

The generalized Wiener-Hopf equations for wave motion in angular regions: Electromagnetic application

Original

The generalized Wiener-Hopf equations for wave motion in angular regions: Electromagnetic application / Daniele, V. G.; Lombardi, G.. - In: PROCEEDINGS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY OF LONDON. SERIES A. - ISSN 1364-5021. - ELETTRONICO. - 477:2252(2021), p. 20210040. [10.1098/rspa.2021.0040]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2939412 since: 2021-11-22T17:22:09Z

Publisher:

Royal Society Publishing

Published

DOI:10.1098/rspa.2021.0040

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

One contribution to a special feature Advances in Wiener-Hopf type techniques: theory and applications

Subject Areas:

applied mathematics, computational physics, mathematical physics, wave motion, electromagnetics

Keywords:

Wave motion, Wedge, Scattering, Wiener-Hopf method, Integral equations, Spectral Domain, Electromagnetics

Author for correspondence:

Guido Lombardi
e-mail: guido.lombardi@polito.it

The Generalized Wiener-Hopf Equations for the wave motion in angular regions: electromagnetic application

V. G. Daniele¹ and G. Lombardi¹

¹DET-Poltecnico di Torino, 10129 Torino, Italy

In this work, we introduce a general method to deduce spectral functional equations and thus, the Generalized Wiener-Hopf Equations (GWHEs) for the wave motion in angular regions filled by arbitrary linear homogeneous media and illuminated by sources localized at infinity with application to electromagnetics.

The functional equations are obtained by solving vector differential equations of first order that model the problem. The application of the boundary conditions to the functional equations yields GWHEs for practical problems.

This paper shows the general theory and the validity of GWHEs in the context of electromagnetic applications with respect to the current literature. Extension to scattering problems by wedges in arbitrarily linear media in different physics will be presented in future works.

1. Introduction

The extension of the Wiener-Hopf (WH) technique in angular regions [1]- [5] demonstrated its efficacy to solve electromagnetic wave scattering problems in presence of geometries containing angular regions and/or stratified planar regions, see for instance [6]- [10] and reference therein.

This technique consists of three steps: 1) the deduction of functional equations in spectral domain of sub-regions that constitute the whole geometry of the problem, 2) the imposition of boundary conditions to get the Generalized Wiener-Hopf Equations (GWHEs) and, 3) the solution of the system of the WH equations using exact or semi-analytical/approximate techniques of factorization as the Fredholm factorization technique [11], [12], [5]- [10].

© The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

2 This paper is focused on the first and second steps of the procedure showing a new general
3 methodology. In particular, we deduce spectral functional equations and GWHEs for angular
4 regions filled by arbitrary linear homogeneous media in a general framework, following the
5 procedure first proposed in [3] with applications to electromagnetics.

6 The introduction of the GWHEs in angular region was inspired by Vekua in [13]. This book
7 introduces the Hilbert generalized equations and shows that, with slight modifications, these
8 equations can be solved using the same procedures developed for the solution of the functional
9 equation for classical Hilbert problems. We note that these equations are more general than the
10 ones defined in the WH method.

11 The GWHEs differ from the Classical Wiener-Hopf equations (CWHEs) for the definitions of
12 the unknowns in spectral domain. While CWHEs introduce plus and minus functions that are
13 always defined in the same complex plane, the GWHEs present plus and minus functions that are
14 defined in different complex planes but related together. However, in several important practical
15 cases, suitable mappings allow to redefine the plus and the minus functions of GWHEs in the
16 same complex plane: for instance, in angular subregions see the mapping reported in [1]- [6].
17 With this transformation we ensure the remarkable property that GWHEs reduce to CWHEs.

18 When the problem can be formulated in terms of Helmholtz equations, the GWHEs are related
19 to the difference equation of the Sommerfeld-Malyuzhinets method (SM): see for instance, in
20 wedge problem [10] and references therein. In particular, the mapping $\eta = -k \cos w$ relates the
21 spectral variables η and w , respectively defined in the WH equations using the Laplace transform
22 and in the difference equations using the SM method. Passing from the η plane to the w plane
23 (and vice-versa) is an expedient that allows to exploit solution properties of the same problem
24 with two methods (WH factorization technique and SM difference equations). Hence, the analysis
25 of problems with SM and WH methods determine a helpful synergy. This means that the study
26 of scattering problems in presence of angular regions with different methods is fundamental.
27 In particular, important improvements on the SM method are reported in the books of Babich,
28 Bernard, Budaev, Lyalinov [15]- [18] and reference therein.

29 The introduction of the GWHEs in scattering problems by angular regions presents some
30 aspects in common with the study of right bounded regions, see [5], [10] and references therein.
31 In particular, several works on right-angled structures have been studied in terms of Riemann-
32 Hilbert (RH) formulations [38]- [40] and relationship between RH and WH methods may be
33 examined in depth. However, Wiener-Hopf and/or Riemann-Hilbert formulations of angular
34 regions have been rarely considered in literature and fully interpreted. For what concerns the
35 WH method, the last equation of the Example 5.15 of [14] at page 219 is a GWHE. In particular,
36 Noble suggested the mapping $\eta = -k \cos w$ as a natural substitution to get the solution.

37 We also observe that Gautesen in numerous papers (see for example [19]- [22]) proposed
38 the solution of the fundamental scattering problem from an elastic wedge, where the
39 functional angular equations are substantially GWHEs although not defined in this way. This
40 author provides efficient semi-analytical solutions of the spectral equations using the Cauchy
41 decomposition formula in the spectral plane. His method can be considered an efficient technique
42 to approximately solve GWHEs.

43 GWHEs were also introduced in [23]- [24] for solving the electromagnetic scattering problem of
44 a Perfectly Electrical Conducting (PEC) wedge as well as of an impedance wedge. These authors
45 are aware that their equations might be dealt with the factorization technique, however they
46 proposed a solution based on the SM method and difference equations.

47 A last set of works concerning the introduction of GWHEs in wedge problems is [25]- [26].
48 The novelty of these works resides on the application of a mapping that provides a factorization
49 method to solve difference equations in SM method for acoustic impenetrable wedge scalar
50 scattering problems. We recall also that the factorization method to solve difference equations
51 was, for example, proposed in [27]. We note that the mapping used in [25] resembles the one
52 introduced in [1]- [6] but the motivation of its introduction is different. In particular, in [1]- [6] the

53 mapping is introduced to systematically reduce GWHEs of general angular shaped region wave
54 problems defined in Laplace domain to the usual classical WH equations [14].

55 As per rectangular regions, the WH equations of scattering problems in angular regions
56 can be obtained using two strategies. The first method consists of formulating the problem in
57 terms of integral equations in the natural domain using suitable Green's functions [28]. Since
58 the formulation contains integral representations with convolutional kernels the application of
59 the Fourier or Laplace transforms yields the WH equations in the spectral domain. The second
60 method to obtain the WH equations in spectral domain is proposed by Jones [29], [14]. It is based
61 on the application of the Fourier or the Laplace transforms directly to the partial differential
62 equation formulation of the problem avoiding the necessity to study the Green's function
63 representations in the natural domain. The Jones' procedure is convenient, flexible and applicable
64 to arbitrary media and physics where the evaluation of the Green's function can constitute a
65 cumbersome difficult problem. While the deduction of the functional equations in [19]- [26] is
66 based on the first method also using the second Green's identity, we propose in this paper the
67 Jones' method. We note that, in order to apply the Jones's approach to get the GWHEs in presence
68 of angular region problems, it is important to introduce partial differential equation formulations
69 using oblique Cartesian coordinates as [1]- [5].

70 We have developed different strategies to apply the Jones's method. In this paper we use a
71 novel general first order differential vector formulation for transverse components of the fields
72 as in [3]- [4] and first proposed as method in [30]- [31] for rectangular problems. The method
73 differs from the one reported in [1], [2], [5] where the second order differential formulation
74 (wave equation) is applied. We claim the superiority of the new procedure (based on first
75 order formulation) to get spectral functional equations in angular regions, since it is capable to
76 model arbitrary linear media in systematic steps as illustrated in the paper. Derivation of explicit
77 equations require the implementation of the procedure reported in the paper, illustrated explicitly
78 for isotropic media and extendable to more complex media (see e.g. Appendix A). While the first
79 order procedure provides a method to get the functional equations for general arbitrary linear
80 media filling the angular region, we note that the second order formulation [2], [5] is unpractical in
81 non-isotropic media since no systematic procedural steps are available. Moreover, the first order
82 differential formulation can be extended also to wave motion problems in different physics.

83 In this paper, plane wave sources and/or sources localized at infinity are considered in time
84 harmonic electromagnetic field with a time dependence specified by $e^{j\omega t}$ (electrical engineering
85 notation) which is suppressed. The paper is organized into six sections, two appendices and a
86 glossary. The deduction of the GWHEs for scattering problems by wedges in arbitrary linear
87 homogeneous medium is based on applying the boundary conditions to relevant spectral
88 functional equations of angular regions. The main aim of this paper is to get these functional
89 equations by introducing a conceptually simple technique starting from first order differential
90 vector formulation in terms of transverse components of fields (transverse equations). In order to
91 develop this technique, a preliminary study based on an abstract formulation of the Maxwell's
92 equations in an indefinite homogeneous medium is necessary, as reported in Section 2. We recall
93 that this methodology is also useful to study propagation in stratified media.

94 Using oblique Cartesian coordinates and taking into account the results of Section 2, Section
95 3 describes the novel application of the method to angular regions with oblique Cartesian
96 coordinates, yielding the oblique transverse equations. The solution of these oblique transverse
97 equations (Section 3), projected on the reciprocal eigenvectors of an algebraic matrix defined in
98 Section 2, provides the functional equations of an arbitrary angular region, reported in Section 4. It
99 is remarkable that we get functional equations independently from the materials and the sources
100 that can be present outside the considered angular region. Properties and validations of functional
101 equations and how to get the GWHEs by imposing the boundary conditions on the two faces of
102 the angular region is finally reported in Section 5 for isotropic media, with conclusions in Section
103 6. Appendix A reports fundamental explicit matrices to apply the methodology to anisotropic

104 media, while Appendix B justifies the dyadic Green's function formula of Section 4. The Glossary
105 reports the main abbreviations, notations and symbols useful for the readability of the text.

106 2. First order differential transverse equations for indefinite 107 rectangular regions filled by arbitrary linear homogeneous 108 media

The evaluation of the physical fields in a linear medium can be generally described by a system of partial differential equation of first order. In absence of sources localized at finite or in presence of plane wave sources, the system assumes the homogeneous abstract form:

$$\Gamma_{\nabla} \cdot \psi = \theta \quad (2.1)$$

where Γ_{∇} is a matrix differential operator that contains partial derivatives of first order, ψ is a vector that defines the field to be evaluated and θ is an additional field that is related to the field ψ through constitutive relations depending on the parameters that define the physical characteristic of the medium where the field is considered. ψ and θ are vectors having the same dimensions and the constitutive relations are defined by the equation

$$\theta = W \cdot \psi \quad (2.2)$$

109 where the matrix W depends on the medium that is considered.

In electromagnetism, the fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} in an arbitrary homogeneous linear medium are governed by the Maxwell's equations and present the following constitutive relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} &= \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{E} + \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{H} \\ \mathbf{B} &= \boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{H} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

thus, in electromagnetic applications, (2.1) and (2.2) are defined by:

$$\psi = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{vmatrix}, \theta = j\omega \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{D} \\ -\mathbf{B} \end{vmatrix}, \Gamma_{\nabla} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \nabla \times \mathbf{1} \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{vmatrix}, W = j\omega \begin{vmatrix} \varepsilon & \boldsymbol{\xi} \\ -\boldsymbol{\zeta} & -\boldsymbol{\mu} \end{vmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

110 where $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit dyadic in the Euclidean space. An extended and detailed treatise about this
111 abstract formulation is reported in [34] that is not easily accessible and not well known in the
112 scientific community; for this reason here we report a short introduction and then our application.

113 To complete the formulation of the field problem via (2.1)-(2.4) we also need to impose the
114 geometrical domain of the problem, its boundary conditions and the radiation condition.

115 In our method, first, we derive spectral functional equations avoiding the application of
116 boundary conditions for a particular domain and, then, in practical problems we impose the
117 boundary conditions coupling different regions and yielding the GWHEs of the problem.

118 For this reason, in the following sections the boundary conditions will appear only at Section 5
119 where a practical classical problem will be examined as an example of implementation procedure:
120 the Malyuzhinets' problem.

