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When a local and attractive potential is quenched in a nanowire, the spectrum changes its topology from a purely continuum to a continuum and discrete portion. We show that, under appropriate conditions, this quench leads to stable coherent oscillations in the observables’ time evolution. In particular, we demonstrate that ballistic nanowires with spin-orbit coupling (SOC) exposed to a uniform magnetic field are especially suitable to observe this effect. Indeed, while in ordinary nanowires the effect occurs only if the strength $U_0$ of the attractive potential is sufficiently strong, even a weak value of $U_0$ is sufficient in SOC nanowires. Furthermore, in these systems coherent oscillations in the spin sector can be generated and controlled electrically by quenching the gate voltage acting on the charge sector. We interpret the origin of this phenomenon, analyze the effect of variation of the chemical potential and the switching time of the quenched attractive potential, and address possible implementation schemes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The race for quantum technologies requires the real-time manipulation of quantum systems to be performed in a controlled way and as rapidly as possible. The analysis of out-of-equilibrium dynamics thus plays a crucial role in view of the so-called quantum supremacy. In particular, when a quantum system is sufficiently well isolated from dissipative baths and one or more of its parameters are varied over time, [1–3] a quantum quench is performed. Quantum quenches can be either global or local. While in the former case the quench parameters extend over the whole system so that an extensive amount of energy is injected into the system [4–10], in the latter case the quench is localized in a limited portion of the system, such as single sites in lattice models or resonant levels coupled to one-dimensional systems [11–24]. Lately, the analysis of local quenches has attracted much interest in view of the long-time crossover between different phases and of the entanglement entropy [14,18,20–22].

When a quantum quench is performed, the crucial question is whether the isolated system eventually reaches a steady state and, if so, which one and how. The eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) [25] states that a generic isolated quantum system does relax to a steady state that is independent of the initial state and is well described by standard statistical mechanics. There are, however, relevant cases where the time evolution deviates from such behavior. In integrable systems [26], for instance, the steady limit of local observables is described in terms of a generalized Gibbs ensemble (GGE) [4,9,27–34] that can be constructed out of the local conserved quantities of the system. This enables one to predict the long-time behavior of quenched integrable systems even in the presence of nonequilibrium quantum phase transitions [35,36]. Another relevant situation where ETH does not hold is in interacting disordered systems, due to the mechanism of many-body localization [5,37–39]: Energy exchange among localized states becomes suppressed and thus the system retains local memory of the pre-quench state. The out of equilibrium dynamics after a quench is thus no trivial question to answer.

There exist two main platforms where quantum quenches can be implemented and investigated. Cold atom systems are considered an excellent example, as they can be effectively decoupled from the environment and their parameters can be controlled in real time with high accuracy, typically by means of lasers [40–44]. However, condensed matter systems are more straightforwardly integrated with conventional electronics, and offer the possibility to analyze transport properties in a quite natural way and to realize a quench electrically, e.g., by simply varying the gate voltage of a nearby metallic gate electrode. Moreover, condensed matter systems characterized by strong spin-orbit coupling (SOC) are also ideal candidates for spintronics [45–49], as information encoded in the spin degrees of freedom can be manipulated electrically.

In this context, Rashba SOC nanowires (NWs), such as InSb [50–53] or InAs [54–58] NWs, have recently attracted a lot of interest, due to their peculiar properties. When a magnetic field is applied along the NW axis, the electronic states inside the thereby created magnetic gap mimic quite well the helical edge states of quantum spin Hall effect [59–61], as electrons propagating in opposite directions along the NW also have opposite spin orientations. Furthermore, when a superconducting film is deposited on top of a SOC NW, the two ends of the NW exhibit the appearance of Majorana modes, i.e., quasiparticles that are equal to their antiparticles and that have nontrivial braiding properties, which make them ideal candidates for quantum computational purposes [51,62–70]. So far most of these investigations have focused on
effects of periodic driving have been discussed [76], whereas discuss the space evolution of these oscillations along the NW. We describe this phenomenon in detail and also Fig. 1), directly generates persistent oscillations in the spin gate voltage switch in a nearby finger gate (as sketched in the charge degree of freedom, performed, e.g., simply by a of the potential strength for the coherent oscillations to emerge Indeed the interplay between spin and charge degrees of freedom has two important implications. First, the threshold give rise to at least three levels. arised when only two discrete levels are present, and only rules may forbid the dynamical coupling between two discrete energy levels—this is the case, for instance, when the system is initially prepared in the many-body ground state, filling up all levels up to a given chemical potential value $\mu$. At the time $t = 0$ an attractive potential $U$, localized over a lengthscale $\lambda_U$ around the origin $x = 0$, and characterized by a potential strength $U_0$, is suddenly switched on. The Hamiltonian describing the system thus reads

$$H(t) = \frac{p_x^2}{2m^*} - \mu + \theta(t)U(x),$$

where $p_x = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ is the momentum operator, $\mu$ the chemical potential, and $\theta$ indicates the Heaviside function. As a definite example one can consider an attractive Gaussian profile $U(x) = -U_0 \exp[-x^2/(2\lambda_U^2)]$. In the presence of such localized potential, the system loses the translational invariance, and the wave vector $k$ is no longer a good quantum number. In addition to the continuum part of the spectrum, some discrete energy levels \(\epsilon_1 < \ldots < \epsilon_N\) appear after the quench, whose number $N$ depends on the values of $U_0$ and $\lambda_U$. If the attractive potential is spatially even, $U(x) = U(-x)$, like the Gaussian one, the discrete energy levels $\epsilon_n$ have an alternating spatial parity $p_n = (-1)^{n+1}$ ($n = 1, \ldots, N$) the ground state being even ($p_1 = +1$). Furthermore, in an ordinary NW, each level has a trivial twofold spin degeneracy $s = \pm$.

