### POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

The role of the pH in the impregnation of spherical mesoporous silica particles with L-arginine aqueous solutions

Original

The role of the pH in the impregnation of spherical mesoporous silica particles with L-arginine aqueous solutions / Mohamed, SARA SABER YOUNES; Martinez, Sonia; Banchero, Mauro; Manna, Luigi; Ronchetti, SILVIA MARIA; Onida, Barbara. - In: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR SCIENCES. - ISSN 1422-0067. - ELETTRONICO. - 22:24(2021), p. 13403. [10.3390/ijms222413403]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2947393 since: 2021-12-22T12:57:02Z

Publisher: MDPI

Published DOI:10.3390/ijms222413403

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

# scientific reports

Check for updates

## **OPEN** Three dimensional reconstruction of coronary artery stents from optical coherence tomography: experimental validation and clinical feasibility

Wei Wu<sup>1,17</sup>, Behram Khan<sup>1,17</sup>, Mohammadali Sharzehee<sup>1</sup>, Shijia Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Saurabhi Samant<sup>1</sup>, Yusuke Watanabe<sup>2</sup>, Yoshinobu Murasato<sup>3</sup>, Timothy Mickley<sup>4</sup>, Andrew Bicek<sup>4</sup>, Richard Bliss<sup>5</sup>, Thomas Valenzuela<sup>6</sup>, Paul A. laizzo<sup>6</sup>, Janaki Makadia<sup>1</sup>, Anastasios Panagopoulos<sup>1</sup>, Francesco Burzotta<sup>7</sup>, Habib Samady<sup>8</sup>, Emmanouil S. Brilakis<sup>9</sup>, George D. Dangas<sup>10</sup>, Yves Louvard<sup>11</sup>, Goran Stankovic<sup>12</sup>, Gabriele Dubini<sup>13</sup>, Francesco Migliavacca<sup>13</sup>, Ghassan S. Kassab<sup>14</sup>, Elazer R. Edelman<sup>15</sup>, Claudio Chiastra<sup>16</sup> & Yiannis S. Chatzizisis<sup>1</sup>

The structural morphology of coronary stents (e.g. stent expansion, lumen scaffolding, strut apposition, tissue protrusion, side branch jailing, strut fracture), and the local hemodynamic environment after stent deployment are key determinants of procedural success and subsequent clinical outcomes. High-resolution intracoronary imaging has the potential to enable the geometrically accurate three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of coronary stents. The aim of this work was to present a novel algorithm for 3D stent reconstruction of coronary artery stents based on optical coherence tomography (OCT) and angiography, and test experimentally its accuracy, reproducibility, clinical feasibility, and ability to perform computational fluid dynamics (CFD) studies. Our method has the following steps: 3D lumen reconstruction based on OCT and angiography, stent strut segmentation in OCT images, packaging, rotation and straightening of the segmented struts, planar unrolling of the segmented struts, planar stent wireframe reconstruction, rolling back of the planar stent wireframe to the 3D reconstructed lumen, and final stent volume reconstruction. We tested the accuracy and reproducibility of our method in stented patient-specific silicone models using micro-computed tomography (µCT) and stereoscopy as references. The clinical feasibility and CFD studies were performed in clinically stented coronary bifurcations. The experimental and clinical studies showed that our algorithm (1) can reproduce the complex spatial stent configuration with high precision and reproducibility, (2) is feasible in 3D reconstructing stents deployed in bifurcations, and (3) enables CFD studies to assess the local hemodynamic environment within the stent. Notably,

<sup>1</sup>Cardiovascular Biology and Biomechanics Laboratory, Cardiovascular Division, University of Nebraska Medical Center, 982265 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, Teikyo University Hospital, Tokyo, Japan. <sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, National Hospital Organization Kyushu Medical Center, Fukuoka, Japan. <sup>4</sup>Boston Scientific Inc, Maple Grove, MN, USA. <sup>5</sup>Medtronic Inc, Santa Rosa, CA, USA. <sup>6</sup>Visible Heart Laboratory, Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA. <sup>7</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy. <sup>8</sup>School of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA. <sup>9</sup>Minneapolis Heart Institute, Minneapolis, MN, USA. <sup>10</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York City, NY, USA. <sup>11</sup>Institut Cardiovasculaire Paris Sud, Massy, France. <sup>12</sup>Department of Cardiology, Clinical Center of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia. <sup>13</sup>Laboratory of Biological Structure Mechanics (LaBS), Department of Chemistry, Materials and Chemical Engineering "Giulio Natta,", Politecnico di Milano, Milan, Italy. <sup>14</sup>California Medical Innovation Institute, San Diego, CA, USA. <sup>15</sup>Institute for Medical Engineering and Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>16</sup>PoliToBIOMed Lab, Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy. <sup>17</sup>These authors contributed equally: Wei Wu and Behram Khan. <sup>22</sup>email: ychatzizisis@ icloud.com

the high accuracy of our algorithm was consistent across different stent designs and diameters. Our method coupled with patient-specific CFD studies can lay the ground for optimization of stenting procedures, patient-specific computational stenting simulations, and research and development of new stent scaffolds and stenting techniques.

