

BMO Spaces on Weighted Homogeneous Trees

*Original*

BMO Spaces on Weighted Homogeneous Trees / Arditti, L.; Tabacco, A.; Vallarino, M.. - In: THE JOURNAL OF GEOMETRIC ANALYSIS. - ISSN 1050-6926. - STAMPA. - Volume ``A Celebration of Guido L. Weiss for his Ninetieth Birthday":(2020). [10.1007/s12220-020-00435-w]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11583/2858145 since: 2020-12-16T14:18:46Z

*Publisher:*

Springer

*Published*

DOI:10.1007/s12220-020-00435-w

*Terms of use:*

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

(Article begins on next page)

# BMO SPACES ON WEIGHTED HOMOGENEOUS TREES

LAURA ARDITTI, ANITA TABACCO, AND MARIA VALLARINO

ABSTRACT. We consider an infinite homogeneous tree  $\mathcal{V}$  endowed with the usual metric  $d$  defined on graphs and a weighted measure  $\mu$ . The metric measure space  $(\mathcal{V}, d, \mu)$  is nondoubling and of exponential growth, hence the classical theory of Hardy and  $BMO$  spaces does not apply in this setting. We introduce a space  $BMO(\mu)$  on  $(\mathcal{V}, d, \mu)$  and investigate some of its properties. We prove in particular that  $BMO(\mu)$  can be identified with the dual of a Hardy space  $H^1(\mu)$  introduced in a previous work and we investigate the sharp maximal function related with  $BMO(\mu)$ .

*Dedicated to Guido Weiss on the occasion of his 90th birthday*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical space of functions of bounded mean oscillation  $BMO$  was introduced in the Euclidean setting by John and Nirenberg [11]. It is defined as the set of locally integrable functions  $f$  such that

$$\sup_B \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f - f_B| dx < \infty, \quad (1)$$

where the supremum is taken over all Euclidean balls and  $f_B$  denotes the average of  $f$  on  $B$ . A celebrated result of Fefferman and Stein [7] identifies  $BMO$  with the dual of the classical Hardy space  $H^1$ .

Various extensions of such theory have been considered in the literature. The first extension was developed on spaces of homogeneous type in the sense of Coifman and Weiss [5, 6, 15, 16]. These are metric measure spaces  $(X, d, \mu)$  where the doubling condition is satisfied, i.e., there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq C \mu(B(x, r)) \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \forall r > 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $B(x, r)$  denotes the ball centred at  $x$  of radius  $r$  in the metric  $d$ . In this setting functions in  $BMO(\mu)$  satisfy the analogue of condition (1), where metric balls are involved. Subsequently extensions of the theory of Hardy and  $BMO$  spaces have been considered in the literature on various metric measure spaces which do not satisfy the doubling condition (2). Due to the lack of the doubling condition, it is less clear which is a suitable condition to define a  $BMO$  space which enjoys all the properties of the classical one, and in particular it is not clear which subsets of the space can be chosen to replace balls in condition (1).

The literature on this subject is huge and we shall only cite here some contributions. In particular, various results on this subject have been obtained on nondoubling Riemannian manifolds [3, 14, 17] and on Lie groups of exponential growth [13, 18]. A few results have been obtained in the discrete setting of infinite graphs [4, 9].

---

*Key words and phrases.* Hardy spaces; BMO spaces; homogeneous trees; nondoubling measure; sharp maximal function.

*Math Subject Classification* 05C05; 30H35; 42B30.

The goal of the present paper is to develop a theory for a  $BMO$  space on a homogeneous tree  $\mathcal{V}$  endowed with the usual metric  $d$  defined on a graph and a weighted measure  $\mu$  (see Section 2 for the details), such that  $(\mathcal{V}, d, \mu)$  is a nondoubling space. Such weighted homogeneous trees were first studied by Hebisch and Steger [10], who developed a Calderón–Zygmund theory on them. In particular, they proved that there exists a family of appropriate sets in  $\mathcal{V}$ , which are called Calderón–Zygmund sets, which replace the family of balls in the classical Calderón–Zygmund theory. In [1] we introduced an atomic Hardy space  $H^1(\mu)$  on  $(\mathcal{V}, d, \mu)$ , where atoms are functions supported in Calderón–Zygmund sets, with vanishing integral and satisfying a certain size condition.

We shall define here a space of functions of bounded mean oscillation  $BMO(\mu)$  adapted to this setting, for which the oscillation in the analogue of condition (1) is measured on Calderón–Zygmund sets. Then we show that  $BMO(\mu)$  can be identified with the dual of the Hardy space  $H^1(\mu)$ . More precisely, we introduce a family of spaces  $BMO_q(\mu)$ , with  $q \in [1, \infty)$ , for which the integrability condition (1) is expressed in terms of an  $L^q$ -norm, and show that all such spaces coincide. As a consequence, we find the real interpolation spaces between  $L^r(\mu)$  and  $BMO(\mu)$ , for  $r \in [1, \infty)$ . It would be interesting to find the complex interpolation space between  $L^r(\mu)$  and  $BMO(\mu)$ , as well. To work in this direction, we introduce and study the sharp maximal function associated with Calderón–Zygmund sets, and show that the  $L^p$ -norm of a function is controlled by the  $L^p$ -norm of a variant of its sharp maximal function (see Theorem 20).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce weighted homogeneous trees, we recall the definition of Calderón–Zygmund sets and study some of their geometric properties. In Section 3 we recall the definition of the Hardy space  $H^1(\mu)$ , we define the space  $BMO(\mu)$  and prove the duality between these two spaces. As a consequence, we deduce a real interpolation result and a boundedness result for integral operators whose kernel satisfy a suitable Hörmander condition. The last section is devoted to some inequalities involving the sharp maximal function defined in terms of Calderón–Zygmund sets.

Positive constants are denoted by  $C$ ; these may differ from one line to another, and may depend on any quantifiers written, implicitly or explicitly, before the relevant formula.

## 2. WEIGHTED HOMOGENEOUS TREES AND CALDERÓN–ZYGMUND SETS

In this section we first introduce the infinite homogeneous tree and we define a distance and a measure on it.

