



POLITECNICO DI TORINO
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Weierstrass-type representations

Original

Weierstrass-type representations / PEMBER, MASON JAMES WYNDHAM. - In: GEOMETRIAE DEDICATA. - ISSN 0046-5755. - 204:1(2020), pp. 299-309. [10.1007/s10711-019-00456-y]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2789044 since: 2020-02-04T11:28:42Z

Publisher:

Springer

Published

DOI:10.1007/s10711-019-00456-y

Terms of use:

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

Springer postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature's AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10711-019-00456-y>

(Article begins on next page)



Weierstrass-type representations

Mason Pember¹

Received: 1 September 2018 / Accepted: 26 April 2019 / Published online: 9 May 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

Weierstrass-type representations have been used extensively in surface theory to create surfaces with special curvature properties. In this paper we give a unified description of these representations in terms of classical transformation theory of Ω -surfaces.

Keywords Minimal surfaces · Constant mean curvature surfaces · Weierstrass representation · Bryant representation · Omega-surfaces

Mathematics Subject Classification 53A40 · 53A10 · 53B25

1 Introduction

The Weierstrass–Enneper representation [36] is a fundamental tool in differential geometry for creating interesting examples of minimal surfaces in Euclidean 3-space. A similar representation was developed by Bryant [6], and later [35], for surfaces of constant mean curvature (CMC) $H \equiv c$ in hyperbolic 3-space of constant sectional curvature $-c^2$. Many other Weierstrass-type representations exist for various surface classes, for example:

- maximal surfaces in Lorentz 3-space [26],
- zero mean curvature surfaces in isotropic 3-space [33],
- CMC surfaces $H \equiv c$ in de Sitter 3-space of constant sectional curvature c^2 [1],
- flat surfaces in hyperbolic 3-space [21],
- linear Weingarten surfaces of Bryant type in hyperbolic 3-space [22],
- linear Weingarten surfaces of Bianchi type in de Sitter 3-space [2].

The ingredients for such representations are always the same: a meromorphic function and a holomorphic 1-form. Thus one might expect that these representations are related in some way. A unification of some of these representations was achieved in [3] under the umbrella of marginally trapped surfaces in Minkowski space.

On the other hand, geometric interpretations of these representations have been sought in various works. The classical Weierstrass–Enneper representation can be understood using the Christoffel transformation of isothermic surfaces [23]. The Umehara–Yamada perturbation [35] deforms minimal surfaces in Euclidean 3-space into CMC-1 surfaces in hyperbolic

✉ Mason Pember
mason.j.w.pember@bath.edu

¹ Technische Universitat Wien, Wien, Austria

3-space. This perturbation is given a Möbius geometric interpretation in [24] as the spectral deformation of isothermic surfaces, arising due to the integrable nature of this surface class (see, for example, [7,15,19]). A Laguerre geometric interpretation of this perturbation was studied in [28], extending this notion to a wider class of surfaces.

Motivated by an observation in [10], we seek to understand Weierstrass-type representations using transformations of Ω -surfaces. In [16], using the Christoffel transformation for isothermic surfaces in Minkowski space, Demoulin develops a notion of dual surfaces for Ω -surfaces, yielding a transformation for this surface class. We shall refer to this as the Ω -dual transformation. We show that L -isothermic surfaces can be characterised as Ω -surfaces admitting a special dual surface, akin to how minimal surfaces in Euclidean 3-space can be characterised as Christoffel transformations of their Gauss map. We then give an invariant explanation of all of the aforementioned Weierstrass-type representations as applications of the Ω -dual transformation to a prescribed Gauss map.

2 Ω -surfaces

Let $x : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ be a spacelike immersion. As defined in [14,30] a smooth map $x^* : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ is called a *Christoffel dual* of x if x and x^*

- have parallel tangent planes,
- induce the same conformal structure on $T\Sigma$, and
- induce opposite orientations on $T\Sigma$.

It was shown¹ in [8] that these conditions can be encapsulated by

$$(dx \wedge dx^*) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad dx \lrcorner dx^* = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $(dx \wedge dx^*)$ is a symmetric 2-form defined by

$$(dx \wedge dx^*)(X, Y) = (dx(X), dx^*(Y)) - (dx(Y), dx^*(X)),$$

and $dx \lrcorner dx^*$ is a symmetric 2-form with values in $\wedge^2 \underline{\mathbb{R}}^{3,1}$ (with $\underline{\mathbb{R}}^{3,1}$ denoting the trivial bundle $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$) defined by

$$dx \lrcorner dx^*(X, Y) = dx(X) \wedge dx^*(Y) - dx(Y) \wedge dx^*(X).$$

A surface which possesses a Christoffel dual is then said to be *isothermic*. By the symmetric nature of (1), x^* is itself isothermic with Christoffel dual x .

