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This volume entitled ‘Possible and Preferable Scenarios of a Sustainable Future – Towards 2030 and Beyond’ is a collection of essays and researches dealing with a subject of sustained interest for the Academy and the craft and industry worlds. Investigating the future is an established practice for the academy and the world of crafts and industry. From the Chicago Columbian Exhibition of 1893 to the two Worlds Fairs of New York City (1939 and 1965) and so on, the future has been foreseen as filled with technology and amazing architecture. Not every vision of the future has described promising scenarios: the dystopian novel by George Orwell entitled Nineteen Eighty-Four, published in 1949, looked 35 years ahead, painting an anything but reassuring picture of the future. We have entered the third decade of the new millennium, and we must certainly reflect on the objectives we had set for 2020 and on the results we have achieved.

However, project into the future (pro-jàcere, from Latin, jump forward), explore and imagine how your life will change, boosted by human ingenuity and with the support of science, is in the human nature. The four visions of the future proposed by Norman Henchey (1978) conceptualized in classes – ‘possible’ (any future), ‘plausible’ (future that makes sense), ‘probable’ (highly likely to happen), ‘preferable’ (the best that could happen) – have been brilliantly described in the ‘Futures Cone’ reinterpreted by Joseph Voros (2003). As we move away from the present, the ‘possible’ tends to ‘preferable’ due to the lack of elements and data on which to base the programming and the planning: in fact, the certainty on the type of technologies and production methods that will be available, on the social structure and user uses, and so on decreases.

By 2030, the world will already be different: Thomas L. Friedman (2016) highlights that the three main forces of our Planet – Moore’s Law (technology), the Market (globalization) and Mother Nature (climate change and biodiversity loss) – are all pressing at the same time, with inevitable consequences for the territory, cities, architecture, products and services that will be designed, developed and used in the future. The 17 2030 Sustainable Development Goals presented by the United Nations provide an answer for this time horizon, tracing the path towards a model to achieve a better and more sustainable future for everyone. But will these Goals be able to accelerate sustainable innovation? However, it is clear that how the future of our planet,
its landscapes, cities, architecture and consumer products will mostly depend on the decisions we make today, on our level of ‘vision’ and on how we will deal with the subject of sustainability with respect to the aforementioned Goals. Going beyond 2030, imagining 2050, we will certainly have to deal with a population growth that will reach ten billion people, of which 75% will be living in cities and urban areas (United Nations, 2019); therefore, the cities of the future will become crucial metropolises for the sustainability of the whole Planet. In the meantime, the academic, crafts and industry worlds are raising a series of questions.

Will we be able to promote the sustainable use of Earth ecosystems in the territories, to sustainably manage forests, fight desertification and stop biodiversity loss? How will the principles of circular economy have an impact on the design concept of the city, the architecture and consumer products? How will our cities change? Will they be more inclusive, smart, ecological, sustainable? Will they correspond to Carlo Ratti’s vision of ‘senseable cities’, namely, will they be more human, sensitive, capable of ‘sensing’ through digital sensors and of meeting citizens’ needs? Will they have a higher density and a vertical development to reduce land use? Will they be hyper-connected, efficient and less chaotic? Will we ever be able to handle the use of the resources in the cities with the regenerative ability of the ecosystem? Will we be able to significantly shift, at all levels, from urban to architectural, towards an ecological and smart management of water resources, in a circular and systemic perspective aimed at reducing consumption, introducing advanced and integrated ways of collection and purification, to reuse gray and rainwater in buildings and outdoor spaces? Will infrastructures, means of transport, roads, parking lots and green areas be influenced and deeply changed by the evolution of sustainable and/or autonomous mobility? Will green and blue infrastructure networks be implemented in our cities and territories? Will the use of green in cities be enhanced in its multifunctional value and in its ecosystem services supply? Will the outdoor areas be greener, public and ‘people-friendly’, safe and characterized by nature-based solutions?