**Definition 1.** *An infinite homogeneous tree of order  $m + 1$  is a graph  $T = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  denotes the set of vertices and  $\mathcal{E}$  denotes the set of edges, with the following properties:*

- (i)  $T$  is connected and acyclic;
- (ii) each vertex has exactly  $m + 1$  neighbours.

On  $\mathcal{V}$  we define the distance  $d(x, y)$  between two vertices  $x$  and  $y$  as the length of the shortest path between  $x$  and  $y$ . We also fix a doubly-infinite geodesic  $g$  in  $T$ , that is a connected subset  $g \subset \mathcal{V}$  such that

- (i) for each element  $v \in g$  there are exactly two neighbours of  $v$  in  $g$ ;
- (ii) for every couple  $(u, v)$  of elements in  $g$ , the shortest path joining  $u$  and  $v$  is contained in  $g$ .

We define a mapping  $N : g \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$|N(x) - N(y)| = d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in g. \quad (3)$$

This corresponds to the choice of an origin  $o \in g$  (the only vertex for which  $N(o) = 0$ ) and an orientation for  $g$ ; in this way we obtain a numeration of the vertices in  $g$ . We define the level function  $\ell : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  as

$$\ell(x) = N(x') - d(x, x'),$$

where  $x'$  is the only vertex in  $g$  such that  $d(x, x') = \min\{d(x, z) : z \in g\}$ . For  $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$  we say that  $y$  lies above  $x$  if

$$\ell(x) = \ell(y) - d(x, y).$$

In this case we also say that  $x$  lies below  $y$ .

Let  $\mu$  be the measure on  $\mathcal{V}$  such that for each function  $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} f \, d\mu = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} f(x) m^{\ell(x)}. \quad (4)$$

Then  $\mu$  is a weighted counting measure such that the weight of a vertex depends only on its level, and the weight associated to a certain level is given by  $q$  times the weight of the level immediately underneath. In particular, it can be proved [1] that for every  $x_0 \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $r > 0$  the measure of the ball centred at  $x_0$  of radius  $r$  is  $\mu(B(x_0, r)) = m^{\ell(x_0)} \frac{m^{r+1} + m^r - 2}{m-1}$ . Hence, the metric measure space  $(\mathcal{V}, d, \mu)$  is of exponential growth and nondoubling.

To develop a Calderón–Zygmund theory on this nondoubling setting, it is useful to introduce suitable subsets of  $\mathcal{V}$ , called trapezoids. These sets were first defined in [10]. We shall recall below their definition and their properties.

**Definition 2.** We call trapezoid a set of vertices  $S \subset \mathcal{V}$  for which there exist  $x_S \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$S = \{x \in \mathcal{V} : x \text{ lies below } x_S, a \leq \ell(x_S) - \ell(x) < b\}. \quad (5)$$

In the following we will refer to  $x_S$  as the root node of the trapezoid. Among all trapezoids we are mostly interested in those where  $a$  and  $b$  are related by particular conditions, as specified in the following definitions.

**Definition 3.** A trapezoid  $R \subset \mathcal{V}$  is an admissible trapezoid if and only if one of the following occurs:

- (i)  $R = \{x_R\}$  with  $x_R \in \mathcal{V}$ , that is  $R$  consists of a single vertex;
- (ii)  $\exists x_R \in \mathcal{V}, \exists h(R) \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that

$$R = \{x \in \mathcal{V} : x \text{ lies below } x_R, h(R) \leq \ell(x_R) - \ell(x) < 2h(R)\}.$$

$R$  is called degenerate in case (i) and non-degenerate in case (ii).

We set  $h(R) = 1$  in the degenerate case. In both cases,  $h(R)$  can be interpreted as the height of the admissible trapezoid, which coincides with the number of levels spanned by  $R$ . We call width of the admissible trapezoid  $R$  the quantity  $w(R) = m^{\ell(x_R)}$ . We have that

$$\mu(R) = h(R) m^{\ell(x_R)} = h(R) w(R). \quad (6)$$

We now introduce the family of Calderón–Zygmund sets. They are trapezoids, even if not of admissible type (except for the degenerate case); they consist of suitable enlargements of admissible trapezoids, constructed according to the following definition.

**Definition 4.** Given a non-degenerate admissible trapezoid  $R$ , the envelope of  $R$  is the set

$$\tilde{R} = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{V} : x \text{ lies below } x_R, \frac{h(R)}{2} \leq \ell(x_R) - \ell(x) < 4h(R) \right\}; \quad (7)$$

we set  $h(\tilde{R}) = h(R)$ . The envelope of a non-degenerate admissible trapezoid is also called a non-degenerate Calderón–Zygmund set. Given a degenerate admissible trapezoid  $R$ , the envelope of  $R$  is the set  $\tilde{R} = R$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{R}$  the family of all the Calderón–Zygmund sets.

We refer the reader to [1] for the properties of such sets, in particular see [1, Propositions 2, 4] for the proof of the following result.

**Proposition 5.** Let  $R$  be an admissible trapezoid and  $\tilde{R}$  its envelope. Then

- (i)  $\mu(\tilde{R}) \leq 4\mu(R)$ ;
- (ii) for all  $z \in \tilde{R}$ , we have  $\tilde{R} \subset B(z, 8h(\tilde{R}))$ .

For any Calderón–Zygmund set  $\tilde{R}$  we define an enlargement of it, whose measure is comparable with its measure. This can be thought as a substitute for the doubling condition in this setting.

**Definition 6.** Given a Calderón–Zygmund set  $\tilde{R}$ , we define the set

$$\tilde{R}^* = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{V} : d(x, \tilde{R}) < h(\tilde{R})/4 \right\}. \quad (8)$$

It is easy to see that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for every Calderón–Zygmund set  $\tilde{R}$

$$\mu(\tilde{R}^*) \leq C\mu(\tilde{R}). \quad (9)$$

In the following proposition we construct a covering of  $\mathcal{V}$  made by an increasing family of Calderón–Zygmund sets.

**Proposition 7.** There exists a family of Calderón–Zygmund sets  $\{\tilde{Q}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  such that  $\tilde{Q}_n \subset \tilde{Q}_{n+1}$  and  $\bigcup_n \tilde{Q}_n = \mathcal{V}$ .

