

Predictors and trajectories of ED visits among patients receiving palliative home care services: Findings from a time series analysis (2013-2017)

Original

Predictors and trajectories of ED visits among patients receiving palliative home care services: Findings from a time series analysis (2013-2017) / Borraccino, A.; Campagna, S.; Politano, G.; Dalmaso, M.; Dimonte, V.; Gianino, M. M.. - In: BMC PALLIATIVE CARE. - ISSN 1472-684X. - ELETTRONICO. - 19:1(2020), p. 126. [10.1186/s12904-020-00626-w]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2854669 since: 2020-12-03T14:53:11Z

Publisher:

BioMed Central Ltd

Published

DOI:10.1186/s12904-020-00626-w

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Predictors and trajectories of ED visits among patients receiving palliative home care services: findings from a time series analysis (2013-2017)

Alberto Borraccino¹, Sara Campagna^{1*} , Gianfranco Politano², Marco Dalmasso³, Valerio Dimonte¹ and Maria Michela Gianino¹

Abstract

Background: Current policies recommend integrating home care and palliative care to enable patients to remain at home and avoid unnecessary hospital admission and emergency department (ED) visits. The Italian health care system had implemented integrated palliative home care (IHPC) services to guarantee a comprehensive, coordinated approach across different actors and to reduce potentially avoidable ED visits. This study aimed to analyze the trajectories of ED visit rates among patients receiving IHPC in the Italian healthcare system, as well as the association between socio-demographic, health supply, and clinical factors.

Methods: A pooled, cross-sectional, time series analysis was performed in a large Italian region in the period 2013–2017. Data were taken from two databases of the official Italian National Information System: Home Care Services and ED use. A clinical record is opened at the time a patient is enrolled in IHPC and closed after the last service is provided. Every such clinical record was considered as an IHPC event, and only ED visits that occurred during IHPC events were considered.

Results: The 20,611 patients enrolled in IHPC during the study period contributed 23,085 IHPC events; ≥ 1 ED visit occurred during 6046 of these events. Neoplasms accounted for 89% of IHPC events and for 91% of ED visits. Although there were different variations in ED visit rates during the study period, a slight decline was observed for all diseases, and this decline accelerated over time ($b = -0.18$, $p = 0.796$, 95% confidence interval [CI] = $-1.59; 1.22$, b -squared = -1.25 , $p < 0.001$, 95% CI = $-1.63; -0.86$). There were no significant predictors among the socio-demographic factors (sex, age, presence of a non-family caregiver, cohabitant family members, distance from ED), health supply factors (proponent of IHPC) and clinical factors (prevalent disorder at IHPC entry, clinical symptoms).

Conclusion: Our results show that use of ED continues after enrollment in IHPC, but the trend of this use declines over time. As no significant predictive factors were identified, no specific interventions can be recommended on which the avoidable ED visits depend.

Keywords: Emergency departments, Palliative care, Home care service

* Correspondence: sara.campagna@unito.it

¹Department of Public Health and Pediatrics, University of Torino Via Santena 5 bis, 10126 Torino, Italy

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Today, home care represents the best response to epidemiological changes in the population (aging, increased comorbidity, and chronic pathologies) and the economic sustainability of national healthcare services [1]. This is also true for the delivery of palliative care, the goal of which is to prevent and relieve suffering and provide the best possible quality of life to patients and their families, regardless of their stage of illness [2, 3]. In Italy, palliative care is guaranteed by law (National Law 38/2010) to people with chronic and progressive diseases for which a cure is not available or when complete reversal of the disease and its process is no longer possible; it is not reserved only for persons who are nearing the end of their life. The purpose of palliative care is to assure the patient and those involved in his/her life have an optimal quality of life [4]. Palliative care may be required for a wide range of diseases, such as cardiovascular diseases, cancer, chronic respiratory diseases, multiple sclerosis, dementia, and tuberculosis. Palliative care in Italy is provided in multiple settings, including hospices, hospitals, residential facilities, and at home, referred as integrated palliative home care (IHPC). IHPC services are delivered and administered by Palliative Care Units, which create multi-professional teams that ensure medical, nursing, rehabilitation, and psychological services, as well as social, protective, and spiritual support. After referral by a general practitioner, multi-professional teams decide whether a patient can receive IHPC based on specific, multi-professional assessment scales. IHPC requires the creation of an individual care plan, the purpose of which is to identify the goals of care and the most appropriate interventions in case of problems. This plan is prepared by the multi-professional team and must be shared with the patient and their family and/or caregiver, as it constitutes a therapeutic care contract. The aim of the individual care plan is to guarantee a comprehensive, coordinated approach across different actors, and avoid unnecessary care, hospital admission, and emergency department (ED) visits.

