Page 1 of 34

1 2

Experimental insights into the coupling of methane combustion and steam reforming in a catalytic plate reactor in transient mode

M. Arsalan Ashraf^{a,d, *, 1}, Stefano Tacchino^a, Nageswara Rao Peela^{b,c}, Giuliana Ercolino^a, Kirandeep K.
Gill^d, Dionisios G. Vlachos^b, Stefania Specchia^{a,*}

^a Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Torino 10129, Italy

6 ^b Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Delaware-Newark, DE 19716-3110, USA

7 ^c Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati 781039, India

^d Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom

9 * Corresponding authors. E-mail: <u>muhammad.ashraf@polito.it</u> (M.A. Ashraf)

stefania.specchia@polito.it (S. Specchia)

11 Abstract

10

The micro-structured reactor concept is very promising technology to develop a compact reformer for 12 13 distributed hydrogen generation. In this work, a catalytic plate reactor (CPR) is developed and investigated for coupling of methane combustion (MC) and methane steam reforming (MSR) over Pt/Al₂O₃ coated 14 microchannels in co-current and counter-current modes in transient experiments during start-up. A three 15 dimensional (3D) computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulation shows uniform velocity and pressure 16 17 distribution profiles in microchannels. For channel velocity of 5.1 to 57.3 m/s in the combustor, the oxidation of methane is complete and self-sustainable without explosion, blow-off or extinction, 18 19 nevertheless flashbacks are observed in counter current mode. In the reformer, the maximum methane 20 conversion is 84.9% in the co-current mode slightly higher than that of 80.2% in counter-current mode at 21 residence time of 33 ms, but at the cost of three times higher energy input in the combustor operating at 22 ~1000 °C. Nitric oxide (NO) is not identified in combustion products but nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a function 23 of coupling mode and forms significantly in co-current mode. This research would be helpful to establish 24 the start-up strategy and environmental impact of compact reformers at small scale.

25 Keywords:

- 26 Catalytic plate reactor
- 27 Methane steam reforming
- 28 Methane combustion
- 29 Co-current mode
- 30 Counter-current mode

¹ Current affiliation of M. Arsalan Ashraf: "Dyson Technology Ltd, Tetbury Hill, Malmesbury SN160RP United Kingdom".

31 **1. Introduction**

Hydrogen is a zero carbon energy carrier for deployment of fuel cell technologies in distributed energy 32 systems and offers great potential in transition towards a low-carbon economy. In addition to improved 33 34 energy efficiency, hydrogen fuel cells can improve air quality with negligible emission of harmful particulates and nitrogen oxides. Hydrogen can be produced from a variety of feedstocks, such as non-35 renewable resources (i.e., natural gas, liquid fuels, coal, and so on) and renewable resources (i.e., biogas, 36 biomass, solar, wind, hydropower, and so on) using electrolysis and reforming processes.^{1, 2} Methane is the 37 principal component of large distributed renewable (e.g., bio-methane, biogas) and non-renewable (e.g., 38 39 natural gas, shale gas) feedstocks.³ Despite of its significant advantages, the penetration of hydrogen in existing energy distribution network is very slow⁴ and the lacking of hydrogen infrastructure is a key barrier 40 41 for widespread application of fuel cell technologies, representing a typical chicken-and-egg problem. 42 During the transition period, the distributed generation of hydrogen can be considered as a viable alternative 43 via electrolysis and reforming technologies.⁵

44 The established reforming processes for low-carbon hydrogen generation are steam reforming, oxidative steam reforming, partial oxidation and autothermal reforming. Methane steam reforming (MSR) 45 is a mature technology, dominant at industrial scale supplying 40% of world's hydrogen, with benefits of 46 higher hydrogen yield and concentration in product stream as compared to other reforming technologies.⁶⁻ 47 ⁹ Conventionally, MSR is a highly endothermic reaction (Eq. 1) producing hydrogen and carbon monoxide. 48 49 In the same reaction environment, the exothermic water-gas shift reaction (Eq. 2) transforms carbon 50 monoxide into hydrogen and carbon dioxide in the presence of steam. MSR is carried out at industrial scale over Ni-based pellet catalyst packed in multi-tubular reformers operating at high temperature (>800 °C) 51 and pressure (20 - 40 bar) with steam to carbon (S/C) molar ratio of 2 - 4.¹⁰ 52

53 $CH_4 + H_20 \leftrightarrow CO + 3H_2$ $\Delta H_{298}^o = +206.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (1)

54
$$CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2$$
 $\Delta H_{298}^o = -41 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (2)

55 Heat transfer is critical for the steam reformer's design and performance. Energy is transferred from an external source¹¹ such as homogeneous or catalytic combustion (Eq. 3). Design of CPR requires the balance 56 between heat input and heat consumption.¹² Significant research efforts have been devoted to achieving 57 58 better temperature control of the reformer and proper handling of heat to enhance energy efficiency.¹³⁻¹⁶ In a burner-fired industrial reformer, the heat is supplied mostly via radiative and convective transfer from 59 combustion flames at >1400 °C to the walls of catalyst tubes and via conductive transfer from walls to the 60 catalyst pellets in the tubes. The homogenous combustion in industrial burner releases significant amount 61 62 of harmful pollutants such as carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides and hydrocarbons. The nitrogen oxides in hot flue gases are 90 - 95% nitric oxide (NO) and the remainder 5 - 10% are nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and 63

64 nitrous oxide (N₂O). The catalytic methane combustion (MC) is pursued for stable heat generation because a stable gas-phase combustion cannot be sustained below 1000 °C ¹⁷ above which thermal NOx forms^{18, 19} 65 and is a function of temperature, oxygen concentration and residence time.²⁰ The NOx and especially N₂O 66 67 emissions have not received attentions to date and are less defined in smaller power generation devices as compared to industrial plants,²¹ especially in transient mode of start-up and shutdown.²² A key issue in the 68 69 design and thermal management of catalytic combustor is finding the operating regime for stable combustion.²³ Based on process requirement, the operating temperature for catalytic methane combustor 70 can be categorized into low (300 - 700 °C) and high (700 - 1400 °C).²⁴ MSR is highly endothermic 71 reaction requiring large amount of heat at temperatures up to 1000 °C ²⁵ for attractive equilibrium 72 conversion²⁶, which makes high temperature catalytic combustor as desirable. 73

74
$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \leftrightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O$$
 $\Delta H_{298}^o = -802.7 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (3)

75 The scaled down version of the industrial reformer shows poor performance and responds slowly to throughput variation, mainly because of heat transfer limitations between the flame and the catalyst pellet, 76 and also due to mass transfer limitations.^{27, 28} Furthermore, flames quench at small scales and catalytic 77 combustion is needed.²⁹ By improving transport limitations, microreactor technology provides unique 78 79 opportunities to realize compact and modular steam reformers.³⁰⁻³³ In a microreactor, the improved heat and mass transport rates lead to 1 - 3 times higher throughput of hydrogen.³⁴ Radical improvement in 80 81 reforming performance can be achieved by replacing the external firing by direct heating or more augmented methods of heat supply.¹² In this perspective, the catalytic plate reactor (CPR) is a potential 82 candidate integrating combustor with reformer providing necessary heat mostly via conductive transfer.³⁵ 83 The design of CPR permits higher heat transfer rates due to the short conduction length of thin plate and 84 also higher mass transfer rates because of short diffusion path in thin catalyst layer,³⁶ thus making it 85 efficient and compact.³¹ Several modelling studies³⁶⁻⁵⁴ were performed to design and optimize the CPR that 86 combines the MSR and MC reactions for various applications. 87

88 Despite significant advantages of CPR, there are certain design and operational challenges that need 89 further investigation to build confidence for its practical implementation. The primary concern is thermal imbalance in CPR followed by the formation of hot spots and temperature spikes, thus leading to poor 90 91 reactor performance. Moreover, understanding of dynamics and control characteristics are among the 92 operational challenges of CPR that should be considered at an early design stage. CPR has faster dynamics, 93 due to its compact size, and any disturbance in temperature can potentially be outstripped rapidly because of its very low overall heat transfer resistance.⁵⁵ Daily start-up/shutdown is one of the unique requirements 94 of a compact reformer for distributed hydrogen production. It is challenging and critical for the reformer 95 operation due to process uncertainty, for example, poor synchronization of heat fluxes can result in 96

97 appearance of temperature peaks or extinction of combustion.⁵⁶ The development of localized temperature 98 extremes and thermally imbalanced CPR may challenge the reformer dynamic operation prior to reaching 99 stable operating profiles. This is especially true during the start-up phase of operation which must be 100 adapted for a specific reaction.⁵⁷ Design methodologies to properly align the heat production and heat 101 consumption in CPR include the distributed feed design,^{54, 56, 58} a hybrid (segmented and continuous) 102 catalyst coating,^{38, 40, 41, 47, 59-61} a confined layer of phase change material as heat sink,⁶² and bimetallic strips 103 forming a thermally activated valve in combustion channels.⁶³

104 The flow (co-current or counter-current) modes have a direct impact on the temperature profile and thermal behaviour of CPR⁶⁴ and may impact the reactor performance. Few experimental studies have been 105 attempted for thermal coupling of MSR and MC in a CPR. Tonkovich et al.⁶⁵ investigated a microchannel 106 methane steam reformer with integrated methane partial oxidation to produce syngas mixture for 107 subsequent combustion. The reactor achieves methane conversion of >90% on reforming side at 850 °C 108 and forms low amounts of NOx (< 10 ppm) on combustion side. Venkataraman et al.³¹ reported self-109 sustained steady state operation of two-pass CPR in co-current mode at 800 - 1000 °C with methane 110 conversion of 95% on the reforming side and >90% on the combustion side. In another study, Irankhah et 111 al.⁶⁶ investigated the performance of methane compact reformer integrated with catalytic methane 112 combustor under various operating conditions. As per author's knowledge, there is a lack of experimental 113 114 study on thermal coupling of methane combustor and methane steam reformer in CPR in transient mode 115 during start-up. In the present work, a catalytic plate reactor is developed with an integrated reformer and 116 combustor and experiments are performed to couple methane steam reforming and combustion over 117 5wt%Pt/Al2O3 coated microchannels on alternate sides of a plate in co-current and counter-current flow 118 modes.