#### Abbreviations

- 3D Three-dimensional
- CFD Computational fluid dynamics
- IVUS Intravascular ultrasound
- MSD Mean stent diameter
- OCT Optical coherence tomography
- μCT Micro-computed tomography

Coronary artery stents have revolutionized the field of interventional cardiology. The structural morphology of coronary stents (e.g. stent expansion, lumen scaffolding, strut apposition, tissue protrusion, side branch jailing, strut fracture), and the local hemodynamic environment after stent deployment are key determinants of procedural success and subsequent clinical outcomes<sup>1-7</sup>. High resolution intracoronary imaging with optical coherence tomography (OCT) and high definition intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) is indispensable in stenting optimization<sup>8</sup>. The cross-sectional imaging of deployed stents by OCT or IVUS provides important information on stent morphology. However, it fails to elucidate the global spatial distribution of the stent in relation to the lumen and side branches, and does not provide any information regarding the local hemodynamic environment within the stent (macroenvironment) and around the stent struts (microenvironment). Even though the commercial OCT console provides 3D rendering of the stent and lumen in a straight line, the true 3D configuration of the stent and lumen cannot be appreciated with this method and the operator has no access to raw stent geometrical data. Therefore, further analysis or computational fluid dynamics (CFD) is impossible. A platform for precise three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of coronary stents, combined with 3D reconstruction of the arterial lumen, may unlock a wide spectrum of information and lay the ground for optimization of stenting procedures, patient-specific computational stenting simulations, and research and development of new stent scaffolds and stenting techniques. To the best of our knowledge, there have been very few attempts for OCT-based 3D reconstruction of coronary stents<sup>9-13</sup>. These studies had several limitations due to insufficient validation, lack of clinical feasibility, and use of older generation stent designs deployed in straight lumen vascular geometries.

The aim of this work was to build upon the current state-of-the-art and accomplish the following: (1) Present a novel algorithm for 3D stent reconstruction of coronary artery stents, (2) Experimentally test the accuracy (at strut level) and reproducibility of this algorithm in patient-specific silicone coronary artery models, (3) Test the feasibility of the algorithm in diseased coronary artery bifurcations from actual patients, and (4) Test the feasibility of performing CFD studies in stent models 3D reconstructed with our algorithm. Notably, in this study we used stents from different vendors to highlight the versatility of our method.

#### Methods

All methods were carried out in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. The OCT and angiography data used in the experimental and clinical studies of this work were obtained from the PROPOT trial (randomized trial of the proximal optimization technique in coronary bifurcation lesions). The study was approved by the ethics committee of Teikyo University (IRB approval number 15-159-2) and informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

**Experimental studies.** Experimental coronary artery models, flow chamber studies and imaging procedures. We created four patient-specific silicone models of coronary artery lumen (Fig. 1), using our in-house technique as previously described<sup>14</sup>. We included both straight and curved coronary artery segments. In brief, the 3D lumen geometries were created in 3D CAAS Workstation 8.2 (Pie medical imaging, Maastricht, The Netherlands) using two different angiographic projections. Negative molds were designed according to the geometries and were 3D printed with acrylonitrile butadiene styrene. Polydimethylsiloxane was mixed with its curing agent and then poured into the dry clean molds. After curing, these silicone models were moved to an acetone beaker to dissolve the acrylonitrile butadiene styrene material. A small plastic marker was embedded in the silicone models to facilitate the correct orientation of the segmented OCT frames as described below.

The silicone models were placed in a custom-made flow chamber, and a bioreactor circuit was connected to the inlet and outlet of the flow chamber, allowing circulation at a steady flow rate of 100 ml/min at room temperature. Three different widely used second-generation stents (Synergy, Boston Scientific, Maple Grove, MN, USA; Resolute Onyx and Resolute Integrity, Medtronic, Santa Rosa, CA, USA) with diameters ranging from 2.5 mm to 4.0 mm were directly implanted into the silicone models (Table 1). In order to assess the ability of our method to reproduce any strut malapposition, post dilatations were not performed.

The stented silicone models were imaged with angiography at two different projections with at least 30° difference in viewing angles. OCT imaging of the stented models was obtained using the OPTIS Integrated System (Abbott, Chicago, IL, USA). The OCT catheter (Dragonfly, Optis Imaging Catheter) was advanced through a 6F guiding catheter and pulled back (automatic triggering by saline without contrast) at a speed of 18 mm/s for models 1, 2, and 4, and at a speed of 36 mm/s for model 3. We selected two different pullback speeds to assess the performance of our algorithm under different OCT pullback modes.



**Figure 1.** Patient-specific silicone models. In each model from left to right: angiography, computer-aided design before stenting, OCT-reconstructed lumen after stenting, and stereoscopy images of the final stented models. In stereoscopy images, the arrows indicate the markers used as reference for the orientation of the 3D reconstructed lumen.

