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#### Abstract

We investigate a relativistic positioning system where the coordinates of the users are determined by the proper times broadcasted by clocks in motion in spacetime: these are the so-called emission coordinates. In particular, we focus on emission coordinates in flat spacetime: in this case, we show that these coordinates can be defined using an approach based on simple geometrical properties of geodesic triangles. We analyze the 2 -dimensional case and then we show how the whole procedure can be applied to 4 dimensions, also in terms of ordinary three-dimensional spheres. An analytic solution for the coordinates of the receiver is obtained. The solution remains valid at almost any place, in particular when redundancy in the number of emitters can be exploited.
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## 1 Introduction

Current positioning systems, such as GPS and Galileo are essentially conceived as Newtonian, hence based on a classical (i.e. Euclidean) space and absolute time, over which relativistic corrections are added, in order to take into account

[^0]the effects arising from both the Special and General Theory of Relativity (see Ashby (2003, 2004) and references therein). These systems involve the Earth and a constellation of satellites: they start from a terrestrial, non relativistic coordinate system and use the satellites as moving beacons to indicate to the users their position. Therefore, the signals emitted by the satellites cannot be used to define primary spacetime coordinates, since they have not enough information to relate them to any other coordinate system. Furthermore, the clocks on the emitters are continuously corrected to be synchronized with clocks on ground: thus, the broadcasted signal does not contain the proper time, but a modified time.

There is another approach to the problem of global positioning, which brings in a shift from the Newtonian viewpoint to a true relativistic framework; the basic ideas of this approach were described in seminal papers written by different authors, such as Bahder (2001); Coll (2001); Rovelli (2002); Blagojevic et al. (2002); Coll (2006, 2013), which shared a new and operational definition of spacetime coordinates. The starting assumption in the construction of such a new system of coordinates is that an ideal electromagnetic signal propagates along a null geodesic, and the main idea can be summarized as follows. Let us consider 4 clocks, moving along arbitrary world-lines in spacetime, and broadcasting their proper times, by means of electromagnetic signals; then, any observer, at a given spacetime point $P$ along his own world-line, receives 4 numbers, carried by the 4 signals emitted by the clocks. These 4 numbers, say $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}, \tau_{4}\right)$, are nothing but the proper times of the emitting clocks and constitute the coordinates of that spacetime point $P$ with respect to the system of emitters; they are usually referred to as emission coordinates. In other words, the past light cone of a spacetime point $P$ cuts the world-lines of the clocks at 4 points: the proper times measured along these world-lines are the coordinates of $P$. In practice, the clocks may either be supposed to be carried by satellites orbiting the Earth or at rest on the surface of our planet (in any case, in what follows, they are referred to as emitters), and the observers are the users on the Earth, in the first case, or on board of other satellites, in the second.

Such a system of coordinates can be considered as primary with respect to the spacetime structure if the world-lines of the emitters are known; the idea of a primary coordinate system was introduced by Coll (2001). This is accomplished forcing for instance the emitters to follow prescribed spacetime trajectories, which may be geodesics, if they are in free fall, or not. For all practical purposes and whenever the receiver wants to know its position with respect to other objects, the emission coordinates have to be converted into some other coordinate system encompassing the whole spacetime portion where measurements, exchange of signals and information and so on are taking place.

Of course we know that, under a few simple conditions of differentiability
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and absence of singularities, coordinates transformations are always possible in relativity: the real world does not depend on the coordinates you use, but your understanding of what is going on may be facilitated by an appropriate choice. The emission coordinates are therefore turned on a new and more practical reference frame. What is however immediately clear in the case we are discussing is that in order to have a clear and univocal conversion you must know: a) where is the origin of the proper time for each emitter along its worldline; b) what are the space coordinates of the origins in the new reference frame; c) what are the equations of the worldines of each emitter in the practical reference frame. The implementation of conditions a) and b) may be simpler if the emitters are at rest with respect to one another and their clocks synchronous in the new reference frame. Of course this cannot be the case when the emitters are different satellites orbiting a central mass.

