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Objectives: To perform detailed analysis of stent expansion, vessel wall stress, hemodynamics, re-endothelialization, restenosis, and repeat PCI in the simultaneous kissing stents (SKS) technique of bifurcation left main stem (LMS) stenting.

Background: The SKS technique is useful to treat patients with true bifurcation disease of the LMS but remains controversial.

Methods and Results: Computational structural analysis of SKS expansion demonstrated undistorted and evenly expanded stents. Computational fluid dynamics modelling revealed largely undisturbed blood flow. 239 PCI procedures were performed on 217 patients with unprotected bifurcation LMS disease with SKS using DES (2004-2017). We electively studied 13 stable patients from baseline to 10 years post-SKS with repeat angiography and optical coherence tomography, and demonstrated tissue coverage of the stent struts at the carina, with no evidence of lacunae behind the stents. We studied all patients with symptomatic recurrence. Target lesion revascularization rate was 3.2% at 1 year and 4.6% at 2 years. Of all 20 patients with restenosis, the site was the LMS-Cx stent in 7, the LMS-LAD stent in 2 and both in 11. Two-year recurrence rate was 7/32 (5.3%) for first, and 4/108 (3.7%) for second generation DES. Treatment with repeat kissing techniques was undertaken in 19/20, with sustained clinical results with re-SKS.

Conclusion: The SKS technique for treating unprotected LMS bifurcation disease does not distort the stents, is associated with favorable hemodynamics, tissue coverage of the exposed struts, and a low restenosis rate when performed with contemporary stents. Re-PCI with repeat SKS appears feasible, safe, and durable.
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Abbreviations: ACS, acute coronary syndromes; ACT, activated clotting time; Atm, atmospheres (pressure); Cx, circumflex coronary artery; DES, drug-eluting stents; EXCEL, Evaluation of XIENCE Versus Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery for Effectiveness of Left Main Revascularization (EXCEL) trial; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; IQR, interquartile range; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LMS, left main stem; MACCE, major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events; NOBLE, percutaneous coronary angioplasty versus coronary artery bypass grafting in treatment of unprotected left main stenosis (NOBLE); NSTE-ACS, non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome; POBA, plain old balloon angioplasty; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; SKS, simultaneous kissing stents; SYNTAX, the synergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with Taxus and cardiac surgery (trial); TVR, target vessel revascularization; ULMS, unprotected left main stem.
1 | INTRODUCTION

With modern drug-eluting stents, intravascular imaging, physiological guidance, improved patient selection, and insight from large contemporary trials such as EXCEL and NOBLE, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is assuming an increasing role in the treatment of left main stem (LMS) disease [1,2].

Approximately 70% of lesions affecting the LMS involve the bifurcation. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) of such lesions is both more challenging and associated with higher risk than for those of the body or ostium of the LMS [3]. A single stent ‘provisional’ technique is the most widely used, especially if the circumflex (Cx) is large and minimally diseased, or small and nonsignificant. The results of this approach are excellent, provided crossover to a two-stent technique is avoided [4]. Unlike other bifurcations, however, the implications of losing flow to the Cx, especially when it is large and diseased, are substantial, and ‘bailout’ two-stent techniques are often less than satisfactory. A two-stent strategy with simultaneous kissing stents (SKS) for true bifurcation disease of the LMS has gained some favor, particularly in the emergency setting. The first cases of SKS were reported by Colombo [5], Teirstein [6], and Sharma [7]. We conducted preclinical and early clinical assessment in a series of 30 patients in the first-generation DES era, and confirmed its simplicity, high acute success rate, procedural speed and safety, with systematic angiographic follow-up [8]. We also provided the overall outcomes of our first 150 unselected cases [9].

The SKS procedure has previously been described in detail [7], but it remains controversial chiefly because of perceived problems related to the artificially created carina and the feasibility and approach to re-intervention.