	Stent	Inflation pressure	OCT frame distance (mm)
Model 1	Resolute Integrity 3.5×18 mm	16 atm	0.1
Model 2	Synergy 3.0×16 mm	18 atm	0.1
Model 3	Synergy 2.5×16 mm	18 atm	0.2
Model 4	Resolute Onyx 4.0×18 mm	16 atm	0.1

#### Table 1. Stent types and length measurement.

1. 3D lumen reconstruction         1.1 Angiogram segmentation         1.2 Centerline generation         1.3 OCT segmentation         1.4 Packaging, rotation and lumen reconstruction	<ul> <li>2. 2D stent reconstruction</li> <li>2.1. Stent contour and strut point segmentation in OCT images</li> <li>2.2. Packaging, rotation, straightening and planar unrolling of strut points and contours</li> <li>2.3. Planar stent wireframe reconstruction</li> </ul>							
3. 3D stent reconstruction with lumen 3.1. Rolling back of the planar stent wireframe with the 3D reconstructed lumen as reference								

3.2. Stent volume reconstruction

#### Table 2. Steps of the proposed method for 3D stent reconstruction.

3D reconstruction of silicone lumen. The technique for semi-automatic 3D reconstruction of the silicone lumen was previously described<sup>14</sup> and summarized in Table 2. Briefly, the lumen centerline was generated from two different angiographic views (3D CAAS Workstation 8.2; and VMTK, Orobix, Bergamo, Italy) and served as the backbone of the lumen reconstruction. The lumen contours from the segmented OCT images (EchoPlaque 4.0, INDEC Medical System, Los Altos, CA, USA) were aligned along the centerline and oriented using the aforementioned marker as a reference point. The aligned lumen contours were finally lofted to build the 3D lumen surface.

*OCT strut segmentation and planar stent unrolling.* All the steps were performed in Grasshopper 3D, a visual programming language and environment that runs within Rhinoceros 3D (Robert McNeel & Associates, Seattle, WA, USA). In each OCT frame used for the lumen reconstruction, we manually identified the stent contours and strut points (Fig. 2a,b). The segmented stent contours and strut points were imported into Grasshopper 3D. The segmented stent contours and strut points were packaged in a straight line along the OCT catheter center, rotated at the same angle with the corresponding lumen contour, and straightened, taking as reference the centroid of the distal stent contour (Fig. 2c,d). Finally, the stent contours and strut points were unrolled on a 2D plane (Fig. 2e,f).

*Planar reconstruction of stent wireframe.* Following the planar flattening of stent struts, the 2D stent wireframe was reconstructed according to the corresponding planar computer-aided wireframe of the stent. The planar computer-aided designs of the stents were provided by the stent manufacturers (Fig. 3a,d). The stent links were marked with circles and numbered in an ascending order starting from the proximal or distal stent edge. The flattened strut points were connected with straight lines according to the following rules: (1) Each line fragment should connect consecutive "peaks" and "valleys" of the points, (2) The location and the sequence of the links (where the lines are connected) must be consistent with the planar computer-aided design of the stent, (3) In zones where strut points are not visible due to wire shadow, the operator should bridge the gaps by using as a reference the pattern of the "peaks" and "valleys" of the planar computer-aided designs of the stents, and iv) At the contour side boundaries, the lines must be repeated to maintain the stent structure continuity.

*3D stent reconstruction.* The generated planar stent wireframe was rolled back in space and rounded at the peaks and valleys, using the 3D reconstructed lumen model as a backbone in Grasshopper 3D (Fig. 3c, f). The final stent volume was created by extruding the stent sections (circular for Onyx and Integrity, and rectangular for Synergy) along the 3D reconstructed stent geometry.

*Micro-computed tomography (\muCT) and stereoscopic imaging.* The  $\mu$ CT and stereoscopic imaging of the stented silicone models were used as a reference for the validation of the stent reconstruction algorithm.  $\mu$ CT imaging (Skyscanner 1172 version 1.5) was performed with the following parameters: Image pixel size 26.94  $\mu$ m, voltage 100 kV, current 100  $\mu$ A, and slice thickness 27  $\mu$ m. The stented models were 3D reconstructed from  $\mu$ CT images (Mimics 22.0, Materialise, Leuven, Belgium), and smoothened (Meshmixer, Autodesk Research, New York, NY, USA). Stereoscopic imaging was performed with an Olympus SZX16 camera (Tokyo, Japan) using a 6X magnification factor.

*Experimental validation of the 3D stent reconstruction algorithm.* The OCT-based 3D reconstructed stents were compared to their  $\mu$ CT reconstructed counterparts and to stereoscope imaging, using  $\mu$ CT and stereoscopy as references. For the quantitative comparison of OCT-based versus  $\mu$ CT-based reconstruction, the following met-



**Figure 2.** OCT stent segmentation and planar unrolling. (a) Segmented stent contour (white circle), and (b) segmented strut points (white boxes), and arrows indicate the markers used as reference for the orientation of the 3D reconstructed lumen, (c) Packaging of segmented stent contours and strut points in space, (d) Rotation and straightening of the packaged stent contours and strut points, (e, f) Planar unrolling (stent contours in red, stent strut points in green). The purple strut points were added to show the continuity of the stent structure. In (e, f), note the zone of missing strut points corresponding to the wire shadow. (a–e) Depict Onyx stent and (f) Synergy stent.

rics were used: (1) Stent length, (2) Mean stent diameter (MSD), defined as the average stent diameter of serial cross-sections every 0.1 mm along the stent length, (3) Stent shape, calculated by the ellipse ratio, i.e. the ratio of the maximum distance between the two furthest points of the stent cross-sectional circumference (distance X), and the maximum distance perpendicular to distance X (distance Y), and (4) Malapposition, calculated as the maximum distance between stent struts and lumen. To keep the analysis steps blinded, different operators performed the 3D reconstruction from OCT, 3D reconstruction from  $\mu$ CT, and comparison between OCT- and  $\mu$ CT-based models to minimize possible biases.

