POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

A fast fuel cell parametric identification approach based on machine learning inverse models

Original

A fast fuel cell parametric identification approach based on machine learning inverse models / Guarino, A.; Trinchero, R.; Canavero, F.; Spagnuolo, G. - In: ENERGY. - ISSN 0360-5442. - ELETTRONICO. - 239:(2022), p. 122140. [10.1016/j.energy.2021.122140]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2935155 since: 2021-11-10T10:10:42Z

Publisher: Elsevier Ltd

Published DOI:10.1016/j.energy.2021.122140

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright Elsevier postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

© 2022. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.122140

(Article begins on next page)

¹ Graphical Abstract

- ² A fast Fuel Cell Parametric Identification approach based on Ma-
- ³ chine Learning Inverse Models
- ⁴ Antonio Guarino, Riccardo Trinchero, Flavio Canavero, Giovanni Spagnuolo



5 Highlights

A fast Fuel Cell Parametric Identification approach based on Ma ⁷ chine Learning Inverse Models

8 Antonio Guarino, Riccardo Trinchero, Flavio Canavero, Giovanni Spagnuolo

- Parameter identification of equivalent circuit model performed through
 Inverse Model;
- Parameter search space is first constrained in feasibility regions;
- Inverse Models built through GPR, SVM and LS-SVM machine learn ing regression models;
- The identification results are shown for both synthetic and experimental data;
- Performance and Resource Usage of the embedded implementation are explored.

A fast Fuel Cell Parametric Identification approach based on Machine Learning Inverse Models

Antonio Guarino^a, Riccardo Trinchero^b, Flavio Canavero^b, Giovanni
 Spagnuolo^a

^aDIEM-University of Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, Fisciano (SA), 84084, Italy ^bDET-Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 24, Torino (TO), 10129, Italy

22 Abstract

In this paper a computationally efficient optimization approach to the parametric identification of a fuel cell equivalent circuit model is presented. It is based on the inverse model and on machine learning regressions. During the training phase, the inverse model is built numerically by means of advanced regression approaches, i.e., the support vector machine regression, the least squares support vector machine regression and the Gaussian process regression. The training set is synthetically generated to the aim of exploring the parameter space and to characterize different stack operating conditions, including normal and faulty ones. The accuracy of the considered approaches is investigated by employing a test set including many experimental data, consisting of impedance spectra measured through the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and referring to very different stack operating conditions. The results show that all the considered machine learning methods allow to identify the parameters of the fuel cell model with a low computational burden, so that they fit with the hardware resources of low cost embedded processors. This feature allows to envisage that the proposed approaches are good candidates for a model-based on-line diagnosis of fuel cell stacks.

- ²³ Keywords: Parametric identification of Equivalent Circuit Model, Inverse
- ²⁴ Model, Machine Learning Regression

25 **1. Introduction**

Fuel Cells (FCs) and hydrogen-related technologies are a key point of the European Green Deal and of the Recovery Plan. In order to improve the

Preprint submitted to Energy

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of FCs' stacks, a significant lifetime has to be 28 reached. Monitoring and Diagnostic Tools (MDTs) are helpful in evidencing 29 a malfunctioning and the occurrence of operating conditions that stress the 30 stack and reduce its Remaining Useful Life (RUL). Although many diagnos-31 tic approaches and methodologies are proposed in literature, very often they 32 employ laboratory measurement systems and/or algorithms requiring signifi-33 cant hardware resources. Consequently, their on-line and on-site application 34 becomes very challenging. At the same time, some efforts are on course to 35 develop MDTs employing hardware systems and requiring computational ef-36 forts that are compatible with FC systems costs, diagnostic accuracy and 37 time response. For instance, some H2020 funded projects, e.g. [1], have been 38 aiming at pursuing the objective of having an Electrochemical Impedance 39 Spectroscopy (EIS) -based MDT using ordinary power electronics and em-40 bedded processors to perform frequency domain measurements and detect 41 a number of faults through on-line diagnostic algorithms. The diagnostic 42 methods usually work in two spaces: one is the frequency domain, i.e., the 43 stack impedance spectrum in the Bode or in the Nyquist planes, and the 44 other is the multidimensional space of the parameters of a suitable non lin-45 ear FC stack Equivalent Circuit Model (ECM). The latter requires a robust 46 approach that takes the impedance spectrum as an input and gives at the 47 output the set of ECM parameters. This task is quite challenging because of 48 the number of parameters, of the wide search space and of the lack of a good 49 guess solution. A further issue is the high non linearity between the function, 50 which is usually considered as the objective of the optimization problem, e.g., 51 the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) between the ECM impedance and the 52 experimental data, and the parameters thereof. Some approaches are pro-53 posed in literature, e.g. in [2], but they are based on specific assumptions 54 about the signal-to-noise level affecting the data; consequently, they do not 55 always ensure the convergence towards the absolute minimum RMSE value 56 or they have a significant computational burden. 57

Machine learning (ML) has been using more and more in energy problems. 58 An increasing number of ML-based approaches to monitoring, diagnosis and 59 RUL prediction of batteries and FCs can be found in the recent literature. In 60 [3, 4] multiple ML-based approaches have been employed to RUL estimation 61 of a set of batteries by using charging, discharging, internal resistance and 62 temperature data. The effectiveness of such approaches that operate on 63 the battery early usage data is demonstrated. In [5], the battery state of 64 health is estimated by employing mechanical and electrical features through 65

an Autoencoder and a Gaussian Process Regression (GPR) based estimation 66 model. In [6, 7] an artificial neural network is used to estimate the remaining 67 battery capacity. In [8], a number of variables, e.g., stack current and voltage, 68 air supplier control signal, temperatures, air flow rates, is monitored and their 69 values are compared with those ones computed through a suitable model. 70 The patterns of the resulting differences are used to train supervised ML 71 methods that are then able to classify different faults. In order to ensure 72 a simultaneous faults detection, instead then addressing independent faults 73 only, in [9] a deep learning network called stacked sparse autoencoder is 74 proposed. The stack voltage is also the subject of the study presented in [10]: 75 some relevant features are extracted and allow ML approaches to identify the 76 fault. 77

FC degradation prediction in presence of a variable load is performed in 78 [11] and [12] through a method based on a Genetic Algorithm (GA) and an 79 Extreme Learning Machine (ELM). The long term dynamic behavior of the 80 stack voltage is exploited in [13] to predict the performance degradation by 81 using a Grid Long Short-Term Memory (G-LSTM) Recurrent Neural Net-82 work (RNN). A Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier is adopted in [14] 83 for detecting FC faults by using a 3D model. The validation of the multi-84 scale hybrid degradation index formulated in [15] and of the the approach 85 aimed at predicting the FC RUL presented in [16] is done through a ML tool 86 operating on experimental data. Moreover, a ML algorithm has been used 87 in [17] to find the best trade off between the EIS measurement time and the 88 fault detection accuracy through the impedance spectrum. The diagnosis is 89 conducted in the frequency space only, without any parametric identification 90 process. To this regard, the adoption of ML techniques for system parame-91 ters identification is quite rare in literature. Few examples refer to dynamical 92 systems identification: e.g., in [18] the systems considered are described by 93 differential-algebraic equations and the sum of the squared deviations of the 94 values of the state vector's coordinates from their exact counterparts obtained 95 through measurements at different time instants is considered as the objec-96 tive function whose value is minimized through the adaptive random search 97 method. In [19], transfer learning methods are used to address a challenge in 98 state-space linear parameter-varying model identification and learning. Ker-99 nelized ML is adopted when the distributions of the training and testing sets 100 are different. 101