A 2-dimensional approach to the new paradigm of relativistic positioning based on emission coordinates was described by Coll et al. (2006a., b); Ruggiero and Tartaglia (2008), and its geometric nature was investigated by Coll and Pozo (2006): in this case two emitters are needed to define the emission coordinates of each event in spacetime. An explicit transformation between emission coordinates and inertial coordinates in flat spacetime was obtained by Coll et al. (2009); Coll et al. (2010). Emission coordinates in a small region around the world-line of an observer in Schwarzschild spacetime were defined using Fermi coordinates by Bini et al. (2008), while the role of the gravitational perturbations in actual positioning systems around the Earth was considered by Delva et al. (2011); Gomboc et al. (2013); Puchades and Sáez (2014); Puchades et al. (2021). A discrete relativistic positioning system was described by Carloni et al. (2020). In addition, relativistic positioning systems were studied for space navigation, using signals from pulsars (see e.g. Ruggiero et al. (2011); Tartaglia et al. (2011a. b); Bunandar et al. (2011)).

In this paper, we focus on emission coordinates systems in flat spacetime, and show how they can be defined using an approach based on simple geometrical properties of geodesic triangles. We analyze the 2-dimensional case, that allows a simple and explicit analytic solution, and then we show how the whole procedure can be applied also to the 4-dimensional spacetime.

## 2 Geodesic Triangles in 2-dimensional spacetime and emission coordinates

It is well known that trigonometric relations can be obtained in Minkowski spacetime (see e.g. Pascual-Sánchez et al. (2004); Boccaletti et al. (2007)). For instance, let us consider a geodesic triangle in flat spacetime, i.e. a triangle whose sides are straight lines (see figure 1, Left). Let the vertices be the events



Fig. 1. Left: the geodesic triangle $O P E$. Right: an emitter is at rest in the reference system, where Cartesian coordinates $t, x$ are used; its world-line is $\boldsymbol{x}(\tau)=\tau \boldsymbol{W}$, where $\boldsymbol{W} \equiv(1,0)$ and $\tau$ is the proper time; the coordinates of point $P$ are such that $\overline{O P}=\boldsymbol{X} \equiv(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$, and its past light cone intersects the emitter's world-line at $E$.
or spacetime points $O P E, 1$ Then, the following relation among the sides of the triangle holds (see Synge (1960)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\overline{P E}|^{2}=|\overline{O P}|^{2}+|\overline{O E}|^{2}-2 \overline{O P} \cdot \overline{O E}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be thought of as a generalization of the law of cosines. We point out that in Eq. (1) "| $\left.\right|^{2 "}$ refers to the squared spacetime interval between the two events, and that "." means scalar product with respect to spacetime metric. Moreover, we notice that Eq. (11) applies whatever the nature of the segment of the triangle is (i.e. space-like, time-like, and null). Eventually, we notice that Eq. (11) can be obtained also in curved spacetime for geodesic triangles, and correction terms appear (see, again, Synge (1960)).

Now, let us show how relation (1) can be used to introduce emission coordinates and, to this end, we consider an emitter, moving with constant speed in flat 2-dimensional spacetime. Without loss of generality, we may choose a reference frame such that, in this frame, the emitter's world-line is $\boldsymbol{x}(\tau)=\tau \boldsymbol{W}$, where $\boldsymbol{W}$ is the unit vector $\boldsymbol{W} \equiv(1,0)$ and $\tau$ is the emitter's proper time. In other words, this emitter is at rest in the given reference frame. We consider an event $P$, whose coordinates are $\boldsymbol{X} \equiv(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$ : we want to calculate the proper time $\tau$ at the intersection with the past light cone from $P$. To this end, we

[^1]apply the relation (1) to the triangle $O P E$, where
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{O P}=\boldsymbol{X} \equiv(\bar{t}, \bar{x}), \quad \overline{O E}=\tau \boldsymbol{W} \equiv \tau(1,0) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Then, since the remaining side is null, $|\overline{P E}|^{2}=0$ (see also figure 1, Right) and Eq. (1) reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=|\boldsymbol{X}|^{2}-\tau^{2}-2 \tau \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{W} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we obtain 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=-\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}-\sqrt{(\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{W})^{2}+|\boldsymbol{X}|^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (44) was obtained in previous papers (e.g. Rovelli (2002) and Blagojevic et al. (2002)) and it is manifestly Lorentz-covariant: as a consequence, it is true in any Lorentz reference frame.

