# POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

# On the anisotropic kirchhoff-plateau problem

Original On the anisotropic kirchhoff-plateau problem / De Rosa, A.; Lussardi, L In: MATHEMATICS IN ENGINEERING ISSN 2640-3501 STAMPA 4:2(2022), pp. 1-13. [10.3934/MINE.2022011]
Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2913691 since: 2021-07-20T16:51:29Z
Publisher: American Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Published DOI:10.3934/MINE.2022011
Terms of use:
This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository
Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)



Mathematics in Engineering, 4(2): 1–13.

DOI:10.3934/mine.2022011 Received: 12 May 2021 Accepted: 27 May 2021 Published: 15 June 2021

#### Research article

# On the anisotropic Kirchhoff-Plateau problem<sup>†</sup>

# Antonio De Rosa<sup>1,\*</sup> and Luca Lussardi<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, 4176 Campus Dr, College Park, Maryland 20742, United States
- <sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche "G.L. Lagrange", Politecnico di Torino, C.so Duca degli Abruzzi, 10129 Torino, Italy
- <sup>†</sup> **This contribution is part of the Special Issue:** Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Analysis: Advances and Applications

Guest Editors: Dario Mazzoleni; Benedetta Pellacci

Link: www.aimspress.com/mine/article/5983/special-articles

\* Correspondence: Email:anderosa@umd.edu.

**Abstract:** We extend to the anisotropic setting the existence of solutions for the Kirchhoff-Plateau problem and its dimensional reduction.

Keywords: Kirchhoff-Plateau; anisotropic energies

#### 1. Introduction

The study of minimal surfaces spanning elastic boundaries dates back to Courant [13] and Lewy [33]. They studied the Plateau problem under the assumption that the boundary of the minimal surface is not fixed, but is constrained to lie on a prescribed manifold. The generalization to minimal surfaces spanning non-constrained elastic boundaries has been recently addressed by Giomi & Mahadevan [26]. These results have been complemented with an investigation of the stability of flat circular solutions by Chen & Fried [11], Biria & Fried [9,10], Giusteri, Franceschini & Fried [27], and Hoang & Fried [32]. A similar problem has been treated by Bernatzky & Ye [6] employing the theory of currents, however the elastic energy used therein fails to satisfy the physical requirement of invariance under superposed rigid transformations.

The Kirchhoff-Plateau problem differs from the aforementioned works, because the spanning boundary is assumed to lay on the surface of an elastic loop, referred to as the rod, which is modeled as a deformable manifold. On the contrary, in all of the studies above the boundary of the spanning

surface was assumed to coincide with the loop midline. In the Kirchhoff-Plateau problem the filament forming the loop is assumed to be thin enough to be modeled faithfully by a Kirchhoff rod, that is an unshearable inextensible rod which can sustain bending of its midline and twisting of its cross-sections, see Antman's work [5]. To model the flexible rods, some physical constraints are imposed, such as local and global non-interpenetration of matter introduced by Schuricht [34]. The *isotropic* Kirchhoff-Plateau problem, that is minimizing the area functional, has been investigated by Giusteri, Lussardi & Fried [28] with only one filament, and by Bevilacqua, Lussardi & Marzocchi [7] taking into account a system of linked rods. The authors utilize the boundary condition via linking number introduced by Harrison [30] and further investigated by De Lellis, Ghiraldin & Maggi [15]. Moreover, a dimensional reduction of the aforementioned variational problem has been treated by Bevilacqua, Lussardi & Marzocchi [8].

In view of the works of Almgren [3,4], Taylor [36] and Allard [1,2], a natural question is whether the isotropic results [7,8] generalize to anisotropic surface energies. Indeed, an increasing interest has been recently devoted to the study of the anisotropic Plateau problem: see for instance the results by De Philippis, De Rosa & Ghiraldin [16–18], De Rosa [20], De Rosa & Kolasinski [23] and Harrison & Pugh [31]. We also refer the reader to [14, 19, 21, 22, 24].

The aim of this paper is to address this question, considering the anisotropic Kirchhoff-Plateau problem for systems of linked rods. The energy functional we minimize is given by the sum of the elastic and the potential energy for the link and the anisotropic surface energy of the film. As for the isotropic Kirchhoff-Plateau problem we prescribe the linking type of the system of rods as well as the non-interpenetration of matter for each rod. Furthermore, each midline has a prescribed knot-type.

To conclude, we perform a dimensional reduction in the spirit of the analysis carried out in the isotropic setting.

# 2. Notation and preliminaries

In this section we recall notation for the geometry of curves. If  $x_1, x_2 : [0, L] \to \mathbb{R}^3$  are two continuous and closed curves, their *linking number* is the integer value

$$\operatorname{Link}(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^L \int_0^L \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_1(s) - \boldsymbol{x}_2(t)}{|\boldsymbol{x}_1(s) - \boldsymbol{x}_2(t)|^3} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_1'(s) \times \boldsymbol{x}_2'(t) \, ds dt.$$

We say that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are *isotopic*, and we use the notation  $x_1 \simeq x_2$ , if there exists an open neighborhood  $N_1$  of  $x_1([0, L])$ , an open neighborhood  $N_2$  of  $x_2([0, L])$  and a continuous map  $\Phi: N_1 \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $\Phi(N_1, \tau)$  is homeomorphic to  $N_1$  for all  $\tau$  in [0, 1] and

$$\Phi(\cdot, 0) = \text{Identity}, \quad \Phi(N_1, 1) = N_2, \quad \Phi(x_1([0, L]), 1) = x_2([0, L]).$$