*Proof.* Consider the family  $\{\tilde{Q}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  where

- $\tilde{Q}_0$  is the Calderón–Zygmund set with root node  $x_0 = o$  and height  $h_0 = 1$  (where  $o$  denotes the only vertex in the doubly-infinite geodesic  $g$  such that  $\ell(o) = 0$ );
- $\forall n \geq 1$ ,  $\tilde{Q}_n$  is the Calderón–Zygmund set with root node  $x_n$  that is the father node of  $x_{n-1}$ , i.e. it is the only neighbour of  $x_{n-1}$  that lies above  $x_{n-1}$ , and height  $h_n = h_{n-1} + 1$  (then we have  $h_n = n + 1$ ).

We first show that  $\tilde{Q}_n \subset \tilde{Q}_{n+1}$ . Let  $x \in \tilde{Q}_n$ . By definition  $x$  lies below  $x_n$ , then by construction  $x$  also lies below  $x_{n+1}$ . Moreover,  $\ell(x_{n+1}) - \ell(x) = \ell(x_n) + 1 - \ell(x)$  and we have that

$$\ell(x_{n+1}) - \ell(x) < 4h_n + 1 < 4h_{n+1}, \quad \ell(x_{n+1}) - \ell(x) \geq \frac{h_n}{2} + 1 \geq \frac{h_{n+1}}{2}.$$

So we conclude that  $x \in \tilde{Q}_{n+1}$ .

To show that  $\bigcup_n \tilde{Q}_n = \mathcal{V}$ , consider  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ . Denote by  $k$  the smallest index such that  $x$  lies below  $x_k$  (and so  $x$  lies below  $x_j$ ,  $\forall j \geq k$ ) and set  $\ell(x_k) - \ell(x) = d$ . We seek for an index  $j \geq k$  such that  $x \in \tilde{Q}_j$ , that is

$$\frac{j+1}{2} = \frac{h_j}{2} \leq \ell(x_j) - \ell(x) < 4h_j = 4(j+1).$$

Observe that  $\ell(x_j) - \ell(x) = \ell(x_j) - \ell(x_k) + \ell(x_k) - \ell(x) = j - k + d$ , so that

$$\frac{j+1}{2} \leq j - k + d < 4(j+1) \iff \begin{cases} j > \frac{d-k-4}{3} \\ j \geq 1 + 2(k-d) \end{cases}$$

Thus it is sufficient to take  $j \geq \max \{k, 1 + \frac{d-k-4}{3}, 1 + 2(k-d)\}$ .  $\square$

For every  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $X_n^p$  be the space  $L_0^p(\tilde{Q}_n)$  of all functions in  $L^p(\mu)$  which are supported in the set  $\tilde{Q}_n$  introduced in Proposition 7 and have vanishing integral. The space  $(X_n^p, \|\cdot\|_{L^p})$  is a Banach space. We denote by  $X^p$  the space  $L_{c,0}^p(\mu)$  of all functions in  $L^p(\mu)$  with compact support and vanishing integral, interpreted as the strict inductive limit of the spaces  $X_n^p$  (see [2, II, p. 33] for the definition of the strict inductive limit topology). This space will be a key ingredient of next section. In particular, we shall use the following fact.

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . For every function  $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the functional defined by*

$$\ell(g) = \int Fg \, d\mu = \int (F+c)g \, d\mu \quad \forall g \in X^p, c \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (10)$$

*lies in the dual of  $X^p$ . On the other hand, for every functional  $\ell$  in the dual of  $X^p$  there exists  $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that (10) holds.*

*Proof.* On one hand, let  $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and consider the linear functional  $\ell : X^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\ell(g) = \int Fg \, d\mu$ . Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\left| \int Fg \, d\mu \right| \leq \|F\|_{L^q(\tilde{Q}_n)} \|g\|_{L^p(\tilde{Q}_n)} \quad \forall g \in X_n^p,$$

where  $q = p'$ . Hence,  $\ell$  is continuous on every  $X_n^p$  and continuous on  $X^p$ .

Suppose now that  $\ell$  is a continuous linear functional on  $X^p$ . Then, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\ell \in (X_n^p)^*$ , hence it can be extended to a bounded linear functional on  $L^p(\tilde{Q}_n)$  and there exists a function  $F_n \in L^q(\tilde{Q}_n)$  such that

$$\ell(g) = \int (F_n + c)g \, d\mu \quad \forall g \in X_n^p, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Notice that we used the fact that  $g$  has vanishing integral in the formula above. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we choose the constant  $c_n$  such that  $\int_{\tilde{Q}_1} (F_n + c_n) \, d\mu = 0$ . This implies that the restriction of  $F_n + c_n$  on  $\tilde{Q}_j$  coincide with  $F_j + c_j$  for every  $j < n$ . Hence for every  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  we can define

$$F(x) = F_n(x) + c_n,$$

where  $n$  is any integer such that  $x \in \tilde{Q}_n$ . Then

$$\ell(g) = \int (F+c)g \, d\mu \quad \forall g \in X^p, \quad c \in \mathbb{C},$$

as required.  $\square$

### 3. HARDY AND BMO SPACES

In this section we first recall the definition of atomic Hardy spaces given in [1].

**Definition 9.** *A function  $a$  is a  $(1, p)$ -atom, for  $p \in (1, \infty]$ , if it satisfies the following properties:*

- (i)  *$a$  is supported in a Calderón-Zygmund set  $\tilde{R}$ ;*

- (ii)  $\|a\|_{L^p} \leq \mu(\tilde{R})^{1/p-1}$ ;
- (iii)  $\int_{\mathcal{V}} a \, d\mu = 0$ .

**Definition 10.** *The Hardy space  $H^{1,p}(\mu)$  is the space of all functions  $g$  in  $L^1(\mu)$  such that  $g = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j a_j$ , where  $a_j$  are  $(1,p)$ -atoms and  $\lambda_j$  are complex numbers such that  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_j| < \infty$ . We denote by  $\|g\|_{H^{1,p}}$  the infimum of  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_j|$  over all decompositions  $g = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j a_j$ , where  $a_j$  are  $(1,p)$ -atoms.*

The space  $H^{1,p}(\mu)$  endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1,p}}$  is a Banach space.