*Reproducibility.* To calculate the reproducibility of the OCT-based 3D reconstruction method, the stents were 3D reconstructed by two independent operators. The 3D reconstructed stents were compared in terms of MSD (quantitatively) and stent shape (qualitatively).

**Clinical Studies.** *Clinical Feasibility, Processing Times, and CFD Studies.* The clinical feasibility and processing times of our stent reconstruction method were assessed in n = 3 patient coronary artery bifurcations with varying disease burden (Supplementary Table 1). All these cases underwent stenting with a single stent. OCT and angiography data were acquired according to the imaging protocols mentioned above. Both lumen and stent



**Figure 3.** Planar stent wireframe reconstruction and rolling back to space. Planar computer-aided design of (a) Onyx stent and (d) Synergy stent with the boundaries delineated by red lines. Planar stent reconstruction (black lines) of (b) Onyx and (e) Synergy using the unrolled stent strut points and computer-aided stent designs as reference. The numbers and circles in (a, b, d, e) indicate the location of stent links. Note the extension of black lines beyond the stent boundaries to show the stent continuity for the next steps. Rolling back of 2D reconstructed (c) Onyx stent wireframe and (f) Synergy stent wireframe and volume creation: (c1, f1) 3D reconstructed lumen used as a backbone for the 3D stent reconstruction. The red points are segmented stent strut points, (c2, f2) 3D rolling-back of 2D reconstructed stent wireframe (blue) following the lumen shape, (c3, f3) Original strut points (red) overlapped on the rolled back stent wireframe, (c4, f4) Final stent volume generated from stent wireframe. A tube was added inside the stent wireframe for better visualization (c2, c3, f2, f3). Stent designs were provided by the stent manufacturers.

were 3D reconstructed following the steps of our proposed algorithm. To assess the time-efficiency of our stent reconstruction method, we calculated the processing time for each step in all three clinical cases.

Also, we assessed the feasibility of CFD studies in stents reconstructed with our method. The fluid domain was discretized into tetrahedral elements for the lumen (element size = 0.15 mm) and the stent (element size = 0.02 mm). Velocity inlet and outflow ratios were employed for the boundary conditions in which pulsatile flow of a human left coronary artery was used<sup>15</sup>, and the inlet velocity was tuned according to inlet diameter<sup>16</sup>. The outflow ratio was determined based on the diameter ratio of the left anterior descending and the left circumflex arteries<sup>16</sup>. Extensions of 10-diameter were added to the inlet and outlet sections to minimize the effect of boundary conditions. We considered blood as a Newtonian fluid with a density of 1050 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and dynamic viscosity of 0.0035 Pa-s. The flow was considered to be laminar as the maximum Reynolds numbers of all cases at



**Figure 4.** Qualitative experimental validation of stent reconstruction method against  $\mu$ CT and stereoscopic imaging. **(a–d)** Note the agreement in the link position (blue boxes in OCT-stent reconstructions and red boxes in  $\mu$ CT-stent reconstruction and stereoscopy). In OCT and  $\mu$ CT-based reconstructed models, a tube was inserted for better visualization, whereas in stereoscopy images, a small balloon was inflated in very low pressures for better visualization, **(e)** Malapposed struts in OCT-stent reconstruction (red stent) at the same location and magnitude compared to  $\mu$ CT-stent reconstruction (black stent).

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	
Length by µCT (mm)	15.7	16.1	15.7	17.3	
Length by OCT (mm)	15.8	15.7	15.8	17.3	

**Table 3.** Comparison of the length of the 3D reconstructed stents by OCT and  $\mu$ CT.

the maximum flow rate at the vessel inlet were less than the threshold of 2300. The simulations were performed for n = 3 cardiac cycles using a time step of 0.01 s, and only the last cycle results are shown. We calculated the time-averaged wall shear stress (TAWSS) using the following equation:

 $TAWSS = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{1} |\tau_w| dt, \tau_w$  is the wall shear stress, T is the cardiac time cycle.

**Statistical analyses.** Statistical analyses were performed with the statistical package GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Continuous variables are expressed as mean±standard error of mean. For the validation and reproducibility studies, we used Bland–Altman analysis.

#### Results

**Experimental validation.** Stent morphology. All experimental stent models (n=4) were successfully 3D reconstructed and compared to the corresponding  $\mu$ CT-reconstructed models and stereoscopy imaging (Fig. 4a–d). As indicated by the boxes, there was good agreement in terms of the location of the stent links between OCT-reconstructed stents,  $\mu$ CT-reconstructed stents and stereoscopy. This highlights the robustness of our method to faithfully reproduce the actual stent geometry at strut level.