Following Gonzalez et al. [29], we define the *minimal global radius of curvature* of a closed curve  $x \in W^{1,p}((0,L);\mathbb{R}^3)$ , with p > 1, by

$$\Delta(\mathbf{x}) := \inf_{s \neq \sigma \neq \tau \neq s \in [0, L)} R(\mathbf{x}(s), \mathbf{x}(\sigma), \mathbf{x}(\tau))$$

where R(x, y, z) denotes the radius of the unique circle containing  $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$ , with the convention  $R(x, y, z) = +\infty$  if x, y, z are collinear. The global radius of curvature determines the self-intersections

of the tubular neighborhoods of a curve. More precisely, for every r > 0 we define the *r*-tubular neighborhood of x by

$$U_r(\mathbf{x}) = \bigcup_{s \in [0,L]} B_r(\mathbf{x}(s)).$$

Accordingly to Ciarlet et al. [12] we say that  $U_r(x)$  is not self-intersecting if for any  $p \in \partial U_r(x)$  there exists a unique  $s \in [0, L]$  such that ||p - x(s)|| = r. It turns out (see Gonzalez et al. [29]) that  $\Delta(x) \ge r$  if and only if  $U_r(x)$  is not self-intersecting. In particular, if  $\Delta(x) > 0$  then x is simple, that is  $x : [0, L) \to \mathbb{R}^3$  is injective.

## 3. The anisotropic Plateau problem

First we recall that a set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is said to be 2-rectifiable if it can be covered, up to an  $\mathcal{H}^2$ -negligible set, by countably many 2-dimensional submanifolds of class  $C^1$ , see [35, Chapter 3]. Given a 2-rectifiable set S, we denote by  $T_xS$  the approximate tangent space of  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  at x, which exists for  $\mathcal{H}^2$ -almost every point  $x \in S$  [35, Chapter 3]. We also denote by G the Grassmannian of unoriented 2-dimensional planes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The anisotropic integrands considered in the rest of the note will be continuous maps

$$F: \mathbb{R}^3 \times G \ni (x, \pi) \mapsto F(x, \pi) \in (0, +\infty),$$

verifying the lower and upper bounds

$$0 < \lambda \le F(x, \pi) \le \Lambda, \qquad \forall (x, \pi) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times G.$$
 (3.1)

We also require that F is *elliptic* [25, 5.1.2–5.1.5], namely its even and positively 1-homogeneous extension to  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (\Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\})$  is  $C^2$  and it is convex in the  $\pi$  variable. Given a 2-rectifiable set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  we define:

$$\mathbf{F}(S) := \int_{S} F(x, T_{x}S) d\mathcal{H}^{2}(x). \tag{3.2}$$

Next, we need to define the spanning condition. For any closed set  $H \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , let C(H) be the class of all smooth embeddings  $\gamma \colon \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus H$ . We say that  $C \subset C(H)$  is *closed by homotopy* if for every  $\gamma \in C$  then  $\tilde{\gamma} \in C$  for any  $\tilde{\gamma} \in [\gamma] \in \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus H)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(H,C)$  the family of all 2-rectifiable relatively closed sets  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus H$  such that

$$S \cap \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1) \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall \gamma \in C.$$

We recall the following result, see [14, Theorem 2.7]:

#### **Theorem 3.1.** *The problem*

$$\min\{\mathbf{F}(S): S \in \mathcal{P}(H,C)\}$$

has a solution  $S \in \mathcal{P}(H, C)$  and the set S is an  $(\mathbf{F}, 0, \infty)$ -minimal set in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus H$  in the sense of Almgren [4].

### 4. The anisotropic Kirchhoff-Plateau problem

#### 4.1. The system of linked rods

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . For every i = 1, ..., N, let  $L^i > 0$  and  $\mathbf{x}_0^i, \mathbf{t}_0^i, \mathbf{d}_0^i \in \mathbb{R}^3$  be such  $\mathbf{t}_0^i \perp \mathbf{d}_0^i$  and  $|\mathbf{t}_0^i| = |\mathbf{d}_0^i| = 1$ . Moreover let  $\kappa_1^i, \kappa_2^i, \omega^i \in L^p(0, L^i)$  such that

$$w_1^i := (\kappa_1^i, \kappa_2^i, \omega^i) \in L^p((0, L^i); \mathbb{R}^3),$$

$$w^{i} := (w_{1}^{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{i}, \boldsymbol{t}_{0}^{i}, \boldsymbol{d}_{0}^{i}) \in L^{p}((0, L^{i}); \mathbb{R}^{3}) \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3},$$

and

$$w := (w_1^1, w^2, \dots, w^N) \in L^p((0, L^1); \mathbb{R}^3) \times \prod_{i=2}^N ((L^p((0, L^i); \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) =: V.$$

We endow V with the natural  $L^p$ -norm, that we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_V$ . For any i = 1, ..., N and for any  $w \in V$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{x}^i[w] \in W^{2,p}((0,L^i);\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\mathbf{t}^i[w], \mathbf{d}^i[w] \in W^{1,p}((0,L^i);\mathbb{R}^3)$  the unique solutions (as proved in [29, Lemma 6]) of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}^{i}[w]'(s) = \mathbf{t}^{i}[w](s) \\ \mathbf{t}^{i}[w]'(s) = \kappa_{1}^{i}(s)\mathbf{d}^{i}[w](s) + \kappa_{2}^{i}(s)\mathbf{t}^{i}[w](s) \times \mathbf{d}^{i}[w](s) \\ \mathbf{d}^{i}[w]'(s) = \omega^{i}(s)\mathbf{t}^{i}[w](s) \times \mathbf{d}^{i}[w](s) - \kappa_{1}^{i}(s)\mathbf{t}^{i}[w](s) \\ \mathbf{x}^{i}[w](0) = \mathbf{x}_{0}^{i} \\ \mathbf{t}^{i}[w](0) = \mathbf{t}_{0}^{i} \\ \mathbf{d}^{i}[w](0) = \mathbf{d}_{0}^{i}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $t^i[w](s) \perp d^i[w](s)$  and  $|t^i[w](s)| = |d^i[w](s)| = 1$  and consequently that