This relation can be used to define a map between emission and Cartesian coordinates. To begin with, we refer again to a 2-dimensional spacetime model: in this case, two emitters are necessary, so we may write their world-lines (see figure 2, Left) in Minkowski plane in the general form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{A}^{\alpha}\left(\tau_{A}\right)=W_{A}^{\alpha} \tau_{A}+x_{0 A}^{\alpha}, \quad A=1,2 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written in vector form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}_{A}\left(\tau_{A}\right)=\tau_{A} \boldsymbol{W}_{A}+\boldsymbol{x}_{0 A} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of simplicity, we initially consider one of the emitters at rest in the given reference frame, and assume that the world-lines are such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{W}_{1} \equiv(1,0),  \tag{7}\\
& \boldsymbol{W}_{2} \equiv \gamma_{2}\left(1, v_{2}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t_{01}=0 & x_{01}=0 \\
t_{02}=0 & x_{02} \neq 0 \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

[^2]


Fig. 2. Left: An emitter is at rest, so that its world-line is parallel to the unit vector $\boldsymbol{W}_{1}$, while another emitter is moving and its world-line is parallel to the unit vector $\boldsymbol{W}_{2}$. The Cartesian coordinates of the event $P$ can be expressed in terms of the proper times along the world-lines of the emitters. Right: Considering the world-lines of the two emitters, and the point $P$, we can build the two geodesic triangles $O_{1} E_{1} P$ and $O_{2} E_{2} P$, where $E_{1}, E_{2}$ are the intersections of the past light cone of $P$ with the two world-lines.

In other words, the first emitter is at rest in the given reference frame, while the other one is moving with constant linear velocity $v_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v_{2}^{2}}}\right.$ is the corresponding Lorentz factor); $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ are the proper times along the two worldlines; the parametrization is such that the position of the second emitter at $\tau_{2}=0$ is $x_{02}$. We consider an event $P$ whose coordinates are $(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$. According to the approach described above, it is possible to define the proper times $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$, as measured along the two world-lines, at the intersections with the past light cone from $P$. This can be done by exploiting eq. (4), and considering the geodesic triangles $O_{1} E_{1} P$ and $O_{2} E_{2} P$ (see figure 2, Right), where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{O_{1} P}=\boldsymbol{X}_{1} \equiv(\bar{t}, \bar{x}), \quad \overline{O_{1} E_{1}}=\tau_{1} \boldsymbol{W}_{1} \equiv \tau_{1}(1,0),  \tag{11}\\
\overline{O_{2} P}=\boldsymbol{X}_{2} \equiv\left(\bar{t}, \bar{x}-x_{02}\right), \quad \overline{O_{2} E_{2}}=\tau_{2} \boldsymbol{W}_{2} \equiv \tau_{2} \gamma_{2}\left(1, v_{2}\right), \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the remaining sides $\overline{P E_{1}}$ and $\overline{P E_{2}}$ are null. On applying eq. (4) to these triangles, we obtain the system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tau_{1}=-\boldsymbol{X}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{1}-\sqrt{\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left|\boldsymbol{X}_{1}\right|^{2}}  \tag{13}\\
\tau_{2}=-\boldsymbol{X}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{2}-\sqrt{\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{2}\right)^{2}+\left|\boldsymbol{X}_{2}\right|^{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which may be solved for $(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$ as functions of $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ thanks to Eqs. (7)-(8) and (11)- (12):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{t}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+v_{2}}{1-v_{2}}} \tau_{2}+x_{02}+\tau_{1}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& \bar{x}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+v_{2}}{1-v_{2}}} \tau_{2}+x_{02}-\tau_{1}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

These relations define the Cartesian coordinates $(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$ of the point P in terms of the emission coordinates $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ and of the known emitter parameters.
The above procedure enables to define a map between Cartesian and emission coordinates. It is important to notice that emission coordinates can be always obtained by the intersection of the past light-cone with the emitter worldlines; however, there are configurations where the map between Cartesian and emission coordinates is not bijective, for instance when one emitter is on the light-cone of the other(see e.g. Coll (2006); Coll et al. (2006a)): in fact, in the 2-dimensional case, a solution is possible only when the emitters do not lie on the same light cone, and in this case the receiver (point P ) is between the emitters. The system (13) is undetermined when the emitters are on the same light cone, as the signals travel in the same direction.