The mixité of functions and uses will condition the creation and design of architecture, building types, outdoor spaces, urban design, with vertical ‘neighbourhoods’ of dwellings, offices, various services, commerces and entertainment to reduce mobility and travel times? Will the new buildings be, throughout their life cycle, zero-energy and zero-impact, green, smart, connected, resilient, adaptive, capable of optimizing the resource consumption and self-producing with renewable sources the energy necessary for their functions? Will we be able to deeply mark in the design, construction, maintenance and management of the built environment the awareness of the need to shift towards the reuse, recovery and recycling at different levels? Will we be able to make a deep renovation, also from an energy and ecological point of view, the existing building and to project it into the future? Will the implementation of ‘enabling technologies’ of Industry 4.0 (artificial intelligence, machine learning, virtual and augmented reality, robotics, etc.) have a significant impact on the innova-
tion of sustainable Living and consumer products, stimulating a new intelligence on ‘common responsibilities’? Will the contamination of knowledge, creativity, startups, open source and future crafts speed up the change of the artificial world to build a more sustainable future for our planet? Will the digital and parametric manufacturing be able to improve the quality of the built environment, cutting down costs and time of production, for example, allowing the self-production and customization of a sustainable house and consumer products affordable for everyone? Will we be able to create our buildings and consumer products with (fully) recycled and recyclable materials? How will the innovation of smart, bio and nano-structured materials influence our life? Will the digital devices be increasingly integrated up to become ‘wearable’? Will they favour a better quality of life? Will resilient societies and inclusive communities allow everyone access to services and economic opportunities? Will the services be more customizable, efficient, flexible and decentralized?

Paraphrasing Luciano Floridi, philosopher of Information and Technology at the University of Oxford, we ask ourselves if ‘green’ (of natural and artificial environments) and ‘blue’ (of science, technology and therefore the digital world) will succeed to guide a vision of the future capable of replacing ‘things’ (objects) with ‘relationships’, ‘individual planning’ with ‘common planning’, the ‘experience economy’ (and not consumption) with a ‘policy of care and relationships’ (and not production). Moreover, will we be able to anticipate the impact that these technologies will have on us and the environment around us, guiding the ‘fourth revolution’ – deeply linked to the role of digital technology in our lives, having the ‘infosphere’ at its core (the space of information of the digital era that concerns every aspect of our lives) – to overcome the distinction between real and virtual, always connected to the network, in a word ‘onlife’, while significantly improve our quality of life and ecosystem?

How will customs and traditions, our way of living, working, producing, studying, consuming and socializing change? How will public and private health change, also in relation to the lesson we are still learning from Covid-19 pandemic emergency? How will the forms of living change with respect to emerging ‘remote’ modes, workplaces with smart working and co-working, learning environments with smart teaching and e-learning, business venues with e-commerce, etc.? How and with what tools and methods will we be able to safeguard, enhance and enjoy our landscape, cultural, architectural, and archaeological heritage? Will we be able to promote a territory through the virtualization of its cultural heritage and local traditions by uploading them online as a common asset for citizens and visitors?

The 15 published papers deal with only some points of this broad subject, open to many variations. They are food for thought and give good practices capable of contributing to the international research and debate. The volume opens with a critique analysis of the most renown scholars and architects that lately have written for, mainly Italian, press and websites on the relationship between architecture, cities and the pandemic emergency, highlighting critical issues and solutions for the future from
different perspectives. Sometimes these opposite concepts converge on the necessity of transforming the crisis into opportunities for urban renewal at every scale (from domestic to public spaces, workplaces, health facilities, technological networks or transport systems). By using strategies – different in nature and goals – in a renewed relationship between rural and urban, this might be the perfect opportunity to balance spaces and relationships, smooth out social and economic inequalities and ensure a more sustainable life.

In the Architecture section, Resilience seems the key to project toward a sustainable future. Through new community forms – generated by the current pandemic crisis – stimulating the creation of new innovative social and planning strategies and practices. These include the Hybrid Communities of Place, ‘cultivated in the digital space’, capable of building enabling ecosystems, whose resilience is due to innovative forms of urban and architectural transformation. They include public residential districts where there are multiple levels of flexible sociocultural, typological-spatial and technical-environmental complexity, not only with respect to the reversibility or transformability of the proposed design solution, but in relation to the ability to interpret the different opportunities and potentials offered by each context, its values and reasons in relation to the moment of its creation. Through new interpretations of the concept of resilience applied to cultural heritage, detectable in Italy through the transformations occurred in historical urban areas and the role acquired by artisan and manufacturing activities in the applied arts, two specific and different events contribute to new economic paradigms. With the opportunities given by the digitization and dematerialization of processes, these paradigms can, on the one hand, boost economy and corporate assets of small and medium-sized companies, and on the other, promote unexpected scenarios capable of making the cultural characteristics of heritage more accessible and resilient.