We therefore now present a technical analysis of SKS to address those concerns, and focus on the mechanics of stent expansion, the hemodynamics, the extent of re-endothelialization of the carina, the rate and pattern of restenosis, and the feasibility and safety of repeat PCI.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Computational structural model of SKS

We replicated the SKS procedure ‘virtually’ by performing a finite element analysis of stent implantation, as previously done to investigate...
other coronary bifurcation stenting techniques [10–12]. Two Resolute Integrity™ stents (Medtronic, USA) were deployed in SKS pattern in an idealized geometry comprising a 60° bifurcation measuring 4.0 mm at the LMS, 3.0 mm at the LAD and 2.5 mm at the Cx, a configuration obeying Finet’s law (Figure 1). The stent sizes were 3 × 15 mm for the LAD and 2.5 × 14 mm for the Cx. The balloon model of each stent was calibrated to comply with the pressure-diameter curve given by the manufacturer. The structural analysis focused on the geometry of the deployed stents, deformation, and areas of malapposition. Furthermore, the vessel wall stress induced by the SKS and the stent stress after SKS were evaluated.

2.2 | Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model of SKS

We constructed a CFD model based upon the structural simulations to analyze the velocity field, regions of disturbed flow and wall shear stress immediately after SKS procedure. A pulsatile CFD simulation was performed following a previously described procedure [11,13]. A typical human LMS flow waveform was applied at the inlet [14]. A flow-split of 0.6:0.4, calculated using a diameter-based scaling law [15], was imposed between the LAD and Cx. The lumen and the stents were considered rigid with a no-slip wall-boundary condition. Blood was modeled as a non-Newtonian fluid.

2.3 | Patients and setting

The Northern General Hospital, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK, is a tertiary Cardiothoracic Centre serving a population of 1.8 m people living in the North of England. It is the only PCI center in the region. Approximately 2000 PCIs are performed at NGH annually, and >70% of these cases are nonelective. We studied all patients with unprotected (ungrafted) LMS (ULMS) disease in the DES era (2004–2017). In this period, the senior operators performed 601 PCIs for ULMS disease. The only reason for avoiding SKS as a LMS bifurcation stenting technique was an exceptionally long, LMS. First generation DES were available from 2004 to 2009, and second generation DES thereafter. The SKS cohort comprised ‘all-comers’, including many in an acute setting of myocardial infarction, cardiogenic shock, and those unfit for coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. Any patient with recurrent symptoms was referred back to the senior operator.
2.4 | Optical coherence tomography of SKS

Asymptomatic patients who had previously undergone SKS over a range of time intervals were screened for inclusion in this study. Recruits had to be willing to return for re-catheterization, with no contraindications; namely frailty, peripheral vascular disease, renal impairment, anticoagulation, a bleeding tendency or inability to tolerate lying down. Local Ethics Committee approval was obtained (STH16312; UK REC 12/YH/0010). The patients underwent repeat coronary angiography with an 8F guide catheter via the femoral artery or a 7.5F sheathless catheter via the radial artery. Angiographic images were recorded. With dual antiplatelet drug cover, and after a standard dose of heparin, a guidewire was passed down each branch of the SKS and an optical coherence tomography (OCT) catheter (Optis™, St. Jude Medical, St. Paul, MN) advanced through the SKS wherever possible. Standard pull-back imaging was then recorded through the LMS stents. The images were recorded and analyzed offline with particular attention to tissue coverage of the exposed metal carina.

2.5 | Restenosis rate and angiographic pattern

All patients with recurrent symptoms underwent repeat coronary angiography with a view to repeat PCI during the same procedure. We recorded the age, sex, clinical indication, presence of diabetes, logistic New York PCI Risk Score, logistic EuroSCORE, angiographic SYNTAX score and the original Medina classification of the LMS bifurcation. The re-catheterization technique was as described above. The pattern of restenosis was recorded as being in the LMS-LAD stent and/or the LMS-Cx stent. Patients were offered repeat revascularization whenever feasible.

2.6 | Percutaneous treatment of SKS restenosis

A guidewire was passed down each ‘limb’ of the SKS, predilatation was performed, and definitive treatment given with simultaneous expansion of balloons (drug eluting when available) or stents, aiming to increase the size of the SKS by 0.5 mm in each stent. The details of revascularization and the acute success rate were recorded. The long-term results of patients treated with re-PCI were also documented.