In Laguerre geometry, one uses isotropy projection to identify points in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ with spheres (see for example [13]). Therefore a spacelike immersion $x : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ represents a congruence of spheres. Given a spacelike immersion $x : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, we may write the normal bundle of x as $dx(T\Sigma)^\perp = G \oplus \tilde{G}$, where G and \tilde{G} are null line subbundles of $dx(T\Sigma)^\perp$. We call G and \tilde{G} the *lightlike Gauss maps* of x . We may then construct null affine line bundles $L := x + G$ and $\tilde{L} := x + \tilde{G}$ of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}^{3,1}$. In Laguerre geometry, these represent the envelopes of the sphere congruence x (see for example [27]).

As classically defined by Demoulin [16–18], the envelopes of isothermic (spacelike) sphere congruences are called Ω -surfaces. Therefore, Ω -surfaces are the null affine line bundles L of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}^{3,1}$, for which we may write $L = x + G$ for some isothermic surface $x : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$.

¹ In fact, it was shown using Clifford algebra that this relation can be expressed by the vanishing of a single wedge.

Given Christoffel dual maps x and x^* , we say that envelopes L and L^* of x and x^* , respectively, are Ω -dual if L is parallel² to L^* .

3 Marginally trapped surfaces

A spacelike immersion $x : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ is called *marginally trapped* if its mean curvature vector

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{2}(d_X d_X x + d_Y d_Y x)^\perp$$

is lightlike, where $X, Y \in \Gamma T\Sigma$ is an orthonormal basis with respect to the induced metric of x and $(\cdot)^\perp$ denotes projection onto the normal bundle of x . Given $p \in \Sigma$, $\mathbf{H}(p)$ is then lightlike if and only if $\mathbf{H}(p) \in G(p)$ or $\mathbf{H}(p) \in \tilde{G}(p)$, where G and \tilde{G} are the lightlike Gauss maps of x . We say that a marginally trapped surface x is *regular* if either $\mathbf{H}(p) \in G(p)$ for all $p \in \Sigma$ or $\mathbf{H}(p) \in \tilde{G}(p)$ for all $p \in \Sigma$, i.e., $\mathbf{H} \in \Gamma G$ or $\mathbf{H} \in \Gamma \tilde{G}$.

Assume now that the normal bundle of x is flat. Then there locally exists parallel sections $g \in \Gamma G$ and $\tilde{g} \in \Gamma \tilde{G}$ with $(g, \tilde{g}) = -1$. Since g is parallel, we may write $dg = dx \circ S$ for some $S \in \Gamma \text{End}(T\Sigma)$. Since (dx, dg) is symmetric, we have that S is symmetric with respect to the induced metric of x . Therefore, S admits a basis $X, Y \in \Gamma T\Sigma$ of orthonormal (with respect to the induced metric of x) eigenvectors with respective eigenvalues α and β . Now the mean curvature vector field is given by

$$\mathbf{H} = -\frac{1}{2}((d_X d_X x + d_Y d_Y x, g)\tilde{g} + (d_X d_X x + d_Y d_Y x, \tilde{g})g) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)\tilde{g} \text{ mod } G.$$

Thus, $\mathbf{H} \in \Gamma G$ if and only if $\alpha + \beta = 0$, i.e., S is trace-free. On the other hand

$$dx \wedge dg(X, Y) = (\beta + \alpha)d_X x \wedge d_Y x.$$

Thus S is trace-free if and only if $dx \wedge dg = 0$.

If $dx \wedge dg = 0$ for some $g \in \Gamma G$, then $(ddx, g) = -(dx \wedge dg) = 0$. Thus, $dx \wedge dg = 0$ implies that x and g are Christoffel dual. We thus arrive at the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 *A spacelike immersion in Minkowski space is a regular marginally trapped surface with flat normal bundle if and only if it is Christoffel dual to a section of one of its lightlike Gauss maps.*

Suppose that $x : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ is Christoffel dual to $g \in \Gamma G$. By defining $L := x + G$, we see that L is Ω -dual to $L^* := G$. Moreover, since x has the same induced conformal structure on $T\Sigma$ as G , one identifies x as the *middle sphere congruence* of L , see [4,29]. One deduces from [9] that such L are the envelopes of L -isothermic surfaces, that is, surfaces in Euclidean 3-space that admit curvature line coordinates which are conformal with respect to the third fundamental form. This characterisation gives an analogue of minimal surfaces being Christoffel dual to their Gauss map in Euclidean space:

Corollary 3.2 *Envelopes of L -isothermic surfaces are the Ω -surfaces that are Ω -dual to their lightlike Gauss map.*

² It is always possible to arrange L and L^* to be parallel, since the normal bundles of x and x^* are the same.

