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# HADAMARD PRODUCTS OF DEGENERATE SUBVARIETIES 

G. CALUSSI, E. CARLINI, G. FATABBI, AND A. LORENZINI


#### Abstract

We consider generic degenerate subvarieties $X_{i} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$. We determine an integer $N$, depending on the varieties, and for $n \geq N$ we compute dimension and degree formulas for the Hadamard product of the varieties $X_{i}$. Moreover, if the varieties $X_{i}$ are smooth, their Hadamard product is smooth too. For $n<N$, if the $X_{i}$ are generically $d_{i}$-parameterized, the dimension and degree formulas still hold. However, the Hadamard product can be singular and we give a lower bound for the dimension of the singular locus.


## 1. Introduction

The Hadamard product of matrices is a well established operation in Mathematics having several connections both theoretical and applied, for example see the recent entry in T. Tao's blog about the paper [KT].

More recently the definition of the Hadamard product between subvarieties $X, Y$ of projective space, denoted $X \star Y$, has been introduced by [MS as the closure of the image of the rational map

$$
X \times Y \xrightarrow{ } \quad \mathbb{P}^{n}, \quad\left(\left[a_{0}: \cdots: a_{n}\right],\left[b_{0}: \cdots: b_{n}\right]\right) \mapsto\left[a_{0} b_{0}: a_{1} b_{1}: \ldots: a_{n} b_{n}\right]
$$

This product is far less studied and our knowledge is still at a developing stage but it is attracting quite a lot of attention and applications have been shown, for example, to Algebraic Statistics (see CMS, CTY). In particular, in CMS it is shown that the restricted Boltzmann machine is a graphical model for binary random variables, starting with the observation that its Zariski closure is a Hadamard power of the first secant variety of the Segre variety of projective lines.

The Hadamard product of varieties is also related to tropical geometry, for instance the tropicalization of the Hadamard product of two varieties is the Minkowski sum of the tropicalizations of the two varieties (see BCK, Proposition 5.1], [FOW], MS].

One of the most important open question is to find the dimension and the degree of the Hadamard product of varieties. In [BCK] the authors give formulas for the dimension and the degree of the Hadamard product of general linear spaces. They also introduce the notion of expected dimension

[^0]of the Hadamard product of irreducible varieties. In [FOW the authors give an expected formula for the degree of the Hadamard product of varieties in general position. In this paper we prove that the expected formula holds for the Hadamard product of generic degenerate subvarieties if the ambient space is large enough, thus partially answering [FOW, Question 1.1].

We work over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0 and we assume that all the varieties we consider are irreducible.

Given a subvariety $V$ of projective space we denote by $I_{V}$ its (saturated radical homogeneous) ideal and by $H F_{V}$ its Hilbert function; that is, $H F_{V}(t)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{K}} R_{t} /\left(I_{V}\right)_{t}$ where $R=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]=\bigoplus_{t \geq 0} R_{t}, R_{t}$ is the vector space of the homogeneous polynomials of degree $t$ and $\left(I_{V}\right)_{t}=I_{V} \cap R_{t}$.

In Section 2 we prove that, if $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{\ell}$ are $\ell$ degenerate subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ whose linear spans are generic of dimension $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{\ell}$ respectively, with $n \geq\left(h_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(h_{\ell}+1\right)-1$, then the Hadamard product $X_{1} \star \ldots \star X_{\ell}$ and the product variety $X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{\ell}$ are projectively equivalent as subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. As a consequence we obtain that the dimension of $X_{1} \star \ldots \star X_{\ell}$ is the sum of the dimensions, the degree is the product of the degrees multiplied by a multi-binomial coefficient depending on the dimensions and the Hilbert function is the product of the Hilbert functions. These degree and dimension formulas generalize the ones in [BCK, Theorem 6.8] which are only given for linear spaces. We also prove that, if the varieties $X_{i}$ are smooth, then their Hadamard product is smooth.

In Section 3 we consider two generically $d_{X}$-parameterized and $d_{Y}$-parameterized subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r, s$, respectively, with $N-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right) \leq$ $n \leq N-1$ where $N=\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-1$. In this case the formula for the Hilbert function no longer holds, but we still have the dimension and degree formulas. We also extend these results to a finite number of subvarieties. In this situation singularities may arise even if the varieties are smooth: on one hand we give a numerical condition sufficient for smoothness and, on the other hand, we give a numerical condition sufficient for the Hadamard product to be singular. In the latter case, we give a lower bound for the dimension of the singular locus.

We conclude with some explicit examples in Section 4 These examples show the role of the genericity assumption and how singularities can arise.

We wish to thank B. Sturmfels for some useful conversations and suggestions.

We wish to thank the Referees for their careful reading of the paper and the helpful comments and suggestions.

## 2. Large ambient space

In this section we consider the Hadamard product of subvarieties whose linear spans are generic and in particular the case in which the ambient space has dimension large enough in a very precise sense. Note that BCFL2, Theorem 4.1] considered the product of generic linear spaces.

In what follows we embed $\mathbb{P}^{h_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{h_{\ell}}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, where $n \geq N=\left(h_{1}+\right.$ 1) $\cdots\left(h_{\ell}+1\right)-1$, via the composition of the usual Segre embedding of
$\mathbb{P}^{h_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{h_{\ell}}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ with the immersion $\mathbb{P}^{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ given by $\left[a_{0}: \cdots\right.$ : $\left.a_{N}\right] \mapsto\left[a_{0}: \cdots: a_{N}: 0: \cdots: 0\right]$. We still call this composition Segre embedding and we denote it by $\sigma$.

