# POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

The ice-water interface and protein stability: a review

Original

The ice-water interface and protein stability: a review / Arsiccio, A.; Pisano, R. - In: JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES. - ISSN 0022-3549. - STAMPA. - 109:7(2020), pp. 2116-2130. [10.1016/j.xphs.2020.03.022]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2862754 since: 2021-01-18T16:53:08Z

*Publisher:* Elsevier B.V.

Published DOI:10.1016/j.xphs.2020.03.022

Terms of use: openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright Elsevier postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

© 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.xphs.2020.03.022

(Article begins on next page)

1	Authors' post-prints
2	Andrea Arsiccio, Roberto Pisano (2020). The Ice-Water Interface and Protein Stability: A Review. Journal of
3	Pharmaceutical Sciences 109(7):2116-2130.
4	* Corresponding author: roberto.pisano@polito.it
5	

# **6** The Ice-Water Interface and Protein Stability: A Review

7 Andrea Arsiccio, Roberto Pisano\*

- 9 Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, 24 corso Duca degli Abruzzi, Torino,
- 10 10129 Italy
- 11
- 12 \*E-mail of the corresponding author: roberto.pisano@polito.it

# 13 ABSTRACT

The ice-water interface is commonly encountered in our life, and comes into play in a wide number of natural phenomena. Here, attention will be focused on its effects on protein stability, with specific reference to the case of pharmaceutical proteins. This field represents a fascinating, and not yet fully understood, subject of investigation. Some background information on the ice-water phase diagram, as well as to the mechanisms of nucleation and crystal growth, will be provided. We will eventually discuss the effect of ice on protein activity, reviewing the mechanisms of ice-induced denaturation that have been proposed so far and discussing the strategies that may help prevent, or minimize, undesired loss of therapeutic activity.

21

22 Keywords: Crystallization, Proteins, Freeze-drying, Stabilization

23

# 24 1. INTRODUCTION

25 The ice surface is part of our everyday life and represents one of the most routinely encountered solid 26 interfaces. Despite being a very common and abundant material on Earth, ice shows unique and intriguing 27 properties that make it a fascinating subject of investigation. For this reason it plays a crucial role in a variety 28 of fields; it is central in glaciology and snow physics, but is also relevant in astrophysics and atmospheric 29 science, oceanography, cryo-biology and nucleation studies. Thanks to its availability, accessibility and 30 inherent safety (i.e., absence of toxicity, flammability, explosivity), it represents a superb model system for 31 phase transitions and deformation phenomena. The range of different scales involved in ice research, from 32 molecular phenomena to atmospheric events, is impressive, and clearly shows the transversality of this 33 topic.

It is therefore not surprising that the number of studies and publications in this area is already massive, and growing fast. Several books have been written on the physics and chemistry of ice [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. More than 3 million papers published to date deal with ice chemistry and physics, and an international symposium (the International Conference on the Physics and Chemistry of Ice, PCI [7]) has been focusing on all aspects of ice research for more than 55 years.

Considering the wide range of topics related to ice research discussed above, it would be impossible to satisfactorily cover all of them. This review will therefore focus on the effect of the ice-water interface on protein stability, a specific aspect that is more closely related with the authors' expertise.

Pharmaceutical proteins are becoming increasingly important in the treatment of a variety of human
diseases, and are commonly stored in the frozen or freeze dried state to reduce risks of degradation before

administration. Lyophilized formulations are generally preferred over frozen ones, because do not require to
maintain a controlled cold chain. About 30% of currently marketed biopharmaceuticals are introduced as
lyophilized products. Liquid formulations are also commonly used, and comprise about 50-60% of all biologic
dosage forms. Liquid formulations are preferred because of the low cost of manufacturing and ease of
administration, but cannot be used for very unstable molecules [8, 9].

Both freezing and freeze-drying involve the interaction of the protein with the ice-water surface, and this may affect the final therapeutic potency, as will be discussed in the following. Understanding the mechanisms and effects of protein-ice interaction would be essential for numerous applications, especially in the pharmaceutical industry where this knowledge may help to minimize undesired loss of activity.

However, many questions remain open in this field, and a clear picture of the problem is not available yet.
We will show how experimental evidence suggests the central role of the ice surface on protein stability
during freezing, even though no definitive explanation is available about the underlying mechanisms.

56 We aim here to provide an as comprehensive as possible review on this subject, with the hope that it 57 could serve as a basis to further advance knowledge in this area.

In order to do this, some background information will first be provided. The crystal structure of ice will be discussed, focusing in particular on hexagonal ice, which represents the most common form in everyday application. The concept of the quasi-liquid layer (QLL, also called liquid-like layer), i.e., the thin film of liquid water that is formed on the surface of ice crystals and which shows a peculiar behavior, will then be introduced. Some hints to the mechanisms of growth and nucleation will also be provided, introducing the experimental techniques that have been proposed in the literature to monitor these processes.

64 Finally, the effect of ice on protein stability will be addressed. Experimental evidence of ice-induced 65 destabilization will be presented, covering the extensive literature on this topic. Possible mechanisms at the basis of these observations will be reviewed, also trying to clarify whether direct protein adsorption is 66 67 necessary or not. In this context, some space will be given to two very peculiar classes of molecules, i.e., 68 antifreeze and ice nucleating proteins, whose unique behavior at the ice surface may help shed some light 69 on the freezing of biopharmaceuticals, as well. Finally, the relevant variables that influence ice-induced 70 denaturation of proteins, and may help to prevent or modulate it, will be described. Among them, the effect of 71 surfactants, cryoprotectants, protein concentration, cooling/thawing rate and nucleation temperature will be 72 covered.

73

74

### 75 2. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF ICE

# 76 2.1 Different Ice Polymorphs

Liquid water can freeze into several ice forms, depending on the temperature (*T*) and pressure (*P*) at which crystallization is occurring. As a result, at least 17 crystalline ice phases have been experimentally observed to date [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The molecular structure of these phases is known, mostly thanks to neutrondiffraction crystallographic studies. The large number of possible structures is mostly due to the open tetrahedral arrangement of water, which can adapt to very different environments.

In these structures, each molecule is hydrogen-bonded to four neighboring water molecules. While in lowpressure forms, such as the common hexagonal ice Ih, the O-O-O angles are close to the ideal value of 109.47°, these angles are distorted in higher pressure structures. For example, they range between 80° and 129° in ice II. When the pressure becomes very high, interpenetrating networks are eventually formed, as is the case, for instance, of ice VII [12].

While the oxygen atoms show topological order (i.e., a tetrahedral coordination) in each phase, all the polymorphs that can be directly crystallized from the liquid are hydrogen-disordered. These include the socalled liquidus phases, namely ices Ih, III, V, VI, VII, and the two metastable phases IV and XII (see Figure 1).

When temperature is reduced, these disordered phases tend to order and transform into fully hydrogenordered structures. However, hydrogen ordering needs molecular reorientations processes, which are hindered at low temperature. Therefore, only ices III and VII undergo this transition spontaneously, ordering into ices IX and VIII, respectively. By contrast, the formation of ices XI, XIII, XIV and XV needs to be promoted by doping with bases or acids [16, 14, 17]. In this context, ice II is peculiar, because it is the only hydrogen-ordered phase that shows no hydrogen-disordered counterpart [18]. At the same time, no hydrogen-ordered analogue has been identified so far for ices XVI and XVII.

The different structures of ice mentioned so far are also shown in Figure 2. It is evident that the ice crystal phases differ widely. For instance, the crystals structure may be hexagonal (ices Ih and XVII), cubic (ices VII, X, XVI), rhombohedral (ices II and IV), tetragonal (ices III, VI, VIII, IX, XII), monoclinic (ices V, XIII), or orthorhombic (ices XI, XIV, XV). Moreover, while ices Ih, XI, II, and XVII show open structures and relatively low density, the ices VII, VIII and X network is extremely dense.

Amorphous structures of ice were also identified, including amorphous solid water (ASW) [20], hyperquenched glassy water (HGW) [21], very high-density (VHDA) [22], high-density (HDA) [23] and lowdensity (LDA) amorphous ice [24]. In the past years, neutron-diffraction techniques showed that the three

lower density forms, namely ASW, HGW and LDA, share a very similar structure, which resembles a
disordered, but tetrahedrally coordinated, fully hydrogen bonded network [25]. In contrast, the two denser
forms, HDA and VHDA, are structurally different.

The landscape of possible ice structures is therefore extremely complex. However, the picture seems not complete yet, and many more ice polymorphs may be observed in the future. Several ice phases have been predicted by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations or density functional theory (DFT) computations [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 13]. For instance, 74963 potential ice structures have been recently identified by DFT [35], even though it is not clear if these structures may be prepared experimentally.

114

# 115 2.2 A Focus on Hexagonal Ice Ih

As previously mentioned, structure Ih is the ordinary form of ice, which is most easily observed in common experimental setup. Ice Ih displays a hexagonal lattice, relatively open and low-density. As a result, the large hexagonal rings characteristics of ice Ih leave almost enough room for an interstitial water molecule. In the ice Ih unit cell, the top and bottom faces are basal planes {0001}, while the six equivalent side faces are called primary prism faces {1010}. Secondary prism faces { 1210} are also observed (see Figure 3).

121 Along with ice Ih, another metastable, hydrogen-disordered phase of ice exists at ambient pressure, 122 namely, ice Ic, which is characterized by a cubic crystal [36, 2, 3, 37, 38], and shows a lower nucleation 123 barrier compared to the Ih form [39]. Ice Ic is generally observed either in the Earth's atmosphere and in 124 extra-terrestrial environments [40], or in small-size droplets at very low temperature [41]. It was further shown 125 that ice Ic can be formed directly in the bulk water phase of a vitrified solution, for instance glucose at low 126 temperature [42]. More recently, it was also hypothesized that ice Ic may be an intermediate phase in the 127 pathway of heterogeneous ice nucleation [43]. However, it is not clear at present if fully cubic ice I (ice Ic) 128 can be prepared. In fact, it was observed from diffraction data that ice Ic samples were not entirely cubic, but contained hexagonal stacking, as well [44]. This structure, where the hexagonal and the cubic stakings are 129 130 mixed, is called stacking-disordered ice I (ice Isd), and is hydrogen-disordered. Interestingly, it was 131 suggested that Isd may be even more stable than pure Ih ice [45]. No hydrogen-ordered counterpart has 132 been identified so far for ice Isd.

- 133
- 134
- 135
- 136

# 137 2.3 The Quasi-Liquid Layer (QLL)

138 Ice interfaces may be disordered even below the equilibrium melting temperature, resulting in the so-called 139 surface premelting [46, 3, 47, 12]. A quasi-liquid layer (QLL), that represents an intermediate state between 140 the solid and bulk liquid, is therefore formed.

The extension of the QLL increases rapidly as temperature approaches the equilibrium melting value [46, 48, 49, 50], because thermal fluctuations of the intermolecular distance become larger in these conditions. The actual thickness of the QLL as a function of temperature is not well known, but, as a rough estimate, it should be comparable to the lattice spacing at  $T \approx -10$  °C, and should rapidly increase approaching the melting temperature [46].

146

# 147 3. ICE NUCLEATION AND GROWTH

#### 148

# 3.1 Fundamentals of Nucleation

During the freezing process, water needs to nucleate first, and the ice nuclei which are formed in this stagesubsequently grow to form crystals. In this context, nucleation plays a central role [51].

According to the classic nucleation theory (CNT) [52], the free energy change  $\Delta G$  (see Figure 4) associated with the formation of a nucleus in a supercooled solution is the sum of a negative bulk contribution ( $-V \Delta g_{\nu}$ , where *V* is the volume of the nucleus), and a positive surface term ( $A\gamma$ , where *A* is the surface of the nucleus, and  $\gamma$  the interfacial tension),

155

$$\Delta G = -V \Delta g_{\nu} + A \gamma \tag{1}$$

156

For pure water, the ice/liquid interfacial tension is generally assumed to be on the order of 0.033 J/m<sup>2</sup>
 near the triple point, dropping to approximately 0.020 J/m<sup>2</sup> at -40°C [53].

Foreign bodies, e.g. the walls of the container, impurities or other particles present in the solution, can lower the interfacial free energy and reduce the energy barrier for nucleation. In this case, heterogeneous nucleation ensues, and a correlation factor f may be used to quantify the decrease in the energy barrier [54, 55, 56],

$$f = \Delta G_{heter}^* / \Delta G_{homo}^* \tag{2}$$

163

164 where  $\Delta G^*_{heter}$  is the heterogeneous nucleation barrier (see Figure 4).

165 The factor *f* depends on the chemical compatibility between the nucleus and the substrate, which can be 166 described in terms of the contact angle  $\theta$ . Moreover, it also depends on the radius of curvature  $r_s$  of the 167 substrate. Defining two new variables  $m = (\gamma_{sf} - \gamma_{sc})/\gamma_{cf} \approx \cos \theta$  and  $r' = r_s/r_{cr}$ , it is therefore possible to 168 write f = f(m, r'). In the previous equation for m,  $\gamma_{sf}$ ,  $\gamma_{sc}$  and  $\gamma_{cf}$  are the interfacial free tension values 169 between the substrate and the fluid, the substrate and the crystal or the crystal and the fluid, respectively.

While Ih ice is thermodynamically stable below 0 °C, homogeneous nucleation does not occur spontaneously until very low temperatures. It has been suggested that the onset of homogeneous nucleation may be -40 °C [57, 58, 59].