121 The application of abstract formulation to the electromagnetic study of stratified medium
122 along a direction (say y) is fundamental to introduce several important concepts in wave
123 propagation (see for example [32]- [33]). In particular the introduction of the transverse equations
124 can be used for the analysis of indefinite regions and in Section 3 for the development of the
125 theory for angular regions. The transverse equations of a field are equations that involve only the
126 components of the field ψ , say ψ_t , that remain continuous along the stratification according to the
127 boundary conditions on the interfaces. In [34] the abstract deduction of the transverse equations
128 is obtained starting from the abstract equations (2.1) and (2.2).

In the following, we assume $y=\text{const}$ in Cartesian coordinates as the interface among media of rectangular shape (layers). To get the boundary conditions the method resorts to a suitable application of the divergence theorem on the equation (2.1) (see for example [30]). In

electromagnetism, the transverse field for a stratification along the y direction is

$$\psi_t = |\mathbf{E}_t \mathbf{H}_t|' = |E_z E_x H_z H_x|' \quad (2.5)$$

where $'$ stands for transpose and, $\mathbf{E}_t = \hat{z}E_z + \hat{x}E_x$, $\mathbf{H}_t = \hat{z}H_z + \hat{x}H_x$ satisfy the boundary condition of continuity on the interfaces of the stratification.

Following [34], we deduce the electromagnetic transverse equations with respect to y starting from (2.1)-(2.4) for a general bianisotropic medium with constitutive parameters W where ε , ξ , ζ , μ are tensors. For practical evaluation we assume Cartesian coordinates with the ordering (z, x, y) . We start from the decomposition of the differential operator

$$\nabla = \nabla_t + \hat{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \nabla_t = \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \hat{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad (2.6)$$

that yields

$$\Gamma_{\nabla} = \Gamma_t + \Gamma_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad (2.7)$$

with

$$\Gamma_t = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \nabla_t \times \mathbf{1} \\ \nabla_t \times \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_y = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \hat{y} \times \mathbf{1} \\ \hat{y} \times \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{1} = \hat{z}\hat{z} + \hat{x}\hat{x} + \hat{y}\hat{y} \quad (2.8)$$

We observe that the following dyadic relations hold:

$$I_t \cdot \Gamma_t = \Gamma_t \cdot I_y, \quad I_t \cdot \Gamma_y = \Gamma_y \cdot I_t = \Gamma_y, \quad I_y \cdot \Gamma_t = \Gamma_t \cdot I_t, \quad I_y \cdot \Gamma_y = \Gamma_y \cdot I_y = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$I_t = \begin{vmatrix} 1_t & 0 \\ 0 & 1_t \end{vmatrix}, \quad I_y = \begin{vmatrix} 1_y & 0 \\ 0 & 1_y \end{vmatrix}, \quad 1_t = \hat{z}\hat{z} + \hat{x}\hat{x}, \quad 1_y = \hat{y}\hat{y} \quad (2.10)$$

Taking into account (2.6)-(2.10), the first member of (2.1) becomes:

$$\Gamma_{\nabla} \cdot \psi = (\Gamma_t + \Gamma_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})\psi = \Gamma_t \cdot \psi_t + \Gamma_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \psi_t + \Gamma_t \cdot \psi_y \quad (2.11)$$

where $\psi_t = |\mathbf{E}_t \mathbf{H}_t|' = |E_z E_x H_z H_x|'$ and $\psi_y = |E_y \hat{y} H_y \hat{y}|'$ with $\mathbf{E}_t = \hat{z}E_z + \hat{x}E_x$, $\mathbf{H}_t = \hat{z}H_z + \hat{x}H_x$.

Using the representation

$$W = W_{tt} + W_{ty} + W_{yt} + W_{yy} \quad (2.12)$$

where $W_{tt} = I_t \cdot W \cdot I_t$, $W_{ty} = I_t \cdot W \cdot I_y$, $W_{yt} = I_y \cdot W \cdot I_t$, $W_{yy} = I_y \cdot W \cdot I_y$, we have the following decomposition in transversal and longitudinal components of (2.1)

$$I_y \cdot \Gamma_t \cdot \psi_t = W_{yt} \cdot \psi_t + W_{yy} \cdot \psi_y \quad (2.13)$$

$$I_t \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Gamma_y \cdot \psi_t + I_t \cdot \Gamma_t \cdot \psi_y = W_{tt} \cdot \psi_t + W_{ty} \cdot \psi_y \quad (2.14)$$

By substituting the matrix \hat{W}_y defined by

$$\hat{W}_y \cdot W_{yy} = W_{yy} \cdot \hat{W}_y = I_y \quad (2.15)$$

into (2.13), it yields the relation that connects the longitudinal field ψ_y in terms of the transversal field ψ_t :

$$\psi_y = \hat{W}_y \cdot (I_y \cdot \Gamma_t - W_{yt}) \cdot \psi_t \quad (2.16)$$

where explicitly

$$\hat{W}_y = \frac{1}{j\omega (\varepsilon_y \mu_y - \xi_y \zeta_y)} \begin{vmatrix} \mu_y 1_y & \xi_y 1_y \\ -\zeta_y 1_y & -\varepsilon_y 1_y \end{vmatrix} \quad (2.17)$$

Taking into account that $\Gamma_y^2 = -I_t$, the substitution of (2.16) into (2.14) yields the transversal Maxwell's equations (2.18):

$$\boxed{-\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \psi_t = \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \cdot \psi_t} \quad (2.18)$$

where the matrix operator of dimension four $\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = -\Gamma_y \cdot \left[I_t \cdot (\Gamma_t - W_{ty}) \cdot \hat{W}_y \cdot (I_y \cdot \Gamma_t - W_{yt}) - W_{tt} \right] \quad (2.19)$$

In the case of isotropic medium i.e. with

$$W = j\omega \begin{vmatrix} \varepsilon \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu \mathbf{1} \end{vmatrix} \quad (2.20)$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{jD_x D_z}{\varepsilon\omega} & \frac{j(D_z^2 + \varepsilon\mu\omega^2)}{\varepsilon\omega} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{j(D_x^2 + \varepsilon\mu\omega^2)}{\varepsilon\omega} & \frac{jD_x D_z}{\varepsilon\omega} \\ \frac{jD_x D_z}{\mu\omega} & -\frac{j(D_z^2 + \varepsilon\mu\omega^2)}{\mu\omega} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{j(D_x^2 + \varepsilon\mu\omega^2)}{\mu\omega} & -\frac{jD_x D_z}{\mu\omega} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.21)$$

133 where $D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $D_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $D_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Further specific examples in electromagnetism, elasticity and
134 more general field are reported in [5], [10], [12], [30]- [31], [35].

Here we assume that the geometry of the problem is invariant along the z -direction, thus, without loss of generality, we assume $\psi_t = \psi_t(x, y, z) = f(x, y)e^{-j\alpha_o z}$. It yields $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_t(x, y, z) = -j\alpha_o \psi_t(x, y, z)$, i.e. $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rightarrow -j\alpha_o$, thus

$$\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = \mathcal{M}(-j\alpha_o, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) = M_o + M_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + M_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + M_3 \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} \dots \quad (2.22)$$

Taking into account (2.19), the number of non-null terms at the second member of (2.22) depends on Γ_t and thus it is three, i.e. $M_m = 0$ for $m > 2$. The explicit expressions of the matrices M_m are defined by the problem under investigation and, in a general electromagnetic medium, the matrices M_m are of dimension four. In an isotropic medium, from (2.21), we have

$$M_o = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{j(-\alpha_o^2 + \varepsilon\mu\omega^2)}{\varepsilon\omega} \\ 0 & 0 & -j\mu\omega & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{j(-\alpha_o^2 + \varepsilon\mu\omega^2)}{\mu\omega} & 0 & 0 \\ j\varepsilon\omega & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{\alpha_o}{\varepsilon\omega} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha_o}{\varepsilon\omega} \\ \frac{\alpha_o}{\mu\omega} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\alpha_o}{\mu\omega} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{j}{\varepsilon\omega} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{j}{\mu\omega} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

135 where we have omitted the dependence on $-j\alpha_o$.

136 The explicit expression of \mathcal{M} (2.19) for a general arbitrary linear medium in electromagnetic
137 applications is reported in [3], while in the Appendix A we report the anisotropic case. For
138 readability, in the following, we will develop explicit expressions in isotropic media even if the
139 theory and the procedure are completely valid for the general case. As shown in [12], [30], [31],
140 [33] the transverse equations are very useful (independently from the application of Section 3) to
141 deduce the WH equation in stratified media with discontinuity at the interfaces.

142 (a) The eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of \mathcal{M} in spectral domain

By applying Fourier transform along x direction to (2.18) with (2.22)-(2.23) ($M_m = 0$, $m > 2$) in absence of source, we obtain an ordinary vector first order differential equation

$$-\frac{d}{dy}\Psi_t(\eta) = M(\eta) \cdot \Psi_t(\eta) \quad (2.24)$$

143 where $\psi_t(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_t(\eta) e^{-j\eta x} d\eta$ (notation with omission of y, z dependence) and

$$M(\eta) = \mathcal{M}(-j\alpha_o, -j\eta) = M_o - j\eta M_1 - \eta^2 M_2 \quad (2.25)$$

144 where $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rightarrow -j\alpha_o$ for the presence of the field factor $e^{-j\alpha_o z}$ (see also comment before (2.22)) and
145 $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rightarrow -j\eta$ for the property of Fourier transforms.

Now, let us investigate the properties of the eigenvalue problem (2.26) associated to the differential problem:

$$M(\eta) \cdot u_i(\eta) = \lambda_i(\eta) u_i(\eta) \quad (2.26)$$

146 We anticipate that the eigenvalues λ_i and the eigenvectors $u_i(\eta)$ ($i = 1..4$) of the matrix $M(\eta)$
147 (2.26) in rectangular shaped regions will play a fundamental role to get the functional equations
148 of an angular region as solution of the differential problem.

149 In presence of a passive medium, we observe that two eigenvalues (say λ_1, λ_2) present non-
150 negative real part and the other two eigenvalues (say λ_3, λ_4) present non-positive real part.
151 While λ_1, λ_2 are related to progressive waves, λ_3, λ_4 are associated to regressive waves. In this
152 framework we associate the direction of propagation to attenuation phenomena, while we let free
153 of constraint the phase variation to model also left-handed materials.

The eigenvalues of the matrix $M(\eta)$ are

$$\lambda_1 = j\xi_1(\eta), \lambda_2 = j\xi_2(\eta), \lambda_3 = -j\xi_3(\eta), \lambda_4 = -j\xi_4(\eta) \quad (2.27)$$

In medium having reflection symmetry we have $\xi_{3,4}(\eta) = \xi_{1,2}(\eta)$. For simplicity and to get explicit simple expressions, let us consider homogeneous isotropic lossy media (see extension to anisotropic media in the Appendix A). For these media we have

$$\xi_i(\eta) = \xi(\eta) = \sqrt{\tau_o^2 - \eta^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (2.28)$$

154 where $\tau_o = \sqrt{k^2 - \alpha_o^2}$ with $Im[\tau_o] < 0$ and $k = \omega\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}$ is the propagation constant with $Im[k] <$
155 0 (normally $Re[k] > 0$, otherwise $Re[k] < 0$ in left-handed materials). Since $k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 =$
156 $\eta^2 + \xi^2 + \alpha_o^2$, $\xi(\eta)$ is a multivalued function of η . In the following we assume as proper sheet
157 of $\xi(\eta)$ the one with $\xi(0) = \tau_o$ and as branch lines the classical line $Im[\xi(\eta)] = 0$ (see in practical
158 engineering estimations Ch. 5.3b of [33]) or the vertical line ($Re[\eta] = Re[\tau_o]$, $Im[\eta] < Im[\tau_o]$).

In isotropic media, according to (2.27) and (2.28), the eigenvalue are $\lambda_{1,2} = -\lambda_{3,4} = j\xi(\eta)$. The eigenvectors $u_i(\eta) = u_i$ corresponding to λ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are

$$u_1 = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\tau_o^2}{\omega\epsilon\xi} \\ -\frac{\alpha_o\eta}{\omega\epsilon\xi} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}, u_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\alpha_o\eta}{(\xi^2 + \alpha_o^2)} \\ \frac{\alpha_o\eta}{\omega\epsilon\xi} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}, u_3 = \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{\tau_o^2}{\omega\epsilon\xi} \\ \frac{\alpha_o\eta}{\omega\epsilon\xi} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}, u_4 = \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_o\eta}{(\xi^2 + \alpha_o^2)} \\ \frac{\alpha_o\eta}{\omega\epsilon\xi} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} \quad (2.29)$$

We also introduce the reciprocal vectors $\nu_i(\eta)$ of the eigenvectors $u_i(\eta)$ that are the eigenvectors of the transpose of the matrix $M(\eta)$. The vectors $\nu_i(\eta)$ satisfy the bi-orthogonal relations

$$\nu_j \cdot u_i = \delta_{ji} \quad (2.30)$$

or alternatively

$$1_t = u_1\nu_1 + u_2\nu_2 + u_3\nu_3 + u_4\nu_4 \quad (2.31)$$

159 where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol, 1_t is the identity dyadic such that $1_t \cdot M = M \cdot 1_t$ and in (2.31)
 160 we assume dyadic products.