119 2 Materials and methods

120 **2.1** Catalytic plate reactor

121 The CPR is developed to allow combustion and reforming streams to flow in the same direction on 122 alternate side of the middle plate for co-current mode and in opposite direction for counter-current mode. 123 The flow area of CPR is comprised an inlet distributor, 10 rectangular shaped microchannels and an outlet 124 collector (Figure 1), and the design is based on the criteria described in the study by Commenge et al.⁶⁷

The CPR is fabricated of 304 stainless steel with assembly of two side-plates and one middle-plate and two microchannel-plates, as shown in Figure 1a. The overall geometrical dimensions of the assembled CPR are 108 mm (length), 75 mm (width) and 15 mm (height), as shown in Figure 1b, where middle-plate is sandwiched in between two side-plates and each side-plate has inlet and outlet tubes. The microchannel plate (50 mm \times 20 mm \times 1 mm) is fabricated with 10 engraved parallel rectangular shaped microchannels 130 (50 mm \times 1 mm \times 0.5 mm). In the study by Zanfir et al.,³⁶ a decrease in channel height (0.5 – 2 mm) at 131 constant flow rate does not introduce significant difference in reactors performance but a slight 132 improvement in outlet conversion is observed due to reduced external mass transfer coefficient. The two 133 side-plates have same dimensions and are engraved with rectangular housing for stainless steel reinforced 134 graphite gaskets to avoid gas leakage. The housing is engraved on both sides of middle-plate for removable 135 microchannel plates along-with inlet distributor and outlet collector. The collector and distributor are 136 designed to ensure uniform flow through microchannels, and also serve as feed preheater.



137 Figure 1. Images of catalytic plate reactor: (a) components of CPR, (b) assembled CPR

A 3D CFD model is employed in COMSOL Multiphysics to investigate flow uniformity and distribution, under the range of experimental flow rates, in microchannels (Figure 2) prior to reactor fabrication. The free and porous media flow interface is applied and governed by the continuity (Eq. 4) and Navier-Stokes (Eq. 5) equations representing mass and momentum balance, respectively, and solved using the finite element method.

$$143 \quad \nabla(\rho \cdot u) = 0 \tag{4}$$

(5)

144
$$\rho(u \cdot \nabla)u = \nabla \cdot [-pl + \mu(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T]$$

145 where ρ is fluid density, μ is velocity, p is pressure, and μ is fluid dynamic viscosity. This is applicable to 146 isothermal and incompressible fluids under the condition of negligible gravitational forces. Nitrogen is considered as the model fluid flowing at 20 °C and reactor outlet pressure of 1 atm. The free tetrahedral 147 mesh is selected with a mesh size of 0.2 mm, balanced between computational time and accuracy, to 148 produce approximately 600,000 elements. The GMRES (generalized minimum residual) iterative linear 149 solver is applied with left preconditioning. Pre- and post- smoothing are carried out using the Vanka 150 algorithm and the convergence is achieved when the solution residuals reached 10^{-4} or below with relative 151 tolerance set to 0.001. 152



154 Figure 2. Microreactor: inlet flow area, distributor, 10 microchannels and outlet flow area.

155 2.2 Catalyst preparation and characterization

The commercial Ni-based catalyst for compact reforming^{50, 68} is somewhat limited due to its susceptibility to deactivation and inability to effectively rapidly remove the heat of combustion, resulting in high temperatures and materials stability and safety issues, especially when exposed to daily startup shutdown. The wash coated precious metal catalyst permits a tenfold reduction in reactor size compared to Ni catalyst pellets. The relatively higher cost of noble metal catalyst is alleviated when considering the reduced reactor size and existing precious metals recycling processes.⁶⁹

The noble metal based catalysts employed for MSR are Rh, Ru, Pt, and Ni, ⁷⁰⁻⁷² and for MC are Pd, 162 Pt, and Rh.^{73, 74} The platinum catalyst is preferred in most cases and selected for this study due to its good 163 activity toward both reactions. In a study, the effect of Pt catalyst loading (0.03 - 30 wt) on γ -alumina 164 was investigated for MC and a maximum methane oxidation rate was observed at 5 wt%.75 The 5% Pt/Al₂O₃ 165 166 catalyst layer is coated over microchannels by following the steps: (i) substrate pre-treatment, (ii) primer 167 coating, (iii) slurry washcoating, and (iv) incipient wetness impregnation. The primer coating, slurry properties, and washcoating procedure strongly influence the adherence, uniformity, and the loading of 168 catalyst layer over the aluminium-free stainless steel microchannels, as investigated in a previous study,⁷⁶ 169 170 and the optimized conditions for washcoating of γ -Al₂O₃ on the microchannels were selected.

In the substrate pre-treatment, the surface of stainless steel microchannels is treated with aqua regia, a mixture of 1:3 molar ratio of nitric acid (70%, Sigma Aldrich) and hydrochloric acid (37%, Sigma-Aldrich), increasing surface roughness to help anchoring the primer layer. To remove any contamination, the microchannel-plates are cleaned with a soap solution followed by sonication in acetone-water solution at

33 kHz for 60 min. After washing with deionized water, the microchannel plates are finally dried at 120 °C
in stagnant air.

177 In the primer coating step, the microchannels are coated with a thin alumina primer layer to further 178 enhance adherence of the alumina washcoat. A pimer solution (2% alumina, 4% polyvinyl alcohol) is 179 prepared using a boehmite sol and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA, Sigma-Aldrich) in water. Boehmite sol is prepared by adding aluminium hydroxide (Disperal P2, 45µm, Sasol) to a 0.4wt% HNO₃ aqueous solution 180 181 and then aged for 48 h to complete the peptization process. Boehmite sol is mixed with the required amount 182 of PVA and stirred for 2 h. PVA is used as a slurry stabilizer and an additive to control drying and reduce 183 crack formation in the alumina layer. After filling the microchannels with the primer solution, the microplates are dried at room temperature for 3 h and at 120 °C for 8 h, and then finally calcined in stagnant 184 185 air at 600 °C for 5 h.

In the washcoating step, the slurry (14 wt% γ-Al₂O₃, 2 wt% PVA, 3 wt% colloidal alumina) is 186 washcoated over the primer coated microchannels. Colloidal alumina AL20 (20 wt% aluminium hydroxide 187 oxide, NYCOL) is used as inorganic binder. A 30 wt% aqueous γ-Al₂O₃ (Fluka) slurry is milled in ball mill 188 (Pulverisette 6, Fritsch, Germany) and the pH is adjusted to 3.0 by adding nitric acid. After adding the 189 required amounts of PVA and colloidal alumina, the slurry is stirred for 2 h and left for 24 h at ambient 190 conditions. A coating of alumina washcoat is applied by filling the primer-coated microchannels with 191 192 alumina-slurry. Then the microchannels are dried at room temperature for 3 h and at 120 °C for 8 h, and 193 then calcined in stagnant air at 600 °C for 5 h. The washcoat layer outside the channel is removed and the 194 washcoating process is repeated until the desired weight is obtained.

In the incipient wetness impregnation step, the Pt (5 wt%) catalyst is impregnated onto the alumina washcoated microchannels. The aqueous solution of tetra-ammine platinum (II) nitrate ($[Pt(NH_3)_4](NO_3)_2$, 99.995% trace metal basis, Sigma-Aldrich) is added dropwise with a micro-pipette on alumina washcoat in the microchannels. The catalytic microchannel plates are dried at 120 °C for 10 h followed by calcination in stagnant air at 300 °C for 2 h.

200 The topology of uncoated, acid treated and washcoated microchannels is examined using scanning 201 electron microscopy (SEM FEI Quanta Inspect LV 30 KV). The adherence of catalyst layer to microchannels is very important for successful application of the microreactor. The adherence of catalyst 202 203 layer is evaluated using ultra-sonication. The washcoated microplates are dipped in acetone and water solution (50:50 vol%) in a glass beaker and sonicated at 33 kHz and 130 W for 30 min in the S3M 2200 204 205 device by Sonica. Then the microchannel plates are dried at 110 °C for 30 min and the procedure is repeated. 206 The percent weight loss (ΔW) is the normalized weight difference of microplates before (W_h) and after (W_h) the ultrasonic treatment, as described by the following equation. 207

208
$$\Delta W(\%) = \frac{(W_b - W_a)}{W_b} \times 100$$
 (6)

209 2.3 Experimental setup

210 A schematic diagram of the experimental setup is depicted in Figure 3. It is comprised a feeding system, a catalytic plate reactor, and an analysis system. The gases (i.e., methane, air and nitrogen) are supplied 211 212 from high pressure cylinders using Brooks mass flow controllers, then premixed at desired flow rates and fed to the CPR operating at atmospheric pressure. The flow rate of deionized water from a N₂-pressurized 213 water vessel is controlled by a Bronkhorst mass flow controller. The feed water is evaporated and mixed 214 with methane at 120 °C in a specially designed evaporator-mixer. The reformer feed tube is wrapped with 215 216 electric trace heating and maintained at temperature >120 °C to avoid water condensation. The combustor feed tube is not heated externally by electric trace heating. 217

218 The CPR is placed in a horizontal split tube furnace (Carbolite, 500 mm heating length) with a PID 219 temperature regulator. To minimize heat losses, the CPR is insulated with vermiculite (3M Italia S.p.A) 220 and aerogel (Aspen Aerogels). The feed tubes (24 cm each) inside the furnace serve as preheaters before 221 entering the CPR. It is important to confine the combustion reaction in the combustion channels, and for 222 this purpose, the inlet and outlet tube of the combustion section are filled with alumina balls to suppress 223 the propagation of flames. It is difficult to insert a thermocouple in the reaction channels; therefore, two Ktype thermocouples are mounted at the outer surfaces of CPR to measure the combustion (T_{COMB}) and 224 reforming (T_{REF}) surface temperature. To record the furnace temperature (T_{FURN}) , a third K-type 225 226 thermocouple is positioned in the wrapped insulation at a distance of 5 cm from the CPR inside the furnace. 227 The effluent product streams from the reformer and the combustor are passed through water condensers 228 before feeding to online gas analysers. The dry reformed gas is analysed by a non-dispersive infrared 229 absorption analyser (NDIR Uras 14 for CH₄/CO/CO₂, ABB Company) and a thermal conductivity analyser (Caldos 17 for H₂, ABB Company). The composition of the combustion outlet stream is measured by a 230 231 paramagnetic analyser (MAGNOS 106 for oxygen) and a non-dispersive infrared analyser (URAS 14 for 232 CO/CO₂/NO/N₂O/CH₄, ABB Company).