$$(t^{i}[w](s), d^{i}[w](s), t^{i}[w](s) \times d^{i}[w](s))$$

is an orthonormal frame in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , for any  $s \in [0, L^i]$  and for any i = 1, ..., N. Let  $\eta, \nu > 0$  and consider  $\mathcal{A}^i(s) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be compact and simply connected such that

$$B_{\eta}(\mathbf{0}) \subset \mathcal{A}^{i}(s) \subset B_{\nu}(\mathbf{0}), \quad \forall s \in [0, L^{i}], i = 1, \dots, N.$$

For any i = 1, ..., N we define

$$\Omega^{i} := \{ (s, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} : s \in [0, L^{i}], (\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}) \in \mathcal{A}^{i}(s) \}, 
\Lambda^{i}[w] := \{ x^{i}[w](s) + \zeta_{1} d^{i}[w](s) + \zeta_{2} t^{i}[w](s) \times d^{i}[w](s) : (s, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}) \in \Omega^{i} \},$$
(4.1)

and

$$\Lambda[w] := \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \Lambda^{i}[w].$$

The system of closed rods is subjected to some constraints on w, enumerated below, which will identify the admissible subset  $W \subset V$ : First of all we assume that the midlines are closed and sufficiently smooth, that is

(C1) 
$$\mathbf{x}^{i}[w](L^{i}) = \mathbf{x}^{i}[w](0) = \mathbf{x}_{0}^{i}$$
, for any  $i = 1, ..., N$ 

and

(C2) 
$$t^i[w](L^i) = t^i[w](0) = t_0^i$$
, for any  $i = 1, ..., N$ .

To prescribe how many times the ends of the rods are twisted before being glued together, we prescribe the linking number between the midline and a closed curve close to the midline. More precisely, for any i = 1, ..., N we close up the curve  $x^i[w] + \tau d^i[w]$ , for  $\tau > 0$  fixed and small enough, defining as in Schuricht [34]

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{i}_{\tau}[w](s) := \begin{cases}
\mathbf{x}^{i}[w](s) + \tau \mathbf{d}^{i}[w](s) & \text{if } s \in [0, L^{i}] \\
\mathbf{x}^{i}[w](L^{i}) + \tau(\cos(\varphi^{i}(s - L^{i}))\mathbf{d}^{i}[w](L^{i}) & \text{if } s \in [L^{i}, L^{i} + 1]
\end{cases}$$
(4.2)

where  $\varphi^i \in [0, 2\pi)$  is the unique angle between  $d_0^i$  and  $d^i[w](L^i)$  such that  $\varphi^i - \pi$  has the same sign as  $d_0^i \times d^i[w](L^i) \cdot t_0^i$ . We trivially identify  $x^i[w]$  with its extension  $x^i[w](s) = x^i(L^i)$  for any  $s \in [L^i, L^i + 1]$  and therefore we require that for any i = 1, ..., N there is some  $l^i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

(C3) 
$$\operatorname{Link}(\boldsymbol{x}^{i}[w], \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{i}_{\tau}[w]) = l^{i}.$$

To encode the knot type of the midlines, for any i = 1, ..., N we fix a continuous mapping  $\ell^i$ :  $[0, L^i] \to \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $\ell^i(L^i) = \ell^i(0)$  and we require that

(C4) 
$$\mathbf{x}^i[w] \simeq \mathbf{\ell}^i$$
.

Finally, in order to prevent the interpenetration of matter, following Ciarlet et al. [12] we require that (C5)

$$\int_{\Omega^i} (1 - \zeta_1 k_2^i(s) + \zeta_2 k_1^i(s)) \, ds d\zeta_1 d\zeta_2 \leq |\Lambda^i[w]| \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N, \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^N \operatorname{int}(\Lambda^i[w]) = \emptyset.$$

We now require that our system of rods has a prescribed chain structure. We fix  $L^{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N \times N}$ , with the property that  $|L^{i(i+1)}| = 1$  for every i = 1, ..., N-1 and we assume that:

(C6) 
$$\text{Link}(\mathbf{x}^{i}[w], \mathbf{x}^{j}[w]) = L^{ij}$$
.

We finally denote by W the set of all constraints, namely

$$W := \{ w \in V : (C1) - (C6) \text{ hold true} \}.$$

It turns out that W is weakly closed in V (see Gonzalez et al. [29] and Schuricht [34]).

#### 4.2. Energy contributions and existence of a minimizer

In what follows we will prescribe an elastic energy of the system of rods, which is a proper function

$$E^{\text{el}}: W \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, \quad \text{satisfying} \quad E^{\text{el}}(w) \ge c||w||_V,$$
 (4.3)

for some c > 0. The second energy contribution we want to take into account is the weight of the rods. Let  $\rho^i \in L^{\infty}(\Omega^i)$  with  $\rho \geq 0$  be the mass density functions and g be the gravitational acceleration. Let us define  $E^g \colon W \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  as

$$E^{g}(w) := \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega^{i}} \rho^{i}(s, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}) \boldsymbol{g} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x}^{i}[w](s) + \zeta_{1} \boldsymbol{d}^{i}[w](s) + \zeta_{2} \boldsymbol{t}^{i}[w](s) \times \boldsymbol{d}^{i}[w](s)) ds d\zeta_{1} d\zeta_{2}.$$

The last contribution we want to take into account is the surface energy. Let  $C_w \subset C(\Lambda[w])$  be the class of all  $\gamma \in C(\Lambda[w])$  such that there exists i = 1, ..., N with

$$|\operatorname{Link}(\gamma, \mathbf{x}^{i}[w])| = 1$$
,  $\operatorname{Link}(\gamma, \mathbf{x}^{j}[w]) = 0$ ,  $\forall j \neq i$ .