According to the definition reported in (2.30) we obtain from (2.29) the reciprocal vectors $\nu_i(\eta) = \nu_i$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1 &= \left| \begin{array}{ccc|c} \frac{\xi^2 + \alpha_o^2}{2\omega \mu \xi} & \frac{\alpha_o \eta}{2\omega \mu \xi} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \hline \end{array} \right|, \nu_2 = \left| \begin{array}{ccc|c} -\frac{\alpha_o \eta}{2\omega \mu \xi} & -\frac{\tau_o^2}{2\omega \mu \xi} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \right| \\ \nu_3 &= \left| \begin{array}{ccc|c} -\frac{\xi^2 + \alpha_o^2}{2\omega \mu \xi} & -\frac{\alpha_o \eta}{2\omega \mu \xi} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \hline \end{array} \right|, \nu_4 = \left| \begin{array}{ccc|c} \frac{\alpha_o \eta}{2\omega \mu \xi} & \frac{\tau_o^2}{2\omega \mu \xi} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

161 3. First order differential oblique transverse equations for 162 angular regions filled by arbitrary linear homogeneous media

163 In this section we introduce the oblique transverse equations using an oblique system of Cartesian
 164 axes and applying the properties reported in Section 2 for rectangular regions. In the following
 165 sections, first, we deduce spectral functional equations then, by imposing boundary conditions,
 166 the GWHs for any arbitrary medium with angular shape [3]- [4].

With reference to Fig. 1, where angular regions are defined through the angle γ ($0 < \gamma < \pi$), let us introduce the oblique Cartesian coordinates u, v, z in terms of the Cartesian coordinates x, y, z :

$$u = x - y \cot \gamma, v = \frac{y}{\sin \gamma} \text{ or } x = u + v \cos \gamma, y = v \sin \gamma \quad (3.1)$$

with partial derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} = -\cot \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{1}{\sin \gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial u} &= \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, & \frac{\partial}{\partial v} &= \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \cos \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$




Figure 1. Angular regions and oblique Cartesian coordinates. The figure reports the x, y, z Cartesian coordinates and ρ, φ, z cylindrical coordinates useful to define the oblique Cartesian coordinate system u, v, z with reference to the angular region 1 $0 < \varphi < \gamma$ with $0 < \gamma < \pi$. In the figure, the space is divided into four angular regions delimited by $\varphi = \gamma$ and the face boundaries are labeled a,b,c,d.

In the following, we consider the system of transverse (with respect to y) equations of dimension four for an electromagnetic problem with invariant geometry along z -direction (i.e. $e^{-j\alpha_o z}$ field dependence) in an arbitrary homogeneous linear medium (see Section 2 in particular

(2.18) with (2.22)-(2.23)):

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\psi_t = \mathcal{M}(-j\alpha_o, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \cdot \psi_t = (M_o + M_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + M_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}) \cdot \psi_t \quad (3.3)$$

Substituting (3.2), in particular $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -\cot \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{1}{\sin \gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$, into (3.3), we obtain

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\psi_t = \mathcal{M}_e(-j\alpha_o, \frac{\partial}{\partial u}) \cdot \psi_t = (M_{e0} + M_{e1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + M_{e2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2}) \cdot \psi_t \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$M_{e0} = M_o \sin \gamma, \quad M_{e1} = M_1 \sin \gamma - I_t \cos \gamma, \quad M_{e2} = M_2 \sin \gamma \quad (3.5)$$

167 For the sake of simplicity and in order to get simple explicit expressions, let us consider a
 168 homogeneous isotropic medium, even if the procedure is general and applicable to arbitrary
 169 linear media (definitions for the anisotropic case are reported in the Appendix A). For isotropic
 170 media we have

$$M_{e0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{j(-\alpha_o^2 + \varepsilon\mu\omega^2) \sin \gamma}{\varepsilon\omega} \\ 0 & 0 & -j\mu\omega \sin \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{j(-\alpha_o^2 + \varepsilon\mu\omega^2) \sin \gamma}{\mu\omega} & 0 & 0 \\ j\varepsilon\omega \sin \gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_{e1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos \gamma & 0 & -\frac{\alpha_o \sin \gamma}{\varepsilon\omega} & 0 \\ 0 & -\cos \gamma & 0 & \frac{\alpha_o \sin \gamma}{\varepsilon\omega} \\ \frac{\alpha_o \sin \gamma}{\mu\omega} & 0 & -\cos \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\alpha_o \sin \gamma}{\mu\omega} & 0 & -\cos \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$M_{e2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{j \sin \gamma}{\varepsilon\omega} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{j \sin \gamma}{\mu\omega} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

By applying the Fourier transform along $x = u$ direction to (3.4) (i.e. $\psi_t(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_t(\eta) e^{-j\eta x} d\eta$ with notation omitting v, z dependence), we obtain

$$-\frac{d}{dv}\Psi_t(\eta) = M_e(\gamma, \eta) \cdot \Psi_t(\eta) \quad (3.7)$$

with

$$M_e(\gamma, \eta) = \mathcal{M}_e(-j\alpha_o, -j\eta) = M_{e0} - j\eta M_{e1} - \eta^2 M_{e2} \quad (3.8)$$

171 since $\frac{\partial}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rightarrow -j\eta$.

172 (a) Link between eigenvalues of $M(\eta)$ and $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$

In oblique coordinates, the solution of (3.7) is related to the eigenvalue problem

$$M_e(\gamma, \eta) \cdot u_{ei}(\gamma, \eta) = \lambda_{ei}(\gamma, \eta) u_{ei}(\gamma, \eta) \quad (3.9)$$

where λ_{ei} and $u_{ei}(\eta)$ ($i = 1..n$) are respectively the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the matrix $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ of dimension $n = 4$ in our application. Using (3.7) and (3.8), equation (3.9) becomes

$$(M_o \sin \gamma - j\eta M_1 \sin \gamma - \eta^2 M_2 \sin \gamma) \cdot u_{ei}(\gamma, \eta) = (\lambda_{ei}(\gamma, \eta) - j\eta \cos \gamma) u_{ei}(\gamma, \eta) \quad (3.10)$$

and thus

$$M(\eta) \cdot u_{ei}(\gamma, \eta) = \left(\frac{\lambda_{ei}(\gamma, \eta) - j\eta \cos \gamma}{\sin \gamma} \right) u_{ei}(\gamma, \eta) \quad (3.11)$$

Comparing (3.11) with (2.26) we observe the relation among the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the two problems. The two problems defined by the matrices $M(\eta)$ and $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ have same eigenvectors

$$u_{ei}(\gamma, \eta) = u_i(\eta) \quad (3.12)$$

thus same reciprocal vectors and related eigenvalues

$$\frac{\lambda_{ei}(\gamma, \eta) - j\eta \cos \gamma}{\sin \gamma} = \lambda_i(\eta) \quad (3.13)$$

Since $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ and $M(\eta)$ have same eigenvectors (3.12) and the eigenvectors of $M(\eta)$ are $u_i(\eta)$ reported in (2.29), we note the important property that the eigenvectors of $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ do not depend on the aperture angle γ (Fig. 1). From (3.13), the eigenvalues λ_{ei} of $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ can be re-written using the notation (2.27):

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta) &= j(\eta \cos \gamma + \sin \gamma \xi_1(\eta)) \\ \lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta) &= j(\eta \cos \gamma + \sin \gamma \xi_2(\eta)) \\ \lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta) &= j(\eta \cos \gamma - \sin \gamma \xi_3(\eta)) \\ \lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta) &= j(\eta \cos \gamma - \sin \gamma \xi_4(\eta)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

173 where $\lambda_{e1}, \lambda_{e2}$ ($\lambda_{e3}, \lambda_{e4}$) are related to progressive (regressive) waves.

For what concerns the specific case of electromagnetic applications with an homogeneous isotropic medium in angular regions, the eigenvalues of the matrix $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ are

$$\lambda_{e1} = \lambda_{e2} = j\eta \cos \gamma + j\sqrt{\tau_o^2 - \eta^2} \sin \gamma, \quad \lambda_{e3} = \lambda_{e4} = j\eta \cos \gamma - j\sqrt{\tau_o^2 - \eta^2} \sin \gamma \quad (3.15)$$

174 where k is the propagation constant, $\tau_o = \sqrt{k^2 - \alpha_o^2}$ and $\xi = \xi(\eta) = \sqrt{\tau_o^2 - \eta^2}$ (2.28) is a
175 multivalued function as discussed in subsection 2(a). Note that, also in the isotropic angular
176 geometries, two independent eigenvectors u_1, u_2 (u_3, u_4) (2.29) correspond to the two equal
177 eigenvalues $\lambda_{e1} = \lambda_{e2}$ ($\lambda_{e3} = \lambda_{e4}$) as reported in (3.15).

178 4. Solution of the oblique transverse equations

179 In order to present the general solution procedure, in the following, we consider a system of
180 oblique transverse equations (3.4) of dimension four with matrix operator $\mathcal{M}_e(-j\alpha_o, \frac{\partial}{\partial u})$ with
181 three non-null terms (M_o, M_1, M_2) for a problem with invariant geometry along z -direction. This
182 framework is appropriate for electromagnetic applications in arbitrary linear media and it will
183 be explicitly developed for particular problems in Section 5. In this section, we obtain, as general
184 solution, the spectral functional equations for the four angular regions as identified in Fig. 1.
185 The four angular regions present same equation (3.4) but with different matrices M_{eo}, M_{e1}, M_{e2}
186 depending on the media as well as on the aperture angle γ .

Let us introduce the Laplace transforms (notation omitting z dependence)

$$\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, v) = \int_0^\infty e^{j\eta u} \psi_t(u, v) du \quad (4.1)$$

for regions 1,2 and

$$\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, v) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{j\eta u} \psi_t(u, v) du \quad (4.2)$$

187 for regions 3,4.

The Laplace transforms applied to (3.4) yields:

$$-\frac{d}{dv}\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, v) = M_e(\gamma, \eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, v) + \psi_s(v) \quad (4.3)$$

with

$$M_e(\gamma, \eta) = \mathcal{M}_e(-j\alpha_o, -j\eta) = M_{e0} - j\eta M_{e1} - \eta^2 M_{e2} \quad (4.4)$$

Note that (4.4) and (3.8) share the same symbol and explicit mathematical expression, however the first is related to a Fourier transform while the second to a Laplace transform, thus obviously they have the same eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The term $\psi_s(v)$ is obtained from the derivative property of the Laplace transform (initial conditions) and for each angular region we obtain a different expression. In particular, we indicate with $\psi_{as}(v)$ the value of $\psi_s(v)$ on the face a, see Fig. 1, ($0 \leq v < +\infty, u = 0_+$), with $\psi_{bs}(v)$ the value of $\psi_s(v)$ on the face b ($-\infty \leq v < 0, u = 0_+$), with $\psi_{cs}(v)$ the value of $\psi_s(v)$ on the face c ($-\infty \leq v < 0, u = 0_-$) and with $\psi_{ds}(v)$ the value of $\psi_s(v)$ on the face d ($0 \leq v < +\infty, u = 0_-$).

Since (4.3) is a system of four ordinary differential equations of first order with constant coefficients in a semi-infinite interval, we have mainly two methods for its solution: 1) to apply the dyadic Green's function procedure in v domain, 2) to apply the Laplace transform in v that yields a linear system of four algebraic equations from which one can write down the general solution in terms of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. We note that both methods are effective and in particular the second method is more useful for representing the spectral solution in each point of the considered angular region. However, it initially introduces complex functions of two variables. As proposed in the following subsections, we prefer the first method because, by this way, we get the functional equations of the angular regions that involve directly complex functions of one variable.

Using the concept of non-standard Laplace transforms (see section 1.4 of [5]), the validity of (4.3) and (4.4) in absence of sources is extended to the total fields in the presence of plane-wave sources or in general of sources located at infinity.

With reference to Fig. 1, let us now describe the four angular regions in details. The selection of four angular regions as in Fig. 1 related to a unique aperture angle γ does not limit the applicability of the method. In fact all the equations (once derived) can be used with a different appropriate aperture angle just replacing γ with the proper value. The purpose of deriving the functional equations with a unique γ is related to the fact that we formulate and solve the angular region problems by analyzing once and for all the matrix operator $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ (4.4). We recall also that the imposition of boundary conditions and media for each region will be made only while examining a practical problem and it yields GWHEs.