Figure 3. Schematic of experimental setup for coupling of MSR and MC in catalytic plate reactor.

235 The main challenge for methane combustor is to raise its temperature to ignition point, which can be 236 achieved by resistive heating or using a self-igniting fuel-catalyst system. This methodology is also applicable to a CPR stack comprising of multiple plates. Zhang et al.⁷⁷ used an electrically resistive heated 237 catalyst to ignite MC while coupling with MSR in the alternate channels of the CPR. To initiate CPR 238 heating at room temperature, hydrogen is a promising candidate that can be ignited over Pt catalyst but 239 results in non-uniform temperature profile and poses an explosion risk.⁷⁸ On the other hand, part of the 240 241 methane reforming feed can be used in combustor but requires a high temperature to initiate the oxidation reaction.⁶⁶ In the present study, an electric furnace is used for heating the reactor to the desired reaction 242 243 temperature. Initially heat from electric furnace is used to initiate methane combustion and after that the 244 methane-air flow in combustor is varied to regulate the reactor temperature.

Prior to coupling experiments, preliminary experiments are carried out in CPR to evaluate the activity of 5% Pt/Al₂O₃ towards MC and MSR reactions. The reactor is placed without insulation in the electric furnace to provide heat necessary for the reaction. The feed stream is passed through one side and nitrogen (100 mL/min) on the other side of the reactor. Nitrogen flow of 100 mL/min is passed through the reactor during cooling to avoid catalyst damage.

In each coupling experiment, the start-up of the reactor is performed in two phases: i) a furnace-heating phase and ii) a furnace-off phase. In the furnace-heating phase, heat is provided from the electric furnace to initiate MC and increase the reactor temperature at a ramp rate of 10 °C/min. Prior to start heating, the reactor is purged with N₂ at a flow rate of 100 mL/min for 30 minutes. Then methane and air streams are 254 premixed and replace the nitrogen stream. Meanwhile on the reforming side, nitrogen flow is switched to 255 a constant methane-steam mixture flow when Tref reaches 400 °C. One important factor to consider during start-up is the susceptible formation of carbon deposits on the catalyst surface in the reformer.⁷⁹ Therefore 256 to avoid coke formation, MSR is performed at a steam to carbon molar ratio (S/C) of 4 that is very close to 257 the typical industrial reforming value of 3.3, much higher than the minimum ratio of 1.7.36 In the second 258 furnace-off phase, T_{COMB} was monitored, and methane-air flow rate was varied manually to control the 259 amount of energy on the combustion side to regulate the reactor temperature. The reactor temperature is 260 261 raised to a maximum of 1100 °C for a short duration without detection of any visible physical deterioration. 262 For safety and to avoid catalyst deterioration, the reactor is purged with nitrogen (100 mL/min) during 263 cooling. The methane conversion (X_{CH_4}) and CO selectivity (S_{CO}) are defined as follows:

264
$$X_{CH_4} = \frac{F_{CH_4,in} - F_{CH_4,out}}{F_{CH_4,in}} \times 100$$
 (7)

265
$$S_{CO} = \frac{F_{CO out}}{F_{CH_{4,in}} - F_{CH_{4,out}}} \times 100$$
 (8)

where F_{CH_4in} , F_{CH_4out} are the inlet and outlet molar flow rates of methane and $F_{CO,out}$ is the outlet molar flow of carbon monoxide.

268 **3.** Results and discussion

269 **3.1** CFD Modelling

270 The catalytic plate reformer needs careful design to avoid flow maldistribution, a typical pathology, for uniform reaction conditions in each channel and higher reforming efficiency in the reformer.⁸⁰ Any 271 flow maldistribution can lead to burning more methane in one or more channels, misalignment of reaction 272 zones, hot spot formation, overheating, and significantly lower reformer performance.^{81, 82} A two-273 274 dimensional (2D) view of the velocity profile in the flow area of the CPR is shown in Figure 4 (a - c) for 275 an average channel inlet velocity at 1.4 m/s, 32.2 m/s and 57.3 m/s, respectively. More data in terms of 276 channel inlet velocity and velocity profiles are shown in Table S3 and Figure S1. The highest velocities occur at the inlet and outlet of the flow distributors. The difference between the highest and the lowest 277 velocities in the microchannels is never higher than 7.1% of the average value. The difference between the 278 279 minimum and maximum velocities in microchannels increases linearly with the average velocity, as shown in Figure S2. In spite of these variances, uniform velocity profiles are obtained across the microfluidic 280 281 channels to enable high performance reactions.



282

Figure 4. 2D velocity profile in flow area of CPR at average channel inlet velocity of (a) 1.4 m/s, (b) 32.2
m/s and (c) 57.3 m/s.

An additional approach to assess the flow is by investigating the pressure drop. The pressure profile in 285 the flow area of CPR is shown in Figure 5 for channel inlet velocity from 1.4 to 57.3 m/s; the pressure is 286 287 uniformly distributed across all the microchannels (see also Figure S3). The pressure drop contributes to 288 parasitic losses and is important for practical application. The pressure drop across the micro channels is 289 increased from 81 to 57397 Pa as the flow increases from 0.4 to 15.7 L/min, as shown in Table S4. 290 Therefore, the maximum velocity is an important parameter to be controlled to remain within acceptable pressure drops. Unfortunately, there is a lack of experimental data to validate the pressure drop estimated 291 from fluid flow model. 292



293

Figure 5. 3D pressure profile in the flow area of the CPR at a channel inlet velocity of (a) 1.4 m/s, (b) 32.2
m/s and (c) 57.3 m/s.

296 3.2 Catalyst Characterization

The surface roughness of stainless steel microchannels is analysed using SEM before and after the acid treatment, as shown in Figure 6 (a – b), respectively. The result shows an enhanced surface roughness after acid treatment (Figure 6b) which could amplify the adherence of the washcoat layer in the microchannels. The thickness of the primer layer is estimated as 1.46 μ m considering the apparent coating density of 1.5 g/cm³ and the primer layer weight gain.

The SEM image (Figure 6c) shows the morphology and homogeneity of Pt/Al₂O₃ catalyst layer coated 302 on the walls of microchannels. The catalyst layer is homogeneous and uniformly distributed throughout the 303 channel with strong washcoat-wall interaction. The catalyst layer shows a rough, porous, and uniform 304 morphology. Minor cracks are observed in the catalyst layer which may be due to the stresses developed 305 by shrinkage and gas formed during drying and calcination. The average catalyst layer thickness in the 306 microchannels varies from 121 µm (10.2 mg/cm²) to 147 µm (12.4 mg/cm²). The higher catalyst loading 307 offers performance advantages in dynamic mode of heat exchange-microreactor⁸³ but is quite a challenging 308 to coat a thick catalyst layer on a metallic microchannel with good adherence.⁸⁴ 309



Figure 6. SEM images of topology of microchannels of (a) uncoated, (b) acid treated microchannel, and(c) catalyst coated.

The mechanical stability of catalytic washcoat lined over stainless steel microchannels is critical to 312 313 avoid catalyst loss during operation. Quantification of the catalyst layer's adherence can be done by ultra-314 sonication, simulated environment, thermal shock, drop test, abrasive test, pull-off method and scratch methods.⁸⁵ For comparison with previous work.⁷⁶ the adherence of washcoat is evaluated by most 315 commonly used ultra-sonication method and no peeling off of the catalyst layer was observed. The weight 316 loss of two microplates is evaluated as 9.5% and 10.3% with an average value of 9.9% in good agreement 317 with the prior work.⁷⁶ Weight loss of less than 12% represents good adherence that is necessary for 318 successful application of catalyst coated microreactor. 319

320 **3.3** Single reaction in the catalytic plate reactor (CPR)

321 **3.3.1** Catalytic methane combustion (MC)

322 MC is evaluated by varying the reactor temperature with premixed methane-air mixture (5 vol % CH₄ in air, equivalence ratio = 2) flowing over 5wt% Pt/Al₂O₃ coated microchannels at a constant residence 323 324 time of 41.1 ms. The equivalence ratio (λ) is defined as the ratio of actual air-fuel ratio to the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio for a given mixture. Lean methane-air mixtures are favourable to achieve high conversion and 325 thermal efficiencies as compared to a stoichiometric mixture.^{86, 87} As shown in Figure 7, methane 326 conversion starts at 0.3% at 400 °C, and increases to 98.1% at 900 °C. The temperatures (T₁₀, T₅₀, T₉₀) at 327 which methane conversion reaches 10%, 50% and 90% are 589 °C, 669 °C and 845.5 °C, respectively. In 328 a study for lean methane-air mixture ($\lambda = 2$),⁸⁸ methane conversion of 95.7% was obtained at 450 °C over 329 3.8wt% Pt/Al₂O₃ coated microchannels with a residence time of 14.4 s in a stainless steel microreactor. 330 The exhaust fraction of CO₂ and CO was increased to 8.6% and 0.3%, respectively, at 900 °C. The notable 331 CO formation above 800 °C might be due to the contribution of slow homogeneous combustion⁸⁹ which is 332 unavoidable above 600 °C ²⁵ or steam and dry methane reforming reactions during combustion.⁹⁰ The 333 334 results may suggest the presence of both catalytic and homogeneous MC in the reactor, where the purely 335 homogeneous gas-phase MC cannot be sustained in channels gap below a critical dimension of 2.8 mm for 336 premixed lean methane-air mixtures.⁹¹ The coexistence of homogenous combustion in a catalytic

337 combustor makes catalytic combustion more complex, which leads to complex coupling the two.⁹²

However, the catalyst still maintained its utility in limiting the formation of CO through its oxidation into

339 CO₂.





342 3.3.2 Methane steam reforming

343 Steady state MSR is carried out by varying reactor temperature over 5wt% Pt/Al₂O₃ coated microchannel at constant space velocity (WHSV = 36 NL/h/g_{cat}) with S/C ratio of 4.0. As shown in Figure 344 8a, methane conversion is increased with temperature as it reaches 73.4% at 900 °C and hydrogen 345 concentration follows the same pattern with a value of 70% at 900 °C. Similar to CO concentration (Figure 346 347 8a), CO selectivity (Figure 8b) also increases from 25% to 47.9% with a rise in temperature. As a measure 348 of the selectivity to hydrogen, the H₂/CO molar ratio shows an opposite trend to methane conversion and drops from 34.5 to 7.2, similar values were also observed in the previous studies,^{70, 71} probably due to 349 increased contribution of MSR over the water-gas shift reaction at higher temperatures. At lower 350 351 temperatures, the high H₂/CO ratio is because of the lower methane conversion and the significant 352 contribution of the water-gas shift reaction.