However, computational simulations predicted that no ice crystals would spontaneously form above -71 °C [60], and also experimental results suggest that homogeneous nucleation scarcely occurs even far below -40 °C [61]. The possibility to reach this high degree of supercooling is due to the high energy barrier for homogeneous nucleation.

177 Because of this, ice crystallization is generally controlled by heterogeneous nucleation events. In this 178 case, the presence of foreign particles lowers the energy barrier by decreasing the interfacial free energy.

179

# 180 3.2 Understanding the Growth of Ice Crystals

181 Ice crystals growth is governed by two physical phenomena, namely, surface attachment kinetics and the182 diffusion processes within the solution [47].

183 A key variable in this context is the degree of supercooling, which is often defined as  $\Delta T_{surf} = T_{eq} - T_{surf}$ , where 184  $T_{eq}$  is the equilibrium freezing temperature, and  $T_{surf}$  the temperature at the ice-water surface during growth. 185 The growth rate  $v_g$  can then be written as,

186

$$v_g \approx K_g \Delta T_{surf}$$
 (3)

187

where  $K_g$  is a kinetic coefficient. Ice crystal growth is influenced by the different growth rates on each facet, which in turn depends on their different step energies.

The step energy is defined as the energy required to create the edge of a one-molecule-high terrace on a faceted surface. For instance, the ice/water step energy on the basal face near the triple point is about 5.6 x  $10^{-13}$  J/m [62, 63] while is essentially zero for the prism faces. Experimental data suggest that the most stable ice-water interface at 0 °C is the secondary prismatic face (see Figure 3), followed by the primary prismatic plane and, as a distant third, by the basal facet. This results in the typical hexagonal shape of ice lh[64].

However, the morphology of the ice formed is extremely variable. For instance, it was reported to vary from circular disks when  $T_{surf} < 1$  °C, to dendritic plates when  $T_{surf} < 2$  °C, unbranched needle-like structures if  $T_{surf} \approx 4$  °C, branched needle-like structures if  $T_{surf} \approx 8$  °C and platelets if 10 °C <  $T_{surf} < 30$  °C [65]. Either the surface attachment kinetics, or the mass and heat transfer through the system may be the controlling mechanism, thus determining the final expression of the kinetic coefficient.

201 Figure 5 shows an example of a typical temperature profile during freezing of a pharmaceutical solution. 202 The nucleation and growth phases have been highlighted. The liquid solution is first supercooled to a value 203 below the equilibrium freezing point (segment A-\*). Supercooling represents a metastable state, during which 204 water molecules tend to form clusters with long-living hydrogen bonds [66]. However, these clusters are still 205 unstable, and break up quickly, as discussed in section 3.1. When the temperature is low enough to allow 206 crossing of the energy barrier for the nucleation process, ice crystallization occurs rapidly in the whole 207 product (point \* in Figure 5). In all pharmaceutical solutions heterogeneous nucleation is observed, and the 208 degree of supercooling often lies in the range of 10-15 °C or more [67]. A sharp increase in product 209 temperature to a value close to the equilibrium freezing point is observed at the onset of nucleation. The ice 210 crystals growth (segment B-C) then proceeds through the addition of molecules to the interface. Here, the 211 latent heat of crystallization is almost compensated for by the heat removed through the already frozen 212 product, and the temperature remains nearly constant. Finally, to ensure complete solidification, the frozen 213 product is typically cooled down to -40 / -50 °C (segment C-D).

214

215

216

# 4. MONITORING, PREDICTING AND CONTROLLING THE ICE CRYSTAL SIZE

# 4.1 Monitoring the Freezing Process

Larger ice crystals are generally preferred for protein-based pharmaceuticals [68], as will be discussed in detail in section 5, because this results in a smaller ice/freeze-concentrate surface area, and therefore reduced risk of adsorption.

The extension of the ice/freeze-concentrate surface is, therefore, a fundamental parameter for protein activity preservation during freezing, and should be strictly controlled. However, at present, this task cannot be easily achieved.

223 Generally, the parameters that mostly influence the ice/freeze-concentrate surface area are the cooling 224 rate used during freezing, and the nucleation temperature. A high nucleation temperature, and/or a low cooling rate, result in larger crystals, and hence smaller surface area [69, 70]. In this context, it should be considered that, in many fields, the range of cooling rates that can be explored is limited by equipment capabilities. For instance, common freeze dryers cannot achieve very fast cooling rates (e.g.,  $> 1 \div 2$  K min<sup>-</sup> 1), and are therefore limited to slow cooling protocols.

The temperature profile during freezing of a pharmaceutical solution, which gives information about both nucleation temperature and cooling rate, may be monitored using several tools. For instance, thermocouples or resistance thermal detectors (RTDs) may be used. Thermocouples are typical in lab-scale equipment, while RTDs are common in production-scale ones because are more robust and can be sterilized [71, 72]. Another option for temperature monitoring are the optical fiber sensors [73], where the sensing element shows a temperature-dependent refractive index. Alternatively, if wire connections should be avoided, passive transponders may be used, like the temperature remote interrogation system (TEMPRIS).

However, the presence of a sensor within the sample undergoing freezing could affect its ice nucleation behavior, therefore modifying the ice crystal size and ice-water surface area. For this reason, plasma sputtering was proposed to embed thermocouples within the containers walls, so as to avoid interaction with the product [74, 75]. Optical fiber sensors may be also used noninvasively, for instance fusing the fiber in the container bottom or embedding it in the controlled-temperature shelf where freezing is occurring [73].

Recently, the use of an infrared thermocamera was proposed to noninvasively monitor the freezing process [76], and was successfully combined with mathematical modelling to predict the final ice crystal size [77]. However, this method directly measures the temperature of the vial glass, and a model needs to be used to extract the actual product temperature [76]. Through vial impedance spectroscopy (TVIS) [78, 79] is another promising approach for the noninvasive monitoring of freezing, which exploits the variations in the bulk electrical properties during phase transformations.

These techniques make it possible to monitor the temperature profile, and some of them also the position of the freezing front and therefore the duration of the process. However, coupling with a modelling approach is needed to obtain information on the ice/freeze-concentrate surface area extension, as will be

described in section 4.2.

During freezing, liquid water is separated as ice crystals, and the solutes that may be present in the system cryo-concentrate, forming a viscous matrix. If the ice crystals are then removed by sublimation, as it is done in the context of freeze drying, a porous structure is eventually formed in the freeze-concentrated matrix. The few techniques that are currently available to directly measure the extension of the ice/freezeconcentrate interface rely on the fact that the ice crystal size after freezing coincides with the pore size after

lyophilization, provided that neither collapse nor shrinkage is observed during drying. Therefore, the specific surface area of the dried cake provides a measure of the extension of the ice-water interface, and could be obtained by BET [80]. Scanning electron microscopy of lyophilized products (see Figure 6) may also be used to obtain information on the average pore size  $D_p$ , which could then be linked to the ice-water surface area  $S_{iw}$ , for instance assuming that the crystals (and therefore also the pores) have cylindrical shapes,

261

$$S_{iw} = \frac{4m}{D_n \rho} \tag{4}$$

where *m* is the mass of crystallizable water, and  $\rho$  the ice density. Image analysis could help in the automation of SEM image analysis, as well [81, 82].

Microscopy observation of frozen products was also suggested [83], and another promising experimental technique is the X-ray micro-computed tomography, which allows the reconstruction of the entire porous internal structure of lyophilized samples [84].

Monitoring the freezing process, and its impact on product morphology, is therefore possible. In the next section, we will briefly describe how these measurements may be integrated, or used to validate, modelling approaches for the prediction of the ice/freeze-concentrate surface area.

270

# 271

# 4.2 Modelling Approaches to Predict the Ice/Freeze-Concentrate Surface Area

272

The prediction of ice crystal size generally requires knowledge of the temperature profiles within the solution being frozen. These could be measured, as described in the previous section, or extracted from simulations [85, 86], and may then be combined with empirical [87, 88, 89], or mechanistic [90, 77] models for crystal sizing. A simulation approach, that takes into account the variation in temperature during freezing, as well as cryoconcentration effects and the ice/freeze-concentrate interface formation, has been recently proposed to predict the risk of both cold- and ice-induced denaturation of proteins [91].

Numerical methods have also been developed to reproduce growth morphologies of ice crystals, for instance phase-field models [92, 93, 94], that should apply well to the growth of ice from liquid water [47].

Our understanding of the effect of the ice surface on protein stability may also substantially benefit from a deeper knowledge of the molecular-scale phenomena involved. For this purpose, molecular dynamics simulations represent a promising tool, which has been extensively used to simulate the ice-water interface

[95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103], and may provide some interesting information about protein/ice
interaction, as will be discussed in detail in section 5.

The ability to predict the extension of the ice/freeze-concentrate surface is not enough if we cannot then control it, at least to some extent. This will therefore be the subject of the next section.

288

# 289 4.3 Controlling the Ice/Freeze-Concentrate Surface Area

290 If we consider that the ice/freeze-concentrate interface is a major source of protein instability during freezing, 291 it is clear that the possibility to control its extension would be extremely beneficial. In principle, adsorption 292 and denaturation phenomena may be minimized by promoting the formation of a small surface area. This 293 could be achieved reducing the cooling rate, and inducing nucleation at a high temperature. However, while 294 the cooling rate can be easily adjusted, the nucleation temperature is generally a stochastic variable, and its 295 value is randomly distributed [104]. This results in huge heterogeneity, and limited possibility to control 296 product morphology. This heterogeneity is hardly compatible with the stringent requirements of the 297 pharmaceutical industry, and several techniques have been developed over the years to address this 298 problem [67, 105, 106, 107].

An approach that may be used to increase the ice crystal size is annealing, which consists in holding the frozen product at a temperature above the glass transition for a given amount of time. During annealing, large ice crystals grow at the expense of smaller ones [108].

A number of techniques have also been developed, that allow control of the nucleation temperature. For instance, electrofreezing [109, 110] uses a high voltage pulse to trigger nucleation in supercooled water. However, individual electrodes need to be inserted in each sample, and in direct contact with the product, which is not compatible with GMP (Good Manufacturing Practice) conditions.

In the case of the ice-fog technique [111, 112, 113, 114, 115] nucleation is triggered by the introduction of
 small ice particles into the vials. These particles act as foreign bodies and promote heterogeneous
 nucleation, as discussed in section 3.1.

Another possible solution is ultrasound-induced ice nucleation [116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121], where ultrasounds are used to start the nucleation process in a noninvasive way. However, it is not yet clear whether this technique may be applied to sensitive proteins, as the localized high temperatures generated by cavitation, and the bubble themselves, may potentially favor aggregation or other denaturation routes.

Two methods have also been developed, that are based on variations in pressure within the chamber. In the high-pressure-shift or depressurization method [122, 123, 124], the pressure is first increased to 1.5-4.5

bar, and then rapidly brought back to the atmospheric value. In the second approach, known as vacuum induced surface freezing, or vacuum induced nucleation [125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130], pressure is reduced to induce nucleation by promoting evaporation from the surface of the product, and then quickly released to avoid undesired boiling or blow-up of the formulation.

Large ice crystals are obtained when using these controlled nucleation techniques, and a possible impact of that on protein stability will be addressed in section 5.4.

321

# 322 5. ICE AND PROTEIN STABILITY

#### 323

# 5.1 Evidence of Ice Effect on Protein Stability

324 It is well known that the freezing process may have detrimental effects for a protein, leading to denaturation, 325 aggregation and loss of biological activity. Numerous phenomena could contribute to the undesired 326 conformational changes of the protein, including cold denaturation, cryo-concentration, or the formation

327 of ice crystals [131, 132].

328 The physical environment of the protein is strongly affected by the cryo-concentration process, which 329 induces variations in ionic strength and relative composition of solutes. pH shifts due to crystallization of 330 buffer components [133], or phase separation phenomena [134, 135] may occur. On the one hand, if the 331 protein being processed is stable in a narrow range of pHs, the precipitation of buffer components may lead 332 to undesired denaturation. Actually, it was even shown that freeze-drying may result in remarkable changes 333 in apparent acidity even without buffer crystallization. These changes in the apparent acidity may be related 334 to changes in pK a upon water removal, or preferential inclusion of a basic component by ice crystals, and 335 were observed to correlate with the degradation rates of acid-sensitive compounds [136]. On the other hand, 336 the generation of a new interface during phase separation, as well as the possible partitioning of the protein 337 into a phase with low concentration of stabilizers, may have detrimental consequences. The rate of some 338 degradative reactions, such as hydrolysis and oxidation, may even increase during the freezing process

[137, 138, 139]. Recently it was proposed that the formation of water clusters at subzero temperature
catalyzes proton transfer reactions, that are involved in many chemical degradation pathways like hydrolysis
and deamidation [140].

At low temperature the hydration of nonpolar residues also becomes less unfavorable, and this leads to the possibility for water molecules to penetrate the protein structure, promoting the exposure of its hydrophobic core and the partial loss of secondary structure. This phenomenon is referred to as cold unfolding, and is driven by the reduced enthalpic barrier against water-hydrophobes interaction that occurs

when lowering the temperature. The result is the formation of compact, partially unfolded states of the protein, characterized by a high degree of solvent penetration [141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147]. A possible way to study the effects of supercooled water on protein stability is the confinement in nanoporous matrices, that inhibits crystallization. Exploiting this technique, it has been shown that the structural transitions of proteins are dictated by the thermodynamic and kinetic modifications of their hydration water [148, 149].