For every  $p \in (1, \infty]$  we also introduce the spaces

$$H_{\text{fin}}^{1,p}(\mu) = \left\{ g \in L^1(\mu) : g = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j, a_j \text{ (1,p) - atoms, } \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}, N \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

**Proposition 11.** *For any  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , the following hold:*

- (i)  $H^{1,p}(\mu) = H^{1,\infty}(\mu)$  and there exists a constant  $C_p$  such that

$$\|g\|_{H^{1,p}} \leq \|g\|_{H^{1,\infty}} \leq C_p \|g\|_{H^{1,p}};$$

- (ii) for every  $L \in (H^1(\mu))^*$ ,  $\|L\|_{(H^{1,p})^*} \leq C_p \|L\|_{(H^1)^*}$ ;
- (iii)  $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,\infty}(\mu) \subset H_{\text{fin}}^{1,p}(\mu)$ ;
- (iv) for every Calderón–Zygmund set  $\tilde{R}$ ,  $L_0^p(\tilde{R}) \subset H_{\text{fin}}^{1,\infty}(\mu)$ .

*Proof.* Property (i) follows from [1, Proposition 5]. Take now  $L \in (H^1(\mu))^*$  and  $g \in H^1(\mu)$ . Then

$$|L(g)| \leq \|L\|_{(H^1)^*} \|g\|_{H^{1,\infty}} \leq C_p \|L\|_{(H^1)^*} \|g\|_{H^{1,p}},$$

so that (ii) follows.

Property (iii) holds since every  $(1, \infty)$ -atom is a  $(1,p)$ -atom.

To prove (iv) let  $\tilde{R}$  be a Calderón–Zygmund set and  $g \in L_0^p(\tilde{R})$  be a function in  $L^p(\mu)$  supported in  $\tilde{R}$ , with vanishing integral. Then  $\|g\|_{L^\infty} = \max_{x \in \tilde{R}} |g(x)| < \infty$ . Hence,  $\mu(\tilde{R})^{-1} \|g\|_{L^\infty}^{-1} g$  is a  $(1, \infty)$ -atom. This proves (iv).  $\square$

In the sequel we shall denote by  $H^1(\mu)$  the space  $H^{1,\infty}(\mu)$  endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H^1} = \|\cdot\|_{H^{1,\infty}}$ .

We now introduce the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation. For every locally integrable function  $f$  and every Calderón–Zygmund set  $\tilde{R}$  we denote by  $f_{\tilde{R}}$  the average of  $f$  on  $\tilde{R}$ , i.e.,  $f_{\tilde{R}} = \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} f \, d\mu$ .

**Definition 12.** *Let  $q \in [1, \infty)$ . The space  $\mathcal{BMO}_q(\mu)$  is the space of all functions in  $L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mu)$  such that*

$$\sup_{\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{R}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |f - f_{\tilde{R}}|^q \, d\mu \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

*The space  $\mathcal{BMO}_q(\mu)$  is the quotient of  $\mathcal{BMO}_q(\mu)$  by constant functions. It is a Banach space endowed with the norm*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{BMO}_q} = \sup \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |f - f_{\tilde{R}}|^q \, d\mu \right)^{1/q} : \tilde{R} \in \mathcal{R} \right\}.$$

We now prove the duality result between  $\mathcal{BMO}_1(\mu)$  and  $H^1(\mu)$  and then show, as a consequence, that all  $\mathcal{BMO}_q(\mu)$  spaces coincide with  $\mathcal{BMO}_1(\mu)$ , for  $q \in (1, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 13.** *The following hold:*

- (i) *for every function  $f$  in  $BMO_1(\mu)$  there exists a bounded linear functional  $L_f$  on  $H^1(\mu)$  such that*

$$L_f(g) = \int_{\mathcal{V}} f g \, d\mu \quad \forall g \in H_{\text{fin}}^{1,\infty}(\mu), \quad (11)$$

*and there exists  $A > 0$  such that  $\|L_f\|_{(H^1)^*} \leq A \|f\|_{BMO_1}$ ;*

- (ii) *for every bounded linear functional  $L$  on  $H^1(\mu)$  there exists a unique function  $f \in BMO_1(\mu)$  such that  $L = L_f$  and  $\|f\|_{BMO_1} \leq C_2 \|L\|_{(H^1)^*}$ , where  $C_2$  is the constant which appears in Proposition 11(i).*

*Proof.* We first prove (i) in the case when  $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ . Let  $g$  be a function in  $H^1(\mu)$  and choose an atomic decomposition  $g = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j$  such that  $a_j$  are  $(1, \infty)$ -atoms supported in Calderón–Zygmund sets  $\tilde{R}_j$ . Since  $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$  we have

$$\int f g \, d\mu = \sum_j \lambda_j \int f a_j \, d\mu. \quad (12)$$

For every  $j$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f a_j \, d\mu \right| &= \left| \int_{\tilde{R}_j} (f - f_{\tilde{R}_j}) a_j \, d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\tilde{R}_j} |f - f_{\tilde{R}_j}| |a_j| \, d\mu \\ &\leq \mu(\tilde{R}_j)^{-1} \int_{\tilde{R}_j} |f - f_{\tilde{R}_j}| \, d\mu \\ &\leq \|f\|_{BMO_1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (12) we deduce that

$$\left| \int f g \, d\mu \right| \leq \sum_j |\lambda_j| \|f\|_{BMO_1}.$$

Taking the infimum over all atomic decompositions of  $g$  we get

$$\left| \int f g \, d\mu \right| \leq \|g\|_{H^1} \|f\|_{BMO_1}. \quad (13)$$

Let now  $f \in BMO_1(\mu)$  be real-valued and define for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the function  $f_k : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } |f(x)| \leq k \\ k \frac{f(x)}{|f(x)|} & \text{if } |f(x)| > k. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\|f_k\|_{L^\infty} \leq k$  and  $\|f_k\|_{BMO_1} \leq C \|f\|_{BMO_1}$ . Moreover  $|f_k - f|$  tends monotonically to zero when  $k$  tends to  $\infty$ . Let  $g \in H_{\text{fin}}^{1,\infty}(\mu)$ . By (13) we deduce that

$$\left| \int f_k g \, d\mu \right| \leq \|g\|_{H^1} \|f_k\|_{BMO_1} \leq C \|g\|_{H^1} \|f\|_{BMO_1}.$$

Since  $f_k g$  tends to  $f g$  everywhere,  $g$  is compactly supported and  $f$  is integrable on the support of  $g$ , by the dominated convergence theorem

$$\left| \int f g \, d\mu \right| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int f_k g \, d\mu \right| \leq C \|g\|_{H^1} \|f\|_{BMO_1} \quad \forall g \in H_{\text{fin}}^{1,\infty}(\mu). \quad (14)$$



Since  $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,\infty}(\mu)$  is dense in  $H^1(\mu)$ , it follows that the functional  $L_f$  extends to a bounded functional on  $H^1(\mu)$  and there exists a positive constant  $A$  such that  $\|L_f\|_{(H^1)^*} \leq A\|f\|_{BMO_1}$ .