Through new possible paradigms of urban regeneration – scalable processes, adaptable to realities with different (small) sizes and qualitative characteristics – in which the project loses its self-referentiality and, by assuming the role of coordinator with a ‘sociological’ mark, it can promote a cross-disciplinary process aimed at determining a model for the re-appropriation of smaller towns and villages, having a strong declared identity often not enhanced, and (in some cases) of the suburbs – often characterized by marginalization and deterioration. This has a double objective: the up-cycling/refunctionalization of the building heritage and the requalification/regeneration of open/public spaces for social sharing. Furthermore, through a proposal for the integration of digital tools (such as BIM and GIS), having an adequately structured data collection and processing methodology, the integration would allow, on the one hand, both the monitoring and management of the building heritage and the urban planning according to principles of sustainability, and on the other, to return to the man-made environment as dynamic inter-scalar model with in-depth information and with elements currently difficult to compare.
A study focuses on the relationship between adaptation and mitigation in the different dimensions (temporal, spatial, economic, political, psychological, social and design) aiming to highlight its existing or potential connections, in the perspective of a systemic, cross-disciplinary and multi-scalar design approach, capable of integrating the benefits in the imperative issues of global warming, measuring and evaluating the effectiveness of the two strategies by using concepts and enabling technologies consolidated in ‘smart urban metabolism’ to provide a relevant contribution to the ecological transition project and to favour a more effective reduction of material and energy flows in urban areas. Robust Design and Combinatorial Architecture are proposed as approaches to mitigate and modulate the contrast between visions and objectives of the 2030 Agenda for Smart Cities. They are developed through a decision tool and heuristic device, assisting the decision-makers in fixing the priorities related to urban morphology, architectural design, functional, technological, or engineering problem; the proposal is a method in which quantitative – predictable – and uncertain qualitative intangible and variable parameters (i.e., social, physical, sensorial, cultural, and economic) lead to a structural adaptation, emphasising the concept of formal adaptation to include the intangible aspects to mediate between the desires of the community in a specific moment and a long-term planning.

Another essay deals with a critical interpretation of the sustainability concept and the evolution of flexibility through different approaches created over time. They have defined, at a methodological level, the connection between the requirements for the sustainable project and, at an operational level, the actions taking place at the building and the public open space scales. The requirements are applied in design projects aiming to reach a comparison, on different scales, among physical elements and users, by acting not only in a spatial-three-dimensional sense but also in a metabolic and physiological sense, by enhancing and improving the psychophysical relationships between the environment – lit, with noises, spatial, biological, social – and people. A contribution on open spaces – mentioning the case study on the area surrounding Tiberius Bridge in Rimini – selects the project as a tool to transfer a structured knowledge capable of working with the social fabric, interpreting intangible demands and responding to the needs of the community that lives there. The space, ‘open’ to the different interpretations of its uses, can stimulate the sense of belonging to a community and expressing the values of an ever-evolving society. Moreover, it collaborates to create a truly lived-in place, therefore safe and active in the improvement of the quality of life of the community. According to this vision, it is not attractive because of scenic elements or devices added in it, but because of interventions aimed at making the place welcoming, respecting the local environmental values and restoring the relationship between park and city, showing possible freedoms where the functional aspect is overshadowed by the awareness of what the place can offer.

With respect to the development of technical solutions to increase the performance of building envelopes, in response to the stresses due to climate change, an experi-
mental research (currently developed at the Building Future Lab of the ‘Mediterranea’ University of Reggio Calabria) identifies a design methodology based on adaptive design techniques. These can dynamically answer reference contextual conditions, imagining working methods based on building dynamic simulation scenarios. Their goal is to create a highly adaptable model that can be used as a component for evolved envelopes to smartly and systemically manage the effects of climate variables and, at the same time, satisfy a wide range of needs. Energy accessibility, determined by low family incomes, high energy costs and low energy efficiency of housing, is the subject of a research investigating strategies that can favour it, in the long term and in the urban context of Eastern Europe, by adopting measures for the energy efficiency of multi-storey residential apartments, with the emphasis on achieving the optimal ratio between energy savings and financial resources used for the renovated houses.

The Design section includes essays and research on New Anthropocene, ethics, territorial design and networking, and digital manufacturing. In particular, the first essay proposes a new vision on design, compared to the one that has characterized it in recent decades, which has become a sort of magical glaze to make goods attractive rather than project size useful for facing the challenges of our time. The author recognizes the need to shift from the Paleo-Anthropocene in which we live – predatory and truly unsustainable – to a Neo-Anthropocene – socially and ecologically sustainable – where design, urban planning and all project disciplines should converge to create a Future City, an Augmented City, open, intelligent, sensitive, creative and fluid, characterized by empathy, the ability to design for and with people, for a better world. In relation to the liability system that revolves around man to alter and modify the landscape that surrounds them, a second contribution highlights the relationships between ethics and design, raising new issues that, untied from the rigid logic of the academic world, contribute to outlining a generative matrix of thought useful to provide elements for an interpretative exploration of the transversal aspects related to the taxonomy of design.