The post-quench electron field operator with spin $s$ thus consists of a superposition of the continuum ($c$) states $\phi_{c,s}(x)$—with $c$ a continuum index—and the $N$ discrete localized states $\chi_{n,s}(x)$, both equipped with the related fermionic creation operators $\gamma_s^\dagger$,

$$\psi_s^\dagger(x) = \int dc \phi_{c,s}(x) \gamma_{c,s} + \sum_n \chi_{n,s}(x) \gamma_{n,s}^\dagger.$$

The time evolution of any NW observable depends on all combinations of these two types of components. Let us
consider, for instance, the time evolution of the charge density expectation value, given by
\[
\rho(x,t) = \langle \Psi^\dagger(x,t) \Psi(x,t) \rangle_0 = \sum_s \langle \psi^s_0(x,t) | \psi^s_0(x,t) \rangle_0,
\]
(3)
where \( \langle \ldots \rangle_0 \) denotes the quantum average on the pre-quench ground state of \( H(t < 0) \), while the time evolution is governed by \( H(t > 0) \). Since the NW Hamiltonian is diagonal in spin, the two spin sectors simply decouple and the density consists of three terms,
\[
\rho(x,t) = \sum_s \left[ \rho^\text{cc}(x,t) + \rho^\text{dc}(x,t) + \rho^\text{dd}(x,t) \right],
\]
(4)
where
\[
\rho^\text{cc}(x,t) = \int dc dc' \phi^*_{c,c'}(x) \phi_{c',c}(x) e^{i[\varepsilon(c') - \varepsilon(c)]t} C(c,c'),
\]
\[
\rho^\text{dc}(x,t) = \sum_n \int dc \chi^*_{n,c}(x) \phi_{c,x}(x) e^{i[\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon(c)]t} B_n(n,c'),
\]
\[
\rho^\text{dd}(x,t) = \sum_{n,n'} \chi_{n,c}(x) \chi_{n',c'}(x) e^{i[\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n'}]t} A_n(n,n'),
\]
and
\[
A_n(n,n') = \langle \gamma^\dagger_{n',c'} \gamma_{n,c} \rangle_0,
\]
(5)
\[
B_n(n,c) = \langle \gamma^\dagger_n \gamma_{x,c} \rangle_0,
\]
(6)
\[
C(c,c') = \langle \gamma^\dagger_{x,c} \gamma_{x,c'} \rangle_0.
\]
(7)

Since the ground state of the pre-quench Hamiltonian \( H(t < 0) \) is not an eigenstate of the post-quench Hamiltonian \( H(t > 0) \), the expectation values of the post-quench bilinears over the pre-quench ground state, Eqs. (5), (6), and (7), are in general nonvanishing, and so are \( \rho^\text{dc}(x,t) \) and \( \rho^\text{cc}(x,t) \) and \( \rho^\text{dd}(x,t) \). In particular, the term \( \rho^\text{cc}(x,t) \) describes the usual “light cone effect” observed in quenches [19]: two counter-propagating dispersive density bumps originating from \( x = 0 \) travel as a wave, transferring the quench information along the NW. Because this term contains an infinite number of phase factors oscillating in time with a continuum of frequencies \( \varepsilon(c') - \varepsilon(c)/\hbar \), in the long time behavior a dephasing of all \( c \neq c' \) components occurs, and \( \rho^\text{cc}(x,t) \) saturates to the value given by the diagonal terms \( c = c' \) only, \( \rho^\text{cc}(x,t) \rightarrow \rho^\text{cc}(x) \).

The term \( \rho^\text{dc}(x,t) \) represents the mixed discrete-continuum contribution. Similarly to the previous term, it also contains a continuum of frequencies, depending on the energy separation between each discrete level with the whole set of continuum states, which dephase causing damped oscillations. In the long time limit this term eventually vanishes [78]. Finally, the term \( \rho^\text{dd}(x,t) \), related to the discrete states localized near the attractive potential, can be rewritten as \( \rho^\text{dd}(x,t) \rightarrow \rho^\text{dd}(x,t) + \delta \rho^\text{dd}(x,t) \). While the first term, defined as \( \rho^\text{dd}(x,t) = \sum_n A_n(n,n) \chi^*_{n,c}(x) \chi_{n,c}(x) \), and stemming from the diagonal contribution \( n = n' \), is time independent and yields a steady term to the density, the second term, defined as
\[
\delta \rho^\text{dd}(x,t) = \sum_{n \neq n'} \chi_{n,c}(x) A_n(n,n') \chi_{n',c}(x) e^{i[\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n'}]t} / \hbar,
\]
(8)
oscillates in time. In sharp contrast to the previous time-dependent terms discussed above, which involve a continuum set of frequencies and eventually dephase in the long time limit, the term \( \delta \rho^\text{dd}(x,t) \) only involves a few frequencies \( (\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n'})/\hbar \) related to the finite energy differences between the discrete bound states. As a consequence, such a term describes a localized density perturbation that coherently oscillates without any dephasing and survives after the “light cone” has propagated away from the attractive potential.