We also need to recall the Khatri-Rao product (developed by single rows) of two matrices (see [KR]): given a $p \times q$-matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and a $p \times t$ matrix $B=\left(\begin{array}{c}B_{1} \\ \vdots \\ B_{p}\end{array}\right)$, the Khatri-Rao product (developed by single rows) is the $p \times q t$-matrix:

$$
A \otimes_{K R} B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} B_{1} & \cdots & a_{1 q} B_{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{p 1} B_{p} & \cdots & a_{p q} B_{p}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We first state a technical Lemma on the Khatri-Rao product of special matrices which will be used in the proof of Theorem [2.2. The proof of this Lemma is a straightforward computation.

If $s$ and $k$ are positive integers, we denote by $N_{k}^{s}$ the matrix of size $k s \times s$

Note that, if $k=1$, then $N_{1}^{s}=I_{s}$, where $I_{s}$ denotes the identity matrix of size $s$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $a, b, c, n$ be positive integers with $n \geq a b c$. If $A$ is the $n \times a$ matrix $\binom{N_{b c}^{a}}{0}$ and $B$ is the $n \times b$ matrix $\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathscr{ٌ} \\ \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{c}\{ \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ N_{c}^{b} \\ \vdots \\ N_{c}^{b} \\ 0\end{array}\right)$, then $A \otimes_{K R} B$ is the $n \times a b$ matrix $\binom{N_{c}^{a b}}{0}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\ell$ be a positive integer. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{\ell}$ be subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ whose linear spans are generic of dimension $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{\ell}$, respectively. If $n \geq N=\left(h_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(h_{\ell}+1\right)-1$, then the Hadamard product $X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}$ and the product variety $X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{\ell}$ are projectively equivalent as subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.

Proof. Let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{\ell}$ be the linear spans of the subvarieties $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{\ell}$. Assume that $L_{i}$ have parametric equations given respectively by

$$
L_{i}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{0}=f_{i 0}\left(y_{i 0}, \ldots, y_{i h_{i}}\right) \\
x_{1}=f_{i 1}\left(y_{i 0}, \ldots, y_{i h_{i}}\right) \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}=f_{i n}\left(y_{i 0}, \ldots, y_{i h_{i}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f_{i j}\left(y_{i 0}, \ldots, y_{i h_{i}}\right)=a_{j 0}^{(i)} y_{i 0}+a_{j 1}^{(i)} y_{i 1}+\cdots+a_{j h_{i}}^{(i)} y_{i h_{i}}$ for $i=0, \ldots, \ell$ and for $j=0, \ldots, n$. For each $i=0, \ldots, \ell$ consider the matrix of size $(n+1) \times\left(h_{i}+1\right)$ defined as

$$
M_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{00}^{(i)} & \ldots & a_{0 h_{i}}^{(i)} \\
a_{10}^{(i)} & \ldots & a_{1 h_{i}}^{(i)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n 0}^{(i)} & \ldots & a_{n h_{i}}^{(i)}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now consider the matrix $M^{\prime}$ of size $(n+1) \times(N+1)$ given by the Khatri-Rao product (developed by single rows)

$$
M^{\prime}=M_{1} \otimes_{K R} \cdots \otimes_{K R} M_{\ell} .
$$

Associating to each $f_{i j}$ a point of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{h_{i}}\right)^{*}$, we can associate each $L_{i}$ to a point of $\underbrace{\left(\mathbb{P}^{h_{i}}\right)^{*} \times \cdots \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{h_{i}}\right)^{*}}_{n \text { times }}$.

Now, the zero locus defined by the maximal minors of $M^{\prime}$ which are multihomogeneous polynomials, is a closed set whose complement is an open subset of $\underbrace{\left(\mathbb{P}^{h_{1}}\right)^{*} \times \cdots \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{h_{1}}\right)^{*}}_{n \text { times }} \times \cdots \times \underbrace{\left(\mathbb{P}^{h_{\ell}}\right)^{*} \times \cdots \times\left(\mathbb{P}^{h_{\ell}}\right)^{*}}_{n \text { times }}$.

To see that such an open set is non-empty choose
where $\underline{i}=\left(h_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(h_{i-1}+1\right)$ and $\bar{i}=\left(h_{i+1}+1\right) \cdots\left(h_{\ell}+1\right)$, with the understanding that $\underline{1}=1$ and $\bar{\ell}=1$. By recursively using Lemma 2.1, we
obtain that

$$
\left(\left(M_{1} \otimes_{K R} M_{2}\right) \otimes_{K R} \cdots\right) \otimes_{K R} M_{\ell}=\binom{N_{1}^{\frac{\ell+1}{1}}}{0}=\binom{\frac{I_{\ell+1}}{}}{0}
$$

Since $\underline{\ell+1}=N+1$, we have that $M^{\prime}=\binom{I_{N+1}}{0}$.
Therefore the genericity of $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{\ell}$ gives that the matrix $M^{\prime}$ has maximum rank $N+1$.

For $n>N$, we can complete the matrix $M^{\prime}$ to a matrix $M$ of size $(n+$ 1) $\times(n+1)$ with $\operatorname{det}(M) \neq 0$, so that $M$ gives a projective isomorphism. Let $\sigma$ be the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{h_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{h_{\ell}}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, as defined at the beginning of this section. A direct computation shows that

$$
(M \circ \sigma)\left(\left[y_{10}: \cdots: y_{1 h_{1}}\right], \ldots,\left[y_{\ell 0}: \cdots: z_{\ell k}\right]\right)=
$$

$\left[f_{10}\left(y_{10}, \ldots, y_{1 h_{1}}\right) \cdots f_{\ell 0}\left(y_{\ell 0}, \ldots, y_{\ell h_{\ell}}\right): \ldots: f_{1 n}\left(y_{10}, \ldots, y_{1 h_{1}}\right) \cdots f_{\ell n}\left(y_{\ell 0}, \ldots, y_{\ell h_{\ell}}\right)\right]$.
Therefore $M(P) \in \Sigma=\left\{P_{1} \star \cdots \star P_{\ell} \mid P_{i} \in L_{i}\right\}$ and so the map $\mathbb{P}^{n} \xrightarrow{M} \mathbb{P}^{n}$ sends each point $P \in \sigma\left(\mathbb{P}^{h_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{h_{\ell}}\right)$ to a point $M(P) \in \Sigma \subseteq L_{1} \star \cdots \star L_{\ell}$. Since $h_{1}+\cdots+h_{\ell}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma\left(\mathbb{P}^{h_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{h_{\ell}}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(L_{1} \star \cdots \star L_{\ell}\right) \leq h_{1}+\cdots+h_{\ell}\right.$, they are projectively equivalent. Thus we have that $L_{1} \star \cdots \star L_{\ell}=\Sigma$. Therefore $P_{1} \star \ldots \star P_{\ell}$ is always well-defined for any points $P_{i} \in L_{i}$ and thus for any points $P_{i} \in X_{i}$.