Overcoming the physical and digital distance – which has characterized this last year because of the pandemic – is the subject of the essay presenting considerations on a new innovative society (Society 5.0). In this society the companies are part of a complex relation system that can boost the creation of new sustainable and interconnected production chains (territorial design and networking), based on relational paradigms where the IoT, new methods and tools (the result of cross-fertilization and a cross-disciplinary or transdisciplinary approach) combine different, sometimes distant, scientific sectors and harmonize cultural, social, economic and political elements. The volume ends with a case study on digital manufacturing of street furniture elements marked by a participatory and interactive process, capable of satisfying social needs and preferences of a specific group of users in a context where this production method is little known and used. Modularity and stratification become the unifying element of the building language that does not follow a specific predetermined pattern but that is defined by the suggestive ac-
tivity that must be carried out. The modularity of the components is not guided by a pre
determined aesthetic but is moderately free to flow, expand, aggregate and generate.

In conclusion, we agree with Fabrizio Tucci (2020) who, in the editorial of vol-
ume 8 (2020) of Agathón journal, argues that a vision of the sustainable future of liv-
ing, by looking at the two time horizons of 2030 and 2050, will be played on an in-
creasingly synergistic work aimed at providing answers to the ten main macro-ques-
tions: 1) ecological transition and increase in environmental quality; 2) transition to
the green economy and effectiveness and circularity in the use of resources; 3) miti-
gation and adaptation to climate change, towards total carbon neutrality; 4) biocli-
natic, energy efficiency and renewable sources, towards the model of positive ener-
gy cities; 5) progressive reduction of land use, towards the ‘zero land use’ model; 6)
dialectic between globalization and glocalization; 7) digital transition, enabling tech-
nologies and opportunities linked to Data Science systems and to Industry 4.0; 8) in-
teraction of the most advanced and diversified expertizes with increasingly smart
communities, to share and include; 9) ‘polychrysis’ challenges originating from the
pandemic and the threat of future pandemic forms; 10) innovation of ways and
spaces of living, working, studying, producing, consuming and socializing, in a syn-
ergic and transversal interface ‘with’ and ‘between’ all the previous macro-issues.
These ten subjects, approaches and visions must be considered as actions of a strate-
gic ever-evolving project that concur in synergically and systemically defining the
scenarios that can allow us to create a built environment and a more desirable and
sustainable future for ourselves and for future generations.

Prof. Arch. Cesare Sposito

Department of Architecture | University of Palermo
INTELLIGENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS FOR THE REPRESENTATION AND MANAGEMENT OF THE CITY
Urban survey and design for resilience

Maurizio M. Bocconcino, Massimiliano Lo Turco, Mariapaola Vozzola, Anna Rabbia

ABSTRACT
Recent emergencies have triggered a series of proposals, revisions and regulatory updates. In Italy, as part of the Italian Decreto Rilancio, a proposal to introduce a compulsory building file seems to have been accepted. If this proposal is followed up, we could soon see the collection of a series of data and information on the building stock of our cities. This contribution defines a proposal for the organisation of this systematic collection, suitably supported by advanced IT tools, to make possible the start of a renewed season of monitoring, management, planning and development of more resilient buildings and cities. The proposed idea is to channel the information and data on individual buildings into a single database that can provide a comprehensive, unambiguous and multi-scalar picture of the urban system.
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Looking at the development and the changes that the urban environment is going to experience soon, it is clear that Italian cities need to be equipped with the technological and digital tools to face the management processes of the emerging model called ‘smart city’. Modern cities will have to be able to plan new policies of expansion, conversion, requalification and improvement of the urban environment – starting from principles aimed at environmental, social and economic sustainability – and support the dynamic character of the socio-economic model that distinguishes our era. In this regard, modern ‘smart cities’ will not be able to do without management tools that provide integration between different methodologies, different technological tools and specific procedures that can provide digital models based on real data, on which to build development scenarios. The proposed idea is to convey the information and data related to individual buildings in a single database that can provide a comprehensive, unambiguous and multi-scalar picture of the urban system: from the individual building, the individual criticalities/opportunities, up to understand the entire urban organism in its entirety. This would allow the promotion of future targeted interventions, but well inserted in a systemic way in the urban and territorial context. Specifically, the discussion will analyze the possibility of managing the existing Italian building stock through the integration of geographic information systems (GIS) and building models (BIM).

With the so-called BIM Decree, Italian Ministerial Decree 01/12/2017 n. 560, in the short term we will gradually come into contact more and more with numerous digital building information models (Building Information Model), models that can monitor and hold together all in the phases of the life cycle of buildings (Fig. 1). As is often the case following traumatic events, the pandemic spread of Covid-19 has triggered a series of proposals, revisions and regulatory updates. In particular, in Italy, as part of the Italian Decreto Rilancio, it seems that a proposal to introduce a mandatory building file has been accepted. If this proposal is followed, soon we could see the collection of a series of data and information on the consistency of the building stock of our cities. This data collection, if combined with the use of BIM integrated with a geographic information system, would make possible the start of a season of monitoring, management, planning and computerized development of more resilient cities. From this point of view, the outline of the building file should contain a description of the building from a technical and administrative point of view, with information relating to the state of fitness, safety, plant equipment, maintenance actions, types of construction and energy efficiency parameters. A mass of data that, if put into a system, can provide, appropriately treated, a solid basis for decision-making.