From the very structure of the term in Eq. (8), one can see that a necessary condition for the effect to arise is that the potential strength \( U_0 \) must be strong enough to give rise to at least \( N = 2 \) nondegenerate levels. There are, however, selection rules that further increase the actual minimal number of levels. Indeed in a realistic case where the attractive potential is created by a finger gate voltage, it is reasonable to assume that such potential is well described by a spatially even attractive potential \( U(x) = U(-x) \), like the Gaussian one mentioned above. Then, since any two consecutive discrete states have opposite parity, they cannot be coupled to each other and the effect vanishes. This can also be seen in a more hand-waving way, e.g., at the origin \( x = 0 \) by realizing that, if only two levels are present, Eq. (8) vanishes, since the second bound state wave function \( \chi_{2,s} \) has a node at \( x = 0 \). Therefore, in order for the coherent oscillations to emerge from Eq. (8), one needs \( A_n(n,n') \neq 0 \) with \( n \neq n' \) and equal parity \( (\rho_{pp'} = +1) \). Since the parity of bound states \( \varepsilon_n \) alternates with \( n \), the actual realistic minimal required number of discrete levels is \( N = 3 \). Thus, there is a threshold on the impurity strength \( U_0 \) to induce this effect.

These arguments are illustrated in Fig. 2 for the case of a quenched Gaussian attractive potential centered around the origin over a lengthscale \( \lambda_U = 150 \) nm. The three panels show the post-quench time evolution of the density \( \rho(0,t) \) at the origin \( x = 0 \), for three different values of the Gaussian potential strength \( U_0 \), normalized to the constant pre-quench density \( \rho_{pre} = \rho(x,t < 0) \). Figure 2(a) displays the case of a weak potential \( U_0 = 0.15 \) meV, generating only one bound state. As can be seen, the density exhibits strongly damped oscillations in the transient and, in the long time limit, the density approaches a steady state. Even when the attractive potential strength is increased to the value \( U_0 = 0.30 \) meV, where two bound states are present [see Fig. 2(b)], the same qualitative behavior occurs, as the two states are decoupled by parity selection rules. However, for the stronger value \( U_0 = 0.6 \) meV displayed in Fig. 2(c), three bound states appear, and the density exhibits stable oscillations, with a period \( \tau = 2\pi \hbar / \Delta \varepsilon \approx 10 \) ps which corresponds to the inverse energy separation \( \Delta \varepsilon = 0.4 \) meV between the first and the third bound states sharing the same parity \( p = +1 \).

To summarize, the appearance of the density oscillations discussed here is due to (i) the fact that the pre-quench state is a complex excitation of the post-quench Hamiltonian, and (ii) the presence of various discrete energy levels corresponding to bound states, which effectively have an “internal dynamics” characterized by a few frequencies and decoupled from the continuum states, which instead exhibit a long time dephasing.
attractive potential, it will remain so even after the quench, and the polarization will be constantly equal to 1 at any time. More in general, in conventional NWs exposed to a uniform magnetic field the spin polarization components that are orthogonal to the magnetic field direction will always remain vanishing even after the quench. In the following sections we shall show that the situation is quite different in a SOC NW, where the interplay between SOC and a uniform magnetic field generates an effectively inhomogeneous magnetic field that couples the states.

III. SPIN-ORBIT COUPLED NANOWIRES

While in the previous section we have outlined the general idea underlying the emergence of long-living coherent oscillations, in this section we show that SOC NWs are ideal to observe such effect.

A. The model

Spin-orbit coupled NWs, such as InSb and InAs, are characterized by a strong spin-orbit coupling, mainly arising from structural inversion asymmetry due to the underlying substrate, which leads the electron flowing along the NW to experience an effective ‘magnetic field’. The NW Hamiltonian can be written as $\hat{H} = \int dx \bar{\Psi}^\dagger(x)H\Psi(x)$, where $\bar{\Psi}(x) = (\psi_\uparrow(x), \psi_\downarrow(x))^T$ denotes the electron spinor field, and $\uparrow, \downarrow$ correspond to spin projections along positive and negative spin-orbit effective “magnetic” field direction. Here below we shall describe the pre- and the post-quench single-particle Hamiltonian operator $H$.

Pre-quench Hamiltonian. Before switching the attractive potential the NW Hamiltonian is

$$H_0 = \left( \frac{\mu^2}{2m_e} - \mu \right) c_0 - \frac{\alpha}{\hbar} p_z \sigma_z - h_s \sigma_x,$$  

where $\alpha$ is the coupling constant of the Rashba spin-orbit “effective magnetic field” and $z$ its direction, while $h_s > 0$ is the Zeeman energy related to an actual external magnetic field directed along the NW axis $x$. Finally, $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ are Pauli matrices and $c_0$ the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix.

The spin-orbit term and the magnetic field lead to a splitting of the otherwise degenerate bands of an ordinary NW, so that the spectrum of $H_0$ consists of two bands $\nu = \pm$.

$$\varepsilon_{\pm}(k) = \frac{\mu^2 k^2}{2m_e} \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_k^2 + \alpha^2 k^2}. \quad (10)$$

In particular, while the upper band ($\nu = +$) always has only one minimum $\varepsilon_{+\min} = h_s$ at $k = 0$, the structure of the lower band ($\nu = -$) strongly depends on the relative weight of the Zeeman energy $h_s$ and the spin-orbit energy,

$$E_{\text{SO}} = \frac{m_0^* \alpha^2}{2\hbar^2}. \quad (11)$$

Explicitly, one finds [72]

$$\varepsilon_{-\min} = \begin{cases} -h_s & \text{at } k = 0 \quad \text{if } E_{\text{SO}} < \frac{\hbar}{2} \\ -E_{\text{SO}} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4E_{\text{SO}}} & \text{at } k = \pm k_{\text{min}} \quad \text{if } \frac{\hbar}{2} < E_{\text{SO}} \end{cases}, \quad (12)$$

Effects of a uniform magnetic field

As discussed above, the coherent oscillation effect arises only if the strength $U_0$ of the attractive potential overcomes the threshold needed to generate at least $N = 3$ localized levels. One may naively think of effectively reducing this threshold by applying a uniform magnetic field, which would lift the spin degeneracy ($\varepsilon_{+} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{+\uparrow}, \varepsilon_{+\downarrow}$) and thereby double the number of the localized states. However, because the quench potential purely acts in the charge channel, in a conventional NW lacking of any internal spin structure each spin species is dynamically decoupled from the other. Thus, if the coherent oscillation effect is not present in the absence of a magnetic field, it cannot be induced by its application. As an illustrative example, if the magnetic field is applied, e.g., along the NW axis and the chemical potential is set to $\mu = 0$, so that the higher Zeeman split band is empty before quenching the density at the origin (center of the quenched potential) normalized band of the pre-quench Hamiltonian is shown as a guide to the eye.