If  $f \in BMO_1(\mu)$  is complex-valued, then we apply (14) to  $\text{Re } f$  and  $\text{Im } f$  and prove (i).

We now prove (ii). Let  $\{\tilde{Q}_n\}$  be the sequence of Calderón–Zygmund sets constructed in Proposition 7 and, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $X_n^2$  and  $X^2$  be the spaces introduced at the end of Section 2. Observe that  $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,2}(\mu)$  and  $X^2$  agree as vector spaces. For any  $g \in X_n^2$  the function  $\mu(\tilde{Q}_n)^{-1/2}\|g\|_{L^2}^{-1}g$  is a (1,2)-atom, so that  $g$  is in  $H^{1,2}(\mu)$  and  $\|g\|_{H^{1,2}} \leq \mu(\tilde{Q}_n)^{1/2}\|g\|_{L^2}$ . Hence  $X^2 \subset H^{1,2}(\mu)$  and the inclusion is continuous.

Let  $L$  be in  $(H^1(\mu))^* = (H^{1,2}(\mu))^*$ . Hence  $L$  lies in the dual of  $X^2$ . Then by Proposition 8 there exists a function  $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$L(g) = \int f g \, d\mu \quad \forall g \in X^2.$$

We now show that  $f \in BMO_2(\mu)$ . Take a Calderón–Zygmund set  $\tilde{R}$ . For any  $g \in X^2$  supported in  $\tilde{R}$  the function  $\mu(\tilde{R})^{-1/2}\|g\|_{L^2}^{-1}g$  is a (1,2)-atom. We then have

$$\left| \int f g \, d\mu \right| = |L(g)| \leq \|L\|_{(H^{1,2})^*} \|g\|_{L^2} \mu(\tilde{R})^{1/2}.$$

This implies that  $f - f_{\tilde{R}}$  is a function in  $L_0^2(\tilde{R})$  which represents the restriction of the bounded linear functional  $L$  on  $L_0^2(\tilde{R})$ . Hence

$$\|f - f_{\tilde{R}}\|_{L^2(\tilde{R})} \leq \|L\|_{(L_0^2(\tilde{R}))^*} \leq \mu(\tilde{R})^{1/2} \|L\|_{(H^{1,2})^*}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |f - f_{\tilde{R}}| \, d\mu \leq \|L\|_{(H^{1,2})^*} \leq C_2 \|L\|_{(H^1)^*},$$

so that  $f \in BMO_1(\mu)$  and  $\|f\|_{BMO_1} \leq C_2 \|L\|_{(H^1)^*}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 14.** *For every  $q$  in  $(1, \infty)$  the space  $BMO_q(\mu)$  coincides with  $BMO_1(\mu)$  and*

$$\|f\|_{BMO_1} \leq \|f\|_{BMO_q} \leq AC_p \|f\|_{BMO_1} \quad \forall f \in BMO_q(\mu),$$

where  $p = q'$ ,  $C_p$  is the constant which appears in Proposition 11(i) and  $A$  is the constant which appears in Theorem 13(i).

*Proof.* It follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$\|f\|_{BMO_1} \leq \|f\|_{BMO_q} \quad \forall f \in BMO_q(\mu).$$

Take now  $f \in BMO_1(\mu)$  and let  $L_f$  be the functional on  $H^1(\mu)$  such that

$$L_f(g) = \int f g \, d\mu \quad \forall g \in H_{\text{fin}}^{1,\infty}(\mu).$$

Let  $q \in (1, \infty)$  and  $p = q'$ .

Let  $\{\tilde{Q}_n\}$  be the sequence of Calderón–Zygmund sets constructed in Proposition 7. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $X_n^p$  and  $X^p$  be the spaces introduced in Section 2. Observe that  $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,p}(\mu)$  and  $X^p$  agree as vector spaces. For any  $g \in X_n^p$  the function  $\mu(\tilde{Q}_n)^{-1+1/p}\|g\|_{L^p}^{-1}g$  is a (1,p)-atom, so that  $g$  is in  $H^{1,p}(\mu)$  and  $\|g\|_{H^{1,p}} \leq \mu(\tilde{Q}_n)^{1-1/p}\|g\|_{L^p}$ . Hence  $X^p \subset H^{1,p}(\mu)$  and the inclusion is continuous.

Hence  $L_f$  lies in the dual of  $X^p$ . Then by Proposition 8 there exists a function  $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$L_f(g) = \int F g \, d\mu \quad \forall g \in X^p.$$

We now show that  $F \in BMO_q(\mu)$ . Take a Calderón–Zygmund set  $\tilde{R}$ . For any  $g \in X^p$  supported in  $\tilde{R}$  the function  $\mu(\tilde{R})^{-1+1/p} \|g\|_{L^p}^{-1} g$  is a  $(1, p)$ -atom. We then have

$$\left| \int F g \, d\mu \right| = |L(g)| \leq \|L_f\|_{(H^{1,p})^*} \|g\|_{L^p} \mu(\tilde{R})^{1-1/p}.$$

This implies that  $F - F_{\tilde{R}}$  is a function in  $L_0^q(\tilde{R})$  which represents the restriction of the bounded linear functional  $L_f$  on  $L_0^p(\tilde{R})$ . Hence

$$\|F - F_{\tilde{R}}\|_{L^q(\tilde{R})} \leq \|L_f\|_{(L_0^p(\tilde{R}))^*} \leq \mu(\tilde{R})^{1/q} \|L_f\|_{(H^{1,p})^*}.$$

It follows that

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |F - F_{\tilde{R}}|^q \, d\mu \right)^{1/q} \leq \|L_f\|_{(H^{1,p})^*} \leq C_p \|L_f\|_{(H^1)^*} \leq AC_p \|f\|_{BMO_1},$$

so that  $\|F\|_{BMO_q} \leq AC_p \|f\|_{BMO_1}$ , where  $C_p$  is the constant which appears in Proposition 11(i).