Indeed, although the benefits associated with hospitalization for patients with palliative care needs cannot be denied [5], ED visits are considered an indicator of poor quality in home care services [6]. Moreover, several studies have shown that ED visits in IHPC patients are not essential and potentially avoidable [7–10]. The Italian healthcare system has initiated a shift from institutional palliative care to IHPC, and many efforts have been made to improve the access to and quality of IHPC. In this perspective, it could be useful to evaluate whether patients enrolled in IHPC have reduced ED visits over time and to identify the factors associated with ED use. To the best of our knowledge, there is currently a paucity of epidemiological studies in this area. This study aimed to analyze the trajectories of ED visit

rates among patients receiving IHPC in the Italian healthcare system, as well as the association between socio-demographic, health supply, and clinical factors.

Methods

We conducted a pooled, cross-sectional, time series analysis of ED visit rates among patients receiving IHPC in the period 2013–2017 in the Piedmont Region, which is the second largest region in Italy, with a population of more than 4 million inhabitants over an area of 25,387 km² [11]. A clinical record is opened at the time a patient is enrolled in IHPC and closed after the last service is provided. Every such clinical record was considered as an IHPC event, and IHPC event was used as the unit of analysis. As such, patients may have had one or more IHPC events during the study period; the ED visits analyzed were those that occurred during IHPC events.

The following factors at IHPC entry were considered: the socio-demographic factors sex, age (≤ 18 , 19–65, 66–80, 81–90, 91–100, > 100 years), presence of a non-family caregiver, number of cohabitant family members (0, 1, 2, ≥ 4), and distance to the nearest ED (≤ 5 , 6–20, > 20 min); the health supply factor proponents of IHPC (general practitioner, hospital, residential facility, other setting); and the clinical factors prevalent pathology at IHPC entry (categorized according to the International Classification of Diseases 9th revision: bone, skin, and breast neoplasms; cardiocirculatory diseases; digestive system diseases; digestive system neoplasms, endocrine and metabolic diseases; lymphatic neoplasms; mental disorders; neurologic disorders; other diseases; other neoplasms; respiratory diseases; respiratory system neoplasms; urogenital diseases) and the reason for ED visit (symptoms of the nervous system, abdominal pain, chest pain, dyspnea, shock, non-traumatic bleeding, trauma, temperature, urological symptoms, rhythm alteration, other symptoms).

All data were taken from two databases that are part of the official Italian National Information System: Home Care Services and ED use. Data from these databases were merged using the universal patient ID number, an anonymous, unique code assigned to each patient that is used for all compulsory registry data within the ministerial system. Data on distance to the nearest ED was obtained from the National Agency for Territorial Cohesion (“Agenzia per la Coesione Territoriale”, part of the “Dipartimento per le politiche di sviluppo e di coesione”), which classifies areas of residence based on the average distance to the nearest hospital with an ED [12].

Statistical analyses

First, a time trend analysis was performed by computing a linear regression estimate of the annual ED visit rate per patient receiving IHPC. The trend was analyzed for

the relationship between ED visit rates and prevalent disorder at IHPC entry. Each linear regression was modelled as a linear and quadratic regression, and each model was tested against a t-Wald test of estimated coefficients and corrected for Robust Standard Errors for Panel Models, using the Beck and Katz Robust Covariance Matrix Estimators. For significant regression, the trend direction was reported as the sign of the beta coefficient. Second, a pooled, cross-sectional, time series analysis with fixed-effect estimation was performed to assess the association between ED visit rates and selected independent factors (socio-demographic, health supply, and clinical factors) over the 5-year study period [13].