 $C_w$  is closed by homotopy, see [30]. We define  $E^{\text{sf}}: W \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  as

$$E^{\mathrm{sf}}(w) := \inf \{ \mathbf{F}(S) : S \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda[w], C_w) \}.$$

We define the energy functional of our variational problem as

$$E(w) := E^{el}(w) + E^{g}(w) + E^{sf}(w), \quad w \in W.$$
(4.4)

The first main result of the paper is given by the following existence theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\overline{E^{el}}$  be the lower semicontinuous envelope of  $E^{el}$  with respect to the weak topology of V. Assume that  $\inf_W E < +\infty$ . Then the problem

$$\min_{w \in W} \overline{E^{\text{el}}}(w) + E^{\text{g}}(w) + E^{\text{sf}}(w)$$

has a solution  $w_0 \in W$  and there exists  $S_{\infty} \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda[w_0], C_{w_0})$  which is an  $(\mathbf{F}, 0, \infty)$ -minimal set in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda[w_0]$  in the sense of Almgren such that

$$E^{\text{el}}(w_0) + E^{\text{g}}(w_0) + \mathbf{F}(S_{\infty}) = \min_{w \in W} \overline{E^{\text{el}}}(w) + E^{\text{g}}(w) + E^{\text{sf}}(w) = \inf_{w \in W} E(w).$$

### 4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.1

First of all we prove that the weight and the soap film energy are weakly continuous.

**Lemma 4.2.** The functional  $E^g$  is weakly continuous on W.

*Proof.* Let  $(w_h)$  be a sequence in W with  $w_h o w$  in W for some  $w \in W$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}^i[w_h] o \mathbf{x}^i[w]$  in  $W^{2,p}$  and  $\mathbf{t}^i[w_h] o \mathbf{t}^i[w]$ ,  $\mathbf{d}^i[w_h] o \mathbf{d}^i[w]$  in  $W^{1,p}$ . Then by Sobolev embedding we deduce that  $\mathbf{x}^i[w_h] o \mathbf{x}^i[w]$  in  $C^{1,\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{t}^i[w_h] o \mathbf{t}^i[w]$ ,  $\mathbf{d}^i[w_h] o \mathbf{d}^i[w]$  in  $C^{0,\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ . This is enough to pass to the limit under the integral and get the claim.

The continuity of the soap film energy follows from the next theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $(w_h)$  be a sequence in W with  $w_h \rightharpoonup w$  in W for some  $w \in W$ . Assume that

- (a)  $S_h \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda[w_h], C_{w_h})$ , for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (b)  $\sup_{h\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{F}(S_h) = \sup_{h\in\mathbb{N}} \inf{\{\mathbf{F}(S) : S \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda[w_h], C_{w_h})\}} < +\infty.$

Let  $\mu_h := F\mathcal{H}^2 \, \sqcup \, S_h$ . Then the following three statements hold true:

$$\mu_h \rightharpoonup^* \mu \quad (up \ to \ subsequences), \tag{4.5}$$

$$\mu \ge F\mathcal{H}^2 \bot S_{\infty}$$
, where  $S_{\infty} = (spt \mu) \setminus \Lambda[w]$  is 2-rectifiable; (4.6)

$$S_{\infty} \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda[w], C_w). \tag{4.7}$$

*Proof.* We first observe that the classes  $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda[w_h], C_{w_h})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda[w], C_w)$  are good classes in the sense of De Lellis et al. [14, Def. 2.2], as proved in [14, Thm. 2.7(a)]. Then the proof of (4.5) and (4.6) follows verbatim the proof of Theorem 2.5 of [14]. It is sufficient to observe that the convergence of  $\{\Lambda[w_h]\}$  ensures that, whenever  $x \in S_{\infty}$ , we have  $d(x, \Lambda[w_h]) > 0$  for h large enough. We are left to prove (4.7), namely that  $S_{\infty} \cap \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\gamma \in C_w$ . Assume by contradiction that there exists  $\gamma \in C_w$  with  $S_{\infty} \cap \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\gamma$  is compact and contained in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda[w]$  and  $S_{\infty}$  is relatively closed in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda[w]$ , there exists a positive  $\varepsilon$  such that the tubular neighborhood  $U_{2\varepsilon}(\gamma)$  does not intersect  $S_{\infty}$  and is contained in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda[w]$ . Hence  $\mu(U_{2\varepsilon}(\gamma)) = 0$ , and consequently