Actually, emission coordinates can be used to write the spacetime metric interval: as showed by Coll et al. (2006a), thanks to the map defined by Eqs. (14)-(15), the metric of Minkowski spacetime in terms of emission coordinates is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-\sqrt{\frac{1+v_{2}}{1-v_{2}}} d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, introducing the shift $\sigma_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{2} \doteq \sqrt{\frac{1+v_{2}}{1-v_{2}}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an emitter moving with constant linear velocity $v_{2}$, can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-\sigma_{2} d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that if we had considered also the first emitter in motion with linear velocity $v_{1}$ and initial position $x_{01}$ (see Figure 3) we would have obtained the following results:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{t}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-v_{1}}{1+v_{1}}} \tau_{1}+\sqrt{\frac{1+v_{2}}{1-v_{2}}} \tau_{2}+x_{02}-x_{01}\right),  \tag{19}\\
& \bar{x}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{1-v_{1}}{1+v_{1}}} \tau_{1}+\sqrt{\frac{1+v_{2}}{1-v_{2}}} \tau_{2}+x_{02}+x_{01}\right) . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 3. The two emitters are in motion with linear velocities $v_{1}, v_{2}$, both positive in this sketch. In the situation considered, the spatial location of the first emitter precedes that of the second one.
Notice that the above expressions are not symmetric with respect to the two emitters: the signal from $E_{1}$ travels towards positive x and the signal from $E_{2}$ in the opposite direction.

The metric of Minkowski spacetime in this case, turns out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{1}} d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the metric function is constant and it equals the relative shift between the two emitters. Indeed, these results are in agreement with those obtained in Coll et al. (2006a).

We end this Section by reformulating the system of equations (13) using a different notation. The emitter world-lines are expressed in the general form (6), where we notice that $\boldsymbol{x}_{0 A}$ is the event along the world-line corresponding to zero proper time. So, if we consider two arbitrary geodesic emitters and denote by $\boldsymbol{X} \equiv(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$ the position of the receiver, we obtain the following equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}-2 \boldsymbol{X} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{01}+\tau_{1} \boldsymbol{W}_{1}\right)-\tau_{1}^{2}+\boldsymbol{x}_{01} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{01}+2 \tau_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{01} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{1}=0  \tag{22}\\
\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}-2 \boldsymbol{X} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{02}+\tau_{2} \boldsymbol{W}_{2}\right)-\tau_{2}^{2}+\boldsymbol{x}_{02} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{02}+2 \tau_{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{02} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

According to the geodesic equation (6), it is $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}=\tau_{i} \boldsymbol{W}_{i}+\boldsymbol{x}_{0 i}$, and we define $p_{i}=-\tau_{i}^{2}+\boldsymbol{x}_{0 i} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{0 i}+2 \tau_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{0 i} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{i}$, where $i=1,2$. Then, the above system becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}-2 \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{1}+p_{1}=0  \tag{23}\\
\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}-2 \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{2}+p_{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We notice that both the vectors $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ and the scalars $p_{i}$ contain only known information, that is the parameters of the world-lines and the proper times measured along them. As we are going to show in next Section for the 4dimensional case, from the system in the form (23) it is possible to obtain an analytical solution.

## 3 The 4-dimensional case

Let us consider the general 4-dimensional case: first, we want to show how emission coordinates can be obtained from emitters moving with constant linear velocities in the 4 -dimensional Minkowski spacetime, exploiting the properties of geodesic triangles. Then, we will show how we can define a map between emission coordinates and Cartesian coordinates.