One advantage of fixed-effects models is that they control for time-invariant heterogeneity among pathologies by removing the effect of those time-invariant characteristics so the net effect of the predictors on the outcome factor can be assessed. We used the Hausman test to examine each fixed-effect model against a random-effect model [14]. In both the fixed- and random-effect models, the significance of each predictor was assessed by using robust estimators for the standard errors. Standard estimation of predictors was fact corrected with a heteroskedasticity-consistent estimation of the covariance matrix of the coefficient, computed according to the Arellano method [15, 16]. The presence of exogenous time trends in both the dependent and independent factors (i.e., time-fixed effects) was controlled by adding dummy variables to the model for each year of the study period except the first.

To avoid model over-fitting, age was divided into six categories. The relationship between all the remaining dependent and independent factors were examined separately, resulting in seven distinct fixed-effects models. This choice was driven primarily by concerns about model over-fitting and multi-collinearity. The R framework [17] was used to perform all analyses and the significance level was set at $p < 0.05$ for all analyses.

Ethics statement

The Italian National Information System databases for Home Care Services and ED use are official, anonymized Ministerial Health information systems. All such systems are centrally anonymized and are available to be used for administrative and/or epidemiological studies without any further authorizations. Therefore, ethics committee approval was not required.

Results

A total of 20,611 patients were enrolled in IHPC during the study period (2013–2017), and they contributed 23,085 IHPC events. There were 18,907 patients (92%) with only one IHPC event, 1264 (6%) with two, and 440 (2%)

with ≥ 3 events. Eighty-3 % of all IHPC events had a duration of < 100 days.

Emergency department visit rates

Of the 23,085 IHPC events during the study period, at least one ED visit occurred in 6046 of them (26 ED visits per 100 IHPC events). Stratification by pathology at IHPC entry shows that most IHPC events were carried out for patients with neoplasms. Indeed, IHPC events for neoplasms accounted for approximately 89% of all IHPC events during the 5-year period. Consequently, neoplasms were responsible for 91% of all ED visits (Fig. 1).

There was great variability in ED visit rates across pathologies, and no rate fell below 10% throughout the 5-year study period. Patients with neoplasms showed less variability in ED visit rates, with values ranging from 20 to 35%. Two pathologies, digestive system diseases and respiratory diseases, had ED visit rates of over 30% in almost all the years analyzed (Fig. 1).

Annual trends in emergency department visit rates

The linear trend of ED visit rates was not significant (coefficient for the linear term = -0.18 ; 95% confidence interval [CI] = $-1.59; 1.23$) but was significantly “curved” (coefficient for the quadratic term: -1.25 ; 95% CI = $-1.64; -0.86$). When the coefficient for the linear term is negative and near zero, and the coefficient for a quadratic term is significant and more negative, from a mathematical perspective, it results in a convex time trend, so that the value at the end of the study period is lower than that at the beginning. This means that the average annual ED visit rate decreased over the 5-year study period, and that this decrease accelerated over time (Fig. 2).

Unexpected visit rates by pathology were observed in the fixed-effects model (Fig. 2). Some pathologies had a convex trend with a slight decrease, such as urogenital diseases, digestive system diseases, respiratory diseases, neurologic disorders, urogenital neoplasms, and digestive system neoplasms, whereas lymphatic neoplasms, cardio-circulatory diseases, other neoplasms, respiratory system neoplasms, bone, skin, and breast neoplasms, and endocrine and metabolic diseases had a convex trend with a slight increase during the 5-year study period. The convex trend demonstrates that all pathologies experienced a reverse trend in ED visit rates or an acceleration in the decrease of ED visit rates. Only two pathologies, other diseases and mental disorders, had a concave trend.