We just proved that
$M\left(\sigma\left(X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{\ell}\right)\right) \subseteq\left\{P_{1} \star \cdots \star P_{\ell} \mid P_{i} \in X_{i}\right.$ for all $\left.i=0, \ldots, \ell\right\} \subseteq X_{1 \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}}$.
Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{1}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{\ell}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(M\left(\sigma\left(X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{\ell}\right)\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(X_{1} \star\right.$ $\left.\cdots \star X_{\ell}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(X_{1}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{\ell}\right)$, they are projectively equivalent, as we wished.

Remark 2.3. Note that in the case of two subvarieties $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ the matrix $M^{\prime}$ defined in the proof of the previous Theorem is given by:

$$
M^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{00} b_{00} & \ldots & a_{00} b_{0 h_{2}} & a_{01} b_{00} & \ldots & a_{0 h_{1}} b_{0 h_{2}} \\
a_{10} b_{10} & \ldots & a_{10} b_{1 h_{2}} & a_{11} b_{10} & \ldots & a_{1 h_{1}} b_{1 h_{2}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n 0} b_{n 0} & \ldots & a_{n 0} b_{n h_{2}} & a_{n 1} b_{n 0} & \ldots & a_{n h_{1}} b_{n h_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

if the linear spans of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ have parametric equations given respectively by
$L_{1}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}x_{0}=a_{00} y_{0}+a_{01} y_{1}+\cdots+a_{0 h_{1}} y_{h_{1}} \\ x_{1}=a_{10} y_{0}+a_{11} y_{1}+\cdots+a_{1 h_{1}} y_{h_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}=a_{n 0} y_{0}+a_{n 1} y_{1}+\cdots+a_{n h_{1}} y_{h_{1}}\end{array} \quad L_{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}x_{0}=b_{00} z_{0}+b_{01} z_{1}+\cdots+b_{0 k} z_{h_{2}} \\ x_{1}=b_{10} z_{0}+b_{11} z_{1}+\cdots+b_{1 k} z_{h_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}=b_{n 0} z_{0}+b_{n 1} z_{1}+\cdots+b_{n k} z_{h_{2}}\end{array}\right.\right.$
In the proof of Theorem 2.2 the genericity of the linear spans $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ is only used to say that the matrix $M^{\prime}$ has maximum rank $N+1$, and so we can characterize a closed set $C$ of

$$
(\underbrace{\mathbb{P}^{h_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{h_{1}}}_{n+1 \text { times }}) \times(\underbrace{\mathbb{P}^{h_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{h_{2}}}_{n+1 \text { times }})
$$

as the zero locus of the maximal minors of $M^{\prime}$ which are multi-homogeneous polynomials of the multi-graded ring

$$
\mathbb{K}\left[a_{00}, \ldots, a_{0 h_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n 0}, \ldots, a_{n h_{1}}, b_{00}, \ldots, b_{0 h_{2}}, \ldots, b_{n 0}, \ldots, b_{n h_{2}}\right]
$$

The complement of $C$ is an open subset and each point of this open subset gives a parameterization of two linear subspaces of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimensions $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ respectively. For subvarieties of these two linear subspaces Theorem 2.2 holds. Moreover, in Theorem 2.2 we proved that such an open set is nonempty.

Remark 2.4. Note that Theorem 2.2 generalizes BCFL2, Theorem 4.1] in two directions: we consider not only the product of linear spaces, but also the product of degenerate subvarieties, and we also consider an ambient space of larger dimension.

Remark 2.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem [2.2, in the proof of the Theorem, we also showed that $X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}$ which is, by definition, $\overline{\left\{P_{1} \star \cdots \star P_{\ell} \mid P_{i} \in X_{i}\right\}}$ turns out to be $\left\{P_{1} \star \cdots \star P_{\ell} \mid P_{i} \in X_{i}\right\}$. In particular the notation $P_{1} \star \cdots \star P_{\ell} \in$ $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is well-defined for all $P_{i} \in X_{i}$ by the genericity assumptions.

Remark 2.6. An immediate consequence of Theorem[2.2 is that if $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{\ell}$ are non-singular, then also $X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}$ is non-singular.

Theorem 2.2 yields the following Corollary which extends the dimension and the degree formulas of [BCK, Theorem 6.8] beyond linear spaces.

Corollary 2.7. Let $\ell$ be a positive integer. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{\ell}$ be subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}$ whose linear spans are generic of dimension $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{\ell}$, respectively. If $n \geq\left(h_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(h_{\ell}+1\right)-1$, then
i) $\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{dim}\left(X_{i}\right)$
ii) $\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}\right)=\binom{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}}{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{deg}\left(X_{i}\right)$, where $\binom{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}}{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}}=\frac{\left(r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}\right)!}{r_{1}!\cdots r_{\ell}!}$
iii) $H F_{X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}}=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} H F_{X_{i}}$.