However, the formation of ice crystals was shown to represent the most critical destabilizing factor. A dramatic decrease in the average phosphorescence lifetime of the Trp-48 residue was detected in solutions of the azurin protein upon ice formation [150]. This suggested that protein destabilization occurred as soon as ice crystals were formed.

The denaturation of several proteins during freeze-thawing, including ciliary neurotropic factor (CNTF), phosphofructokinase (PFK), LDH, glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH), interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra) and tumor necrosis factor binding protein (TNFbp), was found to be mostly related to the increase in the area of the ice-water surface [151].

Lower recovery of catalase, β-galactosidase and LDH activity was observed during fast freezing [152], and the formation of insoluble human growth hormone (hGH) aggregates was also found to increase with increasing cooling rates [153]. This occurs because more rapid cooling leads to smaller ice crystals, which have a greater surface area to volume ratio than larger crystals.

Sarciaux et al. [154] proposed a mechanism of aggregate formation involving denaturation of bovine immunoglobulin (IgG) at the ice/freeze-concentrate interface. This denaturation was reversible upon freezethawing, but became irreversible after freeze-drying and reconstitution. Also in this case, quick cooling resulted in increased aggregation. Increasing the protein concentration improved the percentage of recovered protein [152, 154]. This occurs because the extension of the ice/freeze-concentrate interface is finite, and the number of protein molecules adsorbed at the surface cannot exceed a given value. When this value is reached, increasing the bulk concentration reduces the percentage of adsorbed

371 molecules [154].

Exploiting the change in Trp fluorescence between the native and denatured state, it was observed that the stability of the azurin mutant C112S from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was remarkably perturbed in ice [155]. Moreover, the extent of destabilization depended mainly on the size of the liquid water pool in equilibrium with ice. At the same time, it was observed that protein-ice interactions increased the solvent accessible surface area of the native fold, and/or decreased that of the denatured conformation [155].

In line with this observation, a remarkable loss of activity was observed in frozen lactate dehydrogenase
 (LDH) matrices. However, concentrated solutions at the same temperature and composition, but without ice,

379 resulted in no degradation, suggesting that ice formation is the controlling factor for protein

denaturation [68].

Similarly, an infrared spectroscopy investigation of LDH and human immune globulin (IgG) revealed an increase in intermolecular  $\beta$ -sheet structures close to the ice crystals, which is indicative of aggregation [156]. In contrast, the infrared spectra for these two protein molecules collected distant from the surface of ice crystals were very similar to spectra collected from the initial solution. This suggests that the vicinity of the ice surface is key to promote conformational changes. In a similar study, the aggregation of IgG2 at -10 °C was related to the formation of the ice/freeze-concentrate interface [157].

Molecular dynamics simulations of hGH also suggested a change in protein conformation at the ice surface, with a significant increase in the non-polar surface area exposed, while no notable conformational change was detected in unfrozen solutions at the same temperature [158]. Partial unfolding of the GB1 hairpin was similarly observed in metadynamics simulations at the ice-water interface [159].

391 Overall, these results suggest that prevention of ice-induced denaturation represents a key issue 392 whenever a protein is subjected to a freezing process. In the next section, we will review the mechanisms 393 that have been proposed so far in the literature to explain this phenomenon.

394

### 395 **5.**

## 5.2 How Does the Ice Interface Affect Protein Stability?

396 In the previous section, evidence about the negative impact of ice formation on protein stability was 397 presented. However, a question that spontaneously arises from the previous discussion is: what are the reasons at the basis of the ice-induced denaturation of proteins? What makes it difficult to answer is the 398 399 absence of chemical differences between water and ice. Moreover, the structural differences are also 400 minimal, as both water and ice are composed of a tetrahedral network of hydrogen bonds. Why two 401 substances that are so similar would affect protein behavior in such a different way? This represents an 402 intriguing and complex field of investigation, also because not many experimental techniques are currently 403 available to fully address this problem.

404 Strambini and Gabellieri [150] suggested that the conformational changes of proteins may arise from the 405 direct interaction between the protein and the ice surface, as schematized in Figure 7a. In this context, 406 accumulation of milk proteins [160] and albumin [161, 162] near the ice surface was detected, using either 407 light and transmission electron microscopy or confocal Raman microspectroscopy. Recombinant human

interferon- $\gamma$  (rhIFN- $\gamma$ ) was found to accumulate at the ice/liquid interface during lyophilization, but with significantly smaller intensity than at the air/liquid surface [163]. Also, accumulation of rhIFN- $\gamma$  to the ice/liquid surface alone was found to be not responsible for aggregation, and a subsequent drying step was necessary to induce particle formation [164].

412 However, unless the protein has an antifreeze behavior (see section 5.3), there is no real evidence of 413 direct protein adsorption onto the ice crystals. By contrast, solid-state NMR studies seem to indicate that the 414 hydration shell of non-antifreeze proteins does not freeze below the freezing temperature of the bulk solution [165, 166]. For instance, ubiquitin keeps its entire hydration shell even at -35 °C, and this prevents direct 415 416 interaction with the ice surface [167]. It is generally believed that most proteins should behave like ubiquitin, and that their hydration shell should remain in the liquid form until a temperature which is much lower than 417 418 the equilibrium freezing value [168]. No direct interaction with ice would hence be possible above this 419 temperature.

High-resolution synchrotron X-ray diffraction results further suggest that bovine serum albumin tends to partition into the quasi-liquid layer above ice crystals (see section 2.3), but without being directly adsorbed onto the ice surface [169]. A similar behavior was observed for two other proteins, namely recombinant human albumin and a monoclonal antibody, in a more recent investigation [170].

A different behavior is generally observed only in presence of antifreeze proteins (AFPs) [170, 171, 172], whose peculiar behavior will be briefly addressed in section 5.3. Therefore, another explanation for the iceinduced denaturation of proteins, which is not related to direct adsorption at the ice-water surface, should exist.

428 In this context, it should be remembered that the physical microenvironment of a protein confined within 429 the QLL may be substantially different compared to the bulk. More specifically, the stabilizer may remain in 430 the bulk, and may not be able to exert anymore its cryoprotective effect. In this context, Bhatnagar et al. 431 [170] proposed a picture of the protein/ice interaction where the concentration gradients in the liquid phase adjacent to the ice crystals depend on the mobility of the species. Larger species tend to concentrate closer 432 433 to the ice surface, because of their lower mobility. At the same time, the pH in the QLL may decrease 434 because of the negative charge on the surface of ice crystals [170, 173]. The electrical double layer which is 435 eventually formed may result in an increased concentration of cations, including protons (see Figure 7b).

Another possible explanation involves the entrapment of air at the ice surface. Using fluorescence microscopy [156], it was observed that several air bubbles may remain trapped between ice crystals. Air bubbles have also been observed by optical microscopy [174] and small angle neutron scattering [175].

Therefore, proteins may denature at this hydrophobic air-water interface, as outlined in Figure 7c. A similar mechanism was also proposed in a recent work [132], where it was pointed out that the growth of ice crystals leads to an increase in the concentration of dissolved air gasses. The cryo-concentration of oxygen may accelerate oxidation reactions during freezing [176, 177]. It was also estimated that the extension of the air bubbles interface may be significantly larger than the already existing interface on the top of the container [132].

445 Recently, a disordered population of ice crystals was detected by high-resolution synchrotron X-ray 446 diffraction in frozen solutions of recombinant human albumin, lysozyme, an insect AFP and a monoclonal 447 antibody [170]. This disordered population of ice crystals was identified as a high pressure form of ice. 448 tentatively Ice III or Ice IX (see section 2.1). This observation was explained by the volume expansion that 449 may occur during ice formation, and which may result in mechanical stresses and high local pressure. 450 Values of freeze-induced pressure exceeding 2 kBar have been theoretically estimated [132]. Pressure-451 induced unfolding of the protein may hence occur [178], and the combination of elevated pressure and low 452 temperature may have a synergetic effect on protein unfolding [179] (see Figure 7d).

453 Finally, in a recent molecular dynamics investigation of protein L [180], the effect of the ice surface was 454 explained as an enhancement of cold denaturation phenomena. The protein was found to be destabilized in 455 presence of an ice slab, compared to the bulk solution at the same temperature but without ice. No direct 456 interaction between the protein and the surface was evidenced, but the observed denaturing effect seemed 457 to be mediated by the nearby layer of liquid (or, better, liquid-like) water molecules. These molecules were 458 significantly slowed down by the presence of ice, and could form a significantly larger number of hydrogen bonds with the protein, especially with the nonpolar patches that are generally poorly hydrated. These 459 hydrogen bonds were also remarkably strong, and promoted the solvent-penetration of protein L and 460 consequent exposure of its hydrophobic core, which is a common feature of cold denaturation [147] (see 461 462 Figure 7e).

In the next sections, we will first present two very peculiar classes of molecules, namely, antifreeze and ice-nucleating proteins, whose unique behavior may help us understand the phenomenology of ice-peptide interaction. Afterwards, possible approaches to counteract ice-induced denaturation of proteins will be discussed.

- 467
- 468
- 469

470

# 5.3 The Peculiarity of Antifreeze (AFPs) and Ice-Nucleating (INPs) Proteins

In this section, attention will be devoted to two specific classes of proteins, namely, antifreeze (AFPs) and
ice-nucleating (INPs) proteins. These molecules have peculiar characteristics, and our general
understanding of protein-ice interaction may substantially benefit from a deeper analysis of their behavior.

AFPs have the ability to bind to nascent ice nuclei, therefore inhibiting their growth [181, 182]. For instance, it was reported that AFPs can inhibit the growth of both basal and prism planes of ice lh [183]. They represent the natural way many organisms protect themselves against freezing damage, by depressing, in a noncolligative way, the freezing point of water. In a broader perspective, AFPs represent a central element in the problem of ice recognition by biomolecules. What makes their role and action even more puzzling is the remarkable difference of AFP structure between different classes of organism. This indicates that the depression of the freezing point can be exerted by extremely different structural motifs.

481 A spontaneous question about AFPs is what mechanisms drive their interaction with ice. Since the ice 482 surface is characterized by the presence of OH groups, it was first proposed that the hydrophilic groups on 483 the ice-binding sites (IBS) of AFPs may hydrogen-bond with ice [182, 184]. However, many AFPs do not 484 expose H-bonding groups at their IBS. On the contrary, it was observed that hydrophobic residues are 485 largely present on the IBS [185]. It was therefore suggested that hydrophobic groups were crucial [186, 187], 486 together with a flat-binding surface [188]. However, neither H-bonding nor hydrophobic groups can be the 487 only explanation. H-bonding groups would provide affinity, but no specificity, as they tend to interact more 488 with liquid than ice water [189]. At the same time, hydrophobic groups would make it possible to achieve 489 specificity, but are not compatible enough with the hydrophilic ice surface. This implicates that both groups 490 should be involved [190].

491 Exploiting a simulation approach, it was suggested that the arrangement of water molecules in proximity 492 of the IBS may play a role in the action of AFPs [191, 192, 193]. In fact, both a slight increase in tetrahedral 493 order [194] and slower relaxation dynamics were observed in the hydration water of AFPs [195, 196]. These 494 water molecules seem to adopt a highly ordered structure, forming a clathrate-like structure, similar to ice, 495 around the ice-binding site of AFPs [190, 189]. This structure surrounds the hydrophobic groups of the IBS, 496 while being anchored at the edges by H-bonds to the polar groups. Therefore, the AFPs may bind to ice 497 thank to this ice-like structure that is formed at their IBS. These ordered layer of water molecules may be 498 released upon binding, leading to a net entropic gain [197, 198]. NMR suggests that only the portion of AFPs 499 hydration shell at the IBS is in direct contact with the ice surface. The remaining part of the hydration shell 500 does not undergo freezing, behaving similarly to the hydration shell of non-AFPs proteins [167].

A further question that may arise is whether this ice-like structure is always present, or, on the contrary, forms only close to the ice surface. Molecular simulations of TmAFP (a hyperactive insect AFP, which shows the best lattice matching with ice Ih, see Figure 8a) indicate that the second option is correct [199], suggesting that a preordering of hydration water is not necessary for ice recognition. The formation of the clathrate-like structure at the IBS is therefore probably induced by the close presence of an ice layer. This suggests that ice has an effect on the surrounding liquid water layers [172].

507 Another class of interesting molecules is represented by ice-nucleating proteins (INPs), that are used by 508 several bacteria, such as *Pseudomonas syringae*, to trigger ice formation at temperatures close to the 509 equilibrium value [200].

INPs are structurally and chemically similar to AFPs, but are considerably bigger and can result in the formation of molecular clusters. The larger surfaces that are eventually formed are capable of nucleating ice. A common example of INP is InaZ, produced by *Pseudomonas syringae*, which is approximately 1200 residues-long [201]. Its structure includes degenerate octapeptide repeats, a subpopulation of which includes the sequence GSTXT(A/S), where X is an unconserved amino acid. Recently, *ab initio* structures of the GSTSTA segment were resolved by electron microdiffraction [202]. It was discovered that both homochiral and racemic GSTSTA (see Figure 8b-c) form amyloid-like protofibrils, that display antiparallel β-sheets.

