

New geomatics techniques for bees monitoring: the BEEMS project

Original

New geomatics techniques for bees monitoring: the BEEMS project / DI PIETRA, Vincenzo; Dabove, Paolo. - ELETTRONICO. - (2021). (Intervento presentato al convegno GIS Ostrava 2021 Advances in Localization and Navigation tenutosi a Ostrava (Czech Republic) nel March 17–19, 2021).

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2898612 since: 2021-05-07T10:54:47Z

Publisher:

Michal Kamařik, Jan Ržika

Published

DOI:

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

NEW GEOMATICS TECHNIQUES FOR BEES MONITORING: THE BEEMS PROJECT

Vincenzo Di Pietra¹, Paolo Dabove¹

¹Department of Environment, Land, and Infrastructure Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, Turin, 10129, Italy

Correspondence to: Paolo Dabove¹ (paolo.dabove@polito.it)

Abstract

Bees provide essential pollination services to natural ecosystems and agricultural crops. However, bee populations, both wild and farmed, are in decline around the world. To better manage and restore bee populations, long-term monitoring programs are needed. Direct monitoring of bees is expensive, time-consuming and requires a high level of expertise. Therefore, economic indicators for bee diversity and community composition are essential. The BEEMS Project, a project of Scientific and Technological Cooperation between Italy and Israel (Scientific Track 2019), aims to evaluate the cost-benefit ratio of new aerial Geomatics techniques compared to classical terrestrial methods to collect biotic and abiotic indicators of diversity bees and the composition of their communities. This work aims to present the project's progress, focusing on the Geomatics techniques applied to collect environmental data and produce spatial information useful for the work's progress.

Keywords: Geomatics, photogrammetry, bees, multi-temporal analyses.

INTRODUCTION

Pollination plays a key role in maintaining global human food supply (Klein et al. 2007) and the functional integrity of most terrestrial ecosystems (Ollerton et al. 2011). While crop pollination relies mainly on managed colonies of the domesticated honey bee (*Apis mellifera*), wild, unmanaged pollinators were found to be highly effective, often critical contributors to pollination services in natural and agricultural systems (Garibaldi et al. 2013). Among these wild pollinators, native bees are the most important pollinator group (Delaplane and Mayer 2000). Diverse bee communities usually provide more efficient and reliable pollination services than a single pollinator species (Blüthgen & Klein 2011) and are therefore of high conservation priority. The on-going global declines in both wild and managed pollinators raised the interest in native bee conservation and restoration and therefore in long-term monitoring programs. However, executing such monitoring programs is a major challenge, as they are labor-intensive, and require high expertise in the collection of bees and their subsequent taxonomic identification. To date, little has been done in developing efficient tools for monitoring bee communities.

The current prevailing approach for bee monitoring is site sampling oriented, time-consuming and labor-intensive upon collecting the required amount of data. Another approach is identifying, determining, and measuring which biotic and abiotic indicators of floral and nesting resources best reflect bee species diversity and community composition. Recent

advances in the field of remote sensing have allowed performing such measurements parallelly to classical ground methods. The use of Radar technology (Milanesio et al. 2020), UAVs, and image analysis can provide a wide-scale, fast, data-rich digital-platform for developing cost-effective bee monitoring programs. These tools have been widely used for many research activities, starting from subfluvial springs' investigations (Aicardi et al. 2017), thermal analyses (Banding et al. 2012), forestry applications (Aicardi et al. 2016) and hyperspectral measurements (Weinmann et al. 2018).

The BEEMS project, a project of Scientific and Technological Cooperation between Italy and Israel (Scientific Track 2019), aims to develop a technology-based approach for advanced bee community monitoring, coupling photogrammetric tools, based on RGB images, with thermal and multispectral data, to develop a multi-scale and multi-temporal platform for monitoring bees and possibly other insect groups. This contribution wants to enhance this study's progress, focusing the attention on the methodology and future steps.

METHODOLOGY AND CASE-STUDIES

From a Geomatics point of view, the BEEMS project proposes to collect biotic and abiotic indicators, including both floral and nesting substrate surveys (above ground cover types and soil sampling), through the development of novel aerial remote sensing approaches, coupled with mapping techniques and image analysis. Moreover, the project wants to optimize data-acquiring approaches (aerial and ground) and indicators (floral and nesting resources) to reach a cost-effective platform for monitoring bee communities.

At present, two measurement campaigns have already been carried out in two complementary study areas in central Israel, in February 2020. In each study system, several bees, flowers, bee nesting substrates and soil surveys have been considered and investigated using classical tools/approaches and applying advanced photogrammetric tools, based not only on RGB images but also on thermal and multispectral data. All the data processing phases have been performed exclusively through Geographic Free and Open Source Software (GFOSS), mainly using the QGIS software for spatial analysis and the creation of thematic maps, the libraries of the open-source project Orfeo Toolbox (OTB) for the segmentation and classification of very high-resolution images, and the development of ad-hoc machine learning techniques for the information extraction, useful for the project.