(a) Region 1: $u > 0, v > 0$

With reference to Fig. 1, for what concerns region 1 ($u > 0, v > 0$), (4.3) holds with

$$\psi_s(v) = \psi_{as}(v) = -M_{e1} \cdot \psi_t(0_+, v) + j\eta M_{e2} \cdot \psi_t(0_+, v) - M_{e2} \cdot \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi_t(u, v) \right|_{u=0_+} \quad (4.5)$$

Equation (4.3) is a system of differential equations of first order (of dimension four in our electromagnetic assumption), whose solution $\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, v)$ is obtainable as sum of a particular integral $\tilde{\psi}_p(\eta, v)$ with the general solution of the homogeneous equation $\tilde{\psi}_o(\eta, v)$:

$$\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, v) = \tilde{\psi}_o(\eta, v) + \tilde{\psi}_p(\eta, v) \quad (4.6)$$

The solution of the homogeneous equation must satisfy

$$-\frac{d}{dv}\tilde{\psi}_o(\eta, v) = M_e(\gamma, \eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_o(\eta, v) \quad (4.7)$$

Considering the solution form $\tilde{\psi}_o(\eta, v) = C e^{-\lambda(\gamma, \eta)v} u(\gamma)$, the most general solution is

$$\tilde{\psi}_o(\eta, v) = C_1 e^{-\lambda_{e1}(\gamma)v} u_1(\eta) + C_2 e^{-\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta)v} u_2(\eta) + C_3 e^{-\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta)v} u_3(\eta) + C_4 e^{-\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta)v} u_4(\eta) \quad (4.8)$$

where λ_{ei} and $u_{ei} \equiv u_i$ ($i=1,2,3,4$) are the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the matrix $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ respectively reported at (3.14) and (3.12).

In presence of a passive medium, we recall that two eigenvalues (say λ_1, λ_2) present non-negative real part and the other two eigenvalues (say λ_3, λ_4) present non-positive real part. From (3.14), we note that $\lambda_{e1}, \lambda_{e2}$ model progressive waves along positive v direction, while $\lambda_{e3}, \lambda_{e4}$ regressive waves.

The evaluation of the particular integral of (4.3)

$$\tilde{\psi}_p(\eta, v) = - \int_0^\infty G(v, v') \cdot \psi_s(v') dv' \quad (4.9)$$

requires the dyadic Green's function $G(v, v')$ of (4.3), i.e. the solution of

$$\left(\frac{d}{dv} + M_e(\gamma, \eta) \right) \cdot G(v, v') = \delta(v - v') \mathbf{1}_t \quad (4.10)$$

with the boundary condition of the problem: in this case the ones of region 1 ($u > 0, v > 0$). Note that $\mathbf{1}_t$ is the identity dyadic of dimension four in our assumption (2.31).

An original method to get the particular solution is reported in [3], [30], [31]. While in [30]-[31] the method is applied to arbitrary stratified regions with appropriate boundary conditions, in this paper, we apply a slightly different method to the simplified structure constituted of an arbitrary indefinite angular region for the solution of (4.10). According to [36], it is possible to build a Green's function starting from arbitrary solutions of the homogeneous equations without imposing boundary conditions at first. Then, to get the solution of the differential problem with the boundary conditions, the selected form of the particular integral conditions the values of the arbitrary coefficients of the homogeneous solutions for the imposition of the boundary conditions. Finally, the sum of the homogeneous solutions with the particular integrals yields the solution of the problem.

We select progressive and regressive waves in indefinite half-space as homogeneous solutions for building the dyadic Green's function (see Appendix B for justification and properties of the dyadic Green's function). In our framework, we avoid to impose the boundary condition at this point, since we want to find functional equations that are free of this constraint. Only, while investigating a practical problem, we will impose boundary condition to the functional equations (for instance in region 1 at face $\varphi = 0$ i.e. $u > 0, v = 0$ and face $\varphi = \gamma$ i.e. $u = 0, v > 0$) yielding GWHEs of the problem. See Section 5(b) for a practical example of wedge scattering problem.

By applying this method (Appendix B) to the present problem we obtain the dyadic Green's function

$$G(v, v') = \begin{cases} u_1 \nu_1 e^{-\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')} + u_2 \nu_2 e^{-\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')}, & v > v' \\ - \left[u_3 \nu_3 e^{-\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')} + u_4 \nu_4 e^{-\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')} \right], & v < v' \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

where ν_i are the reciprocal vectors (2.30) of the eigenvectors u_i of $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ and λ_{ei} are the related eigenvalues. Note that $u_i \nu_i$ in (4.11) are dyadic products.

By substituting (4.8) and (4.9) with (4.11) into (4.6), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, v) = & C_1 u_1 e^{-\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta)v} + C_2 u_2 e^{-\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta)v} + C_3 u_3 e^{-\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta)v} + C_4 u_4 e^{-\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta)v} + \\ & - u_1 \nu_1 \cdot \int_0^v e^{-\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')} \psi_{as}(v') dv' - u_2 \nu_2 \cdot \int_0^v e^{-\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')} \psi_{as}(v') dv' + \\ & + u_3 \nu_3 \cdot \int_v^\infty e^{-\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')} \psi_{as}(v') dv' + u_4 \nu_4 \cdot \int_v^\infty e^{-\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')} \psi_{as}(v') dv' \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

245 Looking at the asymptotic behavior of (4.12) for $v \rightarrow +\infty$ we have that only the terms
 246 $C_3 u_3 e^{-\lambda_{e3} v} + C_4 u_4 e^{-\lambda_{e4} v}$ are divergent. For this reason we assume $C_3 = C_4 = 0$. Note, in
 247 particular, the vanishing of the last two integral terms as $v \rightarrow +\infty$.

Setting $v = 0$ in (4.12), we have

$$\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = C_1 u_1 + C_2 u_2 + u_3 \nu_3 \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta) v'} \psi_{as}(v') dv' + u_4 \nu_4 \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta) v'} \psi_{as}(v') dv' \quad (4.13)$$

Multiplying (4.13) by $\nu_i(\eta) = \nu_i$ for $i = 1..4$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \nu_1(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = C_1 \\ \nu_2(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = C_2 \\ \nu_3(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = \nu_3(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{as}(-j\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta)) \\ \nu_4(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = \nu_4(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{as}(-j\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta)) \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

due to the property of the reciprocal vectors (2.30) and where $\tilde{\psi}_{as}(\chi)$ is the Laplace transform in v along face a ($v = \rho$ in cylindrical coordinates)

$$\tilde{\psi}_{as}(\chi) = \int_0^\infty e^{j\chi v} \psi_{as}(v) dv \quad (4.15)$$

The last two equations of (4.14) can be rewritten in the form

$$\nu_3(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = \nu_3(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{as}(-m_{a1}(\gamma, \eta)) \quad (4.16)$$

$$\nu_4(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = \nu_4(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{as}(-m_{a2}(\gamma, \eta)) \quad (4.17)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} m_{a1}(\gamma, \eta) &= j\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta) = -\eta \cos \gamma + \xi_1 \sin \gamma \\ m_{a2}(\gamma, \eta) &= j\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta) = -\eta \cos \gamma + \xi_2 \sin \gamma \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

248 While the first two equations of (4.14) relate the unknowns C_1 and C_2 to the Laplace transform
 249 $\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0)$ evaluated in the lower face of the angular region ($u > 0, v = 0$), the last two equations
 250 of (4.14) provide two important functional equations that relate the Laplace transforms of
 251 combinations of the field components on the boundaries of the angular region 1, i.e. $u > 0, v = 0$
 252 and $u = 0, v > 0$ (face a) in Fig. 1.

253 These functional equations are the starting point to define the GWHEs of region 1. They are
 254 valid for any linear medium filling the region and independently from any boundary conditions
 255 surrounding the region.

256 For example and for simplicity, the explicit form of (4.16)-(4.17) are reported in Section 5 for
 257 isotropic media where $\xi_i(\eta) = \xi(\eta) = \sqrt{\tau_o^2 - \eta^2}$ (see definition of the multivalued function $\xi(\eta)$
 258 in subsection 3(a)).

259 These functional equations are equivalent to (3.3.57) and (3.3.58) of [5] where a completely
 260 different method has been applied for the derivation. In fact, in Chapter 3 of [5], the equations are
 261 obtained from the second order differential formulation for electromagnetic applications (wave
 262 equation). The theory is developed for isotropic medium and cumbersome symmetry properties
 263 have been used to develop the equations for the other angular regions with respect to region 1.

264 In the present work, the theory is more general, applicable to any arbitrary electromagnetic
 265 media and extendable to different physics. In particular, the equations of the other regions with
 266 respect to region 1 are easily derived as in the following subsections.

267 **(b) Region 2: $u > 0, v < 0$**

With reference to Fig. 1, following the procedure reported for region 1 in subsection 4(a), we develop the solution for region 2 ($u > 0, v < 0$). The problem shows same equation (4.3) with

$$\psi_s(v) = \psi_{bs}(v) = -M_{e1} \cdot \psi_t(0_+, v) + j\eta M_{e2} \cdot \psi_t(0_+, v) - M_{e2} \cdot \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi_t(u, v) \right|_{u=0_+} \quad (4.19)$$

268 Note the different geometrical support of (4.19) with respect to (4.5), i.e. for region 2 $v < 0$ while for
 269 region 1 $v > 0$. As per region 1, the solution of (4.3) is obtained as combination of the homogeneous
 270 solution and the particular integral, see (4.6). We note that the particular integral depends on
 271 (4.19), while the homogeneous solution depends on the expressions of eigenvalues $\lambda_{ei}(\gamma, \eta)$ and
 272 eigenvectors $u_i(\eta)$ of $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ (4.4) that are the same as for region 1, except for their dependence
 273 on the physical constitutive parameters of region 2 that may be inhomogeneous with respect to
 274 region 1.

Once obtained the expression of the dyadic Green's function specialized for region 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, v) = & C_1 u_1 e^{-\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta) v} + C_2 u_2 e^{-\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta) v} + C_3 u_3 e^{-\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta) v} + C_4 u_4 e^{-\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta) v} + \\ & -u_1 \nu_1 \cdot \int_{-\infty}^v e^{-\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')} \psi_{bs}(v') dv' - u_2 \nu_2 \cdot \int_{-\infty}^v e^{-\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')} \psi_{bs}(v') dv' + \\ & + u_3 \nu_3 \cdot \int_v^0 e^{-\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')} \psi_{bs}(v') dv' + u_4 \nu_4 \cdot \int_v^0 e^{-\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta)(v-v')} \psi_{bs}(v') dv' \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

275 where λ_{ei} and u_i are reported in (3.14) and (3.12).

276 Looking at the asymptotic behavior of (4.20) for $v \rightarrow -\infty$ we have that only the terms
 277 $C_1 u_1 e^{-\lambda_{e1} v} + C_2 u_2 e^{-\lambda_{e2} v}$ are divergent. For this reason we assume $C_1 = C_2 = 0$. Note, in
 278 particular, the vanishing of the first two integral terms as $v \rightarrow -\infty$.

Assuming $v = 0$ in (4.20), we have

$$\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = C_3 u_3 + C_4 u_4 - u_1 \nu_1 \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta)(-v')} \psi_{bs}(v') dv' - u_2 \nu_2 \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta)(-v')} \psi_{bs}(v') dv' \quad (4.21)$$

Multiplying (4.21) by $\nu_i(\eta) = \nu_i$ for $i = 1..4$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \nu_3(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = C_3 \\ \nu_4(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = C_4 \\ \nu_1(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = -\nu_1(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{bs}(j\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta)) \\ \nu_2(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = -\nu_2(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{bs}(j\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta)) \end{cases} \quad (4.22)$$

where

$$\tilde{\psi}_{bs}(\chi) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-j\chi v} \psi_{bs}(v) dv = \int_0^{\infty} e^{j\chi \rho} \psi_{bs}(-\rho) d\rho \quad (4.23)$$

279 is the left Laplace transform of $\psi_{bs}(v)$ in v along face b (Fig. 1) or the Laplace transform in ρ of
 280 $\psi_{bs}(-\rho)$ in cylindrical coordinates (ρ, φ, z) .