This paper shows that the parametric identification of a Polymer Electrolyte Membrane (PEM) FC ECM can be effectively performed by using

the *inverse model* [20]. A mathematical model, i.e., a multivariate func-104 tion, is achieved as first. Then, it exploits a set of experimental EIS spectra 105 to identify the parameters of the widely recognised ECM presented in [21]. 106 Since the parameters identification is carried out via a straightforward eval-107 uation of the inverse model over the experimental values of the EIS spectra. 108 the proposed approach ensures a good compromise between computational 109 burden and accuracy, thus a performance that is better than the one the 110 stochastic identification techniques presented in literature are able to guar-111 antee [22][23][24]. 112

The construction of the inverse model, which is the core of the proposed 113 method, is not straightforward. Indeed, the inverse map is usually extremely 114 non-linear and ill-posed, this meaning that a given EIS spectrum might be 115 generated by more than one set of the ECM parameters. In order to mitigate 116 the above issue, in this paper three advanced ML regression methods are 117 considered. Specifically, the SVM regression [25], the Least Squares SVM 118 regression (LS-SVM) [26] and the GPR [27] are adopted to construct the 119 inverse model from synthetic data. The above techniques have been selected 120 for two main reasons. First of all, they are non-parametric techniques in 121 which the number of unknowns to be estimated during the model training 122 is independent from the dimensionality of the input space, i.e., from the 123 number of frequency points in the EIS spectrum. This allows to minimize 124 the number of training samples required by the model training and thus the 125 model complexity. Moreover, the regularizer used by the SVM and LS-SVM 126 regressions, as well as the noise term in the GPR, can be used to mitigate the 127 ill-posedness of the inverse model [28, 29]. The proposed approaches have 128 been also implemented in a low cost embedded system to demonstrate their 129 on-site and on-line potential; their performance is validated through a large 130 test set consisting of experimental EIS spectra. 131

The experimental test set has been obtained during the HEALTHCODE 132 H2020 project [1] on a PEM stack having 46 cells and an active area of 100 133 cm^2 . The stack was tested at 0.4 A/cm^2 under different hydrogen and oxygen 134 utilization and for different humidity levels. The considered dataset refers 135 to cells number 1, 2, 23, 45, and 46 with measurements performed in the 136 range from 50 mHz to 2 kHz for a total of 157 spectra. Further details on 137 the testing procedure and on the study of the impact of water management 138 and reactant utilization through EIS are disclosed in [30][31]. 139

The paper is organized as follows. Section II is aimed at describing the proposed ML-based identification approach and Section III gives the details

of the application of the ML methods adopted. Section IV shows the proce-142 dure used to produce the synthetic data that have been used for the learning 143 phase. Sections V and VI provide the analysis of the results based on syn-144 thetic and experimental data, respectively. Section VII shows the results of 145 an approach validation performed through an embedded platform that is a 146 candidate for the on-line application of the proposed approaches. The com-147 putational burden of the proposed approach in comparison with that one 148 of an accurate stochastic approach proposed in literature is also provided. 149 Conclusions end the paper. 150

¹⁵¹ 2. Gray-Box System Identification via Forward Models

System identification aims at building a mathematical model on the basis of measured data [32]. Given the experimental FC impedance values $\mathbf{Z}_{exp} = [Z_{exp}(f_1), \ldots, Z_{exp}(f_{N_f})]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{N_f}$ in a given frequency range, the goal is to identify the optimal configuration \mathbf{x}_* of the $N_p = 6$ free parameters $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \ldots, x_{N_p}]^T$ of the Fouquet ECM $\overline{Z}_F(f; \mathbf{x})$ (see the Appendix and [21] for additional details), such that:

$$Z_{exp}(f) \approx \bar{Z}_F(f; \mathbf{x}_*), \tag{1}$$

Literature results allow to assume that the Fouquet model is able to fit, with a good accuracy, the experimental spectra.

The chosen ECM has a closed-form and it provides a gray-box model, i.e., a model built from a-priori knownledge, for the system identification. The Fouquet model is a forward map, or forward model, that for any configuration **x** of its parameters is able to provide the corresponding impedance value $\bar{Z}_F(f; \mathbf{x})$ at the desired frequency value f.

The identification problem (1) can be also formulated by means of an objective function whose value has to be optimized through a suitable algorithm. For instance, the configuration \mathbf{x}_* can be estimated as the one that minimizes the average of the magnitudes of the squared error computed between the experimental impedance values collected in \mathbf{Z}_{exp} and the corresponding ones predicted by the Fouquet model \bar{Z}_F in a given frequency range:

$$\mathbf{x}_{*} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{N_{f}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{f}} |Z_{exp}(f_{i}) - \bar{Z}_{F}(f_{i}; \mathbf{x})|^{2}.$$
 (2)

The properties of such an optimization problem, e.g. its convexity, depend on the structure of the forward model \bar{Z}_F . As an example, the problem at hand turns out to be convex when the model \bar{Z}_F is so simple that it can be written as a linear combination of its input parameters **x**. However, such minimization problem can be usually classified as a non-convex optimization with several local minima [33], which might require advanced optimization algorithms, as in [22][23].

Alternatively, provided that the candidate model $Z_F(f; \mathbf{x})$ can be inex-173 pensively evaluated for any set of the input parameters \mathbf{x} , the above optimiza-174 tion problem can be tackled via a grid search approach. It is a brute force op-175 timization scheme, in which the N_p parameters can be interpreted as uniform 176 distributed random variables in a given range (i.e., $x_i \sim \mathcal{U}(x_{i,min}, x_{i,max}))$), 177 then the model $\overline{Z}_F(f; \mathbf{x})$ is evaluated for a large number of realizations of the 178 input random parameters drawn according to their probability distribution 179 functions (PDFs). Thus, the optimal parameters set \mathbf{x}_* can be estimated 180 among all the considered realizations as the one that minimizes the cost 181 function of the optimization problem (2). This approach is straightforward 182 and does not require to calculate the gradient function of the cost function, 183 but it turns out to be quite cumbersome and computational expensive. In 184 fact, the accuracy and the reliability of optimal solution \mathbf{x}_* predicted by such 185 brute force approach heavily depends on the number of calls to the model 186 Z_F , and thus on the number of realizations of the random variables x con-187 sidered during the optimization process. This limits the online use of such 188 an approach through embedded systems. 189

¹⁹⁰ 3. Inverse Model for System Identification

¹⁹¹ The inverse model approach presented in this paper is an effective candi-¹⁹² date for the FC ECM identification [20].

