

UV-Cured Chitosan and Gelatin Hydrogels for the Removal of As(V) and Pb(II) from Water

Original

UV-Cured Chitosan and Gelatin Hydrogels for the Removal of As(V) and Pb(II) from Water / Noè, Camilla; Zanon, Michael; Arencibia, Amaya; López-Muñoz, María-José; Fernández de Paz, Nieves; Calza, Paola; Sangermano, Marco. - In: POLYMERS. - ISSN 2073-4360. - ELETTRONICO. - 14:6(2022), p. 1268. [10.3390/polym14061268]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2959186 since: 2022-03-23T11:06:54Z

Publisher:

MDPI

Published

DOI:10.3390/polym14061268

Terms of use:



This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Article

UV-Cured Chitosan and Gelatin Hydrogels for the Removal of As(V) and Pb(II) from Water

Camilla Noè ¹, Michael Zanon ¹, Amaya Arencibia ², María-José López-Muñoz ³, Nieves Fernández de Paz ⁴, Paola Calza ⁵ and Marco Sangermano ^{1,*}

¹ Politecnico di Torino, Dipartimento di Scienza Applicata e Tecnologia, C.so Duca Degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy; camilla.noe@polito.it (C.N.); michael.zanon@polito.it (M.Z.)

² Departamento de Tecnología Química, Energética y Mecánica, ESCET, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, C/Tulipán s/n, Móstoles, 28933 Madrid, Spain; amaya.arencibia@urjc.es

³ Departamento de Tecnología Química y Ambiental, ESCET, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, C/Tulipán s/n, Móstoles, 28933 Madrid, Spain; mariajose.lopez@urjc.es

⁴ Department of Applications, Metrohm Hispania, C/Aguacate 15, 28044 Madrid, Spain; nieves.fernandez@metrohm.es

⁵ Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Torino, Via P. Giuria 5, 10125 Torino, Italy; paola.calza@unito.it

* Correspondence: marco.sangermano@polito.it

Abstract: In this study, new photocurable biobased hydrogels deriving from chitosan and gelatin are designed and tested as sorbents for As(V) and Pb(II) removal from water. Those renewable materials were modified by a simple methacrylation reaction in order to make them light processable. The success of the reaction was evaluated by both ¹H-NMR and FTIR spectroscopy. The reactivity of those formulations was subsequently investigated by a real-time photorheology test. The obtained hydrogels showed high swelling capability reaching up to 1200% in the case of methacrylated gelatin (GelMA). Subsequently, the Z-potential of the methacrylated chitosan (MCH) and GelMA was measured to correlate their electrostatic surface characteristics with their adsorption properties for As(V) and Pb(II). The pH of the solutions proved to have a huge influence on the As(V) and Pb(II) adsorption capacity of the obtained hydrogels. Furthermore, the effect of As(V) and Pb(II) initial concentration and contact time on the adsorption capability of MCH and GelMA were investigated and discussed. The MCH and GelMA hydrogels demonstrated to be promising sorbents for the removal of heavy metals from polluted waters.

Keywords: chitosan; gelatin; hydrogels; UV-curing; heavy metals adsorption



Citation: Noè, C.; Zanon, M.; Arencibia, A.; López-Muñoz, M.-J.; Fernández de Paz, N.; Calza, P.; Sangermano, M. UV-Cured Chitosan and Gelatin Hydrogels for the Removal of As(V) and Pb(II) from Water. *Polymers* **2022**, *14*, 1268. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14061268>

Academic Editor: Luminita Marin

Received: 24 February 2022

Accepted: 18 March 2022

Published: 21 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Considering the exponential growth of the industrial and urban areas affecting water quality, water contamination is becoming a global environmental issue that needs to be addressed urgently. Among the aqueous pollutants, heavy metals are considered the environmental priority contaminants due to their non-biodegradability, high toxicity, and bioaccumulative effects, which cause major negative effects on public health [1]. The most common heavy metals present in streams and lakes are arsenic, lead, copper, zinc, and nickel. The presence of these heavy metals in the environment can be addressed to both geological sources (volcanic emission and natural reaction) and anthropogenic sources (such as pharmaceutical, metallurgy, mining, electronic, and agricultural industries) [2].

Since the presence of those contaminants cannot be completely avoided the World Health Organization (WHO) has fixed their maximum amount in drinking water. For example, the concentrations of arsenic and lead should be less than 0.01 mg/L and 0.1 mg/L, respectively [3,4].

Different strategies have been employed for heavy-metal removals such as membrane filtration [5], photocatalysis [6] ion-exchange [7], coagulation [8], and adsorption [9].

Among them, adsorption is recognized as the most effective method for heavy metal polluted water remediation. Activated carbon, carbon nanotubes, mesoporous silica, and magnetic particles have been proposed in the literature as typical adsorbents for heavy metals removal from water [10–12]. However, those sorbents are usually quite expensive. To overcome this problem, different researchers have focused their attention on the development of new polymeric hydrogels (HG) as low-cost adsorbents. HG are three-dimensional polymer networks that can be physically or chemically crosslinked. They are particularly interesting compared to other adsorbent materials due to their water affinity, high swelling properties, and high porosity, which allows the diffusion of ions towards the polymeric network. In the last years, bio-derived sorbents have been recognized as promising candidates for water treatment. In fact, carbohydrate or other natural based-hydrogels can be interestingly exploited as bio-sorbents since they are renewable, bio-degradable, and non-toxic [13–15].

For this reason, cellulose, starch, chitosan, and alginate hydrogels have been recently proposed in environmental applications for the removal of heavy metals [16–18].

During the sorption process various hydrogel-heavy metal interactions can occur, such as electrostatic interaction, complexation, hydrogen bonding, and coordination/chelation depending on the type of pollutant, the experimental conditions (e.g., pH, temperature), ions concentration, and certainly on the different functional groups present on the polymeric hydrogels. Therefore, it is essential to properly design an adequate polymeric structure to remove the target heavy metal species in defined conditions. However, physically crosslinked natural hydrogels usually possess a low adsorption rate, poor stability, and poor mechanical properties. To overcome those drawbacks different strategies can be applied such as the chemical modification of the polymer or the development of hybrid hydrogels based on the mixture of organic and inorganic components [19–21].

Among biobased polymers, chitosan is one of the most promising. It derives from the *N*-deacetylation of chitin which is the second most abundant biopolymer in nature commonly present in fungi, algae, exoskeleton of crustacea, insects, and mollusks. The polymeric chains of chitosan are composed of a random mixture of β -(1→4)-D-glucosamine and *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine units possessing many reactive groups such as amino and hydroxyl ones that can be easily modified [22]. Therefore, in recent years chitosan-based gels and membranes have attracted widespread research interest [23–25]. Another interesting natural polymer is gelatin which is an animal protein consisting of linear ionic polymeric chains bearing different amino and carboxylic functional groups. Gelatine is mostly used in biomedical applications due to its transparency and high biocompatibility [26,27].