2.7 | Statistical analysis

Descriptive data are presented as mean [standard deviation (SD)], median [interquartile range (IQR)], or as n (%), as appropriate. Between-group differences were analyzed using Student’s t tests for continuous data and Chi-square tests for categorical data. Statistical significance was accepted at the 95% level.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Computational structural model of SKS

The results of the structural analysis of SKS are shown in Figure 1. The ‘virtual’ stents deployed normally and there were no large gaps or asymmetries between struts. In this undiseased model, there was 33% stent strut malapposition, with lacunae at the ‘virtual’ vessel carina and alongside the metallic carina between the two stents in the body of the LMS (Figure 1). The stresses in the vessel wall at the end of the procedure were moderate (Figure 1). The higher values were located at the LMS because of the vessel overexpansion caused by the simultaneous expansion of the two stents. The peak stress was localized at the Cx ostium opposite to the carina. The stresses in the stents themselves were also moderate, and located in the welds in the curved region of the LMS-Cx stent (Figure 1D). The maximum values were 795 MPa at maximum balloon expansion and 804 MPa after recoil.

3.2 | CFD model of SKS

The velocity field is shown in Figure 2. The presence of the ‘virtual’ metallic carina caused flow separation in the proximal LMS with the creation of two inner channels and an increase of the flow velocity. These two channels behaved as an extension of the two daughter
branches. A small recirculation region was present at the LCX ostium near the proximal wall. There was blood stagnation outside the metallic carina in this undiseased model. The contour map of the time-averaged wall shear stress (TAWSS) along the lumen with the corresponding area distribution is shown in Figure 2. Low TAWSS were located close to the stent struts and at the metallic carina. The percentage lumen area exposed to TAWSS lower than 0.4 Pa was 20.3% in the stented region. The median TAWSS was 0.58 Pa.

3.3 | Patients

During the period of the registry, 601 ULMS PCI procedures were performed. Thirty of these were in the BMS era and were excluded, 571 LMS procedures were performed using DES. Of these, 239 (42%) were with SKS. The remaining 332 cases comprised 289 single/provisional stents, 24 T-stents, 14 POBA, one culotte, two mini-crush and two unsuccessful procedures. Details of the 217 patients undergoing SKS for ULMS disease are shown in Table 1, and this includes 20 patients re-treated for SKS restenosis. Median follow up was 8.3 years. The mortality rate was 3.3% at 1 year and 5.2% at 2, 64% of these having originally been urgent or emergency cases.

3.4 | OCT analysis

An OCT catheter was successfully deployed in all 13 patients at the following time-points in months after their index SKS procedure: 3, 4 (two cases), 5 (two cases), 6, 11, 12 (2 cases) 15, 17, 48, and 120. The catheter was successfully passed down 12/13 LADs and 12/13 Cxs. Blood clearance and good quality images were obtained in all cases. An ovoid cross-section of the stents and a flattened inter-stent carina were consistently seen. The case recorded at three months demonstrated some uncovered struts within the neocarina. Tissue covered individual struts seen at the carina in the remaining 12/13 cases, all of which were taken at four months and beyond. In 7/13 patients there was a complete layer of tissue with no fenestrations (Figures 3 and 4 and Supporting Information Video 1). The pattern of tissue development was similar in all cases; (1) tissue covered individual stent struts in all cases by four months forming a fenestrated ‘diaphragm’ between the two barrels of the SKS, (2) endothelial tissue development formed a continuous (unfenestrated) diaphragm from 4 months onwards, and (3) the complete diaphragm propagated in the direction from the neocarina towards the LMS ostium. OCT results for each case are summarized in Table 2.