The inverse model denoted as \mathcal{M}^{-1} goes in the opposite direction with respect to forward model $\bar{Z}_F(f; \mathbf{x})$ used in the conventional optimization approach (2); it is:

$$\mathbf{x}_* = \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathbf{Z}_{exp}),\tag{3}$$

where, the vector $\mathbf{x}_* \in \mathbb{R}_p^N$ collects the identified system parameters, \mathbf{Z} is a vector collecting the experimental impedance values evaluated at the frequency $\mathbf{f} = [f_1, \ldots, f_{N_f}]^T$ and the inverse map $\mathcal{M}^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^{N_f} \to \mathbb{R}^d$. ¹⁹⁶ Specifically, starting from the experimental impedance values \mathbf{Z}_{exp} , the ¹⁹⁷ inverse map \mathcal{M}^{-1} is able to directly provide, without requiring to solve any ¹⁹⁸ optimization problem or to use an iterative algorithm, the optimal configu-¹⁹⁹ ration of the ECM parameters \mathbf{x}_* . Indeed, by means of the inverse model, ²⁰⁰ the identification task becomes as simple as a trivial function evaluation.

Unfortunately, for realistic cases in which the inverse map cannot be 201 computed explicitly from the forward one, the construction of the inverse 202 model is rather challenging. First of all, even if a mathematical relationship 203 for the forward model is known, the inverse model might not be available 204 in a closed-form. In such cases, the model must be constructed numerically 205 with the help of regression or interpolation techniques, starting from a set of 206 experimental and/or synthetic training data provided by the forward model. 207 Moreover, inverse models are often ill-posed, since they can lead to a one-to-208 many map (i.e., the inverse model turns out to be a non-injective function), 200 in the sense that a given set of value of the model output might be generated 210 by more than one combinations of the system parameters. 211

Advanced ML regression techniques are promising candidates for building an accurate approximation of the inverse map in a high dimensional space. In this paper, the effectiveness of three ML regressions, i.e., SVM regression [25], LS-SVM regression [26] and the GPR [27], is investigated.

216 3.1. SVM and LS-SVM regression

Let us consider the problem of constructing the inverse map \mathcal{M}^{-1} , starting from a set of N_s training samples $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{y}_l)\}_{l=1}^{N_s}$, in which the vector $\mathbf{x}_l \in \mathbb{R}^{N_p}$ collects the training realizations of the system parameters and $\mathbf{y}_l = [\operatorname{Re} \{Z_{1,l}\}, \ldots, \operatorname{Re} \{Z_{N_f,l}\}, \operatorname{Im} \{Z_{1,l}\}, \ldots, \operatorname{Im} \{Z_{N_f,l}\}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{2N_f}$ is a real value vector collecting the corresponding real and imaginary parts of the FC impedance values, the latter being synthetic or experimental data. The primal space formulation of the inverse model $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i^{-1}$ for *i*-th component of the parameter vector \mathbf{x} built via the SVM and LS-SVM regression, writes [25, 26]:

$$x_i \approx \mathcal{M}_i^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) = \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle + b_i$$
 (4)

where $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{N_p} \to \mathbb{R}^D$ is a vector collecting *D*-basis functions ¹, $\mathbf{w}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is a vector collecting the regression unknowns and b_i is the bias term. The above formulation can be repeated to model all the components of the vector \mathbf{x} .

¹For the sake of simplicity, it has been assumed that all the components of parameter vector x_i share the same basis functions.

For the LS-SVM and SVM regressions, the unknowns (i.e., \mathbf{w}_i and b_i) are estimated by solving the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}_i, b_i} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}_i\|_{L_2}^2 + \gamma_i \sum_{l=1}^{N_s} \ell(x_{i,l}, \langle \mathbf{w}_i, \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{y}_l) \rangle + b_i)$$
(5)

where $\ell(\cdot)$ is a cost function proving the error of the model on the training 220 samples. This means that the coefficients \mathbf{w}_i and the bias term b_i are esti-221 mated by minimizing the average of the cost function evaluated between the 222 training data and the model predictions penalized by a L2 regularizer (i.e., 223 $\|\mathbf{w}_i\|^2$). The latter term adds a penalty to the model accuracy on the training 224 samples, thus mitigating the detrimental effects of the ill-posed problem and 225 also reducing overfitting [34]. The effect of the regularizer in the optimiza-226 tion problem in (5) is tuned by the hyperparameter γ_i , usually estimated via 227 cross-validation (CV) during the model training. A squared loss function is 228 used as cost function for the LS-SVM regression², whereas the SVM regres-229 sion uses the ε -insensitive loss function. Interested readers can refer to [25] 230 for additional details. 231

It is important to notice that the primal space formulation of the LS-SVM and SVM regression provides a parametric model, in which the number of unknowns to be estimated (i.e., the cardinality of the vector $|\mathbf{w}| = D$) is equal to the number of basis functions considered in the liner expansion in (4). This means that the obtained model suffers the curse of dimensionality (i.e., a reduction of the model efficiency when either the model complexity and the number of parameters increases).

The dual formulation available for the LS-SVM and SVM regression allows mitigating the above issue and writes:

$$x_i = \sum_{l=1}^{N_s} \alpha_{i,l} k(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}_l) + b_i, \qquad (6)$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_{i,l}$ and the bias term b_i are estimated by the regression algorithm during the training process and $k(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^{2N_f \times 2N_f} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the kernel function defined as the inner product of between the basis function vectors,

i.e., $k(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}_l) = \langle \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{y}), \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{y}_l) \rangle$. Thanks to the kernel function $k(\cdot, \cdot)$, the dual

²For mathematical convenience, in the LS-SVM regression the squared loss function is multiplied by a constant term 1/2.

space formulation in (6) does not require an explicit definition of the basis functions $\phi(\mathbf{y})$. This is the so-called "kernel trick" [25]. Several kernel functions with different mathematical properties and features are available [35]. The most common ones are:

• linear kernel: $k(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}_l) = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}_l;$

• polynomial kernel of order q: $k(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}_l) = (1 + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}_l)^q$;

• Gaussian radial basis function (RBF) kernel: $k(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}_l) = \exp(-||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_l||^2/2\sigma^2)$, where σ is the kernel hyperparameter.

Hereafter, in this paper the Radial Basis Function (RBF) kernel will be
adopted, since it has shown an excellent performance in high nonlinear regression problems [25, 28].

In the above dual formulation of the SVM and LS-SVM regression, the number of regression unknown $\alpha_{i,l}$ turns out to be independent from the dimensionality of the input space (i.e., the cardinality of **y**). This is extremely useful to overcome the curse-of-dimensionality issue. Moreover, the regularizer used in the primal space formulation allows us to mitigate the ill-posed problem resulting from the inverse map.