Stent size, shape and malapposition. There was high agreement in the stent length and MSD between the 3D reconstructed stents by OCT versus  $\mu$ CT (Table 3 for lengths, Fig. 5a for MSD). Bland–Altman analysis of the MSD between OCT- and  $\mu$ CT-reconstructed stents revealed a very small mean difference of 0.03 mm (95% CI from – 0.19 to + 0.24 mm). Similarly, the stent shapes were comparable to OCT and  $\mu$ CT reconstructions, yielding a mean difference of ellipse ratios of 0.01 (95% CI from – 0.12 to + 0.14 mm; Fig. 5b). Finally, our stent reconstruction method reproduced the severity of stent malapposition with high precision (Fig. 4e). These results strongly suggest the high accuracy of our method.

*Reproducibility.* As shown in Fig. 6a, overlapping of the 3D reconstructed stents by two different operators yielded high interobserver reproducibility of our methodology. Quantitative comparison of MSD of the reconstructed stent models by two different operators showed that the graphs of MSD along the stent length were almost overlapping (Fig. 6b). Bland–Altman analysis revealed minimum mean differences in MSD of 0.0001 mm (95% CI from -0.02 to +0.02 mm), suggesting the very high reproducibility of the proposed stent reconstruction method.

**Clinical Feasibility and CFD Studies.** In all clinical cases (n = 3), the stents and corresponding coronary bifurcation lumens were successfully 3D reconstructed. The reconstructed stented bifurcations were qualitatively compared with the angiograms and showed good agreement in size and shape (Fig. 7a,b). The processing times for each step (from image processing to final 3D lumen and stent reconstruction) are summarized in Table 4. The average time for 3D reconstruction of stents was  $177 \pm 42$  min, with longer stents requiring more processing time.

The reconstructed stented bifurcations were meshed (Fig. 7c) and underwent CFD studies. Figure 7d shows the TAWSS distribution along the macroenvironment of the 3D reconstructed stented bifurcation. Notably, disturbed flow was documented in the microenvironment of malapposed struts and the stented carina (Fig. 8).

#### Discussion

In this study, we present a new methodology for the 3D reconstruction of coronary artery stents based on OCT and angiography. We assessed (i) The accuracy and reproducibility of the method using patient-specific silicone models of coronary arteries, and (ii) The clinical feasibility, time-efficiency, and ability to perform CFD studies using clinical bifurcation cases. For the validation studies, we used  $\mu$ CT and stereoscopy imaging as references. Our study showed that our algorithm: (1) Can reproduce the complex spatial stent configuration with high precision and reproducibility, (2) Is feasible in 3D reconstructing stents deployed in bifurcations, and (3) Enables CFD studies to assess the local hemodynamic environment at the lumen and strut level. Notably, the high accuracy of our algorithm was consistent across three contemporary second-generation stents (Synergy, Resolute Onyx, Resolute Integrity) with diameters ranging from 2.5 to 4.0 mm, supporting the versatility of our method.

To the best of our knowledge, this work overcomes several limitations of previous studies in the field and advances the current state-of-the-art. Table 5 provides a comprehensive head-to-head comparison of our study to the previous studies<sup>10,12,13</sup> and the available software. The commercially available OCT console (OPTIS Integrated System, Abbott, Chicago, IL, USA) provides a real-time 3D reconstruction of the stent in a straight line. Using this software, the interventional cardiologist can easily assess multiple stent morphological parameters, including expansion, apposition, and side branch jailing at the point of care. However, the major disadvantage of the OCT console is that it cannot reconstruct the geometrically correct stent configuration; therefore, it cannot be



**Figure 5.** Quantitative experimental validation of stent reconstruction method against  $\mu$ CT. (a) Stent size measured by mean stent diameter (MSD), and (b) Stent shape measured by ellipse ratio.



**Figure 6.** Inter-observer reproducibility of OCT-stent reconstructions. (a) Qualitative agreement by overlapping the two reconstructed stents. (b) Quantitative agreement for each model (MSD, mean stent diameter) and overall agreement using Bland–Altman analysis.

.....



**Figure 7.** Clinical feasibility of the proposed 3D stent reconstruction method. (a) Invasive coronary angiography post stenting, (b) 3D lumen and stent reconstruction. Note the qualitative agreement to angiography, (c) meshing of a fluid domain in the stented lumen, (d) computational fluid dynamics (CFD) analysis depicting the time-averaged wall shear stress (TAWSS, Pa) along the stented bifurcations.

Steps	Time (min)	
Step 1. 3D lumen reconstruction	$56\pm5$	
Step 2. 2D stent reconstruction		
1. OCT stent segmentation	$60 \pm 10$	
2. Data importing and parameter setting	$5\pm1$	
3. Frame packaging, rotation, straightening and planar flattening	$5\pm1$	
4. Planar stent reconstruction	$87\pm18$	
Step 3. 3D rolling back and stent volume creation	$20\pm 2$	
Total time for 3D stent reconstruction (excluding step 1)	$177 \pm 42$	
Total time for whole process	$233 \pm 20$	