Three different values of the potential strength: (a) $U_0 = 0.15$ meV, (b) $U_0 = 0.30$ meV, and (c) $U_0 = 0.60$ meV. The left panels show the corresponding number of localized bound states, the color denoting the parity (blue for $p = +1$ and red for $p = -1$). The parabolic band of the pre-quench Hamiltonian is shown as a guide to the eye. The right panels display the corresponding behavior of the electron density at the origin (center of the quenched potential) normalized to its pre-quench value $\rho_{\text{pre}}$; the left panels depict schematically the energy of the bound states per spin band and the parity $p_n$ (blue for $+1$, cyan for $-1$).

FIG. 2. The case of a conventional NW after the quench of an attractive Gaussian potential with $h_{\text{SO}} = 150$ nm, $\mu = 0.2$ meV, and three different values of the potential strength: (a) $U_0 = 0.15$ meV, (b) $U_0 = 0.30$ meV, and (c) $U_0 = 0.60$ meV. The left panels show the corresponding number of localized bound states, the color denoting the parity (blue for $p = +1$ and red for $p = -1$). The parabolic band of the pre-quench Hamiltonian is shown as a guide to the eye. The right panels display the corresponding behavior of the electron density at the origin (center of the quenched potential) normalized to its pre-quench value $\rho_{\text{pre}}$; the left panels depict schematically the energy of the bound states per spin band and the parity $p_n$ (blue for $+1$, cyan for $-1$).
i.e., while for strong magnetic field there is only one minimum at \( k = 0 \), for weaker magnetic field two degenerate minima are present at \( k = \pm k_{\text{min}} \) where \( k_{\text{min}} = k_{\text{SO}} (1 - h^2/4E_{\text{SO}}^2)^{1/2} \) and \( k_{\text{SO}} = m^*|\alpha|/\hbar^2 \) is the spin-orbit wave vector. In particular, in the SOC dominated regime \( (E_{\text{SO}} \gg \hbar/2) \) one has \( \epsilon_{\text{min}} \approx -E_{\text{SO}} \) and \( k_{\text{min}} \approx k_{\text{SO}} \). The spin-orbit wave vector \( k_{\text{SO}} \) also determines the spin-orbit length scale over which spin precesses,

\[
l_{\text{SO}} = k_{\text{SO}}^{-1} = \frac{\hbar^2}{m^*|\alpha|}. \tag{13}
\]

Post-quench Hamiltonian. When the attractive potential \( U \) localized around the origin is quenched, the Hamiltonian,

\[
H = H_0 + H'(t), \tag{14}
\]

acquires the additional term,

\[
H'(t) = f(t)U(x)\sigma_0, \tag{15}
\]

where \( f(t) \) is a generic dimensionless function, increasing from 0 to 1 and describing the quench protocol. While most results will be given in Sec. IV for the case of a sudden quench, \( f(t) = 0 (t) \), in Sec. IV E we shall explicitly discuss the effect of a finite switching time in the quench protocol. Concerning the spatial shape \( U(x) \) of the attractive potential, we assume like in the previous section that it extends over a lengthscale \( \lambda_U \) around the origin, and that it is characterized by a maximal strength \( U_0 \).

### B. Symmetries

We observe that various discrete symmetries are broken in the pre-quench Hamiltonian \( H_0 \); the spin-orbit term breaks both parity \( \mathcal{P} \) and \( \sigma_x \)-spin symmetries, whereas the magnetic field breaks time-reversal symmetry. However, it is straightforward to verify that the product \( \Lambda = \mathcal{P}\sigma_x \) still commutes with the pre-quench Hamiltonian, \( [H_0, \Lambda] = 0 \). Furthermore, if the potential is spatially even, \( U(x) = U(-x) \), also the post-quench Hamiltonian commutes with the operator \( \Lambda \). In this case a selection rule thus exists: Because this operator has eigenvalues \( \lambda = \pm 1 \), subspaces characterized by different values of \( \lambda \) are completely decoupled even when the local attractive potential is quenched.

### C. Density matrix approach

In order to investigate the dynamical evolution of observables, such as charge and spin densities, we adopted a simulation strategy based on the single-particle density-matrix formalism, successfully employed for the investigation of energy relaxation and decoherence phenomena in semiconductors quantum nanodevices \[79\] as well as in carbon-based materials \[80\]. More specifically, labeling by \( \beta \) the eigenstates of the pre-quench Hamiltonian \( H_0 \) and by \( \epsilon_\beta \) the corresponding energy levels, for any single-particle quantity described by the operator \( \hat{A} \) its average value can be written as

\[
A(t) = \sum_{\beta_1\beta_2} \rho_{\beta_1\beta_2}(t) A_{\beta_1\beta_2}, \tag{16}
\]

where

\[
\rho_{\beta_1\beta_2}(t) = \langle \psi_{\beta_2}^\dagger(t) \psi_{\beta_1}(t) \rangle \tag{17}
\]

is the usual single-particle density matrix expressed in terms of corresponding creation and annihilation operators acting on the generic eigenstate \( \beta \). The time evolution of the single-particle density matrix in \( (17) \) is obtained by solving the Liouville-Von Neumann equation,