Figure 8. Steady state MSR performance as a function of temperature (a) methane conversion and product
 gas (H₂, CO, CO₂) composition and (b) CO selectivity and H₂/CO ratio.

355 **3.4** Coupled reactions in the catalytic plate reactor (CPR)

356 For coupling experiments in co-current and counter-current modes, the reformer is operated at constant 357 methane flow of 45 mL/min and S/C of 4:1 (WHSV of 110 NL/hr/g_{cat}, channel inlet velocity of 1.5 m/s, and residence time of 33 ms). After high methane conversion in the combustor is reached, the electric 358 furnace is turned off and the heat generated is used to maintain T_{COMB} at ~ 1000 °C by regulating the 359 methane-air flow (7 vol% CH₄, stoichiometric ratio $\lambda = 1.40$). The autoignition temperature of gas-phase 360 MC is minimum at 7 vol% methane in air and is 600 °C 93 . The heat generated in the form of thermal energy 361 362 (W_t) is calculated based on the lower heating value of methane (LHV_{CH_4}) . The combustor feed channel inlet velocity of 5.3 - 60.9 m/s (residence time of 9.5 - 0.8 ms) is much higher than the typical channel inlet 363 velocity of 1 m/s in a micro-combustor.²³ For co-current and counter-current operation, the combustor 364 channel inlet velocity as a function of time on stream is provided in Supplementary Information (Figure 365 S4). Freshly coated catalytic microchannel plates were used for each experiment and no deterioration of 366 catalyst layer was observed after each experiments. The expansion and contraction during start-up and 367 368 shutdown and associated attrition are alleviated by having the noble metal catalyst washcoated on metallic structures.94 369

The internal and external mass transfer limitations are evaluated for MSR at the maximum conversion in the co-current and counter-current modes. The absence of external mass transfer limitation in MSR reaction is confirmed using the Carberry criterion⁹⁵ (co-current mode: 0.007 < 0.05, counter-current mode: 0.006 < 0.05), see Supporting Information. The reactants diffuse from the alumina washcoat surface through the pores to the Pt catalyst active sites. The lack of significant diffusion limitation in the catalyst washcoat is established using the Weisz-Prater criterion⁹⁶ (co-current: 0.91 < 1.0, counter-current: 0.85 < 1.0).

377 3.4.1 Co-current coupling

In co-current mode, the feed streams flow in the same direction in the combustor and reformer on 378 alternate sides of the middle plate. In the combustor, the methane conversion increases with time, as shown 379 in Figure 9. The temperatures (T_{10}, T_{50}, T_{90}) of the combustion surface at which MC reaches conversion of 380 10, 50, and 90% are 631, 861, and 884 °C, respectively. Complete methane conversion is achieved at T_{comb} 381 of 901 °C and TOS of 50.2 min. In an experimental study, Ismagilov et al.,⁹⁷ observed the ignition 382 383 temperature of 1037 °C for MC over Pt/Al₂O₃ catalytic foam while coupling with MSR in a catalytic heat 384 exchange-tubular reactor. The concentrations of CO₂ and CO increase with methane conversion and reach 385 values of 10.6 vol% and 0.65 vol% (6460 ppm), respectively, at 901 °C. Both catalytic reactions as well as homogeneous gas-phase reactions may contribute to CO formation. As the reactor temperature increases, 386 gradient in temperature between the catalyst surface and bulk gas in the microchannels may form, which 387 may initiate gas-phase combustion in the boundary layer. With further rise in temperature, reactions may 388 start in the bulk phase and their rate can significant.¹⁸ For MC, homogenous gas-phase reactions start 389 contributing at >700 °C.²⁴ In transient and steady state operations, gas-phase reactions result in reduced 390 CH₄ emissions and increased CO emissions.²³ After complete methane conversion, CO declines sharply 391 down to 25 ppm that confirms the contribution of catalytic reaction in MC. After a stabilizing period of 392 393 about 14 min, the CO concentration jumps up to 586 ppm and the CO₂ concentration declines down to 9.6 394 vol% at 64 min when the thermal energy input was increased to $112 W_t$. At this point gas-phase temperature 395 in the microchannel might have reached a value where homogeneous reaction starts contributing more. A 396 downward spike in methane conversion is also observed with a quick recovery at each flow variation due 397 to time needed for the system to re-equilibrate.



398

Figure 9. Methane conversion and concentration profiles in the combustion side in co-current operation asa function of time on stream.

401 After stabilization of the MC reaction, confirmed by product gas composition online analysis, the furnace is switched off at T_{FURN} of 1021 °C and heat from the combustor sustains both the oxidation 402 403 reaction⁹⁰ and steam reforming reaction. The principal method of heat transfer is wall-to-wall/in-wall thermal conduction. However, the heat transfer by radiation become significant as the wall temperature 404 exceeds 800 °C.¹⁸ In the absence of sharp temperature peaks in Figure 10, T_{COMB} profile indicates that the 405 MC is stabilized and confined inside the catalytic microchannels. After turning off the electric furnace, 406 407 T_{FURN} is drops continuously and T_{REF} and T_{COMB} differ somewhat. As the furnace temperature drops below the reactor temperatures, the thermal energy input is increased exponentially (Figure S5) to compensate for 408 the heat losses to maintain T_{COMB} . For example, when set at 438 W_t, $\Delta T_1 = T_{COMB} - T_{FURN} = 295.7 \,^{\circ}C$ 409 and $\Delta T_2 = T_{COMB} - T_{REF} = 54.1 \,^{\circ}C$ at the end of experiment. 410



412 Figure 10. Temperature profile of co-current mode in CPR.

NO is not detected in the combustor outlet thus excluding thermal NO formation known as the 413 Zeldovich mechanism.⁹⁸ The use of a lean methane-air mixture also excludes fuel rich (prompt NO) and 414 fuel bound NO mechanisms. Another route for NO formation is by oxidation of N₂O intermediate to NO 415 through the N₂O mechanism during lean MC when the combustion temperature is below 1200 °C.⁹⁹⁻¹⁰¹. By 416 this mechanism, N₂O formation is initiated by recombination of molecular nitrogen with atomic oxygen by 417 a three-body recombination reaction $(0 + N_2 + M \rightarrow N_2 0 + M)$, where M is any collision partner, 418 followed by oxidation of N₂O to NO.¹⁰² The formation of N₂O is confirmed at T_{COMB} of 490 °C, as shown 419 in Figure 11. N₂O formation becomes important at gas phase temperature of > 550 °C, well within the 420 range of catalytic combustion.⁹⁰ Formation of significant quantities of N₂O can occur by catalytic 421 reactions.^{18, 103} The appearance of N₂O only in the product stream suggests that the residence or the 422 temperature is not high enough for the decomposition of N₂O to NO. With increase in energy input, the 423 N_2O level rises sharply till 580 ppm then it is incremental to > 650 ppm, which is much higher than the 424

425 N_2O concentration of <5 ppm found in most combustion devices. The main exception to this is fluidized 426 bed which burns coal forming flue gas with $N_2O \sim 50$ ppm.¹⁰⁴



427

428 Figure 11. The concentration of N₂O (ppm) for co-current mode in catalytic plate reactor.

429 In co-current flow, the temperature is the highest near the channel inlet of the methane combustor and the kinetics of reforming is also very fast. Due to early conversion in channels⁴⁶ and overlapping of reaction 430 zones in co-current mode, the heat generated by MC is subsequently used by the endothermic steam 431 reforming reaction on alternate side of the middle plate. Overlap of reaction zones helps to reduce hot spots 432 and temperature spikes.¹⁰⁵ Co-current flow mode is favourable in preventing the runaway of highly 433 434 exothermic reactions where cooling is provided by steam reforming. The combustion generates surplus heat which is consumed by the highly endothermic steam reforming reaction moving in the same direction 435 on alternate side due to overlapping of both reaction zones. This overlapping of reaction zones helps to 436 reduce hot spots in the reactor.¹⁰⁵ 437

438 The methane conversion, CO selectivity and H_2 fraction increase with reactor temperature during the furnace heating phase, as shown in Figure 12. The highest CH_4 conversion (84.9%) H_2 fraction of 68.1% 439 occur at T_{REF} of 1022 °C when the temperature difference between T_{FURN} , T_{COMB} and T_{REF} is at minimal. 440 The corresponding CO selectivity is 61.3% and continues to rise and stabilizes at ~ 70% when the 441 combustion surface temperature is maintained at ~1000 °C. As per the study of Zanfir et al.,³⁶ the catalyst 442 443 layer thickness has a pronounced effect on the thermal behaviour and outlet conversions and the drop in 444 reforming performance at higher catalyst loading is more pronounced compared to catalytic combustion. 445 The drop in CH_4 conversion can be attributed to heat losses resulting from the colder environment once the furnace is turned off. This comes with concomitant decrease in hydrogen concentration and a more gradual 446 decrease in CO. Good performance of the reactor requires fast heat exchange between the two sides and 447 low heat dissipation to the surroundings. The high heat loss results in significant loss of efficiency.⁵¹ 448



450 Figure 12. CH₄ conversion, CO selectivity and percent profiles (H₂, CO and CO₂) of MSR for co-current
451 flow mode with methane flow rate of 45 mL/min and S/C molar ratio of 4.0.