In the past few decades, many attempts have been made to create chemically crosslinked hydrogels mainly involving the use of crosslinkers such as *N,N'*-methylenebisacrylamide [28], formaldehyde [29], and epichlorohydrin [30] which, nonetheless, are toxic and have to be removed from the hydrogels. Up to now, very few works have evaluated the possibility to modify biobased polymers to obtain photo-crosslinked hydrogels for water treatment and only two of them address specifically the removal of heavy metals [14,31,32].

Within this framework, we have methacrylated two natural polymers, chitosan, and gelatin, via a previously reported procedure [33,34]. This chemical modification makes these polymers suitable for photopolymerization in water, which is a fast-curing process and an environmentally friendly technique occurring at room temperature that allows achieving crosslinked hydrogels [35,36].

The modification of the starting biobased precursors was investigated by both ¹H-NMR and FTIR spectroscopy. The curing process of the photocurable formulations was evaluated via a real-time photo-rheology. The swelling capability of the photocured hydrogels was investigated and correlated with their adsorption efficiency. Finally, the adsorption properties of the photocured hydrogels towards arsenic and lead species were deeply investigated by analyzing the equilibrium and kinetics of the adsorption process and the influence of pH.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Medium molecular weight chitosan (CH) ($M_w = 190\text{--}310$ KDa, 75–85% degree of *N*-deacetylation), methacrylic anhydride (MA), acetic acid ($\geq 99\%$), Irgacure2959, gelatin from cold-water fish skin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milano, Italy) and used as received without further purification. Ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate $[(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.004M)], sulfuric acid $[\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (1.25M)], potassium antimonyl tartrate trihydrate $[\text{C}_8\text{H}_4\text{K}_2\text{O}_{12}\text{Sb}_2\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.004M)], ascorbic acid $[\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_6$ (0.06M)], sodium arsenate dibasic heptahydrate salt $[\text{Na}_2\text{HAsO}_4\cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}]$ and lead nitrate $[\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2]$ were also purchased from Aldrich. All of the materials were used without further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of Methacrylated Chitosan (MCH)

The chitosan (CH) methacrylation was accomplished as previously reported [33]. Briefly, the CH (1.5 wt%) was solubilized in an acetic acid-water solution (2 wt%), then MA was added (molar ratio $\text{NH}_2:\text{MA} = 1:1$). The mixture was then placed into the microwave furnace (Milestone STARTSynth, Milestone Inc., Shelton, CT, USA). The reaction time was set to 5 min, at 100 °C, and a launch time of 30 s. The obtained product was dialyzed for five days and subsequently freeze-dried.

2.3. Synthesis of Methacrylated Gelatin (GelMA)

The gelatin (Gel) methacrylation reaction was conducted by modifying a previously reported protocol [34]. Briefly, gelatin from fish skin was initially dissolved in distilled water at 50 °C (30 wt%). Then, MA was added dropwise (0.6 g of MA for 1 g of gelatin). The reaction was left to react for 4 h at 50 °C under stirring conditions. The pH of the solution was kept at 8 by adding NaOH solution (3 M). The product solution was dialyzed against distilled water for three days and then freeze-dried.

2.4. UV-Curing of Hydrogels

MCH (3 wt%) was solubilized in an acetic acid-water solution (2 wt%). Then, 2 phr (per hundred resin) of Irgacure 2959 was added to the solution as the photoinitiator. GelMA (10 wt%) was solubilized in distilled water, then 1 phr of Irgacure was added. Subsequently, the liquid solutions were poured into a silicon mold and irradiated with UV light ($100\text{ mW}/\text{cm}^2$) using a Hamamatsu LC8 lamp equipped with an 8 mm light guide (240–400 nm as spectral distribution). The irradiation time was set at 5 min for the MCH formulation and 3 min for the GelMA one.

2.5. Characterization Techniques

2.5.1. Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance ($^1\text{H-NMR}$)

CH, MCH, Gel, and GelMA were analyzed by Bruker Advance 400 Fourier TransformNMRspectrometer (FT NMR, Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) operating at 400 MHz. The $^1\text{H-NMR}$ was conducted at room temperature. Approximately 8 mg of each sample were dissolved in 1 mL of D_2O .

2.5.2. Photorheology

The photorheology tests were performed with an Anton PAAR Modular Compact Rheometer (Physica MCR 302, Graz, Austria) using a parallel plate configuration (diameter = 15 mm) with a quartz bottom glass. The gap value was set as 300 μm . The time sweep experiment was performed in the linear viscoelastic region (LVR) at a constant strain amplitude (γ) of 0.5% and a constant frequency (ω) of 5 rad/s to monitor the in-situ gel formation by following the evolution of elastic storage modulus G' with time. The reaction can be considered completed when the G' plateau is reached. In these experiments, the UV lamp was switched on after 30 s. The UV lamp used in the photorheology experiments was a Hamamatsu LC8 lamp (Hamamatsu, Japan) with a light intensity of 28 mW/cm^2 . All experiments were carried out at room temperature and repeated in triplicates.

2.5.3. Swelling

The swelling capability of the UV-cured hydrogels was tested by a gravimetric procedure. Air-dried samples were placed in distilled water at room temperature. The weight increase was monitored at different time steps by taking out the sample from water and weighting it after the removal of the surface free water. The swelling degree percentage ($SD\%$), the swelling at equilibrium (S_{eq}), and the equilibrium water content (ECW) were calculated with Equations (1)–(3), respectively.

$$SD\% = \left(\frac{W_t - W_d}{W_d} \right) * 100 \quad (1)$$

$$SD_{eq} = \frac{W_e - W_d}{W_d} \quad (2)$$

$$EWC\% = \frac{W_e - W_d}{W_e} * 100 \quad (3)$$

where W_t is the weight at time t , W_d is the weight of the dry sample, and W_e is the weight of the sample at the equilibrium state. All of the experiments were repeated in triplicates.

2.5.4. Surface Charge

The electric charge on the hydrogels, zeta potential values, of samples were determined for aqueous particle suspensions using A NanoPlus DLS Zeta Potential from Micromeritics (Aqueous suspensions were prepared with a mass to volume ratio of 0.5 mg/mL at pH 2, 4, 6, and 9 by adjusting the pH with HCl and NaOH solutions).

2.5.5. Adsorption Experiments

The adsorption experiments were performed to investigate the effect of Arsenic(V) and Lead(II) initial concentration, contact time, and pH by monitoring the decrease of As(V) and Pb(II) in the aqueous solutions. Each experiment was repeated three times, and the mean values were reported in this investigation.

The solutions of As(V) were prepared from sodium arsenate dibasic heptahydrate salt [$\text{Na}_2\text{HAsO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$]. The As(V) concentration in the aqueous solution over time was assessed using a colorimetric procedure based on the formation of an arsenate-molybdate complex (max absorbance 884 nm) with a UV-visible spectrometer (JASCO V-630). This complex was formed by reacting As(V) with an acidic solution composed of ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate [$(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.004M)], sulfuric acid [H_2SO_4 (1.25M)], potassium antimonyl tartrate trihydrate [$\text{C}_8\text{H}_4\text{K}_2\text{O}_{12}\text{Sb}_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.004M)] and ascorbic acid [$\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_6$ (0.06M)] [37].