It should also be noted that the unknowns of the dual form of the LS-SVM formulation can be suitably estimated as a solution of a linear problem by inverting a square matrix [26]. On the other hand, due to the ε -insensitive loss function, the convex optimization problem for the case of the SVM leads to a sparse solution, but it must be solved numerically [25].

265 3.2. GPR Regression

Differently from the LS-SVM and SVM regression, the GPR can be used to build a probabilistic version of the inverse surrogate model. Starting from the N_s training samples $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{y}_l)\}_{l=1}^{N_s}$, the GPR allows to train a probabilistic inverse model able to estimate for any configuration of the input parameters a statistical interpretation of its prediction in terms of a Gaussian distribution, which provides additional information on its reliability [27]. Specifically, for any test sample \mathbf{y}_* , the output of a GPR model is:

$$x_{i,*} \sim p(x_{i,*}|\mathbf{y}_*, \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\mathbf{y}_*}, \sigma_{\mathbf{y}_*}^2)$$
(7)

in which $\mu_{\mathbf{y}_*}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{y}_*}^2$ are the so-called posterior mean and variance, computed as follows:

$$\mu_{\mathbf{y}_*} = \mathbf{k}_*^T (\mathbf{K} + \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{x}$$
(8)

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{y}_*}^2 = k_{**} - \mathbf{k}_* (\mathbf{K} + \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{k}_*^T$$
(9)

where $\mathbf{y} = [y_1, \dots, y_{2N_f}], \mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_s \times N_s}$ is the correlation matrix in which the 266 covariance function k is evaluated on pairs of the training data (i.e., K_{ij} = 267 $K(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)), \mathbf{k}_* = [k(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_*), \dots, k(\mathbf{y}_L, \mathbf{y}_*)]^T$ is a column vector, $k(\mathbf{y}_*, \mathbf{y}_*)$ is a scalar, $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_s \times N_s}$ is the identity matrix and σ_n^2 is an hyperparameter 268 269 representing the variance of a possible additive noise corrupting the training 270 dataset. Similarly to the kernel function, the covariance function used in the 271 GPR defines the correlation between the values of pairs of model output at 272 different point in the parameters space. Hereafter in this paper, a squared 273 exponential covariance function, also known as RBF kernel, is used for the 274 GPR, i.e., $k(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') = \exp\left(-\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'\|^2/2\sigma_l^2\right)$ [27], where σ_l is a hyperparame-275 ters estimated during the model training. 276

277 4. Learning framework for the inverse model

The LS-SVM, the SVM and the GPR regression models learn the inverse 278 model through a suitable framework. To learn the inverse function of the 279 Fouquet model through a data-driven regression technique, it is necessary to 280 observe both the domain and the co-domain of the function $\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$. 281 However, given the very high dimensionality of the two spaces, one depend-282 ing on the number of frequencies, the other on the number of parameters, 283 an unconstrained generation of observations would be computationally in-284 tractable. It is worth to note that, since the forward model $\mathbf{Z} = Z_F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f})$ 285 is available, the sampling can be limited to the parameters space and the 286 spectrum obtained through the evaluation of the direct model for each sam-287 pled parameters' set. However, even in this case, the training procedure 288 would benefit from a bounded search space. From a computational perspec-289 tive, bounding the parameters values between specific maxima and minima 290 values drastically reduce the training time. Additionally, from a physical 291 perspective, only certain combinations of parameters are feasible and lead to 292 a meaningful impedance spectra, thus only certain regions of the parameters 293 space are worth to be explored. 294



Figure 1: Generation of the training set. Each set of parameters is sampled through the LHS method and is processed with the Fouquet model in the Grid Search Procedure.

A synthetic dataset, which is obtained through a specific data-generating 295 procedure shown in Fig. 1, has been employed for training purposes. It em-296 ploys the grid search optimization briefly described in Sec. 2 together with 297 the Fouquet ECM in which the candidate sets of parameters are sampled 298 from the search space via a Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS) scheme. In 299 the figure, N_s refers to the number of training samples, $N_p = 6$ is the num-300 ber of parameters involved in the Fouquet ECM, i.e. the dimension of the 301 parameters vector \mathbf{x} , and $N_f = 48$ is the number of frequency values. 302

303 4.1. Parameters Space Sampling

Fig. 1 shows that, at the beginning of the procedure, the parameters 304 space is sampled in the range between \mathbf{x}_m and \mathbf{x}_M . These limits have been 305 set after having analysed the experimental impedance spectra corresponding 306 to the extreme fault conditions. Indeed, the experimental data have been 307 obtained by inducing stack faults with an increasing level of severity. By 308 moving the stack operating condition away from the nominal one, impedance 309 modulus and phase change and lead to visible effects on the spectra, e.g. in 310 terms of a magnification or reduction of the arcs in the Nyquist diagram. 311 The effect depends on the specific fault, on its severity, and on the duration 312 of the fault condition. For a specific operating condition imposed at stack 313 level, the spectra of its cells that are connected in series may not exhibit the 314 same alteration, because of inhomogeneities in the gas flows, constructive 315 mismatching among cells and differences in cells degradation rate. 316



Figure 2: EIS Impedance curves (top panel) in nominal and faulty conditions identified offline and the corresponding parameters (bottom panel) defining the parameters space.

Thus, the boundaries for each parameter range have been fixed by picking, 317 in a subset of curves referring to the same operating condition, the spectrum 318 that is the farthest from the nominal one. The resulting spectra are consid-319 ered as extreme ones and selected for a robust identification. The parametric 320 identification has been performed according to the approach described in [36]. 321 The fluctuation of the experimental measurements around the GA-based 322 identified impedance curve is shown in Fig. 2. In the figure, the curves refer 323 to the fitting while the dots are the EIS measurements of the farthest curves 324 from the reference. Among the selected curves, the extreme values of each 325 parameter are considered. Fig. 2 also shows the coverage of the parameters 326 space obtained through the described procedure, together with the obtained 327 identified parameters set for each specific operating condition. The colors 328 are used to distinguish the different FC working conditions: Air Starvation 320 (as), Anode and Cathode Drying (an and ca), Anode and Cathode Flooding 330 (fc and fa) Fuel Starvation (fs) and Normal Conditions (nc). 331

332 4.2. Grid Search Optimization and the reduced parameters space

The parameters space defined in the previous subsection and depicted in Fig. 1 with the left-most stem plot, is sampled through a LHS procedure and evaluated during the grid search optimization to obtain the set of solutions $\mathbf{X}_{MC} = [\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_{N_s}]$ for the experimental impedance spectra $[\mathbf{Z}_{exp,1}, ..., \mathbf{Z}_{exp,N_s}]$. Thereby, the set of solutions \mathbf{X}_{MC} is used to reduce the initial parameters space and build a prior discrete N_p -dimensional distribution of the parameters. This is represented by the rightmost stem plot in