Now, the condition $dx \wedge dg = 0$, implies that³ $\zeta := g \wedge dx \in \Omega^1(G \wedge G^\perp)$ is a closed 1-form. Moreover, since $G \wedge G^\perp$ is an abelian subbundle of $\wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, one has that $[\zeta \wedge \zeta] = 0$. Therefore, $\{d + t\zeta\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a 1-parameter family of flat metric connections. Let $T_t : \Sigma \rightarrow O(3, 1)$ be local orthogonal trivialising gauge transformations of $d + t\zeta$, i.e.,

$$T_t(d + t\zeta)T_t^{-1} = d.$$

Such transformations are unique up to premultiplication by a constant endomorphism $A \in O(3, 1)$. Now $T_t dx \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^{3,1})$ is a closed 1-form:

$$d(T_t dx) = T_t(d + t\zeta)dx = T_t(ddx + t\zeta \wedge dx) = 0$$

since $\zeta \wedge dx = -(dx \wedge dx)g = 0$. Thus we may integrate to obtain a new surface $x_t : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ satisfying $dx_t = T_t dx$. Define $G_t := T_t G$ and set $g_t := T_t g$. Then $dg_t = T_t(d + t\zeta)g = T_t dg$, since $\zeta g = 0$, and one deduces that

$$dx_t \wedge dg_t = T_t dx \wedge dg T_t^{-1} = 0.$$

Thus x_t is a marginally trapped surface and $L_t := x_t + G_t$ is the envelope of an L -isothermic surface. This is the T -transform of L -isothermic surfaces (see [28,29]). Notice that we obtain a new closed 1-form

$$\zeta_t := g_t \wedge dx_t = Ad_{T_t} \zeta \in \Omega^1(G_t \wedge G_t^\perp).$$

We therefore obtain local orthogonalising gauge transformations $T'_s : \Sigma \rightarrow O(3, 1)$ of the 1-parameter family of flat connections $\{d + s\zeta_t\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$. In [23, Section 5.5.9] the following property was shown for iterating these transformations:

$$T'_s T_t = T_{t+s}, \quad \text{and thus} \quad T'^t_{-t} = (T_t)^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

This property will be useful for us in the following section.

4 Weierstrass-type representations

The ingredients for Weierstrass-type representations are:

- **A simply connected Riemann surface** Σ . Equivalently, Σ is a simply connected 2-dimensional manifold equipped with a conformal structure and an orientation.
- **A meromorphic function** $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Equivalently, since $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \cong S^2 \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ denotes the lightcone, we can identify ϕ with a smooth map $G : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L})$. ϕ being meromorphic is equivalent to G being an orientation preserving map whose induced conformal structure is weakly equivalent to the conformal structure on Σ .
- **A holomorphic 1-form** ω . Alternatively, one may prescribe a holomorphic quadratic differential⁴ q . We then have the relation $q = d\phi \omega + d\bar{\phi} \bar{\omega}$.

We make the assumption⁵ that ϕ has no critical points, and thus G is an immersion. Now for any non-zero lift $g \in \Gamma G$, we may write $q = (dg, dg \circ Q)$ for some endomorphism $Q \in \Gamma \text{End}(T\Sigma)$. q is then a holomorphic quadratic differential if and only if

³ Throughout this paper we shall use the well-known identification $\wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{3,1} \cong \mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$, via $(a \wedge b)v = (a, v)b - (b, v)a$.

⁴ That is, we may write $q^{2,0} = hdz^2$ for some local holomorphic coordinate z on Σ and some holomorphic function h .

⁵ Since ϕ is meromorphic, this excludes the case that G is constant and isolated points of Σ where G does not immerse.

$$\zeta := g \wedge dg \circ Q \in \Omega^1(G \wedge G^\perp)$$

is a closed 1-form (see [12,32]).