**Table 4.** Processing times for 3D stent reconstruction in clinical cases (n=3).



**Figure 8.** Representative examples of the calculated hemodynamic microenvironment (strut level) in patientspecific 3D reconstructed stented bifurcations. (**a**–**c**) The time-averaged wall shear stress (TAWSS, Pa) around stent struts. In (**a**) note the increased wall shear stress on the luminal surface of a well-apposed strut, and in (**b**– **c**) the wall shear stress distribution around malapposed struts denoted with white asterisks. In (**d**) longitudinal section showing the low velocity between the lumen and malapposed struts (white asterisks). (**e**–**g**) TAWSS distribution at the ostium of the side branch. Note the struts jailing the ostium of the side branch.

used for CFD studies. Furthermore, this software is proprietary and the raw stent geometrical data are not accessible for further stent analysis and research. In contrast to the OCT console, our method reconstructs the true geometry of the stent that can be used for CFD studies. Of note, our algorithm is free and open to the scientific community. The 3D stent reconstruction methods proposed by Migliori et al.<sup>12</sup> and Elliott et al.<sup>10</sup> were based on geometrical information retrieved by OCT and  $\mu$ CT. Since  $\mu$ CT was an integral part of the reconstruction method, these techniques cannot be used in the clinical setting, and their applicability is limited to experimental research. However, a variation of the technique by Migliori et al.<sup>12</sup> has been applied in clinical cases<sup>9</sup>. In contrast to these two studies, we used  $\mu$ CT solely for the purpose of validation in our method. Also, our method has direct and proven clinical applicability, given that it exclusively relies on angiography and OCT. Furthermore, Migliori et al. used older generation stent (Multi Link 8; Abbott Vascular), whereas in our study we used contemporary stents (Integrity, Onyx, Synergy) of varying size. The method proposed by O'Brien et al. 13 is similar to our work in the use of OCT and angiography as source of anatomical information for 3D stent reconstruction. In fact, this work used an automatic technique for stent strut segmentation which is advantageous compared to our manual approach. However, the work by O'Brien et al.<sup>13</sup> has some major limitations that we overcome in our study: O'Brien et al. work used outdated and relatively simple bare-metal stent designs, and its performance in current drug-eluting stent designs remains to be proven. The performance of O'Brien et al. strut mapping method in complex stent geometries and average quality OCT data appears questionable. On the contrary, our method performed well even with real-world average quality OCT data. Overall, the technically innovative approach of our method, coupled with the systematic validation and testing of its clinical feasibility using real-world, contemporary, second-generation stents, are notable advantages of our technique over the previous state-of-the-art.

Our methodology has several important technical aspects and innovations: First, it is based on a well-validated lumen reconstruction method<sup>14</sup>. The geometrically correct lumen reconstruction determines the location and orientation of the stent contours and struts points, and the relative location of the stent within the lumen. Second, our approach to unroll the stent contours and strut points and reconstruct the planar stent wireframe was a critical step that enabled faster and more accurate stent reconstruction. Direct 3D reconstruction based on the 3D strut segmentation appears to be technically challenging due to the geometrically complex distribution of strut points in space (Fig. 2). This becomes more pertinent at the gaps induced by the wire shadow and small red thrombus, where it is difficult for the operator to appreciate the correct 3D position of the missing strut points. However, when the strut points are unrolled on a 2D plane, it is much easier for the operator to find the stent pattern and fill the gaps, especially using the 2D stent design as reference (Fig. 3). Third, in our method, the stent links served as critical nodes for the precise planar reconstruction of the stent framework and subsequent 3D reconstruction of the stent (Fig. 3). Finally, all the steps in our method were automatic or semi-automatic except for the manual step to reconstruct the planar stent wireframe. Our code coordinated all these steps effectively, thereby reducing the human interaction and processing times and improving the accuracy and reproducibility of the proposed technique.

The proposed methodology has several scientific and clinical applications. It can be used at the cardiac catheterization laboratory to inform the interventional cardiologist on the spatial configuration of the deployed stent in relation to the main vessel lumen and side branches (when applicable), identifying areas of stent under-expansion, strut malapposition, and floating struts at the carina and side branch ostia. This information is essential

Study	Study data	Number of cases	Imaging modality	Segmentation technique	3D reconstruction technique	Processing time	Validation method	Clinical feasibility	Stent types tested	CFD analysis performed	Limitations
Wu et al (current study)	Bench and Clinical	7 (4 bench and 3 clini- cal)	OCT and Angiogra- phy	Manual segmentation (lumen, stent contour, stent struts)	2D manual frame- work + stent design; rolled back to 3D geometry	≈180 min	Qualitative metrics Final stent geometry vs. μCT, stereoscopy, angiogra- phy: Stent shape Stent links Strut appo- sition Quantita- tive metrics Final stent geometry vs. μCT: MSD Stent shape ratio Stent length	Yes	Synergy (Boston Scientific) Resolute Onyx (Medtronic) Resolute Integrity (Medtronic)	Yes	Long process- ing time
Elliott et al. <sup>10</sup>	Bench	4	OCT and μCT	Automatic segmentation (struts)	3D automatic mapping of known μCT derived stent design over the strut points	30 min	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Qualitative}\\ \textbf{metrics}\\ Pre-final\\ geometry vs.\\ segmented\\ strut:\\ Planar stent\\ pattern\\ \textbf{Quantita-tive metrics}\\ Pre-final\\ stent\\ geometry vs.\\ \mu CT derived\\ stent wire-frame:\\ Euclidean\\ distance \end{array}$	No	Resolute Integrity (Medtronic) Xience Alpine (Abbott Vascular)	No	No clinical applicability since $\mu$ CT is part of reconstruc- tion method CFD analysis not per- formed Validation was not done for the 3D model
Migliori et al. <sup>12</sup>	Bench	1	OCT and µCT	Automatic segmentation (lumen, struts)	3D manual mapping of known stent design (in its straight expanded configuration) over the OCT strut points	N/A	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Qualitative}\\ \textbf{metrics}\\ Final\\ geometry vs.\\ \mu CT:\\ Visual comparison\\ \textbf{Quantita-tive metrics}\\ Segmented\\ strut\\ point vs.\\ \mu CT: Distance\\ between the\\ strut point\\ and \mu CT\\ \end{array}$	No	Multi Link 8 (Abbott Vascular)	Yes	Limited ability to reconstruct the stent in areas with shadow Old stent design used Long process- ing time
O' Brien et al. <sup>13</sup>	Animal	4	OCT and Angiogra- phy	Automatic segmentation (lumen, struts)	3D automatic mapping of known stent design over the strut points	N/A		Yes	Small cell bare metal stent Large cell bare metal stent	Yes	Validated against auto- matic strut segmentation (Question- able ground truth) Old stents designs used