The work plan is divided into three main steps:

1. Acquiring biotic and abiotic indicators of floral and nesting resources using classical ground methods.
2. Developing novel aerial remote sensing, mapping and image analysis to compare traditional with innovative Geomatics methods.
3. Processing and synthesizing the data collected by the ground and aerial methods: the obtained indicators will identify and optimize data-acquiring approaches and improve the bee's monitoring activities.




This work focuses on data acquisition and data processing through the use of remote sensing approach and photogrammetric procedure. The two different environment sites

located in Israel considered in this project are the Judean foothills, a shrublands/maquis ecosystem with vertisols made as a mosaic of agricultural fields and planted pine forests (at SE of Tel Aviv), and the Alexander Stream National Park, a coastal sand ecosystem subject of restoration policies (at N of Tel Aviv). In these areas, six plots have been identified where the analyses have been performed. For the surveys, a DJI Matrice 200 UAV with a Slanrange 4P+ multispectral camera have been used. This camera has six channels integrated with an ambient illumination sensor (AIS), a LiDAR and a GNSS receiver for direct georeferencing and radiometric calibration of images. The advantages of using such sensors are to avoid using a calibration panel on the ground for the on-site image calibration while instead measuring simultaneously the incident and reflected sunlight for direct calibration. The specifications are reported in Table 1, while the in-flight set-up is showed in Fig. 1. During the two survey campaigns, about 20 flights were made, and around 150 GB of 2048 x 1536 pixels images have been acquired, with a mean ground sample distance (GSD) of about 2 cm. The information stored in the images is the RGB channels and the Red-W, the Red Edge and the Near InfraRed ones. A total of 27 Ground Control Points (GCPs) have been measured in both test sites with a multi-frequency, multi-constellation geodetic GNSS receiver in RTK mode.



Fig. 1. Instruments used during the survey's campaigns

Table 1. Technical specifications of the hardware used during the data acquisition.

	Max Takeoff Weight	6,14 Kg
	Max Payload	2,3 Kg
	Dimensions	Unfolded (with propellers): 887×880×378 mm
	Max Flight Time (Full payload)	13 min
	Focal length	0,016 m
	Frame Rate (Hz)	0.8
	Spatial Resolution (GSD @100 m AGL)	2.2 cm
	Spectral Channels	6
	Size, Vegetation Sensor	14.6 x 6.9 x 5.7 cm
	Weight	350g
	LIDAR Resolution	1 cm
	LIDAR Accuracy	< 10 cm
	GPS	GPS RTK enabled Dual L1




RESULTS

The photogrammetric processing results are several digital products that contain radiometric, texture, spectral, and spatial information. These products are:

- Three-dimensional dense point clouds (DPC);
- Digital Surface Models (DSM);
- Digital Terrain Models (DTM);
- Orthomosaic map;

All these products are georeferenced and defined in WGS84-UTM zone 36N. The results are summarized in Table 2 and 3 for Alexander Stream National Park and the Judean foothills.

Table 2. Summary of processing parameters and accuracy results of the Alexander Stream National Park site's digital products.

Digital Product	Parameters	Dataset 1	Dataset 3	Dataset 4
Aerial images	number	4.446	3.918	5.022
DPC	point number	171.888.569	130.377.749	170.992.083
	processing time	28 min	38 min	38 min
DSM	map			










Orthomosaic	size	10,127x13,513	11,317x6,440	8,813x12,936
	dim pixel	2,57 cm/pix	2,86 cm/pix	2,75 cm/pix
	processing time	3 min	2 min	24min
	map			
	band number	6	6	6
	size	19,870x27,002	22,623x12,87	17,569x25,85
	dim pixel	1,28 cm/pix	1,43 cm/px	1,38 cm/pix
	processing time	27 min	15 min	43 min

Table 3. Summary of processing parameters and accuracy results of the Judean foothills site's digital products.

The Judean foothills				
Digital Product	Parameters	Dataset 1	Dataset 2)	Dataset 3
Aerial images	number	1.450	6.846	4.722
DPC	point number	98.452.320	194.483.854	82.769.132
	processing time	37 min	3 hours	1 hours 40 min
DSM	map			
	size	9,595 x 10,824	13,979 x 13,852	7,042 x 8,467
	dim pixel	1,61 cm/pix	1,9 cm/px	2,01 xm/pix
	processing time	2 min	27 min	2 min
Orthomosaic				
	band	6	6	6

	number			
	size	19,190 x 21,648	27,904 x 27,696	13,929 x 16,893
	dim pixel	0,08 cm/pix	0,09 cm/pix	1,01 cm/pix
	processing time	22 min	3 hours	115 min

From the photogrammetric process, it was possible to compute several spatial and spectral features, useful to data interpretation and classification. In particular, thanks to the six bands' combination, it was possible to compute vegetation, water content, and soil indices. In total, more than 20 indices have been computed. Fig. 2, shows some of these features, highlighting the high resolution obtained.