Explicitly, one may identify a meromorphic function ϕ with the map $G : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L})$ spanned by the lift

$$g = (1 + \phi\bar{\phi})e_0 + (\phi + \bar{\phi})e_1 - i(\phi - \bar{\phi})e_2 + (-1 + \phi\bar{\phi})e_3 \in \Gamma G, \tag{3}$$

where $\{e_0, \dots, e_3\}$ is a pseudo-orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ with e_0 timelike and e_1, e_2, e_3 spacelike. The induced metric of g is $(dg, dg) = 4d\phi d\bar{\phi}$. By defining

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\omega} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \omega \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\phi}} \right),$$

we have that $(dg, dg \circ Q) = d\phi\omega + d\bar{\phi}\bar{\omega} = q$. One then computes

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta = g \wedge dg \circ Q = & \frac{1}{2} \{ (e_0 + \phi e_1 - i\phi e_2 - e_3) \wedge (\phi e_0 + e_1 + i e_2 + \phi e_3)\omega \\ & + (e_0 + \bar{\phi} e_1 + i\bar{\phi} e_2 - e_3) \wedge (\bar{\phi} e_0 + e_1 - i e_2 + \bar{\phi} e_3)\bar{\omega} \}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Notice that given Weierstrass data (ϕ, ω) , the right hand side of (4) yields a closed 1-form with values in $G \wedge G^\perp$, regardless of whether ϕ has critical points or not.

4.1 Affine hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ be a non-zero vector. Then hyperplanes with normal \mathfrak{p} are flat 3-dimensional affine spaces. Given a closed $\zeta \in \Omega^1(G \wedge G^\perp)$ we have that $\zeta \mathfrak{p} \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^{3,1})$ is a closed 1-form and we may locally integrate it to obtain

$$x : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1} \text{ satisfying } dx = -\zeta \mathfrak{p}.$$

Now $d(x, \mathfrak{p}) = -\langle \zeta \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p} \rangle = 0$, since ζ is skew-symmetric. Thus x takes values in an affine hyperplane with normal \mathfrak{p} . If $G(p) \perp \mathfrak{p}$ for some $p \in \Sigma$ then $d_p x \in G(p)$ and thus x does not immerse at p . Away from such points, we may write $\zeta = g \wedge \lambda$, where $g \in \Gamma G$ satisfies $(g, \mathfrak{p}) = -1$ and $\lambda \in \Omega^1(G^\perp)$. Then $dx = -\zeta \mathfrak{p} = \lambda \text{ mod } G$ implies that $\zeta = g \wedge dx$ and the closedness of ζ implies that $dg \lrcorner dx = 0$. Hence, $L := x + G$ is Ω -dual to $L^* := G$ and x is marginally trapped. In fact, since \mathfrak{p} lies in $dx(T\Sigma)^\perp$, one deduces that $\mathbf{H} = 0$. Hence, x has zero mean curvature. We thus have the following 3 cases:

- (i) if \mathfrak{p} is timelike then x is a minimal surface in a Euclidean 3-space,
- (ii) if \mathfrak{p} is spacelike then x is a maximal surface in a Lorentzian 3-space,
- (iii) if \mathfrak{p} is lightlike then x has zero mean curvature in an isotropic 3-space.

In cases (i) and (ii) we obtain a unit (spacelike or timelike, respectively) normal of x by setting $n := g - \mathfrak{p} \in \Gamma \mathfrak{p}^\perp$.

By choosing $\mathfrak{p} = e_0$, one deduces from (4) that

$$dx = -\zeta e_0 = \text{Re}\{((1 - \phi^2)e_1 + i(1 + \phi^2)e_2 + 2\phi e_3)\omega\}$$

and we thus recover the Weierstrass–Enneper representation [36]. By choosing $\mathfrak{p} = e_3$, we have that

$$dx = -\zeta e_3 = \text{Re}\{(2\phi e_0 + (1 + \phi^2)e_1 + i(1 - \phi^2)e_2)\omega\},$$

recovering the representation of [26] for maximal surfaces in Minkowski 3-space. Choosing $p = \frac{e_0 + e_3}{2}$ we obtain the representation of [33] for zero mean curvature surfaces in isotropic 3-space:

$$dx = -\zeta \frac{e_0 + e_3}{2} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (e_1 + ie_2 + 2\phi \frac{e_0 + e_3}{2}) \omega \right\}.$$

In this case, x is the graph of a harmonic function.

Remark 4.1 In [28] it was shown that surfaces in Euclidean space that are simultaneously L -minimal and L -isothermic are those surfaces whose middle sphere congruence is one of the three cases above. On the other hand, a Weierstrass-type representation was developed for such surfaces in [34]. One can recover this representation by intersecting the envelopes L of the three cases above with appropriate affine Euclidean 3-spaces.