Take any Calderón–Zygmund set  $\tilde{R}$ . Since by Proposition 11(iii)  $L_0^p(\tilde{R}) \subset H_{\text{fin}}^{1,\infty}(\mu)$ , we have that

$$L_f(g) = \int f g \, d\mu = \int F g \, d\mu \quad \forall g \in L_0^p(\tilde{R}).$$

Hence there exists a positive constant  $c_{\tilde{R}}$  such that  $f = F - c_{\tilde{R}}$  on  $\tilde{R}$ , so that

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |f - f_{\tilde{R}}|^q \, d\mu \right)^{1/q} = \left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |F - F_{\tilde{R}}|^q \, d\mu \right)^{1/q}.$$

In conclusion,  $f \in BMO_q(\mu)$  and  $\|f\|_{BMO_q} = \|F\|_{BMO_q} \leq AC_p \|f\|_{BMO_1}$ . □

In the sequel we shall denote by  $BMO(\mu)$  the space  $BMO_1(\mu)$  endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{BMO} = \|\cdot\|_{BMO_1}$ .

As a consequence of the duality result, by [1, Theorem 2], arguing exactly as in [18, Section 5] we can deduce the following real interpolation results involving Hardy and BMO spaces.

**Corollary 15.** *Suppose that  $1 \leq r_1 < r < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{r_1}$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Then*

$$[L^{r_1}(\mu), BMO(\mu)]_{\theta, q} = L^r(\mu).$$

Moreover, if  $\frac{1}{r} = 1 - \theta$ , with  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$[H^1(\mu), BMO(\mu)]_{\theta, r} = L^r(\mu).$$

As a consequence of the duality result and of [1, Theorem 3] we deduce that integral operators whose kernels satisfy a suitable integral Hörmander condition are bounded from  $L^\infty(\mu)$  to  $BMO(\mu)$ .

**Corollary 16.** *Let  $T$  be a linear operator which is bounded on  $L^2(\mu)$  and admits a locally integrable kernel  $K$  off the diagonal that satisfies the condition*

$$\sup_{\tilde{R}} \sup_{y, z \in \tilde{R}} \int_{(\tilde{R}^*)^c} |K(y, x) - K(z, x)| \, d\mu(x) < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all Calderón-Zygmund sets  $\tilde{R}$  and  $\tilde{R}^*$  is defined as in Definition 6. Then  $T$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^\infty(\mu)$  to  $BMO(\mu)$ .

#### 4. THE SHARP MAXIMAL FUNCTION

In this section we introduce the sharp maximal function associated with the family of Calderón-Zygmund sets and investigate some of its properties. This sharp maximal function is related with the definition of the  $BMO$ -space and might be useful to study interpolation properties of such space.

**Definition 17.** Let  $q \in [1, \infty)$ . For every function  $f$  in  $L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mu)$  its sharp maximal function  $f^{\sharp, q}$  is defined by

$$f^{\sharp, q}(x) = \sup_{\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{R}, x \in \tilde{R}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |f - f_{\tilde{R}}|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Notice that  $\|f^{\sharp, q}\|_{L^\infty} = \|f\|_{BMO_q}$  for every function  $f \in BMO(\mu)$ .

**Proposition 18.** Let  $q \in [1, \infty)$  and  $f, g \in BMO(\mu)$ . The following hold:

(i)

$$\frac{1}{2} f^{\sharp, q}(x) \leq \sup_{\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{R}, x \in \tilde{R}} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |f - c|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \leq f^{\sharp, q}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{V};$$

(ii) for every  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $|f|^{\sharp, q}(x) \leq 2f^{\sharp, q}(x)$ . Then  $|f| \in BMO(\mu)$  and

$$\||f|\|_{BMO_q} \leq 2\|f\|_{BMO_q};$$

(iii)  $(f + g)^{\sharp, q}(x) \leq f^{\sharp, q}(x) + g^{\sharp, q}(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ ;

(iv) there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that if  $f$  and  $g$  have real values, then for every  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ ,

$$[\max(f, g)]^{\sharp, q}(x) \leq C(|f|^{\sharp, q}(x) + |g|^{\sharp, q}(x)), \quad [\min(f, g)]^{\sharp, q}(x) \leq C(|f|^{\sharp, q}(x) + |g|^{\sharp, q}(x)).$$

*Proof.* We first prove (i). Given  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{R}$  which contains  $x$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we choose a constant  $c_{\tilde{R}}$  such that

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |f - c_{\tilde{R}}|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \leq \inf_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |f - c|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} + \varepsilon$$

Then, by applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |f - f_{\tilde{R}}|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})^{1/q}} \|f - c_{\tilde{R}}\|_{L^q(\tilde{R})} + \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})^{1/q}} \|f_{\tilde{R}} - c_{\tilde{R}}\|_{L^q(\tilde{R})} \\ &\leq 2 \inf_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |f - c|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} + 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary and taking the supremum over all sets  $\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{R}$  we obtain the first inequality in (i); the second one is immediate.

Let us now prove (ii). For every  $\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f|^{\sharp,q}(x) &\leq 2 \sup_{\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{R}, x \in \tilde{R}} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} ||f| - c|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{R}, x \in \tilde{R}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} ||f| - |f_{\tilde{R}}|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{R}, x \in \tilde{R}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(\tilde{R})} \int_{\tilde{R}} |f - f_{\tilde{R}}|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \\ &= 2f^{\sharp,q}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Property (iii) is an immediate consequence of the definition of the sharp maximal function.

Property (iv) follows from (ii) and the fact that

$$\max(f, g) = \frac{f + g + |f - g|}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \min(f, g) = \frac{f + g - |f - g|}{2}.$$

□

Notice that, as a consequence of Proposition 18 (iv), the set of real valued functions in  $BMO(\mu)$  is a lattice.

In the following result, we explain how the duality of  $H^1(\mu)$  with  $BMO(\mu)$  can be quantitatively expressed in terms of the sharp maximal function.