Fig. 1. The obtained discrete distribution is smoothed with a Kernel Density 340 Estimate (KDE) procedure so that it can be sampled to obtain an expanded 341 training set of arbitrary dimension [37]. This procedure ensures that the 342 three regression algorithms are focused on the plausible regions of the pa-343 rameters space, which are those ones leading to impedance spectra that are 344 representative of the FC operating conditions. Moreover, the availability of a 345 continuous distribution from which an arbitrary number of spectra is drawn, 346 allows to benchmark the performance of the regression algorithms by using 347 different sizes of the training set. 348

³⁴⁹ 5. Results on synthetic data

The proposed approaches are firstly validated by using the synthetic 350 dataset. Both the training and test sets are sampled from the reduced pa-351 rameters' space that is obtained as it has been described in Section 4.2. The 352 reduced parameters' space is obtained by using the 30% and 70% of the 353 experimental spectra, in order to create the training and the testing sets 354 respectively. Thus, at this stage, the fractions of the experimental dataset 355 reported above are exploited to estimate the parameters' distributions. The 356 resulting distributions for the training and test sets are then sampled and 357 processed through the Fouquet ECM to obtain the corresponding synthetic 358 impedance spectra that are used for training and test purposes. 359

The use of spectra that do not come from experimental measurements 360 but that have been generated by using the Fouquet ECM allows to analyse 361 the performance of the regression algorithms. Indeed, to each synthetic spec-362 trum, belonging either to the training set or to the test set, corresponds a set 363 of parameters of the Fouquet ECM that guarantees the perfect fitting of the 364 spectrum thereof. Consequently, modelling inaccuracies of the Fouquet ECM 365 for the available experimental data do not affect the identification result and 366 the performance of the regression approaches can be analysed in detail. The 367 use of synthetic data also allows to study the impact of the number of train-368 ing samples and of the noise affecting the data in the Nyquist plane, the 360 latter being added through a simple numeric procedure applied to the test 370 set. The noise has been added to the smooth impedance generated through 371 the Fouquet ECM. The spectroscopy measurements are known to be affected 372 by a stochastic noise, whose standard deviation is a function of the frequency 373 and the magnitude of the impedance vector [38]. Therefore, to mimic the 374

noise of the available experimental spectra, the impedance used to build the synthetic test set has been altered according to (10):

$$Z(f) = \bar{Z}_F(f; \mathbf{x}_*) + \underbrace{(\beta_{re}(f) + j\beta_{im}(f))}_{n(f)}, \tag{10}$$

where $\beta_{re}(f)$, $\beta_{im}(f) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(f))$ are Gaussian distributed stochastic variables describing a non-stationary additive noise n(f), in which the standard deviation is computed according to (11):

$$\sigma(f) = \frac{|\bar{Z}_F(f; \mathbf{x}_*)|}{\alpha} \tag{11}$$

and α is used to change the noise level.

The amplitude of the noise term n(f) is proportional to the impedance modulus, and affects its real and imaginary parts independently.

Fig. 3 shows the average performance, over three consecutive runs, of 383 the three algorithms on the test set. The algorithms are trained to infer 384 the vector of parameters \mathbf{x}_* starting from the impedance Z. The evaluation 385 of the Fouquet model returns the estimated impedance $\bar{Z}_F(f)(f;\mathbf{x}_*)$. The 386 average MSE norm between the estimated spectra and the ones of the test 387 set is used to compare the results. In Fig. 3(a) the LS-SVM performance 388 underlines the impact of the noise level for two different sizes of the training 389 set. For high levels of noise, thus α lower than 30, the average performance 390 with 250 samples is worst than the one obtained with the reduced sample size 391 of 30. When the noise level decreases, the impact of the increased dataset size 392 becomes visible. At $\alpha = 100$ the performance index is halved. Similar results 393 are also obtained for the GPR model (Fig. 3(c)) and very similar results 394 between the two dataset dimensions have been reached when considering 395 $\alpha = 100$. It follows that, with the proposed parameters space sampling, the 396 impact of a reduced training set size is marginal and the size of the training 397 set becomes important only for low levels of noise. 398



Figure 3: Influence of the number of training samples and of noise level α on the average MSE computed on the synthetic test set: (a) LS-SVM (b) SVM (c) GPR. Each point is the average of three training runs.

³⁹⁹ 5.1. Hyperparameters optimization

For the SVM and the LS-SVM regressions, the optimization of the model 400 hyperparameters is performed through a 10-fold CV [34] scheme and a Bayesian 401 optimization [39]. Whilst, the GPR hyperparameters are optimized by max-402 imizing the likelihood on the training set via a grid search approach. The 403 above implementations automate the parameters tuning procedure, thus en-404 abling the applicability of the methods to different ECMs with small changes, 405 often outperforming the standard hand-tuning approaches. The procedure 406 is executed on the hyperparameters domain defined as it follows. For the 407 SVM and the LS-SVM these are defined in the range $C: (1 \times 10^{-6}, 5 \times 10^{4}),$ 408 $\gamma: (1 \times 10^{-6}, 5 \times 10^4)$ and $\varepsilon: (1 \times 10^{-11}, 1 \times 10^2)$ (only tuned for the SVM re-409 gression). For The GPR, the hyperparametrs' ranges are σ_l : $(1 \times 10^{-5}, 1 \times 10^5)$ 410 and σ_n^2 : $(1 \times 10^{-5}, 1 \times 10^5)$. 411

412 6. Experimental Results

The described procedure has been tested on an experimental dataset [1] 413 described in the Introduction. The parameters space is built by using the 414 procedure described in the previous section and the grid search optimization 415 is employed to narrow the search space operating on 70% of the dataset, 416 corresponding to 110 impedance spectra, while 30% of the set is left for the 417 results evaluation. The histogram plots shown in Fig. 4 give a comprehensive 418 view of the obtained results in terms of the MSE resulting by the comparison 419 of the experimental data with the Fouquet impedance at the same frequency 420 values. The MSE is obtained by using the parameters sets that are inferred 421 by the three regression algorithms on all the spectra of the testing set. Af-422 ter, for each inferred parameters set, the Fouquet impedance is computed 423 and the MSE is calculated with respect to the corresponding experimental 424 data. Consequently, the MSEs histograms shown in Fig. 4 summarize the 425 performance of the three approaches on all the spectra of the test set. Tab. 1 426 reports the identification results in terms of mean, median, and interquartile 427 range (IQR) of the MSE and MAPE calculated over the spectra belonging to 428 the test set. The mean is reported as an overall performance index and the 429 median is provided to quantify the robustness of the result over the entire 430 test set. The closer the mean and median values the better the identification 431 performance. In this case, the error histogram approaches a normal distri-432 bution, indicating that there are no reconstructed spectra with an error that 433

	MSE $(\times 10^{-5})$			MAPE		
	Mean	Median	IQR	Mean	Median	IQR
GPR	0.3179	0.2419	0.2017	0.9985	0.9858	0.0358
SVM	0.3403	0.2325	0.2109	1.0875	1.0844	0.0492
LS-SVM	0.7387	0.5537	0.5407	1.0863	1.0779	0.0438

Table 1: Mean, Median, and Interquartile Range (IQR) of the MSE and MAPE computed on the whole test set

differs significantly from the mean. The interquartile range is also providedto assess the variability of the obtained result.