We summarise the results of this subsection in the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2 *The Weierstrass-type representations for*

- *minimal surfaces in Euclidean 3-space,*
- *maximal surfaces in Lorentzian 3-space, and*
- *zero mean curvature surfaces in isotropic space*

can be viewed as certain applications of the Ω -dual transformation to a prescribed lightlike Gauss map.

4.2 Quadrics in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$

Given a closed 1-form $\zeta \in \Omega^1(G \wedge G^\perp)$ we have that $\{d + t\zeta\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a 1-parameter family of flat connections. Therefore, there locally exists parallel sections of these connections. Suppose that $x : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ satisfies

$$(d + m\zeta)x = 0$$

for some⁶ non-zero $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $d(x, x) = -2m(\zeta x, x) = 0$, since ζ is skew-symmetric. Hence, (x, x) is constant. If $x(p) \perp G(p)$ for some $p \in \Sigma$, then $d_p x \in G(p)$ and thus x does not immerse at p . Away from these points, we may write $\zeta = g \wedge \lambda$ where $g \in \Gamma G$ such that $(g, x) = -1$ and $\lambda \in \Omega^1(G^\perp)$. Then the condition $dx = -m\zeta x$ implies that $\zeta = \frac{1}{m}g \wedge dx$. The closedness of ζ then implies that $dx \wedge dg = 0$. Hence, $L := x + G$ is Ω -dual to $L^* = G$ and x is marginally trapped. From [25] we then obtain the following 3 cases:

- (i) if $(x, x) = -c^2$ then x is a CMC- c surface in $\mathbb{H}^3(-c^2)$,
- (ii) if $(x, x) = c^2$ then x is a CMC- c surface in $\mathbb{S}^{2,1}(c^2)$,
- (iii) if $(x, x) = 0$ then x is an intrinsically flat surface in \mathcal{L} .

In cases (i) and (ii) G then has a geometric interpretation as the hyperbolic Gauss map of x . An important observation is that parallel sections x of $d + m\zeta$ are given by $x = T_m^{-1}c$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ and T_m is a local trivialising orthogonal gauge transformations of $d + m\zeta$, i.e., $T_m(d + m\zeta)T_m^{-1} = d$ (see Sect. 3).

The Hermitian model of $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ identifies points in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ with 2×2 Hermitian matrices via the isometry

$$x_0e_0 + x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + x_3 & x_1 + ix_2 \\ x_1 - ix_2 & x_0 - x_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

⁶ The choice of m here amounts to a constant scaling of the Hopf differential. In many works this scaling is fixed by choosing m appropriately.

where the metric on the space of Hermitian matrices is given by $(A, A) = -\det A$. Our basis vectors become

$$e_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We identify $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ with the orthogonal group $O(3, 1)$ by its action on Hermitian matrices

$$A \cdot v = AvA^*.$$

Skew-symmetric endomorphisms, i.e., elements of $\mathfrak{o}(3, 1)$, are then identified with elements of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ via

$$B \cdot v = Bv + vB^*.$$

In particular, the skew-symmetric endomorphisms $e_i \wedge e_j$ are identified with $e_{ij} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, where

$$e_{01} = -\frac{1}{2}e_1, e_{02} = -\frac{1}{2}e_2, e_{03} = -\frac{1}{2}e_3, e_{12} = \frac{i}{2}e_3, e_{13} = -\frac{i}{2}e_2, e_{23} = \frac{i}{2}e_1.$$

One then computes that ζ from (4) is identified with

$$\xi = \frac{1}{2}\{- (1 - \phi^2)e_1 - i(1 + \phi^2)e_2 - 2\phi e_3\}\omega = \begin{pmatrix} -\phi & \phi^2 \\ -1 & \phi \end{pmatrix}\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})).$$

Since ξ is a closed 1-form, there exists $F_t : \Sigma \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ so that

$$F_t^{-1}dF_t = t\xi.$$

One quickly deduces that the orthogonal transformations identified with F_t are in fact local trivialising orthogonal gauge transformations T_t of $d + t\xi$. Thus parallel sections of $d + m\xi$ are given by $F_m^{-1}c(F_m^{-1})^*$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. Defining $\Psi_m := F_m^{-1}$ we have that

$$d\Psi_m = -m\xi\Psi_m = m \begin{pmatrix} \phi & -\phi^2 \\ 1 & -\phi \end{pmatrix}\omega\Psi_m. \tag{5}$$

Now, by setting $c := \frac{1}{2}((1 - \mu)e_0 + (1 + \mu)e_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu \end{pmatrix}$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$x = \Psi_m c(\Psi_m)^* \tag{6}$$

we obtain

- CMC $H \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\mu}}$ surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^3(\frac{1}{\mu})$ when $\mu < 0$;
- CMC $H \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}$ surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{2,1}(\frac{1}{\mu})$ when $\mu > 0$;
- intrinsically flat surfaces in \mathcal{L} when $\mu = 0$.

