POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Analysis of Human and Organizational Factors Related Accident Reports Based on Natural Language Processing

Original

Analysis of Human and Organizational Factors Related Accident Reports Based on Natural Language Processing / Yang, S.; Demichela, M.; Geng, J.; Tao, H.. - In: CHEMICAL ENGINEERING TRANSACTIONS. - ISSN 2283-9216. -ELETTRONICO. - 90:(2022), pp. 745-750. [10.3303/CET2290125]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2968195 since: 2024-05-21T23:58:07Z

Publisher: Italian Association of Chemical Engineering - AIDIC

Published DOI:10.3303/CET2290125

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)



VOL. 90, 2022





DOI: 10.3303/CET2290125

Analysis of Human and Organizational Factors Related Accident Reports Based on Natural Language Processing

Shuo Yang^a*, Micaela Demichela^a, Jie Geng^b, Hongjie Tao^b

^a Department of Science Application and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy
^b Zhejiang University of Finance and Economics, Hangzhou, China shuo.yang@polito.it

Lacking data has always been a challenging problem for risk analysts on human and organizational factors (HOFs) since the theme comes to birth. Accident reports are an essential source of HOFs information, but they are often in the form of unstructured text, making it challenging to apply the number statistic method directly. The traditional manual coding of accident records could introduce uncertainties and inefficiencies, especially when a large number of records is available. Thanks to the development of the natural language processing (NLP) technique, some analysts have attempted to mine the text of accident reports (Single et al., 2020). A similar approach was adopted to highlight HOFs contributing to the accidents. The NLP and HOFs categories have then been introduced to obtain the critical structure of HOFs related accidents. Furthermore, the approach of text similarities calculation is applied to support the relationship analysis of performance influencing factors (PIF) based on the mining of data of the EU Major Accident Reporting System's (eMARS). In general terms, a framework is proposed to efficiently exploit the information contained in accident records to assess the HOFs elements better to be included in process risk assessment.

1. Introduction

HOFs are essential contributors and often the root cause of some accidents. Since the 1980s, nearly 50 Human Reliability Analysis (HRA) methods have been developed (Xing et al., 2021). Among them, Many HRA methods identified HOFs, such as Technique for Human Error Rate Prediction (THERP) (Swain & Guttmann, 1983), Human Cognitive Reliability Correlation (HCR) (Hannaman & Spurgin, 1984), Success Likelihood Index Methodology (SLIM) (Embrey et al., 1984), Cognitive Reliability and Error Analysis Method (CREAM) (Hollnagel, 1998), the Standardized Plant Analysis Risk Human Reliability Analysis (SPAR-H) (Gertman et al., 2005.), Human Error Assessment and Reduction Technique (HEART) (Williams, 1988), although using different descriptions, like Performance Influencing Factors (PIFs), Performance Shaping Factors (PSFs), Common Performance Conditions (CPCs), and so on. But the long-standing difficulty is a lack of data to validate those PIFs, PSFs, or CPCs. Learning from the occurred events may be a possible way. Machine learning methods have been already adopted to analyze accident databases (Comberti et al., 2015). Comberti et al., 2018 grouped and visualised data in a readable way. Baldissone et al.(2019) used accident data to develop an Accident Precursors Management System, and Comberti et al. (2015) proposed clustering methods. It was recognised that, although simulation technology can generate a large amount of data now, accident reports that record real accident scenarios are still essential sources. However, with unstructured texts in the accident reports, the required information is quite challenging to be obtained. Traditional manual coding of accident records could bring uncertainties and inefficiencies, especially when many records are available. NLP technique provides an attempt to mine the text of accident reports. Kanza Noor Syeda et al. (2011) applied stemming, lemmatization and Part of Speech (POS) tagging to exploit the railway incident reports. A custom tag-based pattern recognition technique extracted general risk information from eMARS (Single et al., 2020). In this work, the HOFs influences on the accidents regarding the eMARS database are highlighted. The research questions of this study are:

1) What is the core structure of a HOFs accident scenario?

- 2) How to build a model that can intelligently extract the core information of an accident scenario from accident reports?
- 3) How is this model performed when applying to the HOFs related accident reports?

2. "4W" information structure and the extract method

This research approach analyses the raw text of the HOFs related accidents using NLP techniques. First, the raw accidents text from eMARS is filtered with "cause of the accident". Only the HOFs related cases have been collected. Meanwhile, this research has not considered the malicious and no/too simple cause description cases. The basic statistic description of the database is shown in Table 1. Secondly, the "4W" information structure framework has been built to support the analysis, as shown in Figure 1. The core HOFs relevant information, including the working periods when the accident occurred, the equipment (location), the actor, and the HOFs, have been involved.