517 Molecular dynamics simulations suggested that INPs may be anchored to the cell surface in such a way 518 to expose ice-active sites to the surrounding water [204, 205]. It was proposed that threonine and serine 519 amino acids, that are largely represented on these sites, should mimic the basal plane of ice. This is possible 520 because of their OH groups and the presence of clathrate water molecules that effectively induce nucleation 521 [190, 206].

Later on, sum frequency generation (SFG) spectroscopy was used to study the INP of *Pseudomonas syringae*, namely, the protein inaZ [207]. This study demonstrated that hydrogen bonding at the waterbacteria contact promotes structural ordering on the adjacent water network. The unique hydrophilichydrophobic motifs at the ice-nucleating site, together with the effective removal of latent heat due to nucleation, are highly effective in triggering the formation of stable ice crystals. Later on, it was also suggested that only large surfaces, like INPs clusters, may effectively order hydration water into ice-like structures [199].

529 *Pseudomonas syringae* may also be added to a solution being cooled down to trigger nucleation. This 530 was done to promote the formation of nuclei at higher temperature [69, 208, 209, 210]. However, this

approach cannot provide a real control of the nucleation temperature, and cannot therefore substitute the
 techniques discussed in section 4.3.

533

# 534

# 5.4 How to Counteract Ice-Induced Denaturation of Proteins

From the previous discussion, it is evident that the ice-induced denaturation of proteins should be adequately controlled to maximize the recovery of therapeutic activity after freezing. In this context, two main classes of strategies could be adopted; the first relies on the selection of suitable operating conditions, while the second involves optimization of the formulation.

539 From the point of view of the operating conditions, the two variables that mostly affect protein stability 540 during freezing are cooling rate and nucleation temperature. As previously mentioned, a low cooling rate and 541 a high nucleation temperature are associated with the formation of larger ice crystals, and therefore smaller 542 ice/freeze-concentrate surface area. This, in turn, should minimize ice-induced denaturation of proteins. In 543 line with these considerations, a correlation has often been observed between cooling rate and protein 544 stability, with higher recovery at lower cooling rates [151, 152, 153]. However, this is not true for all proteins. 545 In the case of particularly unstable molecules, such as myoglobin at low pH, a fast freezing protocol was 546 found to maximize protein stability [91]. In this case, the formation of a cryoconcentrated matrix at high 547 viscosity, where cold unfolding of the protein is kinetically inhibited, should be promoted as quickly as 548 possible.

549 While the cooling rate can easily be controlled and adjusted, the nucleation temperature is a stochastic 550 variable. However, as discussed in section 4.3, several strategies have been developed to address this problem. The impact of controlled nucleation techniques on protein stability has also been investigated in 551 552 recent works. For instance, the controlled nucleation strategy based on the depressurization method was found to improve LDH stability after freeze-thawing [211]. The depressurization method applied to a highly 553 554 concentrated monoclonal antibody also suppressed glass fogging, which is the undesired migration of 555 protein solutions up on the inner walls of glass vials [212]. Another controlled nucleation technique, namely, the ice-fog method, was applied to monoclonal antibody formulations [213], and resulted in reduced particle 556 557 formation in highly concentrated systems. However, the addition of polysorbates was more effective in 558 decreasing particle level. Also, the authors observed no difference in particle formation between the 559 controlled and spontaneously nucleated samples at low concentration [213]. The benefits of vacuum induced 560 surface freezing (VISF) on the stability of the human growth hormone (hGH) were also investigated [214].

In this case, both HPLC-SEC and a cell-based potency assay indicated that there was no dramatic difference in the behaviour of hGH at low concentration when either VISF or spontaneous nucleation were used. In the case of very unstable molecules, such as myoglobin at low pH, the application of VISF proved to be detrimental. In this case, the benefits of a smaller ice/freeze-concentrate interface are offset by the necessity to introduce two holding steps at low temperature and low product viscosity during application of the VISF. During these equilibration stages, cold denaturation of myoglobin may quickly occur [91].

567 Finally, annealing is another technique that may affect protein stability. While it does not make it possible 568 to control the nucleation temperature, it nevertheless promotes the formation of larger ice crystals. The 569 resulting decrease in specific surface area of the ice/freeze-concentrate interface may improve protein 570 stability. This was the case, for instance, of bovine IgG as model protein [154]. It should also be considered 571 that the thawing process may also be dangerous for protein activity.

572 In this context, the thawing rate is a crucial parameter, as slow thawing promotes the recrystallization 573 process, with small ice crystals growing into larger ones. While the growth of larger ice crystals during 574 freezing, for instance by annealing, was found to be beneficial, recrystallization has been observed to be 575 detrimental during thawing, as it promoted undesired interfacial or shear stress for proteins at the ice-water 576 interface [215]. Moreover, during the process of ice melting, the amorphous cryo-concentrated phase will still 577 not have the same composition as the initial fully-liquid solution. Problems due to unequal distribution of 578 solutes may therefore occur during thawing, as well. For this reason, it is generally advisable to perform a 579 fast thawing [132].

580 For what concerns the choice of the formulation, different possibilities exist to improve protein recovery after freezing. Typical biopharmaceutical formulations include cryo/lyo-protectants (e.g., sugars, polyols, 581 amino acids, polymers), buffering species, to control the pH, and in many cases also surfactants, to 582 counteract surface-induced denaturation, and bulking agents (e.g., mannitol, glycine), to improve cake 583 584 resistance. A comprehensive description of the type/amount of excipients found in commercial products is 585 out of the scope of this review. We will therefore focus on those case studies where formulation components 586 have been shown to affect protein stability at the ice surface, starting from surfactants. For instance, it was 587 observed that the addition of small amounts of Tween 80 or Tween 20 protected proteins from ice-induced 588 denaturation [151, 163, 216]. Addition of the same surfactant to LDH and a human IgG formulation resulted 589 in a decrease in intermolecular  $\beta$ -sheet structure formation at the ice surface, which is indicative of 590 diminished aggregation [156]. Surfactants are amphiphilic molecules that tend to locate at interfaces, thereby 591 sterically preventing protein adsorption [217, 218]. This may explain why they have often been reported to

592 prevent ice-induced denaturation of proteins. However, as discussed in section 5.2, there is increasing 593 evidence that non-AFP proteins do not bind to the ice interface, but rather remain confined in the QLL above 594 the surface. The steric repulsion exerted by surfactants that coat the ice interface may, therefore, be not 595 enough to account for the observed stabilizing effect. Molecular dynamics simulations of the GB1 peptide 596 suggest that surfactants tend to surround the protein at the ice surface, with their hydrophilic heads oriented 597 towards the peptide [159]. On the one hand, this orientation prevents denaturation, because the exposure of 598 the protein hydrophobic core is unfavorable in these conditions. On the other hand, the formation of a 599 protein-surfactant complex hinders aggregation, as well. The stabilizing role of surfactants may hence be 600 explained according to an orientation-dependent mechanism.

601 Apart from surfactants, cryoprotectants may be added, including sugars (for instance sucrose, trehalose, 602 glucose, lactose), polyols (e.g., sorbitol and glycerol), polymers (albumin, dextran, polyvinylpyrrolidone and 603 hydroxyethyl cellulose are common examples), and amino acids (such as glycine, proline, arginine etc.). For 604 instance, Strambini and Gabellieri [150] observed that the addition of glycerol and sucrose reduced the 605 protein conformational changes induced by ice-formation during freezing. They suggested that the stabilizing 606 action of these excipients may be considered as a combined effect of decreasing the freezing temperature 607 and reducing the adsorption affinity of the protein by coating the surface of ice. However, sterical exclusion 608 from the ice interface can hardly be the only mechanism to explain the role of cryoprotectants. Actually, the 609 opposite was often observed, with excipients being expelled in the bulk freeze-concentrated solution and 610 promoting a closer approach between the protein and the ice surface [170, 162].

611 The crystallite size and microstrain for Ih crystals was also evaluated for different protein formulations 612 [170]. A higher microstrain, compared to pure water, was observed in all protein-containing samples, 613 indicating that proteins may promote the formation of defects during ice crystal growth. In contrast, the 614 microstrain level was consistently lower in all non-protein samples (containing, for instance, sucrose or 615 histidine), suggesting that the effect of excipients on the ice structure should be minimal. At the same time, 616 SEM analysis of freeze-dried cakes shows that the choice of the formulation strongly affects the pore size, 617 and, therefore, the extension of the ice/freeze-concentrate interface. It has been hypothesized that this may 618 be related to a different energetic cost for forming the ice/freeze-concentrate interface (i.e., different 619 interfacial tension) in presence of different cosolutes [90].

It was also suggested [150] that the cosolutes may exert their protective action by being preferentially
excluded from the protein surface. This should make the unfolding process thermodynamically unfavorable
[219]. In particular, molecular dynamics simulations suggested that exclusion from specific patches on the

623 protein surface should be crucial for protein stability at the ice surface [158, 180]. However, the mechanism of preferential exclusion should be prevailing only at the beginning of the freezing process, when 624 625 cryoconcentration is not yet complete. During the last stages of freezing, the matrix that separates from ice is 626 so concentrated that other mechanisms come into play [220, 221]. At high excipient concentration, the 627 vitrification theory [222, 223], the water replacement scenario [224, 225] and the water entrapment 628 hypothesis [226, 227, 228] apply. Vitrification invokes the formation of a viscous, glassy matrix [229] where 629 protein movements are hindered, while water entrapment envisions the formation of a cage of excipient 630 molecules around the protein, where water is entrapped and slowed down. While these two are kinetic 631 mechanisms, the water replacement theory suggests that a thermodynamic stabilization applies, where the 632 excipient substitutes water in satisfying the hydrogen-bonding requirements of the protein. All these 633 mechanisms of stabilization are effective only if the stabilizer remains in the same amorphous phase where 634 the protein is. Therefore, crystallization of the cryoprotectant may reduce protein stabilization, also because it 635 results in another surface onto which the protein may adsorb and denature [216].

Another typical component of a protein formulation is the buffer, which should maintain the pH in a range of values where the active ingredient is stable. In this context, it should be remembered that some buffer species may undergo selective crystallization, and this could result in undesired pH shifts. This is the case, for instance, of sodium and potassium phosphate [230, 231].

Finally, as previously mentioned, a high protein concentration is beneficial to minimize the effect of the ice-water interface [152, 154]. On the one hand, the percentage of protein molecules that are in the region perturbed by the presence of ice decreases when the concentration is increased. On the other hand, volume exclusion effects may arise at higher concentration. For instance, the cold denaturation temperature of  $\beta$ lactoglobulin was found to decrease significantly when increasing the protein concentration [232].

645

# 646 6. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, an overview of the chemical and physical properties of the ice-water interface, and of its effects
on protein stability, was provided. Rather than giving answers, the objective of this work was to raise
questions that, according to the authors opinion, are worth further investigation.

650 More specifically, the following points should be addressed:

i. Is the lh form of ice the only crystal structure that is relevant for pharmaceutical applications? As
evidenced using high-resolution synchrotron X-ray diffraction [170], other structures of the ice

653 phase diagram described in section 2.1 may be important, and this aspect should be further 654 investigated.

ii. Do the advantages of controlled nucleation approaches make them worth application in the
manufacturing of pharmaceuticals and biopharmaceuticals? At present, the controlled nucleation
techniques discussed in section 4.3 are not applied by pharmaceutical industries in the
production of commercially available drugs. Further investigations should be added to evaluate
their effect on protein stability, and the first steps in this direction have been discussed in section
5.4.

- iii. What are the mechanisms at the basis of ice-induced denaturation of proteins? Some hypotheses
  in this context have been described in section 5.2, and should further by addressed. The answer
  to this question may benefit from a deeper understanding of the peculiarity of antifreeze and icenucleating proteins, as discussed in section 8.
- 665 What are the conditions that minimize the denaturing effect of ice on protein stability? Some iv. 666 possible strategies to prevent, or minimize, ice-induced denaturation of proteins have been 667 described in section 5.4. However, a more robust approach to the selection of appropriate 668 conditions for the freezing of protein-based therapeutics would be desirable. It is evident that 669 many variables come into play, and it is therefore probably impossible to give a unique answer, 670 valid for all classes of proteins. In this regard, the application of mathematical models, such as 671 those mentioned in section 4.2, may help to reduce the number of experiments to be performed. 672 providing at the same time a better understanding of the underlying phenomena. The modelling approaches should be multiscale, covering both the molecular mechanisms of protein 673 674 conformational changes and the effect of process variables on the critical attributes of the 675 product.
- 676

The hope of the authors is that this work may help to focus the attention of both industries and academies inthis direction, indicating possible objectives and providing a basis for future investigations.

