POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Low-complexity greedy algorithm in compressed sensing for the adapted decoding of ECGs

Original

Low-complexity greedy algorithm in compressed sensing for the adapted decoding of ECGs / Marchioni, A.; Mangia, M.; Pareschi, F.; Rovatti, R.; Setti, G. - STAMPA. - 2018:(2017), pp. 1-4. (Intervento presentato al convegno 2017 IEEE Biomedical Circuits and Systems Conference, BioCAS 2017 tenutosi a Torino (Italy) nel October 19-21, 2017) [10.1109/BIOCAS.2017.8325143].

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2786597 since: 2021-09-23T13:10:58Z

Publisher: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.

Published DOI:10.1109/BIOCAS.2017.8325143

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright IEEE postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

©2017 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collecting works, for resale or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

(Article begins on next page)

Low-complexity Greedy Algorithm in Compressed Sensing for the Adapted Decoding of ECGs

Alex Marchioni[†], Mauro Mangia[‡], Fabio Pareschi^{*‡}, Riccardo Rovatti^{†‡} and Gianluca Setti^{*‡}

*ENDIF, University of Ferrara, Italy - Email: {fabio.pareschi, gianluca.setti}@unife.it

[†]DEI, [‡]ARCES, University of Bologna, Italy - Email: {alex.marchioni2, mauro.mangia2, riccardo.rovatti}@unibo.it

Abstract—The balanced weighted orthogonal matching pursuit (bWOMP) algorithm for recovering signals in compressed sensing (CS) based system is presented as a specialized recovering tool for Electrocardiograph (ECG) signals. Being based on the standard OMP approach, bWOMP is a lightweight reconstruction algorithm both in terms of complexity and memory footprint. Furthermore, the concept of weighting is introduced in the algorithm by exploring a prior knowledge on ECG signals. Experimental results show a performance increase of about 10 dB with respect to the standard OMP approach, and also an increase with respect to the decoding approaches considered as the state-of-the-art. In this case the gain could be as high as 4 dB with respect to the best of currently known decoding approaches.

I. INTRODUCTION

Compressed Sensing (CS) is a recently introduced paradigm [1] capable under some assumptions to perform a sub-Nyquist sampling, i.e., to acquire an input signal with a number of measurements smaller with respect to what expect according to its bandwidth. This interesting feature, paired with a simple sampling mechanism (projection of the input signal on a set of typically random sampling waveforms) has received increasing attention in particular in the area of biomedical signal processing. Properties of these signals, in fact, allow CS to exploit all its capabilities. A typical field of interest is given by Body Area Sensor Networks (BASNs) [2], [3] where many micro-power sensor nodes take advantage from the very simple CS-based sensing architecture. This is why, in the recent literature, efforts have concentrated on improving CS acquisition (f.i. exploiting *rakeness* $[4]^1$) and it is already possible to find many biomedical signals acquisition systems based on CS [7], [8], [9], [10].

Such as sampling advantage has a cost: the complexity of the recovery stage, which typically relies on the solution of a convex optimization problem [11]. Even if this may not be critical (decoding in BASNs is executed on a central gateway where energy is not an issue), reducing the energy required for decoding is a trending topic and many reconstruction algorithms have been proposed which allow a large reduction in the computational cost. In particular, *greedy* algorithms [12], [13] are worth mentioning, which are based on an iterative approach that generates an intermediate and approximate solution converging, with a speed varying with the algorithm, to the correct one after a number of steps.

Furthermore, some papers have recently proposed algorithms specialized over a specific class of biosignals (ECG f.i. as in [14], [15]). These approaches, exploiting some signal statistical properties, are able to boost reconstruction quality.

The aim of this paper is to propose a new specialized reconstruction algorithm, namely bWOMP, based on the standard Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP) method developed in [12], that is one of the fastest and simplest reconstruction algorithm known [16], and modified to include specialization for ECG signals. In detail, we exploit prior information on the ECG signal statistic characterization as in the the Weighted ℓ_1 Minimization (WLM) method proposed in [15]. The outcome is an algorithm that is still as fast and simple as the original OMP approach, but capable of increasing reconstruction quality with respect to OMP (in average, of 10 dB) and also when compared to WLM (in some cases up to 4 dB).

The paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the basic concepts of CS. In Section III we summarize the OMP and the WLM decoding approach, and describe the proposed bWOMP algorithm. In Section IV we show performance results for ECG signal decoding. Finally, we draw the conclusion.

II. CS FUNDAMENTALS

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an instance of a discrete-time signal, defined by its *n* Nyquist-rate samples. CS can be used to sample *x* under the following assumptions. First, let *x* be κ -sparse, i.e., a proper orthonormal *n*-dimensional *sparsity basis* $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ exists, in which any instance $x = S\xi$, where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has no more than $\kappa \ll n$ non-zero components. We refer to the κ non-zero components of ξ as the signal support. Then, let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a *sensing matrix* such that A posses properties required by CS theory [11] and B = AS.

With this, it is possible to get a representation of x by means of m < n measurements only, by means of the linear projection of x over a sensing matrix

$$y = Ax + \nu = B\xi + \nu \tag{1}$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a vector collecting the *m* measurements, and ν is an additive disturbance term used to model non-

¹One exploits the statistical features of input signals to increase signal reconstruction quality by suitably design matched acquisition sequence. This is similar to what happens in (chaos-based) DS-CDMA communication, where chip waveforms, spreading sequence statistics and rake receivers taps are jointly selected to collect (rake) as much energy as possible at the received side [5][6].

Algorithm 1 Pseudocode for OMP.

Require: $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ vector of measurements
Require: $B = AS \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ sensing operator in the sparse domain
$\hat{\xi_0} \leftarrow \text{empty vector {initial guess}}$
$r_0 \leftarrow y$ {error in reproducing measurements from initial guess}
$\Phi_0 \leftarrow \text{empty matrix}$
$i \leftarrow 1$
repeat
$j = \arg \max_{k} \langle r_{i-1}, b_k \rangle $ {look for the most correlated row of B}
$\Phi_i \leftarrow [\Phi_{i-1}^{\stackrel{\sim}{}} b_j]$
$\hat{\xi}_i = \arg\min_{\xi \in \mathcal{L} \mathbb{P}^i} \ y - B\xi_i\ _2$
$r_{1} \leftarrow u = R\hat{\xi}$, show error in reproducing measurements
$r_i \leftarrow g - D\zeta_i$ (new error in reproducing measurements)
$i \leftarrow i + 1$
until convergence
ξ is the sparse vector whose non-null elements are given by ξ_i

idealities such as the quantization error or the signal noise. The decoding stage takes the m measurements and reconstruct the input signal as $\hat{x} = S\hat{\xi}$, where $\hat{\xi}$ is the solution of the optimization problem

$$\hat{\xi} = \arg\min_{\xi} \|\xi\|_1 \quad \text{s.t. } \|B\xi - y\|_2 < \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are the standard ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 norms, and ε bounds the effects of ν . Such an approach is called basis pursuit with denoising (BPDN). In other words, $\hat{\xi}$ is found by looking at vectors ξ that solve (1) with a proper tolerance. Being an ill-posed problem, multiple solutions exists; CS states that the correct one is the sparsest [11]. Sparsity is generally promoted by the ℓ_1 norm instead of the typically computationally intractable count of non-zero components given by ℓ_0 norm.

The interest in CS is mainly due to the fact that, in order to ensure the required properties of A, it is enough to randomly draw its elements as independent and identically distributed random variables. In this case, correct reconstruction is guaranteed if $m \ge \mathcal{O}(\kappa \log(n/\kappa))$ [1].

III. SIGNAL RECOVERY TECHNIQUES

A. OMP and the Greedy Recovery Approach

Solving (2) is a computationally complex task. To allow simpler signal reconstruction, many algorithms have been proposed relying on greedy approaches that iteratively promote sparsity by observing intermediate and approximate solutions. Despite being less rigorous than any straightforward approach, they ensure a lower complexity and hence lower computational costs, with an asymptotic solution that, given some assumptions, is a very good approximation of the real one.