When $\mu = -1$ we see that this coincides with the representation of CMC $H \equiv 1$ in hyperbolic 3-space surfaces given in [31, Corollary 2.4] and when $\mu = 1$ this coincides with the representation of CMC $H \equiv 1$ in de-Sitter 3-space given in [20, Theorem 1]. We have thus arrived at the following theorem:

Theorem 4.3 *The Weierstrass-type representations for CMC-c surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^3(-c^2)$ and $\mathbb{S}^{2,1}(c^2)$ can be viewed as certain applications of the Ω -dual transformation to a prescribed lightlike Gauss map.*

4.3 The Umehara–Yamada perturbation

Suppose that x is a parallel section of $d + m\zeta$ for some non-zero $m \in \mathbb{R}$, and thus $x = T_m^{-1}c$ for some non-zero $c \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. Then the T-transform x_m of x satisfies

$$dx_m = T_m dx = -T_m m \zeta x = -m \zeta_m T_m x = -m \zeta_m c.$$

Hence, from Sect. 4.1 we know that x_m is a zero mean curvature surface in an affine hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. Therefore, we recover the result of [28] that the T-transform of L -isothermic surfaces perturbs the cases (i), (ii), (iii) of Sect. 4.2 into the cases (i), (ii), (iii) of Sect. 4.1, respectively. This generalises the Umehara–Yamada perturbation [35] and gives a Laguerre geometric analogue of its interpretation in [24].

We call $G_m = T_m G$ the *secondary Gauss map* of x . Since G_m and G induce the same conformal structures on $T\Sigma$, there exists a holomorphic function ψ such that

$$g_m = (1 + \psi\bar{\psi})e_0 + (\psi + \bar{\psi})e_1 - i(\psi - \bar{\psi})e_2 + (-1 + \psi\bar{\psi})e_3 \tag{7}$$

is a lift of G_m . We then define a holomorphic 1-form η so that $q = d\psi\eta + d\bar{\psi}\bar{\eta}$. The closed 1-form $\zeta_m = Ad_{T_m}\zeta$ is then identified with

$$\xi_m := \begin{pmatrix} -\psi & \psi^2 \\ -1 & \psi \end{pmatrix} \eta.$$

Now parallel sections x of $d + m\zeta$ are of the form $x = T_m^{-1}c = T_{-m}^m c$, using (2). T_{-m}^m satisfies $dT_{-m}^m = -mT_{-m}^m\zeta_m$ and correspondingly $\Psi_m = F_m^{-1}$ satisfies

$$d\Psi_m = -m\Psi_m\xi_m = m\Psi_m \begin{pmatrix} \psi & -\psi^2 \\ 1 & -\psi \end{pmatrix} \eta. \tag{8}$$

This formulation shows that the representation (6) coincides with the representation of [35] when $\mu = -1$ and with the representation of [1] when $\mu = 1$. The duality between (5) and (8) has been remarked upon in [5,31].

4.4 Linear Weingarten surfaces of Bryant type

A surface $x : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ is a *linear Weingarten surface of Bryant type* if the mean curvature H and Gauss curvature K of x satisfy a relation

$$(\mu + 1)K - 2\mu H + \mu - 1 = 0 \tag{9}$$

for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. A Weierstrass-type representation was developed for these surfaces in [22]. The middle sphere congruence of such surfaces is given by $x^M = x - \frac{\mu+1}{2}\tilde{g}$, where $\tilde{g} \in \Gamma G$ such that $(\tilde{g}, x) = -1$. Three cases emerge⁷:

- if $\mu < 0$ then x^M is a CMC- $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\mu}}$ surface in $\mathbb{H}^3(\frac{1}{\mu})$,
- if $\mu > 0$ then x^M is CMC- $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}$ surface in $\mathbb{S}^{2,1}(\frac{1}{\mu})$,
- if $\mu = 0$ then x^M is an intrinsically flat surface in \mathcal{L} .