Indeed, the situation depicted in figure (2) can be generalized to the 4-dimensional spacetime. Let us consider the spacetime point $P$, having coordinates $(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, so that $P=P(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, and the corresponding 4 -vector is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{O P}=\boldsymbol{X} \equiv(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The emitters world-lines are geodesics of flat spacetime, i.e. straight lines, that can be written in the form (6) with normalized 4 -velocities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{W}_{A}=\gamma_{A}\left(1, v_{A} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{n}}_{A}\right), \quad A=1,2,3,4, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

parameterized by the linear velocity $v_{A}$, with the corresponding Lorentz factor $\gamma_{A}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v_{A}^{2}}}$, and where $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{n}}_{A}$ denotes a three-dimensional unit vector representing the spatial direction of motion. Let us also define the vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{O O_{A}}=\boldsymbol{x}_{0 A} \equiv\left(t_{0 A}, x_{0 A}, y_{0 A}, z_{0 A}\right), \quad A=1,2,3,4 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which determine the positions at $\tau_{A}=0$ of the 4 emitters, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{O_{A} P}=\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{x}_{O A} \equiv\left(\bar{t}-t_{0 A}, \bar{x}-x_{0 A}, \bar{y}-y_{0 A}, \bar{z}-z_{0 A}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which define the position of the event $\boldsymbol{X}$ with respect to the emitters position at $\tau_{A}=0$. We suppose to know the emitters world-lines, which means that both vectors $\boldsymbol{W}_{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_{0 A}$ are known.

To visualise the situation we consider a 3-dimensional spacetime, such as in Figure 4 and, for the sake of simplicity, we suppose that $t_{0 A}=0$ : in other words, the emitters proper times are zero when $t=0$. Then, the vectors $\boldsymbol{X}_{0 A}$ describe the emitters' position at $t=0$ (see figure 4) and the geodesics triangles $O_{A} E_{A} P$ are naturally defined. The application to these triangles of our basic relation (1), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\overline{P E_{A}}\right|^{2}=\left|\overline{O_{A} P}\right|^{2}+\left|\overline{O_{A} E_{A}}\right|^{2}-2 \overline{O_{A} P} \cdot \overline{O_{A} E_{A}}, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $\overline{P E_{A}}$ is null, it is easy to check that Eq. (28) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{x}_{A}\right|^{2}=0 \rightarrow\left|\left(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0 A}\right)-\tau_{A} \boldsymbol{W}_{A}\right|^{2}=0 \quad A=1,2,3,4, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 4. For the A-th emitter, $O_{A}$ is its position (in spacetime) at $t=0$ and the event $E_{A}$ corresponds to the intersection between the past light cone at $P$ and the emitter's world-line. Then, the geodesic triangle $O_{A} E_{A} P$ is naturally defined.
in other words, the past light cone of P intersects the emitters world-lines at the events $E_{A}$.

Consequently, using the above definitions (6) and (26), from (29) we explicitly obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}-2 \boldsymbol{X} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0 A}+\tau_{A} \boldsymbol{W}_{A}\right)-\tau_{A}^{2}+\boldsymbol{x}_{0 A} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{0 A}+2 \tau_{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{0 A} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{A}=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we use the geodesic equation (6), we may set $\boldsymbol{x}_{A}=\tau_{A} \boldsymbol{W}_{A}+\boldsymbol{x}_{0 A}$ and $p_{A}=-\tau_{A}^{2}+\boldsymbol{x}_{0 A} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{0 A}+2 \tau_{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{0 A} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{A}$, then the above equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}-2 \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{A}+p_{A}=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we noticed in the previous Section, $\boldsymbol{x}_{A}$ and $p_{A}$ contain only known information. Hence, if we take into account the 4 emitters, we may write the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0=\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}-2 \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{1}+p_{1}  \tag{32}\\
0=\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}-2 \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{2}+p_{2} \\
0=\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}-2 \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{3}+p_{3} \\
0=\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}-2 \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{4}+p_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which can be solved for $\boldsymbol{X} \equiv(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ knowing the emitters set of parameters $\boldsymbol{x}_{A}$ and $p_{A}$. In what follows, we describe two approaches to solving the system (32).