In this paper we consider *Orthogonal Matching Pursuit* (OMP) introduced in [12]. This is one of the most common iterative approaches, due to its low cost both in terms of complexity (i.e., energy) and memory footprint [16].

The working principle can be summarized as follows. Let us indicate with b_j the *j*-th column of B = AS, and with $\hat{\xi}_i \in \mathbb{R}^i$ and $r_i = y - B\hat{\xi}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the approximate intermediate solution at the *i*-th step and the residual error between actual measurements and that generated by $\hat{\xi}_i$, respectively. Let also **Algorithm 2** Pseudocode for bWOMP, where the differences with respect to OMP have been highlighted.

Require: $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ vector of measurements
Require: $B = AS \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ sensing operator in the sparse domain
$\hat{\xi_0} \leftarrow \text{empty vector {initial guess}}$
$r_0 \leftarrow y$
$\Phi_0 \leftarrow \text{empty matrix}$
$i \leftarrow 1$
repeat
$j = \arg \max_{k} \left (1 - \gamma) \langle r_{i-1}, b_k \rangle + \gamma \langle r_{i-1}, w_{k,k} b_k \rangle \right $
$\Phi_i \leftarrow [\Phi_{i-1} \ b_j]$
$\hat{\xi}_i = \arg\min_{\xi_i \in \mathbb{R}^i} \ y - B\xi_i\ _2$
$r_i \leftarrow y - B\hat{\xi}_i$ {new error in reproducing measurements}
$i \leftarrow i + 1$
until convergence
$\hat{\xi}$ is the sparse vector whose non-null elements are given by $\hat{\xi}_i$

be $\Phi_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times i}$ a matrix for temporary data. By pursuing $r_i \to 0$, we get the desired solution.

In detail, starting with Φ_0 an empty matrix, $\hat{\xi}_0$ an empty vector and $r_0 = y$, in each iteration OMP looks for the column of *B* that is the most strongly correlated with r_{i-1} . Indicating with *j* the index of this column, b_j is simply found by looking for the largest (in module) scalar product $\langle r_{i-1}, b_k \rangle$, $k = 1 \dots n$, or equivalently by looking for the element with largest value (in module) of the vector $B^{\top}r_{i-1}$. Then, b_j is added as the *i*-th column of the auxiliary matrix Φ_i , and $\hat{\xi}_i$ is computed as the vector that minimizes r_i as solution of a least squares problem. When convergence is achieved, $\hat{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is simply obtained as the sparse vector whose non-null entries are the elements of $\hat{\xi}_i$ taken in the correct order.

The pseudocode for OMP can be found in Algorithm 1, while a more detailed description in [12].

Interesting, from a computational point of view the OMP code could be simplified observing that r_i is always orthogonal to Φ_i . As a consequence, the least squares minimization problem in each step can be solved with marginal computational cost by using a modified Gram-Schmidt algorithm exploiting a companion system regulated by the orthonormalized matrix $\hat{\Phi}_i$ that has to be constructed step by step along with the Φ_i .

B. WLM and the Adapted Recovery Approach

Many different reconstruction algorithms specialized over a proper class of signals have been proposed so far in the literature with the aim of increasing reconstruction quality using some priors on the signal.

In this paper we focus on the Weighted ℓ_1 Minimization (WLM), that is a decoding algorithm specialized for ECGs proposed in [15] and based on prior information on ECG statistic characterization. In more detail, in the WLM approach the optimization problem (2) is replaced by

$$\hat{\xi} = \arg\min_{\xi} \frac{1}{2} \|B\xi - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \|W\xi\|_1$$
(3)

where λ is a normalization value (set to $\lambda = 0.1$ according to authors' suggestion) and W is an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix



Fig. 1. bWOMP reconstruction performance in terms of ARSNR as a function of m, for a few values of γ .



Fig. 2. bWOMP reconstruction performance in terms of ARSNR as a function of γ , for a few values of m.

whose entries are related to the probability of each column of S to be included in the support of an ECG signal [15].