Another experiment was performed with fixed flows to combustor and reformer in the CPR to further 452 investigate the effect of heat loss on MSR during co-current operation. After complete methane combustion 453 was achieved, the furnace was turned ON and OFF, to estimate the heat necessary to overcome external 454 heat losses and maintain the reactor temperature. The results are provided in the Supporting Information 455 456 (Figure S6 – S9). The methane conversion with the furnace on was stabilized at $35 \pm 4\%$ but dropped under heat loss. A similar influence of heat loss on the reformer performance was observed during coupling of 457 MSR and MC in a previous study,⁵¹ where the methane conversion in the steam reformer dropped from 458 80% under adiabatic conditions to 10% under laboratory heat loss conditions. As mentioned in the study 459 of Mettler et al.,⁴⁸ methane fuelled smaller microreactor stacks are unstable because of heat losses. 460 Moreover Mettler et al.⁵¹ also investigated the influence of number of catalytic plates in stack reactor on 461 462 the coupling of exothermic and endothermic reactions for syngas production. The results suggest that the 463 heat losses strongly influence the combustion reaction and the energy transfer from combustion to 464 reforming side declines and decrease the performance of the reformer. The negative influence of heat losses 465 can be minimized by increasing the number of catalytic plates in stack reactor. Under laboratory heat loss conditions, the moderately conductive walls of stainless-steel catalytic plate reactor are unstable due to lack 466 of effective insulation. As suggested by Mettler et al.,⁴⁸ the stability of plate reactor can be enhanced by 467 increasing the number of catalytic plates, enhancing the net power input, modifying the dimension and wall 468 469 material, increasing catalyst loading, and changing combustion fuel. As per theoretical study by Zanfir and Gavriilidis,⁵³ co-current is more thermally balanced and shows lower conversion for MSR as compared to 470 counter-current operation. 471

472 **3.4.2** Counter-current coupling

- 473 For counter-current mode, the temperature profiles of CPR are shown in Figure 13. The temperatures
- 474 $(T_{10}, T_{50}, \text{ and } T_{90})$ to reach 10, 50, and 90% methane conversion in the combustor are 754, 920, and 934
- 475 °C, respectively. Complete conversion for methane is observed at $T_{COMB} = 943$ °C, $T_{FURN} = 1038$ °C and





477

478 Figure 13. Temperature profile in counter current flow operation.

The MC reaction becomes thermally unstable due to either flashback, in which the reaction front 479 propagates upstream, blow-off, in which reaction front propagates downstream, or extinction ⁸⁷. After 480 switching-off the furnace at T_{FURN} of 1059 °C, three flashbacks are observed in the combustor when the 481 flame velocity exceeds the methane-air mixture inlet velocity.¹⁰⁶ The flashbacks appear after three 482 excessive temperature peaks with a sharp decline in T_{COMB} profile and the flame moves from the catalytic 483 484 channels to the feed tube just after the alumina ball packed flame arrestor. These high temperature peaks are a main obstacle in the implementation of counter-current operation mode.¹⁰⁷ The delocalization of 485 reactions zones leads to extra heat generation that drives the combustion temperature to be unnecessarily 486 high resulting in flashback. After each flashback, the combustor is purged with nitrogen (50 mL/min) and 487 the combustor feed stream is introduced again. No blow-off or extinction is observed in the methane 488 combustor under the investigated conditions. 489

490 According to Mundhawa et al.,⁴¹ the combustion reaction completes in the first 20 - 30% of the channel 491 length of the plate reactor. As the combustion reaction is much faster than the reforming reaction, heat 492 generation overcomes the heat consumption and sudden rise in temperature is observed especially as the 493 reaction zone do not overlap. Another possible reason for flashback in counter-current mode is the light-494 off phenomena through convective feedback of heat, something that is prevented in the concurrent mode.¹⁰⁷ 495 To overcome sharp temperature rise in counter-current mode, a distributed feed design at multiple locations 496 can be implemented at the cost of complex reactor design.^{54, 105} The flashbacks of counter-current mode 497 can be avoided successfully by adjusting the flow rate, see in additional coupling experiments in Supporting
498 Information (Figure S11 – S14).

The thermal energy input to the combustion section is about three times lower for counter-current operation due to preheating as compared to co-current operation, specifically evaluated at a furnace temperature of 730 °C. The counter-current operation benefits from heat recovery from the hot products to preheat the cold reformer feed–stream. However, this results in large temperature gradients in the reactor,³⁶ leading to hot spot formation. Large temperature transients and thermal gradients may also be generated during the startup.¹⁰⁸ Hot spots lead to higher thermal stress in the reactor in counter-current mode compared to the co-current mode.¹⁰⁹



506

Figure 14. CH₄ conversion and percent of products of the combustion stream in counter-current operationas a function of time on stream.

509 For counter-current mode, the methane conversion and profiles of CO and CO₂ vs. TOS are shown in 510 Figure 14. During the three flashbacks, the methane conversion remains at 100% whereas the CO₂ concentration drops due to purge-shots of N₂ in feed, and the CO concentration varies non-monotonically. 511 Like the co-current operation, the energy input to the combustion increases when the furnace temperature 512 drops. To confirm this phenomenon, the furnace is turned on to increase the temperature surrounding the 513 CPR which results in drop in energy input from 142 to 110 Wt. This energy input is increased exponentially 514 (Figure S10) again when the furnace is turned off and the furnace temperature declines to the same value 515 with the same energy input to the combustion section. T_{FURN} is dropped continuously after the turning off 516 517 electric furnace that results in bigger difference T_{REF} and T_{COMB} . At the end of the experiment, the temperature difference $T_{FURN} - T_{COMB}$ increases to 278.7 °C and energy input to the combustor rises to 518 519 142 W_t.

520 The concentration of carbon dioxide correlates with methane conversion and reaches a value of 10.7
521 vol% at 943 °C with complete methane conversion at 73.7 min of TOS, as seen in Figure 14. A similar
522 trend is observed for the CO concentration with a maximum value of 6.5 vol%. After complete methane

523 conversion, CO declines sharply down to 2456 ppm. This confirms the catalytic combustion of methane in

- 524 the catalytic plate reactor. A downward spike in methane conversion was observed at the same time which
- suggests a decline in methane conversion at every flow rate change during the experiment but with a quick
- 526 recovery in conversion. Like co-current mode, NO is also not detected in the combustor outlet stream. The
- 527 formation of N₂O is confirmed at T_{COMB} of 384 °C, as shown in Figure 15. During ignition, the N₂O
- 528 concentration increases up to 142.8 ppm with a subsequent sudden drop that remains < 9 ppm except during
- 529 flashbacks and instantaneous spikes at flow variation points representing changes in energy input.





531 Figure 15. Concentration of N₂O (ppm) for counter-current mode in the catalytic plate reactor.

For MSR in counter-current mode, the highest value of CH₄ conversion of 80.2% occurs at T_{REF} = 532 533 1027 °C along with CO selectivity and H₂ fraction of 60.4% and 70.2%, respectively (Figure 16). After switching furnace off, the CH₄ conversion in the reforming side drops due to heat losses. To confirm the 534 influence of the surrounding temperature on heat losses and reactor performance, T_{FURN} was increased 535 from 704.9 °C to 810 °C by switching it on/off. As a result, the heat input to the combustion section 536 537 decreased and the CH₄ conversion increased. As the difference between the furnace and CPR temperatures increased, reactor performance dropped in all cases due to external heat losses. CH₄ catalytic combustion 538 reaction was self-sustained for all conditions investigated while the CH₄ conversion in the reforming 539 540 section dropped after switching the furnace off. Ineffective insulations are the primary cause of heat losses 541 and the small throughput of the system is not capable of keeping up. In reality, ineffective insulations were needed to initiate methane catalytic oxidation reaction by providing heat from the furnace. CPR was made 542 543 of moderately conductive stainless steel wall which is also unstable under laboratory heat loss conditions.^{48,} ⁵¹ The increase in the number of plates in the form of a stack can help to improve stability. As in the study 544 of Mettler et al.,⁴⁸ plate reactor stack consisting of 9 alternating steam reforming and combustion plates 545 provides a middle ground in terms of stability. 546



548 Figure 16. CH_4 conversion, CO selectivity and fraction of CO, CO_2 and H_2 in MSR for counter-current 549 flow mode with methane flow rate of 45 mL/min and S/C molar ratio of 4.0.

550 4. Conclusions

551 With the resurged interest in distributed hydrogen production, design of small, portable, more energy 552 efficient reformers becomes important. Due to their small size, flames typically used for heating large scale 553 reformers are impractical and catalytic combustion is the preferred heat generation approach. A catalytic plate reactor was designed and developed to study coupling of methane combustion and methane steam 554 555 reforming over 5wt%Pt/Al₂O₃ coated microchannels and understand the start-up behaviour. The reactor 556 setup was assessed using CFD simulations, which showed uniform velocity and pressure distribution along the microfluidic channels. The catalyst layer shows homogeneous distribution and excellent adherence. 557 558 The combustor and reformer were successfully coupled experimentally in co-current and counter-current 559 modes, and issues posed by the CPR during startup were identified. Regarding methane conversion, the 560 transient performance of methane steam reformer is slightly better in co-current mode than counter-current 561 mode at the cost of three fold higher energy consumption in the combustor operating at ~ 1000 °C. In the catalytic methane combustor, complete conversion was achieved over a wide channel velocity range (5.1 562 -57.3 m/s) without NO formation, but with N₂O identified in the combustor outlet. The concentration of 563 564 N₂O is much lower in the counter-current mode, probably due to higher energy input in the latter. Three flashbacks were encountered in counter-current mode indicating thermal imbalance as a result of 565 566 delocalization and minimal coupling of reaction zones. Mitigation strategies regarding safety were discussed. This study contributes to the understanding of coupling methane combustion and reforming in 567 a single plate reactor in transient mode during start-up. Future work will focus on the development and 568 569 experimental evaluation of CPR of multiple plates coated with active catalyst suitable for each reaction.