As stated for region 1, in media with reflection symmetry ($\xi_{3,4}(\eta) = \xi_{1,2}(\eta)$), the last two equations of (4.22) can be rewritten in the form

$$\nu_1(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = -\nu_1(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{bs}(-m_{b1}(\gamma, \eta)) \quad (4.24)$$

$$\nu_2(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = -\nu_2(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{bs}(-m_{b2}(\gamma, \eta)) \quad (4.25)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} m_{b1}(\gamma, \eta) &= -j\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta) = \eta \cos \gamma + \xi_1 \sin \gamma \\ m_{b2}(\gamma, \eta) &= -j\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta) = \eta \cos \gamma + \xi_2 \sin \gamma \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

281 While the first two equations of (4.22) relate the unknowns C_3 and C_4 to the Laplace transform
 282 $\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0)$ evaluated at the face of the angular region ($u > 0, v = 0$), the last two equations of (4.22)

283 provide two important functional equations that relate the Laplace transforms of combinations of
 284 field components on the boundaries of the angular region 2, i.e. $u > 0, v = 0$ and $u = 0, v < 0$ (face
 285 b) in Fig. 1. These functional equations are the starting point to define the GWHEs of region 2. As
 286 stated for region 1, they are valid for any linear medium filling the region and independently
 287 from any boundary conditions surrounding the region. They agree with the ones proposed in
 288 chapter 3 of [5] in case of isotropic medium for electromagnetic applications.

289 Note that, in view of dealing with scattering problems by wedges, see Section 5(b), the aperture
 290 angle of region 2 is usually different from γ . This difference modifies the equations only in (4.26)
 291 for the dependence on a different aperture angle. We recall that the motivation of deriving the
 292 functional equations with a unique γ is related to the fact that we formulate and solve the angular
 293 region problems by analyzing once and for a single matrix operator $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ (4.4).

294 (c) Region 4: $u < 0, v > 0$

With reference to Fig. 1, following the procedure reported for region 1 in subsection 4(a), we
 develop the solution for region 4 ($u < 0, v > 0$). Applying the the Laplace transform

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{j\eta u} \psi_t(u, 0) du = \tilde{\psi}_{\pi t}(-\eta, 0) \\ \tilde{\psi}_{\pi t}(\eta, 0) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{j\eta u} \psi_t(-u, 0) du\end{aligned}\quad (4.27)$$

to (3.4), the problem in region 4 shows the same equation (4.3)

$$-\frac{d}{dv} \tilde{\psi}_t = M_e(\gamma, \eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t + \psi_s(v) \quad (4.28)$$

with $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ reported in (4.4) and with the different definition of

$$\psi_s(v) = \psi_{ds}(v) = M_{e1} \cdot \psi_t(0_-, v) - j\eta M_{e2} \cdot \psi_t(0_-, v) + M_{e2} \cdot \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi_t(u, v) \right|_{u=0_-} \quad (4.29)$$

295 that is related to the derivative property of the Laplace transform (4.27) along face d (see Fig. 1).

The application of the method used for region 1 yields the two functional equations

$$\nu_3(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = \nu_3(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{ds}(-j\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta)) \quad (4.30)$$

$$\nu_4(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = \nu_4(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{ds}(-j\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta)) \quad (4.31)$$

where we have defined the Laplace transform

$$\tilde{\psi}_{ds}(\chi) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{j\chi v} \psi_{ds}(v) dv \quad (4.32)$$

The other difference with respect to the last two equations of (4.14) is the definition of

$$\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, u) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{j\eta u} \psi_t(u, v) du \quad (4.33)$$

that is a minus function (left Laplace transform). Changing η with $-\eta$ we rewrite (4.30) and (4.31)
 as

$$\nu_3(-\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{\pi t}(\eta, 0) = \nu_3(-\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{ds}(-j\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, -\eta)) \quad (4.34)$$

$$\nu_4(-\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{\pi t}(\eta, 0) = \nu_4(-\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{ds}(-j\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, -\eta)) \quad (4.35)$$

with the plus function (right Laplace transform)

$$\tilde{\psi}_{\pi t}(\eta, 0) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{j\eta u} \psi_t(-u, 0) du \quad (4.36)$$

296 (d) Region 3: $u < 0, v < 0$

As already done for regions 1,2,4 we repeat the procedure. We get the same equation (4.3) with the definition $\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0)$ (4.27) except for

$$\psi_s(v) = \psi_{cs}(v) = M_{e1} \cdot \psi_t(0_-, v) - j\eta M_{e2} \cdot \psi_t(0_-, v) + M_{e2} \cdot \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi_t(u, v) \right|_{u=0_-} \quad (4.37)$$

297 that is related to the derivative property of the Laplace transform (4.27) along face c (see Fig. 1). It yields the two functional equations

$$\nu_1(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = -\nu_1(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{cs}(j\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta)) \quad (4.38)$$

$$\nu_2(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = -\nu_2(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{cs}(j\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta)) \quad (4.39)$$

where we have defined the Laplace transform

$$\tilde{\psi}_{cs}(\chi) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-j\chi v} \psi_{cs}(v) dv = \int_0^{\infty} e^{j\chi \rho} \psi_{cs}(-\rho) d\rho \quad (4.40)$$

The other difference with respect to the last two equations of (4.14) is the definition of

$$\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, u) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{j\eta u} \psi_t(u, v) du \quad (4.41)$$

that is a minus function (left Laplace transform). Changing η with $-\eta$ we rewrite (4.38) and (4.39) as

$$\nu_1(-\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{\pi t}(\eta, 0) = -\nu_1(-\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{cs}(j\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, -\eta)) \quad (4.42)$$

$$\nu_2(-\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{\pi t}(\eta, 0) = -\nu_2(-\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{cs}(j\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, -\eta)) \quad (4.43)$$

with the plus function (right Laplace transform)

$$\tilde{\psi}_{\pi t}(\eta, 0) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{j\eta u} \psi_t(-u, 0) du \quad (4.44)$$

298 5. Properties and validation of the functional equations

299 (a) Explicit form for regions 1-2 and validation

300 Using the concept of non-standard Laplace transforms (see section 1.4 of [5]), the validity of
301 the functional equations (4.16)-(4.17), (4.24)-(4.25), (4.34)-(4.35), (4.42)-(4.43) obtained in absence of
302 sources is extended to the total fields in presence of plane-wave sources or in general of sources
303 located at infinity.

In order to validate the functional equations obtained in this paper (4.16)-(4.17), (4.24)-(4.25), (4.34)-(4.35), (4.42)-(4.43) we demonstrate that they are equivalent to the ones proposed in Chapter 3 of [5] for electromagnetic applications with angular regions filled by an isotropic medium with permittivity ε and permeability μ . Let us consider, for simplicity, region 1 with (4.16)-(4.17), i.e.

$$\nu_3(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = \nu_3(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{as}(-m_{a1}(\gamma, \eta)) \quad (5.1)$$

$$\nu_4(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) = \nu_4(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{as}(-m_{a2}(\gamma, \eta)) \quad (5.2)$$

304 These equations need to be compared to (3.3.57) and (3.3.58) of [5] that for readability are
305 reported here using the notation of this paper:

$$\xi \tilde{E}_z(\eta, 0) - \frac{\tau_o^2}{\omega \varepsilon} \tilde{H}_x(\eta, 0) - \frac{\alpha_o \eta}{\omega \varepsilon} \tilde{H}_z(\eta, 0) = -n \tilde{E}_z(-m, \gamma) - \frac{\tau_o^2}{\omega \varepsilon} \tilde{H}_\rho(-m, \gamma) + \frac{\alpha_o m}{\omega \varepsilon} \tilde{H}_z(-m, \gamma) \quad (5.3)$$

$$\xi \tilde{H}_z(\eta, 0) + \frac{\tau_o^2}{\omega \varepsilon} \tilde{E}_x(\eta, 0) + \frac{\alpha_o \eta}{\omega \varepsilon} \tilde{E}_z(\eta, 0) = -n \tilde{H}_z(-m, \gamma) + \frac{\tau_o^2}{\omega \varepsilon} \tilde{E}_\rho(-m, \gamma) - \frac{\alpha_o m}{\omega \varepsilon} \tilde{E}_z(-m, \gamma) \quad (5.4)$$

where for the isotropy of media

$$m = m(\gamma, \eta) = m_{a1}(\gamma, \eta) = m_{a2}(\gamma, \eta) = -\eta \cos \gamma + \xi \sin \gamma = j\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta) = j\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta) \quad (5.5)$$

$$n = n(\gamma, \eta) = -\eta \sin \gamma - \xi \cos \gamma \quad (5.6)$$

In (5.3)-(5.4) we have used Laplace transforms in η along $u > 0, v = 0$ in the LHS and in $-m$ along $u = 0, v > 0$ in the RHS respectively denoted by \sim and \smile symbols and reported in (4.1) and (4.15).

To explicitly represent (5.1) and (5.2) we apply on the LHS the definitions of $\psi_t = |E_z E_x H_z H_x|'$ and the reciprocal vectors reported in (2.32).

On the RHS we use the source term $\psi_{as}(v)$ (4.5) of the differential equation (4.3), where, substituting the explicit expressions of M_{e1} and M_{e2} reported in (3.6), it yields

$$\psi_{as}(v) = \begin{pmatrix} E_z \cos(\gamma) + \frac{\alpha_o H_z \sin(\gamma)}{\omega \varepsilon} \\ E_x \cos(\gamma) + \frac{j D_u H_z \sin(\gamma) - H_x \alpha_o \sin(\gamma) + H_z \eta \sin(\gamma)}{\omega \varepsilon} \\ H_z \cos(\gamma) - \frac{\alpha_o E_z \sin(\gamma)}{\mu \omega} \\ H_x \cos(\gamma) + \frac{-j D_u E_z \sin(\gamma) + \alpha_o E_x \sin(\gamma) - E_z \eta \sin(\gamma)}{\mu \omega} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.7)$$

where $D_u = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and the field quantities are defined for $u = 0_+$ and depends on $v > 0$.

We observe that, while $\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0)$ is continuous at $\varphi = 0$ by definition (2.5), we need to apply mathematical manipulations to demonstrate the continuity of $\psi_{as}(v)$ (5.7) at face a for an arbitrary aperture angle γ . In fact, $\psi_{as}(v)$ shows possible discontinuous terms at face a ($u = 0_+, v > 0$) due to the presence of $D_u H_z$ and $D_u E_z$.

For this purpose, we resort to the Maxwell's equations

$$D_u H_z = j \frac{-k E_y - H_x Z_o \alpha_o}{Z_o}, \quad D_u E_z = j(k Z_o H_y - \alpha_o E_x) \quad (5.8)$$

Substituting (5.8) into (5.7)

$$\psi_{as}(v) = \begin{pmatrix} E_z \cos(\gamma) + \frac{\alpha_o H_z \sin(\gamma)}{\omega \varepsilon} \\ E_x \cos(\gamma) + \frac{(k E_y + H_x \eta Z_o) \sin(\gamma)}{k} \\ H_z \cos(\gamma) - \frac{\alpha_o E_z \sin(\gamma)}{\mu \omega} \\ H_x \cos(\gamma) + \frac{(H_y k Z_o - E_z \eta) \sin(\gamma)}{k Z_o} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.9)$$

where the field quantities are defined for $u = 0_+$ and depends on $v > 0$. The next step is to rewrite E_x, E_y, H_x and H_y in terms of the components (E_v, H_v) and (E_n, H_n) respectively tangential and normal to the face a (outward normal with respect to region 1). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} E_x &= -E_n \sin(\gamma) + E_v \cos(\gamma) \\ H_x &= -H_n \sin(\gamma) + H_v \cos(\gamma) \\ E_y &= E_v \sin(\gamma) + E_n \cos(\gamma) \\ H_y &= H_v \sin(\gamma) + H_n \cos(\gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Substituting (5.10) into (5.9), we have

$$\psi_{as}(v) = \begin{pmatrix} E_z \cos(\gamma) + \frac{\alpha_o H_z \sin(\gamma)}{\omega \epsilon} \\ E_v + \frac{H_z \eta Z_o \sin(\gamma)}{k} \\ H_z \cos(\gamma) - \frac{\alpha_o E_z \sin(\gamma)}{\mu \omega} \\ H_v + \frac{-E_z \eta \sin(\gamma)}{k Z_o} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.11)$$

315 Note that the discontinuous components of fields (i.e. the normal components of
316 electromagnetic field \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) are canceled by substitution in (5.11), thus $\psi_{as}(v)$ is continuous at face
317 a. The absence of the discontinuous components E_n, H_n in (5.11) is justified by the equivalence
318 theorem of electromagnetism, i.e. the field in the region 1 can be computed and depends only
319 on the field components continuous at the boundaries: for face a the tangential components of
320 electromagnetic field are E_v, H_v, E_z, H_z in u, v, z .