**Proposition 19.** *Let  $q \in (1, \infty)$ . There exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for every  $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$  and  $g \in H^1(\mu) \cap L^q(\mu)$*

$$\left| \int f g d\mu \right| \leq C \int f^{\sharp,q'}(M(|g|^q))^{1/q} d\mu,$$

where

$$M(|g|^q)(x) = \sup_{x \in R} \frac{1}{\mu(R)} \int_R |g|^q d\mu \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{V},$$

where the supremum is taken over all admissible trapezoids that contain  $x$ .

*Proof.* Take  $g \in H^1(\mu) \cap L^q(\mu)$ . For every  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  arguing as in [1, Lemma 1] we can construct a family of disjoint trapezoids  $R_k^j$ , a function  $g^j$  and functions  $b_k^j$  such that

- $\bigcup_k R_k^j \subset \Omega_j = \{x \in \mathcal{V} : M(|g|^q) > 2^{jq}\} \subset \bigcup_k \tilde{R}_k^j$ ;
- $g = g^j + \sum_k b_k^j = g^j + b^j$ ;
- $|g^j| \leq C2^j$ ;
- $b_k^j$  is supported in  $\tilde{R}_k^j$ , has vanishing integral and  $\|b_k^j\|_{L^q} \leq C2^j \mu(\tilde{R}_k^j)^{1/q}$ .

These facts implies that  $g^j$  tends to 0 uniformly when  $j \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $\|b^j\|_{H^1} \leq C2^{j(1-q)} \|g\|_{L^q}^q$ , hence  $b^j$  tends to 0 in  $H^1(\mu)$  when  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus

$$g = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^N (g^{j+1} - g^j) = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^N (b^j - b^{j+1}). \quad (15)$$

Notice that we can write  $b_k^j = \lambda_k^j a_k^j$ , where  $\lambda_k^j = C2^j \mu(\tilde{R}_k^j)$  and  $a_k^j$  is a  $(1, q)$ -atom supported in  $\tilde{R}_k^j$ .

Take now  $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ . We have

$$\left| \int f a_k^j d\mu \right| \leq \left| \int [f - f_{\tilde{R}_k^j}] a_k^j d\mu \right| \leq \|a_k^j\|_{L^q} \|f - f_{\tilde{R}_k^j}\|_{L^{q'}(\tilde{R}_k^j)} \leq \mu(\tilde{R}_k^j)^{-1+1/q} \|f - f_{\tilde{R}_k^j}\|_{L^{q'}(\tilde{R}_k^j)} \leq f^{\sharp,q'}(x),$$

for every  $x \in \tilde{R}_k^j$ . Hence

$$\left| \int f a_k^j d\mu \right| \leq \frac{1}{\mu(R_k^j)} \int_{R_k^j} f^{\#,q'} d\mu.$$

Using (15) and Proposition 5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f g d\mu \right| &\leq \sum_{j,k} \lambda_k^j \left| \int f a_k^j d\mu \right| + \sum_{j,\ell} \lambda_\ell^{j+1} \left| \int f a_\ell^{j+1} d\mu \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{j,k} 2^j \mu(\tilde{R}_k^j) \frac{1}{\mu(R_k^j)} \int_{R_k^j} f^{\#,q'} d\mu + C \sum_{j,\ell} 2^{j+1} \mu(\tilde{R}_\ell^{j+1}) \frac{1}{\mu(R_\ell^{j+1})} \int_{R_\ell^{j+1}} f^{\#,q'} d\mu \\ &\leq C \sum_j \int_{\bigcup_k R_k^j} 2^j f^{\#,q'} d\mu + C \sum_j \int_{\bigcup_\ell R_\ell^{j+1}} 2^{j+1} f^{\#,q'} d\mu \\ &\leq C \sum_j \int_{\Omega_j} 2^j f^{\#,q'} d\mu + C \sum_j \int_{\Omega_{j+1}} 2^{j+1} f^{\#,q'} d\mu \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathcal{V}} f^{\#,q'}(x) \sum_{2^j < (M|g|^q)^{1/q}(x)} 2^j d\mu(x) + C \int_{\mathcal{V}} f^{\#,q'}(x) \sum_{2^{j+1} < (M|g|^q)^{1/q}(x)} 2^{j+1} d\mu(x) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathcal{V}} f^{\#,q'}(x) (M|g|^q)^{1/q}(x) d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

The following theorem can be thought as a weak version of the classical  $L^p$ -inequality involving the sharp maximal function in the Euclidean setting (see [15, Theorem 2, §2, Ch. IV]).

**Theorem 20.** *Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $p_0 \in (1, p)$ . There exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f^{\#,p_0}\|_{L^p} \quad \forall f \in L^{p_0}(\mu). \quad (16)$$

*Proof.* Given  $f \in L^{p_0}(\mu)$  real-valued we define for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } |f(x)| \leq k \\ k \frac{f(x)}{|f(x)|} & \text{if } |f(x)| > k. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f_k$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^{p_0}(\mu)$  when  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $f_k \in L^\infty(\mu)$  and by Proposition 18 (iv)

$$f_k^{\#,p_0} \leq C f^{\#,p_0}. \quad (17)$$

Denote by  $q$  and  $q_0$  the conjugate exponents of  $p$  and  $p_0$ , respectively. Take a function  $g \in L^q(\mu) \cap L^{q_0}(\mu)$  with  $\|g\|_{L^q} \leq 1$ . For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  define

$$\tilde{g}_k = g \chi_{\tilde{Q}_k} \quad \text{and} \quad I_k = \int \tilde{g}_k d\mu,$$

where  $\tilde{Q}_k$  are the sets introduced in Proposition 7. We then define

$$g_k = \tilde{g}_k - I_k \mu(\tilde{Q}_{2^k})^{-1} \chi_{\tilde{Q}_{2^k}} = \tilde{g}_k - r_k.$$

The function  $g_k$  is in  $L^q(\mu)$ , it has vanishing integral and is supported in  $\tilde{Q}_{2^k}$ ; hence  $g_k$  lies in  $H^1(\mu)$ . Moreover,  $g_k$  tends to  $g$  pointwise for  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $|g_k| \leq |g| + r$ , where  $r = \sum_k |r_k|$ . We

have that

$$\|r_k\|_{L^q} \leq \mu(\tilde{Q}_k)^{1/q'} \mu(\tilde{Q}_{2^k})^{-1+1/q} = \left( \frac{q^k(k+1)}{q^{2^k}(2^k+1)} \right)^{1/q'},$$

and then  $r \in L^q(\mu)$ . Hence  $g_k$  tends to  $g$  also in  $L^q(\mu)$  for  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ .

By applying Proposition 19 to  $f_k$  and  $g_k$  and Hölder's inequality we get

$$\left| \int f_k g_k \, d\mu \right| \leq \int f_k^{\sharp, p_0} (M(|g_k|^{q_0}))^{1/q_0} \, d\mu \leq \|f_k^{\sharp, p_0}\|_{L^p} \|(M(|g_k|^{q_0}))^{1/q_0}\|_{L^q}.$$

Taking the limit for  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  on the left-hand side and by applying (17) and the boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function on  $L^{q/q_0}(\mu)$  (see [1]) we deduce that

$$\left| \int f g \, d\mu \right| \leq C \|f^{\sharp, p_0}\|_{L^p} \|g_k\|_{L^q} \leq C \|f^{\sharp, p_0}\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q} \leq C \|f^{\sharp, p_0}\|_{L^p}. \quad (18)$$

Since the previous inequality holds for every  $g \in L^q(\mu) \cap L^{q_0}(\mu)$  with  $\|g\|_{L^q} \leq 1$  with constants independent of  $g$ , we deduce that  $\|f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f^{\sharp, p_0}\|_{L^p}$ .

The case when  $f$  is complex-valued follows by applying 18 to  $\operatorname{Re} f$  and  $\operatorname{Im} f$  and arguing as above.  $\square$

**Remark 21.** *Proposition 19 and Theorem 20 are inspired by similar results involving the sharp maximal function in the Euclidean setting (see [15, §2, Ch. IV]). More precisely, Proposition 19 differs from [15, Formula (16) Ch. IV] because we require an extra integrability condition on the function  $g \in H^1(\mu)$  and the maximal function involved here is a variant of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. This is due to the fact that a maximal characterization of the Hardy space  $H^1(\mu)$  is not available in our setting.*

*The inequality (16) is a weak version of the inequality*

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f^{\sharp, 1}\|_{L^p},$$

*which is still unknown in the setting of the present paper. The proof of such inequality would probably require a distributional inequality involving both the Hardy–Littlewood and the sharp maximal functions (or a dyadic version of them) that is still work in progress.*

**Acknowledgments.** Work partially supported by the MIUR project “Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2018-2022” (CUP E11G18000350001).

The authors are members of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Arditti, A. Tabacco, M. Vallarino. Hardy spaces on weighted homogeneous trees, in: Boggiatto P. et al. (eds) *Advances in Microlocal and Time-Frequency Analysis*, 21–39, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhuser, Cham, 2020.
- [2] N. Bourbaki. *Topological Vector Spaces*, Chapters 1-5, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), SpringerVerlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [3] A. Carbonaro, G. Mauceri, S. Meda.  $H^1$  and BMO for certain locally doubling metric measure spaces. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **8** (2009), no. 3, 543-582.
- [4] D. Celotto, S. Meda. On the analogue of the Fefferman–Stein theorem on graphs with the Cheeger property. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.(4)* **197** (2018), no. 5, 1637-1677.
- [5] R.R. Coifman, G. Weiss. *Analyse Harmonique Non Commutative Sur Certains Espaces Homogenes. Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **242**, SpringerVerlag, BerlinNew York, 1971.

- [6] R.R. Coifman, G. Weiss. Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), no. 4, 569-645.
- [7] C. Fefferman, E.M. Stein.  $H^p$  spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* **129** (1972), 137-193.
- [8] G. B. Folland, E. M. Stein. Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups. Princeton University Press, 1982.
- [9] J. Feneuil. Hardy and BMO spaces on graphs, application to Riesz transform. *Potential Anal.* **45** (2016), no. 1, 1-54.
- [10] W. Hebisch, T. Steger. Multipliers and singular integrals on exponential growth groups. *Math. Z.* **245** (2003), no. 1, 37-61.
- [11] F. John, L. Nirenberg. On Functions of Bounded Mean Oscillation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **14** (1961), 415-426.
- [12] A.D. Ionescu. Fourier integral operators on noncompact symmetric spaces of real rank one. *J. Funct. Anal.* **174** (2000), no. 2, 274-300.
- [13] A. Martini, A. Ottazzi, M. Vallarino. Spectral multipliers for sub-Laplacians on solvable extensions of stratified groups, *J. Anal. Math.* **136** (2018), 357-397.
- [14] G. Mauceri, S. Meda, M. Vallarino. Harmonic Bergman spaces, the Poisson equation and the dual of Hardy-type spaces on certain noncompact manifolds. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **14** (2015), no. 4, 1157-1188.
- [15] E. M. Stein. Harmonic Analysis, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [16] E.M. Stein, G. Weiss. Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton Mathematical Series 32, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.
- [17] M. Taylor. Hardy spaces and BMO on manifolds with bounded geometry, *J. Geom. Anal.* **19** (2009), no. 1, 137-190.
- [18] M. Vallarino. Spaces  $H^1$  and  $BMO$  on  $ax + b$ -groups. *Collect. Math.* **60** (2009), no. 3, 277-295.

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE “GIUSEPPE LUIGI LAGRANGE”, DIPARTIMENTO DI ECCELLENZA 2018-2022, POLITECNICO DI TORINO, CORSO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI 24, 10129 TORINO ITALY  
*E-mail address:* [laura.arditti@polito.it](mailto:laura.arditti@polito.it)

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE “GIUSEPPE LUIGI LAGRANGE”, DIPARTIMENTO DI ECCELLENZA 2018-2022, POLITECNICO DI TORINO, CORSO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI 24, 10129 TORINO ITALY  
*E-mail address:* [anita.tabacco@polito.it](mailto:anita.tabacco@polito.it)

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE “GIUSEPPE LUIGI LAGRANGE”, DIPARTIMENTO DI ECCELLENZA 2018-2022, POLITECNICO DI TORINO, CORSO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI 24, 10129 TORINO ITALY  
*E-mail address:* [maria.vallarino@polito.it](mailto:maria.vallarino@polito.it)