The reflections towards an increasingly integrated management, and aimed more at the digitization of information relating to the urban environment, would marry the smart city philosophy, also aligned to the regulatory updates mentioned. Considering then that the mandatory BIM will allow to implement and complete the 3D database of the city, it would be appropriate to update and/or create integrated cartographic databases geo-referencing parametric digital models, ensuring a global vi-
sion and monitoring of the city. In this scenario, the spatial context would be managed technically and technologically with GIS tools, a powerful set of tools capable of acquiring, storing, retrieving, transforming, analyzing and reproducing spatial data related to the territory (Burrough and McDonnell, 1986). The difficulty in retrieving data, to date, remains one of the most expensive obstacles in terms of energy and time, making the planning, programming and control of interventions cumbersome. The building file linked to the BIM model, inserted in a GIS environment, available and queryable in the different scales of representation, could instead be a real and new key to solve the problem of ‘as-built’ documentation (Vacca et alii, 2018), as well as to make the same processes of planning, programming and control of interventions more efficient. An interesting example of urban environment analysis is the Sun Solar City project in Bolzano (Comune di Bolzano, 2013). A WebGIS mapping in which the potential exploitation of building roofs is represented if photovoltaic panels for electricity production were installed there, to reduce per capita annual CO₂ emissions by 80% by 2030.
The case of asset management | The implementation of the asset management process requires a significant amount of continuously updated information and data related to the different phases of the artefact’s life cycle: data related to the design and review phases, correspondence between the actors involved in the decision-making process, maintenance records, information about modifications, and information on maintenance works are necessary to provide asset managers with a complete picture of the extent of the existing data archive for each architectural artefact (Kyle, 2001). This means that building asset managers should use a comprehensive and up-to-date system consisting of a digital data set that reflects the history and current state that has characterized and characterizes their building assets. Data collection is critical to the implementation of an asset management system, and the ability to collect detailed data enables effective asset management (Woodward, 1997; Vanier, 2001).

Building life cycle management needs to be supported by a precise and detailed set of information that differs from that contained within the traditional construction process (Häkkinen, 2007). To be able to analyze and interrogate data and information that characterize buildings within a single environment, it is possible to use work environments that process and make available all the information that characterizes the building in the different temporal phases of the life cycle, from the design, material procurement and construction phases of the building, acting as a collector of all the information needed for operations and maintenance (Howell and Batcheler, 2005; Campell, 2007). A BIM model addresses these needs by allowing different hierarchies of information to be captured and managed depending on the time phase of the building (Fig. 2).

When dealing with the theme of management as a crucial moment for the maintenance and conservation of an artefact, the problem of the organization and diffusion of knowledge represents one of the fundamental methodological and conceptual aspects (Calabrese, 2020; De Pasquale, 2020). The analysis of the traditional procedures leads to an understanding of how the problem has been faced until now considering separately the two fundamental factors: the representation on one side and the description on the other side. Currently, it is no longer conceivable to analyze and manage a building heritage without a series of descriptive data and other data related to representation. In this regard, the BIM represents an immediate and continuous biunivocal contribution between descriptive data and graphic data related to the geometry of the buildings under analysis. It also allows to modify the collected data and to insert them in the database both in the alphanumeric and in the graphic field. On the contrary, the two descriptive frameworks are directly related to each other and therefore, regardless of whether the modelling or the changes occur in one framework or the other, they are updated automatically and simultaneously in both.

The tools to support the management of the built heritage | When you need to manage an existing building, or better still with an asset composed of several buildings, often fragmented, you have to face a series of problems quite different from those that must be
faced during the design and construction phases. Among the main difficulties, the management of information to be historicized and the plurality of technical and non-technical users involved in management and maintenance operations play a fundamental role. The two problems exposed can be solved by linking the BIM model of one or more buildings to a database or building management systems, such as BMS (Building Management System) and CAFM systems – Computer-Aided Facility Management (Dejaco, Re Cecconi and Maltese, 2017), or by linking the BIM model to the CIM³ (City Information Modeling) model of the city in which it is inserted. The City Information Modeling represents the 3D model at the urban scale of the city, which within it collects the BIM models of individual buildings, the open-source information made available by the Municipality, related for example to public spaces, such as green and infrastructure networks, data related to roads, and also integrates within it all the information generated and implemented by the IoT through the sensors installed within the city territory (Fig. 3).
By linking BIM to CIM, users are provided with an interactive environment, rich in information and data, which can be communicated through ad hoc elaborated representations, such as 3D city models, alphanumeric graphs, thematic tables, etc., where the information in addition to being accessible to all, can be analyzed, queried and shared in real-time (Hisham, 2018). Internationally, some cities, such as the city of Singapore, are implementing CIM models of the city’s urban fabric to improve land management and planning. The Virtual Singapore4 project aims to collect in a single environment all the information related to the buildings and the context in which they are inserted: a dynamic three-dimensional model of the city area is being created, connected to a collaborative data platform, where all users, can enter data and BIM models of the buildings, to obtain a single working environment for public agencies, private citizens and researchers (Fig. 4).