It is possible to obtain an analytical solution using the approach introduced by Bancroft (1985). To this end, we are going to formally define suitable matrices and column vectors to rewrite the above system (32) in a way that lends itself
to a direct algebraic solution.
We define the matrices
where, in $x_{A}^{\alpha}, A$ refers to the emitter and $\alpha$ to its spacetime components, and the column vectors

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} p_{1}  \tag{34}\\
\frac{1}{2} p_{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} p_{3} \\
\frac{1}{2} p_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal { I }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Eventually, let's define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remember that the Minkowski functional (scalar product) between any pairs of vectors in 4 -space

$$
\boldsymbol{a}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
a^{0}  \tag{36}\\
a^{1} \\
a^{2} \\
a^{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{b}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
b^{0} \\
b^{1} \\
b^{2} \\
b^{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=a^{1} b^{1}+a^{2} b^{2}+a^{3} b^{3}-a^{0} b^{0} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the above system (32) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \mathcal{I}-\mathcal{L} \mathcal{M} \boldsymbol{X}+\mathcal{A}=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose solution is formally written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{X}=\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}^{-1}(\lambda \mathcal{I}+\mathcal{A}) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using the properties of the scalar product we obtain the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \lambda^{2}+2 f \lambda+g=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$e=\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathcal{I}\right) \cdot\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathcal{I}\right), \quad f=\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathcal{I}\right) \cdot\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathcal{A}\right)-1, \quad g=\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathcal{A}\right) \cdot\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathcal{A}\right)$

Eq. (40) can be solved for $\lambda$ in terms of known parameters, then substituting in (39) we obtain the solution for the coordinates. Notice that there are in general two solutions, which correspond to past and future light cone intersections.

We remark that, in order to solve the system (32) with the above described procedure, the matrix $\mathcal{L}$ needs to be non singular: this amounts to saying that none of the vectors $\boldsymbol{x}_{A}$ is a linear combination of the others 3 As discussed by Coll et al. (2010), the map from emission coordinates to usual (e.g. Cartesian) coordinates is well defined only for suitable configuration of the emitters, which, for $t=0$, need to lie on a space-like hypersurface. As we notice before, this issue is present also in the 2-dimensional case. More generally, this is related with the bifurcation problem for positioning systems (see Abel and Chaffee (1991); Chaffee and Abel (1994); Coll et al. (2012)).
One possible solution to avoid singular configurations is to exploit redundancy, that is the possibility to consider more than 4 emitters. Redundancy is the basis of another approach to the positioning procedure which again leads to the formal solution for the coordinates of the receiver.

Reference can be made to the future light cones of the emitters. Such light cones, when projected onto the space of the emitter at the time of emission, correspond to spheres centered on the emitter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x-x_{A}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{A}\right)^{2}+\left(z-z_{A}\right)^{2}=r_{A}^{2} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $x_{A}$ is a shorthand for the corresponding cartesian component of $\boldsymbol{x}_{A}$, see Eq. (6).

Considering the flatness of spacetime and the invariance of the speed of light, the typical radius of a sphere is $r_{A}=t-t_{A}$ where of course it is also $t_{A}=\gamma_{A} \tau_{A}$.

The equation of a typical sphere is now:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t-t_{A}\right)^{2}=\left(x-x_{A}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{A}\right)^{2}+\left(z-z_{A}\right)^{2} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to get immediately rid of quadratic terms in the unknowns it is convenient to use one emitter more than the dimension of space time, i.e. in our case 5 emitters (so that now it is $A=1,2,3,4,5$ ). We may then write a system of five equations like (43). Subtracting member to member pairs of such

[^3]equations we come back to a system of four equations linear in the unknowns, i.e. in the coordinates of the receiver, which is located at the intersection of the five spheres. The typical equation of the new system is:
\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2\left(t_{A}-t_{A+1}\right) t-2\left(x_{A}-x_{A+1}\right) x-2\left(y_{A}-y_{A+1}\right) y-2\left(z_{A}-z_{A+1}\right) z= \\
x_{A+1}^{2}-x_{A}^{2}+y_{A+1}^{2}-y_{A}^{2}+z_{A+1}^{2}-z_{A}^{2}-\left(t_{A+1}^{2}-t_{A}^{2}\right) \tag{44}
\end{array}
$$
\]