ED visit rates declined more slowly among patients with neoplasms (coefficient for the linear term = -0.0157 , 95% CI = $-2.3514; 2.3199$ and coefficient for the quadratic term = -0.9591 , 95% CI = $-2.4318; 0.5135$) than in those with other pathologies.





Fig. 1 Number of IHPC events and ED visits, by Pathologies at IHPC entry and overall 2013/2017. Solid line: Number of IHPC events over time; Dashed lines - ED visits pattern over time



Factors and emergency department visit rates

Regression analyses on the impact of socio-demographic, health supply, and clinical factors on ED visit rates showed no significant results (Table 1).

Discussion

Inferential statistical analysis provided information on the scale of decline in ED visits by showing the annual change in ED visit rates. ED visit rates showed a slight decline in all pathology categories, and this decrease accelerated over the study period. This result may have been facilitated by the type of healthcare system within which our study took place. The Italian National Healthcare System is tax-payer funded, with both public and private providers, and is managed mainly by each of the 21 regions and the autonomous provinces of the country. Each region then has its own Local Health Agencies, which are responsible for ensuring hospital care, care in residential structures, and home care for their population. IHPC is also provided by the National Healthcare System and is officially recognized by the essential levels of care (“livelli essenziali di assistenza”) decree as a

benefit guaranteed to all citizens through public resources. The suggestion that our results may be due to a study setting within the Italian healthcare system is in agreement with a systematic review [8] that reported that IHPC events were associated with a significant reduction in ED visits in all studies conducted in countries that have developed strategies for increasing access and quality of care [18, 19].

Although ED visit rates fell for all investigated pathology categories between 2013 and 2017, the scale of change varied across categories. Neoplasms deserve particular attention for two reasons. First, patients with neoplasms received more IHPC events than other patients in our study. This suggests that, although efforts like the introduction of an Italian law in 2010 guaranteeing the right to receive specialist palliative care to citizens with advanced and complex health conditions, especially non-cancer patients, a cancer diagnosis remains one of the most common reasons that patients gain access to IHPC in Italy. This result is supported by the literature: a previous review demonstrated that patients with different characteristics had unequal access

Table 1 Results of the regression analysis

Regressor	Rate of ED visits
Distance to the nearest ED: Less than 5 min	-1.194 (0.960)
Distance to the nearest ED: From 6 to 20 min	-1.431 (0.771)
Observations [#]	70
R ²	0.128
Adjusted R ²	-0.114
F Statistic	3.978** (df = 2; 54)
Male	0.194 (0.145)
Observations [#]	70
R ²	0.033
Adjusted R ²	-0.213
F Statistic	1.900 (df = 1; 55)
Presence of a non-family caregiver: YES	0.018 (0.071)
Observations [#]	70
R ²	0.001
Adjusted R ²	-0.253
F Statistic	0.047 (df = 1; 55)
Age: 19–65 years	0.981 (1.428)
Age: 66–80 years	0.499 (1.439)
Age: 81–90 years	0.294 (1.414)
Age: 91–100 years	0.923 (1.286)
Age: > 100 years	-0.246 (1.348)
Observations [#]	70
R ²	0.267
Adjusted R ²	0.008
F Statistic	3.710*** (df = 5; 51)
Proponent of IHPC: General practitioner	0.225 (0.272)
Proponent IHPC: Residential facilities and other settings	0.321 (0.194)
Observations [#]	70
R ²	0.043
Adjusted R ²	-0.223
F Statistic	1.201 (df = 2; 54)
1 Cohabitant family members	0.004

Table 1 Results of the regression analysis (Continued)