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{H}^2(S_h \cap U_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)) = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Denote by  $B_{\varepsilon}$  the open disk of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with radius  $\varepsilon$  and centered at the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and consider a diffeomorphism  $\Phi \colon \mathbb{S}^1 \times B_{\varepsilon} \to U_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)$  such that  $\Phi_{|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0]}} = \gamma$ . Let y belong to  $B_{\varepsilon}$  and set  $\gamma_y := \Phi_{|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times [y]}}$ . Then  $\gamma_y$  in  $[\gamma]$  represents an element of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda[w])$ . Since  $w_h \to w$  in W then  $(x^i[w_h])$  converges to  $x^i[w]$  strongly in  $W^{1,p}((0,L);\mathbb{R}^3)$  for every  $i=1,\ldots,N$ . In particular,  $(x^i[w_h])$  converges to  $x^i[w]$  uniformly on  $[0,L^i]$  for every  $i=1,\ldots,N$ , which implies the existence of  $\delta>0$  such that, for h sufficiently large,  $\Lambda[w_h]$  is contained in  $U_{\delta}(\Lambda[w])$  with  $U_{\delta}(\Lambda[w]) \cap U_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) = \emptyset$ . Hence, for such h and  $\varepsilon$  it follows that, for any  $y \in B_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\gamma_y(\mathbb{S}^1) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus U_{\delta}(\Lambda[w])$ . This implies that  $||x^i[w_h] - \gamma_y||_{\infty} \geq \delta$  for any  $y \in B_{\varepsilon}$  and for every  $i=1,\ldots,N$ . This estimate, together with the  $W^{1,p}$  convergence of  $x^i[w_h]$  to  $x^i[w]$ , implies that

$$\lim_{h\to+\infty} \operatorname{Link}(\boldsymbol{x}^{i}[w_{h}], \gamma_{y}) = \operatorname{Link}(\boldsymbol{x}^{i}[w], \gamma_{y}), \qquad \forall y \in B_{\varepsilon}, \ \forall i=1,\ldots,N.$$

As a consequence, for h large enough,  $\gamma_y \in C_{w_h}$  which, combined with  $S_h \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda[w_h], C_{w_h})$ , yields  $S_h \cap \gamma_y(\mathbb{S}^1) \neq \emptyset$ . Take now  $\tilde{\pi} : \mathbb{S}^1 \times B_{\varepsilon} \to B_{\varepsilon}$  as the projection on the second factor and let  $\hat{\pi} := \tilde{\pi} \circ \Phi^{-1}$ . Then,  $\hat{\pi}$  is Lipschitz-continuous and  $B_{\varepsilon}$  is contained in  $\hat{\pi}(S_h \cap U_{\varepsilon}(\gamma))$ , which entails that

$$\pi \varepsilon^2 = \mathcal{H}^2(B_{\varepsilon}) \le \mathcal{H}^2(\hat{\pi}(S_h \cap U_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)) \le (\operatorname{Lip} \hat{\pi})^2 \mathcal{H}^2(S_h \cap U_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)).$$

We thus conclude that

$$\mathcal{H}^2(S_h \cap U_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)) \ge \frac{\pi \varepsilon^2}{(\operatorname{Lip} \hat{\pi})^2}$$

which contradicts (4.8).

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Thanks to the weak continuity of  $E^g$  and  $E^{sf}$ , proved in Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.3, we deduce that  $\overline{E^{el}}(w) + E^g(w) + E^{sf}(w)$  is the lower semicontinuous envelope of E, from which we get

$$\inf_{w \in W} \overline{E^{el}}(w) + E^{g}(w) + E^{sf}(w) = \inf_{w \in W} E(w).$$

Let  $\{w_h\}$  be a minimizing sequence for  $E^{\rm el} + E^{\rm g} + E^{\rm sf}$ . Since  $\inf_W E < +\infty$  we can say that  $E(w_h) \le c$  for some c > 0. In particular,  $E^{\rm el}(w_h) \le c$  and, by coercivity of  $E^{\rm el}$ , we have  $w_h \rightharpoonup w_0$  in W. We deduce, using again Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.3, that

$$\overline{E^{\text{el}}}(w_0) + E^{\text{g}}(w_0) + E^{\text{sf}}(w_0) \le \liminf_h \overline{E^{\text{el}}}(w_h) + E^{\text{g}}(w_h) + E^{\text{sf}}(w_h) 
\le \liminf_h E(w_h) = \inf_W E = \inf_W \overline{E^{\text{el}}} + E^{\text{g}} + E^{\text{sf}}.$$

Moreover, since  $E^{sf}(w_0) < +\infty$ , applying Theorem 2.7 of [14] we deduce the claim.

### 5. Dimensional reduction of the anisotropic Kirchhoff-Plateau problem

The second main result of the paper concerns the dimensional reduction. In this section we consider cross sections with vanishing diameter. The set of constraints is almost the same, but in order to prevent the non-selfintersection in the limit configurations (otherwise the knot-type is not well defined) we replace the constraint (C5) by (C5)'. Precisely, we require that:

(C5)'  $\Delta(x^i[w]) \ge \Delta_0$  for some prescribed  $\Delta_0 > 0$ .

We denote by W' the set of all constraints, namely

$$W' := \{ w \in L^p([0, L]; \mathbb{R}^3) : (C1)-(C2)-(C3)-(C4)-(C5)'-(C6) \text{ hold true} \}.$$

It turns out that W' is weakly closed in V (see again Gonzalez et al. [29] and Schuricht [34]). For every i = 1, ..., N, every  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough and every  $w \in W'$  we let

$$\Lambda_{\varepsilon}^{i}[w] := \{ x^{i}[w](s) + \zeta_{1} d^{i}[w](s) + \zeta_{2} t^{i}[w](s) \times d^{i}[w](s) : (s, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{i} \}$$
(5.1)

where

$$\Omega^i_{\varepsilon} := \{ (s, \zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : s \in [0, L^i], (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \varepsilon \mathcal{A}^i(s) \}.$$

We also let

$$\Lambda_{\varepsilon}[w] := \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \Lambda_{\varepsilon}^{i}[w].$$