3.5 | Symptomatic recurrence

Of the 217 patients who underwent SKS, the running total of clinical recurrence was 7 by 1 year (3.2%), 10 by 2 years (4.6%), and 16 by 5 years (7.4%). A further four patients re-presented between years 5 and 8. The timelines of recurrence are displayed in Figure 5 and the clinical and procedural details are presented in Table 3. For all 20 patients with recurrence, their status at the original procedure (left side of Table 3) was as follows: mean age 64.1 years, 13 male (65%), and 2 diabetic, 9 elective, and 11 nonelective. The median (IQR) estimate of in-patient mortality by the logistic EuroSCORE-1 was 2.83% (0.88-11.1%). The
mean (SD) SYNTAX score was 29.9 (12.4) and 7 patients had a SYNTAX score >32. Eight patients were unsuitable for CABG. In those with symptomatic recurrence, the SKS procedure had used first generation DES in 13 and second generation in 7. The original mean stent sizes were 3.25 × 22.21 mm in the LMS-LAD and 3.06 × 24.25 mm in the LMS-Cx. The clinical details of the 20 patients with recurrence are shown in Table 3. The mean time to presentation was 30.8 (SD 32) months. Presentation was with chronic stable symptoms in 9, urgent in 7, and as an emergency procedure in 4. The recurrence rate at 2 years for the first generation DES was 7/132 (5.3%) and for the second generation 4/108 (3.7%) (P = 0.50). The sites of restenosis were the LMS-Cx ‘barrel’ in 7/20 (35%), LMS-LAD ‘barrel’ in 2/20 (10%), and both in 11/20 (55%).

3.6 | Repeat revascularization

Of the 20 patients with clinical recurrence, one was treated with CABG because of occlusive in-stent restenosis in the right coronary artery (RCA) as well as restenosis in the SKS. The remaining 19 patients were treated with repeat PCI, which was feasible in all cases. It was possible to pass guidewires, balloons, IVUS catheters and stents through the original stents. In the early patients, simultaneous kissing balloons (SKB) were used as definitive treatment, in an attempt to avoid the placement of a second stent layer. When second generation DES became available, repeat SKS was employed. Therefore, in total, repeat SKS was performed in nine patients, SKB (drug-eluting when available) in five, and a combination of stent and balloon (SKS/B) in five. The mean stent sizes used at the second procedure were 3.2 × 22 mm in the LMS-LAD and 3.2 × 24 mm in the LMS-Cx. Examples of restenosis and repeat SKS are shown in Figures 6 and 7 and the Supporting Information Video case. One patient with active rheumatoid disease, impaired left ventricular function and severe ischemia had extensive restenosis throughout multiple stents and arteries. This patient (Case 8) died with pulmonary edema and shock during the second PCI. Of the surviving patients, re-restenosis occurred in 4/19 patients. Two cases re-represented within 1 year (cases 7 and 14). Case 7 was treated with
SKB with DEB (SKS, SKS, SKB) and case 14 was treated with SKS (SKS, SKB, SKS). Case 3 re-represented 98 months after the first recurrence and was treated with a single stent to the LAD because the LCx had become chronically occluded (SKS, SKS-B, single). Case 9 re-represented ten years after their first recurrence and was treated with SKB (SKS, SKB, SKB). None of the other patients, including those treated with repeat SKS for their initial restenosis, experienced further symptoms or problems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Time from PCI to OCT (months)</th>
<th>All struts covered?</th>
<th>Fenestrated diaphragm?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Fenestrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unfenestrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fenestrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mixed&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unfenestrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unfenestrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unfenestrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fenestrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unfenestrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unfenestrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unfenestrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>120&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unfenestrated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Cx, circumflex; ISR, in-stent restenosis; LAD, left anterior descending; LMS, left main stem; Mixed<sup>c</sup>, a complete, unfenestrated diaphragm at the carina but with fenestrations proximally towards the LMS ostia.

<sup>a</sup>Case 14 was studied ten years after re-do SKS.

<sup>b</sup>Case 15 was studied twelve months after re-do SKS.

This is the first analysis of SKS that addresses the detailed technical issues related to the sustainability of the SKS technique. Computational modelling revealed even expansion of the stents to their nominal diameter, without distortion, uneven cell opening or large gaps. This contrasts with the pattern seen in stents used with other bifurcation techniques. However, the ‘virtual’ artery was undiseased and, in the ‘real world’, with eccentric calcific plaque formation, it is common to see differential expansion at moderate pressure with any technique. Each stent in the LMS take on an oval cross-section, seen in our OCT images. We also noted that the two inner channels exhibited increased blood flow velocity in the proximal LMS. Largely undisturbed blood flow was found through the stents, with only a small recirculation zone at the ostium of the Cx [16,17]. This reflects the smooth curve between LMS and branch arteries, and contrasts with two-stent strategies with more angulation, such as ‘T’ [18,19]. The gaps in the LMS between the stents and the LMS wall matched our findings of SKS deployment in undiseased porcine arteries in our first publication [6], but have not been seen clinically, and none of our OCT images showed this. This might happen clinically in the rare case of a highly localized tight bifurcation stenosis with a long and completely normal LMS; but in such a case SKS would not be recommended.