The solution of Pb(II) was prepared from lead nitrate [$\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2$]. The total Pb concentration in solution was monitored by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) with a Varian Vista AX spectrometer, after calibration with stock solutions in the 0–15 mg/L range. Two emission mercury lines (217.00 and 220.35 nm) were used. The adsorbed amount was determined by the difference between initial and final concentrations in the solutions for each experiment.

To evaluate the effect of pH on the As(V) and Pb(II) adsorption four different solutions were prepared having the same initial concentration of metal ions but with pH = 2, 4, 6, and 9. The pH was adjusted with NaOH (3 M) and HCl (1 M) [38,39].

The adsorption kinetic study was conducted by contacting 15 mL of each metal ion solution (10–20 mg/L (As), 50–75 mg/L (Pb(II)), and 15 mg of the dried hydrogel. Subsequently, a fixed amount of supernatant was taken out at different time intervals to monitor the metal adsorption. On the other hand, the equilibrium adsorption isotherms were recorded by keeping the volume of the solution constant and varying the initial metal concentration in the 1–100 mg/L range for arsenic(V) with different individual points (1, 5, 10, 20, 30, 50, 75 and 100 mg/L respectively) while for Pb(II) the ion concentration was in the 1–200 mg/L range (10, 30, 50, 75, 100, 150, and 200 mg/L) maintaining the stirring

for 24 h to assure equilibrium time. The experiments were conducted at 20 °C under mild stirring conditions.

The adsorbent capacity at time t (q_t [mg/g]), the equilibrium adsorption capacity (q_e [mg/g]) and the removal efficiency ($R\%$) were calculated according to Equations (4)–(6), respectively [40,41].

$$q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t) \times V}{W} \quad (4)$$

$$q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e) \times V}{W} \quad (5)$$

$$R(\%) = \left(\frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \right) \times 100 \quad (6)$$

where C_0 (mg/L) is the initial metal ion concentration, while C_t (mg/L) and C_e (mg/L) are the metal concentration at time t and at equilibrium, respectively. V (mL) is the volume of the metal solution, and W (g) is the mass of the dried hydrogel.

2.6. Adsorption Kinetics Models

Two different kinetic models were then used to evaluate the adsorption rate and the potential rate-controlling step. The kinetic data were analyzed by means of pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models [42], using the Lagergren Equations (7) and (8).

$$\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_1(q_e - q_t) \quad (7)$$

$$-\ln\left(1 - \frac{q_t}{q_e}\right) = k_1 t \quad (8)$$

where k_1 is the rate constant of pseudo-first-order sorption [1/min]. According to this approximation, a plot of $-\ln(1 - (q_t/q_e))$ vs. t gives a straight line with slope k_1 .

Equations (9) and (10) report the second-order kinetic rate equation and its integrated formula, respectively.

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = k_2(q_e - q_t)^2 \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e} \quad (10)$$

where k_2 is the rate constant of the pseudo-second-order sorption [g/(mg * min)]. According to this approximation, a plot of t/q_t vs. t gives a linear relationship with slope $1/q_e$ and intercept $1/k_2 q_e^2$.

2.7. Equilibrium Isotherms Models

Three equilibrium isotherm models, Langmuir, Freundlich, and Sips were used to describe the adsorption mechanism.

The Langmuir model (Equation (11)) considers the adsorption to be chemisorption and can be applied to homogeneous adsorption phenomena, in which the metal adsorption energy is constant through every site of the surface, thus explaining the formation of a monolayer of adsorbate [43].

$$q_e = \frac{q_m K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e} \quad (11)$$

where C_e (mg/L) is the equilibrium concentration of adsorbate in the remaining solution, q_m (mg/g) is the adsorbed amount present in the monolayer related to the maximum adsorption capacity, K_L (L/mg) is Langmuir constant related to the metal affinity to the binding sites.

The Freundlich model (Equation (12)) can be applied to the heterogeneous surface with different energies for monolayer surface adsorption or to the formation of multilayers of adsorbate. The model can be expressed by the following equation:

$$q_e = K_F C_e^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad (12)$$

where C_e (mg/L) is the equilibrium concentration of adsorbate in the remaining solution, and K_F and n are the empirical Freundlich constant and the heterogeneity factor, respectively. The value $1/n$ indicates if the isotherm is favourable ($0 < 1/n < 1$), unfavourable ($1/n > 1$) or irreversible ($1/n = 0$) [44].

Sips model (Equation (13)) is a hybrid model which combines the Langmuir and the Freundlich models. This model is able to describe the homogeneous or heterogeneous model for monolayer adsorption. The Equation (12) represents the non-linear Sips isotherm.

$$q_e = \frac{q_m K_S C_e^{n_s}}{1 + K_S C_e^{n_s}} \quad (13)$$

where q_m (mg/g) is the maximum adsorbed amount, K_S is the Sips constant related with the affinity between the metal and the adsorption site and n_s is the Sips exponent (dimensionless). It can be noticed that the Sips model becomes the Langmuir model when $n_s = 1$, and the Freundlich model at low C_0 [45–47].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Bio-Based Polymers Methacrylation

Chitosan and gelatin were methacrylated, following the previously reported experimental procedure, to make them photocurable [33,34]. The schemes of the methacrylation reactions are reported in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Scheme of the methacrylation reaction of (a) chitosan and (b) gelatin.

The CH and Gel methacrylation reactions were investigated and confirmed by $^1\text{H-NMR}$ and FTIR. Figure 2a shows a comparison between the CH and MCH $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra. The CH spectrum displays the typical CH peaks: the quadruplet peak at $\delta = 3.59 \text{ ppm}$ represents the protons in 1–4, 6–10, 12 positions while the peak at 3.02 ppm represents the protons in 5, 11 positions of the chitosan ring. The peak at $\delta = 1.9 \text{ ppm}$ represents the (*N*-

acetyl)D-glucosamine group [48,49]. In the MCH spectrum, new peaks can be seen at $\delta = 6$, 5.60 and 5.41 ppm representing the $=\text{CH}_2$ of the methacrylic double bonds and at $\delta = 1.85$ and 1.78 ppm corresponding to the $-\text{CH}_3$ methyl groups of the grafted methacrylated moieties. As can be observed, there are two types of $-\text{CH}_3$ signals and three different peaks corresponding to the $=\text{CH}_2$ protons, meaning that the methacrylated groups are bonded to both the $-\text{NH}_2$ and the $-\text{OH}$ groups of the chitosan.




Figure 2. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra of (a) CH and (b) Gel before and after the methacrylation reaction.

From the integrals of the $^1\text{H-NMR}$ of MCH, it was possible to calculate the degree of substitution (DOS) following Equation (14).

$$DOS = \left(\frac{\frac{I_6 + I_{5.6} + I_{5.41}}{2}}{\frac{I_{3.59} + I_{3.02}}{14}} \right) / 6 \quad (14)$$

where I_6 , $I_{5.6}$ and $I_{5.41}$ are the integrals of the $=\text{CH}_2$ intensity, coloured violet on the spectrum, and the $I_{3.59}$ and $I_{3.02}$ are the integrals of the H protons in 1–12 positions, coloured in green and blue. The whole formula is divided by six since there are approximately six reactive groups in each chitosan double rings (not taking into account the acetylation side chain). The obtained DOS was 0.27, which is very similar to the one previously reported by other methacrylated chitosan [48,50].