The implementation of a CIM realized through the contamination of public and private information, as in the case of the city of Singapore, has great potential to address problems related to city planning and management both at the urban scale and at the architectural scale, related to the individual building. From a first analysis, depending on the type of user, the following advantages can be highlighted: a) professionals and Public Administration operators have the opportunity to collaborate in the decision-making process on urban planning, through the use of the data that populate the platform, which are dynamic, since they are constantly updated, returning a reliable snapshot of the urban and building fabric investigated; b) citizens have the opportunity to check in real-time the updates related to their real estate assets and receive timely feedback from the agencies and competent bodies in case of need; In addition,
Fig. 4 | Some visualizations of the Virtual Singapore project: from the analysis of roofs with solar panels to the identification of routes without architectural barriers (source: www.nrf.gov.sg).

Fig. 5 | Some images related to the City Model of the city of Zurich: the project developed by ETH scientists was realized through the combination of millions of images and videos (source: ethz.ch).
within the model at urban scale it is possible to evaluate the accessibility to public spaces, through the visualization of geometric data of the land, you will then have the identification and visualization in real-time of barrier-free paths for the disabled and the elderly; c) researchers and scholars will have the opportunity to query the system and create ad hoc thematic tables, in order to display information related to specific analysis, such as the analysis of the potential production of solar energy. The implementation of a CIM model today is, therefore, an instrumental prerequisite for the realization of sustainable city development, as has been widely documented by many scholars (Fig. 5).

**The realization of the file of the building: an example of case applied to the public heritage** | Models are built to better understand and communicate complex realities: the organization and processing of data and information of the studied system need to build models capable of understanding and correlating document types, defining a series of attributes and qualities useful to the knowledge of the investigated area. During the design process, many models are built, for example, the architectural, structural and energy model, each of which represents, from a precise point of view, a semantically complete view of the system. In addition to being a fundamental aid to understanding, modelling is also a communication mechanism that allows different expertise to interact using a common language and to break down complex problems into smaller, manageable portions. The project modelling process provides an infrastructure and a set of methodological tools for understanding basic concepts and determining how and when a specific model, a precise view of the system, should be implemented and with what level of detail.

The application of the theoretical principles of the research to a significant case study, such as the social housing building designed and managed by ATC – Agenzia Territoriale per la Casa del Piemonte Centrale, consisting of 78 dwellings, built along the axis of Spina 4, in Via Fossata in Turin (Alberti, 2014; Fig. 6) was fundamental for the applied experimentation from which the problems to be solved and the proposals for innovation emerged (Fig. 7). This methodological approach will allow the subsequent export of the innovative results obtained to other public or private realities that daily manage huge real estate assets (Pesce, 2019). Today, BIM systems make it possible to draw great benefits from a wide-ranging evaluation of the interventions that can be programmed on public and private building stock, and therefore to analyze the effects that these interventions have in terms not only of architecture but also of urban planning. Through this tool, it will be possible to monitor the building resources and the prefiguration of architectural and/or urban planning solutions, to allow preventive evaluations of management and construction hypotheses.

**From BIM to the Digital Building File** | The Building File was introduced at the end of the ’90s with the Draft Law n. 4339-bis 30/11/1999 entitled Provisions on the Reg-
ulation of the Construction Market and the Establishment of the File of the Building. Within article 1 are collected the definition and contents of the file\(^6\). In February 2019, the Government introduced several new features on its contents: starting from the assessment of vulnerabilities from natural hazards to get to the reconstruction of the history of each building, analyzing the interventions of seismic and energy adaptation, to collect within a single document all the information to date in possession of different entities. The new demands have pushed the scientific community to look for possible solutions to draw up the document with the help of virtual work environments that contained within them all the data of the building during its entire life cycle. The BIM, in its meaning of AIM model, represents a possible answer to the new needs: the elaboration of the digital building file, in BIM environment, requires the definition, structuring and hierarchy of the data that characterize the artefact to translate them into informative attributes associated with the elements that make up the model. The same possibility of communicating information and data with different representations, such as two-dimensional, three-dimensional and abacus graphic representations (Fig. 8), will allow to dynamically manage information and data useful for the realization of parts of the file. The possibility of managing information related to spaces, with the automatic mapping of areas and destinations of use, finds multiple functions within the building file: from the management of areas for leases to the mapping of destinations of use up to the analysis of the exploitation of spaces (Fig. 9).