The intuition is to minimize both quantities $||B\xi - y||_2^2$ (that is constrained in (2) by ε), and $||W\xi||_1$, that is the ℓ_1 norm of ξ weighted by W. The parameter λ set the trade-off between the two quantities to be minimized. As shown in [15], the approach is capable of outperforming many other known decoding approaches.

C. The Proposed bWOMP Approach

We propose in this section the balanced weighted OMP approach. The basic idea is the same as in WLM: give to components of S that are more frequently observed in signal representation a better chance to be present in the reconstructed signal, but using an iterative reconstruction algorithm as simple as OMP.

This is obtained with a very small and computationally negligible modification of the original OMP algorithm. In detail, instead of looking for the column of B that is the most strongly correlated with r_{i-1} , we introduce the weighting concept by means of a diagonal matrix W as in WLM, and compute the column of B to be added as

$$j = \arg\max_{k} |(1-\gamma)\langle r_{i-1}, b_k \rangle + \gamma \langle r_{i-1}, w_{k,k} b_k \rangle$$

where $w_{k,k}$ is the (k, k)-th elements of W, or more practically by looking at the largest elements (in module) of the vector

$$(1-\gamma)B^{\top}r_{i-1}+\gamma WB^{\top}r_{i-1}$$

where in both cases γ is a parameter regulating the importance of the W matrix in the choice. Note that $\gamma = 0$ is the standard OMP approach.

IV. RESULTS

In this section, by means of results of Montecarlo simulations, we analyze performance of the proposed bWOMP approach and compare it with that of other state-of-the-art CS reconstruction algorithms.

In all cases the simulation setting is the following. The input signal is a synthetic ECG generated as in [17], with an average heart-rate of 60 bpm, sampled at 360 Hz. The signal is then quantized using 11 bits in order to better emulate a realistic system.

The quantized ECG signal is then encoded by a CS system using n = 512 and different values of m. A is a random antipodal matrix where +1 and -1 occur with the same probability. Finally, signal has been reconstructed using the algorithms under test. The sparsity basis considered in reconstruction is the Symlet-6 wavelet basis, allowing us to use the weight matrix W computed as in [15].

As the main figure of merit to express reconstruction quality, we use Average Reconstruction SNR (ARSNR), defined as the average observed value of the reconstruction SNR

$$\text{RSNR} = 20 \log_{10} \left(\frac{\|x\|_2}{\|x - \hat{x}\|_2} \right)$$

over all the different simulated instance. Provided values of ARSNR has been computed by averaging over 500 different combinations of x and A.

Figure 1 shows the ARSNR achieved by bWOMP as a function of m for different values of γ . The case $\gamma = 0$ (OMP decoding) has been included. bWOMP shows an outstanding advantage with respect to OMP, with performance better than 10 dB and more for all values of m for which ARSNR has not reached the saturation level imposed by the input signal quantization noise. Interestingly, $\gamma = 0.125$ ensures better performance with respect to any other value.

The optimum γ value is confirmed by Figure 2, showing the ARSNR achieved by bWOMP as a function of γ for different values of m. All the plots in the figure present a nonmonotonic behavior, with a maximum achieved for $\gamma \approx 0.125$.

An example of the ECG signal reconstructed by bWOMP with $\gamma = 0.125$ and m = 140 is depicted in Figure 3, with RSNR = 42.4 dB.

Finally, we propose in Figure 4 the performance comparison between bWOMP and the decoding approaches currently



Fig. 3. Five consecutive windows of input signal (dotted line) with the corresponding reconstructed signal by bWOPM (solid line). Reconstruction refers to m = 140 that correspond to a compression ratio equal to 3.66.



Fig. 4. Performance comparison in terms of signal reconstruction quality for the basic pursuit with denoising (BPDN), block sparse Bayesian learning (BSBL), weighted ℓ_1 minimization (WLM) and weighted OMP (bWOMP) approaches.

considered as the state-of-the-art for ECG signals. In detail, we have considered the BPDN problem solved by means of the SPGL1 toolbox², and the two adapted recovery approaches BSBL and WLM. The Block Sparse Bayesian learning (BSBL) is an approach proposed in [14] that exploits the block sparsity hypothesis to correctly decode signal in ECG applications. In the comparison, the value $\gamma = 0.125$ has been used for bWOMP.