Study	Study data	Number of cases	Imaging modality	Segmentation technique	3D reconstruction technique	Processing time	Validation method	Clinical feasibility	Stent types tested	CFD analysis performed	Limitations
Commer- cial OCT console		N/A	ОСТ	Automatic segmentation (lumen, struts)	Not done	N/A	N/A	No	All commer- cially avail- able stents	No	Straight stent reconstruc- tion cannot be used for CFD Proprietary algorithm

**Table 5.** Comparison between different stent reconstruction methods (OCT: optical coherence tomography;  $\mu$ CT: micro-computed tomography; MSD: mean stent diameter; CFD: computational fluid dynamics; N/A: not available).

.....

for stenting optimization, which is directly related to favorable clinical outcomes<sup>17,18</sup>. Furthermore, our method can be combined with augmented reality techniques to allow for high-resolution visualization of the deployed stents for educational purposes. The proposed method can enable accurate CFD and solid mechanics studies to assess the local biomechanical environment after stenting, with emphasis on the strut level and the anatomically sensitive areas of bifurcations. Studies have associated areas with the disturbed flow and high shear rates with an increased propensity for stent restenosis and thrombosis<sup>3,19</sup>. Identification of these hemodynamically unfavorable areas within stents may enable stenting optimization and lead to improved clinical outcomes. Geometrically correct stent reconstructions can also provide important feedback to stent manufacturers regarding the design and performance of stents, enabling stent design optimization. Of note, our method has the potential to use other intracoronary imaging modalities, such as high definition IVUS, as anatomical input. The relatively simple steps of our method can allow operators without technical or engineering background (e.g., medical students, fellows) to use it, making it widely applicable.

This study has several limitations. First, the processing times were not optimal. The most time-consuming steps in the process were the: (1) Manual strut segmentation of OCT images (average time  $60 \pm 10$  min), (2) Manual planar reconstruction of the stent wireframe (average time  $87 \pm 18$  min), and the data transfer between different software used for the angiography and OCT image processing (i.e., CAAS, EchoPlaque, VMTK). Our current efforts focus on the development of a fully automated strut segmentation algorithm requiring limited manual interventions, a smart algorithm for planar stent wireframe reconstruction that limits the manual intervention to the highly challenging cases, and a new Grasshopper code to streamline the cross-talk between different software. We believe that these improvements will make our algorithm significantly faster. Second, our method required good quality OCT images. OCT images with incomplete blood clearance and severe image artifacts would not allow the operator to identify the stent struts making the planar reconstruction of the stent wireframe quite challenging. Finally, our technique was tested in single stent techniques/scenarios. The ability of our algorithm to reconstruct two stents (overlapping, bifurcation) is subject to future work.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, in this work, we propose a new method that enables accurate, reproducible, time-efficient and clinically feasible 3D reconstruction of coronary artery stents. This method, coupled with CFD studies, can facilitate stenting optimization, training in stenting techniques, and stent research and development.