Remark 2.8. In Example 4.1, we shall see an explicit example of two varieties $X$ and $Y$ whose linear spans are not generic and whose matrix $M^{\prime}$, constructed as in the proof of Theorem [2.2, will not have maximum rank. Indeed, $X \star Y$ is neither projectively equivalent nor isomorphic to the product variety $X \times Y$. In fact, in Example 4.1, $\operatorname{Sing}(X \star Y) \neq \emptyset$, even if $X$ and $Y$ are smooth. In that example, the dimension and degree formulas still hold, but the Hilbert function formula does not hold.

Before ending this section we introduce the notion of generically $d$-parameterized subvariety, which allows us to extend our results to the case of a small ambient space.

Remark 2.9. Given a subvariety $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r$ we say that it has a parametric representation of degree $d$ if $Z$ is the image of the rational map $\mathbb{P}^{r} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ defined by $n+1$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$ in $r+1$
indeterminates. It is clear that the linear span of $Z$ is of dimension at most $\binom{r+d}{d}-1$. Thus $Z$ is degenerate as soon as $\binom{r+d}{d}-1<n$. We say that $Z$ is a generically $d$-parameterized subvariety, if the $n+1$ degree $d$ homogeneous polynomials in $r+1$ indeterminates defining it are generic. Note that, if $Z$ is generically $d$-parameterized and $n \geq\binom{ r+d}{d}-1$, then the linear span of $Z$ is of dimension $\binom{r+d}{d}-1$ and $Z$ is non-singular, in fact it is projectively equivalent to the $d$-uple Veronese embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{r}$.

Corollary 2.10. Let $\ell$ be a positive integer. For $i=1, \ldots, \ell$, let $r_{i}, d_{i}$ be positive integers and let $n \geq\binom{ r_{1}+d_{1}}{d_{1}} \cdots\binom{r_{\ell}+d_{\ell}}{d_{\ell}}-1$. For $i=1, \ldots, \ell$, let $X_{i}$ be a generically $d_{i}$-parameterized subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r_{i}$. Then the Hadamard product $X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}$ and the product variety $X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{\ell}$ are projectively equivalent as subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.

Proof. For $i=1, \ldots, \ell$, assume that $X_{i}$ has parametric equations given by

$$
X_{i}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{0}=f_{i 0}\left(y_{i 0}, \ldots, y_{i r_{i}}\right) \\
x_{1}=f_{i 1}\left(y_{i 0}, \ldots, y_{i r_{i}}\right) \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}=f_{i n}\left(y_{i 0}, \ldots, y_{i r_{i}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f_{i j}\left(y_{i 0}, \ldots, y_{i r_{i}}\right) \in \mathbb{K}\left[y_{i 0}, \ldots, y_{i r_{i}}\right]_{d_{i}}$, for $j=0, \ldots, n$.
Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{K}}\left(\mathbb{K}\left[y_{i 0}, \ldots, y_{i r_{i}}\right]_{d_{i}}\right)=\binom{r_{i}+d_{i}}{d_{i}}$, then the linear span of $X_{i}$ is of dimension $\binom{r_{i}+d_{i}}{d_{i}}-1$.

Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, we have that $X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}$ and $X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{\ell}$ are projectively equivalent as subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.

Corollary 2.10 easily yields the following Corollary.
Corollary 2.11. Let $\ell$ be a positive integer. For $i=1, \ldots, \ell$, let $r_{i}, d_{i}$, be positive integers and let $n \geq\binom{ r_{1}+d_{1}}{d_{1}} \cdots\binom{r_{\ell}+d_{\ell}}{d_{\ell}}-1$. For $i=1, \ldots$, $\ell$, let $X_{i}$ be a generically $d_{i}$-parameterized subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r_{i}$. Then:
i) $\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{dim}\left(X_{i}\right)$
ii) $\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}\right)=\binom{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}}{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{deg}\left(X_{i}\right)$
iii) $H F_{X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}}=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} H F_{X_{i}}$
iv) $X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}$ is non-singular.

## 3. Small Ambient space

Recall that, for $X$ and $Y$ subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, we set $N=(h+1)(k+1)-1$, where $h$ and $k$ are the dimensions of the linear spans of $X$ and $Y$, respectively. This becomes $N=\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-1$ when $X$ and $Y$ are two generically $d_{X^{-}}$ parameterized and $d_{Y}$-parameterized subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimensions $r, s$, respectively.

In the previous section, for $n \geq N$, we determined the dimension, the degree and the Hilbert function of the Hadamard product in terms of the same invariants of the factors.

Now we consider the range $N-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right) \leq n \leq N-1$ in the case of generically $d_{X}$-parameterized and $d_{Y}$-parameterized subvarieties. We will see that the dimension and the degree formulas still hold, but the relation on the Hilbert functions fails. Moreover, the Hadamard product can be a singular variety, even if the factors are smooth. In order to study Hadamard products in a small ambient space we use Segre-Veronese varieties (CGG), thus we briefly recall some basic notation about them.

Let $\ell$ be a positive integer. Let $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\ell}$ be positive integers and set $N=\binom{r_{1}+d_{1}}{d_{1}} \cdots\binom{r_{\ell}+d_{\ell}}{d_{\ell}}-1$. We denote by $S$ the image in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ of a Segre-Veronese embedding of type $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\ell}\right)$ from $\mathbb{P}^{r_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{r_{\ell}}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{N}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $r, s, d_{X}, d_{Y}$ be positive integers, let $N=\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-1$ and $N-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right) \leq n \leq N-1$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two generically $d_{X}$-parameterized and $d_{Y}$-parameterized subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimensions $r$, $s$, respectively. If $n>r+s$, then:
i) $\operatorname{dim}(X \star Y)=\operatorname{dim}(X)+\operatorname{dim}(Y)$
ii) $\operatorname{deg}(X \star Y)=\binom{r+s}{s} \operatorname{deg}(X) \operatorname{deg}(Y)$.