\[
\frac{d \rho_{\beta_1\beta_2}}{dt} = \frac{\epsilon_{\beta_2} - \epsilon_{\beta_1}}{i\hbar} \rho_{\beta_1\beta_2} + \left( \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_\beta H'_{\beta_1\beta_2}\rho_{\beta_1\beta_2} + \text{H.c.} \right), \tag{18}
\]

where \( H'_{\beta_1\beta_2} \) denote the matrix entries of the quench Hamiltonian Eq. \( (15) \) in the pre-quench basis \( \beta \). In the case under investigation, the pre-quench Hamiltonian is translationally invariant and can be diagonalized exactly. The quantum label \( \beta = (k, \nu) \) thus consists of the plane-wave vector and the upper/lower band index \( \nu = \pm \), the eigenvalues are given in Eq. \( (10) \), and the eigenvectors are \[72\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\cos \theta_k &= \frac{\alpha k}{\sqrt{(\alpha k)^2 + h_z^2}} \\
\sin \theta_k &= \frac{h_z}{\sqrt{(\alpha k)^2 + h_z^2}}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then, the Liouville–Von Neumann Eq. \( (18) \) is solved numerically via a fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme. To this aim, we adopt a uniform discretization of the wave vector \( k \), which amounts to introducing a periodic-boundary-condition scheme with a characteristic length \( L \); in turn, this determines the existence of a “revival time” \( \tau_r = 2L/v_F \) for the excitation to turn around the effective “ring,” where \( v_F \) is the Fermi velocity of the system (in our case \( v_F \sim 10^7 \text{ m/s} \)). To get rid of these spurious effects, we have taken \( L \) much longer than a realistic NW length \( (L \approx 16 \mu \text{m}) \) and we have performed simulation for time scale shorter than such recursion time \( \tau_r \approx 400 \text{ ps} \).

Finally, as a model for the spatial profile for the quench potential in Eq. \( (15) \) we adopt the Gaussian profile,

\[
U(x) = -U_0 \text{e}^{-x^2/2\lambda_U^2}, \tag{21}
\]

centered around the origin, extending over a lengthscale \( \lambda_U \), and with a typical strength \( U_0 \) already considered above.

### IV. RESULTS FOR SOC NANOWIRES

In this section we present our results about SOC NWs. Our analysis shows that there are two main reasons why SOC NWs are ideal candidates to observe the effect of long-living coherent oscillations. The first one is that the effect arises already for much lower values of \( U_0 \) than in ordinary NWs. The second one is that, despite the quench potential is applied on the charge sector, the SOC also transfers the effect to the spin sector, so that coherent oscillations in spin density components arise, which are absent in ordinary NWs. We
explicitly illustrate these features here below in Sec. IV B for the case of a sudden quench, while in Sec. IV C we explain why SOC leads to these advantageous features. Then, in Sec. IV D and Sec. IV E we discuss the effects of the chemical potential and a ramp with a finite switching time.

A. Numerical values

For definiteness, we shall focus on the case of InSb NWs [50–53], with \( m^* = 0.015m_e \) (with \( m_e \) the electron mass), and consider a typical spin-orbit energy \( E_{SO} = 0.25 \) meV, which corresponds to a \( \lambda_{SO} \approx 100 \) nm [81]. We assume that the external magnetic field applied along the NW axis yields a Zeeman energy \( h_\theta = 0.2 \) meV. Concerning the parameters of the Gaussian profile (21) we take a lengthscale \( \lambda_{GH} = 150 \) nm and a strength \( U_0 = 0.15 \) meV. The pre-quench state is the thermal equilibrium state at low temperature \( T = 250 \) mK and \( \mu = 0 \) (corresponding to the middle of the magnetic gap), unless otherwise specified.

B. Sudden quench

We start by discussing the case of a sudden quench, where the quench protocol function of Eq. (15) is \( f(t) = \theta(t) \).

Charge density. In Fig. 3 the time evolution of the charge density \( \rho(0,t) \) at the origin \( x = 0 \) (center of the localized potential) is shown, normalized to the constant pre-quench value \( \rho_{pre} \), for a SOC NW (solid curves) and two different values of \( E_{SO} \), compared to the case of a conventional NW (dashed curve) where all other parameters except the SOC are the same. For the value \( U_0 = 0.15 \) meV of the potential strength, a conventional NW only has one bound state per spin channel and the oscillations are damped down rapidly due to dephasing, as discussed in Sec. II. In contrast, even for a rather weak value of \( E_{SO} = 0.05 \) meV and the same value of \( U_0 \) the SOC NW—thin red solid curve—exhibits long-living coherent oscillations. Further increasing \( E_{SO} \)—thick black solid curve—results in oscillations with larger amplitude. Let us focus on the latter case. Indeed four bound states are found in the SOC NW, whose energies are schematically depicted in Fig. 4(a). The NW bands before the quench have been added as a guide to the eye. As one can see, three bound states, which we shall denote as \( A, B, C \), lie below the lower band, while a fourth state \( D \) is located below the upper band and inside the magnetic gap. The related density profile \( |\chi_i(x)|^2 \) of the bound states is plotted in Fig. 4(b). While the bound states \( A, D \) and \( D \) have a bell shape centered at the origin, \( B \) and \( C \) exhibit two sharp lateral maxima. The eigenvalue \( \lambda \) of the operator \( \Lambda = \hat{P}\hat{\sigma}_z \), shown in Fig. 4(a), emphasizes the selection rule imposed by such discrete symmetry: When the quench is performed only pairs of bound states with the same \( \lambda \) can get coupled. The energy scales associated with the two transitions \( A \leftrightarrow C \) and \( B \leftrightarrow D \) identify two time periods: The shorter one \( \tau_{BD} = 2\pi \hbar/(\epsilon_D - \epsilon_B) \approx 7.8 \) ps is the period of the oscillations of the solid curve of Fig. 3, while the smaller bound state energy difference determines the longer period \( \tau_{AC} = 2\pi \hbar/(\epsilon_C - \epsilon_A) \approx 40 \) ps associated with an envelope of the oscillations and is hardly visible in the density behavior shown in Fig. 3.
Spin polarization. Let us now turn to the spin polarization, defined as

\[ \mathbf{P}(x) = \frac{\langle \Psi | \sigma \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} = \frac{s(x)}{\rho(x)} \quad |\mathbf{P}| \leq 1. \]  