The OCT study of re-endothelialization and healing was driven by concern about the exposed metal carina. We observed that all of the stent struts at the carina were endothelialized from 4 months onwards. Furthermore, of the 13 patients studied, seven had a carina that was completely covered with tissue without visible fenestrations. Differences in coverage of SKS may also depend on the stent type, particularly older generation DES [20]. Having previously recommended lifelong dual antiplatelet therapy for patients with SKS, with the advent of thin-strut second generation DES we now recommend a duration of 3 years.

The clinical recurrence rate in our patients was 3.2% at 1 year and 4.6% at 2 years. This compares favorably with other ‘real world’

**TABLE 2** Optical coherence tomography (OCT) findings in patients treated with simultaneous kissing stents for unprotected left main stem bifurcation disease

**FIGURE 5** Timelines for restenosis after PCI to LMS with SKS. The 20 patients with symptomatic recurrence, out of our series of 217 treated with this technique, are listed in temporal order of the index PCI. The start of the solid line indicates the date of the index PCI and the end of the line indicates the second procedure. Four cases experienced re-restenosis, here the end of the dotted line indicates the third procedure.
### TABLE 3  Characteristics of the 20 patients with symptomatic recurrence after SKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Date of SKS</th>
<th>Urgency</th>
<th>Medina</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>Euroscore (%)</th>
<th>SYNTAX</th>
<th>CABG app?</th>
<th>Stent 1</th>
<th>Stent 2</th>
<th>Months to TVR</th>
<th>Urgency</th>
<th>Location of ISR</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Stent 1</th>
<th>Stent 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>04/2005</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1,1,0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>SKS</td>
<td>PE 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>06/2005</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>SKB</td>
<td>-       -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>06/2005</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>SKS</td>
<td>Tax 2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>09/2005</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1,1,0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Cx and LAD</td>
<td>SKS</td>
<td>Tax 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11/2005</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1,1,0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>SKS</td>
<td>Tax 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>06/2006</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cx (occluded) and LAD</td>
<td>Single /SKB</td>
<td>Tax 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10/2006</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>SKS</td>
<td>ISAR 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10/2006</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>Cx and LAD</td>
<td>SKS</td>
<td>PP 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12/2006</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cx and LAD</td>
<td>SKB</td>
<td>-       -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>05/2007</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>Single/SKB</td>
<td>PP 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11/2007</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Cx and LAD</td>
<td>SKS</td>
<td>PP 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>03/2008</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1,1,0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Cx and LAD</td>
<td>SKS</td>
<td>PP 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>06/2008</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.25</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>SKB</td>
<td>-       -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>09/2008</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.13</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cx and LAD</td>
<td>SKB (DEB)</td>
<td>-       -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>08/2009</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cx and LAD</td>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>-       -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>03/2011</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1,1,0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>SKB</td>
<td>-       -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>03/2011</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cx and LAD</td>
<td>Single /SKB</td>
<td>Res 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>03/2015</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Xi</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>Single /SKB</td>
<td>-       -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>06/2015</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1,0,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cx and AD</td>
<td>SKS</td>
<td>PP 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>01/2016</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1,1,1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Em</td>
<td>Cx and LAD</td>
<td>Single/SKB</td>
<td>Onyx 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CABG app?, coronary artery bypass graft surgery potentially appropriate?; Cx, left circumflex artery; DEB, drug eluting balloon; DM, diabetes mellitus; E, emergency; End, endeavor; F, female; LAD, left anterior descending artery; M, male; PE, promus element; PP, promus premier; R, routine; RCA, right coronary artery; Res, resolute; SKB, simultaneous kissing balloons; SKS, simultaneous kissing stents; U, urgent; Tax, Taxus; TVR, target vessel revascularization.