679

# 680 **REFERENCES**

703

- [1] W. Kuhs, Physics and Chemistry of Ice, Special Publications, The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2007.
- 682 [2] C. A. Hobbs, P. V. Hobbs, Ice Physics, Clarendon Press, 1974.
- 683 [3] V. F. Petrenko, R. W. Whitworth, Physics of Ice, OUP Oxford, 1999.
- [4] N. H. Fletcher, The Chemical Physics of Ice, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [5] E. R. Pounder, J. A. Jacobs, J. T. Wilson, The Physics of Ice, Commonwealth and international library of
   science, technology, engineering, and liberal studies. Geophysics division, Elsevier Science, 2013.
- [6] J. Wettlaufer, J. Dash, N. Untersteiner, Ice Physics and the Natural Environment, Nato ASI Subseries I:,
   Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- [7] T. Bartels-Rausch, M. Montagnat, The physics and chemistry of ice, Philosophical Transactions of the
   Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 377 (2146) (2019) 20190138.
- [8] V. Gervasi, R. D. Agnol, S. Cullen, T. McCoy, S. Vucen, A. Crean, Parenteral protein formulations: An
  overview of approved products within the european union, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 131 (2018) 8 24.
- [9] B. K. Muralidhara, M. Wong, Critical considerations in the formulation development of parenteral biologic
   drugs, Drug Discov. Today 25 (2020) 574 581.
- [10] C. G. Salzmann, Advances in the experimental exploration of water's phase diagram, J. Chem. Phys.
  150 (6) (2019) 060901.
- [11] J. L. Finney, Ice: Structures, in: K. H. J. Buschow, R. W. Cahn, M. C. Flemings, B. Ilschner, E. J.
  Kramer, S. Mahajan (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Materials: Science and Technology, Vol. 5, Elsevier
  Science, Oxford, 2001, p. 4018.
- [12] T. Bartels-Rausch, V. Bergeron, J. H. E. Cartwright, R. Escribano, J. L. Finney, H. Grothe, P. J.
  Gutierrez, J. Haapala, W. F. Kuhs, J. B. C. Pettersson, S. D. Price, C. I. Sainz-D´ıaz, D. J. Stokes, G.
  Strazzulla, E. S. Thomson, H. Trinks, N. Uras-Aytemiz, Ice structures, patterns, and processes: A view
- 704 [13] W. Zhu, Y. Huang, C. Zhu, H.-H. Wu, L. Wang, J. Bai, J. Yang, J. S. Francisco, J. Zhao, L.-F. Yuan, X.

across the icefields, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84 (2) (2012) 885-944.

- C. Zeng, Room temperature electrofreezing of water yields a missing dense ice phase in the phase
  diagram, Nat. Commun. 10 (2019) 1925.
- 707 [14] C. G. Salzmann, P. G. Radaelli, E. Mayer, J. L. Finney, Ice XV: A new thermodynamically stable phase
  708 of ice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (10) (2009) 105701.
- [15] A. Falenty, T. C. Hansen, W. F. Kuhs, Formation and properties of ice XVI obtained by emptying a type
  sll clathrate hydrate, Nature 516 (2014) 231–233.

- [16] Y. Tajima, T. Matsuo, H. Suga, Phase transition in KOH-doped hexagonal ice, Nature 299 (1982) 810–
  812.
- [17] C. G. Salzmann, P. G. Radaelli, A. Hallbrucker, E. Mayer, J. L. Finney, The preparation and structures
   of hydrogen ordered phases of ice, Science 311 (2006) 1758–1761.
- [18] J. J. Shephard, B. Slater, P. Harvey, M. Hart, C. L. Bull, S. T. Bramwell, C. G. Salzmann, Dopinginduced disappearance of ice II from water's phase diagram, Nature Phys. 14 (2018) 569–572.
- [19] M. Masakazu, Y. Takuma, T. Hideki, Genice: Hydrogen-disordered ice generator, J. Comput. Chem. 39
  (1) (2018) 61–64.
- [20] E. F. Burton, W. F. Oliver, J. C. McLennan, The crystal structure of ice at low temperatures, Proc. R.
  Soc. London. A 153 (1935) 166–172.
- [21] E. Mayer, P. Bruggeller, Vitrification of pure liquid water by high pressure jet freezing, Nature 298 (1982)
   715–718.
- [22] T. Loerting, C. Salzmann, I. Kohl, E. Mayer, A. Hallbrucker, A second distinct structural "state" of high density amorphous ice at 77 K and 1 bar, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 3 (2001) 5355–5357.
- [23] O. Mishima, L. D. Calvert, E. Whalley, 'Melting ice' I at 77 K and 10 kbar: a new method of making
  amorphous solids, Nature 310 (1984) 393–395.
- [24] O. Mishima, L. D. Calvert, E. Whalley, An apparently first-order transition between two amorphous
   phases of ice induced by pressure, Nature 314 (1985) 76–78.
- [25] D. T. Bowron, J. L. Finney, A. Hallbrucker, I. Kohl, T. Loerting, E. Mayer, A. K. Soper, The local and
   intermediate range structures of the five amorphous ices at 80K and ambient pressure: A Faber-Ziman
   and Bhatia-Thornton analysis, J. Chem. Phys. 125 (2006) 194502.
- [26] Y. Huang, C. Zhu, L. Wang, X. Cao, Y. Su, X. Jiang, S. Meng, J. Zhao, X. C. Zeng, A new phase
  diagram of water under negative pressure: The rise of the lowest-density clathrate s-III, Sci. Adv. 2
  (2016) e1501010.
- [27] Y. Huang, C. Zhu, L. Wang, J. Zhao, X. C. Zeng, Prediction of a new ice clathrate with record low
  density: A potential candidate as ice XIX in guest-free form, Chem. Phys. Lett. 671 (2017) 186 191.
- T. Matsui, M. Hirata, T. Yagasaki, M. Matsumoto, H. Tanaka, Communication: Hypothetical ultralow density ice polymorphs, J. Chem. Phys. 147 (2017) 091101.
- Y. Liu, L. Ojamae, Clathrate ice sL: a new crystalline phase of ice with ultralow density predicted by
   first-principles phase diagram computations, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 20 (2018) 8333–8340.
- [30] G. A. Tribello, B. Slater, M. A. Zwijnenburg, R. G. Bell, Isomorphism between ice and silica, Phys.

- 742 Chem. Chem. Phys. 12 (2010) 8597–8606.
- [31] J. Russo, F. Romano, H. Tanaka, New metastable form of ice and its role in the homogeneous
  crystallization of water, Nat. Mat. 13 (2014) 733–739.
- [32] C. J. Fennell, J. D. Gezelter, Computational free energy studies of a new ice polymorph which exhibits
   greater stability than ice Ih, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 1 (2005) 662–667.
- [33] L. A. Baez, P. Clancy, Phase equilibria in extended simple point charge ice-water systems, J. Chem.
  Phys. 103 (1995) 9744–9755.
- [34] M. Ji, K. Umemoto, C.-Z. Wang, K.-M. Ho, R. M. Wentzcovitch, Ultrahigh-pressure phases of H 2 O ice
   predicted using an adaptive genetic algorithm, Phys. Rev. B 84 (2011) 220105.
- [35] E. A. Engel, A. Anelli, C. M, C. J. Pickard, R. J. Needs, Mapping uncharted territory in ice from zeolite
   networks to ice structures, Nat. Commun. 9 (2018) 2173.
- [36] H. Konig, Eine kubische eismodifikation, Z. Kristallogr. 105 (1943) 279–286.
- [37] I. Kohl, E. Mayer, A. Hallbrucker, The glassy water–cubic ice system: a comparative study by X-ray
   diffraction and differential scanning calorimetry, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2 (2000) 1579–1586.
- [38] W. F. Kuhs, G. Genov, D. K. Staykova, T. Hansen, Ice perfection and onset of anomalous preservation
  of gas hydrates, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 6 (2004) 4917–4920.
- [39] T. Kobayashi, T. Kuroda, Snow crystals, in: I. Sunagawa (Ed.), Morphology of Crystals, Terra Scientific
  Publishing, Tokyo, 1987, p. 649.
- [40] B. J. Murray, T. L. Malkin, C. G. Salzmann, The crystal structure of ice under mesospheric conditions, J.
  Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys. 127 (2015) 78–82.
- [41] G. P. Johari, Water's size-dependent freezing to cubic ice, J. Chem. Phys. 122 (2005) 194504.
- [42] P. Thanatuksorn, K. Kajiwara, N. Murase, F. Franks, Freeze–thaw behaviour of aqueous glucose
   solutions-the crystallisation of cubic ice, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 10 (2008) 5452–5458.
- [43] C. Li, X. Gao, Z. Li, Surface energy-mediated multistep pathways for heterogeneous ice nucleation, J.
  Phys. Chem. C 122 (2018) 9474–9479.
- [44] W. F. Kuhs, D. V. Bliss, J. L. Finney, High-resolution neutron powder diffraction study of ice Ic, J. Phys.
  Colloques 48 (1987) C1–631–C1–636.
- [45] L. Lupi, A. Hudait, B. Peters, M. Gruunwald, R. G. Mullen, A. H. Nguyen, V. Molinero, Role of stacking
  disorder in ice nucleation, Nature 551 (2017) 218–222.
- [46] J. G. Dash, A. W. Rempel, J. S. Wettlaufer, The physics of premelted ice and its geophysical
   consequences, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78 (2006) 695–741.

- [47] K. G. Libbrecht, Physical dynamics of ice crystal growth, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 47 (1) (2017) 271–295.
- [48] M. Elbaum, M. Schick, Application of the theory of dispersion forces to the surface melting of ice, Phys.

775 Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 1713–1716.

- [49] M. Elbaum, S. Lipson, J. Dash, Optical study of surface melting on ice, J. Cryst. Growth 129 (1993) 491
   505.
- [50] V. Sadtchenko, G. E. Ewing, Interfacial melting of thin ice films: An infrared study, J. Chem. Phys. 116
  (2002) 4686–4697.
- [51] Z. Zhang, X.-Y. Liu, Control of ice nucleation: freezing and antifreeze strategies, Chem. Soc. Rev. 47
  (2018) 7116–7139.
- [52] J. Frenkel, A general theory of heterophase fluctuations and pretransition phenomena, J. Chem. Phys.
  7(1939) 538–547.
- [53] C. A. Jeffery, P. H. Austin, Homogeneous nucleation of supercooled water: Results from a new equation
  of state, J. Geophys. Res. 102 (1997) 25269–25279.
- [54] X. Y. Liu, Interfacial effect of molecules on nucleation kinetics, J. Phys. Chem. B 105 (47) (2001) 11550–
  11558.
- 788 [55] X. Y. Liu, generic mechanism of heterogeneous nucleation and molecular interfacial effects, in: K.
- Sato, Y. Furukawa, K. Nakajima (Eds.), Advances in Crystal Growth Research, Elsevier Science B.V.,
  Amsterdam, 2001, pp. 42 61.
- [56] X. Y. Liu, K. Maiwa, K. Tsukamoto, Heterogeneous two-dimensional nucleation and growth kinetics, J.
  Chem. Phys. 106 (5) (1997) 1870–1879.
- [57] T. Koop, H. P. Ng, L. T. Molina, M. J. Molina, A new optical technique to study aerosol phase transitions:
   The nucleation of ice from H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aerosols, J. Phys. Chem. A 102 (1998) 8924–8931.
- [58] C. A. Knight, Adding to the antifreeze agenda, Nature 406 (2000) 249–251.
- [59] P. L. Davies, B. D. Sykes, Antifreeze proteins, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 7 (1997) 828 834.
- [60] E. B. Moore, V. Molinero, Structural transformation in supercooled water controls the crystallization rate
   of ice, Nature 479 (2011) 506 508.
- [61] X. Y. Liu, N. Du, Zero-sized effect of nano-particles and inverse homogeneous nucleation: Principles of
   freezing and antifreeze, J. Biol. Chem. 279 (2004) 6124–6131.
- [62] A. S. Michaels, P. L. T. Brian, P. R. Sperry, Impurity effects on the basal plane solidification kinetics of
  supercooled water, J. Appl. Phys. 37 (1966) 4649–4661.
- 803 [63] K. G. Libbrecht, Toward a comprehensive model of snow crystal growth: 3. The correspondence

- between ice growth from water vapor and ice growth from liquid water, arXiv:1407.0740 [cond-mat.mtrlsci] (2014) electronic.
- [64] M. J. Shultz, P. J. Bisson, A. Brumberg, Best face forward: Crystal-face competition at the ice–water
  interface, J. Phys. Chem. B 118 (2014) 7972–7980.
- [65] A. Shibkov, Y. Golovin, M. Zheltov, A. Korolev, A. Leonov, Morphology diagram of nonequilibrium
  patterns of ice crystals growing in supercooled water, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its
  Applications 319 (2003) 65–79.
- [66] M. Matsumoto, S. Saito, I. Ohmine, Molecular dynamics simulation of the ice nucleation and growth
   process leading to water freezing, Nature 416 (2002) 409–413.
- [67] J. C. Kasper, W. F. Friess, The freezing step in lyophilization: Physico-chemical fundamentals, freezing
  methods and consequences on process performance and quality attributes of biopharmaceuticals, Eur.
  J. Pharm. Biopharm. 78 (2011) 248–263.
- [68] B. S. Bhatnagar, M. J. Pikal, H. B. Robin, Study of the individual contributions of ice formation and
  freeze-concentration on isothermal stability of lactate dehydrogenase during freezing., J. Pharm. Sci. 97
  (2008) 798–814.
- [69] J. A. Searles, J. F. Carpenter, T. W. Randolph, The ice nucleation temperature determines the primary
  drying rate of lyophilization for samples frozen on a temperature-controlled shelf, J. Pharm. Sci. 90
  (2001) 860–871.
- [70] A. Arsiccio, R. Pisano, Application of the quality by design approach to the freezing step of freeze drying: Building the design space, J. Pharm.Sci. 107 (2018) 1586–1596.
- [71] D. Fissore, R. Pisano, A. A. Barresi, Process analytical technology for monitoring pharmaceuticals
  freeze-drying a comprehensive review, Dry. Technol. 36 (15) (2018) 1839–1865.
- [72] S. Nail, S. Tchessalov, E. Shalaev, A. Ganguly, E. Renzi, F. Dimarco, L. Wegiel, S. Ferris, W. Kessler,
  M. Pikal, G. Sacha, A. Alexeenko, T. N. Thompson, C. Reiter, J. Searles, P. Coiteux, Recommended
  best practices for process monitoring instrumentation in pharmaceutical freeze drying—2017, AAPS
- 829 PharmSciTech 18 (2017) 2379–2393.
- [73] J. C. Kasper, M. Wiggenhorn, M. Resch, W. Friess, Implementation and evaluation of an optical fiber
  system as novel process monitoring tool during lyophilization, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 83 (3) (2013)
  449 459.
- 833 [74] S. Grassini, M. Parvis, A. A. Barresi, Inert thermocouple with nanometric thickness for lyophilization
  834 monitoring, IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas. 62 (5) (2013) 1276–1283.