In Figure 2b are reported the Gel and GelMA $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra in which the success of the methacrylation reaction was clearly assessed. In fact, in the GelMA spectrum, it is possible to observe the presence of new peaks at $\delta = 5.6$ and 5.8 ppm representing the $=\text{CH}_2$ protons and at $\delta = 3.5$ ppm which can be ascribed to the CH_3 protons of the methacrylated group [48,51,52]. However, since the complete gelatin structure is still unknown, due to the presence in its chains of a vast variety of amino sequences, it was not possible to calculate the GelMA degree of substitution.

The methacrylation reactions were further confirmed by FTIR analysis. In Figure 3a are reported the FTIR spectra of CH and MCH. In the spectrum of MCH there can be clearly observed the presence of a new peak at 1720 ($1/\text{cm}$) that can be attributed to the $\text{C}=\text{O}$ stretching vibrations, and at 1620 and 810 ($1/\text{cm}$) which can be attributed to the $\text{C}=\text{C}$ and $\text{C}=\text{CH}_2$ stretching and out of plane bending vibrations, respectively [49,53–55]. In the spectra comparison, it can also be observed a shift of the peak centred in 1580 ($1/\text{cm}$) towards lower a wavenumber (1538 ($1/\text{cm}$)) assigned to the $-\text{NH}$ stretching vibration indicating a *N*-methacrylation [50]. Moreover, it can also be observed a decrease of the broad band centred in 3300 ($1/\text{cm}$) assigned to the $-\text{OH}$ vibrations, suggesting that also the hydroxyl groups can be a grafting site for the methacrylated group, which is in good agreement with the $^1\text{H-NMR}$ result.




Figure 3. FTIR spectra of (a) CH and (b) Gel before and after the methacrylation reaction.

In Figure 3b the spectra of Gel before and after the methacrylation reaction are reported. Also in this case, the accomplishment of the methacrylation reaction was confirmed by the appearance of new bands in the GelMA spectrum at 1380 and 830 ($1/\text{cm}$) which can be assigned to the $\text{C}-\text{O}$ stretching and $\text{C}=\text{C}$ bending vibrations, respectively [56,57].

3.2. Photoreactivity of Methacrylated Polymers and Swelling of UV-Cured Hydrogels

The photoreactivity of the methacrylated polymers dispersed into deionized water was investigated by means of real-time photorheology. Either the MCH and GelMA formulations were investigated.

The photorheology curves of the MCH and GelMA formulations are reported in Figure 4. As it can be observed, the MCH formulation started reacting immediately after the lamp was switched on and reached a G' plateau after 300 s. On the contrary, the GelMA formulation showed an induction time, i.e., the minimum time required to start the photocrosslinking, of about 20 s and reached a G' plateau after 180 s.




Figure 4. Potorheology curves recorded for the MCH formulation (3 wt% solubilized in acetic acid-water solution containing 2 phr of Irgacure 2959), GelMA formulation (10 wt% solubilized in distilled water containing 1 phr of Irgacure 2959).

These data clearly indicate a very high reactivity of the methacrylated polymers towards the radical-induced UV-curing process, leading to the formation of crosslinked hydrogel networks.

The swelling capability of the UV-cured hydrogels was measured following the experimental procedure previously described. The swelling curve of the UV-cured hydrogels is reported in Figure 5, while the SD_{eq} and EWC are reported in Table 1. Interestingly, as can be observed from Figure 5, GelMA hydrogel shows superior swelling capability with respect to the MCH ones, with a final swelling degree of about 1200%. Besides the different molecular structure of those two polymers, the huge differences in the final swelling degree can possibly be ascribed to a lower GelMA functionalization with respect to MCH leading to a lower crosslinking density and resulting in the decrease of the swelling capability of the hydrogel in the aqueous solution [15,58]. The high equilibrium water content ($EWC\%$) values measured from both hydrogels assessed their high-water permeability [59].




Figure 5. Swelling curves for UV-Cured MCH and GelMA hydrogels. In the insert is reported the swelling of GelMA UV-Cured hydrogels as an example.

Table 1. Swelling properties of UV-Cured hydrogels.

	SD_{eq}	$EWC\%$
MCH	6.16 ± 0.4	86 ± 3
GelMA	12.31 ± 0.7	93 ± 1

3.3. Removal of As(V) and Pb(II) from Water

The influence of pH variation toward hydrogel adsorption capacity was monitored for both metals as the ionic state of the surface functional groups on hydrogel can change. On MCH and GelMA hydrogels, surface free amino-groups ($-\text{NH}_2$) are still present after the methacrylation reaction. Chitosan has primary amino groups and a pK_a value close to 6.5 [58]. Therefore, at pH lower than 6.5 the free-amino groups become protonated, inducing an enhancement of positive charges on the surface, which provokes a repulsion among the polymeric chains. On the contrary, in alkaline conditions, the amine groups are completely deprotonated, which results in the attraction of polymer chains due to Van der Waals forces. These aspects together with the metal charge are of paramount importance to unravel the adsorption process [60].

3.3.1. As(V) Adsorption from Water

The As(V) adsorption was initially investigated by varying the pH from 2 to 9. Note that no degradation was observed when the samples were immersed in the solutions at different pH.

The Z-potential of the hydrogels was evaluated at different pH and correlated with the q_e values measured.

From the experimental data collected in Figure 6a comes up that the optimum condition for the As(V) adsorption with MCH was achieved at pH = 6. In fact, a favourable interaction between the positive charged surface of the hydrogel and the negatively charged metal may be occurring; furthermore, at this pH a balance between the charge of the surface amino groups and the distance between the polymer chains it can exist.

Regarding the charge of the surface, zeta potential measured for MCH shows that the surface is positively charged from pH 2 to pH 6, with a point of zero charge (PZC) of ca. 7.4. GelMA surface displays also a positive Z-potential at low pH, but the PZC is close to 4.4. To explain the effectiveness of arsenic adsorption, its speciation in solution must be taken into account. The equilibrium dissociation constants indicate that arsenate species are negative at $\text{pH} > 2.2$ (Equations (15)–(17)). Therefore, As(V) was better adsorbed on the

positive surface of MCH at pH 4 and 6, where H_2AsO_4^- species is predominant, while the adsorption decreased for pH 9 since the surface of the hydrogel changes to negative.






Figure 6. (a) q_e values of As(V) as a function of pH ($C_0 = 10$ mg/L) and (b) Z-potential as a function of pH for the different investigated UV-Cured hydrogels.

However, even if the GelMA surface shows a positive Z-potential at low pH, the overall As(V) adsorption is quite low. This result can possibly be ascribed to the presence of a high number of carboxylic groups in the GelMA structure which can interact with the amine also present in its structure, hindering the As(V) adsorption capability [61,62].