Proof. Consider the Segre-Veronese embedding of type $\left(d_{X}, d_{Y}\right)$ from $\mathbb{P}^{r} \times \mathbb{P}^{s}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ and let $S$ be its image.

Assume that $X$ and $Y$ have parametric equations given respectively by

$$
X:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{0}=f_{0}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{r}\right) \\
x_{1}=f_{1}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{r}\right) \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}=f_{n}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{r}\right)
\end{array} \quad Y:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{0}=g_{0}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{s}\right) \\
x_{1}=g_{1}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{s}\right) \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}=g_{n}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{s}\right)
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

where $f_{i}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{r}\right]_{d_{X}}$ and $g_{i}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{K}\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{s}\right]_{d_{Y}}$, for $i=0, \ldots, n$.

Observe that, for each $i=0, \ldots, n$, the form $f_{i} g_{i}$ has bi-degree $\left(d_{X}, d_{Y}\right)$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{r}, z_{0}, \ldots, z_{s}\right]$. Since $\mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{r}, z_{0}, \ldots, z_{s}\right]_{\left(d_{X}, d_{Y}\right)}$ has dimension $N+1$, then, for each $i=0, \ldots, n, f_{i} g_{i}$ defines a point $P_{i}$ of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}\right)^{*}$.

Since $X$ and $Y$ are generically $d_{X}$-parameterized and $d_{Y}$-parameterized subvarieties, the linear span of the points $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{n}$ is of dimension $n$.

Consider the $(n+1) \times(N+1)$ matrix $M^{\prime}$ whose rows are the coordinates of the points $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{n}$. Again since $X$ and $Y$ are generically $d_{X}$-parameterized and $d_{Y}$-parameterized subvarieties, $M^{\prime}$ has maximum rank, hence it defines a projection $\pi$ from $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ whose center we call $\Lambda$. Note that $\operatorname{dim}(\Lambda)=$ $N-n-1$ and the linear span of the points $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{n}$ is the dual of $\Lambda$.

In order to show the genericity of $\Lambda$ consider the Segre variety $T \subseteq\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}\right)^{*}$ defined as the image of the Segre-embedding

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{r}\right]_{d_{X}}\right) \times \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{K}\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{s}\right]_{d_{Y}}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{r}, z_{0}, \ldots, z_{s}\right]_{\left(d_{X}, d_{Y}\right)}\right)
$$

Now, any pair of generic parameterizations defines $n+1$ points (the $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{n}$ above) of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}\right)^{*}$ belonging to $T$ whose linear span is of dimension
$n$. Conversely, any $n+1$ points of $T$ can be obtained from parameterizations (with suitable coefficients) of two subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ with parametric representation (of the given dimensions and degrees).

On the other hand, for any generic linear subspace $L$ of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}\right)^{*}$ of dimension $n$, defined by $N-n$ generic hyperplanes $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{N-n}$, we shall consider $T_{i}=T \cap H_{1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{i}$. Since $\left.n \geq N-\operatorname{dim}(T)=N-\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right)$, we have that $\operatorname{dim}\left(T_{i}\right) \geq 2$ for all $i=1, \ldots, N-n-2$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(T_{N-n-1}\right) \geq 1$. Therefore by [H, Proposition 18.10], $T_{N-n}$ contains at least $n+1$ points which generate $L$. Thus we may assume that the linear subspaces of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}\right)^{*}$ of dimension $n$ generated by $n+1$ points of $T$ are generic, and so $\Lambda$ is generic as well.

For $n \geq r+s=\operatorname{dim}(S)$, since $\Lambda$ is generic, we have $\operatorname{dim}(\pi(S))=\operatorname{dim}(S)=$ $r+s$. Since $n>r+s$, we also have $\pi(S) \neq \mathbb{P}^{n}$, and so the projection $\pi_{\left.\right|_{S}}$ : $S \rightarrow \pi(S)$ is a birational map. Hence $\operatorname{deg}(\pi(S))=\operatorname{deg}(S)=\binom{r+s}{s} d_{X} d_{Y}$.

Set $\Sigma=\{P \star Q \mid P \in X, Q \in Y\}$. It is easy to see that $\pi(S) \subseteq \Sigma \subseteq X \star Y$. Since $r+s=\operatorname{dim}(\pi(S)) \leq \operatorname{dim}(X \star Y) \leq r+s$, we have that $\pi(S)=X \star Y$, and so $\operatorname{dim}(X \star Y)=\operatorname{dim}(\pi(S))=r+s$ and $\operatorname{deg}(X \star Y)=\operatorname{deg}(\pi(S))=$ $\binom{r+s}{s} d_{X} d_{Y}$.

Remark 3.2. As in Remark [2.5, under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, we also proved that $X \star Y$ which is, by definition, $\overline{\{P \star Q \mid P \in X, Q \in Y\}}$ turns out to be $\{P \star Q \mid P \in X, Q \in Y\}$.

In order to make Theorem 3.1 more effective, we can find explicit numerical conditions on $X$ and $Y$ so that $n \geq N-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right)$ yields $n>$ $r+s$.

Lemma 3.3. Using the notations of Theorem 3.1, we have that: if $\left(d_{X}, d_{Y}, r, s\right)$ is in the following table, then $N-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right)>r+s$.

| $d_{X}$ | $d_{Y}$ | $r$ | $s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\geq 3$ | $\forall$ | $\forall$ | $\forall$ |
| $\forall$ | $\geq 3$ | $\forall$ | $\forall$ |
| 2 | $\geq 2$ | $\forall$ | $\forall$ |
| 2 | 1 | $\forall$ | $\geq 2$ |
| 2 | 1 | $\geq 2$ | 1 |
| $\geq 2$ | 2 | $\forall$ | $\forall$ |
| 1 | 2 | $\geq 2$ | $\forall$ |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | $\geq 2$ |
| 1 | 1 | $\geq 3$ | $\geq 2$ |
| 1 | 1 | $\geq 2$ | $\geq 3$ |