- [75] I. Oddone, D. Fulginiti, A. A. Barresi, S. Grassini, R. Pisano, Non-invasive temperature monitoring in
  freeze drying: Control of freezing as a case study, Drying Technology 33 (13) (2015) 1621–1630.
- [76] D. Colucci, R. Maniaci, D. Fissore, Monitoring of the freezing stage in a freeze-drying process using IR
   thermography, Int. J. Pharm. 566 (2019) 488 499.
- [77] D. Colucci, D. Fissore, A. A. Barresi, R. D. Braatz, A new mathematical model for monitoring the
  temporal evolution of the ice crystal size distribution during freezing in pharmaceutical solutions, Eur. J.
  Pharm. Biopharm. 148 (2020) 148–159.
- [78] G. Smith, E. Polygalov, Through vial impedance spectroscopy (TVIS): A novel approach to process
   understanding for freeze-drying cycle development, in: K. R. Ward, P. Matejtschuk (Eds.), Lyophilization
   of Pharmaceuticals and Biologicals: New Technologies and Approaches, Springer New York, New York,
- 845 NY, 2019, pp. 241–290.
- [79] G. Smith, Y. Jeeraruangrattana, A new method for determining the ice nucleation temperature and the
  solidification end point, in: D. Fissore, R. Pisano, A. Barresi (Eds.), Freeze Drying of Pharmaceutical
  Products, CRC Press, 2019.
- [80] S. Rambhatla, R. Ramot, C. Bhugra, M. J. Pikal, Heat and mass transfer scale-up issues during freeze
  drying: II. Control and characterization of the degree of supercooling, AAPS PharmSciTech 5 (2004) 54–
  62.
- [81] S. Grassini, R. Pisano, A. Barresi, E. Angelini, M. Parvis, Frequency domain image analysis for the
   characterization of porous products, Measurement 94 (2016) 515 522.
- [82] A. Arsiccio, A. C. Sparavigna, R. Pisano, A. A. Barresi, Measuring and predicting pore size distribution
  of freeze-dried solutions, Drying Technol. 37 (4) (2019) 435–447.
- [83] H. Goshima, G. Do, K. Nakagawa, Impact of ice morphology on design space of pharmaceutical freezedrying, J. Pharm. Sci. 105 (2016) 1920 –1933.
- [84] R. Pisano, A. A. Barresi, L. C. Capozzi, G. Novajra, I. Oddone, C. Vitale-Brovarone, Characterization of
  the mass transfer of lyophilized products based on x-ray micro-computed tomography images, Dry.
  Technol. 35 (2017) 933–938.
- [85] K. Nakagawa, A. Hottot, S. Vessot, J. Andrieu, Modeling of freezing step during freeze-drying of drugs in
  vials, AIChE J. 53 (2007) 1362–1372.
- [86] R. Pisano, L. C. Capozzi, Prediction of product morphology of lyophilized drugs in the case of vacuum
  induced surface freezing, Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 125 (2017) 119 129.
- 865 [87] M. Kochs, C. Korber, B. Nunner, I. Heschel, The influence of the freezing process on vapour transport

- during sublimation in vacuum-freeze-drying, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 34 (1991) 2395 2408.
- [88] J. L. Bomben, C. J. King, Heat and mass transport in the freezing of apple tissue, Int. J. Food Sci.
  Technol. 17 (1982) 615–632.
- 869 [89] W. Kurz, D. Fisher, Fundamentals of solidification, Trans Tech Publications, 1986.
- [90] A. Arsiccio, A. A. Barresi, R. Pisano, Prediction of ice crystal size distribution after freezing of
   pharmaceutical solutions, Cryst. Growth Des. 17 (2017) 4573–4581.
- [91] A. Arsiccio, P. Giorsello, L. Marenco, R. Pisano, Considerations on protein stability during freezing and
  its impact on the freeze-drying cycle: A design space approach, J. Pharm. Sci. 109 (2020) 464 475.
- [92] A. Karma, W.-J. Rappel, Phase-field method for computationally efficient modeling of solidification with
  arbitrary interface kinetics, Phys. Rev. E 53 (1996) R3017–R3020.
- [93] T. Takaki, Phase-field modeling and simulations of dendrite growth, ISIJ Int. 54 (2014) 437–444.
- 877 [94] J. W. Barrett, H. Garcke, R. Nurnberg, Stable phase field approximations of anisotropic solidification,
  878 IMA J. Numer. Anal. 34 (2013) 1289–1327.
- [95] O. A. Karim, A. Haymet, The ice/water interface, Chem. Phys. Lett. 138 (1987) 531 534.
- [96] O. A. Karim, A. D. J. Haymet, The ice/water interface: A molecular dynamics simulation study, J. Chem.
  Phys. 89 (1988) 6889–6896.
- [97] H. Nada, Y. Furukawa, Anisotropic growth kinetics of ice crystals from water studied by molecular
  dynamics simulation, J. Cryst. Growth 169 (1996) 587 597.
- [98] D. Rozmanov, P. G. Kusalik, Anisotropy in the crystal growth of hexagonal ice, Ih, J. Chem. Phys. 137
  (2012) 094702.
- [99] M. Seo, E. Jang, K. Kim, S. Choi, J. S. Kim, Understanding anisotropic growth behavior of hexagonal ice
  on a molecular scale: A molecular dynamics simulation study, J. Chem. Phys. 137 (2012) 154503.
- 888 [100] M. Carignano, E. Baskaran, P. Shepson, I. Szleifer, Molecular dynamics simulation of ice growth 889 from supercooled pure water and from salt solution, Ann. Glaciol. 44 (2006) 113–117.
- [101] J. A. Hayward, A. D. J. Haymet, The ice/water interface: Molecular dynamics simulations of the
  basal, prism, 2021, and 2110 interfaces of ice Ih, J. Chem. Phys. 114 (2001) 3713–3726.
- [102] T. Bryk, A. D. J. Haymet, Ice 1h/water interface of the SPC/E model: Molecular dynamics simulations
  of the equilibrium basal and prism interfaces, J. Chem. Phys. 117 (2002) 10258–10268.
- [103] J. L. F. Abascal, E. Sanz, R. Garc´ıa Fern´andez, C. Vega, A potential model for the study of ices
  and amorphous water: TIP4P/Ice, J. Chem. Phys. 122 (23) (2005) 234511.
- 896 [104] L. C. Capozzi, R. Pisano, Looking inside the 'black box': Freezing engineering to ensure the quality

- 897 of freeze-dried biopharmaceuticals., Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 129 (2018) 58–65.
- R. Pisano, Alternative methods of controlling nucleation in freeze drying, in: K. R. Ward, P.
   Matejtschuk (Eds.), Lyophilization of Pharmaceuticals and Biologicals: New Technologies and
   Approaches, Springer New York, New York, NY, 2019, pp. 79–111.
- [106] R. Pisano, A. Arsiccio, K. Nakagawa, A. A. Barresi, Tuning, measurement and prediction of the
  impact of freezing on product morphology: A step toward improved design of freeze-drying cycles, Dry.
  Technol. 37 (2019) 579–599.
- [107] R. Geidobler, G. Winter, Controlled ice nucleation in the field of freeze-drying: Fundamentals and
   technology review, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 85 (2013) 214–222.
- 906 [108] J. A. Searles, J. F. Carpenter, T. W. Randolph, Annealing to optimize the primary drying rate, reduce
  907 freezing-induced drying rate heterogeneity, and determine T g in pharmaceutical lyophilization, J.
  908 Pharm. Sci. 90 (2001) 872–887.
- 909 [109] W. Rau, Eiskeimbildung durch Dielektrische Polarisation, Zeitschrift fur Naturforschung A 6 (1951)
  910 649–657.
- 911 [110] A. Petersen, H. Schneider, G. Rau, B. Glasmacher, A new approach for freezing of aqueous
  912 solutions under active control of the nucleation temperature, Cryobiology 53 (2006) 248–257.
- 913 [111] S. M. Patel, C. Bhugra, M. J. Pikal, Reduced pressure ice fog technique for controlled ice nucleation
  914 during freeze-drying, AAPS PharmSciTech 10 (2009) 1406–1411.
- [112] R. Geidobler, S. Mannschedel, G. Winter, A new approach to achieve controlled ice nucleation of
  supercooled solutions during the freezing step in freeze-drying, J. Pharm. Sci. 101 (2012) 4409–4413.
- 917 [113] M. Umbach, Freeze drying plant, EP Patent 3093597 B1 (December 2017).
- 918 [114] W. Ling, Controlled nucleation during freezing step of freeze drying cycle using pressure differential
   919 ice crystals distribution from condensed frost, US Patent 8875413 B2 (November 2014).
- [115] I. Vollrath, W. Friess, A. Freitag, A. Hawe, G. Winter, Comparison of ice fog methods and monitoring
  of controlled nucleation success after freeze-drying, Int. J. Pharm. 558 (2019) 18 28.
- 922 [116] T. Inada, X. Zhang, A. Yabe, Y. Kozawa, Active control of phase change from supercooled water to
  923 ice by ultrasonic vibration, part 1: Control of freezing temperature, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 44 (2001)
  924 4523–4531.
- 925 [117] X. Zhang, T. Inada, A. Yabe, S. Lu, Y. Kozawa, Active control of phase change from supercooled
  926 water to ice by ultrasonic vibration, part 2: Generation of ice slurries and effect of bubble nuclei, Int. J.
  927 Heat Mass Transfer 44 (2001) 4533–4539.

- M. Saclier, R. Peczalski, J. Andrieu, A theoretical model for ice primary nucleation induced by
   acoustic cavitation, Ultrason. Sonochem. 17 (2010) 98–105.
- 930 [119] M. Saclier, R. Peczalski, J. Andrieu, Effect of ultrasonically induced nucleation on ice crystals size
  931 and shape during freezing in vials, Chem. Eng. Sci. 65 (2010) 3064–3071.
- [120] K. Nakagawa, A. Hottot, S. Vessot, J. Andrieu, Influence of controlled nucleation by ultrasounds on
  ice morphology of frozen formulations for pharmaceutical proteins freeze-drying, Chem. Eng. Process.
  45 (2006) 783–791.
- [121] S. Passot, I. C. Trelea, M. Marin, M. Galan, G. J. Morris, F. Fonseca, Effect of controlled ice
  nucleation on primary drying stage and protein recovery in vials cooled in a modified freeze-dryer, J.
  Biochem. Eng. 131 (2009) 074511.
- 938 [122] T. H. Gasteyer, R. R. Sever, B. Hunek, N. Grinter, M. L. Verdone, Lyophilization system and method,
  939 US Patent 9651305 B2 (May 2017).
- 940 [123] R. Bursac, R. Sever, B. Hunek, A practical method for resolving the nucleation problem in
  941 lyophilization, Bioproc. Int. 7 (2009) 6672.
- [124] A. K. Konstantinidis, W. Kuu, L. Otten, S. L. Nail, R. R. Sever, V. Bons, D. Debo, M. J. Pikal,
  Controlled nucleation in freeze-drying: Effects on pore size in the dried product layer, mass transfer
  resistance, and primary drying rate, J. Pharm. Sci. 100 (2011) 3453–3470.
- 945 [125] M. Kramer, B. Sennhenn, G. Lee, Freeze-drying using vacuum-induced surface freezing, J. Pharm.
  946 Sci. 91 (2002) 433–443.
- 947 [126] J. Liu, T. Viverette, M. Virgin, M. Anderson, P. Dalal, A study of the impact of freezing on the
  948 lyophilization of a concentrated formulation with a high fill depth, Pharm. Dev. Technol. 10 (2005) 261–
  949 272.
- [127] I. Oddone, R. Pisano, R. Bullich, P. Stewart, Vacuum-induced nucleation as a method for freezedrying cycle optimization, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 53 (2014) 18236–18244.
- [128] I. Oddone, P.-J. Van Bockstal, T. De Beer, R. Pisano, Impact of vacuum-induced surface freezing on
  inter- and intra-vial heterogeneity, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 103 (2016) 167–178.
- [129] I. Oddone, A. A. Barresi, R. Pisano, Influence of controlled ice nucleation on the freeze-drying of
   pharmaceutical products: The secondary drying step, Int. J. Pharm. 524 (2017) 134–140.
- 956 [130] A. Arsiccio, A. A. Barresi, T. De Beer, I. Oddone, P.-J. Van Bockstal, R. Pisano, Vacuum induced
  957 surface freezing as an effective method for improved inter- and intra-vial product homogeneity, Eur. J.
- 958 Pharm. Biopharm. 128 (2018) 210–219.