321 Now, substituting the Laplace transforms $\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0)$ (4.1) of $\psi_t(u, 0)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{as}(-m)$ (4.15) of
322 $\psi_{as}(v)$ (5.11) with (5.5) into (5.1)-(5.2), and using (2.32), it yields the two functional equations

$$\begin{aligned} -\alpha_o \eta \tilde{E}_x + (\eta^2 - k^2) \tilde{E}_z + k \xi Z_o \tilde{H}_x &= \\ &= -\alpha_o \eta \tilde{E}_v - [\eta \xi \sin(\gamma) + \cos(\gamma)(k^2 - \eta^2)] \tilde{E}_z + k \xi Z_o \tilde{H}_v - \sin(\gamma) \alpha_o k Z_o \tilde{H}_z \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

$$\tau_o^2 \tilde{E}_x + \alpha_o \eta \tilde{E}_z + k \xi Z_o \tilde{H}_z = \tau_o^2 \tilde{E}_v + \alpha_o [\cos(\gamma) \eta - \sin(\gamma) \xi] \tilde{E}_z + k Z_o [\sin(\gamma) \eta + \cos(\gamma) \xi] \tilde{H}_z \quad (5.13)$$

323 that we have normalized by the multiplying factor $2kZ_o\xi$. In (5.12)-(5.13) the field quantities on
324 the LHS are Laplace transforms in η along $u > 0, v = 0$ (symbol \sim), while the field quantities on
325 the RHS are Laplace transforms in $-m$ along $v > 0, u = 0$ (symbol \sim). As a consequence, the field
326 components on the LHS are plus functions in η , while the ones on the RHS are minus functions
327 in m . We also observe that v components of field in oblique Cartesian coordinates are equivalent
328 to ρ components in cylindrical coordinates.

329 Eqs. (5.12)-(5.13) are explicit expression of functional equations of region 1 filled by an isotropic
330 medium.

331 We note that (5.3)-(5.4) and (5.12)-(5.13) are obtained from completely different methods and
332 therefore equivalence is not immediate in the general case $\alpha_o \neq 0$. However, each of (5.12)-(5.13)
333 is a linear combination of (5.3)-(5.4) and vice-versa.

For simplicity, we explicitly report the equivalence between (5.3) and a linear combination of
(5.12)-(5.13). First, we demonstrate the equivalence of the left member of (5.3) to the left member
of a linear combination between (5.12) and (5.13), imposing

$$2kZ_o\xi(C_1 \nu_3(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0) + C_2 \nu_4(\eta) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0)) = \xi \tilde{E}_z(\eta, 0) - \frac{\tau_o^2}{\omega \epsilon} \tilde{H}_x(\eta, 0) - \frac{\alpha_o \eta}{\omega \epsilon} \tilde{H}_z(\eta, 0) \quad (5.14)$$

To evaluate the linear combination constants C_1 and C_2 in (5.14), first we impose that the
coefficients of \tilde{H}_x in both the members of (5.14) are the same. It yields:

$$C_1 = -\frac{\tau_o^2}{k^2 \xi} \quad (5.15)$$

Second, we need to eliminate the component \tilde{E}_x from the first member of (5.14) since no \tilde{E}_x
component is present at the second member, therefore

$$C_2 = C_1 \frac{\alpha_o \eta}{\tau_o^2} \quad (5.16)$$

334 With the above values of C_1 and C_2 the identity (5.14) holds.

Finally, we simply prove by substitution that the constants (5.15) and (5.16) enforce the same equality on the right members of the two formulations, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} 2kZ_o\xi(\mathcal{C}_1\nu_3(\eta)\cdot\tilde{\psi}_{as}(-m)+\mathcal{C}_2\nu_4(\eta)\cdot\tilde{\psi}_{as}(-m)) &= \\ &= -n\tilde{E}_z(-m,\gamma)-\frac{\tau_o^2}{\omega\varepsilon}\tilde{H}_\rho(-m,\gamma)+\frac{\alpha_{o1}m_1}{\omega\varepsilon}\tilde{H}_z(-m,\gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

Due to the structure of (5.4) that is similar to the one of (5.3), it is possible to demonstrate the equivalence of (5.4) to a linear combination of (5.15) and (5.16) with the same procedure, that we omit here.

Analogously to region 1, we can derive the explicit form of functional equations (4.24)-(4.25) for region 2 filled by an isotropic medium with permittivity ε and permeability μ :

$$\nu_1(\eta)\cdot\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta,0)=-\nu_1(\eta)\cdot\tilde{\psi}_{bs}(-m_{b1}(\gamma,\eta)) \quad (5.18)$$

$$\nu_2(\eta)\cdot\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta,0)=-\nu_2(\eta)\cdot\tilde{\psi}_{bs}(-m_{b2}(\gamma,\eta)) \quad (5.19)$$

Regions 1 and 2 share the same procedure to get the explicit form of the functional equations. In particular, we note the following analogies and differences: 1) the source term assumes the same form $\psi_{bs}(v)=\psi_{as}(v)$ (5.11) with the exception for the dependence on the constitutive parameters $\varepsilon, \mu, 2$) while applying the Maxwell's equations (5.8) to represent the field components in terms of face a(b) tangential (E_v, H_v) and normal (E_n, H_n) components we need to consider the outward normal of region 1(2).

Focusing the attention on region 2 and substituting the Laplace transforms $\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta,0)$ (4.1) of $\psi_t(u,0)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{bs}(-m_b)$ (4.23) of $\psi_{bs}(v)$ with

$$m_b=m_b(\gamma,\eta)=m_{b1}(\gamma,\eta)=m_{b2}(\gamma,\eta)=\eta\cos\gamma+\xi\sin\gamma=j\lambda_{e1}(\gamma,\eta)=j\lambda_{e2}(\gamma,\eta) \quad (5.20)$$

into (5.18)-(5.19), and using (2.32), it yields the two functional equations

$$\begin{aligned} +\alpha_o\eta\tilde{E}_x-(\eta^2-k^2)\tilde{E}_z+k\xi Z_o\tilde{H}_x &= \\ =-\alpha_o\eta\tilde{E}_v-[-\eta\xi\sin(\gamma)+\cos(\gamma)(k^2-\eta^2)]\tilde{E}_z-k\xi Z_o\tilde{H}_v-\sin(\gamma)\alpha_o k Z_o\tilde{H}_z \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

$$-\tau_o^2\tilde{E}_x-\alpha_o\eta\tilde{E}_z+k\xi Z_o\tilde{H}_z=\tau_o^2\tilde{E}_v+\alpha_o[\cos(\gamma)\eta+\sin(\gamma)\xi]\tilde{E}_z+kZ_o[\sin(\gamma)\eta-\cos(\gamma)\xi]\tilde{H}_z \quad (5.22)$$

that we have normalized by the multiplying factor $2kZ_o\xi$. Eqs. (5.21)-(5.22) show change in sign with respect to (5.12)-(5.13) of region 1. In (5.21)-(5.22) the field quantities on the LHS are Laplace transforms in η along $u > 0, v = 0$ (symbol \sim), while the field quantities on the RHS are Laplace transforms in $-m_b$ along $v < 0, u = 0$ (symbol \smile). As a consequence, the field components on the LHS are plus functions in η , while the ones on the RHS are minus functions in m_b . We also observe that v components of field in oblique Cartesian coordinates are equivalent to ρ components with opposite sign in cylindrical coordinates (the sign is due to the face b orientation, see Fig. 1). Equivalence of (5.21)-(5.22) to (3.3.59) and (3.3.60) of [5] can be accomplished as already done for (5.3) that is a linear combination of (5.12)-(5.13). In this case we need to pay attention that γ in (5.21)-(5.22) must be substituted with $\pi - \gamma_b$ for the equivalence with (3.3.59) and (3.3.60) of [5], since Fig. 1 of this paper describes a region 2 that is different from the one of Fig. 3.3.2 used in [5]. Moreover, explicit expressions of functional equations for more complex media can be derived starting from the definitions of M_m matrices in (2.22): in the Appendix A we report the matrices for the anisotropic case.

359 (b) A classical example of GWHEs for the validation of functional
360 equations: the Malyuzhinets' problem

361 In this subsection, to further convince the readers about the validity and the correctness of the
362 proposed procedure based on matrix first order differential formulation (Section 4), we derive the
363 GWHEs for a classical scalar problem: the Malyuzhinets' problem.

364 The general derivation of functional equations of the angular regions do not depend on the
365 materials, the sources located outside the considered angular region and the boundary conditions.

366 By imposing on them the constitutive parameters of the media and the boundary conditions
367 on the faces we get GWHEs that in general are coupled to the electromagnetic equations present
368 in the regions outside the considered angular region.

369 We affirm that, in particular, the functional equations are useful to derive GWHEs for wedge
370 problems with impenetrable boundaries as with penetrable ones, see for instance applications
371 in [6]- [7]. Moreover, the functional equations of angular regions can be used to describe more
372 complex scattering problems where angular regions are coupled with stratified planar regions,
373 see for instance [8]- [9].

374 If we are interested in decoupling the evaluation of the electromagnetic field in a region from
375 the equations that hold outside, we can resort to impenetrable approximate boundary conditions.

376 For instance, we can assume Leontovich boundary conditions that impose impedance surfaces
377 on the faces of the angular region [37]. In this context, several studies have been developed based
378 on higher order approximate boundary conditions that involves derivatives of the components of
379 the field on the faces. In particular these enhanced version of boundary conditions have been
380 examined in right angled structures [38]- [40] yielding Riemann-Hilbert problems with exact
381 solutions.

382 In this section, we report as simple demonstration of the method, the classical impenetrable
383 wedge scattering problem known as the Malyuzhinets' problem [41] and extensively studied
384 in literature with different methods. We start from the functional equations and we derive the
385 GWHEs of the problem.

With reference to Fig. 2, the Malyuzhinets' problem is constituted of an impenetrable wedge
structure immersed in an isotropic medium and illuminated by a plane wave at normal incidence
($\alpha_o = 0$) where the following scalar boundary conditions are imposed in cylindrical coordinates:

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_z(\rho, \gamma) \\ E_\rho(\rho, \gamma) \end{bmatrix} = Z_a \begin{bmatrix} H_\rho(\rho, \gamma) \\ -H_z(\rho, \gamma) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} E_z(\rho, -\gamma) \\ E_\rho(\rho, -\gamma) \end{bmatrix} = -Z_b \begin{bmatrix} H_\rho(\rho, -\gamma) \\ -H_z(\rho, -\gamma) \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.23)$$

386 In Fig. 2, with respect to Fig. 1, we identify two symmetrical homogeneous isotropic regions
387 respectively with aperture angle γ and $\pi - \gamma$, while regions 3 and 4 are not physically considered.

388 The functional equations of region 1 are reported in (5.12)-(5.13), before the application of the
389 boundary conditions of the problem. For region 2, we note the difference on the aperture angle of
390 Fig. 1 with respect to the aperture angle of Fig. 2. For this reason to derive the functional equations
391 of region 2 of Fig. 2 we need to replace γ with $\pi - \gamma$ in (5.21)-(5.22).

At normal incidence ($\alpha_o = 0$), the functional equations of region 1 take the following form

$$\xi \tilde{E}_z + k Z_o \tilde{H}_\rho = -[\eta \sin(\gamma) + \cos(\gamma)\xi] \tilde{E}_z + k Z_o \tilde{H}_\rho \quad (5.24)$$

$$k \tilde{E}_\rho + \xi Z_o \tilde{H}_z = k \tilde{E}_\rho + Z_o [\sin(\gamma)\eta + \cos(\gamma)\xi] \tilde{H}_z \quad (5.25)$$

392 with direction vectors $\hat{v} = \hat{\rho}$ for $\varphi = \gamma$ (face a) and $\hat{x} = \hat{\rho}$ for $\varphi = 0$. The field quantities on the
393 LHS of (5.24)-(5.25) depends on η and are evaluated for $\varphi = 0$, i.e. $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}(\eta, \varphi = 0)$, while the field
394 quantities on RHS depends on $-m$ and are evaluated for $\varphi = \gamma$, i.e. $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}(-m, \varphi = +\gamma)$.