570 Supporting Information

571 S1. Additional details of calculation. Table S1. Operating conditions of catalytic methane combustion for 572 co-current flow mode. Table S2. Operating conditions of catalytic methane combustion for counter-current 573 flow mode. Table S3. Average inlet velocity in the center of channels in CPR. Figure S1 (a - I). The velocity 574 profile in microchannels of catalytic plate reactor at channel inlet velocity from 1.4 to 57.3 m/s. Figure S2: 575 Difference between minimum and maximum velocities (m/s) versus average channel inlet velocity. Figure S3 (a - I). The pressure profile in microchannels of catalytic plate reactor at channel inlet velocity from 1.4 576 to 57.3 m/s. Table S4. Pressure drop across microchannels in catalytic plate reactor. Figure S4: Channel 577 inlet velocity as a function of time on stream (TOS) for coupling of MSR and MC. Figure S5: Energy input 578 579 as a function of $\Delta T_1 = T_{COMB} - T_{FURN}$ for co-current opeation. S2. Second co-current coupling experiment - Furnace heat management. Figure S6: Temperature profile of co-current mode in CPR managed by 580 furnace heat. Figure S7: CH₄ conversion, CO selectivity and percent profiles (H₂, CO and CO₂) of MSR 581 for co-current furnace heat managed with a methane flow (45 mL/min) and S/C of 5.0 (172 NL/h/g_{cat}). 582 Figure S8: Methane conversion and concentration profiles in the combustion side in co-current operation 583 584 as a function of time on stream (furnace heat managed). Figure S9: The concentration of N₂O (ppm) for co-current mode in CPR (furnace heat managed). Figure S10: Energy input as a function of $\Delta T_2 = T_{COMB}$ – 585 T_{FURN} for counter-current operation. S3. Second counter-current coupling experiment. Figure S11. The 586 temperature profile for second counter current coupling experiment. Figure S12. CH₄ conversion and 587 concentration profiles of on combustion side for counter-current operation as a function of time on stream. 588 Figure S13. The concentration of N₂O (ppm) for counter-current mode in catalytic plate reactor. Figure 589 S14. CH₄ conversion, CO selectivity and concentration profiles (CO, CO₂ and H₂) of methane steam 590 591 reforming for counter-current flow mode with methane flow rate of 45 mL/min and S/C molar ratio of 4.0.

592 Conflicts of Interest

593 There is no conflict of interest to declare.

594 Acknowledgements

The work of DGV was supported from Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficient and Renewable
Energy's Advanced Manufacturing Office under Award Number DE-EE0007888-8.3. The Delaware
Energy Institute gratefully acknowledges the support and partnership of the State of Delaware toward the
RAPID projects.

599 References

600 1. Staffell, I.; Scamman, D.; Velazquez Abad, A.; Balcombe, P.; Dodds, P. E.; Ekins, P.; Shah, N.; 601 Ward, K. R., The role of hydrogen and fuel cells in the global energy system. Energy & Environmental Science 2019, 12, (2), 463-491. 602 603 2. Züttel, A.; Borgschulte, A.; Schlapbach, L., Hydrogen as a Future Energy Carrier. Wiley-VCH 604 Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, 2008. Wilhite, B. A., Unconventional microreactor designs for process intensification in the distributed 605 3. 606 reforming of hydrocarbons: a review of recent developments at Texas A&M University. Current Opinion 607 in Chemical Engineering 2017, 17, 100-107. 608 4. Southall, G. D.; Khare, A., The feasibility of distributed hydrogen production from renewable energy sources and the financial contribution from UK motorists on environmental grounds. Sustainable 609 610 *Cities and Society* **2016**, 26, 134-149. 611 5. Bolat, P.; Thiel, C., Hydrogen supply chain architecture for bottom-up energy systems models. Part 2: Techno-economic inputs for hydrogen production pathways. Int J Hydrogen Energ 2014, 39, (17), 612 613 8898-8925. 614 Ercolino, G.; Ashraf, M. A.; Specchia, V.; Specchia, S. In Performance of SR or ATR fuel 6. 615 processors integrated with WGS and PSA units for hydrogen production, Roma, 2014; Roma, 2014; pp 232-233. 616 Ashraf, M. A.; Ercolino, G.; Specchia, S.; Specchia, V., Final step for CO syngas clean-up: 617 7. 618 Comparison between CO-PROX and CO-SMET processes. Int J Hydrogen Energ 2014, 39, (31), 18109-619 18119. 620 8. Gandia, L. M.; Arzamedi, G.; Dieguez, P. M., Renewable Hydrogen Technologies: Production, Purification, Storage, Applications and Safety. Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2013; p 472. 621 622 9. Vita, A.; Cristiano, G.; Italiano, C.; Pino, L.; Specchia, S., Syngas production by methane Oxy-623 steam reforming on Me/CeO2 (Me = Rh, Pt, Ni) catalyst lined on cordierite monoliths. Appl Catal B-624 Environ 2015, 162, 551-563. Gupta, R. B., Hydrogen Fuel: Production, Transport, and Storage. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis 625 10. 626 Group: Boca Raton, 2009. 627 11. Sanz, O.; Echave, F. J.; Romero-Sarria, F.; Odriozola, J. A.; Montes, M., Chapter 9 - Advances in 628 Structured and Microstructured Catalytic Reactors for Hydrogen Production. In Renewable Hydrogen 629 Technologies, Gandía, L. M.; Arzamendi, G.; Diéguez, P. M., Eds. Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2013; pp 201-630 224. 631 12. Adris, A. M.; Pruden, B. B.; Lim, C. J.; Grace, J. R., On the reported attempts to radically 632 improve the performance of the steam methane reforming reactor. The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering 1996, 74, (2), 177-186. 633

- 13. Kumar, A.; Baldea, M.; Edgar, T. F., A physics-based model for industrial steam-methane
- reformer optimization with non-uniform temperature field. *Computers & Chemical Engineering* 2017,
 105, 224-236.
- Kumar, A.; Baldea, M.; Edgar, T. F., Real-time optimization of an industrial steam-methane
 reformer under distributed sensing. *Control Engineering Practice* 2016, 54, 140-153.
- 15. Zecevic, N.; Bolf, N., Advanced Operation of the Steam Methane Reformer by Using Gain-
- 640 Scheduled Model Predictive Control. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2020, 59, (8), 3458-3474.
- 641 16. Oechsler, B. F.; Dutra, J. C. S.; Bittencourt, R. C. P.; Pinto, J. C., Simulation and Control of
- Steam Reforming of Natural Gas—Reactor Temperature Control Using Residual Gas. *Ind Eng Chem Res*2017, 56, (10), 2690-2710.
- 644 17. Weinberg, F. J., Combustion Temperatures: The Future? *Nature* **1971**, 233, (5317), 239-241.
- 645 18. Hayes, R. E.; Kolaczkowski, S. T., Introduction to catalytic combustion. Gordon & Breach
- 646 Science Publishers: Amsterdam, 1997.
- Pfefferle, W. C.; Pfefferle, L. D., Catalytically stabilized combustion. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 1986, 12, (1), 25-41.
- 649 20. Coker, A. K., *Petroleum Refining Design and Applications Handbook*. John Wiley & Sons: USA,
 650 2018; Vol. Volume 1.
- 651 21. Kolb, G., Review: Microstructured reactors for distributed and renewable production of fuels and
- electrical energy. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification* **2013**, 65, 1-44.
- Colorado, A.; McDonell, V.; Samuelsen, S., Direct emissions of nitrous oxide from combustion
 of gaseous fuels. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* 2017, 42, (1), 711-719.
- 655 23. Karagiannidis, S., *Catalytic Microreactors for Portable Power Generation*. Springer-Verlag:
 656 Heidelberg, 2011.
- 657 24. He, L.; Fan, Y.; Bellettre, J.; Yue, J.; Luo, L., A review on catalytic methane combustion at low
- temperatures: Catalysts, mechanisms, reaction conditions and reactor designs. *Renewable and*
- 659 *Sustainable Energy Reviews* **2020**, 119, 109589.
- 660 25. Kolios, G.; Gritsch, A.; Glöckler, B.; Eigenberger, G., Enhancing Productivity and Thermal
- 661 Efficiency of High-Temperature Endothermic Processes in Heat-Integrated Fixed-Bed Reactors. In
- 662 Integrated Chemical Processes, Sundmacher, K.; Kienle, A.; Seidel-Morgenstern, A., Eds. WILEY-VCH
- 663 Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, 2005; pp 1-43.
- 664 26. Meloni, E.; Martino, M.; Palma, V., A Short Review on Ni Based Catalysts and Related
- Engineering Issues for Methane Steam Reforming. *Catalysts* **2020**, 10, (3).
- 666 27. Luk, H. T.; Lei, H. M.; Ng, W. Y.; Ju, Y.; Lam, K. F., Techno-economic Analysis of Distributed
- 667 Hydrogen Production from Natural Gas. *Chinese J Chem Eng* **2012**, 20, (3), 489-496.