Remark 3.4. Notice that, in the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 we have $H F_{X \star Y} \neq H F_{X} H F_{Y}$. In fact, since $X$ is not contained in a linear subspace of dimension less than $\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}-1$ and similarly $Y$, we have

$$
H F_{X}(1)=H F_{\mathbb{P}}\binom{\left.r+d_{X}\right)-1}{d_{X}}=\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}
$$

and

$$
H F_{Y}(1)=H F_{\mathbb{P}}^{\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-1}(1)=\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}
$$

and so

$$
H F_{X}(1) H F_{Y}(1)=\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}>N \geq H F_{X \star Y}(1)
$$

Remark 3.5. In Remark 2.3 we saw that $M^{\prime}$ being of maximum rank is sufficient to have the formulas for the dimension, the degree and the Hilbert function, when $n \geq N$. When $n<N$, besides the failure of the Hilbert function formula (Remark [3.4), $M^{\prime}$ of maximum rank does not grant the degree formula, as Example 4.2 shows.

Using a similar technique to that contained in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can extend such Theorem to a finite number of subvarieties.
Theorem 3.6. Let $\ell$ be a positive integer. For $i=1, \ldots, \ell$, let $r_{i}, d_{i}$, be positive integers, let $N=\binom{r_{1}+d_{1}}{d_{1}} \cdots\binom{r_{\ell}+d_{\ell}}{d_{\ell}}-1$ and $N-\left(\binom{r_{1}+d_{1}}{d_{1}}+\cdots+\binom{r_{\ell}+d_{\ell}}{d_{\ell}}-\ell\right) \leq$ $n \leq N-1$. For $i=1, \ldots, \ell$, let $X_{i}$ be a generically $d_{i}$-parameterized subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r_{i}$. If $n>r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}$, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i) } \operatorname{dim}\left(X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{dim}\left(X_{i}\right) \\
& \text { ii) } \operatorname{deg}\left(X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}\right)=\binom{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}}{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{deg}\left(X_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we provide a numerical condition for the Hadamard product to be smooth and we give an estimate on how big the singular locus is when singularities occur. In order to do this we will use the variety of secant lines to a subvariety $\mathcal{S}$ that we denote by $\sigma_{2}(\mathcal{S})$. It is nothing but the closure of the union of the lines joining two distinct points of $\mathcal{S}$.

Notice that, for $n$ in our range, when using generically $d$-parameterized subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, we are dealing with smooth varieties, as the following Proposition shows.
Proposition 3.7. Let $r, s, d_{X}, d_{Y}$ be positive integers, let $N=\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-$ 1 and $N-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right) \leq n \leq N-1$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two generically $d_{X}$-parameterized and $d_{Y}$-parameterized subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimensions $r, s$, respectively. Then $X$ and $Y$ are non-singular.
Proof. We only prove that $X$ is non-singular (similarly for $Y$ ).
By Remark $2.9 X$ is non-singular for $n \geq\binom{ r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}-1$ and we will prove that this is always the case. To this end, observe that $\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}} \geq 2$ and so

$$
\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}-1\right) \geq 2\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}-1\right),
$$

thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
n \geq N-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right)= \\
\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-1-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right) \geq\binom{ r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}-1
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we want to see when the Hadamard product of generically $d$-parameterized subvarieties is non-singular and how big the singular locus is when singularities show up. We start with a more general statement in the line of [R1, R2], which will apply to our case.

Theorem 3.8. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{m}$ be a smooth irreducible subvariety, $n<m$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}$ the image of $\mathcal{S}$ under a generic projection.
i) If $n \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(\mathcal{S})\right)$, then $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is smooth.
ii) If $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{S})<n<\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(\mathcal{S})\right)$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)\right) \geq 2 \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{S})-n$.

Proof. Let $\pi$ be the projection from $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ whose center is a generic linear subspace $\Lambda$ of dimension $m-n-1$ and let $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{2}(\mathcal{S})$.
i) If $n \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$, since $\Lambda$ is generic, we have that $\Lambda \cap \sigma_{2}=\emptyset$, then $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is smooth.
ii) Define the incidence correspondence $\Theta \subseteq \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right) \times\left(\Lambda \cap \sigma_{2}\right)$ where
$\Theta=\left\{(Q, P):\{Q\}=\pi\left(r_{P} \backslash \Lambda\right), r_{P}\right.$ is a tangent or secant line to $\mathcal{S}$ through $\left.P\right\}$.
We consider the projection maps $p_{1}: \Theta \rightarrow \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)$ and $p_{2}: \Theta \rightarrow \Lambda \cap \sigma_{2}$. First we prove that $p_{1}$ has a finite fiber over a point $Q \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\Lambda$ is a hyperplane in $\overline{\pi^{-1}(Q)}$, and $\Lambda \cap \mathcal{S}=\emptyset$, then $\overline{\pi^{-1}(Q)} \cap \mathcal{S}$ contains only a finite number of points. Since each secant, or tangent, line to $\mathcal{S}$ contains points of $\mathcal{S}$, then $\overline{\pi^{-1}(Q)}$ contains a finite number of secant, or tangent, lines to $\mathcal{S}$; by the genericity of $\Lambda$ each of these lines contains a finite number of points of $\Lambda \cap \sigma_{2}$. Hence, $p_{1}^{-1}(Q)$ is finite. Now we consider the generic fiber of $p_{2}$ over $P \in \Lambda \cap \sigma_{2}$. Since the family of secant and tangent lines to $\mathcal{S}$ through $P$ has dimension at least $2 \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{S})+1-\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$, then so does the generic fiber of $p_{2}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap \sigma_{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(\Lambda)+\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)-m$, we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}(\Theta) \geq \operatorname{dim}(\Lambda)+2 \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{S})+1-m=2 \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{S})-n
$$

Corollary 3.9. Let $r, s, d_{X}, d_{Y}$ be positive integers, let $N=\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-1$ and $N-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right) \leq n \leq N-1$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two generically $d_{X}$-parameterized and $d_{Y}$-parameterized subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimensions $r$, $s$, respectively. Let $S$ be the Segre-Veronese embedding of type $\left(d_{X}, d_{Y}\right)$ of $\mathbb{P}^{r} \times \mathbb{P}^{s}$.
i) If $n \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(S)\right)$, then $X \star Y$ is smooth.
ii) If $r+s<n<\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(S)\right)$, then $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Sing}(X \star Y)) \geq 2 r+2 s-n$.

