invasive myocardial work indices in the study population. Mean values of non-invasive myocardial work parameters according to gender and age categories.

GWE and GWW with SBP and between GWW and GLS (p = NS); there was a significant correlation between GWE and GLS ($p = 0.020$).

As to demographic variables, GCW, GWW, and GWE correlated significantly with age ($R = -0.267$, $p < 0.001$, $R = -0.203$, $p = 0.012$, and $R = 0.162$, $p = 0.047$, respectively); GCW and GWW with BSA ($R = -0.289$, $p = 0.001$, and $R = -0.178$, $p = 0.035$, respectively).

On both univariable and multivariable analyses, we observed significant associations between either GWI or GCW with SBP (β coefficient $= 0.446$, $p < 0.001$; β coefficient $= 0.456$, $p < 0.001$, respectively) and LV GLS (β coefficient $= -0.268$, $p = 0.001$; β coefficient $= -0.233$, $p = 0.003$, respectively).

No associations were found at multivariable analysis between any of the MW parameters and age, sex, or BSA.

Repeatability and Reproducibility

Intra-observer and inter-observer indices of variability for MW parameters are shown in **Table 3**. Intra-observer and inter-observer analyses demonstrated good repeatability and reproducibility of MW parameters (**Table 3**; **Figure 2**). The Bland-Altman plot showed a low bias with narrow limits of agreement and no evidence of relevant heteroscedasticity for all MW indices assessed (**Figure 2**). **Figure 3** reports a practical example of calculation of non-invasive Myocardial Work, along with summary normative results.

TABLE 3 | Intra- and inter-observer reproducibility of myocardial work parameters.

Parameters	Intraclass coefficients (ICCs)	95% confidence interval	*p*-Value
Inter-observer variability			
GWI	0.886	0.711–0.955	<0.001
GCW	0.761	0.413–0.904	0.001
GWW	0.839	0.588–0.936	<0.001
GWE	0.722	0.281–0.891	0.005
Intra-observer variability			
GWI	0.923	0.708–0.980	<0.001
GCW	0.803	0.280 –0.950	0.010
GWW	0.842	0.368–0.961	0.007
GWE	0.899	0.614–0.975	0.001

GWI, Global work index; GCW, global constructive work; GWW, global wasted work; GWE, global work efficiency.

DISCUSSION

This international multi-center retrospective study is the first to provide normal reference ranges for MW parameters in healthy children and adolescents. We were also able to demonstrate good reproducibility of this non-invasive method for assessing MW in a population of children and adolescents.



FIGURE 2 | Inter-observer reproducibility. The Bland Altman analysis was performed to assess in a sample of patients the inter-observer variability of the main indices of non-invasive myocardial work. Continuous line represents mean values for each parameter, and dotted lines represent bias and 95% limits of agreement.

Our result, moreover, confirms that MW parameters are not influenced by age, sex, or BSA in children, as previously demonstrated in healthy adults and adolescents (13, 15).

On the contrary, both GWI and GCW are influenced by SBP and LV GLS at univariable and multivariable analyses, in agreement with data reported in studies on normal adults and adolescents (13, 15).

This study, therefore, confirms the absence of a strong dependence of MW on age, gender, and BSA, by highlighting the association between GWI and GCW with SBP and LV GLS. Furthermore, SBP has been shown to increase with age (16), whereas LV GLS decreases slightly with age (17). This reverse trend between the two parameters, which are both input variables for determining MW indices, could explain, at least in part, the relative not-surprising independence of MW parameters from age. However, MW considers deformation along with afterload, providing additional information to strain in assessing cardiac performance.

Myocardial Work and Ventricular Function

It is well known that longitudinal deformation parameters are influenced by changes in loading conditions, which may limit their accuracy (7). For example, it has been previously demonstrated that an increase in afterload may lead to a systolic impairment in presence of preserved or even increased MW (7).

Myocardial work has been measured invasively and used as a marker of ventricular contractility for decades (8–10). Russell et al. have introduced a novel method for calculating non-invasive MW (11, 12), which takes into consideration speckle-tracking analysis and LV pressure estimated from brachial artery cuff pressure and good reproducibility (13).

Myocardial work parameters have been shown to be reliable predictors of response to cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (18). Chan et al. have demonstrated that MW indices are significantly increased in patients with hypertension compared to controls, regardless of normal GLS values (19).

Most recently, a previous study from our group demonstrated MW indices as being sensitive and early indicators of myocardial ischemia during transient acute coronary occlusion (20).

Myocardial Work Parameters in Pediatric Age

Our study sought to provide norms for future studies investigating the clinical utility of MW parameters in children and adolescents, especially in those with congenital or acquired cardiac disease.