Table 1: Basic statistic description of the database

Figure 1: "4 W" information structure of accident scenario

Then, the keywords have been extracted using the SpaCy package (Honnibal & Montani, 2017) under the Python programming platform. For the pre-processing part, the raw texts are tokenized in spaCy's built-in pipelines become 'docs', Tokenization is the process of breaking text into sentences and words, e.g., the sentence "A leakage in a pipeline caused the release of chlorine." The 'doc' result, as Table 2 shows, then the tokens in 'doc' can be selected, transformed, and analyzed. For the keywords extraction part, the Named-entity Recognition (NER) process is employed, including fine-tuning pre-trained model, spaCy has many built-in models, a few of the top layers of a frozen model base, and jointly train both the newly-added classifier layers and the last layers of the base model. Further, those keywords supported the analysis.

Table 2: SpaCy Tokenized doc

TEXT	LEMMA	POS	TAG	DEP	SHAPE	ALPHA	STOP
А	а	DET	DT	det	Х	TRUE	TRUE
leakage	leakage	NOUN	NN	nsubj	XXXX	TRUE	FALSE
in	in	ADP	IN	prep	xx	TRUE	TRUE
а	а	DET	DT	det	х	TRUE	TRUE
pipeline	pipeline	NOUN	NN	pobj	XXXX	TRUE	FALSE
caused	cause	VERB	VBD	ROOT	XXXX	TRUE	FALSE
the	the	DET	DT	det	XXX	TRUE	TRUE
release	release	NOUN	NN	dobj	XXXX	TRUE	FALSE
of	of	ADP	IN	prep	xx	TRUE	TRUE
chlorine	chlorine	NOUN	NN	pobj	XXXX	TRUE	FALSE
	•	PUNCT		punct		FALSE	FALSE

746

2.1 "When" information structure and extract method

The working periods are divided into two categories "operational periods" and "maintenance periods", with maintenance periods including shutting downtime, cleaning/purging time, repair time, solder/welding time, and isolation time". To identify all the maintenance periods, like "during, before, and after" maintenance periods, the inclusive match patterns are built, using token patterns to be found in the accident description with rules as: {'LEMMA':{'IN':['maintenance', 'clean', 'repair', 'shut', 'weld', 'solder', 'hot']}},{'LOWER':{'IN':['routine', 'out']}, 'OP':'?'}

2.2 "Where" information structure and extract method

The equipment (location) terms are not limited to a certain word list, so it is not an excellent solution to use a rule-based method to extract the information. Using the prodigy package, this research employs the custom model to mix the rule-based and statistic model. First, use "pipe, tank, pump" as seeds to generate a worklist pattern, then use the "Cause of accident" text of the eMARs database to teach and correct the model. Finally, train the NER model combined with the pre-trained model in SpaCy, using training data of 514 entities and evaluation data of 236 entities (30% split). The precision, recall, and F score are employed to evaluate our NER model; the performance evaluation of the model shows good results, with the entities precision 93.00, recall 93.94, and f score 93.47.

2.3 "Who" information structure and extract method

The actors are divided into employed operators and contract operators, extracted directly from the dataset.

2.4 "Why" information structure and extract method

This section is the one that is related to the PIFs; in particular, this research selects the set of PIFs developed by Katrina M. Groth and Ali Mosleh (Groth & Mosleh,2012), the adapted PIFs are shown in Table 3.

Organization-based	Team-based	Person-based	Situation-based	Machine-		
-	•	•		based		
Training	Communication	Attention	External environment	HSI		
Corrective action	Direct supervision	Physical & psychological abilities	Task load			
Safety culture	Team coordination	Knowledge/experience	Time load			
Staffing	Team cohesion	Skills	Task complexity			
Scheduling	Role awareness	Bias	Stress			
procedures		Familiarity with situation	Perceived situation			
Workplace adequacy	/	Morale/motivation/attitude	Perceived decision			
procedures						
tools						
information						
Based on this set of	PIFs, extend the ma	anagement activities to outsourcin	g management, permit	managemer		

Table 3: Performance Influencing factors adapted

Based on this set of PIFs, extend the management activities to outsourcing management, permit management manage of change, process analysis, and risk analysis. A set of terms category is developed as shown in Table 4-8. These show the Tag of the PIFs to be used to recognize them in the accident description, as a single word(single pattern tag), double words(double pattern tag), and triple words(triple pattern tag). They were defined for the different categories of PIFs.