Figure 4: Histogram of the MSE errors computed between the inverse model reconstructions of the impedance spectra and the experimental measurement of the testing set. Each bar corresponds to the number of spectra of the test set giving an MSE falling in the corresponding range.

The GPR regression, for a larger number of test set spectra, gives a 436 smaller error, both in comparison with those ones ensured by the other meth-437 ods. Moreover, the reduced spread of the values of the histogram plot in-438 dicates that the obtained performance is well generalized on the whole test 439 set. The SVM shows an average performance similar to the GPR but with 440 an increased spread of the error histogram. Contrarily, the LS-SVM shows a 441 performance degradation and higher variability of the result. It is important 442 to point out that the low performance of the LS-SVM regression could be 443 due to the quite large range of variation the hyperparameters explored during 444 the training phase. To quantify the results, different degrees of performance 445 have been considered. 446

Experimental EIS spectra are affected by an evident noise and artifacts, thus those ones resulting with an error below 2×10^{-6} are considered as correctly identified. Fig. 4 shows that GPR and SVM guarantees that the

45% and the 41%, respectively, of the spectra in the test set are very well 450 identified, because the error keeps below the defined threshold. The LS-451 SVM gives a 10% of the spectra that are identified with an error due to noise 452 artifacts. The identification performance is reported in Fig. 5. In both the 453 cases, the GPR and SVM spectra show optimal results with an MSE below 454 2×10^{-6} . The results shown in Fig. 5(a) and (b) are obtained through LS-455 SVM and are reconstructed with an error equal to 2.8×10^{-6} and 1.5×10^{-5} , 456 respectively. 457

⁴⁵⁸ Also, the range $2 \times 10^{-6} < MSE < 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ is giving valuable solutions. ⁴⁵⁹ For the GPR, SVM and LS-SVM models, respectively 11% and 14% and 4% ⁴⁶⁰ of the test set are reconstructed with this error. An example of the quality ⁴⁶¹ of the reconstruction obtained in this range is shown in Fig. 6.



Figure 5: Best identification performance obtained by the GPR and SVM inverse models.

462 7. On Field Results

An important point of strength of the proposed inverse model is the short 463 execution time observed during the phase of inference. Although the training 464 is computationally expensive due to the dimensionality of the space to be ex-465 plored and due to the automatic hyperparameters tuning, the trained model 466 consists of a simple function, whose evaluation on the measured impedance 467 spectra returns the set of parameters. For this reason, differently from other 468 standard model identification procedures relying on iterative algorithms, the 469 inference through the inverse model is a good candidate for the implemen-470 tation on a low cost embedded system, since the impact on the resources 471



Figure 6: Middle quality identification obtained by the three inverse models.

usage is negligible and the execution time is deterministic. Both the lat-472 ter aspects are in favor of the approach proposed in this paper with re-473 spect to any stochastic optimization procedure for parameters identifica-474 tion [22, 23, 40, 41, 42]. The three algorithms are implemented in Python 475 and executed in a decentralized way. The training procedure, that is compu-476 tationally expensive due to the hyperparameters optimization procedure, is 477 executed on the cloud by employing the Google Colab platform. The remote 478 machine is equipped with a Dual-Core Haswell Intel Xeon processor at 2.30 479 GHz and 12 GB of RAM. After the training procedure, the testing is exe-480 cuted on a Raspberry Pi 3 embedded system equipped with a Quad-Core 1.2 481 GHz Broadcom BCM2837 CPU and 1 GB of RAM and the execution times 482 of the three algorithms have been considered as benchmark. In Fig. 7(a), 483 the training time of the three models is reported as a function of the size 484 of the training set. The training time is not only affected by the number 485 of samples in the training set, but also by the number of hyperparameters 486 to be tuned and the optimization scheme. This explains the scale difference 487 between the three techniques. In fact, the training of the SVM regression 488 turns out to be the most expensive one, since it requires the tuning of three 489

⁴⁹⁰ hyperparameters via the CV. On the other hand, the training is faster for
⁴⁹¹ the GPR because the optimization of the hyperparameter is carried out on
⁴⁹² the training samples directly, without requiring to compute the CV error.
Fig. 7(b) reports the execution time for the inference of a set of parameters.



Figure 7: Training (a) and inference (b) execution times of the three regression algorithms.

493

The results clearly highlight the impact of the dimensionality of the training set on the inference time. Indeed, for the considered approaches, the model complexity increases with the number of training samples considered during the model training. The three algorithms infer the parameters set in few milliseconds. The chosen regressions trained with 110 samples perform the inference in 2 milliseconds for GPR and in slightly above 1 millisecond for the SVM and the LS-SVM models, respectively.

It is worth to have a comparison in terms of computation time also with 501 approaches based on parameters identification from EIS data through the 502 minimization of an objective function value [22][23][43][40]. The objective 503 function is computed by fixing a set of Fouquet ECM parameters and a set 504 of frequencies, then evaluating the Fouquet impedance and computing the 505 MSE between the generated impedance and the experimental data. This 506 computation has been performed in Python, like the other inverse models 507 solutions, and run on the same Raspberry Pi 3 mentioned above. The average 508 execution time is equal to 0.7 ms. This means that a competitive optimization 509

algorithm should be able to find the solution in less than 3 iterations to have
an execution time that is comparable with that one of the GPR inference
time, which is slightly above 2ms.

513 8. Conclusions

Machine learning regression models demonstrated to be an efficient choice to solve the inverse problem with minimal and deterministic execution time reported during inference. Leveraging the a priori knowledge on the ranges of the model parameters as well as the knowledge on the regions of the parameters space that are more likely to correspond to actual impedance measurements, the problem becomes computationally treatable with a training time that is lower than one minute for the GPR.

The three techniques, especially SVM and LS-SVM, have shown to be 521 more sensitive to noise than to the dataset size. Moreover, for very high 522 levels of noise, a big data set size has shown to be counterproductive. The 523 LS-SVM showed weaker results in terms of reconstruction error due to its 524 sensitivity to the hyperparameters' tuning and execution times similar to 525 the SVM. Therefore it can be considered a valuable option when a small and 526 noisy training set is available. Indeed, in the latter case the poor performance 527 of the three techniques are comparable and the simplicity of the method is 528 better exploited. The GPR and the SVM have shown very promising results 529 both in simulated and experimental environment. GPR has been able to 530 give an optimal reconstruction of the 45% of the spectra and a moderately 531 good reconstruction of a further 11%. SVR gives a slightly lower accuracy 532 that is compensated by the execution time required for the inference of the 533 parameters, which is almost halved with respect to the execution time of the 534 GPR. 535

536 Appendix A. Fouquet Equivalent Circuit Model

The Fouquet equivalent circuital model has already been adopted in [21][36][2] for the identification of PEMFC operating in faulty conditions, and it is defined as follows.

$$Z(f) = \bar{Z}_F(f; \mathbf{x}) = R_\Omega + \frac{Z_{\text{CPE}}(\omega)(R_{\text{ct}} + Z_{\text{W}}(\omega))}{\dot{Z}_{\text{CPE}}(\omega) + R_{\text{ct}} + \dot{Z}_{\text{W}}(\omega)},$$
(A.1)

540 with

$$\dot{Z}_{\text{CPE}}(f) = \frac{1}{Q(j\omega)^{\phi_{.}}}$$
 (A.2)

$$\dot{Z}_{\rm W}(f) = R_{\rm d} \frac{\tanh \sqrt{j\omega\tau_{\rm d}}}{\sqrt{j\omega\tau_{\rm d}}},$$
 (A.3)



Figure A.8: The Fouquet equivalent circuit model.