The main goal of this section is to prove that as  $\varepsilon$  approaches 0, we recover by  $\Gamma$ -convergence the anisotropic Plateau problem with elastic one dimensional boundary. The first two energy contributions to take into account are the elastic energy  $E^{el}$  as in (4.3) and the scaled weight

$$E_{\varepsilon}^{g}(w) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{i}} \rho^{i}(s, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}) \boldsymbol{g} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x}^{i}[w](s) + \zeta_{1} \boldsymbol{d}^{i}[w](s) + \zeta_{2} \boldsymbol{t}^{i}[w](s) \times \boldsymbol{d}^{i}[w](s)) ds d\zeta_{1} d\zeta_{2}$$

where  $\rho^i \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_1^i)$  and  $\rho^i \geq 0$ . Concerning the soap film energy, similarly to the previous section, we define  $C_{\varepsilon,w} \subset C(\Lambda_{\varepsilon}[w])$  as the class of all  $\gamma \in C(\Lambda_{\varepsilon}[w])$  such that there exists i = 1, ..., N with

$$|\mathrm{Link}(\gamma, \boldsymbol{x}^i[w])| = 1, \quad \mathrm{Link}(\gamma, \boldsymbol{x}^j[w]) = 0 \quad \forall j \neq i.$$

We define  $E_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{sf}} \colon W' \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  as

$$E_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{sf}}(w) := \inf \{ \mathbf{F}(S) : S \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_{\varepsilon}[w], C_{\varepsilon,w}) \}.$$

Finally,  $E_{\varepsilon} \colon W' \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is given by

$$E_{\varepsilon}(w) := E^{\mathrm{el}}(w) + E_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{g}}(w) + E_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{sf}}(w).$$

We now describe the  $\Gamma$ -limit functional. For any i = 1, ..., N, let  $\rho_0^i : [0, L^i] \to \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$\rho_0^i(s) := \lim_{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \to (0, 0)} \rho^i(s, \xi_1, \xi_2)$$

and let

$$E_0(w) := \overline{E^{\text{el}}}(w) + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^{L^i} |\mathcal{R}^i(s)| \rho_0^i(s) \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{x}^i[w](s) \, ds + \inf \{ \mathbf{F}(S) : S \in \mathcal{P}(H_w, C_w) \},$$

where

$$H_w := \bigcup_{i=1}^N x^i[w]([0, L^i]),$$

and  $C_w$  is the class of all  $\gamma \in C(H_w)$  such that there exists i = 1, ..., N with

$$|\operatorname{Link}(\gamma, \mathbf{x}^{i}[w])| = 1$$
,  $\operatorname{Link}(\gamma, \mathbf{x}^{j}[w]) = 0 \quad \forall j \neq i$ .

We are ready to state our second main result.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $(\varepsilon_h)$  be a positive and infinitesimal sequence and let  $(w_h)$  be a sequence in W' with  $\sup_{h\in\mathbb{N}} E_{\varepsilon_h}(w_h) \leq c$  for some c>0. Then, up to a subsequence,  $w_h \to w$  in V and  $w\in W'$ . Moreover, the family  $\{E_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$   $\Gamma$ -converges to  $E_0$  as  $\varepsilon\to 0^+$  with respect to the weak topology of V, namely:

(a) for any sequence  $(\varepsilon_h)$  with  $\varepsilon_h \to 0$ , for any  $w \in W'$  and for any sequence  $(w_h)$  in W' with  $w_h \to w$  in V we have

$$E_0(w) \le \liminf_{h \to +\infty} E_{\varepsilon_h}(w_h); \tag{5.2}$$

(b) for any  $w \in W'$  there is a sequence  $(\varepsilon_h)$  with  $\varepsilon_h \to 0$  and a sequence  $(\bar{w}_h)$  in W' with  $\bar{w}_h \to w$  in V such that

$$E_0(w) \ge \limsup_{h \to +\infty} E_{\varepsilon_h}(\bar{w}_h). \tag{5.3}$$

As a standard consequence of Theorem 5.1 we have the next result.

**Corollary 5.2.** Let  $(\varepsilon_h)$  be a positive and infinitesimal sequence. For any  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any  $\sigma_h \to 0$  let  $w_h \in W'$  be such that

$$E_{\varepsilon_h}(w_h) \le \inf_{W'} E_{\varepsilon_h} + \sigma_h. \tag{5.4}$$

Then up to a subsequence  $w_h \rightharpoonup w_0$  in V and

$$E_0(w_0)=\min_{W'}E_0.$$

## 5.1. Proof of Theorem 5.1

Here we give some preliminary propositions and then prove Theorem 5.1. Fix a positive and infinitesimal sequence  $(\varepsilon_h)$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $(w_h)$  be a sequence in W' with  $\sup_{h \in \mathbb{N}} E_{\varepsilon_h}(w_h) \le c$  for some c > 0. Then, up to a subsequence,  $w_h \rightharpoonup w$  in V and  $w \in W'$ .

*Proof.* The conclusion follows from the coercivity of  $E^{el}$ .

The study of the weight term is easy, since the weak convergence  $w_h \rightarrow w$  implies the uniform convergence of the midlines.