The time evolution of \( P_x \) (the component along the external magnetic field), shown at \( x = 0 \) in the solid curve of Fig. 5(a), also exhibits oscillations, again characterized by a period \( \tau_{BD} \approx 7.8 \) ps. For comparison, the dashed curve in Fig. 5(a) shows the behavior of \( P_x \) for a conventional NW exposed to the same external magnetic field and quench potential: In that case the polarization is locked to 1 at any time and does not exhibit any oscillation. This is because for these parameter values the spin-↓ band is empty, and in a conventional NW the quench potential cannot cause any coupling between the two spin sectors.

Concerning the components \( P_y \) and \( P_z \) of the spin polarization, they turn to be odd functions of the \( x \) coordinate, so that they exist away from the origin, as shown in Figs. 5(b) and 5(c) at a position \( x = 200 \) nm. Besides the coherent oscillations with a short period \( \tau_{BD} \approx 7.8 \) ps, also the longer envelope period \( \tau_{MC} \approx 40 \) ps becomes visible. Importantly, the existence of nonvanishing spin polarization components \( P_y \) and \( P_z \) that are orthogonal to the externally applied magnetic field is again a hallmark of the SOC, since in conventional NWs these components are strictly vanishing, regardless of the value of the initial chemical potential \( \mu \). To provide a thorough overview of the space and time dynamics, we have plotted in Fig. 6 a colormap of the three components of the spin polarization (22). The “light-cone” effect departing from the origin can be clearly observed in the \( P_x \) and the \( P_z \) components (dashed lines are meant as a guide to the eye) and is due to the fact that, when the attractive potential is quenched, electrons from the whole nanowire first tend to move towards the origin to fill up the thereby created potential dip. However, the analysis of the spin polarization provides further interesting insights. Indeed the light cones are spatially even in \( P_x \), while they are spatially odd for \( P_z \). This can be accounted for by recalling that in the equilibrium pre-quench state the spin polarization of each electron lies in the \( x-z \) plane, forming an angle \( \theta_k \) with the \( z \)-polarization axis [see Eq. (20)].

The spin polarization component \( P_x \) is determined mainly by the magnetic field \( h_x \), and is independent of the electron group velocity, whereas the \( P_z \) component is mainly determined by the SOC and depends on the sign of the momentum \( k \). In particular, in the case displayed in Fig. 6, where the Fermi level lies in the middle of the magnetic gap, the spin component along \( z \) is positive for right-moving electrons and negative for left-moving electrons. Thus, when electrons move towards the origin from the two sides after the potential quench, they carry equal spin polarization component \( P_x \) but opposite spin polarization component \( P_z \). This behavior, similar to the phenomenon of photoexcitation in quantum spin Hall edge states [82], explains the different colors of the “light cones” displayed in Figs. 6(a) and 6(c).

Besides such effect, one also clearly observes the oscillatory dynamics taking place around the origin, in the vicinity of the quenched potential. The \( P_z \) component, for instance,
periodically alternates between a polarization dip around \( x = 0 \) and two lateral peaks located symmetrically with respect to the origin. The temporary dips further attract electrons located away from the origin, thereby creating a sort of secondary light cones with evanescent amplitude. A similar behavior is observed in the \( P_y \) and \( P_z \) components, which are spatially odd around the origin, though. Importantly, the \( P_x \) component, which is completely absent in the pre-quench equilibrium state, is a purely out-of-equilibrium effect induced by the quench. It mainly varies in the vicinity of the applied quench potential, with bumps of opposite signs on the two sides of the origin, a clear hallmark of the lateral peaks of the localized wave functions B and C (see Fig. 4). In general, as compared to the electron density, the polarization exhibits more interesting features. This is because the electron density oscillations are strongly determined by the selection rule forbidding coupling between bound states with different eigenvalue of \( \lambda \), while the intrinsic spinorial nature characterizing the polarization allows coupling between different \( \lambda \)’s as well.

C. Interpretation of the results

When the attractive potential is quenched, bound states can appear below both the minima of the two NW bands, opening up the possibility for the coherent oscillation effect to emerge. However, as shown in Fig. 3, in SOC NWs the effect appears already for much smaller values of the attractive potential strength \( \alpha_0 \) as compared to the conventional NWs. In order to illustrate why this is the case, it is first worth showing the following property: the interplay between the external magnetic field and the SOC effectively gives rise to an inhomogeneous magnetic field varying over the (half of the) spin-orbit lengthscale (13). Indeed by applying the following spin-dependent gauge transformation on the electron field spinor,

\[
\Psi(x) = \exp \left[ i \theta_{SO}(x) \sigma_z \right] \tilde{\Psi}(x),
\]

where \( \theta_{SO}(x) = 2 x \text{sgn}(\alpha)/l_{SO} \), the original Hamiltonian is rewritten as \( H = \int \hat{\Psi}^\dagger H \hat{\Psi} = \int \hat{\Psi}^\dagger \tilde{H} \hat{\Psi} \), where

\[
\tilde{H} = \left( \frac{p_x^2}{2m^*} - \mu' + f(t) U(x) \right) \sigma_0 - \mathbf{h}_{\text{eff}}(x) \cdot \sigma_\perp.
\]