Regressor	Rate of ED visits
2 Cohabitant family members	-0.017 (0.297)
≥3 Cohabitant family members	-0.090 (0.543)
Observations [#]	70
R ²	0.001
Adjusted R ²	0.026(df = 3; 53)
F Statistic	0.026(df = 3; 53)
Symptoms of the nervous system	-1.517 (2.374)
Abdominal pain	-1.672 (2.349)
Chest pain	-0.557 (2.807)
Dispnea	-1.619 (2.3489)
Shock	-1.645 (2.409)
Non-traumatic bleeding	-2.017 (2.326)
Trauma	-1.527 (2.357)
Temperature	-1.436 (2.415)
Rhythm alteration	-1.267 (2.303)
Other symptoms	-1.702 (2.317)
Urological symptoms	-1.442 (2.327)
Observations [#]	69
R ²	0.191
Adjusted R ²	-0.251
F Statistic	0.943 (df = 11; 44)

Notes: Robust standard errors are given in parentheses under the coefficients, #The data pool has been modeled as a datapanel, composed by 5 years of average observations over 14 pathologies, which results in 70 overall observations
*** $p < 0.05$

to community palliative care services, with cancer patients making the highest use of such care. However, the review did not state whether the variability in the use of community palliative care services was attributable to clinical needs, difficult prognosis, or others factors [20]. Second, ED visit rates declined more slowly among

patients with neoplasms than in those with other pathologies. This result is consistent with a previous study that reported that the number of patients in need of ED visits reduced by 59% for patients with chronic medical illness and by 46% for cancer patients [21]. The increased severity of illness, along with the fragility of patients, might explain the slow decline of ED visits among cancer patients, but this result may also be partially explained by the need to improve the effectiveness of home care, so that patients enrolled in IHPC can avoid ED visits. Although the Italian healthcare system has extensive and long-standing experience in IHPC for cancer patients, which started in the mid-2000s, the number of Palliative Care Units in Italy has continued to grow. According to the Ministry of Health, their effectiveness still needs to be improved, and the main problem lies with the providers and managers of home care, as they do not guarantee the presence of teams capable of offering 24-h assistance and lack the ability to monitor quality of care [22].

To our knowledge, this is the first study to specifically examine the impact of IHPC on ED visits in patients with different pathologies, including cancer. Previous studies examined whether palliative home care is more effective than usual care at reducing ED visits among patients with cancer, and found that patients receiving palliative home care had fewer ED visits than those without palliative home care (68% versus 79%, $p = 0.004$) [8]; however, no one has evaluated whether improvements in home care outcomes manifest themselves as a reduction in ED visit rates.

According to the results, socio-demographic, health supply, and clinical factors, like age, sex, proponent of IHPC, distance to the nearest ED, and reason for ED visit, are not related to ED visit rates. Not even the presence of a non-family caregiver or an increasing number of cohabitant family members had a significant association with ED visits. The presence of a non-family caregiver was investigated as this presence may reduce the risk of ED visits. Indeed, unfamiliar caregivers are often educated regarding risk, as well as in the monitoring and supervision of patients. Moreover, unfamiliar caregivers are devoted to the care and assistance of patients; their continuous monitoring makes it easier to identify and anticipate the potential risks of adverse outcomes; and they can contact general practitioners to prevent possible acute exacerbations of chronic conditions and adjust standard treatments to individual patients' needs [23]. The number of cohabitant family members was investigated because southern European countries, such as Italy, are commonly referred to as 'strong-family-ties countries' [24]. The strength of family ties is usually highest in families that live together and is discussed in terms of cultural patterns of family loyalties,

allegiances, and authority, but it also concerns patterns of intra-generational cohabitation and patterns of support for the elderly and the young. Family relationships can lead to stronger intergenerational solidarity and allow more able members to help more vulnerable members, thereby promoting health enhancement and well-being [25]. The larger a family is, the more people are available to monitor and detect changes in the health status of an ill member and initiate immediate treatment, which may be beneficial in reducing ED use.