**Proposition 5.4.** For any  $w \in W'$  and for any sequence  $(w_h)$  in W' with  $w_h \rightharpoonup w$  in V we have

$$\lim_{h \to +\infty} E_{\varepsilon_h}^{g}(w_h) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_0^{L^i} |\mathcal{A}^i(s)| \rho_0^i(s) \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}^i[w](s) \, ds. \tag{5.5}$$

*Proof.* By the change of variables  $\zeta_j = \varepsilon_h \eta_j$ , j = 1, 2, we obtain that for any i = 1, ... N,

$$\begin{split} &=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_h^2}\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon_h}^i}\rho^i(s,\zeta_1,\zeta_2)\boldsymbol{g}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}^i[w_h](s)+\zeta_1\boldsymbol{d}^i[w_h](s)+\zeta_2\boldsymbol{t}^i[w_h](s)\times\boldsymbol{d}^i[w_h](s))\,dsd\zeta_1d\zeta_2\\ &=\int_{\Omega_1^i}\rho^i(s,\varepsilon_h\eta_1,\varepsilon_h\eta_2)\boldsymbol{g}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}^i[w_h](s)+\varepsilon_h\eta_1\boldsymbol{d}^i[w_h](s)+\varepsilon_h\eta_2\boldsymbol{t}^i[w_h](s)\times\boldsymbol{d}^iw_h](s))\,dsd\eta_1d\eta_2. \end{split}$$

Passing to the limit as  $h \to +\infty$ , using the fact that  $x^i[w_h] \to x^i[w]$  uniformly on  $[0, L^i]$  for any i = 1, ..., N and applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem we conclude.

Now we pass to the limit in the soap film part of the energy. First of all we need the following Theorem whose proof requires minor modifications of the proof of Theorem 4.3.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let  $(w_h)$  be a sequence in W' with  $w_h \rightharpoonup w$  in W' for some  $w \in W'$ . Assume that

- (a)  $\forall h \in \mathbb{N}, S_h \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_{\varepsilon_h}[w_h], C_{\varepsilon_h, w_h});$
- (b)  $\sup_{h\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{F}(S_h) = \sup_{h\in\mathbb{N}} \inf \{ \mathbf{F}(S) : S \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_{\varepsilon_h}[w_h], C_{\varepsilon_h, w_h}) \} < +\infty.$

Let  $\mu_h := F\mathcal{H}^2 \sqcup S_h$ . Then the following three statements hold true:

$$\mu_h \rightharpoonup^* \mu \quad (up \ to \ subsequences), \tag{5.6}$$

$$\mu \ge F\mathcal{H}^2 \bot S_{\infty}$$
, where  $S_{\infty} = (spt \mu) \setminus H_w$  is 2-rectifiable, (5.7)

$$S_{\infty} \in \mathcal{P}(H_{w}, C_{w}). \tag{5.8}$$

Now we prove the existence of a recovery sequence.

**Proposition 5.6.** Consider  $w \in W'$  and  $(w_k) \subset W'$  such that  $w_k \rightharpoonup w$  in W'. There exists  $(w_{k_h})$  subsequence of  $(w_k)$  such that

$$\inf\{\mathbf{F}(S): S \in P(H_w, C_w)\} \ge \limsup_{h \to +\infty} E_{\varepsilon_h}^{\mathrm{sf}}(w_{k_h}). \tag{5.9}$$

*Proof.* Since  $w_k \to w$  in W',  $\mathbf{x}^i[w_h] \to \mathbf{x}^i[w]$  uniformly on  $[0, L^i]$  for any i = 1, ..., N. Then for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $k_h \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}^{i}[w_{k_{h}}] - \boldsymbol{x}^{i}[w]\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{h}}{2}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N.$$
(5.10)

Since we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\inf\{\mathbf{F}(S): S \in \mathcal{P}(H_w, C_w)\} < +\infty,$$

again applying Theorem 2.7 of [14], we find  $S_{\infty} \in \mathcal{P}(H_w, C_w)$  such that

$$\mathbf{F}(S_{\infty}) = \min \{ \mathbf{F}(S) : S \in \mathcal{P}(H_w, C_w) \}.$$

Now we set

$$S_h := S_{\infty} \setminus \Lambda_{\varepsilon_h}[w_{k_h}].$$

For any  $\gamma \in C(\Lambda_{\varepsilon_h}[w_{k_h}])$  not homotopic to a point in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Lambda_{\varepsilon_h}[w_{k_h}]$  we have

$$(S_{\infty} \setminus \Lambda_{\varepsilon_h}[w_{k_h}]) \cap \gamma(\mathbb{S}^1) \neq \emptyset.$$

As a consequence,

$$\limsup_{h\to+\infty} E_{\varepsilon_h}^{\mathrm{sf}}(w) \leq \limsup_{h\to+\infty} \mathbf{F}(S_h) \leq \mathbf{F}(S_\infty) = \min\{\mathbf{F}(S) : S \in \mathcal{P}(H_w, C_w)\},$$

which concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The compactness statement is Proposition 5.3. Inequality (5.2) follows combining (5.5) and (5.7) with the subadditivity of the liminf operator. Next, for any  $w \in W'$ , we consider the constant sequence  $w_h \equiv w$ . Applying Proposition 5.6, for every  $\varepsilon_h \to 0$ , the (unique) subsequence  $\bar{w}_h \equiv w$  of  $(w_h)$  satisfies obviously  $\bar{w}_h \to w$  in V and (5.9). Inequality (5.3) follows easily combining (5.5) and (5.9) with the superadditivity of the limsup operator.