- [131] B. S. Bhatnagar, R. H. Bogner, M. J. Pikal, Protein stability during freezing: Separation of stresses
  and mechanisms of protein stabilization, Pharm. Dev. Technol. 12 (5) (2007) 505–523.
- 961 [132] J.-R. Authelin, M. A. Rodrigues, S. Tchessalov, S. Singh, T. McCoy, S. Wang, E. Shalaev, Freezing
  962 of biologicals revisited: scale, stability, excipients, and degradation stresses, J. Pharm. Sci. 109 (2020)
  963 44–61.
- [133] K. A. Pikal-Cleland, N. Rodriguez-Hornedo, G. L. Amidon, J. F. Carpenter, Protein denaturation
   during freezing and thawing in phosphate buffer systems: Monomeric and tetrameric β-galactosidase,
   Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 384 (2000) 398–406.
- 967 [134] M. C. Heller, J. F. Carpenter, T. W. Randolph, Effects of phase separating systems on lyophilized
  968 hemoglobin, J. Pharm. Sci. 85 (1996) 1358–1362.
- 969 [135] M. C. Heller, J. F. Carpenter, T. W. Randolph, Manipulation of lyophilization induced phase
  970 separation: Implications for pharmaceutical proteins, Biotechnol. Prog. 13 (1997) 590–596.
- [136] C. Wu, S. Shamblin, D. Varshney, E. Shalaev, Advance Understanding of Buffer Behavior during
  Lyophilization, Springer New York, New York, NY, 2015, pp. 25–41.
- 973 [137] R. E. Pincock, T. E. Kiovsky, Kinetics of reactions in frozen solutions, J. Chem. Educ. 43 (1966) 358.
- 974 [138] N. Takenaka, H. Bandow, Chemical kinetics of reactions in the unfrozen solution of ice, J. Phys.
  975 Chem. A 111 (36) (2007) 8780–8786.
- [139] K. Anzo, M. Harada, T. Okada, Enhanced kinetics of pseudo first-order hydrolysis in liquid phase
  coexistent with ice, J. Phys. Chem. A 117 (2013) 10619–10625.
- 978 [140] E. Shalaev, A. Soper, J. A. Zeitler, S. Ohtake, C. J. Roberts, M. J. Pikal, K. Wu, E. Boldyreva,
- 979 Freezing of aqueous solutions and chemical stability of amorphous pharmaceuticals: Water clusters
  980 hypothesis, J. Pharm. Sci. 108 (2019) 36 49.
- [141] M. Davidovic, C. Mattea, J. Qvist, B. Halle, Protein cold denaturation as seen from the solvent, J.
  Am. Chem. Soc. 131 (2009) 1025–1036.
- [142] K. A. Dill, D. O. V. Alonso, K. Hutchinson, Thermal stabilities of globular proteins, Biochemistry 28
  (1989) 5439–5449.
- 985 [143] P. L. Privalov, Cold denaturation of proteins, Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 25 (1990) 281–305.
- 986 [144] F. Franks, Protein destailization at low temperatures, Adv. Protein Chem. 46 (1995) 105–139.
- 987 [145] G. Graziano, F. Catanzano, A. Riccio, G. Barone, A reassessment of the molecular origin of cold
   988 denaturation, J. Biochem. 122 (1997) 395–401.
- 989 [146] C. F. Lopez, R. K. Darst, P. J. Rossky, Mechanistic elements of protein cold denaturation, J. Phys.

- 990 Chem. B 112 (2008) 5961–5967.
- [147] S. Matysiak, P. G. Debenedetti, P. J. Rossky, Role of hydrophobic hydration in protein stability: A
  3D water-explicit protein model exhibiting cold and heat denaturation, J. Phys. Chem. B 116 (2012)
  8095–8104.
- 994 [148] E. Reategui, A. Aksan, Effects of the low-temperature transitions of confined water on the structures
  995 of isolated and cytoplasmic proteins, J. Phys. Chem. B 113 (2009) 13048–13060.
- 996 [149] E. Reategui, A. Aksan, Effects of water on the structure and low/high temperature stability of
  997 confined proteins, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12 (2010) 10161–10172.
- 998 [150] G. B. Strambini, E. Gabellieri, Proteins in frozen solutions: Evidence of ice-induced partial unfolding,
  999 Biophys. J. 70 (1996) 971–976.
- [151] B. S. Chang, B. S. Kendrick, J. F. Carpenter, Surface-induced denatura-tion of proteins during
   freezing and its inhibition by surfactants, J. Pharm. Sci. 85 (1996) 1325–1330.
- [152] S. Jiang, S. L. Nail, Effect of process conditions on recovery of protein activity after freezing and
   freeze-drying, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 45 (1998) 249 257.
- 1004 [153] B. M. Eckhardt, J. Q. Oeswein, T. A. Bewley, Effect of freezing on aggregation of human growth 1005 hormone, Pharm. Res. 8 (1991) 1360–1364.
- 1006 [154] J. M. Sarciaux, S. Mansour, M. J. Hageman, S. L. Nail, Effects of buffer composition and processing 1007 conditions on aggregation of bovine IgG during freeze-drying, J. Pharm. Sci. 88 (1999) 1354–1361.
- 1008 [155] G. B. Strambini, M. Gonnelli, Protein stability in ice, Biophys. J. 92 (2007) 2131–2138.
- 1009 [156] J. J. Schwegman, J. F. Carpenter, S. L. Nail, Evidence of partial unfolding of proteins at the 1010 ice/freeze-concentrate interface by infrared microscopy, J. Pharm. Sci. 98 (9) (2009) 3239 – 3246.
- 1011 [157] J. H. Gu, A. Beekman, T. Wu, D. M. Piedmonte, P. Baker, M. Eschenberg, M. Hale, M. Goldenberg,
- 1012 Beyond glass transitions: Studying the highly viscous and elastic behavior of frozen protein formulations
- using low temperature rheology and its potential implications on protein stability, Pharm. Res. 30 (2013)
  387–401.
- 1015 [158] A. Arsiccio, R. Pisano, Clarifying the role of cryo- and lyo-protectants in the biopreservation of 1016 proteins, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 20 (2018) 8267–8277.
- 1017 [159] A. Arsiccio, J. McCarty, R. Pisano, J.-E. Shea, Effect of surfactants on surface-induced denaturation
  1018 of proteins: Evidence of an orientation-dependent mechanism, J. Phys. Chem. B 122 (2018) 11390–
  1019 11399.
- 1020 [160] A. Regand, H. Goff, Effect of biopolymers on structure and ice recrystallization in dynamically frozen

- 1021 ice cream model systems, J. Dairy Sci. 85 (2002) 2722 2732.
- 1022 [161] A. Twomey, R. Less, K. Kurata, H. Takamatsu, A. Aksan, In situ spectroscopic quantification of 1023 protein–ice interactions, J. Phys. Chem. B 117 (2013) 7889–7897.
- 1024 [162] A. Twomey, K. Kurata, Y. Nagare, H. Takamatsu, A. Aksan, Microheterogeneity in frozen protein 1025 solutions, Int. J. Pharm. 487 (2015) 91 –100.
- 1026 [163] S. Webb, S. Golledge, J. Cleland, J. Carpenter, T. Randolph, Surface adsorption of recombinant
   1027 human interferon-γ in lyophilized and spray-lyophilized formulations, J. Pharm. Sci. 91 (2002) 1474–
   1028 1487.
- 1029 [164] S. Webb, J. Cleland, J. Carpenter, T. Randolph, Effects of annealing lyophilized and spray 1030 lyophilized formulations of recombinant human interferon-y, J. Pharm. Sci. 92 (2003) 715–729.
- 1031 [165] I. D. Kuntz, T. S. Brassfield, G. D. Law, G. V. Purcell, Hydration of macromolecules, Science 163 (1969) 1329–1331.
- 1033 [166] M. G. Usha, R. J. Wittebort, Orientational ordering and dynamics of the hydrate and exchangeable 1034 hydrogen atoms in crystalline crambin, J. Mol. Biol. 208 (1989) 669–678.
- 1035 [167] A. B. Siemer, K.-Y. Huang, A. E. McDermott, Protein–ice interaction of an antifreeze protein 1036 observed with solid-state NMR, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107 (2010) 17580–17585.
- 1037 [168] K. Tompa, P. Banki, M. Bokor, P. Kamasa, G. Lasanda, P. Tompa, Interfacial water at protein
  1038 surfaces: Wide-line NMR and DSC characterization of hydration in ubiquitin solutions, Biophys. J. 96
  1039 (2009) 2789–2798.
- 1040 [169] B. Zakharov, A. Fisyuk, A. Fitch, Y. Watier, A. Kostyuchenko, D. Varshney, M. Sztucki, E. Boldyreva,
  1041 E. Shalaev, Ice recrystallization in a solution of a cryoprotector and its inhibition by a protein:
  1042 Synchrotron X-ray diffraction study, J. Pharm. Sci. 105 (2016) 2129 2138.
- 1043 [170] B. Bhatnagar, B. A. Zakharov, A. S. Fisyuk, X. Wen, F. Z. Karim, K. Lee, Y. V. Seryotkin, M. Mogodi,
  1044 A. Fitch, E. Boldyreva, A. Kostyuchenko, E. Shalaev, Protein/ice interaction: High-resolution synchrotron
  1045 X-ray diffraction differentiates pharmaceutical proteins from lysozyme, J. Phys. Chem. B 123 (2019)
- 1046 5690–5699.
- 1047 [171] C. A. Knight, A. L. DeVries, Ice growth in supercooled solutions of a biological "antifreeze", AFGP 1–
  1048 5: an explanation in terms of adsorption rate for the concentration dependence of the freezing point,
  1049 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 11 (2009) 5749–5761.
- 1050 [172] S. M. Marks, A. J. Patel, Antifreeze protein hydration waters: Unstructured unless bound to ice, Proc.
  1051 Natl. Acad. Sci. 115 (2018) 8244–8246.

- 1052 [173] P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, C. F. Macrae, E. Pidcock, G. P. Shields, R. Taylor, M. Towler, J. Van
  1053 De Streek, Mercury: visualization and analysis of crystal structures, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 39 (2006) 453–
  1054 457.
- 1055 [174] C. Korber, Phenomena at the advancing ice–liquid interface: solutes, particles and biological cells,
   1056 Q. Rev. Biophys. 21 (1988) 229–298.
- 1057 [175] J. E. Curtis, H. Nanda, S. Khodadadi, M. Cicerone, H. J. Lee, A. McAuley, S. Krueger, Small-angle
  1058 neutron scattering study of protein crowding in liquid and solid phases: Lysozyme in aqueous solution,
  1059 frozen solution, and carbohydrate powders, J. Phys. Chem. B 116 (2012) 9653–9667.
- 1060 [176] N. Takenaka, A. Ueda, T. Daimon, H. Bandow, T. Dohmaru, Y. Maeda, Acceleration mechanism of
  1061 chemical reaction by freezing: The reaction of nitrous acid with dissolved oxygen, J. Phys. Chem. 100
  1062 (1996) 13874–13884.
- 1063 [177] N. Takenaka, A. Ueda, Y. Maeda, Acceleration of the rate of nitrite oxidation by freezing in aqueous 1064 solution, Nature 358 (1992) 736–738.
- 1065 [178] S. Kunugi, N. Tanaka, Cold denaturation of proteins under high pressure, Biochim. Biophys. Acta
  1066 1595 (2002) 329 344.
- 1067 [179] K. Heremans, The phase diagram and the pressure-temperature behavior of proteins, in: R. Winter,
  1068 J. Jonas (Eds.), High Pressure Molecular Science, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 437–472.
- 1069 [180] A. Arsiccio, J. McCarty, R. Pisano, J.-E. Shea, Heightened cold-denaturation of proteins at the ice-1070 water interface, J. Am. Chem. Soc. (2020) In press, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.9b13454.
- 1071 [181] J. A. Raymond, A. L. DeVries, Adsorption inhibition as a mechanism of freezing resistance in polar
  1072 fishes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 74 (1977) 2589–2593.
- 1073 [182] Z. Jia, P. L. Davies, Antifreeze proteins: an unusual receptor-ligand interaction, Trends Biochem.
  1074 Sci. 27 (2002) 101–106.
- 1075 [183] L. L. C. Olijve, K. Meister, A. L. DeVries, J. G. Duman, S. Guo, H. J. Bakker, I. K. Voets, Blocking
   1076 rapid ice crystal growth through nonbasal plane adsorption of antifreeze proteins, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
- 1077 113 (14) (2016) 3740–3745.
- 1078 [184] A. L. Devries, Y. Lin, Structure of a peptide antifreeze and mechanism of adsorption to ice, Biochim.
  1079 Biophys. Acta 495 (1977) 388 392.
- [185] P. L. Davies, Ice-binding proteins: A remarkable diversity of structures for stopping and starting ice
   growth, Trends Biochem. Sci. 39 (2014) 548–555.
- 1082 [186] F. D. Sonnichsen, C. I. DeLuca, P. L. Davies, B. D. Sykes, Refined solution structure of type III