Non-invasive MW parameters have already shown insightful diagnostic yield in two studies conducted on pediatric population. Children with Turner syndrome demonstrated increased MW compared to age-matched controls, as shown by Oberhoffer et al. (21). Results from this study may relate to



GWI and GCW per age group	Total, mean ± SD or median (IQR)	GWW and GWE Per age group	Total, median (IQR)
GWI, mmHG%		*GWW, mmHG%*	
0-1 y	1645 ± 58	*0-1 y*	81 (61-81.5)
1-5 y	1834 ± 284	*1-5 y*	80 (53-98)
6-9 y	1706 ± 219	*6-9 y*	74 (51-92)
10-13 y	1864 ± 189	*10-13 y*	61 (46-74)
14-18 y	1748 ± 258	*14-18 y*	66 (48-86)
GCW, mmHG%		*GWE, %*	
0-1 y	2474 (2237-2523)	*0-1 y*	95 (95-96.5)
1-5 y	2312 (2161-2664)	*1-5 y*	96 (96-97)
6-9 y	2062 (1986-2328)	*6-9 y*	96 (95-97)
10-13 y	2229 (2044-2338)	*10-13 y*	97 (96-97)
14-18 y	2005 (1886-2177)	*14-18 y*	96 (95-97)

FIGURE 3 | Representative schema of myocardial work calculation with reference cut-off values for age subgroups. LV global longitudinal strain measurement combined with the non-invasive blood pressure systolic and diastolic values, obtained by a brachial-cuff aneroid sphygmomanometer, is plugged into dedicated software. The time of opening and closure of the aortic and mitral valve is identified by the operator. Then, a trace showing the LV pressure-strain loop and a 17-segment bull's-eye of the MW index is calculated. At the bottom, the table resumes the normal reference ranges for each myocardial work parameter in the overall population and for each age group. GLS, global longitudinal strain; AVC, aortic valve closure; AVO, aortic valve opening; MVC, mitral valve closure; MWO, mitral valve opening; LV, left ventricular; MW, myocardial work.

the early development of arterial stiffening widely reported in such patients.

A recent study from our group evaluated the diagnostic performance of non-invasive MW indices in a cohort of children and adolescents with Kawasaki disease (KD) with and without coronary dilatation (12). It was thus demonstrated that non-invasive MW indices are reduced in children with KD, even in the absence of coronary aneurysm, and that they can detect subtle myocardial abnormalities better than GLS and LV EF.

The feasibility of non-invasive MW in our healthy pediatric population was optimal, as 2D imaging quality is usually greater in pediatrics than adults. Thus, we had to exclude no subject from this study because of poor image quality. On the other side, intra- and inter-observer variability for MW indices were relatively higher than the previous rates published in adults (22). This can be partially explained by the fact that we did not perform any specific acquisition for valvular timings, and this may be particularly relevant in pediatric patients in the presence of a relatively high heart rate. Moreover, measurement of non-invasive blood pressure in children could be challenging, especially in pre-school children or newborns.

Limitations

This is a retrospective study. Thus, our findings should be further validated in large prospective studies.

Measurement of non-invasive MW, in this study, is derived from speckle-tracking analysis, carrying with itself the same well-known limitations of the speckle-tracking techniques. Indeed, while acoustic windows are usually more echogenic in younger patients, poor image quality can be related to the lack of children's compliance, the relatively high heart rate, and lung artifacts.

Age distribution of patients may have influenced statistical analysis. In particular, since we did not set up a fixed number of individuals per age class to minimize selection biases, different age classes do not have the same size. For the same reason, though we do report data for all the different age classes, these should be considered as informative explorative data, as some age subgroups included a limited number of individuals and their relative normative data may not be sufficiently robust.

Our results do not apply to subjects from 0.0 to 0.5 years of age. In fact, these were not included in this study because they represent a different physiological background. In particular, relevant maturational changes in myocardial deformations compared to older children are to be expected. For this reason, the 0–0.5 years age groups merit a dedicated study to find normal values.

Finally, the non-invasive MW evaluation currently can only be performed by means of General Electric echocardiography machines and EchoPAC software. This can reduce its broad utilization; moreover, we might speculate vendor-specific differences in GMW-parameters when other manufacturers will upgrade their software with these functionalities. Would other vendors develop their MW indices? This would most probably need a dedicated normative study.

CONCLUSIONS

This study provides applicable 2D normative reference ranges for MW parameters. Interestingly, we found that MW parameters are not substantially influenced by age, gender, and BSA. Norms established by our study, thus, provide an operational framework for future studies searching on MW parameters for clinical applications in children and adolescents with congenital or acquired cardiac disease.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Magna Graecia University. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JS and GD: conceptualization. JS, IL, AS, MA, SB, NB, MJ, JP, EP, and DS: data collection and analysis. GD, VP, AF, SD, and CI: review. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Konstam MA, Abboud FM, Ejection fraction: misunderstood and overrated (changing the paradigm in categorizing heart failure). *Circulation*. (2017) 135:717–719 doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.025795
2. Franklin RCG, Wyse RKH, Graham TP, Gooch VM, Deanfield JE. Normal values for noninvasive estimation of left ventricular contractile state and afterload in children. *Am J Cardiol.* (1990) 65:505–10. doi: 10.1016/0002-9149(90)90819-M
3. Stanton T, Leano R, Marwick TH. Prediction of all-cause mortality from global longitudinal speckle strain: comparison with ejection fraction and wall motion scoring. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2009) 2:356–64. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.109.862334
4. Ersboll M, Valeur N, Mogensen UM, Andersen MJ, Moller JE, Velazquez EJ et al. Prediction of all-cause mortality and heart failure admissions from global left ventricular longitudinal strain in patients with acute myocardial infarction and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2013) 61:2365–73. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.02.061
5. Sutherland GR, Di Salvo G, Claus P. D'hooge J, Bijnens B. Strain and strain rate imaging: a new clinical approach to quantifying regional myocardial function. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* (2004) 0 17:788–802. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2004.03.027
6. Sabatino J, Di Salvo G, Krupickova S, Fraisse A, Prota C, Bucciarelli V, et al. Left Ventricular Twist Mechanics to Identify Left Ventricular Noncompaction in Childhood. *Circ Cardiovascular Imaging.* (2019) 12:e007805. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.118.007805