Proof. Since the projection in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is generic, we may replace $m$ with $N$ and $\mathcal{S}$ with $S$ in Theorem 3.8, so that $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=X \star Y$.

Remark 3.10. If $X$ and $Y$ are not generic enough, it can happen that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Sing}(X \star Y))$ is smaller than $2 r+2 s-n$, as Example 4.2 shows.

Also note that the bound of Corollary 3.9-ii) can be sharp, as Example 4.3 shows.

Remark 3.11. If $\left(d_{X}, d_{Y}\right)=(1,1)$, then $\sigma_{2}(S)$ can be identified with the variety of $r \times s$ matrices of rank at most 2 and so $\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(S)\right)=2 r+2 s-1$.

If $\left(d_{X}, d_{Y}\right) \neq(1,1)$, by AB , Theorem 4.2], we have that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(S)\right)=\min \{N, 2 r+2 s+1\}
$$

and it is easy to check that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(S)\right)=2 r+2 s+1$.
Remark 3.12. In the case $\left(d_{X}, d_{Y}\right)=(1,1)$, Corollary 3.9 yields that $X \star Y$ is either smooth or $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Sing}(X \star Y)) \geq 2 r+2 s-n>2 r+2 s-\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(S)\right)=1$. Thus, if $X \star Y$ is not smooth, it is singular at least along a surface.

The following conditions show that the hypotheses of Corollary 3.9 hold in a large number of cases.

Lemma 3.13. Using the notations of Corollary 3.9, we have that:
i) If either $d_{X} \geq 6$ or $d_{Y} \geq 6$, then $N-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right) \geq$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(S)\right)$.
ii) If $\left(d_{X}, d_{Y}, r, s, n\right)$ is in the following table, then $N-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right) \leq$ $n \leq N-1$ and $r+s<n<\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(S)\right)$.

| $d_{X}$ | $d_{Y}$ | $r$ | $s$ | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $n=4$ |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $n=4$ |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $\leq 3$ | $3 s \leq n \leq 2 s+2$ |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | $\forall$ | $2 s \leq n \leq 2 s+2$ |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | $5 \leq n \leq 6$ |
| 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | $n=4$ |
| 1 | 3 | $\leq 3$ | 1 | $3 r \leq n \leq 2 r+2$ |
| 1 | 2 | $\forall$ | 1 | $2 r+1 \leq n \leq 2 r+2$ |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | $5 \leq n \leq 6$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $\forall$ | $s+2 \leq n \leq 2 s$ |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | $\geq 3$ | $2 s \leq n \leq 2 s+2$ |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $5 \leq n \leq 6$ |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | $n=4$ |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | $\leq 5$ | $3 s \leq n \leq 2 s+4$ |
| 1 | 1 | $\forall$ | 1 | $r+2 \leq n \leq 2 r$ |
| 1 | 1 | $\geq 3$ | 2 | $2 r \leq n \leq 2 r+2$ |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $5 \leq n \leq 6$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $n=4$ |
| 1 | 1 | $\leq 5$ | 3 | $3 r \leq n \leq 2 r+4$ |

Remark 3.14. In the cases of Lemma 3.13-i), for small values of $d_{X}$ and $d_{Y}$, the cases in which the inequality $N-\left(\binom{r+d_{X}}{d_{X}}+\binom{s+d_{Y}}{d_{Y}}-2\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(S)\right)$ holds can be determined in terms of $r$ and $s$.

Remark 3.15. Let $S$ be the Segre-Veronese variety with $\ell>2$. By AB, Theorem 4.2], $S$ does not have a defective secant variety, and thus

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(S)\right)=\min \left\{N, 2\left(r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}\right)+1\right\},
$$

and it is easy to check that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{2}(S)\right)=2\left(r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}\right)+1$.

Notice that Proposition 3.7 easily extends to a finite number of varieties. Moreover by using Remark 3.15, Corollary 3.9 can be extended to a finite number of varieties.

Proposition 3.16. Let $\ell>2$. For $i=1, \ldots, \ell$, let $r_{i}, d_{i}$, be positive integers, let $N=\binom{r_{1}+d_{1}}{d_{1}} \cdots\binom{r_{\ell}+d_{\ell}}{d_{\ell}}-1$ and $N-\left(\binom{r_{1}+d_{1}}{d_{1}}+\cdots+\binom{r_{\ell}+d_{\ell}}{d_{\ell}}-\ell\right) \leq n \leq$ $N-1$. For $i=1, \ldots, \ell$, let $X_{i}$ be a generically $d_{i}$-parameterized subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r_{i}$.
i) If $n \geq 2\left(r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}\right)+1$, then $X_{1} \star \cdots \star X_{\ell}$ is smooth;
ii) if $\left(r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}\right)<n<2\left(r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}\right)+1$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Sing}\left(X_{1} \star \cdots \star\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.X_{\ell}\right)\right) \geq 2\left(r_{1}+\cdots+r_{\ell}\right)-n$.

## 4. Some examples

Here we collect some examples to show the role of the genericity assumption in our results; we use $\mathrm{CoCoA}(\mathrm{CoCoA})$, following the procedure given in BCFL1.