The functional equations of region 2 take the following form

$$\xi \tilde{E}_z + k Z_o \tilde{H}_\rho = [\eta \sin(\gamma) + \cos(\gamma)\xi] \tilde{E}_z + k Z_o \tilde{H}_\rho \quad (5.26)$$

$$-k \tilde{E}_\rho + \xi Z_o \tilde{H}_z = -k \tilde{E}_\rho + Z_o [\sin(\gamma)\eta + \cos(\gamma)\xi] \tilde{H}_z \quad (5.27)$$




Figure 2. Impenetrable wedge problem with surrounding space made by a homogeneous isotropic medium divided into angular region 1 and 2. Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) and cylindrical coordinates (ρ, φ, z) are reported. For each angular region a local oblique Cartesian coordinate system is defined: for region 1 u, v, z with aperture angle γ , for region 2 u, v_2, z with aperture angle $\pi - \gamma$. With respect to Fig. 1, regions 3 and 4 are not physically considered. Boundary conditions are imposed at face a and b.

with direction vectors $\hat{v}_2 = -\hat{\rho}$ for $\varphi = -\gamma$ (face b) and $\hat{x} = \hat{\rho}$ for $\varphi = 0$ (see Fig. 2). Note also that for region 2 of Fig. 2 we have from (5.20) and (5.5)

$$m_b(\pi - \gamma, \eta) = -\eta \cos \gamma + \xi \sin \gamma = m \tag{5.28}$$

In this case, while the field quantities on the LHS of (5.26)-(5.27) are the same of the LHS of (5.24)-(5.25), i.e. $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}(\eta, \varphi = 0)$, the field quantities on RHS of (5.26)-(5.27) depends on $-m$ and are evaluated for $\varphi = -\gamma$, i.e. $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}(-m, \varphi = -\gamma)$.

For simplicity, focusing the attention on E_z polarization we use only (5.24) and (5.26). By imposing the boundary conditions (5.23) and eliminating \tilde{E}_z , we obtain the following system of equations after some mathematical manipulation:

$$-\xi \tilde{E}_z(\eta, 0) + kZ_o \tilde{H}_\rho(\eta, 0) = (kZ_o + nZ_a) \tilde{H}_\rho(-m, +\gamma) \tag{5.29}$$

$$\xi \tilde{E}_z(\eta, 0) + kZ_o \tilde{H}_\rho(\eta, 0) = (kZ_o + nZ_b) \tilde{H}_\rho(-m, -\gamma) \tag{5.30}$$

with $n = -\eta \sin(\gamma) - \cos(\gamma)\xi$. Finally, (5.29)-(5.30) can be reduced in the normal form to

$$\mathcal{G}(\eta) F_+(\eta) = F_-(m) \tag{5.31}$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}(\eta) = \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{\xi}{Z_a(n_a+n)} & \frac{kZ_o}{Z_a(n_a+n)} \\ \frac{\xi}{Z_b(n_b+n)} & \frac{kZ_o}{Z_b(n_b+n)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad F_+(\eta) = \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{E}_z(\eta, 0) \\ \tilde{H}_\rho(\eta, 0) \end{vmatrix}, \quad F_-(m) = \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{H}_\rho(-m, +\gamma) \\ \tilde{H}_\rho(-m, -\gamma) \end{vmatrix} \tag{5.32}$$

and where $n_{a,b} = kZ_o/Z_{a,b}$. Note that (5.31) is a matrix GWHE with kernel $\mathcal{G}(\eta)$, plus functions $F_+(\eta)$ in η and minus functions $F_-(m)$ in m . Solutions of the GWHEs of the Malyuzhinets' problem can be found in [2]- [6], [10] using analytical and/or semi-analytical procedure after their reduction to classical WH equations (CWHEs) in a new complex plane $\bar{\eta}$ using the special mapping [5]:

$$\eta(\bar{\eta}) = -k \cos\left(-\frac{\gamma}{\pi} \arccos\left(-\frac{\bar{\eta}}{k}\right)\right), \tag{5.33}$$

398 (c) Remarks on the functional equations to get GWHEs

399 In general, the functional equations (4.16)-(4.17), (4.24)-(4.25),(4.34)-(4.35),(4.42)-(4.43) respectively
 400 for regions 1,2,3,4 (Fig. 1) are the starting point to derive the GWHEs of arbitrary angular regions
 401 (aperture angle, material) in complex scattering problems. In order to obtain the GWHEs for a
 402 practical problem we need to define the media and to enforce the boundary conditions at the
 403 interfaces of the regions. For instance, see electromagnetic scattering problems by anisotropic
 404 impedance wedges in [4], [6], section 5.2 of [10] and more complex problems in [7]- [10].

405 With reference to Fig. 1, we observe that the *axial spectra* $\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, 0)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{\pi t}(\eta, 0)$ at the interfaces
 406 respectively between regions 1 and 2 and between regions 3 and 4 are defined in terms of
 407 only continuous components of the fields satisfying the boundary conditions in electromagnetic
 408 problems. Meanwhile, the *face spectra* $\tilde{\psi}_s(\chi)$ on the interface between the regions 1 and 4 (2 and
 409 3) could present discontinuous components and/or derivative of the fields: see face a and d (face
 410 b and c) in Fig. 1. To check the continuity of the face spectra we have re-written the component of
 411 $\tilde{\psi}_s(\chi)$ in terms of continuous components of the field in the case of isotropic media. In practical
 412 case, according to our experience, we note that appropriate relations are always available in
 413 arbitrary linear media.

414 Once obtained the GWHEs from the functional equations of an angular region problem, an
 415 important aspect is their reduction to CWHEs by using a suitable mapping as the one reported in
 416 (5.33).

The introduction of the complex angular plane w

$$\eta = -k \cos w \quad (5.34)$$

417 helps the analysis of asymptotic solution of practical problems by allowing analytical extension of
 418 approximate solutions [5]- [10]. In fact the application of (5.34) to GWHEs allows to get difference
 419 equations useful for recursive applications. We further note that the difference equations relate
 420 GWHEs to the SM method for an valuable synergy between the two methods.

421 The text reports explicit expressions of functional equations for isotropic media. However the
 422 procedure is general and applicable to more complex media starting from the definitions of M_m
 423 matrices in (2.22): in the Appendix A we report the matrices for the anisotropic media.

424 6. Conclusion

425 In this work, we have introduced a general method for the deduction of spectral functional
 426 equations in angular regions filled by arbitrary linear homogeneous media. These equations are
 427 obtained by solving vector differential equations of first order using dyadic Green's function and
 428 then by projecting the solution on reciprocal eigenvectors of an algebraic matrix related to the
 429 medium filling the angular region. The fundamental starting point to derive equations in arbitrary
 430 linear media is the derivation of matrices M_o, M_1, M_2 . From a practical point of view, we have
 431 reported these matrices for anisotropic media in the Appendix A, while the main text contains
 432 the ones for isotropic media. Derivation of explicit equations requires the implementation of the
 433 procedure reported in the paper, illustrated explicitly for isotropic media. The application of the
 434 boundary conditions to the functional equations yields GWHEs for practical problems. In this
 435 paper, the method is applied to electromagnetic applications and the functional equations are
 436 explicitly derived and verified in the case of isotropic media with respect to the current literature.

437 The efficacy of the GWHE formulation has been demonstrated in several practical
 438 electromagnetic engineering works by the authors, see references. We assert that the proposed
 439 method to get spectral functional equations in arbitrary angular regions for wave motion problem
 440 is general and it is applicable to different physics.

In this Appendix we report the explicit definitions of the fundamental matrices M_o , M_1 , M_2 (2.22) useful to develop applications of the method in electromagnetic anisotropic media, i.e. $\xi = 0$, $\zeta = 0$ in (2.3)-(2.4) (we avoid to report the matrices for the bi-anisotropic case due to their length). In particular to develop the procedure it is sufficient to replace (2.23) of the isotropic case with

$$M_o = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{j\alpha_o \varepsilon_{yz}}{\varepsilon_{yy}} & -j\alpha_o \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{yx}}{\varepsilon_{yy}} - \frac{\mu_{xy}}{\mu_{yy}} \right) & \frac{j\omega(\mu_{xz}\mu_{yy} - \mu_{xy}\mu_{yz})}{\mu_{yy}} & j\omega \left(\mu_{xx} - \frac{\mu_{xy}\mu_{yx}}{\mu_{yy}} \right) - \frac{j\alpha_o^2}{\varepsilon_{yy}\omega} \\ 0 & -\frac{j\alpha_o \mu_{zy}}{\mu_{yy}} & \frac{j\omega(\mu_{yz}\mu_{zy} - \mu_{yy}\mu_{zz})}{\mu_{yy}} & -j\omega \left(\mu_{zx} - \frac{\mu_{yx}\mu_{zy}}{\mu_{yy}} \right) \\ -j\omega \left(\varepsilon_{xz} - \frac{\varepsilon_{xy}\varepsilon_{yz}}{\varepsilon_{yy}} \right) & \frac{j\alpha_o^2}{\mu_{yy}\omega} + \frac{j\omega(\varepsilon_{xy}\varepsilon_{yx} - \varepsilon_{xx}\varepsilon_{yy})}{\varepsilon_{yy}} & -\frac{j\alpha_o \mu_{yz}}{\mu_{yy}} & j\alpha_o \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{xy}}{\varepsilon_{yy}} - \frac{\mu_{yx}}{\mu_{yy}} \right) \\ \frac{j\omega(\varepsilon_{yy}\varepsilon_{zz} - \varepsilon_{yz}\varepsilon_{zy})}{\varepsilon_{yy}} & \frac{j\omega(\varepsilon_{yy}\varepsilon_{zx} - \varepsilon_{yx}\varepsilon_{zy})}{\varepsilon_{yy}} & 0 & -\frac{j\alpha_o \varepsilon_{zy}}{\varepsilon_{yy}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{j\mu_{xy}}{\mu_{yy}} & 0 & \frac{j\alpha_o}{\varepsilon_{yy}\omega} & 0 \\ \frac{j(\varepsilon_{yy}\mu_{zy} - \varepsilon_{yz}\mu_{yy})}{\varepsilon_{yy}\mu_{yy}} & -\frac{j\varepsilon_{yx}}{\varepsilon_{yy}} & 0 & -\frac{j\alpha_o}{\varepsilon_{yy}\omega} \\ -\frac{j\alpha_o}{\mu_{yy}\omega} & 0 & -\frac{j\varepsilon_{xy}}{\varepsilon_{yy}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{j\alpha_o}{\mu_{yy}\omega} & \frac{j(\varepsilon_{zy}\mu_{yy} - \varepsilon_{yy}\mu_{yz})}{\varepsilon_{yy}\mu_{yy}} & -\frac{j\mu_{yx}}{\mu_{yy}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{j\mu_{xy}}{\mu_{yy}} & 0 & \frac{j\alpha_o}{\varepsilon_{yy}\omega} & 0 \\ \frac{j(\varepsilon_{yy}\mu_{zy} - \varepsilon_{yz}\mu_{yy})}{\varepsilon_{yy}\mu_{yy}} & -\frac{j\varepsilon_{yx}}{\varepsilon_{yy}} & 0 & -\frac{j\alpha_o}{\varepsilon_{yy}\omega} \\ -\frac{j\alpha_o}{\mu_{yy}\omega} & 0 & -\frac{j\varepsilon_{xy}}{\varepsilon_{yy}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{j\alpha_o}{\mu_{yy}\omega} & \frac{j(\varepsilon_{zy}\mu_{yy} - \varepsilon_{yy}\mu_{yz})}{\varepsilon_{yy}\mu_{yy}} & -\frac{j\mu_{yx}}{\mu_{yy}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

As a practical propagation example, by restricting the case to $\alpha_o = 0$ and diagonal ε , μ we compute easily the eigenvalues (2.27) of $M(\eta)$ (2.25), yielding

$$\xi_1 = \xi_3 = \frac{\sqrt{\mu_{xx}}}{\sqrt{\mu_{yy}}} \sqrt{\omega^2 \varepsilon_{zz} \mu_{yy} - \eta^2}, \quad \xi_2 = \xi_4 = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{xx}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{yy}}} \sqrt{\omega^2 \varepsilon_{yy} \mu_{zz} - \eta^2} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

that constitutes two propagation modalities, the ordinary and extraordinary waves.

443 Appendix B

In this Appendix we report the justification and the properties of the dyadic Green's function (4.11) to get the particular solution (4.9) of (4.3). The dyadic Green's function is solution of the dyadic equation

$$\frac{d}{dv} G(v, v') + M_e(\gamma, \eta) G(v, v') = \delta(v - v') 1_t \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where 1_t is the unitary dyadic (2.31). According to [36], we select as solutions of the homogeneous equations to build the dyadic Green's function progressive and regressive waves in an indefinite region. Moreover the dyadic Green's functions need to model the behavior at $v = v'$ of (B.1) to allow the particular solution (4.9) be solution of (4.3). Using dyadic notation, for $v > v'$ we have the set of progressive waves ($i = 1, 2$), while for $v < v'$ regressive waves ($i = 3, 4$), i.e.

$$G_i(v, v') = u_i A_i(v') e^{-\lambda_{ei}(\gamma, \eta)v}, \quad i = 1..4 \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where $\lambda_{ei}(\gamma, \eta)$ and u_i are the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the matrix of dimension four $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$ and, $A_i(v')$ are arbitrary vector coefficients.