In compact matrix notation the system to be solved is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C} \boldsymbol{X}=\boldsymbol{\mathcal { N }} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The known matrix and vector are:

$$
\mathcal{C}=2\left(\begin{array}{l}
t_{1}-t_{2} x_{2}-x_{1} y_{2}-y_{1} z_{2}-z_{1}  \tag{46}\\
t_{2}-t_{3} x_{3}-x_{2} y_{3}-y_{2} z_{3}-z_{2} \\
t_{3}-t_{4} x_{4}-x_{3} y_{4}-y_{3} z_{4}-z_{3} \\
t_{4}-t_{5} x_{5}-x_{4} y_{5}-y_{4} z_{5}-z_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{\mathcal { N }}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{2}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}-z_{1}^{2}+t_{1}^{2}-t_{2}^{2}  \tag{47}\\
x_{3}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}+t_{2}^{2}-t_{3}^{2} \\
x_{4}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}+z_{4}^{2}-z_{3}^{2}+t_{3}^{2}-t_{4}^{2} \\
x_{5}^{2}-x_{4}^{2}+y_{5}^{2}-y_{4}^{2}+z_{5}^{2}-z_{4}^{2}+t_{4}^{2}-t_{5}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{X}=\mathcal{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mathcal { N }} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well defined and unique provided that the matrix $\mathcal{C}$ is non singular. We remark that when the solution of the above system exists, it does not depend on the choice of the equations used to make the differences in Eq. (44) and build the matrix $\mathcal{C}$.

In summary, the approach we have exposed enables to define a map between emission coordinates, and Cartesian coordinates, once the world-lines of the emitters are known and excluding a limited number of special cases we have mentioned.

## 4 Conclusions

In the present paper we outlined the baseline computations to define a relativistic positioning system based on signals received by emitters. Emission coordinates are defined by intersecting the past light cone of a given event with the world-lines of the emitters, starting from the general geometrical relation (11), applied to the geodesic motion of emitters. Emission coordinates are the proper times measured by clocks transported by emitters, and they are mapped into the usual inertial or Cartesian coordinates in an $n$ dimensional spacetime when the world lines of $n$ emitters are known. The basic 2-dimensional case is extended to the more realistic 4-dimensional one, for which we obtained analytical solutions for the coordinates starting from the signals of the emitters.

We point out that a different procedure to obtain this map was introduced in comparison to Coll et al. (2010); Coll et al. (2009): in particular, the authors consider arbitrary world lines and the system (32) is split into a single quadratic equation and a degenerate linear system, since the unknowns are referred to a specific emitter.

In flat spacetime, our approach can be generalised to arbitrary world-lines without difficulties: indeed starting from the light-cone equation (29), the procedure can be extended once the expressions of the world-lines are known as function of the coordinates of the primary reference frame (which amounts to have $\tau$ as a function of those coordinates). A special case of interest could be a situation where the emitters are at rest in a uniformly rotating frame, which could mimic the more realistic condition of emitters at rest on the surface of the Earth that can be used to positioning satellites around it. In the latter case, it is relevant to explore the possibility to extend our approach to curved spacetime: this will be done in future works. To this end, it is important to point out that, according to what is already known in the literature (see e.g. Puchades and Sáez (2014)), for a practical situation of emitters moving around the Earth used to build a terrestrial positioning system, the effect of the gravitational field of the Earth can be neglected, since the distance traveled by photons is small: in fact, errors due to the uncertainties in the knowledge of the emitters world lines are greater than those effects in any realistic situation which involves Galileo or GPS satellites.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Greek indices run from 0 to 3 , lower case Latin indices run from 1 to 3 ; upper case Latin indices run from 1 to 4 ; the spacetime metric has signature $(-1,1,1,1)$, and we use units such that $\mathrm{c}=1$; boldface arrowed letters like $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{k}}$ refer to three-dimensional vectors; boldface letters like $\boldsymbol{x}$ refer to 4 -dimensional vectors; given two events in flat spacetime $O$ and $P$, then $\overline{O P}$ is a geodesic segment.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The sign has been chosen in order to select the intersection with the past light cone of $P$. Remember also that with the chosen signature the scalar product between two timelike vectors is negative. Eventually, notice that when solving the square root in (4) it is relevant to consider the direction of propagation of the signals.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ These vectors define the positions of the emitters at the emission of the signals, with respect to the origin of the reference frame. If a couple of these vectors are parallel to each other this means that they lie on the same null world-line crossing $\boldsymbol{X}$ : as a consequence $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a null vector and $\lambda=0$ in Eq. (38). Then, we have just three independent equations in the form (32), that can be solved with the constraint $\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}=0$. If more than two emission events are aligned, then the system cannot be solved.