Figure 7 reports the adsorption kinetics profiles of As(V) obtained for two initial concentration (10 and 20 mg/L) at different time intervals at pH 6. The adsorption on the hydrogels is fast with GelMA as it reaches a plateau after 1 h, while in the case of MCH 8 h are required (see Figure 7a,d). In both cases, the adsorption rates are higher in the initial part of the experiments suggesting that the adsorption mainly occurs on the surface of the hydrogels. The MCH sorption equilibrium time is slightly shorter than the one previously reported in the literature for other chitosan-based systems such as chitosan beads (24 h) [38] and modified chitosan gel beads (24 h) [63].

The adsorption equilibrium q_e is reached slightly later when the initial As(V) concentration was set to 20 mg/L (Figure 7c).

Two mathematical models were used to fit the data and to perceive the mechanism of adsorption. The pseudo-first-order model resulted not applicable as the obtained trend is not linear (Figure 7b,e). On the contrary, a linear trend was obtained when data were plotted by applying the pseudo-second-order kinetics model (Figure 7c,f) which means that this model can correctly describe the mechanism for the As(V) adsorption. According to this model, the main adsorption mechanism is chemisorption. The pseudo-second-order fitting parameters at two different initial As(V) concentrations are reported in Table 2.

Noteworthy, the removal percentage [R(%)] of MCH was 98 and 92% for $C_0 = 1$ and 5 mg/L, respectively, indicating a high As(V) removal efficiency of this hydrogel at low concentration.




Figure 7. As(V) adsorption kinetics at (a) 10 mg/L and (d) 20 mg/L of initial concentration; (b,e) data fitted using the pseudo-first-order kinetic model with 10 mg/L and 20 mg/L of C_0 , respectively (c,f) data fitted using the pseudo-second-order kinetic model with 10 mg/L and 20 mg/L of C_0 , respectively.

Table 2. Pseudo-second order fitting parameters for As(V) adsorption.

$C_0(\text{As}) = 10 \text{ mg/L}$				
	$q_{e,calc}$ [mg/g]	$q_{e,exp}$ [mg/g]	k_2 [g/(mg × min)]	R^2
MCH	5.67	5.59	0.18	0.994
GelMA	1.22	1.24	0.81	0.998
$C_0(\text{As}) = 20 \text{ mg/L}$				
	$q_{e,calc}$ [mg/g]	$q_{e,exp}$ [mg/g]	k_2 [g/(mg × min)]	R^2
MCH	17.85	17.54	0.06	0.994
GelMA	2.17	2.13	0.47	0.996

Figure 8 shows the experimental data of equilibrium adsorption of As(V) along with their non-linear isotherm models used to fit the adsorption data (Langmuir, Freundlich and Sips). The parameters of the adsorption isotherm model are summarized in Table 3. The isotherm model with the highest R^2 value was selected as the most representative of the As(V) adsorption process. All of the hydrogels adsorption mechanisms can be correctly described by the Sips model.

For both MCH and GelMA the value $1/n$ is less than 1 in the Langmuir model, so implying that the adsorption of As(V) metal is favourable. Furthermore, the maximum q_e values obtained for the adsorption of the As(V) ions are slightly higher than the one previously reported in the literature using other types of chitosan and other adsorbents such as goethite and cellulose (see Table 5).




Figure 8. (a) Isotherm plots of MCH and GelMA hydrogels obtained from the experimental data; (b) MCH and (c) GelMA isotherm values fitted with different isotherm models.

Table 3. Adsorption isotherm parameters for As(V) adsorption on MCH and GelMA at pH = 6.

Langmuir parameters				
	q_m [mg/g]	K_L [L/mg]	R^2	
MCH	136.7	0.05	0.885	
GelMA	3.5	0.13	0.543	
Freundlich parameters				
	n	K_F [L/mg]	R^2	
MCH	1.56	9.44	0.831	
GelMA	5.47	1.42	0.339	
Sips parameters				
	q_m [mg/g]	n_s	K_S [L/mg]	R^2
MCH	84.8	2.0	0.02	0.939
GelMA	3.0	6.0	8.59	0.963

3.3.2. Lead Adsorption from Water

The adsorption capacity of Pb(II) was investigated following the same procedure previously reported for As(V) oxyanions. Figure 9 reports the Pb(II) adsorption of the MCH and GelMA hydrogels as a function of pH. At pH 6 the GelMA, with the net negative surface charge is the only material capable to interact with the positively charged metal cation. At basic pH it can be noticed that both MCH and GelMA possess a negative Z-potential and can therefore be applied to lead removal (see Figure 6b). As such, all of the Pb(II) adsorption tests were conducted only on MCH at pH = 9 and on GelMA at pH = 6.

In Figure 10a,d are reported the Pb(II) adsorption kinetics of MCH at pH = 9 and GelMA at pH = 6 at $C_0 = 50$ mg/L and $C_0 = 75$ mg/L, respectively, while Table 4 collects the kinetic fitting parameters. As in the case of As(V), the first-order kinetics model is not suitable to describe the kinetics profile of MCH and GelMA hydrogels, while the second-order kinetic model could fit the experimental values of Pb(II) adsorption; therefore, again the rate-determining step of the process is chemisorption.




Figure 9. Effect of pH on hydrogel Pb adsorption. Those data were recorded with 30 mg/L Pb initial concentration.





Figure 10. Pb(II) adsorption kinetics at (a) 50 mg/L and (d) 75 mg/L of initial concentration; (b,e) data fitted using the pseudo-first-order kinetic model with 50 mg/L and 75 mg/L of C_0 , respectively, (c,f) data fitted using the pseudo-second-order kinetic model with 50 mg/L and 75 mg/L of C_0 , respectively.

Figure 11a shows the isotherm values obtained for MCH and GelMA hydrogels, where it can be seen that both solids are able to adsorb significant amounts of Pb(II), achieving values of ca 66 and 48 mg/g, respectively. It is important to underline that the achieved maximum values of Pb(II) adsorption capacities are similar to those previously obtained with other adsorbents. For comparison purpose, Table 5 summarizes the list of other Pb(II) adsorbents available in the literature. Figure 11b, reports the R(%) of MCH and GelMA at the different initial Pb(II) concentrations. Interestingly, those percentages were very high, achieving almost 99% for low initial concentration and (>80%), also with high content of metal, so highlighting the great removal capability toward Pb(II) of these biobased hydrogels.

Table 4. Pseudo-second order fitting parameters for Pb(II) adsorption.

$C_0(\text{Pb}) = 50 \text{ mg/L}$				
	$q_{e,calc} [\text{mg/g}]$	$q_{e,exp} [\text{mg/g}]$	$k_1 [\text{g}/(\text{mg} \times \text{min})]$	R^2
MCH	56.55	55.56	0.02	0.897
GelMA	28.94	33.33	0.03	0.975
$C_0(\text{Pb}) = 75 \text{ mg/L}$				
	$q_{e,calc} [\text{mg/g}]$	$q_{e,exp} [\text{mg/g}]$	$k_2 [\text{g}/(\text{mg} \times \text{min})]$	R^2
MCH	63.26	700	0.003	0.685
GelMA	40.05	50	0.02	0.985

**Figure 11.** (a) Isotherm plots of MCH and GelMA hydrogels obtained from the experimental data; (b) removal percentage R(%) of Pb(II) by MCH and GelMA at different initial concentration.**Table 5.** Maximum As(V) and Pb(II) equilibrium adsorption capacity of different adsorbents reported in the literature.