- antifreeze protein: hydrophobic groups may be involved in the energetics of the protein–ice interaction,
  Structure 4 (1996) 1325 1337.
- 1085 [187] A. Haymet, L. G. Ward, M. M. Harding, C. A. Knight, Valine substituted winter flounder 'antifreeze':
   1086 preservation of ice growth hysteresis, FEBS Lett. 430 (1998) 301–306.
- 1087 [188] D. S. C. Yang, W.-C. Hon, S. Bubanko, Y. Xue, J. Seetharaman, C. L. Hew, F. Sicheri, Identification
  1088 of the ice-binding surface on a type iii antifreeze protein with a "flatness function" algorithm, Biophys. J.
  1089 74 (1998) 2142–2151.
- 1090 [189] K. A. Sharp, A peek at ice binding by antifreeze proteins, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108 (2011) 7281– 1091 7282.
- 1092 [190] C. P. Garnham, R. L. Campbell, P. L. Davies, Anchored clathrate waters bind antifreeze proteins to 1093 ice, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108 (18) (2011) 7363–7367.
- 1094 [191] K. R. Gallagher, K. A. Sharp, Analysis of thermal hysteresis protein hydration using the random 1095 network model, Biophys. Chem. 105 (2) (2003) 195 – 209.
- 1096 [192] C. Yang, K. A. Sharp, The mechanism of the type III antifreeze protein action: A computational 1097 study, Biophys. Chem. 109 (1) (2004) 137 – 148.
- 1098 [193] C. Yang, K. A. Sharp, Hydrophobic tendency of polar group hydration as a major force in type I 1099 antifreeze protein recognition, Proteins 59 (2) (2005) 266–274.
- 1100 [194] D. R. Nutt, J. C. Smith, Dual function of the hydration layer around an antifreeze protein revealed by
  1101 atomistic molecular dynamics simulations, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (39) (2008) 13066–13073.
- 1102 [195] K. Meister, S. Ebbinghaus, Y. Xu, J. G. Duman, A. DeVries, M. Gruebele, D. M. Leitner, M. Havenith,
- Long-range protein–water dynamics in hyperactive insect antifreeze proteins, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110
  (5) (2013) 1617–1622.
- [196] K. Meister, S. Strazdaite, A. L. DeVries, S. Lotze, L. L. C. Olijve, I. K. Voets, H. J. Bakker,
  Observation of ice-like water layers at an aqueous protein surface, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111 (50)
  (2014) 17732–17736.
- 1108 [197] H. Chao, M. E. Houston, R. S. Hodges, C. M. Kay, B. D. Sykes, M. C. Loewen, P. L. Davies, F. D.
  1109 Sonnichsen, A diminished role for hydrogen bonds in antifreeze protein binding to ice, Biochemistry 36
  1110 (48) (1997) 14652–14660.
- 1111 [198] J. Baardsnes, L. H. Kondejewski, R. S. Hodges, H. Chao, C. Kay, P. L. Davies, New ice-binding face
  1112 for type I antifreeze protein, FEBS Letters 463 (1) (1999) 87 91.
- 1113 [199] A. Hudait, D. R. Moberg, Y. Qiu, N. Odendahl, F. Paesani, V. Molinero, Preordering of water is not

- 1114 needed for ice recognition by hyperactive antifreeze proteins, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 115 (33) (2018)
  1115 8266–8271.
- 1116 [200] S. E. Lindow, D. C. Arny, C. D. Upper, Bacterial ice nucleation: A factor in frost injury to plants, Plant
  1117 Physiol. 70 (1982) 1084–1089.
- 1118 [201] R. L. Green, G. J. Warren, Physical and functional repetition in a bacterial ice nucleation gene,
  1119 Nature 317 (1985) 645–648.
- [202] C. T. Zee, C. Glynn, M. Gallagher-Jones, J. Miao, C. G. Santiago, D. Cascio, T. Gonen, M. R.
  Sawaya, J. A. Rodriguez, Homochiral and racemic microed structures of a peptide repeat from the icenucleation protein inaZ, IUCrJ 6 (2019) 197–205.
- 1123 [203] Y.-C. Liou, A. Tocilj, P. L. Davies, Z. Jia, Mimicry of ice structure by surface hydroxyls and water of a
   1124 β-helix antifreeze protein, Nature 406 (2000) 322–324.
- 1125 [204] A. V. Kajava, S. E. Lindow, A model of the three-dimensional structure of ice nucleation proteins, J.
  1126 Mol. Biol. 232 (1993) 709 717.
- 1127 [205] C. P. Garnham, R. L. Campbell, V. K. Walker, P. L. Davies, Novel dimeric β-helical model of an ice
   1128 nucleation protein with bridged active sites, BMC Struct. Biol. 11 (2011) 36.
- 1129 [206] C. I. DeLuca, P. L. Davies, Q. Ye, Z. Jia, The effects of steric mutation on the structure of type iii
  antifreeze protein and its interaction with ice, J. Mol. Biol. 275 (1998) 515 525.
- 1131 [207] R. Pandey, K. Usui, R. A. Livingstone, S. A. Fischer, J. Pfaendtner, E. H. G. Backus, Y. Nagata, J.
  1132 Frohlich-Nowoisky, L. Schmuser, S. Mauri, J. F. Scheel, D. A. Knopf, U. Poschl, M. Bonn, T. Weidner,
- 1133 Ice-nucleating bacteria control the order and dynamics of interfacial water, Sci. Adv. 2 (2016) e1501630.
- 1134 [208] A. Margaritis, A. Bassi, Principles and biotechnological applications of bacterial ice nucleation, Crit.
  1135 Rev. Biotechnol. 11 (1991) 277–295.
- 1136 [209] W. Lindong, N. Shannon, S. Anisa, L. Shannon, T. Mehmet, Controlled ice nucleation using freeze 1137 dried *Pseudomonas syringae* encapsulated in alginate beads, Cryobiology 75 (2017) 1–6.
- 1138 [210] N. Cochet, P. Widehem, Ice crystallization by *Pseudomonas syringae*, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.
  1139 54 (2000) 153–161.
- 1140 [211] R. Fang, K. Tanaka, V. Mudhivarthi, R. H. Bogner, M. J. Pikal, Effect of controlled ice nucleation on 1141 stability of lactate dehydrogenase during freeze-drying, J. Pharm. Sci. 107 (2018) 824–830.
- 1142 [212] S. N. Singh, S. Kumar, V. Bondar, N. Wang, R. Forcino, J. Colandene, D. Nesta, Unexplored
  1143 benefits of controlled ice nucleation: Lyophilization of a highly concentrated monoclonal antibody
  1144 solution, Int. J. Pharm. 552 (2018) 171 179.

- 1145 [213] I. Vollrath, W. Friess, A. Freitag, A. Hawe, G. Winter, Does controlled nucleation impact the
  properties and stability of lyophilized monoclonal antibody formulations?, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 129
  (2018) 134–144.
- 1148 [214] I. Oddone, A. Arsiccio, C. Duru, K. Malik, J. Ferguson, R. Pisano, P. Matejtschuk, Vacuum induced
  surface freezing for the freeze-drying of the human growth hormone: How does nucleation control affect
  protein stability?, J. Pharm. Sci. 109 (2020) 254–263.
- 1151 [215] E. Cao, Y. Chen, Z. Cui, P. R. Foster, Effect of freezing and thawing rates on denaturation of 1152 proteins in aqueous solutions, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 82 (2003) 684–690.
- [216] J. Horn, S. Jena, A. Aksan, W. Friess, Freeze/thaw of IGG solutions, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 134
  (2019) 185 189.
- 1155 [217] H. J. Lee, A. McAuley, K. F. Schilke, J. McGuire, Molecular origins of surfactant-mediated
  1156 stabilization of protein drugs, Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev. 63 (2011) 1160–1171.
- 1157 [218] T. W. Randolph, L. S. Jones, Surfactant-Protein Interactions, In: "Rational Design of Stable Protein
   1158 Formulations: Theory and Practice", Kluwer Academic, New York, 2002, pp. 159–175.
- 1159 [219] S. Timasheff, The control of protein stability and association by weak interactions with water: How do
  1160 solvents affect these processes?, Ann. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 22 (1993) 67–97.
- 1161 [220] A. Arsiccio, R. Pisano, Stability of proteins in carbohydrates and other additives during freezing: The
  1162 human growth hormone as a case study, J. Phys. Chem. B 121 (2017) 8652–8660.
- 1163 [221] S. Ohtake, Y. Kita, T. Arakawa, Interactions of formulation excipients with proteins in solution and in
  1164 the dried state, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 63 (2011) 1053–1073.
- 1165 [222] F. Franks, Long-term stabilization of biologicals, Biotechnology 12 (1994) 253–256.
- 1166 [223] S. J. Hagen, J. Hofrichter, W. A. Eaton, Protein reaction kinetics in a room-temperature glass,
  1167 Science 269 (1995) 959–962.
- 1168 [224] J. F. Carpenter, J. H. Crowe, An infrared spectroscopic study of the interactions of carbohydrates
  with dried proteins, Biochemistry 28 (1989) 3916–3922.
- 1170 [225] J. H. Crowe, L. M. Crowe, D. Chapman, Preservation of membranes in anhydrobiotic organisms:
  1171 The role of trehalose, Science 223 (1984) 701–703.
- 1172 [226] D. Corradini, E. G. Strekalova, H. E. Stanley, P. Gallo, Microscopic mechanism of protein 1173 cryopreservation in an aqueous solution with trehalose, Sci. Rep. 3 (2013) 1218.
- 1174 [227] A. Arsiccio, R. Pisano, Water entrapment and structure ordering as protection mechanisms for 1175 protein structural preservation, J. Chem. Phys. 148 (2018) 055102.

- 1176 [228] P. S. Belton, A. M. Gil, IR and Raman spectroscopic studies of the interaction of trehalose with hen
  1177 egg white lysozyme, Biopolymers 34 (1994) 957–961.
- 1178 [229] X. C. Tang, M. J. Pikal, Measurement of the kinetics of protein unfolding in viscous systems and 1179 implications for protein stability in freeze-drying, Pharm. Res. 22 (2005) 1176–1185.
- 1180 [230] G. Gomez, M. J. Pikal, N. Rodriguez-Hornedo, Effect of initial buffer composition on pH changes
- 1181 during far-from-equilibrium freezing of sodium phosphate buffer solutions, Pharm. Res. 18 (2001) 90–97.
- 1182 [231] N. Murase, F. Franks, Salt precipitation during the freeze-concentration of phosphate buffer
  1183 solutions, Biophys. Chem. 34 (1989) 293–300.
- 1184 [232] X. C. Tang, M. J. Pikal, The effect of stabilizers and denaturants on the cold denaturation 1185 temperatures of proteins and implications for freeze-drying, Pharm. Res. 22 (2005) 1167–1175.
- 1186

#### 1188 LIST OF FIGURES

1189

1190 Figure 1: Phase diagram of ice, where the hydrogen-disordered forms are shown in orange, the ordered 1191 ones in green and the polymeric ice X in blue. The stable phases are written in red and bold, while 1192 metastable ones are displayed in smaller size. An exclamation point is used to indicate transitions that are 1193 not yet known. Reproduced from reference [10], with modifications.

1194

1195 Figure 2: Structures of the various polymorphs of ice (generated using the Genlce algorithm [19]). The 1196 tetrahedral arrangement of hydrogen bonds in ice is also shown in the right panel on the last row of the 1197 figure. In all crystal structures, this same motif is repeated, where each molecule accepts two hydrogen 1198 bonds, and donates two to its neighbors.

1199

1200 Figure 3: Hexagonal ice Ih, where the main crystal faces (basal, primary prismatic and secondary prismatic) 1201 are shown.

1202

1203 Figure 4: Free energy variation upon homogeneous (black) and heterogeneous (red) nucleation at different 1204 nuclei size r. These free energy changes are the sum of a negative bulk (dotted) and a positive surface 1205 (dashed) contributions, and reach a maximum at  $r = r_{cr}$ .

1206

1207 Figure 5: Evolution of temperature during freezing of an aqueous solution.

1208

1209 Figure 6: Sample SEM images of freeze-dried products. Unpublished data from the authors.

1210

1211 Figure 7: Possible mechanisms of ice-induced denaturation of proteins. (a) Adsorption at the ice interface 1212 [150] (b) Partitioning of the protein in the QLL, where the concentration of stabilizer is decreased, and the local pH is more acid than in the freeze-concentrated solution (FCS) [170] (c) Accumulation of air bubbles at 1213 1214 the ice surface [156, 132] (d) Pressure-induced unfolding due to mechanical stresses associated with the ice 1215 growth [170] (e) Enhancement of cold denaturation phenomena, mediated by the liquid molecules in the QLL 1216 [180].

- 1217
- 1218

- 1219 Figure 8: (a) Cartoon representation of TmAFP (PDB structure 1EZG [203]), where  $\beta$ -sheets are in yellow,
- 1220 turns in cyan and coils in white. The N-terminus and C-terminus are highlighted in red and blue respectively.
- 1221 (b-c) L-GSTSTA (PDB code 6M9I [202]) (b) and racemic-GSTSTA (PDB code 6M9J [202]) (c) from inaZ (INP
- 1222 protein from *Pseudomonas syringae*). Glycine amino acids are shown in white, serine in yellow, threonine in
- 1223 red and alanine in blue.