Here \( \mu' = \mu + E_{SO} \) denotes an effective chemical potential increased by the spin-orbit energy and \( \sigma_\perp = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y) \). Thus, in the new gauge, the Hamiltonian \( \tilde{H} \) describes electrons with a customary parabolic spectrum, exposed to an effective inhomogeneous magnetic field,

\[
\mathbf{h}_{\text{eff}}(x) = h_x(\cos \theta_{SO}(x), \sin \theta_{SO}(x)),
\]

whose magnitude \( h_x \) is determined by the actual externally applied magnetic field, and whose direction rotates along the NW with a wavelength \( l_{SO}/2 \) determined by the SOC. Importantly, such wavelength is tunable because the SOC can be varied, e.g., by applying a backgate between NW and substrate.

Because a bound state typically extends over the lengthscale \( \lambda_U \) of the attractive potential, if the condition \( \lambda_U > l_{SO} \) is fulfilled, the electron spin in the bound state effective “sees” a spatially varying magnetic field rather than the uniform external magnetic field, as schematically depicted in Fig. 7(a). As a consequence, bound states that are spin orthogonal in the absence of SOC, get a finite overlap in the presence of SOC, which causes the appearance of the coherent oscillations shown in the solid curve of Fig. 3. In contrast, when \( \lambda_U \ll l_{SO} \), the electron spin inside the bound states cannot “see” the long wavelength inhomogeneity of the effective magnetic field, and it is essentially determined by the external magnetic field only, as sketched in Fig. 7(b). Their coupling tends to vanish. Moreover, a short-range potential causes a large momentum transfer, which displaces spectral weight from the bound state in the upper band to the continuum states almost degenerate to it, so that the bound states in the lower band get coupled mainly to a continuum, thereby inducing dephasing as discussed in Sec. II. Indeed the case of a conventional NW \( \alpha \to 0 \) in fact corresponds to the limit \( l_{SO} \to \infty \), where the two bound states with opposite spin are strictly orthogonal and oscillations are damped in the long time limit (see dashed curve in Fig. 3). Furthermore, the inhomogeneous magnetic field \( \mathbf{h}_{\text{eff}} \) also favors the formation of bound states, since the rotation of its direction along the NW causes a locking of the electron spin orientation to the orbital momentum. Thus, an electron attempting to escape the attractive potential must experience a change in its momentum, which in turn amounts to a spin mismatch, similarly to what happens in magnetic “domain walls.” The result is the enhancement of the electron

FIG. 6. Space-time colormap plots of the three components of the spin polarization given in Eq. (22) after a sudden quench of the impurity along (a) the \( x \) direction; (b) the \( y \) direction; and (c) the \( z \) direction. Parameters are given in Sec. IV A.
to the two bands; (ii) for a fixed value of \( U_0 \), the number of bound states increases with the SOC; (iii) it induces coherent oscillations also in spin polarization orthogonal to the actual external applied magnetic field.

In summary, our results can be interpreted in terms of the effective inhomogeneous magnetic field (25), caused by the interplay between SOC and actual magnetic field, which entails various effects: (i) It couples the bound states related to the two bands; (ii) for a fixed value of \( U_0 \), the number of bound states increases with the SOC; (iii) it induces coherent oscillations also in spin polarization orthogonal to the actual magnetic field.

**D. Effects of the chemical potential**

The results about the coherent oscillation effect shown in the previous sections have been obtained for chemical potential \( \mu = 0 \), which corresponds to the center of the gap created by the external magnetic field. Let us now address how variations of \( \mu \) affect the phenomenon. For definiteness, we shall focus on the spin polarization \( P_s \) along the NW axis, i.e., the component in the external magnetic field direction, whose time evolution is shown in Fig. 8 for different values of the chemical potential \( \mu \). To help locate the various chemical potential values with respect to the pre-quench energy band extrema and to the post-quench bound state energies—shown in Fig. 4(a)—they have also been reported here on the right.

In this work we have shown that quenching a localized attractive potential in a NW can induce coherent oscillations in the dynamics of the observables, which thus do not relax to a steady state in the long-wavelength inhomogeneity of the effective field, so that bound states are spin orthogonal: The spin selection causes the decay of the density. In particular, the limit of \( l_{SO} \to \infty \) corresponds to the case of conventional NWs.

We conclude this work by considering the effects of a finite switching time. To this purpose, we have performed calculations adopting in Eq. (15) a quench protocol,

\[
f(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \text{Erf} \left( \frac{4(t - \tau_{sw}/2)}{\sqrt{2} \tau_{sw}} \right) \right],
\]

where \( \text{Erf}(t) \) is the standard error function, and \( \tau_{sw} \) identifies the switching time of the ramp from \( f(t < 0) = 0 \) to \( f(t > \tau_{sw}) \approx 1 \). In the case of a sudden quench, corresponding to \( \tau_{sw} \to 0 \), the oscillations have a period of about 8 ps. In Fig. 9(a) we compare the electron density behavior obtained for the sudden quench to the cases of two smooth ramps with \( \tau_{sw} = 5 \text{ ps} \) and \( \tau_{sw} = 25 \text{ ps} \). One concludes that oscillations are robust as long as the switching time is shorter than the oscillation period, and their visibility is slightly reduced (see dashed red curve). Only when \( \tau_{sw} \) is much longer than such oscillation period (thin blue curve), the oscillations are strongly damped, as shown by the thin blue curve. Figure 9(b) shows the same comparison for the \( P_s \) component of the spin polarization, with similar results as the charge density.