Single Pattern Tag		Double Pattern Tag			Triple Pattern Tag					
text	tag	text		Tag		text		tag		
training	TRA	corrective	action	COR	ACT	manage of	change	MANAG	OF	CHAN
culture	CUL	workplace	adequacy	WOP	ADEQ					
staffing	STA	outsourcing	management	OUT	MANAG					
scheduling	SCHE	permit	management	PERM	MANAG					
procedures	PROD	process	analysis	PROS	ANS					
tools	TOOL	risk	analysis	RIS	ANS					
information	INFO									
design	DESN									

Table 5: Team-based factors terms

Single Pattern	Double Pattern Tag					
text	tag	text		tag		
communication	COM	role	awareness	ROL	AWAR	
coordination	CO0					
cohesion	COH					
supervision	SUP					

Single Pattern Tag		Double Pattern Tag					
text	tag	text		tag			
attention	ATTE	sensory	limits	SENS LIM			
alertness	ALE						
fatigue	FATI						
impairment	IMPA						
knowledge	KNOW	1					
experience	EXPE						
skills	SKIL						
bias	BIA						
morale	MOR						
motivation	MOTI						
attitude	ATTI						

Table 7: Situation/Stress-based factors terms

Single Pattern	Tag Double Pattern	Tag

					familiarity	FAM				
tag	text		tag							
environmentENV		events	CON	EVE	Table 8: Machine based factors terms					
STRE	task	load	TS	LOD					_	
	time	load	ΤI	LOD	Triple Pattern Tag					
	task	complexity	TS	COMP	text		tag			
	perceived	situation	PFRC	SITU	human ma	chine	interfaceH	М	I	
	perceived	decision	PERC	DECI	human sys	stem	interfaceH	S	Ι	
	tag tENV STRE	tag text tENV condition STRE task time task perceived perceived	tag text tENV condition events STRE task load time load task complexity perceived situation perceived decision	tag text tag tENV condition events CON STRE task load TS time load TI task complexity TS perceived situation PERC perceived decision PERC	tagtexttagtENVconditioneventsCONEVESTREtaskloadTSLODtimeloadTILODtaskcomplexityTSCOMPperceivedsituationPERCSITUperceiveddecisionPERCDECI	tag text tag tENV condition events CON EVE STRE task load TS LOD time load TI LOD task complexity TS COMP perceived situation PERC SITU perceived decision PERC DECI	tag text tag tENV condition events CON EVE STRE task load TS LOD time load TI LOD task complexity TS COMP perceived situation PERC SITU perceived decision PERC DECI	tag text tag tag text tag tENV condition events CON EVE STRE task load TS LOD time load TI LOD Triple Pattern Tag task complexity TS COMP text tag perceived situation PERC SITU human machine interfaceH human system interfaceH human system interfaceH	tag text tag tENV condition events CON EVE STRE task load TS LOD time load TI LOD Triple Pattern Tag task complexity TS COMP perceived situation PERC SITU human machine interfaceH M human system interfaceH S S	tag text tag tag text tag tENV condition events CON EVE STRE task load TS LOD time load TI LOD Triple Pattern Tag task complexity TS COMP perceived situation PERC SITU human machine interfaceH M human system interfaceH S I

3. Result

The application of the proposed method leads to an automatically populated core accident database information. In the following, the extracted keywords about "4W" are analyzed.

3.1 Extracted "When" information

Nearly three times HOFs related cases occurred during maintenance compared to other cases. About 20% of the HOFs case happened during the maintenance periods, while only 7% of other cases happened during the maintenance periods, as shown in Figure 3. This result validates human errors often occur during maintenance operations; moreover, many accidents happen because of inadequate procedures and instructions about the maintenance work. It is reasonable that the decision-maker should pay more attention to the maintenance working periods when enforcing risk-reducing policies and allocating safety resources.



Figure 3: The accident involved working periods

3.2 Extracted "Where" information

Single et al. (2020) conducted a rule-based NLP match analysis of the main categories of the eMARS database: from 889 cases, 77 locations were identified, thus only for the 8.7% of cases. 1128 cases are analyzed in this research; 1728 pieces of equipment are identified since, in some cases, more than one piece of equipment was involved in the accident. In Figure 4, the results of identified equipment(locations) frequencies are compared.