Page 27 of 34

- Stefanidis, G. D.; Vlachos, D. G., Millisecond Methane Steam Reforming Via Process and 668 28.
- 669 Catalyst Intensification. Chem Eng Technol 2008, 31, (8), 1201-1209.
- 29. 670 Chen, J.; Gao, X.; Xu, D., Catalytic Combustion Characteristics of Methane-Air Mixtures in
- 671 Small-Scale Systems at Elevated Temperatures. *Catalysts* **2018**, 8, (10), 439.
- 672 30. Venkataraman, K.; Redenius, J. M.; Schmidt, L. D., Millisecond catalytic wall reactors:
- dehydrogenation of ethane. Chem Eng Sci 2002, 57, (13), 2335-2343. 673
- 674 31. Venkataraman, K.; Wanat, E. C.; Schmidt, L. D., Steam reforming of methane and water-gas
- 675 shift in catalytic wall reactors. Aiche J 2003, 49, (5), 1277-1284.
- 676 32. Kolb, G.; Schürer, J.; Tiemann, D.; Wichert, M.; Zapf, R.; Hessel, V.; Löwe, H., Fuel processing
- in integrated micro-structured heat-exchanger reactors. J Power Sources 2007, 171, (1), 198-204. 677
- Specchia, S., Fuel processing activities at European level: A panoramic overview. Int J Hydrogen 678 33.
- 679 Energ 2014, 39, (31), 17953-17968.
- Dodds, P. E.; Staffell, I.; Hawkes, A. D.; Li, F.; Grünewald, P.; McDowall, W.; Ekins, P., 680 34.
- Hydrogen and fuel cell technologies for heating: A review. Int J Hydrogen Energ 2015, 40, (5), 2065-681 682 2083.
- 683 35. Specchia, S.; Specchia, V., Modeling Study on the Performance of an Integrated APU Fed with Hydrocarbon Fuels. Ind Eng Chem Res 2010, 49, (15), 6803-6809. 684
- 685 36. Zanfir, M.; Gavriilidis, A., Catalytic combustion assisted methane steam reforming in a catalytic 686 plate reactor. Chem Eng Sci 2003, 58, (17), 3947-3960.
- 687 37. Chen, J.; Han, J.; Xu, D., Efficient operation of autothermal microchannel reactors for the production of hydrogen by steam methane reforming. Int J Hydrogen Energ 2019, 44, (23), 11546-11563.
- 688
- 689 38. Mundhwa, M.; Thurgood, C. P., Improved performance of a catalytic plate reactor coated with
- distributed layers of reforming and combustion catalysts for hydrogen production. Reaction Chemistry & 690
- 691 Engineering 2018, 3, (4), 487-514.
- 692 39. Chen, J.; Gao, X.; Yan, L.; Xu, D., Computational fluid dynamics modeling of the millisecond methane steam reforming in microchannel reactors for hydrogen production. Rsc Adv 2018, 8, (44), 693
- 694 25183-25200.
- Mundhwa, M.; Thurgood, C. P., Numerical study of methane steam reforming and methane 695 40.
- 696 combustion over the segmented and continuously coated layers of catalysts in a plate reactor. Fuel 697 Process Technol 2017, 158, 57-72.
- 698 41. Mundhwa, M.; Parmar, R. D.; Thurgood, C. P., A comparative parametric study of a catalytic
- 699 plate methane reformer coated with segmented and continuous layers of combustion catalyst for
- hydrogen production. J Power Sources 2017, 344, 85-102. 700

- 701 42. Chen, J.; Yan, L.; Song, W.; Xu, D., Methane steam reforming thermally coupled with catalytic
- combustion in catalytic microreactors for hydrogen production. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* 2017, 42, (1), 664680.
- 43. Cao, C.; Zhang, N.; Dang, D.; Cheng, Y., Numerical evaluation of a microchannel methane
- reformer used for miniaturized GTL: Operating characteristics and greenhouse gases emission. *Fuel Process Technol* 2017, 167, 78-91.
- 44. Cao, C.; Zhang, N.; Dang, D.; Cheng, Y., Hybrid modeling of integrated microchannel methane
- reformer for miniaturized GTL application using an effectiveness factor submodel based on complex
 surface chemistry. *Chem Eng J* 2017, 316, 715-726.
- 45. Cao, C.; Zhang, N.; Cheng, Y., Numerical analysis on steam methane reforming in a plate
- microchannel reactor: Effect of washcoat properties. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* **2016**, 41, (42), 18921-18941.
- 46. Lakhete, P.; Janardhanan, V. M., Modeling process intensified catalytic plate reactor for
- synthesis gas production. *Chem Eng Sci* **2014**, 110, 13-19.
- 47. Jeon, S. W.; Yoon, W. J.; Baek, C.; Kim, Y., Minimization of hot spot in a microchannel reactor
- for steam reforming of methane with the stripe combustion catalyst layer. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* 2013, 38,
 (32), 13982-13990.
- 48. Mettler, M. S.; Stefanidis, G. D.; Vlachos, D. G., Enhancing stability in parallel plate
- microreactor stacks for syngas production. *Chem Eng Sci* **2011**, 66, (6), 1051-1059.
- 49. Zhai, X.; Ding, S.; Cheng, Y.; Jin, Y.; Cheng, Y., CFD simulation with detailed chemistry of
- steam reforming of methane for hydrogen production in an integrated micro-reactor. *Int J Hydrogen*
- *Energ* **2010**, 35, (11), 5383-5392.
- 50. Stefanidis, G. D.; Vlachos, D. G., Intensification of steam reforming of natural gas: Choosing
 combustible fuel and reforming catalyst. *Chem Eng Sci* 2010, 65, (1), 398-404.
- 51. Mettler, M. S.; Stefanidis, G. D.; Vlachos, D. G., Scale-out of Microreactor Stacks for Portable
- and Distributed Processing: Coupling of Exothermic and Endothermic Processes for Syngas Production.
- 726 Ind Eng Chem Res 2010, 49, (21), 10942-10955.
- 52. Tonkovich, A. L. Y.; Yang, B.; Perry, S. T.; Fitzgerald, S. P.; Wang, Y., From seconds to
- milliseconds to microseconds through tailored microchannel reactor design of a steam methane reformer.
- 729 *Catal Today* **2007**, 120, (1), 21-29.
- 730 53. Zanfir, M.; Gavriilidis, A., Influence of Flow Arrangement in Catalytic Plate Reactors for
- 731 Methane Steam Reforming. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design* **2004**, 82, (2), 252-258.
- 54. Kolios, G.; Frauhammer, J.; Eigenberger, G., Efficient reactor concepts for coupling of
- rad endothermic and exothermic reactions. *Chem Eng Sci* 2002, 57, (9), 1505-1510.

- 734 55. Nikačević, N. M.; Huesman, A. E. M.; Van den Hof, P. M. J.; Stankiewicz, A. I., Opportunities
- and challenges for process control in process intensification. *Chemical Engineering and Processing:*
- 736 *Process Intensification* **2012**, *52*, 1-15.
- 737 56. Pattison, R. C.; Estep, F. E.; Baldea, M., Pseudodistributed Feed Configurations for Catalytic
 738 Plate Microchannel Reactors. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2014, 53, (13), 5028-5037.
- 739 57. Ohi, T.; Miyata, T.; Li, D.; Shishido, T.; Kawabata, T.; Sano, T.; Takehira, K., Sustainability of
- 740 Ni loaded Mg–Al mixed oxide catalyst in daily startup and shutdown operations of CH4 steam reforming.
- 741 *Applied Catalysis A: General* **2006,** 308, 194-203.
- 58. Granlund, M. Z.; Gorke, O.; Pfeifer, P.; Pettersson, L. J., Comparison between a micro reactor
- with multiple air inlets and a monolith reactor for oxidative steam reforming of diesel. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* 2014, 39, (31), 18037-18045.
- 745 59. Zanfir, M.; Baldea, M.; Daoutidis, P., Optimizing the catalyst distribution for countercurrent
 746 methane steam reforming in plate reactors. *Aiche J* 2011, 57, (9), 2518-2528.
- 747 60. Jeon, S. W.; Yoon, W. J.; Jeong, M. W.; Kim, Y., Optimization of a counter-flow microchannel
- reactor using hydrogen assisted catalytic combustion for steam reforming of methane. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* 2014, 39, (12), 6470-6478.
- 750 61. Herdem, M. S.; Mundhwa, M.; Farhad, S.; Hamdullahpur, F., Catalyst layer design and
- arrangement to improve the performance of a microchannel methanol steam reformer. *Energ Convers Manage* 2019, 180, 149-161.
- Pattison, R. C.; Baldea, M., A thermal-flywheel approach to distributed temperature control in
 microchannel reactors. *Aiche J* 2013, 59, (6), 2051-2061.
- Pattison, R. C.; Donahue, M. M.; Gupta, A. M.; Baldea, M., Localized Temperature Control in
 Microchannel Reactors Using Bimetallic Thermally-Actuated Valves. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2015, 54, (24),
 6355-6361.
- 758 64. Welty, J.; Rorrer, G. L.; Foster, D. G., Fundamentals of Momentum, Heat, and Mass Transfer.
- 759 7th ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ,, 2019; p 784.
- 760 65. Tonkovich, A. Y.; Perry, S.; Wang, Y.; Qiu, D.; LaPlante, T.; Rogers, W. A., Microchannel
- process technology for compact methane steam reforming. *Chem Eng Sci* **2004**, 59, (22-23), 4819-4824.
- 762 66. Irankhah, A.; Rahimi, M.; Rezaei, M., Performance Research on a Methane Compact Reformer
- 763 Integrated with Catalytic Combustion. *Chem Eng Technol* **2014**, 37, (7), 1220-1226.
- 67. Commenge, J. M.; Falk, L.; Corriou, J. P.; Matlosz, M., Optimal design for flow uniformity in
- 765 microchannel reactors. *Aiche J* **2002**, 48, (2), 345-358.