The findings of the present study have multiple policy implications. First, home care managers should support the decline in ED visits and use ED only when unavoidable. Teams should work with a holistic perspective around the clock, which should include the best palliation of both physical and psychological symptoms. Palliative care teams should also be sure to offer support through the greater involvement of patients and family members, who must be properly informed and trained on when to use the ED, by providing family members with the skill set necessary to manage an illness and to navigate the healthcare system, and by offering emotional and advocacy support as needed. Previous studies have underlined the complexity of home care, reporting that the resolution of frequent family imbalance and distress must be incorporated into home healthcare services [26, 27]. Second, although the World Health Organization has stated that patients with any advanced progressive disease may benefit from IHPC, our study confirms previous research showing that palliative care services are most often used by cancer patients and are used very little by other patients, despite much evidence showing a need among these patients [28, 29]. Policy makers should take initiatives to improve access to palliative care for all patients with severe disabilities through the strengthening of palliative care networks and the training of teams to guarantee adequate skills [30].

The results and implications of this study must be considered in light of the study's limitations. The main limitations are those of the databases used and are common to all administrative database studies. Firstly, there are problems related to the quality of the data on IHPC, especially with regard to the possible lack of accuracy and different coding criteria across individuals and institutions. Secondly, the socio-demographic factors have some limitations, as they are often not recorded or require caution regarding their reliability. Even taking these weaknesses into account, these databases are the best available sources, suitable for wide epidemiological studies on the prevalence and incidence of major diagnoses or diseases and for monitoring population trends in the utilization of services.

Conclusions

Our results show that use of ED continues during IHPC, but the trend showed a reduction over time. As no significant predictive factors were identified, no specific interventions can be recommended to reduce avoidable ED visits. More studies involving other countries are required to support our results, to investigate other prognostic factors related to a continuous need for emergency care, and to increase knowledge in this field.

Abbreviations

IHPC: Integrated palliative home care; ED: Emergency Department

Acknowledgements

Not Applicable.

Authors' contributions

MMG conceptualized and designed the study, AB and MMG Gianino drafted the initial manuscript, and reviewed and revised the manuscript. MD designed the data collection instruments, collected data. GP and AB carried out the initial analyses, and reviewed and revised the manuscript. SC coordinated and supervised data collection, and critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content. VD critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Authors' information

Not Applicable.

Funding

No external funding for this manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due data are not public but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Italian National Information System databases for Home Care Services and ED use are official, anonymized Ministerial Health information systems. All such systems are centrally anonymized and are available to be used for administrative and/or epidemiological studies without any further authorizations. Therefore, ethics committee approval was not required.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

Author details

¹Department of Public Health and Pediatrics, University of Torino Via Santena 5 bis, 10126 Torino, Italy. ²Department of Control and Computer Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy. ³Regional Public Health Observatory (SEPI), Local Health Unit TO3, Via Sabaudia 164, 10095 Grugliasco (To), Italy.