In Example 4.1 we have $n \geq N$, but $X$ and $Y$ are not generic enough to have the matrix $M^{\prime}$ of maximum rank (see Theorem [2.2 and Corollary [2.7). Also, the varieties $X$ and $Y$ are both non singular, but $\operatorname{Sing}(X \star Y) \neq \emptyset$, and so $X \star Y$ is neither projectively equivalent nor isomorphic to the product variety $X \times Y$.

In Example 4.2 we have $n<N, X$ and $Y$ are generic enough to have the matrix $M^{\prime}$ of maximum rank, but, $X$ and $Y$ are not generic enough to give a generic center of projection $\Lambda$ (see Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.9). Also, the degree formula and the lower bound on the dimension of the singular locus do not hold.

In Example 4.3 the dimension of the singular locus is equal to the lower bound.

Finally we give an example (Example 4.4) which is not computable but can be directly deduced from our results.

Example 4.1. Let $X$ be the line of $\mathbb{P}^{5}$ given by the equations $\left\{x_{0}-x_{1}=\right.$ $\left.0, x_{0}-x_{2}=0, x_{3}-x_{5}=0, x_{0}+x_{3}-x_{4}=0\right\}$ and let $Y$ be the conic of $\mathbb{P}^{5}$ given by the equations $\left\{x_{0}-2 x_{3}+3 x_{5}=0, x_{1}+x_{4}-x_{5}=0, x_{2}+2 x_{3}-3 x_{4}=\right.$ $\left.0, x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}+x_{5}^{2}+5 x_{0} x_{1}+8 x_{0} x_{1}-2 x_{2} x_{5}+10 x_{0} x_{4}=0\right\}$. Here $h=1$ and $k=2$ and so $N=(h+1)(k+1)-1=5$.

Computations show that the Hadamard product has dimension $2=r+s=$ $\operatorname{dim}(X)+\operatorname{dim}(Y)$ and degree $4=\binom{r+s}{r} \operatorname{deg}(X) \operatorname{deg}(Y)$ as expected, but $H F_{X \star Y} \neq H F_{X} H F_{Y}$. Also, the singular locus has dimension 0 and degree 5.

In this case the matrix $M^{\prime}$ does not have maximum rank. In fact, first we write the parameterizations of $L_{1}=X$ and of the plane $L_{2}$ containing $Y$ :

$$
L_{1}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=y_{1} \\
x_{1}=y_{1} \\
x_{2}=y_{1} \\
x_{3}=y_{0} \\
x_{4}=y_{0}+y_{1} \\
x_{5}=y_{0}
\end{array} \quad L_{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=2 z_{0}-3 z_{2} \\
x_{1}=-z_{1}+z_{2} \\
x_{2}=-2 z_{0}+3 z_{1} \\
x_{3}=z_{0} \\
x_{4}=z_{1} \\
x_{5}=z_{2}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

and then we obtain

$$
M^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 3 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

whose determinant equals 0 .
Example 4.2. Let $X$ be the line of $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ given by the equations $\left\{x_{0}-x_{1}=\right.$ $\left.0, x_{0}-x_{2}=0, x_{3}-2 x_{4}=0\right\}$ and let $Y$ be the conic in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ given by the equations $\left\{x_{0}-x_{3}=0, x_{1}-x_{4}=0, x_{1}^{2}-x_{0} x_{2}=0\right\}$.

Computations show that $X \star Y$ has dimension $2=r+s=\operatorname{dim}(X)+$ $\operatorname{dim}(Y)$ but it has degree $3<\binom{r+s}{s} \operatorname{dim}(X) \operatorname{dim}(Y)$.

Surprisingly enough $X \star Y$ does not have singularities and $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Sing}(X \star$ $Y))<2 r+2 s-n=0$ (see Corollary (3.9). Moreover $M^{\prime}$ has maximum rank. In fact, writing the parameterization of $X$ and $Y$

$$
X:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=y_{0}-y_{1} \\
x_{1}=y_{0}-y_{1} \\
x_{2}=y_{0}-y_{1} \\
x_{3}=y_{0} \\
x_{4}=2 y_{0}
\end{array} \quad Y:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=z_{0}^{2} \\
x_{1}=z_{0} z_{1} \\
x_{2}=z_{1}^{2} \\
x_{3}=z_{0}^{2} \\
x_{4}=z_{0} z_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

we obtain

$$
M^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that $\Lambda$ is the point $[0: 0:-2: 0: 0: 1]$ and so it belongs to the Segre-Veronese variety $S$ and this is why our genericity hypothesis on $X$ and $Y$ is not satisfied.

Example 4.3. Let $X$ be the line of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by the equations $\left\{x_{0}+x_{1}+\right.$ $\left.x_{2}+2 x_{3}=x_{0}-x_{1}+4 x_{2}-x_{3}=0\right\}$ and let $Y$ be the conic of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ given by the equations $\left\{x_{0}+2 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+x_{3}=x_{0}^{2}+2 x_{0} x_{2}+2 x_{0} x_{3}+x_{1}^{2}+2 x_{1} x_{2}-2 x_{1} x_{3}+\right.$ $\left.x_{2}^{2}+2 x_{2} x_{3}+x_{3}^{2}=0\right\}$. Here $r=s=1, d_{X}=1$ and $d_{Y}=2$, so 3 is the minimum possible value for $n$, moreover we are in the case ii) of Corollary 3.9

In this case $X \star Y$ is a singular quartic surface and the singular locus is exactly of dimension $1=2 r+2 s-n$.

Example 4.4. Let $k$ be a positive integer. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a generic plane conic in $\mathbb{P}^{2 k+1}$. Let $L$ be a generic linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{2 k+1}$ of dimension $k$. In view of Lemma 3.13, we can use Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.9 to obtain $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{C} \star L)=k+1, \operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{C} \star L)=\binom{k+1}{k} \cdot 2 \cdot 1=2(k+1)$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{C} \star L)) \geq$ $2+2 k-(2 k+1)=1$.
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