The most general solution of (B.1) is expressed by the dyadics

$$G(v, v') = \begin{cases} \vec{G}(v, v') = u_1 A_1(v') e^{-\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta)v} + u_2 A_2(v') e^{-\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta)v} & v > v' \\ \overleftarrow{G}(v, v') = u_3 A_3(v') e^{-\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta)v} + u_4 A_4(v') e^{-\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta)v} & v < v' \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

In order to find the vectors $A_i(v')$, $G(v, v')$ must satisfy (B.1) also at $v = v'$ by imposing the fundamental jump condition

$$\vec{G}(v'_+, v') - \overleftarrow{G}(v'_-, v') = 1_t \quad (\text{B.4})$$

It yields

$$u_1 A_1(v') e^{-\lambda_{e1}(\gamma, \eta)v'} + u_2 A_2(v') e^{-\lambda_{e2}(\gamma, \eta)v'} - (u_3 A_3(v') e^{-\lambda_{e3}(\gamma, \eta)v'} + u_4 A_4(v') e^{-\lambda_{e4}(\gamma, \eta)v'}) = 1_t \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Pre-multiplying (B.5) by the reciprocal eigenvectors ν_i (2.30)-(2.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_i(v') &= \nu_i e^{\lambda_{ei}(\gamma, \eta)v'} \quad (i = 1, 2) \\ A_i(v') &= -\nu_i e^{\lambda_{ei}(\gamma, \eta)v'} \quad (i = 3, 4) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

446 Substituting (B.6) into (B.3), we get the dyadic Green's function (4.11).

447 **Data Accessibility.** This article has no additional data.

448 **Authors' Contributions.** V.D and G.L. co-developed the mathematics, performed the numerical
449 implementation, provided physical interpretations, produced the figures and wrote the article. Both authors
450 approved the final version and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

451 **Competing Interests.** We declare we have no competing interests.

452 **Funding.** This work was supported by the Italian Ministry of University and Research under PRIN Grant
453 2017NT5W7Z GREEN TAGS.

454 **Acknowledgements.** Both authors thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge,
455 for organization, support and hospitality during the programme *Bringing pure and applied analysis together via*
456 *the Wiener-Hopf technique, its generalizations and applications* where some work on this paper was undertaken.

457 References

- 458 1. Daniele V. 2001. New analytical Methods for wedge problems. *2001 International Conference on*
459 *Electromagnetics in Advanced Applications (ICEAA01)*, September 10-14 2001, Torino, Italy, 385-
460 393.
- 461 2. Daniele VG. 2003. The Wiener-Hopf technique for impenetrable wedges having arbitrary
462 aperture angle. *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics* **63**, 1442-1460
- 463 3. Daniele VG. 2004. The Wiener-Hopf technique for wedge problems. *Internal Rep. ELT-2004-2,*
464 *DET, Politecnico di Torino*, See <http://personal.det.polito.it/vito.daniele>
- 465 4. Daniele VG, Lombardi G. 2005. The Wiener-Hopf technique for impenetrable wedge
466 problems. *Proc. Days Diffraction Internat. Conf.*, Saint Petersburg, Russia, Jun. 2005, 50-61. (doi:
467 10.1109/DD.2005.204879)
- 468 5. Daniele VG, Lombardi G. 2020. *Scattering and Diffraction by Wedges 1: The Wiener-Hopf Solution*
469 *- Theory*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. London, UK: ISTE.
- 470 6. Daniele V, Lombardi G. 2006. Wiener-Hopf solution for impenetrable wedges at
471 skew incidence. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* **54**:9, 2472-2485. (doi:
472 10.1109/TAP.2006.880723)
- 473 7. Daniele V, Lombardi G. 2011. The Wiener-Hopf solution of the isotropic penetrable wedge
474 problem: Diffraction and total field. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* **59**:10,
475 3797-3818. (doi: 10.1109/TAP.2011.2163780)
- 476 8. Daniele VG, Lombardi G, Zich RS. 2018. The Double PEC Wedge Problem: Diffraction
477 and Total Far Field. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* **66**:12, 6482-6499. (doi:
478 10.1109/TAP.2018.2877260)
- 479 9. Daniele VG, Lombardi G, Zich RS. 2019. Radiation and Scattering of an Arbitrarily Flanged
480 Dielectric-Loaded Waveguide. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* **67**:12, 7569-7584.
481 (doi: 10.1109/TAP.2019.2948494)

- 482 10. Daniele VG, Lombardi G. 2020. *Scattering and Diffraction by Wedges 2: The Wiener-Hopf Solution*
 483 - *Advanced Applications*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. London, UK: ISTE.
- 484 11. Daniele VG, Lombardi G. 2007. Fredholm Factorization of Wiener-Hopf scalar and matrix
 485 kernels. *Radio Science* **42**:RS6S01. 1-19
- 486 12. Daniele V, Zich RS. 2014. *The Wiener Hopf method in Electromagnetics*. Edison, NJ: Scitech
 487 Publishing.
- 488 13. Vekua NP. 1967. *Systems of Singular Integral Equations*. Gronigen, The Netherlands: P.
 489 Noordhoff.
- 490 14. Noble B. 1958. *Methods Based on the Wiener-Hopf Technique For the Solution of Partial Differential*
 491 *Equations*. Belfast, Northern Ireland: Pergamon Press.
- 492 15. Babich VM, Lyalinov MA, Grikurov VE. 2008. *Diffraction Theory: The Sommerfeld-Malyuzhinets*
 493 *Technique*. Oxford, UK: Alpha Science
- 494 16. Bernard JML. 2014. *Advance Theory of the Diffraction by a Semi-Impedance Cone*. Oxford, UK:
 495 Alpha Science International Ltd.
- 496 17. Budaev B. 1995. *Diffraction by Wedges*. Harlow, UK: Longman Scientific and Technical.
- 497 18. Lyalinov MA, Zhu NY. 2013. *Scattering of waves by wedges and cones with impedance boundary*
 498 *conditions*. Edison, NJ: SciTech-IET.
- 499 19. Gautesen AK. 1985. Scattering of a plane longitudinal wave by an elastic quarter space. *Wave*
 500 *Motion* **7**, 557-568.
- 501 20. Gautesen AK. 1985. Scattering of a Rayleigh wave by an elastic quarter space. *J. Appl. Mech*
 502 **52**, 664-668.
- 503 21. Gautesen AK. 2002. Scattering of a Rayleigh wave by an elastic wedge whose angle is less
 504 than 180. *Wave Motion* **36**, 417-424.
- 505 22. Gautesen AK, Fradkin LJ. 2010. Diffraction by a two-dimensional traction-free elastic wedge.
 506 *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **70**, 3065-3085
- 507 23. Shimoda M, Itakura T, Yamada Y. 1995. Scattering of Electromagnetic Plane waves by a
 508 perfectly Conducting Wedge: The case of E-polarization. *IEICE Trans. Electron.* **E78-C**, 1298-
 509 1305.
- 510 24. Shimoda M, Iwaki R, Miyosci M, Matsuda T. 2001. Wiener-Hopf Analysis and Diffraction by
 511 an impedance wedge: The case of E-polarization. *IEICE Trans. Electron.* **E84-C**, 994-1001.
- 512 25. Shanin AV. 1996. On wave excitation in a wedge-shaped region. *Acoustic Phys.* **42**:5, 612-617.
- 513 26. Shanin AV. 1998. Excitation of waves in a wedge-shaped region. *Acoustic Phys.* **44**:5, 592-597.
- 514 27. Peters AS. 1950. The effect of a floating mat on Water waves. *Communications on pure and applied*
 515 *mathematics* **3**:4, 319-354.
- 516 28. Mittra R, Lee SW. 1971. *Analytical Techniques in the Theory of Guided Waves*. Mittra R. and S.W.
 517 Lee, Analytical Techniques in the Theory of Guided Waves, The MacMillan Company, New
 518 York, 1971, NY: The MacMillan Company
- 519 29. Jones DS. 1952. Diffraction by a waveguide of finite length. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **48**, 118-134.
- 520 30. Daniele V. 1971. Wave propagation in stratified multilayered plasma. *Alta Frequenza* **40**, 904-914.
- 521 31. Daniele V, Zich R. 1973. Radiation by arbitrary sources in anisotropic stratified media. *Radio*
 522 *Science* **8**, 63-70.
- 523 32. Brekhovskikh LM. 1960. *Waves in layered media*. New York, NY: Academic Press. (Translated
 524 from Russian: Volny v Sloistykh Sredakh, Moscow: Nauka, 1973)
- 525 33. Felsen LB, Marcuvitz N. 1973. *Radiation and Scattering of Waves*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-
 526 Hall.
- 527 34. Bresler AD, Marcuvitz N. 1956. Operator methods in electromagnetic field theory. *Report R-*
 528 *495,56, PIB-425, MRI Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn*
- 529 35. Daniele V, Montrosset I, Zich R. 1974. Line source Green's function in a ferrite. *Alta Frequenza*
 530 **43**, 417-428.
- 531 36. Friedman B. 1956. *Principles and Techniques of Applied Mathematics*. New York, NY: John Wiley
 532 & Sons. Ch. 3,
- 533 37. Senior TBA, Volakis JL. 1995. *Approximate Boundary Conditions in Electromagnetics*. London,
 534 U.K.: Institution of Electrical Engineers.
- 535 38. Antipov YA, Silvestrov VV. 2007. Diffraction of a plane wave by a right-angled penetrable
 536 wedge. *Radio Science* **42**:4, 1-15. RS4006.
- 537 39. Antipov YA, Fokas AS. 2005 The modified Helmholtz equation in a semi-strip. *Math. Proc.*
 538 *Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **138**. 339-365.
- 539 40. Antipov YA. 2019. Exact solution to the problem of acoustic wave propagation in a semi-
 540 infinite waveguide with flexible walls. *Wave Motion* **91**. 102386.

- 541 41. Malyuzhinets GD. 1958. Excitation, reflection and emission of surface waves from a wedge
542 with given face impedances. *Soviet Physics, Doklady*, **3**. 752-755.

543 Glossary

- 544 In the following table 1 we report a glossary of main abbreviations, notations and symbols introduced in the paper and useful for its readability.

Table 1. Main Abbreviations, Notations and Symbols introduced in the paper

Notation	Description
WH	Wiener-Hopf
GWHEs	Generalized Wiener-Hopf Equations
CWHEs	Classical Wiener-Hopf Equations
SM	Sommerfeld-Malyuzhinets (method)
PEC	Perfect Electrical Conductor
(x, y, z)	Cartesian coordinates
(ρ, φ, z)	cylindrical coordinates
(u, v, z)	oblique Cartesian coordinates
E, H, D, B	electric field, magnetic field, dielectric induction, magnetic induction
k	propagation constant
Z_o	free space impedance
ϵ, μ and ξ, ζ	tensor constitutive parameters (electric permittivity, magnetic permeability and magnetoelectric tensors)
$e^{j\omega t}$	time dependence of harmonic field
Γ_{∇}	matrix differential operator in abstract notation
ψ, θ	vector fields in abstract notation
W	matrix constitutive parameters of media
ψ_t	transverse field for a stratification along the y direction
ψ_y	longitudinal field for a stratification along the y direction
$M(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$	transversal operator for Maxwell's equations
$D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$	alternative partial derivative notation
α_o	due to invariance along the z -direction, without loss of generality, we suppose that a field dependence specified by the factor $e^{-j\alpha_o z}$
η	Fourier or Laplace spectral variable according to the position on the text
$\Psi_t(\eta)$	Fourier transform along $x = u$ direction (y, z or v, z dependence is omitted)
$M(\eta)$	matrix operator in Fourier/Laplace domain in indefinite rectangular region
λ_i, u_i	eigenvalues and eigenvector of $M(\eta)$
ν_i	reciprocal vectors of u_i
ξ_i	different notation of λ_i for propagation's properties, multivalued function
γ	aperture angle of angular regions (Fig. 1)
$M_e(\gamma, \eta)$	matrix operator in Fourier/Laplace domain in indefinite angular region
λ_{ei}	eigenvalues of $M_e(\gamma, \eta)$
$\tilde{\psi}_t(\eta, v)$	Laplace transform along $x \equiv u$ of $\psi_t(u, v)$ (omitting z dependence)
$\psi_s(v)$	field components on the face of an angular region in Laplace domain
$\psi_{as}(v)$	specialized expression of $\psi_s(v)$ on face a
$G(v, v')$	dyadic Green's function in Laplace domain for an angular region
$\tilde{\psi}_{as}(\chi)$	Laplace transform in v along face a ($v = \rho$)
m_{a1}, m_{a2}	spectral variables for the evaluation of $\tilde{\psi}_{as}(\chi)$ in functional equations