Age at the index procedure. Stent sizes are diameters (mm). Stent 1 and 2 indicates the stents deployed to the LMS-LAD and LMS-Cx barrels, respectively. Cases are listed in the same order as shown in Figure 4. The patients’ details at the time of their first procedure are presented in the left hand side, and on the right are relevant details at the time of their second procedure.
registries, although it is difficult to make like-for-like comparison, due to heterogeneity in the clinical setting (notably the clinical risk, and the balance of acute or stable disease), the PCI technique (SKS, culotte, crush, or T-stenting), stent types (BMS or first or second-generation DES in other studies) and follow up period. Gao et al. [21] reported a TVR rate of 8.6% over 4 years in 372 bifurcation ULMS cases treated with a two-stent technique, but only 12% were SKS. In a study of 1874 patients, Chieffo et al. [22] reported a TVR rate of 15.5% over 3.5 years, although only 40% were ‘true bifurcation’ cases and only first-generation DES were included. In the SYNTAX trial, the repeat revascularization rate was 12% at 1 year and 27% at 5 years in those with isolated LMS disease [23,24]. In a separate series employing sirolimus-eluting stents (SKS 68%), the TLR rate was 38%, although it was ischemia-driven in 14% [25]. Kim et al employed sirolimus-eluting stents in 36 patients, with a target lesion revascularisation rate of 14% [26]. The DKCRUSH-III trial compared the double-kissing crush technique with a culotte strategy in bifurcation ULMS disease [27] and reported one and 2-year TVR rates of 11.1% and 17.1 for culotte stenting and 4.3% and 4.8% for DK crush. Unlike our all-comer study, DKCRUSH-III excluded patients with recent and acute myocardial infarction and severe left ventricular systolic dysfunction and those with heavy calcification at angiography.

In the 20 cases in our cohort with clinical recurrence, neointima was observed in the LMS-Cx stent in 7, the LMS-LAD stent only in 2 and both in 10. The Cx is a common site of restenosis for any two-stent technique at the LMS [25,28]. A possible explanation for the excess of Cx restenosis may be that the angulation predisposes to reduced stent expansion, wide cell opening, poor metal coverage, and reduced drug delivery on the ‘greater curve’, with (as we have demonstrated) disturbed flow on the ‘lesser curve’.

Repeat PCI as the treatment for restenosis in SKS was used as default treatment in our patients, and was feasible and acutely successful in all 19 cases undertaken. Usually the first guidewire passed uneventfully. Typically, the second guidewire required a few minutes of manipulation, or alteration of guide catheter angle, to advance correctly through the other stent. If a balloon failed to pass immediately, it was assumed that the wire had traversed a stent fenestration, so the wire was withdrawn and re-directed, allowing equipment to advance. A small wire loop was sometimes helpful. The re-PCI was then safely and effectively accomplished. The DEB balloon approach was feasible, as was repeat SKS. A summary of tips and tricks is included in the Supporting Information Appendix and a repeat SKS case is demonstrated in the Supporting Information Video 2.

This study has several limitations. First, the simulations were based upon relatively low pressure deployment into a healthy ‘virtual’ artery (nominal pressure of 9 atm). The lacunae seen at the vessel carina in the simulation were not seen in our clinical OCT study in patients who had significant plaque disease. Similarly, the constraints imposed by
diseased arteries led to the ovoid cross section with a flattened carina clearly and consistently seen in our OCT images. Second, the number of patients restudied, either electively or for symptom recurrence, was relatively small. Nevertheless, they constitute the largest group with SKS investigated systematically. Third, it is difficult to compare the results reported here with those from other registries or studies of two-stent techniques because of the high-risk case-mix. Fourth, control angiography was only performed for recurrent symptoms, so subclinical, mild, angiographic restenosis could not be documented.

5 | CONCLUSION

SKS is an effective PCI treatment for patients with disease at the LMS bifurcation who require a two-stent approach, and is particularly useful in the emergency situation. The stents are deployed with minimal distortion, the blood flow pattern is favorable, the struts of the neocarina become covered with tissue either individually or in a continuous sheet, the clinical restenosis rate is low, and re-PCI is safe and feasible with a repeat SKS approach.
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APPENDIX

1. Video upload: OCT of SKS
2. Video upload: Repeat SKS case angiography.
3. Simultaneous Kissing Stents: Clinical Tips and Tricks