### Acknowledgments

A. D. R. has been partially supported by the NSF DMS Grant No. 1906451 and the NSF DMS Grant No. 2112311. L. L. has been supported by Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM, Roma, Italy), project GNAMPA 2019 Analysis and optimization of thin structures.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

1. W. K. Allard, A characterization of the area integrand, Symp. Math., XIV (1974), 429–444.

- 2. W. K. Allard, An a priori estimate for the oscillation of the normal to a hypersurface whose first and second variation with respect to an elliptic integrand is controlled, *Invent. Math.*, **73** (1983), 287–331.
- 3. F. J. Jr. Almgren, Existence and regularity almost everywhere of solutions to elliptic variational problems among surfaces of varying topological type and singularity structure, *Ann. Math.*, **87** (1968), 321–391.
- 4. F. J. Jr. Almgren, Existence and regularity almost everywhere of solutions to elliptic variational problems with constraints, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.*, **4** (1976), 165.
- 5. S. S. Antman, Nonlinear problems of elasticity, In: *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 2 Eds., New York: Springer, 2005.
- 6. F. Bernatzki, R. Ye, Minimal surfaces with an elastic boundary, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, **19** (2001), 1–9.
- 7. G. Bevilacqua, L. Lussardi, A. Marzocchi, Soap film spanning an elastic link, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, **77** (2019), 507–523.
- 8. G. Bevilacqua, L. Lussardi, A. Marzocchi, Dimensional reduction of the Kirchhoff-Plateau problem, *J. Elasticity*, **140** (2020), 135–148.
- 9. A. Biria, E. Fried, Buckling of a soap film spanning a flexible loop resistant to bending and twisting, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A*, **470** (2014), 20140368.
- 10. A. Biria, E. Fried, Theoretical and experimental study of the stability of a soap film spanning a flexible loop, *Int. J. Eng. Sci.*, **94** (2015), 86–102.
- 11. Y. C. Chen, E. Fried, Stability and bifurcation of a soap film spanning a flexible loop, *J. Elasticity*, **116** (2014), 75–100.
- 12. P. G. Ciarlet, J. Nečas, Injectivity and self-contact in nonlinear elasticity, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **97** (1987), 171–188.
- 13. R. Courant, The existence of minimal surfaces of given topological structure under prescribed boundary conditions, *Acta Math.*, **72** (1940), 51–98.
- 14. C. De Lellis, A. De Rosa, F. Ghiraldin, A direct approach to the anisotropic Plateau's problem, *Adv. Calc. Var.*, **12** (2017), 211–223.
- 15. C. De Lellis, F. Ghiraldin, F. Maggi, A direct approach to Plateau's problem, *JEMS*, **9** (2017), 2219–2240.
- 16. G. De Philippis, A. De Rosa, F. Ghiraldin, A direct approach to Plateau's problem in any codimension, *Adv. Math.*, **288** (2016), 59–80.
- 17. G. De Philippis, A. De Rosa, F. Ghiraldin, Rectifiability of varifolds with locally bounded first variation with respect to anisotropic surface energies, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **71** (2018), 1123–1148.
- 18. G. De Philippis, A. De Rosa, F. Ghiraldin, Existence results for minimizers of parametric elliptic functionals, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **30** (2020), 1450–1465.
- 19. G. De Philippis, A. De Rosa, J. Hirsch, The Area Blow Up set for bounded mean curvature submanifolds with respect to elliptic surface energy functionals, *Discrete Cont. Dyn. A*, **39** (2019), 7031–7056.

- 20. A. De Rosa, Minimization of anisotropic energies in classes of rectifiable varifolds, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **50** (2018), 162–181.
- 21. A. De Rosa, S. Gioffrè, Quantitative stability for anisotropic nearly umbilical hypersurfaces, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **29** (2019), 2318–2346.
- 22. A. De Rosa, S. Gioffrè, Absence of bubbling phenomena for non convex anisotropic nearly umbilical and quasi Einstein hypersurfaces, 2018, ArXiv:1803.09118.
- 23. A. De Rosa, S. Kolasinski, Equivalence of the ellipticity conditions for geometric variational problems, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **73** (2020), 2473–2515.
- 24. A. De Rosa, S. Kolasinski, M. Santilli, Uniqueness of critical points of the anisotropic isoperimetric problem for finite perimeter sets, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **238** (2020), 1157–1198.
- 25. H. Federer, Geometric measure theory, New York: Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1969.
- 26. L. Giomi, L. Mahadevan, Minimal surfaces bounded by elastic lines, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A*, **468** (2012), 1851–1864.
- 27. G. G. Giusteri, P. Franceschini, E. Fried, Instability paths in the Kirchhoff–Plateau problem, *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **26** (2016), 1097–1132.
- 28. G. G. Giusteri, L. Lussardi, E. Fried, Solution of the Kirchhoff-Plateau problem, *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **27** (2017), 1043–1063.
- 29. O. Gonzalez, J. H. Maddocks, F. Schuricht, H. von der Mosel, Global curvature and self-contact of nonlinearly elastic curves and rods, *Calc. Var.*, **14** (2002), 29–68.
- 30. J. Harrison, H. Pugh, Existence and soap film regularity of solutions to Plateau's problem, *Adv. Calc. Var.*, **9** (2016), 357–394.
- 31. J. Harrison, H. Pugh, General Methods of Elliptic Minimization, Calc. Var., 56 (2017), 123.
- 32. T. M. Hoang, E. Fried, Influence of a spanning liquid film on the stability and buckling of a circular loop with intrinsic curvature or intrinsic twist density, *Math. Mech. Solids*, **23** (2016), 43–66.
- 33. H. Lewy, On mimimal surfaces with partially free boundary, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **4** (1951), 1–13.
- 34. F. Schuricht, Global injectivity and topological constraints for spatial nonlinearly elastic rods, *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **12** (2002), 423–444.
- 35. L. Simon, *Lectures on geometric measure theory*, Canberra: Australian National University, Centre for Mathematical Analysis, 1983.
- 36. J. E. Taylor, Crystalline variational problems, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, **84** (1978), 568–588.



© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)