The advantage of bWOMP with respect to the standard, signal-agnostic BPDN and the adapted BSBL is remarkable. Furthermore, bWOMP also outperforms WLM. For small and large values of m there is a minor advantage, that however grows to 4 dB for intermediate m values.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper a reconstruction algorithm for CS systems, namely bWOMP, is introduced as an approach adapted for ECG signals. Being based on the iterative and greedy OMP

²online available at http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~mpf/spgl1/

algorithm, it presents low computational complexity and memory footprint. However, at the same time exploits a prior on the statistics of ECG signals similar to that used in the WLM approach. With this, bWOMP is shown to increase performance in signal decoding quality, in average, by $10 \, dB$ with respect to the standard OMP. Furthermore, performance are also improved with respect to the WLM, with an increase evaluated in up to $4 \, dB$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

One of the authors would like to thank Giorgia. Without her smiling support, this paper would not have been made possible.

REFERENCES

- D. L. Donoho, "Compressed Sensing," *IEEE Transactions on Informa*tion Theory, vol. 52, pp. 1289–1306, Apr. 2006.
- [2] A. M. R. Dixon *et al.*, "Compressed sensing system considerations for ecg and emg wireless biosensors," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, vol. 6, pp. 156–166, April 2012.
- [3] M. Mangia et al., "Zeroing for hw-efficient compressed sensing architectures targeting data compression in wireless sensor networks," *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, vol. 48, pp. 69 – 79, 2017.
- [4] —, "Rakeness-based design of low-complexity compressed sensing," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, vol. 64, pp. 1201–1213, May 2017.
- [5] R. Rovatti, G. Mazzini, and G. Setti, "Enhanced rake receivers for chaos-based ds-cdma," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, vol. 48, pp. 818–829, Jul 2001.
- [6] G. Setti, R. Rovatti, and G. Mazzini, "Performance of chaos-based asynchronous ds-cdma with different pulse shapes," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 8, pp. 416–418, July 2004.
- [7] D. Gangopadhyay *et al.*, "Compressed sensing analog front-end for biosensor applications," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 49, pp. 426–438, Feb 2014.
- [8] J. Zhang et al., "An efficient and compact compressed sensing microsystem for implantable neural recordings," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, vol. 8, pp. 485–496, Aug 2014.
- [9] M. Shoaran et al., "Compact low-power cortical recording architecture for compressive multichannel data acquisition," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, vol. 8, pp. 857–870, Dec 2014.
- [10] F. Pareschi et al., "Hardware-algorithms co-design and implementation of an analog-to-information converter for biosignals based on compressed sensing," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, vol. 10, pp. 149–162, Feb. 2016.
- [11] E. J. Candes and T. Tao, "Decoding by linear programming," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 51, pp. 4203–4215, Dec. 2005.
- [12] J. A. Tropp and A. C. Gilbert, "Signal recovery from random measurements via orthogonal matching pursuit," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 53, pp. 4655–4666, 2007.
- [13] D. Needell and J. A. Tropp, "Cosamp: Iterative signal recovery from incomplete and inaccurate samples," *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, vol. 26, pp. 301–321, 2009.
- [14] Z. Zhang *et al.*, "Compressed sensing for energy-efficient wireless telemonitoring of noninvasive fetal ecg via block sparse bayesian learning," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 60, pp. 300–309, Feb 2013.
- [15] J. Zhang *et al.*, "Energy-efficient ecg compression on wireless biosensors via minimal coherence sensing and weighted *l*₁ minimization reconstruction," *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, vol. 19, pp. 520–528, March 2015.
- [16] Y. C. Cheng, P. Y. Tsai, and M. H. Huang, "Matrix-inversion-free compressed sensing with variable orthogonal multi-matching pursuit based on prior information for ecg signals," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, vol. 10, pp. 864–873, Aug 2016.
- [17] P. E. McSharry *et al.*, "A dynamical model for generating synthetic electrocardiogram signals," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 50, pp. 289–294, March 2003.