However, although the use of BIM for the realization of the digital building file turns out to be very easy and immediate, the BIM environment still turns out to be too rigid for the temporal management of the building process, and the realization of the project phases, turns out to be insufficient for the daily management of the building\(^7\): to meet this need it would be necessary to create a temporal phase for each day. It is possible to overcome this system rigidity by connecting the BIM model to a database or to a building management tool, such as BMS or CAFM, working environments in which the time attribute can be easily managed. The BIM model can be connected to the database through API\(^8\), Application Programming Interface, creating a bidirectional link between the two working environments, which will allow for up-to-date and aligned working environments. If you connect model and database to a web service created ad hoc, i.e. a software able to share data between different systems that allow the exchange of data between the BIM model and web pages, you can create an interface for consulting data that is more immediate in updating and reading by various users (Fig. 10).

**Conclusions** | The contribution is intended as a brief overview of integrated tools capable of combining, integrating and exploiting the full potential of existing representation and monitoring systems. The conventional approach foresees the application of information systems – BIM and GIS – mainly in a sectoral way, addressing specific areas and departing from the principle of globality of the ‘smart city’ model. Promoting a combined use of these approaches, and thus outlining the features of the method-
ology, would open the door to new design and planning methods capable of integrating interventions on a building and urban scale in a systemic way, favouring the interoperability of information (Avena, 2020; Mangon, 2020).

The coordinated use of information technologies for data and information management guarantees the restitution and monitoring of the human environment in the form
of a dynamic inter-scalar model. These representations would provide a concrete opportunity to serve the programming, planning, design and control of urban development interventions. By aligning with European directives, which promote the use of BIM methodology as a single tool for design, it demonstrates by extension the possibility of using privately produced models, not only to manage and monitor the building stock but also to plan future urban development according to the principles of sustainability. In fact, it would provide a tool that is consistent with the Smart City model, capable of reducing the timescales of the various processes, guaranteeing a more detailed level of information and ensuring the possibility of putting together elements that are difficult to compare. The parametric information model simultaneously ‘records, archives, preserves’ and ‘represents, simulates, prefigures’. It does so at the same time as we operate, reflecting changes and variations in real-time. For this reason, a substantial part of the time dedicated to setting up the model is devoted to the study and preparation of the graphic codes and the sensitivity of the representation.

But the model also has limitations. One has to think about the boundaries of the model and keep them in mind. It does not operate in the round on the building process. An example: the first boundary is related to the use and implementation of the model in its geometric aspect, these are only open to a certain kind of skills; if I have to intervene in a designed way on the form, attributes and relations of the model, I have to have a certain skill. The first boundary is therefore related to ‘competence’, ‘I must know how to do’. How can this first boundary be overcome? The preparation of the process must involve skills that do not necessarily have to operate directly on the geometric component of the model. I have to make the system ‘more democratic’, i.e. open up the BIM model to skills that might otherwise benefit from it.

A second edge of the boundary concerns this next aspect: from the moment in which I face a great cost for the production of the model (in terms of resources, tools and procedural apparatuses that govern the information flows), this must reverberate its effects, it must be reflected, in its use as widespread as possible (in the project, in the construction site, in the life of the work, in the file precisely); it is necessary to amortize the investment, and we do this at the moment in which we make the model accessible, appropriately approximated and reduced to only the aspects of interest) to the activities of maintenance and management of the built environment: we dilute the cost of building the model, we amplify its benefits. Finally, the digital information model presents, in this rapid and non-exhaustive treatment, another important margin of its boundary, connected to the risk of proliferating the number of parameters that must be associated with it, with a consequent reduction in the overall efficiency of the process.

Therefore, the need to overcome these limits becomes imperative, by associating another paradigm to the object-oriented one, the relational one, linked to the management of databases (Zhang et alii, 2009). This is the meeting point between model and database, this is the challenge that the setting up of a shared system of knowledge must face: the virtual exploration of the artefact within shared environments, such as
the ‘network’, requires the identification of representation techniques dedicated to the interaction between professional and artefact: the possibility of exploring the model in spatial-perceptual terms (central projection) does not necessarily translate into speed and ease of access to the individual parts and therefore to the documents related to them. The information apparatus, in particular, that relating to the internal spaces of the artefact, is often more simply accessible by using parallel projections and planes that cut through the object (sections) or appropriate methods that allow parts to be made transparent for others. These aspects, which can be defined as navigation and data access within information systems, must also respond to standardised methods and procedures, and this is a frontier for future development.
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Notes

1) Asset Management is a term that refers to the management of assets, whether this is understood as asset management, resource management or administration of assets. The meaning we refer to in our text is the management of real estate assets.

