# Investigation of Gas Diffusion Electrode systems for the electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> conversion

Federica Zammillo<sup>a</sup>, Hilmar Guzmán<sup>a,b</sup>, Nunzio Russo<sup>a</sup>, Simelys Hernández<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CREST group, Department of applied science and technology (DISAT), Politecnico di Torino, C.so Duca degli Abruzzi, 24, 10129, Turin, Italy <sup>b</sup> Center for Sustainable Future Technologies, IIT@Polito, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Via Livorno, 60, 10144, Turin, Italy.

**OVERVIEW** 

E-mails: federica.zammillo@polito.it, simelys.hernandez@polito.it

#### Several variables have been assessed in order to find the most promising conditions leading to a higher C<sub>1+</sub> products formation.

Catalyst loading, Nafion content, Applied potentials, Electrolyte concentration, presence of metal oxides

The outcome of the work has revealed that the binder

In the context of climate change and carbon management, electrochemical  $CO_2$  reduction represents a promising solution<sup>1</sup>. In this work, a **porous and conductive support** has been employed, where the catalyst has been manually deposited.

CuZnAl-based<sup>2</sup> catalysts obtained via co-precipitation

Applied potential effect







Engineering for

Sustainable Technologies



#### method

The physical-chemical properties of the catalytic materials employed have been investigated through different characterization techniques.



**content** has affected the spectrum of obtained products. A preliminary model<sup>3</sup> has been implemented, which confirmed the role of a high local pH in promoting salts formation<sup>4</sup>.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The molar concentration of nitrates used as precursors were the following: Cu:Zn:Al=0.6M:0.3M:0.1M CuZA-06-03-01, Cu:Zn=0.6M:0.3M CuZ-06-03, Cu=0.6M Cu-06. CuZn and Cu catalysts were also synthesized in order to evaluate the contribution of Al- and Zn- oxides.

A catalytic ink consisting of Cubased catalyst nanoparticles, conductive carbon support (Vulcan XC 72R), Nafion dispersion and isopropyl alcohol was prepared

120

90 -

60 -

6 -5 -

4 -3 -

17

%

efficiency,

**Earadaic** 0.3 -

0.1

0.0

25

20

15 -

10

cm<sup>-2</sup>



By means of an airbrusher, the catalytic ink was deposited onto a carbon paper support. A GDE of 10.2 cm<sup>2</sup> was obtained



Local pH effect

Gas-solid-liquid

Interface

Electrolyte

Electrochemical tests performed at ambient conditions in continuous flow cell. **3-Electrodes system** 

W.E.: CuZnAl-based GDE

R.E.: Ag/AgCI

C.E.: Ir-MMO plate



### **INVESTIGATION HIGHLIGHTS**



A novel nano-pyramidal structure was highlighted in the CuZA catalyst (Fig. 1); spherical microparticles made-up of rectangular-

Catalyst	BET surface area, m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	Total pore volume, cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	EDS, atomic ratio	Crystallite size, nm (11-1) CuO
Cu-06	18.4	0.11	Cu/O 1:1	17
CuZ-06-03	55.16	0.23	Cu/Zn 60:40	7
CuZA-06-03-01	101.65	0.37	Cu/Zn/Al 60:30:10	9

#### Table 1 Physical-chemical properties

**Fig. 1 FESEM** images of the Cu-based electrocatalysts



The incorporation of metal oxides allowed to increase the surface In view of the good area and had an effect on the growth of the final crystals, Hydrogen CO performance (Figs. reducing the crystallite sizes (Table 1). (b) Formate<sup>7</sup> 2 and 3), Cu-06 was Acetone selected to evaluate the effects -10 -Hydrogen EtOH of Nafion content and % applied CO **b** -20 2-PrOH 80 Formate potential. Indeed, current efficiency, 1-PrOH mA Acetone densities up to **35 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>** were EtOH 60 Cu-06 **ر** -30 reached and the FE toward H<sub>2</sub> 2-PrOH CuZ-06-03 was hindered ( $\uparrow$ ). 1-PrOH CuZA-06-03-01 40 Faradaic 16 -12 --2.00 -1.75 -1.50 -1.25 -1.00 -0.75 -0.50 E, V vs. Ag/AgCl  $CO_{2(aq)} + OH^{-} \stackrel{k1r \ k1f}{\longleftrightarrow} HCO_{3}^{-}$ Fig. 3 Linear sweep voltammetry of the tested catalysts 2.0  $HCO_3^- + OH^- \stackrel{k2r}{\Leftarrow} \stackrel{k2f}{\Longrightarrow} CO_3^{2-} + H_2O$ .5 Cu-06 Electrode CuZA-06-03-01 CuZ-06-03 0.5 Fig. 2 Faradaic efficiencies of gaseous and liquid products at -1.5 V 0.0 Nafion 15% Nafion 30% Nafion 45% vs Ag/AgCI applied potential for different catalysts Hydrogen **Fig. 4** Faradaic efficiencies of gaseous and liquid products at -1.5 highest FE The and 80 80-CO V vs Ag/AgCl for Cu-06 with different Nafion content  $H_2$ current density to Formate Total carbons were reached at -2 V vs Acetone A lower Nation content has led to increase ---- Hydrogen Ag/AgCl (Figs. 5 and 6), EtOH CO selectivity; whereas, a higher Nafion efficience 1.5 2-PrOH whereas the efficiencies 1-PrOH content has promoted  $C_{1+}$  production. towards CO and liquid products decrease at the **Faradai** 0.5 Effect of **local pH** highlighted in our work most negative potentials. management of The An enhanced formation of liquid products has been gases transport through correlated to a lower pH increase. the gas-diffusion-0.0 --1.5 electrode represents a V vs ag/AgCl -1.5 -1.75 -2  $\mathbf{T}$  pH,  $\mathbf{T}$  hydration of CO<sub>2</sub> to carbonate and bicarbonate challenge. *Cu-06* Electrode species





Fig. 6 Faradaic efficiencies of gaseous and liquid products

## CONCLUSIONS

The research work shows that C-C coupling was not promoted at high overpotentials, as expected from literature and previous experiments in liquid-phase conditions. This finding focuses attention on mass transfer limitations throughout the porous structure of the electrode, where a triple-phase-boundary should be formed. Moreover, the liquid crossover – affected by electro-wetting - was found to severely impacts the GDE performance, by hindering  $CO_2$ diffusion towards the active sites and favouring HER. The Nafion content had a relevant effect in the selectivity of the process. The effect of local pH has been visualized in salts deposition.

## REFERENCES AND ACKNOWLEDGEMNTS

- H. Guzmán, F. Salomone, E. Batuecas, T. Tommasi, N. Russo, S. Bensaid and S. Hernández, Chem. Eng. J., 2020, 127973. DOI:10.1016/j.cej.2020.127973.
- 2 H. Guzmán, N. Russo and S. Hernandez, *Green Chem.*, **2021**, 23(5), 1896-1920. DOI:10.1039/d0gc03334k.
- 3 J. J. Lv, M. Jouny, W. Luc, W. Zhu, J. J. Zhu and F. Jiao, *Adv. Mater.*, **2018**, 30, 1–8. DOI:10.1002/adma.201803111
- 4 H. Guzmán, F. Zammillo, D. Roldán, C. Galletti, N. Russo and S. Hernández, Catalysts, 2021, 11(4), 482. DOI:10.3390/catal11040482.

The financial support of the SUNCOCHEM project (Grant Agreement No 862192) of the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Action programme is acknowledged.