Adsorbent	Metal	Initial Concentration- C_0 [mg/L]	Temperature [$^{\circ}\text{C}$]	Equilibrium Adsorption Capacity q_e [mg/g]	References
Goethite	As(V)	1000	25	12	[64]
Chitosan		400	25	58	[63]
Cellulose with Fe_2O_3		100	25	32	[65]
Ce-Fe oxide decorated multiwalled carbon nanotubes		20	25	31	[66]
MCH GelMA		100	25	36 22	Present work
Chitosan/PVA	Pb(II)	30	25	0.9	[67]
Chitosan		250	25	47.4	[68]
Chitosan(Chitin)/ Cellulose Composite		160	25	27.31	[69]
MCH GelMA		150	25	66 48	Present work

4. Conclusions

New biobased UV-curable hydrogels were developed using modified chitosan and gelatin and applied as adsorbents for the removal of As(V) and Pb(II) from an aqueous solution. Methacrylated chitosan (MCH) and methacrylated gelatin (GelMA) were successfully synthesized as assessed by ¹H-NMR and FTIR spectroscopy. The modified materials were then dispersed in water together with BAPO photoinitiator. The high reactivity of these formulations was confirmed by a photo-rheology test, in which the rapid in situ gel formation was evaluated by following the evolution of elastic storage modulus G' with time. MCH formulation reached a G' plateau after 300 s, while the GelMA formulation required only 180 s. The swelling test highlights that those hydrogels possess a very high swelling capability reaching 616% and 1230% for MCH and GelMA, respectively. The adsorption parameters such as initial metal ions concentration, contact time, and pH noticeably influenced the removal efficiency of the hydrogels. The Z-potential analysis was performed on the hydrogels at different pH and the outcomes were used to discuss the measured q_e values of the hydrogels. The kinetics studies revealed that a pseudo-second order kinetic model can correctly describes the adsorption of As(V) and Pb(II) on MCH GelMA hydrogels, therefore suggesting a chemical adsorption. The obtained q_e were in the same order of magnitude than one previously reported in the literature for other types of chitosan and other adsorbents such as goethite and cellulose. All of the As(V) isotherms were correctly fitted by the Sips model. The removal efficiency of As(V) in water was very high for MCH reaching up to 98 and 92% in the case of $C_0 = 1$ and 5 mg/L. Even better results were reached for the Pb(II) removal in which the R(%) remains above 80% for both MCH and GelMA even for $C_0 = 75$ mg/L.

To conclude, this study successfully demonstrates the possibility to use modified chitosan and gelatin to obtain innovative UV-curable sorbents for the removal of As(V) and Pb(II) from polluted waters.

Author Contributions: C.N.: investigation, methodology, writing—original draft, editing; M.Z.: synthesis of methacrylated chitosan; A.A.: review; M.-J.L.-M.: review; P.C.: review; N.F.d.P.: review; M.S.: review, supervision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This paper is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 101007578 (SusWater).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interest or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

1. Baigorria, E.; Fraceto, L.F. Novel Nanostructured Materials Based on Polymer/Organic-Clay Composite Networks for the Removal of Carbendazim from Waters. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2022**, *331*, 129867. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Sherlala, A.I.A.; Raman, A.A.A.; Bello, M.M.; Buthiyappan, A. Adsorption of Arsenic Using Chitosan Magnetic Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2019**, *246*, 547–556. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Dinh, V.P.; Le, N.C.; Tuyen, L.A.; Hung, N.Q.; Nguyen, V.D.; Nguyen, N.T. Insight into Adsorption Mechanism of Lead(II) from Aqueous Solution by Chitosan Loaded MnO₂ Nanoparticles. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *207*, 294–302. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Shafique, U.; Ijaz, A.; Salman, M.; uz Zaman, W.; Jamil, N.; Rehman, R.; Javid, A. Removal of Arsenic from Water Using Pine Leaves. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* **2012**, *43*, 256–263. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Khulbe, K.C.; Matsuura, T. Removal of Heavy Metals and Pollutants by Membrane Adsorption Techniques. *Appl. Water Sci.* **2018**, *8*, 19. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Tahir, M.B.; Kiran, H.; Iqbal, T. The Detoxification of Heavy Metals from Aqueous Environment Using Nano-Photocatalysis Approach: A Review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2019**, *26*, 10515–10528. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Kim, J.; Benjamin, M.M. Modeling a Novel Ion Exchange Process for Arsenic and Nitrate Removal. *Water Res.* **2004**, *38*, 2053–2062. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Nidheesh, P.V.; Singh, T.S. Arsenic Removal by Electrocoagulation Process: Recent Trends and Removal Mechanism. *Chemosphere* **2017**, *181*, 418–432. [[CrossRef](#)]