Received: 6 February 2020 Accepted: 3 August 2020

Published online: 16 August 2020

References

- Ministero della Salute. Patto per la Salute 2014-2016. 2014 [Internet]. Available at; Available from: <http://www.regioni.it/download.php?id=357219&field=allegato&module=news>.
- Bakitas M, Bishop MF, Caron P, Stephens L. Developing successful models of cancer palliative care services. *Semin Oncol Nurs*. 2010;26(4):266–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soncn.2010.08.006>.
- Stone MJ. Goals of care at the end of life. *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent)*. 2001; 14(2):134–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08998280.2001.11927748>.
- GU della Repubblica Italiana, Italian Ministry Press. Disposizioni per garantire l'accesso alle cure palliative e alla terapia del dolore. Roma. 2010:1–17.
- Robinson J, Gott M, Gardiner C, Ingleton C. A qualitative study exploring the benefits of hospital admissions from the perspectives of patients with palliative care needs. *Palliat Med*. 2015;29(8):703–10.
- Grunfeld E, Urquhart R, Mykhalovskiy E, Folkes A, Johnston G, Burge FI, et al. Toward population-based indicators of quality end-of-life care: testing stakeholder agreement. *Cancer*. 2008;112(10):2301–8.
- Cornillon P, Loiseau S, Aublet-Cuvelier B, Guastella V. Reasons for transferral to emergency departments of terminally ill patients—a French descriptive and retrospective study. *BMC Palliat Care*. 2016; 15(1):87.
- DiMartino LD, Weiner BJ, Mayer DK, Jackson GL, Biddle AK. Do palliative care interventions reduce emergency department visits among patients with cancer at the end of life? A systematic review. *J Palliat Med*. 2014;17(12): 1384–99.
- Brink P, Partanen L. Emergency department use among end-of-life home care clients. *J Palliat Care*. 2011;27(3):224–8.
- Wallace EM, Cooney MC, Walsh J, Conroy M, Twomey F. Why do palliative care patients present to the emergency department? Avoidable or unavoidable? *Am J Hosp Palliat Med*. 2013;30(3):253–6.
- Alliance FC. Caregiver statistics: demographics, 2017. 2017.
- Dps CTAL. Le aree interne: di quale territori parliamo? Nota esplicativa sul metodo di classificazione delle aree. pdf; 2012.
- Gianino MM, Lenzi J, Bonaudo M, Fantini MP, Ricciardi W, Damiani G. Predictors and trajectories of antibiotic consumption in 22 EU countries: findings from a time series analysis (2000–2014). *PLoS One*. 2018;13(6): e0199436.
- Hsiao C. Analysis of panel data: Cambridge university press; 2014.
- Greene W. Estimating econometric models with fixed effects. Department of Economics, Stern School of Business, New York University; 2001.
- Arellano M. Computing robust standard errors for within-groups estimators. *Oxf Bull Econ Stat*. 1987;49:4431–4.
- Team RC. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. 2013;.
- Gómez-Batiste X, Tuca A, Corrales E, Porta-Sales J, Amor M, Espinosa J, et al. Resource consumption and costs of palliative care services in Spain: a multicenter prospective study. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 2006; 31(6):522–32.
- Baxter S, McCarthy R, Henningsen N, Henderson K, Kilpatrick N, Power B, et al. Quality end-of-life Care Coalition of Canada evaluation: documenting its strengths, challenges, and successes. In: *Journal of palliative care*. 2005. p. 211.
- Walshe C, Todd C, Caress A, Chew-Graham C. Patterns of access to community palliative care services: a literature review. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 2009;37(5):884–912.
- Bergqvist J, Ljunggren G. The impact of integrated home palliative care services on resource use and place of death. *J Palliat Med*. 2020;23(1): 67–73.
- Ministero della Salute. Piano Nazionale della Cronicità [Internet]. Accordo tra lo Stato, le Regioni e le Province Autonome di Trento e di Bolzano del 15 settembre 2016. Rome; 2016. Available from: http://www.salute.gov.it/imgs/C_17_pubblicazioni_2584_allegato.pdf.
- Gagnon-Roy M, Hami B, Gagnéux M, et al. Preventing emergency department (ED) visits and hospitalizations of older adults with cognitive impairment compared with the general senior population: what do we know about avoidable incidents? Results from a scoping review. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8(4):e019908.
- Reher DS. Family ties in Western Europe: persistent contrasts. *Popul Dev Rev*. 1998:203–34.
- Silverstein M, Giarrusso R. Aging and family life: a decade review. *J Marriage Fam*. 2010;72(5):1039–58.
- Mercadante S, Porzio G, Valle A, Aielli F, Costanzo V, Adile C, et al. Emergencies in patients with advanced cancer followed at home. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 2012;44(2):295–300.
- Spies G, Parker G, Gridley K, Atkin K. The psychosocial experience of parents receiving care closer to home for their ill child. *Health Soc Care Community*. 2011;19(6):653–60.

28. Harrison N, Cavers D, Campbell C, Murray SA. Are UK primary care teams formally identifying patients for palliative care before they die? *Br J Gen Pr.* 2012;62(598):e344–52.
29. Boyd K, Murray SA. Recognising and managing key transitions in end of life care. *Bmj.* 2010;341:c4863.
30. World Health Organization. Planning and implementing palliative care services: a guide for programme managers [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2016. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250584/9789241565417-eng.pdf>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