**E. Effects of a finite switching time**

So far, we have considered the customary case of a sudden quench, where the switching time of the attractive potential is assumed to be instantaneous. We now want to analyze the effect of a finite switching time. To this purpose, we have performed calculations adopting in Eq. (15) the quench protocol,

\[
f(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \text{Erf} \left( \frac{4(t - \tau_{sw}/2)}{\sqrt{2} \tau_{sw}} \right) \right],
\]

where \( \text{Erf}(t) \) is the standard error function, and \( \tau_{sw} \) identifies the switching time of the ramp from \( f(t < 0) \) to \( f(t > \tau_{sw}) \approx 1 \). In the case of a sudden quench, corresponding to \( \tau_{sw} \to 0 \), the oscillations have a period of about 8 ps. In Fig. 9(a) we compare the electron density behavior obtained for the sudden quench to the cases of two smooth ramps with \( \tau_{sw} = 5 \text{ ps} \) and \( \tau_{sw} = 25 \text{ ps} \). One concludes that oscillations are robust as long as the switching time is shorter than the oscillation period, and their visibility is slightly reduced (see dashed red curve). Only when \( \tau_{sw} \) is much longer than such oscillation period (thin blue curve), the oscillations are strongly damped, as shown by the thin blue curve. Figure 9(b) shows the same comparison for the \( P_s \) component of the spin polarization, with similar results as the charge density.

**V. CONCLUSIONS**

In this work we have shown that quenching a localized attractive potential in a NW can induce coherent oscillations in the density of the observables, which thus do not relax to a
steady-state value. The origin of this phenomenon is twofold: On the one hand the spectrum, which is purely a continuum of states before the quench, undergoes a “topological” change across the quench by acquiring a discrete portion arising from the formation of bound states. On the other hand, the pre-quench state is a complex excitation of the post-quench Hamiltonian. While the continuum part of the spectrum would lead to a saturation of the long-time dynamics, the bound states form a sort of “dephasing-free” subset with an internal oscillatory dynamics, and the period of these oscillations is related to the energy separation between different bound states. Selection rules impose having at least three bound states for this effect to occur. In conventional NWs, this requires that the quench potential must be sufficiently strong (see Fig. 2).

The conditions to observe the effect are much more favorable in SOC NWs exposed to an external magnetic field, which naturally offer a twofold advantage, as we have shown in Secs. III and IV. First, the effect arises already for weak attractive potential strength (see Fig. 3). Second, the quench of the potential, which directly couples to the charge sector, also indirectly yields nontrivial coherent dynamics in the spin sector as well, and coherent oscillations can be observed in all components of the spin polarization, including the ones that are orthogonal to the applied magnetic field (see Figs. 5 and 6). The space-time patterns of spin polarization is particularly insightful, for it carries traces of the bound state wave functions. The emergence of these remarkable features is due to the interplay between the external magnetic field $h_e$ and the SOC, which effectively generates an inhomogeneous magnetic field, whose magnitude depends on $h_e$ and whose direction rotates over a lengthscale determined by the spin-orbit length $l_{SO}$. In particular, in the regime where the lengthscale of the localized quench potential is larger than the spin-orbit length, $\lambda_U > l_{SO}$, the effective magnetic field favors the formation of bound states and also causes a coupling between bound states that would otherwise be decoupled [see Sec. IV C].

While the results presented here were given for the case of a Gaussian attractive potential, the method we used is straightforwardly generalizable to other confining potential. We have discussed the effect of the variation of the chemical potential, showing that the situation where it lies in the middle or below the magnetic gap ($-E_{SO} < \mu < 0$) seems to be particularly suitable for the observation of the effect (see Fig. 8). Furthermore, while most results were presented for the case of a sudden quench, in Sec. IV E we have explicitly analyzed the effect of a finite switching time of the ramp protocol function (26), showing that the coherent oscillation effect is robust as long as the switching time $\tau_{sw}$ is smaller than (or comparable to) the oscillation period (see Fig. 9). Concerning the steady state achieved when oscillations cannot develop, it is generally expected that in integrable one-dimensional (1D) systems, such as the one under investigation, the asymptotic state is described by a GGE ensemble in the thermodynamic limit, at least after a global quench. However, since the quench analyzed here is local, it does not inject an extensive amount of energy onto the system, and the question about the nature of asymptotic state is open. Further investigations will be undertaken to address this problem.

In terms of experimental implementations, we observe that the local attractive potential can be realized by applying a narrow metallic gate near the NW, whose geometrical size determines the lengthscale $\lambda_U$ of the quench potential $[51,65–70]$, while the applied gate voltage implements the potential strength $U_0$ utilized here (see Fig. 1). Importantly, the SOC coupling can be tuned with various techniques $[53,55,57,83,84]$, even by some order of magnitude, enabling one to directly control the spin-orbit length. These features thus provide various handles to control the formation of the bound states and the period of the coherent oscillations related to their energy separation. Finally, we observe that, as in any quantum quench, the predicted oscillations can be observed as long as their period is much smaller than the characteristic decoherence time for charge or spin degrees of freedom due to environment. As shown in the previous section, for typical parameters one has $\tau \approx 5–10$ ps. For InSb and InAs wires, values of $\tau > 100$ ps have been estimated $[85,86]$ for the spin degrees of freedom at low temperatures.
Moreover, recent experiments on cold atoms have shown that SOC one-dimensional systems can be realized also using laser beams connecting the hyperfine levels in Fermi gases [87].

The predicted effect can thus be observable in experimentally realistic situations, and may open up interesting scenarios for the investigation of quantum quenches in spintronics.
[76] It can be checked that both terms decay with a power law $t^{-1}$.
[79] In some figures we will also present results for the case $E_{SO} = 0.05$ meV with corresponding $l_{SO} = 220$ nm.