9. Fu, F.; Wang, Q. Removal of Heavy Metal Ions from Wastewaters: A Review. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2011**, *92*, 407–418. [CrossRef]
10. Karim, M.A. Removal of Iron (II) and Manganese (II) from Synthetic Acid Mine Water Using Calcium Carbide Residue in Fixed-Bed Column. *Turk. J. Comput. Math. Educ. TURCOMAT* **2021**, *12*, 6907–6918.
11. Pillay, K.; Cukrowska, E.M.; Coville, N.J. Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes as Adsorbents for the Removal of Parts per Billion Levels of Hexavalent Chromium from Aqueous Solution. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2009**, *166*, 1067–1075. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Swapna, M.B.; Meena, R. Green Seaweed Derived Porous Carbon for Adsorptive Removal of Heavy Metals from Aqueous Media. Summer Research Fellowship Programme of India's Science Academies. Available online: <http://reports.ias.ac.in/report/19130/green-seaweed-derived-porous-carbon-for-adsorptive-removal-of-heavy-metals-from-aqueous-media> (accessed on 23 February 2022).
13. Paulino, A.T.; Guilherme, M.R.; Reis, A.V.; Campese, G.M.; Muniz, E.C.; Nozaki, J. Removal of Methylene Blue Dye from an Aqueous Media Using Superabsorbent Hydrogel Supported on Modified Polysaccharide. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2006**, *301*, 55–62. [CrossRef]
14. Melilli, G.; Yao, J.; Chiappone, A.; Sangermano, M.; Hakkarainen, M. Photocurable “All-Lignocellulose” Derived Hydrogel Nanocomposites for Adsorption of Cationic Contaminants. *Sustain. Mater. Technol.* **2021**, *27*, e00243. [CrossRef]
15. Noè, C.; Cosola, A.; Chiappone, A.; Hakkarainen, M.; Grützmacher, H.; Sangermano, M. From Polysaccharides to UV-Curable Biorenewable Organo/Hydrogels for Methylene Blue Removal. *Polymer* **2021**, *235*, 124257. [CrossRef]
16. Feng, Z.; Odelius, K.; Hakkarainen, M. Tunable Chitosan Hydrogels for Adsorption: Property Control by Biobased Modifiers. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2018**, *196*, 135–145. [CrossRef]
17. Godiya, C.B.; Xiao, Y.; Lu, X. Amine Functionalized Sodium Alginate Hydrogel for Efficient and Rapid Removal of Methyl Blue in Water. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2020**, *144*, 671–681. [CrossRef]
18. Amini-Fazl, M.S.; Ahmari, A. Dextran-Graft-Poly(Hydroxyethyl Methacrylate) Biosorbents for Removal of Dyes and Metal Cations. *Mater. Res. Express* **2019**, *6*, 055324. [CrossRef]
19. Ma, D.; Zhu, B.; Cao, B.; Wang, J.; Zhang, J. Fabrication of the Novel Hydrogel Based on Waste Corn Stalk for Removal of Methylene Blue Dye from Aqueous Solution. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2017**, *422*, 944–952. [CrossRef]
20. Worzakowska, M. Starch-g-Poly(Benzyl Methacrylate) Copolymers. *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* **2016**, *124*, 1309–1318. [CrossRef]
21. Ahmad, M.; Ahmed, S.; Swami, B.L.; Ikram, S. Adsorption of Heavy Metal Ions: Role of Chitosan and Cellulose for Water Treatment. *Langmuir* **2015**, *79*, 109–155.
22. Mohammadzadeh Pakdel, P.; Peighambaroust, S.J. Review on Recent Progress in Chitosan-Based Hydrogels for Wastewater Treatment Application. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2018**, *201*, 264–279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Rizzo, C.; Misia, G.; Marullo, S.; Billeci, F.; D'Anna, F. Bio-Based Chitosan and Cellulose Ionic Liquid Gels: Polymeric Soft Materials for the Desulfurization of Fuel. *Green Chem.* **2022**, *24*, 1318–1334. [CrossRef]
24. Mady, M.F.; Abdel-Azeim, S.; Kelland, M.A. Investigation of the Antiscalting Performance of Phosphonated Chitosan for Upstream Petroleum Industry Application. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2021**, *9*, 16494–16505. [CrossRef]
25. Park, S.H.; Yang, C.; Ayaril, N.; Szekely, G. Solvent-Resistant Thin-Film Composite Membranes from Biomass-Derived Building Blocks: Chitosan and 2,5-Furandicarboxaldehyde. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2022**, *10*, 998–1007. [CrossRef]
26. Kaviani, I.; Plioger, P.G.; Kandile, N.G.; Harding, D.R.K. New hydrogels based on symmetrical aromatic anhydrides: Synthesis, characterization and metal ion adsorption evaluation. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2012**, *87*, 881–893. [CrossRef]
27. Lee, B.H.; Lum, N.; Seow, L.Y.; Lim, P.Q.; Tan, L.P. Synthesis and Characterization of Types A and B Gelatin Methacryloyl for Bioink Applications. *Materials* **2016**, *9*, 797. [CrossRef]
28. Milosavljević, N.B.; Ristić, M.D.; Perić-Grujić, A.A.; Filipović, J.M.; Štrbac, S.B.; Rakočević, Z.L.J.; Kalagasidis Krušić, M.T. Sorption of Zinc by Novel PH-Sensitive Hydrogels Based on Chitosan, Itaconic Acid and Methacrylic Acid. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2011**, *192*, 846–854. [CrossRef]
29. Sadeghi, M.; Hanifpour, F.; Taheri, R.; Javadian, H.; Ghasemi, M. Comparison of Using Formaldehyde and Carboxy Methyl Chitosan in Preparation of Fe₃O₄ Superparamagnetic Nanoparticles-Chitosan Hydrogel Network: Sorption Behavior toward Bovine Serum Albumin. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.* **2016**, *102*, 119–128. [CrossRef]
30. Józwiak, T.; Filipkowska, U.; Szymczyk, P.; Rodziewicz, J.; Mielcarek, A. Effect of Ionic and Covalent Crosslinking Agents on Properties of Chitosan Beads and Sorption Effectiveness of Reactive Black 5 Dye. *React. Funct. Polym.* **2017**, *114*, 58–74. [CrossRef]
31. Zhao, S.P.; Cao, M.J.; Li, H.; Li, L.Y.; Xu, W.L. Synthesis and characterization of thermosensitive semi-IPN hydrogels based on poly(ethylene glycol)-co-poly(ε-caprolactone) macromer, N-isopropylacrylamide, and sodium alginate. *Carbohydr. Res* **2010**, *345*, 425–431. [CrossRef]
32. Firlak, M.; Kahraman, M.V.; Yetimoğlu, E.K.; Zeytuncu, B. Adsorption of Ag (I) Ions from Aqueous Solutions Using Photocured Thiol-Ene Hydrogel. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *48*, 2860–2870. [CrossRef]
33. Zanon, M.; Chiappone, A.; Garino, N.; Canta, M.; Frascella, F.; Hakkarainen, M.; Pirri, C.F.; Sangermano, M. Microwave-Assisted Methacrylation of Chitosan for 3D Printable Hydrogels in Tissue Engineering. *Mater. Adv.* **2022**, *3*, 514–525. [CrossRef]
34. Vigata, M.; Meinert, C.; Pahoff, S.; Bock, N.; Hutmacher, D.W. Gelatin Methacryloyl Hydrogels Control the Localized Delivery of Albumin-Bound Paclitaxel. *Polymers* **2020**, *12*, 501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Bongiovanni, R.; Sangermano, M. UV-Curing Science and Technology. In *Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2014; pp. 1–20.