Here $\omega = 2\pi f$ is the angular frequency. The equivalent circuit model 541 makes use of a vector of $N_p = 6$ parameters $\mathbf{x} = [R_{\Omega}, R_{\rm ct}, Q, \phi, R_{\rm d}, \tau_{\rm d}]^T$. 542 R_{Ω} takes into account the losses due to the resistance of the electrolyte to 543 the flow of protons, while $R_{\rm ct}$ represents the resistance at the electrode/electrolyte 544 interface to the flow of charges. Q and ϕ are the parameter of the Constant 545 Phase Element (CPE), used to model the frequency behaviour of the elec-546 trodes with rough or porous surfaces. The Warburg element is defined by 547 $R_{\rm d}$, that models the losses due to reactants' diffusion. The time constant of 548 the diffusion process is specified with the parameter $\tau_{\rm d}$. The model is evalu-549 ated at the same frequencies where the EIS experiment was performed and 550 $N_f = 48$ impedance points have been obtained. 551

552 **References**

- [1] Real operation pem fuel cells health-state monitoring and diagnosis
 based on dc-dc converter embedded eis, Tech. rep., european H2020
 project (2015).
- 556 URL https://pemfc.health-code.eu/
- G. Petrone, W. Zamboni, G.Spagnuolo, An interval arithmeticbased method for parametric identification of a fuel cell equivalent circuit model, Applied Energy 242 (2019) 1226 1236.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.03.136.
- URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306261919305446

[3] Z. Fei, F. Yang, K.-L. Tsui, L. Li, Z. Zhang, Early prediction of battery 562 lifetime via a machine learning based framework, Energy 225 (2021) 563 120205. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.120205. 564 URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360544221004540 565 [4] Z. Chen, N. Shi, Y. Ji, M. Niu, Y. Wang, Lithium-ion batteries 566 remaining useful life prediction based on bls-rvm, Energy 234 (2021) 567 121269. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.121269. 568 URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360544221015176 569 [5] S. Son, S. Jeong, E. Kwak, J. hyeong Kim, K.-Y. Oh, In-570 tegrated framework for soh estimation of lithium-ion batter-571 using multiphysics features, Energy 238(2022)ies 121712.572 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.121712. 573 URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360544221019605 574 [6] M. Zhang, T. Hu, L. Wu, G. Kang, Y. Guan, A method for 575 capacity estimation of lithium-ion batteries based on adaptive 576 time-shifting broad learning system, Energy 231 (2021) 120959. 577 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.120959. 578 URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S036054422101207X 579 [7] C. Qian, B. Xu, L. Chang, B. Sun, Q. Feng, D. Yang, Y. Ren, Z. Wang, 580 Convolutional neural network based capacity estimation using random 581 segments of the charging curves for lithium-ion batteries, Energy 227 582 (2021) 120333. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.120333. 583 URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S036054422100582X 584 [8] W.-Y. Lee, H. Oh, M. Kim, Y.-Y. Choi, Y.-J. Sohn, S.-G. Kim, 585 Hierarchical fault diagnostic method for a polymer electrolyte fuel 586 cell system, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 45 (47) 587 (2020) 25733 – 25746, special Issue on HYPOTHESIS XIV. 588 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.10.145. 589 URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360319919339564 590 [9] Z. Zhang, S. Li, Υ. Xiao, Y. Yang, Intelligent simultane-591 ous fault diagnosis for solid oxide fuel cell system based on 592 learning, Applied Energy 233-234 (2019) 930 - 942. deep 593 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.10.113. 594 URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306261918316854 595 23

- [10] D. Benouioua, D. Candusso, F. Harel, P. Picard, X. François, On the
 issue of the pemfc operating fault identification: Generic analysis tool
 based on voltage pointwise singularity strengths, International Journal
- of Hydrogen Energy 43 (25) (2018) 11606 11613, alternative Energies
- for Sustainability. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.09.177.
- URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360319917338934
- [11] K. Chen, S. Laghrouche, А. Djerdir, Degradation model 602 fuel of proton exchange membrane cell based on a 603 hybrid method, Applied Energy 252(2019)novel 113439.604 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113439. 605
- URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306261919311134
- [12] K. Chen, S. Laghrouche, A. Djerdir, Proton exchange mem-607 fuel cell prognostics using genetic algorithm and brane ex-608 treme learning machine, Fuel Cells 20 (3)(2020)263 - 271.609 arXiv:https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/fuce.201900085, 610 doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/fuce.201900085. 611
- URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/fuce.201900085
- [13] R. Ma, T. Yang, E. Breaz, Z. Li, P. Briois, F. Gao, Data-driven
 proton exchange membrane fuel cell degradation predication through
 deep learning method, Applied Energy 231 (2018) 102 115.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.09.111.
- URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306261918314181
- [14] K. V. S. Bharath, F. Blaabjerg, A. Haque, M. A. Khan, Model-based data driven approach for fault identification in proton exchange membrane fuel cell, Energies 13 (12) (2020) 3144. doi:10.3390/en13123144.
 URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/en13123144
- Ζ. Shao, [15] H. Liu, J. Chen, D. Hissel, M. Hou, А multi-622 proton scale hybrid degradation index for exchange mem-623 brane fuel cells, Journal of Power Sources 437 (2019) 226916. 624 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2019.226916. 625
- URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378775319309097
- J. Chen, D. Hissel, H. Su, Remaining useful life |16| H. Liu, 627 estimation for proton exchange membrane fuel cells using 628 Applied Energy 237 (2019) hybrid method, 910 - 919.а 629

- doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.01.023.
- URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306261919300236
- [17] B. Najafi, P. Bonomi, A. Casalegno, F. Rinaldi, A. Baricci, Rapid
 fault diagnosis of pem fuel cells through optimal electrochemical impedance spectroscopy tests, Energies 13 (14) (2020) 3643.
- 635 doi:10.3390/en13143643.
- 636 URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/en13143643
- [18] A. V. Panteleev, A. V. Lobanov, Mini-batch adaptive random
 search method for the parametric identification of dynamic systems, Automation and Remote Control 81 (11) (2020) 2026 2045.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1134/S0005117920110065.
- ⁶⁴¹ URL "https://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS0005117920110065"
- [19] Y. Bao, J. M. Velni, Data-driven linear parameter-varying model identification using transfer learning, IEEE Control Systems Letters 5 (5)
 (2021) 1579–1584. doi:10.1109/LCSYS.2020.3041407.
- [20] H. Kabir, L. Zhang, M. Yu, P. H. Aaen, J. Wood, Q.-J. Zhang, Smart
 modeling of microwave devices, IEEE Microwave Magazine 11 (3) (2010)
 105–118. doi:10.1109/MMM.2010.936079.
- [21] N. Fouquet, C. Doulet, C. Nouillant, G. Dauphin-Tanguy, B. OuldBouamama, Model based pem fuel cell state-of-health monitoring via
 ac impedance measurements, Journal of Power Sources 159 (2) (2006)
- ⁶⁵¹ 905–913. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2005.11.035.
- URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378775305015946
- [22] M. A. Kappel, R. Fabbri, R. P. Domingos, I. N. Bastos, Novel
 electrochemical impedance simulation design via stochastic algorithms for fitting equivalent circuits, Measurement 94 (2016) 344–354.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2016.08.008.
- URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0263224116304699
- ⁶⁵⁸ [23] M. A. Abud Kappel, F. C. Peixoto, G. M. Platt, R. P. Domingos, I. N.
- Bastos, A study of equivalent electrical circuit fitting to electrochemical
- impedance using a stochastic method, Applied Soft Computing 50
- (2017) 183–193. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2016.11.030.
- ⁶⁶² URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1568494616305993

- [24] Z. Sun, D. Cao, Y. Ling, F. Xiang, Z. Sun, F. Wu, Proton exchange
 membrane fuel cell model parameter identification based on dynamic
 differential evolution with collective guidance factor algorithm, Energy
- ⁶⁶⁶ 216 (2021) 119056. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.119056.
- 667 URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360544220321630
- [25] V. N. Vapnik, The nature of statistical learning theory, Springer-Verlag
 New York, Inc., 1995.
- ⁶⁷⁰ [26] J. A. K. Suykens, T. V. Gestel, J. D. Brabanter, B. D. Moor, J. Vande-⁶⁷¹ walle, Least Squares Support Vector Machines, World Scientific, 2002.
- [27] C. E. Rasmussen, C. K. I. Williams, Gaussian Processes for Machine
 Learning, MIT Press, 2006.
- [28] R. Trinchero, M. Larbi, H. M. Torun, F. G. Canavero, M. Swaminathan,
 Machine learning and uncertainty quantification for surrogate models of
 integrated devices with a large number of parameters, IEEE Access 7
 (2019) 4056–4066.
- [29] J.-M. Bourinet, Reliability analysis and optimal design under uncertainty - Focus on adaptive surrogate-based approaches, Habilitation à diriger des recherches, Université Clermont Auvergne (Jan. 2018).
 URL https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01737299
- [30] P. Moçotéguy, В. Ludwig, D. Beretta, T. Pedersen, Study 682 of the impact of reactants utilization on the performance of 683 pemfc commercial stacks by impedance spectroscopy, Interna-684 tional Journal of Hydrogen Energy 46 (10) (2021) 7475–7488. 685 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.11.197. 686
- URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360319920344475
- [31] P. Moçotéguy, В. Ludwig, D. Beretta, T. Pedersen, Study 688 of the impact of water management on the performance of 689 pemfc commercial stacks by impedance spectroscopy, Interna-690 tional Journal of Hydrogen Energy 45 (33) (2020) 16724–16737. 691 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.04.139. 692
- ⁶⁹³ URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360319920315421
- [32] T. Söderström, P. Stoica, System identification, New York (N.Y.) :
 Prentice-Hall, 1989.

- [33] S. Boyd, S. P. Boyd, L. Vandenberghe, Convex optimization, Cambridge
 university press, 2004.
- ⁶⁹⁸ [34] B. Ghojogh, M. Crowley, The theory behind overfitting, cross val⁶⁹⁹ idation, regularization, bagging, and boosting: Tutorial (2019).
 ⁷⁰⁰ arXiv:1905.12787.
- В. [35] A. J. Smola, Schölkopf, A tutorial on support vector 701 regression, Statistics and Computing 14(3)(2004)199-222.702 doi:10.1023/B:STCO.0000035301.49549.88. 703
- [36] W. Zamboni, G. Petrone, G. Spagnuolo, D. Beretta, An evolutionary computation approach for the online/on-board identification of pem fuel cell impedance parameters with a diagnostic perspective, Energies 12 (22) (2019). doi:10.3390/en12224374.
- ⁷⁰⁸ URL https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/12/22/4374
- [37] X. Yang, X. Cheng, Active learning method combining kriging model
 and multimodal-optimization-based importance sampling for the estimation of small failure probability, International Journal for Numerical
 Methods in Engineering 121 (21) (2020) 4843–4864.
- [38] P. Agarwal, O. D. Crisalle, M. E. Orazem, L. H. Garcia-Rubio, Application of measurement models to impedance spectroscopy: II.
 determination of the stochastic contribution to the error structure, Journal of The Electrochemical Society 142 (12) (1995) 4149–4158.
 doi:10.1149/1.2048478.
- [39] E. Brochu, V. M. Cora, N. de Freitas, A tutorial on bayesian optimization of expensive cost functions, with application to active user modeling
 and hierarchical reinforcement learning (2010). arXiv:1012.2599.
- [40] E. A. Gouda, M. F. Kotb, A. A. El-Fergany, Jellyfish search algorithm for extracting unknown parameters of pem fuel cell models:
 Steady-state performance and analysis, Energy 221 (2021) 119836.
- ⁷²⁴ doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.119836.
- URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360544221000852
- [41] W. H. Tanveer, H. Rezk, A. Nassef, M. A. Abdelkareem, B. Kolosz,
 K. Karuppasamy, J. Aslam, S. O. Gilani, Improving fuel cell

- 728 performance via optimal parameters identification through fuzzy
- logic based-modeling and optimization, Energy 204 (2020) 117976.
- ⁷³⁰ doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117976.
- ⁷³¹ URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360544220310835
- [42] Z. Yang, Q. Liu, L. Zhang, J. Dai, N. Razmjooy, Model parameter estimation of the pemfcs using improved barnacles mating optimization algorithm, Energy 212 (2020) 118738.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.118738.
- ⁷³⁶ URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360544220318454
- 737 [43] E. A. Adinolfi, M. Gallo, P. Polverino, D. Beretta, S. S. Araya, C. Pi-
- anese, Ecm-based algorithm for on-board pemfcs diagnosis, in: W. Zam-
- boni, G. Petrone (Eds.), ELECTRIMACS 2019, Springer International
- ⁷⁴⁰ Publishing, Cham, 2020, pp. 103–115.