Received: 25 January 2021; Accepted: 26 May 2021 Published online: 10 June 2021

#### References

- 1. Antoniadis, A. P. *et al.* Biomechanical modeling to improve coronary artery bifurcation stenting: Expert review document on techniques and clinical implementation. *JACC Cardiovasc. Interv.* **8**, 1281–1296 (2015).
- Chen, C. et al. In vivo and in vitro evaluation of a biodegradable magnesium vascular stent designed by shape optimization strategy. Biomaterials 221, 119414 (2019).
- 3. Chiastra, C. et al. Computational replication of the patient-specific stenting procedure for coronary artery bifurcations: from OCT and CT imaging to structural and hemodynamics analyses. J. Biomech. 49, 2102–2111 (2016).
- Foin, N. *et al.* Incomplete stent apposition causes high shear flow disturbances and delay in neointimal coverage as a function of strut to wall detachment distance: implications for the management of incomplete stent apposition. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Interv.* 7, 180–189 (2014).
- 5. Kolandaivelu, K. *et al.* Stent thrombogenicity early in high-risk interventional settings is driven by stent design and deployment and protected by polymer-drug coatings. *Circulation* **123**, 1400–1409 (2011).
- Koskinas, K. C., Chatzizisis, Y. S., Antoniadis, A. P. & Giannoglou, G. D. Shear stress in stent restenosis and thrombosis: pathophysiologic mechanisms and implications for clinical translation. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 59, 1337–1349 (2012).
- Valeria, P. et al. Thrombogenicity at the jailed side branch Ostia in the provisional stenting technique: insights from an in vitro model. EuroIntervention 14, 826–827 (2018).
- Räber, L. *et al.* Clinical use of intracoronary imaging. Part 1: guidance and optimization of coronary interventions. An expert consensus document of the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions. *Eur. Heart J.* 39, 3281–3300 (2018).
- 9. Bologna, M. *et al.* Automatic segmentation of optical coherence tomography pullbacks of coronary arteries treated with bioresorbable vascular scaffolds: application to hemodynamics modeling. *PLoS ONE* **14**, e0213603–e0213603 (2019).
- Elliott, M. R. et al. Establishment of an automated algorithm utilizing optical coherence tomography and micro-computed tomography imaging to reconstruct the 3-D deformed stent geometry. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 38, 710–720 (2019).

- Lin, C. Y., Veneziani, A. & Ruthotto, L. Numerical methods for polyline-to-point-cloud registration with applications to patientspecific stent reconstruction. Int. J. Numer. Method Biomed. Eng. 34, e2934 (2018).
- 12. Migliori, S. et al. A framework for computational fluid dynamic analyses of patient-specific stented coronary arteries from optical coherence tomography images. Med. Eng. Phys. 47, 105–116 (2017).
- O'Brien, C. C. et al. Constraining OCT with knowledge of device design enables high accuracy hemodynamic assessment of endovascular implants. PLoS ONE 11, e0149178 (2016).
- 14. Wu, W. et al. 3D reconstruction of coronary artery bifurcations from coronary angiography and optical coherence tomography: feasibility, validation, and reproducibility. Sci. Rep. 10, 18049 (2020).
- 15. Davies, J. E. *et al.* Evidence of a dominant backward-propagating "suction" wave responsible for diastolic coronary filling in humans, attenuated in left ventricular hypertrophy. *Circulation* **113**, 1768–1778 (2006).
- van der Giessen, A. G. *et al.* The influence of boundary conditions on wall shear stress distribution in patients specific coronary trees. J. Biomech. 44, 1089–1095 (2011).
- Cook, S. *et al.* Impact of incomplete stent apposition on long-term clinical outcome after drug-eluting stent implantation. *Eur. Heart J.* 33, 1334–1343 (2012).
- 18. Im, E. *et al.* Incidences, predictors, and clinical outcomes of acute and late stent malapposition detected by optical coherence tomography after drug-eluting stent implantation. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Interv.* 7, 88–96 (2014).
- 19. Chiastra, C. *et al.* Computational fluid dynamic simulations of image-based stented coronary bifurcation models. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 10, 20130193 (2013).

#### Author contributions

Conception and design: W.W., Y.S.C.; Acquisition of data: W.W., B.K., M.S., S.Z., S.S., Y.W., Y.M., T.M., A.B., R.B., T.V., P.A.I., J.M., A.P., Y.S.C.; Analysis and interpretation of data: W.W., B.K., M.S., S.Z., S.S., C.C. Y.S.C.; Manuscript drafting and revision: W.W., B.K., M.S., S.Z., S.S., Y.W., Y.M., T.M., A.B., R.B., T.V., P.A.I., J.M., A.P., F.B., H.S., E.S.B., G.D.D., Y.L., G.S., G.D., F.M., G.S.K., E.R.E., C.C., Y.S.C. All authors were involved in drafting the article or revising it critically for intellectual content, and all authors approved the final version to be published.

#### Funding

National Institutes of Health (R01 HL144690), Dr. Vincent Miscia Cardiovascular Research Fund.

### **Competing interests**

Yiannis S. Chatzizisis has received speaker honoraria, advisory board fees and a research grant from Boston Scientific Inc. and a research grant from Medtronic Inc. Dr Chatzizisis has a domestic patent and international patent pending for the invention entitled "Computational simulation platform for the planning of interventional procedures" (U.S. Patent No. 11,026,749 and International Application No. PCT/US2020/057304). Emmanouil S. Brilakis: Consulting/speaker honoraria from Abbott Vascular, American Heart Association (associate editor Circulation), Amgen, Biotronik, Boston Scientific, Cardiovascular Innovations Foundation (Board of Directors), CSI, Elsevier, GE Healthcare, InfraRedx, Medtronic, Siemens, and Teleflex; research support from Regeneron and Siemens. Shareholder: MHI Ventures. Francesco Burzotta: Speaker honoraria from Medtronic, Abbott and Abiomed. Timothy Mickley and Andrew Bicek are employees of Boston Scientific Inc. Richard Bliss is an employee of Medtronic Inc. All other authors have no relevant conflict of interests to disclose.

#### Additional information

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91458-y.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.S.C.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021