7. Murai D, Yamada S, Hayashi T, Okada K, Nishino H, Nakabachi M, et al. Relationships of left ventricular strain and strain rate to wall stress and their afterload dependency. *Heart Vessels.* (2016) 32:574–83. doi: 10.1007/s00380-016-0900-4
8. Sagawa K, Suga H, Shoukas AA, Bakalar KM. End-systolic pressure/volume ratio: a new index of ventricular contractility. *Am J Cardiol. (*1977) 40:748–53. doi: 10.1016/0002-9149(77)90192-8
9. Suga H. Total mechanical energy of a ventricle model and cardiac oxygen consumption. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* (1979) 236:H498–505. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.1979.236.3.H498
10. Miyazaki S, Goto Y, Guth BD, Miura T, Indolfi C, Ross J. Changes in regional myocardial function and external work in exercising dogs with ischemia. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. (1993) 264:H110–6. Hisano R & Cooper, G, 4th Correlation of force-length area with oxygen consumption in ferret papillary muscle. *Circ Res.* (1987) 61:318–28. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.1993.264.1.H110
11. Russell K, Eriksen M, Aaberge L, Wilhelmsen N, Skulstad H, Remme EW, et al. A novel clinical method for quantification of regional left ventricular pressure–strain loop area: a non-invasive index of myocardial work. *Eur Heart J.* (2012) 33:724–33. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs016
12. Sabatino J, Borrelli N, Fraisse A, Herberg J, Karagadova E, Avesani M, et al. Abnormal myocardial work in children with Kawasaki disease. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:7974. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-86933-5
13. Manganaro R, Marchetta S, Dulgheru R, Ilardi F, Sugimoto T, Robinet S, et al. Echocardiographic reference ranges for normal non-invasive myocardial work indices: results from the EACVI NORRE study. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2018) 20:582–90. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jey188
14. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet.* (1986) 1:307–10. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(86)90837-8
15. Tretter JT, Pradhan S, Truong VT, Mullikin A, Mazur W, Hill GD, Redington AN, Taylor MD. Non-invasive left ventricular myocardial work indices in healthy adolescents at rest. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2021) 37:2429–38. doi: 10.1007/s10554-021-02218-y
16. Kotchen JM, McKean HE, Kotchen TA. Blood pressure trends with aging. Hypertension. (1982) 4:III128–34. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.4.5_Pt_2.III128
17. Garg A, Manalo R, Gorla SR, Luzzo C, Hunter J, Swaminathan S, et al. Establishment of myocardial strain measurement data in pediatric patients without structural heart disease: a single center study. *Pediatr Cardiol.* (2020) 41:892–8. doi: 10.1007/s00246-020-02328-9
18. Galli E, Leclercq C, Hubert A, Bernard A, Smiseth OA, Mabo P, et al. Role of myocardial constructive work in the identification of responders to CRT. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2017) 19:1010–8. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jex191
19. Chan J, Edwards NFA, Khandheria BK, Shiino K, Sabapathy S, Anderson B, et al. A new approach to assess myocardial work by non-invasive left ventricular pressure–strain relations in hypertension and dilated cardiomyopathy. *European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging.* (2018) 20:31–9. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jey131
20. Sabatino J, De Rosa S, Leo I, Spaccarotella C, Mongiardo A, Polimeni A, et al. Non-invasive myocardial work is reduced during transient acute coronary occlusion. *Lionetti V, editor PLoS ONE.* (2020) 15:e0244397. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0244397
21. Oberhoffer FS, Abdul-Khaliq H, Jung A-M, Zemlin M, Rohrer TR, El Rahman MA. Assessment of left ventricular myocardial work in Turner syndrome patients: insights from the novel non-invasive pressure-strain loop analysis method. *Quant Imaging Med Surg.* (2020) 10:15–25. doi: 10.21037/qims.2019.09.19
22. Boe E, Russell K, Eek C, Eriksen M, Remme EW, Smiseth OA, et al. Non-invasive myocardial work index identifies acute coronary occlusion in patients with non-ST-segment elevation-acute coronary syndrome. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2015) 16:1247–55. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jev078

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Sabatino, Leo, Strangio, Bella, Borrelli, Avesani, Josen, Paredes, Piccinelli, Sirico, Pergola, Fraisse, De Rosa, Indolfi and Di Salvo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.