- 766 68. Stefanidis, G. D.; Vlachos, D. G.; Kaisare, N. S.; Maestri, M., Methane steam reforming at
- 767 microscales: Operation strategies for variable power output at millisecond contact times. *Aiche J* 2009,
 768 55, (1), 180-191.
- Farrauto, R. J., New catalysts and reactor designs for the hydrogen economy. *Chem Eng J* 2014,
 238, 172-177.
- 771 70. Ashraf, M. A.; Sanz, O.; Italiano, C.; Vita, A.; Montes, M.; Specchia, S., Analysis of Ru/La-
- Al2O3 catalyst loading on alumina monoliths and controlling regimes in methane steam reforming. *Chem Eng J* 2018, 334, 1792-1807.
- 774 71. Ashraf, M. A.; Sanz, O.; Montes, M.; Specchia, S., Insights into the effect of catalyst loading on
- methane steam reforming and controlling regime for metallic catalytic monoliths. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* **2018**, 43, (26), 11778-11792.
- 777 72. Amjad, U.-E. S.; Vita, A.; Galletti, C.; Pino, L.; Specchia, S., Comparative Study on Steam and
- 778 Oxidative Steam Reforming of Methane with Noble Metal Catalysts. *Ind Eng Chem Res* **2013**, 52, (44),
- **779** 15428-15436.
- 780 73. Ercolino, G.; Stelmachowski, P.; Specchia, S., Catalytic Performance of Pd/Co3O4 on SiC and
- ZrO2 Open Cell Foams for Process Intensification of Methane Combustion in Lean Conditions. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2017, 56, (23), 6625-6636.
- 783 74. Tacchino, S.; Vella, L. D.; Specchia, S., Catalytic combustion of CH4 and H2 into micro-
- 784 monoliths. *Catal Today* **2010**, 157, (1), 440-445.
- 785 75. Otto, K., Methane oxidation over Pt on γ-alumina: kinetics and structure sensitivity. *Langmuir*786 **1989**, 5, (6), 1364-1369.
- 787 76. Peela, N. R.; Mubayi, A.; Kunzru, D., Washcoating of γ-alumina on stainless steel
- 788 microchannels. *Catal Today* **2009**, 147, S17-S23.
- 789 77. Zhang, Q.; Sakurai, M.; Kameyama, H., Performance Simulations of a Compact Plate Methane
- 790 Steam Reformer Using an Electrically Heated Alumite Catalyst. *Journal of Chemical Engineering of*
- 791 *Japan* **2007**, 40, (4), 319-328.
- 78. Haruta, M.; Sano, H., Catalytic combustion of hydrogen—III. Advantages and disadvantages of a
 catalytic heater with hydrogen fuel. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* **1982**, *7*, (9), 737-740.
- 794 79. Maximini, M.; Engelhardt, P.; Brenner, M.; Beckmann, F.; Moritz, O., Fast start-up of a diesel
- fuel processor for PEM fuel cells. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* **2014**, 39, (31), 18154-18163.
- 796 80. Chen, F.; Chang, M.-H.; Kuo, C.-Y.; Hsueh, C.-Y.; Yan, W.-M., Analysis of a Plate-Type
- 797 Microreformer for Methanol Steam Reforming Reaction. *Energy & Fuels* **2009**, 23, (10), 5092-5098.
- 798 81. Rodríguez-Guerra, Y.; Gerling, L. A.; López-Guajardo, E. A.; Lozano-García, F. J.; Nigam, K.
- 799 D. P.; Montesinos-Castellanos, A., Design of Micro- and Milli-Channel Heat Exchanger Reactors for

- Homogeneous Exothermic Reactions in the Laminar Regime. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2016, 55, (22), 64356442.
- 802 82. Ahmed, K.; Föger, K., Fuel Processing for High-Temperature High-Efficiency Fuel Cells. *Ind*803 *Eng Chem Res* 2010, 49, (16), 7239-7256.
- 804 83. Janicke, M. T.; Kestenbaum, H.; Hagendorf, U.; Schüth, F.; Fichtner, M.; Schubert, K., The
- 805 Controlled Oxidation of Hydrogen from an Explosive Mixture of Gases Using a Microstructured
- 806 Reactor/Heat Exchanger and Pt/Al2O3 Catalyst. *J Catal* **2000**, 191, (2), 282-293.
- 807 84. Ryi, S. K.; Park, J. S.; Cho, S. H.; Kim, S. H., Fast start-up of microchannel fuel processor
- integrated with an igniter for hydrogen combustion. *J Power Sources* **2006**, 161, (2), 1234-1240.
- 809 85. Yang, J.; Salman, A. D.; Blanco-García, P., A Review of Measurement Techniques of
- 810 Mechanical Properties of the Catalyst Layer in Catalytic Converters. Johnson Matthey Technology
- 811 *Review* **2019**, 63, (3), 177-190.
- 812 86. Vican, J.; Gajdeczko, B. F.; Dryer, F. L.; Milius, D. L.; Aksay, I. A.; Yetter, R. A., Development
- 813 of a microreactor as a thermal source for microelectromechanical systems power generation. *Proceedings*
- 814 *of the Combustion Institute* **2002,** 29, (1), 909-916.
- 815 87. Belmont, E. L.; Schoegl, I.; Ellzey, J. L., Experimental and analytical investigation of lean
- premixed methane/air combustion in a mesoscale counter-flow reactor. *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute* 2013, 34, (2), 3361-3367.
- 818 88. He, L.; Fan, Y.; Luo, L.; Bellettre, J.; Yue, J., Preparation of Pt/γ-Al2O3 catalyst coating in
 819 microreactors for catalytic methane combustion. *Chem Eng J* 2020, 380, 122424.
- 820 89. Zanfir, M.; Gavriilidis, A., Modelling of a catalytic plate reactor for dehydrogenation-
- 821 combustion coupling. *Chem Eng Sci* **2001**, 56, (8), 2671-2683.
- 822 90. Lee, J. H.; Trimm, D. L., Catalytic combustion of methane. *Fuel Process Technol* 1995, 42, (2),
 823 339-359.
- 824 91. Chen, J.; Gao, X.; Liu, B.; Xu, D., Management of the gas-phase and surface chemistry in
- methane-fueled catalytic micro-combustors. *Int J Hydrogen Energ* **2017**, 42, (30), 19079-19095.
- 826 92. Wang, Y.; Yang, W.; Zhou, J.; Yang, H.; Yao, Y.; Cen, K., Heterogeneous reaction
- 827 characteristics and its effects on homogeneous combustion of methane/air mixture in microchannels II.
- 828 Chemical analysis. *Fuel* **2019**, 235, 923-932.
- 829 93. Robinson, C.; Smith, D. B., The auto-ignition temperature of methane. *Journal of Hazardous*
- 830 *Materials* **1984,** 8, (3), 199-203.
- 831 94. Farrauto, R. J.; Liu, Y.; Ruettinger, W.; Ilinich, O.; Shore, L.; Giroux, T., Precious Metal
- 832 Catalysts Supported on Ceramic and Metal Monolithic Structures for the Hydrogen Economy. *Catalysis*
- 833 *Reviews* **2007**, 49, (2), 141-196.

- 834 95. Boldrini, D. E.; Sanchez, J. F.; Tonetto, G. M.; Damiani, D. E., Monolithic Stirrer Reactor:
- Performance in the Partial Hydrogenation of Sunflower Oil. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2012, 51, (38), 1222212232.
- 837 96. Ertl, G.; Knözinger, H.; Weitkamp, J., Handbook of heterogeneous catalysis. VCH
- 838 Verlagsgesellschaft mbH: Weinheim, 1997.
- 839 97. Ismagilov, Z. R.; Pushkarev, V. V.; Podyacheva, O. Y.; Koryabkina, N. A.; Veringa, H., A
- 840 catalytic heat-exchanging tubular reactor for combining of high temperature exothermic and endothermic
- 841 reactions. *Chem Eng J* **2001**, 82, (1-3), 355-360.
- 842 98. Baukal, C. E., *The John Zink Hamworthy Combustion Handbook: Fundamentals*. CRC Press:
- 843 Danvers, MA, 2013; Vol. Vol. 1.
- 844 99. Bowman, C. T., Gas-Phase Reaction Mechanisms for Nitrogen Oxide Formation and Removal in
- 845 Combustion. In Pollutants from Combustion: Formation and Impact on Atmospheric Chemistry, Vovelle,
- 846 C., Ed. Springer: Dordrecht, 2000; pp 123-144.
- 100. Muzio, L. J.; Montgomery, T. A.; Samuelsen, G. S.; Kramlich, J. C.; Lyon, R. K.; Kokkinos, A.,
- Formation and measurement of N2O in combustion systems. *Symposium (International) on Combustion* **1991**, 23, (1), 245-250.
- 850 101. Kumar, A.; Baldea, M.; Edgar, T. F.; Ezekoye, O. A., Smart Manufacturing Approach for
- Efficient Operation of Industrial Steam-Methane Reformers. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2015, 54, (16), 4360-
- **852** 4370.
- 853 102. Glarborg, P.; Miller, J. A.; Ruscic, B.; Klippenstein, S. J., Modeling nitrogen chemistry in
- combustion. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* **2018**, 67, 31-68.
- 855 103. Wójcik, S.; Ercolino, G.; Gajewska, M.; Quintero, C. W. M.; Specchia, S.; Kotarba, A., Robust
- 856 Co3O4|α-Al2O3|cordierite structured catalyst for N2O abatement Validation of the SCS method for
- active phase synthesis and deposition. *Chem Eng J* **2019**, 377, 120088.
- 858 104. Hayhurst, A. N.; Lawrence, A. D., Emissions of nitrous oxide from combustion sources.
- 859 *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* **1992**, 18, (6), 529-552.
- 860 105. Kolios, G.; Glockler, B.; Gritsch, A.; Morillo, A.; Eigenberger, G., Heat-integrated reactor
- concepts for hydrogen production by methane steam reforming. *Fuel Cells* **2005**, *5*, (1), 52-65.
- 862 106. Plee, S. L.; Mellor, A. M., Review of flashback reported in prevaporizing/premixing combustors.
- 863 *Combustion and Flame* **1978**, 32, 193-203.
- 864 107. Kolios, G.; Frauhammer, J.; Eigenberger, G., A simplified procedure for the optimal design of
- autothermal reactors for endothermic high-temperature reactions. *Chem Eng Sci* 2001, 56, (2), 351-357.
- 108. Dhingra, A. Modeling and Development of Compact Onboard Fuel Processors for PEM Fuel Cell
- Applications. The University of Michigan, USA, 2012.

- 868 109. Frauhammer, J.; Eigenberger, G.; Hippel, L. v.; Arntz, D., A new reactor concept for
- endothermic high-temperature reactions. *Chem Eng Sci* **1999**, 54, (15), 3661-3670.



871