We thus have that

$$x^M = \Psi_m \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu \end{pmatrix} \Psi_m^*$$

⁷ This analysis is analogous to that performed in [11, Section 4.6] for parallel families of linear Weingarten surfaces in hyperbolic space.

where $\Psi_m : \Sigma \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ satisfies (8). Using the Hermitian model, the lift g_m from (7) of the secondary Gauss map is given by

$$g_m = 2 \begin{pmatrix} |\psi|^2 & \psi \\ \bar{\psi} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, since $\tilde{g} \in \Gamma G$ satisfies $(\tilde{g}, x) = -1$ and $T_m^{-1}g_m \in \Gamma G$,

$$\tilde{g} = -\frac{T_m^{-1}g_m}{(T_m^{-1}g_m, x)} = -\frac{\Psi_m g_m \Psi_m^*}{\left(\Psi_m g_m \Psi_m^*, \Psi_m \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu \end{pmatrix} \Psi_m^*\right)} = \frac{\Psi_m g_m \Psi_m^*}{1 - \mu|\psi|^2}.$$

Thus⁸,

$$\begin{aligned} x = x^M + \frac{\mu + 1}{2}\tilde{g} &= \Psi_m \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\mu + 1}{1 - \mu|\psi|^2} \begin{pmatrix} |\psi|^2 & \psi \\ \bar{\psi} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \Psi_m^* \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \mu|\psi|^2} \Psi_m \begin{pmatrix} 1 + |\psi|^2 & (\mu + 1)\psi \\ (\mu + 1)\bar{\psi} & 1 + \mu^2|\psi|^2 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_m^*. \end{aligned}$$

By setting $H := \Psi_m \begin{pmatrix} i\psi & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ we have that

$$x = H \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 + \mu^2|\psi|^2}{1 - \mu|\psi|^2} & \mu\bar{\psi} \\ \mu\psi & 1 - \mu|\psi|^2 \end{pmatrix} H^*.$$

We deduce from (8) that

$$H^{-1}dH = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m\eta \\ d\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, we have recovered the representation of [22]. Moreover, in the case that $\mu = 0$, we obtain the representation of [21] for flat surfaces in \mathbb{H}^3 .

Linear Weingarten surfaces of Bianchi type, that is surfaces satisfying (9) in $\mathbb{S}^{2,1}$, were shown to admit a Weierstrass-type representation in [2]. An analogous analysis can be performed for these surfaces as above. We thus arrive at the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4 *The Weierstrass-type representations for linear Weingarten surfaces of Bryant and Bianchi type can be viewed as certain applications of the Ω -dual transformation to a prescribed lightlike Gauss map.*

Acknowledgements Open access funding provided by Austrian Science Fund (FWF). The author would like to express his gratitude to F. Burstall, J. Cho, U. Hertrich-Jeromin, W. Rossman and M. Yasumoto for fruitful and pleasant conversations about this topic. This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the research Project P28427-N35 “Non-rigidity and symmetry breaking”.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

⁸ Note that in order for this expression to be well defined, one must assume that $1 - \mu|\psi|^2$ is nowhere zero. This coincides with the assumption of [22].