36. Khan, M.A.; Rahman, M.A.; Khan, R.A.; Rahman, N.; Islam, J.M.M.; Alam, R.; Mondal, M.I.H. Preparation and Characterization of the Mechanical Properties of the Photocured Chitosan/Starch Blend Film. *Polym. Plast. Technol. Eng.* **2010**, *49*, 748–756. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. López-Muñoz, M.J.; Revilla, A.; Alcalde, G. Brookite TiO₂-Based Materials: Synthesis and Photocatalytic Performance in Oxidation of Methyl Orange and As(III) in Aqueous Suspensions. *Catal. Today* **2015**, *240*, 138–145. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Chen, C.C.; Chung, Y.C. Arsenic Removal Using a Biopolymer Chitosan Sorbent. *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A Toxic/Hazard. Subst. Environ. Eng.* **2006**, *41*, 645–658. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Ramos Guivar, J.A.; Bustamante, D.A.; Gonzalez, J.C.; Sanches, E.A.; Morales, M.A.; Raez, J.M.; López-Muñoz, M.J.; Arencibia, A. Adsorption of Arsenite and Arsenate on Binary and Ternary Magnetic Nanocomposites with High Iron Oxide Content. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2018**, *454*, 87–100. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Zeinali, S.; Abdollahi, M.; Sabbaghi, S. Carboxymethyl- β -Cyclodextrin Modified Magnetic Nanoparticles for Effective Removal of Arsenic from Drinking Water: Synthesis and Adsorption Studies. *J. Water Environ. Nanotechnol.* **2016**, *1*, 104–115.
41. Ge, H.; Hua, T.; Chen, X. Selective Adsorption of Lead on Grafted and Crosslinked Chitosan Nanoparticles Prepared by Using Pb²⁺ as Template. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2016**, *308*, 225–232. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Uddin, M.T.; Islam, M.A.; Mahmud, S.; Rukanuzzaman, M. Adsorptive Removal of Methylene Blue by Tea Waste. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2009**, *164*, 53–60. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Wang, J.; Guo, X. Adsorption Isotherm Models: Classification, Physical Meaning, Application and Solving Method. *Chemosphere* **2020**, *258*, 127279. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Kaur, K.; Jindal, R. Comparative Study on the Behaviour of Chitosan-Gelatin Based Hydrogel and Nanocomposite Ion Exchanger Synthesized under Microwave Conditions towards Photocatalytic Removal of Cationic Dyes. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2019**, *207*, 398–410. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Freundlich, H. Of the Adsorption of Gases. Section II. Kinetics and Energetics of Gas Adsorption. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1932**, *28*, 195–201. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Langmuir, I. The adsorption of gases on plane surfaces of glass, mica and platinum. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1919**, *40*, 1361–1403. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Sips, R. On the Structure of a Catalyst Surface. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1948**, *16*, 490–495. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Saraiva, S.M.; Miguel, S.P.; Ribeiro, M.P.; Coutinho, P.; Correia, I.J. Synthesis and Characterization of a Photocrosslinkable Chitosan-Gelatin Hydrogel Aimed for Tissue Regeneration. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 63478–63488. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Fajardo, A.R.; Fávoro, S.L.; Rubira, A.F.; Muniz, E.C. Dual-Network Hydrogels Based on Chemically and Physically Crosslinked Chitosan/Chondroitin Sulfate. *React. Funct. Polym.* **2013**, *73*, 1662–1671. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Feng, Z.; Hakkarainen, M.; Grützmacher, H.; Chiappone, A.; Sangermano, M. Photocrosslinked Chitosan Hydrogels Reinforced with Chitosan-Derived Nano-Graphene Oxide. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *220*, 1900174. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Claaßen, C.; Claaßen, M.H.; Truffault, V.; Sewald, L.; Tovar, G.E.M.; Borchers, K.; Southan, A. Quantification of Substitution of Gelatin Methacryloyl: Best Practice and Current Pitfalls. *Biomacromolecules* **2018**, *19*, 42–52. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Zhu, M.; Wang, Y.; Ferracci, G.; Zheng, J.; Cho, N.J.; Lee, B.H. Gelatin Methacryloyl and Its Hydrogels with an Exceptional Degree of Controllability and Batch-to-Batch Consistency. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 6863. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Reis, A.V.; Guilherme, M.R.; Moia, T.A.; Mattoso, L.H.C.; Muniz, E.C.; Tambourgi, E.B. Synthesis and Characterization of a Starch-Modified Hydrogel as Potential Carrier for Drug Delivery System. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A Polym. Chem.* **2008**, *46*, 2567–2574. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Bayramoglu, G. Methacrylated Chitosan Based UV Curable Support for Enzyme Immobilization. *Mater. Res.* **2017**, *20*, 452–459. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Gopi, D.; Shinyjoy, E.; Karthika, A.; Nithiya, S.; Kavitha, L.; Rajeswari, D.; Tang, T. Single Walled Carbon Nanotubes Reinforced Mineralized Hydroxyapatite Composite Coatings on Titanium for Improved Biocompatible Implant Applications. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 36766–36778. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Noè, C.; Tonda-Turo, C.; Chiappone, A.; Sangermano, M.; Hakkarainen, M. Light Processable Starch Hydrogels. *Polymers* **2020**, *12*, 1359. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Ghamkhari, A.; Pouyafar, A.; Salehi, R.; Rahbarghazi, R. Chrysin and Docetaxel Loaded Biodegradable Micelle for Combination Chemotherapy of Cancer Stem Cell. *Pharm. Res.* **2019**, *36*, 165. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Zhao, S.; Zhou, F.; Li, L.; Cao, M.; Zuo, D.; Liu, H. Removal of Anionic Dyes from Aqueous Solutions by Adsorption of Chitosan-Based Semi-IPN Hydrogel Composites. *Compos. Part B Eng.* **2012**, *43*, 1570–1578. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Katime, I.; Mendizábal, E. Swelling Properties of New Hydrogels Based on the Dimethyl Amino Ethyl Acrylate Methyl Chloride Quaternary Salt with Acrylic Acid and 2-Methylene Butane-1,4-Dioic Acid Monomers in Aqueous Solutions. *Mater. Sci. Appl.* **2010**, *1*, 162–167. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Zhang, L.; Zeng, Y.; Cheng, Z. Removal of Heavy Metal Ions Using Chitosan and Modified Chitosan: A Review. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2016**, *214*, 175–191. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Hu, Y.; Jiang, X.; Ding, Y.; Ge, H.; Yuan, Y.; Yang, C. Synthesis and Characterization of Chitosan-Poly(Acrylic Acid) Nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* **2002**, *23*, 3193–3201. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Wang, W.B.; Huang, D.J.; Kang, Y.R.; Wang, A.Q. One-Step in Situ Fabrication of a Granular Semi-IPN Hydrogel Based on Chitosan and Gelatin for Fast and Efficient Adsorption of Cu²⁺ Ion. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* **2013**, *106*, 51–59. [[CrossRef](#)]

63. Dambies, L.; Vincent, T.; Guibal, E. Treatment of Arsenic-Containing Solutions Using Chitosan Derivatives: Uptake Mechanism and Sorption Performances. *Water Res.* **2002**, *36*, 3699–3710. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Ladeira, A.C.Q.; Ciminelli, V.S.T. Adsorption and Desorption of Arsenic on an Oxisol and Its Constituents. *Water Res.* **2004**, *38*, 2087–2094. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
65. Yu, X.; Tong, S.; Ge, M.; Zuo, J.; Cao, C.; Song, W. One-Step Synthesis of Magnetic Composites of Cellulose@iron Oxide Nanoparticles for Arsenic Removal. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 959–965. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Chen, B.; Zhu, Z.; Ma, J.; Qiu, Y.; Chen, J. Surfactant Assisted Ce-Fe Mixed Oxide Decorated Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes and Their Arsenic Adsorption Performance. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 11355–11367. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Jin, L.; Bai, R. Mechanisms of Lead Adsorption on Chitosan/PVA Hydrogel Beads. *Langmuir* **2002**, *18*, 9765–9770. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Asandei, D.; Bulgariu, L.; Bobu, E. Lead (II) Removal from Aqueous Solutions by Adsorption onto Chitosan. *Cellul. Chem. Technol.* **2009**, *43*, 211–216.
69. Sun, X.; Peng, B.; Ji, Y.; Chen, J.; Li, D. Chitosan(Chitin)/Cellulose Composite Biosorbents Prepared Using Ionic Liquid for Heavy Metal Ions Adsorption. *AIChE J.* **2009**, *55*, 2062–2069. [[CrossRef](#)]