2) At least 30 definitions and interpretations can be associated with the term BIM (Building Information Model) in the literature (Matějka and Tomek, 2017). As presented within the study conducted by P. Matějka it is possible to define three categories to classify the meaning of BIM. The first category corresponds to the basic understanding of BIM as a ‘product’, understood as a virtual building model, and the acronym BIM is interpreted as both Building Information Modeling and Building Information Modelling. The second category associates the definition of BIM with the introduction of a new ‘method’ of working, understood as a set of tools and processes for workflow management. The third category defines BIM as a ‘methodology’ for managing a building throughout its life cycle.

3) The term CIM was first introduced by Khemlani (2016) envisioning a digital representation of the city that would effectively support decision-making and analysis during natural disasters. It was later taken up first by Xu et alii (2014), who define CIM as a system for efficient city management to achieve real-time, centralized, and accessible sharing of information about various urban systems to improve the overall efficiency of urban management; and then by Amorim (2016) introduces City Information Modeling (CIM) as a system focused on city management, building design, planning, and monitoring, and is addressed as supporting the management of smart city infrastructure (de Souza and Bueno, 2019).
4) The Virtual Singapore project is available at: nrf.gov.sg/programmes/virtual-singapore [Accessed 25 November 2020]. Virtual Singapore includes 3D semantic modelling of buildings and infrastructure, including detailed information such as material representation; geometric attributes of terrain, water bodies, vegetation, transportation infrastructure, etc.

5) For example, in addition to the case of the city of Singapore, we cite the study by Dantas, Sosa and Melo, 2019.

6) The Italian Draft Law n. 4339 bis, of 30/11/1999, ‘Disposizioni in materia di regolazione del mercato edilizio e istituzione del fascicolo di fabbricato’ (Provisions on the regulation of the construction market and the establishment of the file of the building), in Article 1 states: 1) It is established, concerning each building, the file of the building. This file is prepared, updated no more than ten years and kept by the owner or administrator of the condominium. On the file are noted the information related to the building of identification, design, structural, plant, to achieve a suitable framework of knowledge from, where possible, the construction phases of the same, and are recorded changes made compared to the original configuration, with particular reference to the static components, functional and plant. 2) The production of the file of the building, duly updated, is a prerequisite for the issue of permits or certifications of municipal jurisdiction relating to the entire building or individual parts thereof. At the time of the conclusion or renewal of lease agreements, as well as in case of alienation of the building or individual building units is made, by the owner and the administrator of the condominium, a declaration about the fulfilment of the obligations under this law. 3) The compilation of the file of the building provides a qualified technician based on technical-administrative documentation provided by the owner or administrator of the condominium or, if necessary, after the acquisition of additional knowledge, surveys and measurements. 4) The acquisition at public offices, at the central and local level, of the technical-administrative documentation necessary for the preparation of the file of the building, takes place without charge for the party concerned. For more information, see: senato.it/leg/13/BGT/Testi/Ddlpres/00004628.htm [Accessed 25 November 2020].

7) BIM technology is based on the 3D modelling of the building and the possibility of expanding the representation of the building to 4D, 5D, 6D and 7D, as also defined within the UNI 11337 standard. In particular, the dimensions added to the 3D model can be summarized as: 4D – Temporal Management – the introduction of the time factor, allows to plan the phases of life that characterize the artifact; 5D – Economic Management – the quantification of costs: through the 3D model and 4D it is possible to have control over the costs in the different phases of life of the building; 6D – Life Cycle and Maintenance – the management of the artifact during the phases of the life cycle, useful for the evaluation of the components that constitute the artifact: from systems to finishes; 7D – Sustainability – Sustainable Development – with this dimension there is the possibility to introduce the analysis of energy consumption of the building; analyzing from the earliest stages of design the energy performance that allows to adopt more efficient and effective technical solutions in order to obtain a manufactured product with the lowest energy consumption and ensuring the sustainability of the project (Barbagallo, 2018).

8) To link the BIM model to the database, it will also be possible to use plugins made ad hoc by the different software houses – for example for BIM models processed in Revit Architecture, it will be possible to use Revit DB Link, which allows managing a relationship between a Revit project and a Microsoft Access, Microsoft Excel or ODBC database.
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