748

Table 6: Person-based factors terms



Figure 4: Identified equipment(locations) frequencies comparison

More than half of the HOFs cases happened around a reactor, compared to other cases. More than one-third of the HOFs cases happened around a valve compared to other cases. Followed by tank and pipe locations, as shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Identified equipment(locations) frequencies

3.3 Extracted "Who" information

44 cases involved contract operators. In 37 cases, contract operators carried out maintenance work, including hot work, cleaning, repair, and replacement work. In 5 cases they carried out transport services.

3.4 Extracted "Why" information

About the PIFs information part, after extracting the HOFs information, 48 cases have three factors identified, 91 cases have two factors identified, 146 cases have one factor identified, and 167 cases have no factors identified. More than 2/3 of the identified factors are organizational factors. The distribution of each PIFs frequency on the total amount of accident-related HOFs is shown in Figure 6. The frequency weight of organizational-based factors of "procedures" is 24%, "maintenance" is 22%, "design" is 18%, and "training" is 10%.



Figure 6: Identified HOFs distribution

4. Discussion and Conclusions

A new framework has been proposed for analyzing the information of HOFs related accident reports. The main challenge in analyzing the HOFs related accident scenarios is automatically extracting the core information. The NLP method has been used in this study. The NER model has been trained to identify different equipment (locations) involved. This process needs human effort to do annotations work. Another challenge of this framework is that some HOFs relevant cases are not described using the keywords scheme we employed. It will be hard to extract that part of the information. Therefore, this framework is more suitable to analyze the organizational factors. Future work can focus on the automatic approach to extract operator-based factors information. Future studies will be devoted to the analysis of specific accident domains.

Acknowledgements

The work is supported by China Scholarship Council.

References

- Baldissone, G., Comberti, L., Bosca, S., Murè, S.; The analysis and management of unsafe acts and unsafe conditions. Data collection and analysis. (2019) Safety Science, 119, pp. 240-251.
- Comberti, L., Demichela, M., Baldissone, G.; A combined approach for the analysis of large occupational accident databases to support accident-prevention decision making (2018) Safety Science, 106, pp. 191-202.
- Comberti, L., Baldissone, G., Demichela, M.; Workplace accidents analysis with a coupled clustering methods: S.O.M. and K-means algorithms (2015) Chemical Engineering Transactions, 43, pp. 1261-1266.
- Comberti, L., Baldissone, G., Bosca, S., Demichela, M., Murè, S., Petruni, A., Djapan, M., Cencetti, S.; Comparison of two methodologies for occupational accidents pre-cursors data collection (2015) Safety and Reliability of Complex Engineered Systems - Proceedings of the 25th European Safety and Reliability Conference, ESREL 2015, pp. 3237-3244.
- EMARS (Major Accident Reporting System), Major Accident Hazards Bureau, European Commission, https://emars.jrc.ec.europa.eu/?id=4, accessed 14.10.2021.
- Hannaman, G. W., & Spurgin, A. J. (1984). Systematic Human Action Reliability Procedure (SHARP) Interim report (p. 141).
- Hollnagel, E. (1998). Cognitive reliability and error analysis method: CREAM (1st ed). Elsevier.
- Honnibal, M., & Montani, I. (2017). spaCy 2: Natural language understanding with Bloom embeddings, convolutional neural networks and incremental parsing.
- Jing Xing, James Chang, Jonathan DeJesus. (2021). NUREG-2198, "The General Methodology of an Integrated Human Event Analysis System (IDHEAS-G)"
- Single, J. I., Schmidt, J., Denecke, J. (2020). Knowledge acquisition from chemical accident databases using an ontology-based method and natural language processing. Safety Science, 129, 104747.
- Syeda, K.N., Shirazi, S.N., Naqvi, S., & Parkinson, H.J. (2017). Exploiting Natural Language Processing for Analysing Railway Incident Reports.
- Katrina M. Groth, Ali Mosleh, A data-informed PIF hierarchy for model-based Human Reliability Analysis, Reliability Engineering & System Safety, Volume 108, 2012, Pages 154-174, ISSN 0951-8320, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2012.08.006.
- Williams, J. C. (1988). A data-based method for assessing and reducing human error to improve operational performance. Conference Record for 1988 IEEE Fourth Conference on Human Factors and Power Plants, 436–450. https://doi.org/10.1109/HFPP.1988.27540
- Swain, A. D., & Guttmann, H. E. (1983). Handbook of human-reliability analysis with emphasis on nuclear power plant applications. Final report (NUREG/CR-1278, SAND-80-0200, 5752058; p. NUREG/CR-1278, SAND-80-0200, 5752058). https://doi.org/10.2172/5752058

750