References

1. Aiyama, R., Akutagawa, K.: Kenmotsu–Bryant type representation formulas for constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^3(-c^2)$ and $S^3_1(c^2)$. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **17**, 49–75 (1998)
2. Aledo, J.A., Espinar, J.M.: A conformal representation for linear Weingarten surfaces in the de Sitter space. *J. Geom. Phys.* **57**(8), 1669–1677 (2007)
3. Aledo, Juan A., Gálvez, José A., Mira, Pablo: Marginally trapped surfaces in \mathbb{L}^4 and an extended Weierstrass–Bryant representation. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **28**(4), 395–415 (2005)
4. Blaschke, W.: *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie III*. Springer Grundlehren XXIX, Berlin (1929)
5. Bobenko, A., Pavlyukevich, T., Springborn, B.: Hyperbolic constant mean curvature one surfaces: spinor representation and trinions in hypergeometric functions. *Math. Z.* **245**(1), 63–91 (2003)
6. Bryant, R.: Surfaces of mean curvature one in hyperbolic space. *Astérisque* **154–155**, 321–347 (1987)
7. Burstall, F., Hertrich-Jeromin, U., Pedit, F., Pinkall, U.: Curved flats and isothermic surfaces. *Math. Z.* **225**(2), 199–209 (1997)
8. Burstall, F.E.: Isothermic surfaces: conformal geometry, Clifford algebras and integrable systems. In: *Integrable systems, geometry, and topology*, vol. 36 of AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, pp. 1–82. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2006)
9. Burstall, F.E., Hertrich-Jeromin, U., Pember, M., Rossman, W.: Polynomial conserved quantities of Lie applicable surfaces. *Manuscripta Math.* **158**(3), 505–546 (2019)
10. Burstall, F.E., Hertrich-Jeromin, U., Rossman, W.: Lie geometry of linear Weingarten surfaces. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **350**(7–8), 413–416 (2012)
11. Burstall, F.E., Hertrich-Jeromin, U., Rossman, W.: Discrete linear Weingarten surfaces. *Nagoya Math. J.* **231**, 55–88 (2018)
12. Burstall, F.E., Santos, S.D.: Special isothermic surfaces of type d . *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **85**(2), 571–591 (2012)
13. Cecil, T.E.: *Lie sphere geometry*. With applications to submanifolds, Universitext, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (2008)
14. Christoffel, E.B.: Ueber einige allgemeine eigenschaften der minimumsflächen. *Crelle's J* **67**, 218–228 (1867)
15. Cieślński, J., Goldstein, P., Sym, A.: Isothermic surfaces in E^3 as soliton surfaces. *Phys. Lett. A* **205**(1), 37–43 (1995)
16. Demoulin, A.: Sur les surfaces Ω . *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **153**, 927–929 (1911)
17. Demoulin, A.: Sur les surfaces R et les surfaces Ω . *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **153**, 590–593 (1911)
18. Demoulin, A.: Sur les surfaces R et les surfaces Ω . *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **153**, 705–707 (1911)
19. Ferus, D., Pedit, F.: Curved flats in symmetric spaces. *Manuscripta Math.* **91**(4), 445–454 (1996)
20. Fujimori, S.: Spacelike mean curvature 1 surfaces of genus 1 with two ends in de Sitter 3-space. *Kyushu J. Math.* **61**(1), 1–20 (2007)
21. Gálvez, J.A., Martínez, A., Milán, F.: Flat surfaces in the hyperbolic 3-space. *Math. Ann.* **316**(3), 419–435 (2000)
22. Gálvez, J.A., Martínez, A., Milán, F.: Complete linear Weingarten surfaces of Bryant type. A Plateau problem at infinity. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **356**(9), 3405–3428 (2004)
23. Hertrich-Jeromin, U.: *Introduction to Möbius differential geometry*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 300. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003)
24. Hertrich-Jeromin, U., Musso, E., Nicolodi, L.: Möbius geometry of surfaces of constant mean curvature 1 in hyperbolic space. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **19**, 185–205 (2001)
25. Honda, A., Izumiya, S.: The lightlike geometry of marginally trapped surfaces in Minkowski space-time. *J. Geom.* **106**(1), 185–210 (2015)
26. Kobayashi, O.: Maximal surfaces in the 3-dimensional Minkowski space L^3 . *Tokyo J. Math.* **6**(2), 297–309 (1983)
27. Musso, E., Nicolodi, L.: The Bianchi–Darboux transform of L -isothermic surfaces. *Int. J. Math.* **11**(7), 911–924 (2000)
28. Musso, E., Nicolodi, L.: Holomorphic differentials and Laguerre deformation of surfaces. *Math. Z.* **284**(3–4), 1089–1110 (2016)
29. Musso, E., Nicolodi, L.: Surfaces in Laguerre Geometry. ArXiv e-prints (February 2018)
30. Palmer, B.: Isothermic surfaces and the Gauss map. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **104**, 876–884 (1988)
31. Rossman, W., Umehara, M., Yamada, K.: Irreducible constant mean curvature 1 surfaces in hyperbolic space with positive genus. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **49**(4), 449–484 (1997)
32. Santos, S.: Special isothermic surfaces. PhD thesis, University of Bath (2008)
33. Strubecker, K.: *Differentialgeometrie des isotropen Raumes III: Flächentheorie*. *Math. Z.* **48**, 369–427 (1942)

34. Szereszewski, A.: L-isothermic and L-minimal surfaces. *J. Phys. A* **42**(11), 115203–115217 (2009)
35. Umehara, M., Yamada, K.: A parametrization of the Weierstrass formulae and perturbation of complete minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 into hyperbolic 3-space. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **432**, 93–116 (1992)
36. Weierstrass, K.T.: Untersuchungen über die Flächen, deren mittlere Krümmung überall gleich Null ist. *Monatsber. Berliner Akad.* 612–625 (1866)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.