Fig. 2. Radiometric indices obtained after the photogrammetric processing

CONCLUSIONS

The BEEMS project wants to test new aerial Geomatics techniques compared to classical terrestrial methods to collect biotic and abiotic indicators of diversity bees and their communities' composition. This work has presented the project's progress, highlighting the new Geomatics techniques based on advanced photogrammetric tools, to develop a multi-scale and multi-temporal platform for monitoring bees. The next steps will be to apply a machine-learning algorithm to automatically extract the required indicators and compare them with those obtained, considering classical techniques. In particular, supervised and unsupervised classifications will be used, and different classification models to assess these

case studies' performances. Local statistics, textural, morphological and geometrical features, radiometric indices and edges will be extracted from the DSM, DPC and orthophotos presented in this work, and used as a basis for class identification.

REFERENCES

- Aicardi I, Dabove P, Lingua AM, Piras M (2016). Integration between TLS and UAV photogrammetry techniques for forestry applications. *iForest* 10: 41-47. - doi: 10.3832/ifor1780-009
- Aicardi, I., Chiabrando, F., Lingua, A. M., Noardo, F., Piras, M., Vigna, B. (2017). A methodology for acquisition and processing of thermal data acquired by UAVs: a test about subfluvial springs' investigations. *Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk* 8:1, 5-17, DOI: 10.1080/19475705.2016.1225229
- Bartomeus, I., Ascher, J.S., Gibbs, J., Danforth, B.N., Wagner, D.L., Hedtkke, S.M. and Winfree, R. (2013). Historical changes in northeastern US bee pollinators related to shared ecological traits. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 110, 4656–4660.
- Bendig, J., Bolten, A. and Bareth, G. (2012). Introducing a low-cost mini-UAV for thermal- and multispectral-imaging. *Int Arch Photogramm Remote Sens Spat Inf Sci.*, 39, 345–349.
- Biesmeijer, J.C., Roberts, S.P.M., Reemer, M., Ohlemuller, R., Edwards, M., Peeters, T., Schaffers, A.P., Potts, S.G., Kleukers, R., Thomas, C.D., Settele, J. and Kunin, W.E. (2006). Parallel declines in pollinators and insect pollinated plants in Britain and the Netherlands. *Science*, 313, 351–354.
- Blüthgen, N. & Klein, A.M. (2011). Functional complementarity and specialisation: the role of biodiversity in plant–pollinator interactions. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 12, 282–291.
- Delaplane, K.S. and Mayer, D.F. (2000). *Crop Pollination by Bees*. Oxon, United Kingdom: Cabi.
- Garibaldi, L.A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Winfree, R., Aizen, M.A. et al. (2013). Wild pollinators enhance fruit set of crops regardless of honey-bee abundance. *Science*, 339, 1608–1611.
- Geijzendorffer, I.R, Targetti, S., Schneider, M.K., Brus, D.J. et al. (2016). How much would it cost to monitor farmland biodiversity in Europe? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 53, 140-149.
- Klein, A.M., Vaissière, B.E., Cane, J.H., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Cunningham, S.A., Kremen, C. and Tscharntke, T. (2007) Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 274, 303–313.
- Mandelik, Y., Roll, U. & Fleischer, A. (2010) Cost-efficiency of biodiversity indicators for Mediterranean ecosystems and the effects of socio-economic factors. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 47(6), 1179-1188.
- Milanesio, D., Bottiglieri, S., Sacconi, M., Maggiora, R., Viscardi, A., & Gallesi, M. M. (2020, April). An harmonic radar prototype for insect tracking in harsh environments. In *2020 IEEE International Radar Conference (RADAR)* (pp. 648-653). IEEE.
- Ollerton, J., Winfree, R. and Tarrant, S. (2011) How many flowering plants are pollinated by

animals? *Oikos*, 120, 321–326.

Pisanty, G. & Mandelik, Y. (2015) Profiling crop pollinators: life-history traits predict habitat use and crop visitation by Mediterranean wild bees. *Ecological Applications*, 25(3), 742-752.

Schmeller, D.C., Niemela, J. and Bridgewater, P. (2017). Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES): getting involved. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 26, 2271–2275

van Rijn, I., Neeson, T.M. and Mandelik, Y. (2015) Reliability and refinement of the higher taxa approach for bee richness and composition assessments. *Ecological Applications* 25(1), 88-98.

Weinmann, M., Maier, P. M., Florath, J. and Weidner, U.(2018). Investigations on the potential of hyperspectral and sentinel-2 data for land-cover / land-use classification, *ISPRS Ann. Photogramm. Remote Sens. Spatial Inf. Sci.*, IV-1, 155-162, <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-annals-IV-1-155-2018>, 2018.