

Effects of repeated hydraulic loads on microstructure and hydraulic behaviour of a compacted clayey silt

Original

Effects of repeated hydraulic loads on microstructure and hydraulic behaviour of a compacted clayey silt / Azizi, Arash; Musso, Guido; Jommi, Cristina. - In: CANADIAN GEOTECHNICAL JOURNAL. - ISSN 0008-3674. - STAMPA. - 57:(2020), pp. 100-114. [10.1139/cgj-2018-0505]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2759729 since: 2020-12-22T20:15:01Z

Publisher:

Canadian Science publishing

Published

DOI:10.1139/cgj-2018-0505

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)



Canadian Geotechnical Journal

Effects of repeated hydraulic loads on microstructure and hydraulic behaviour of a compacted clayey silt

Journal:	<i>Canadian Geotechnical Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	cgj-2018-0505.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Azizi, Arash; Politecnico di Torino; Durham University Musso, Guido; Politecnico di Torino Jommi, Cristina; Politecnico di Milano; Technische Universiteit Delft
Keyword:	Compacted silt, drying-wetting cycle, microstructure, hydromechanical model, water retention and hydraulic conductivity
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue? :	Not applicable (regular submission)

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

33 Delft University of Technology
34 Stevin weg 1
35 2628CN Delft, The Netherlands
36 c.jommi@tudelft.nl
37

Draft

38 **Abstract**

39

40 Soils used in earth constructions are mostly unsaturated, and they undergo frequent drying-wetting cycles (repeated
41 hydraulic loads) due to changes in climatic conditions or variations of the ground water level, particularly at shallow
42 depths. After compaction, changes in water content can significantly influence the hydromechanical response of the
43 construction material, which therefore has to be assessed for repeated hydraulic loads. This research investigates the effect
44 of such loads on the microstructure and hydraulic behaviour of a silty soil, typically used in the construction of
45 embankments and dykes, with the aim of providing a better understanding of the consequences of drying-wetting cycles
46 on the response of the material over time. Experimental tests were performed to study the impact of drying-wetting cycles
47 on the water retention, hydraulic conductivity and fabric of compacted specimens. Fabric changes are documented to take
48 place even without significant volumetric strains, promoting an irreversible increase in the hydraulic conductivity and a
49 reduction in the capacity to retain water compared to the as-compacted soil. The fabric changes are interpreted and
50 quantified by means of a hydromechanical model, which accounts for the evolving pore size distribution at different
51 structural levels. The proposed model reproduces quite well the microstructural observations, together with the evolution
52 of the water retention behaviour and of the hydraulic conductivity.

53

54

55

56 **Key words:**

57 Compacted silt; drying-wetting cycle; water retention; hydraulic conductivity; microstructure; hydromechanical model

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73 Number of words: 7350

74 Number of figures: 13


75 Number of tables: 6

76

77

79 1. Introduction

80 Earth structures are widely exposed to interactions with the environment, especially to variations in the atmospheric
81 conditions and in the **ground** water level. When the environmental conditions become severe, failure of these structures
82 may occur causing economic losses and casualties. Far from failure, atmospheric changes and water level oscillations
83 constitute repeated hydraulic loads inducing drying-wetting cycles, which **significantly affect** the geotechnical behaviour
84 of earth structures and slopes because of their impact on the distribution of water pressure (e.g. Vaughan et al., 2004;
85 Smethurst et al. 2006; Rouainia et al., 2009).

86 Soil-atmosphere interactions are mainly due to precipitations and to seasonal variations of air relative humidity and
87 temperature. These processes may lead to substantial changes in soil water content and suction, particularly within
88 superficial soil layers (e.g. Croney, 1977; Albright et al., 2004; Smethurst et al., 2006). Calabresi et al. (2013) monitored
89 the suction and **the** water content within a soil embankment **at** Viadana, along the Po River in the North of Italy. In
90 proximity of the phreatic surface, a zone deeply influenced by the level of impounded water and by capillarity phenomena,
91 very small variations **in suction and moisture content** occurred. **Instead**, suction and water content oscillated between
92 extreme values in superficial layers, mainly due to Relative Humidity (*RH*) changes **in air** (between 30 % and 100 %) 
93 during the inspection time period (April to October 2001). Such *RH* oscillations represent hydraulic loads that can
94 significantly influence the efficiency of dykes, embankments and also of covers of waste contaminant landfills consisting
95 of soils compacted with high compaction efforts (e.g. Daniel, 1987). Benson et al. (2007) characterized the hydraulic
96 behaviour of soils used for water balance covers at the time of construction and a few years after placement. **Over** time,
97 generally the hydraulic conductivity increased and the air entry value decreased. Similar effects on the hydraulic
98 behaviour of unsaturated soils have been related to desiccation cracks (Drumm et al. 1997; Albrecht and Benson, 2001),
99 to freeze-thaw cycles (Benson et al., 1995; Chapuis, 2002), and to plant root growth (Smethurst et al. 2006). For all cases,
100 the detected changes in the hydraulic behaviour suggest void ratio and/or fabric evolution.

101 The assessment of the long-term behaviour of low activity compacted soils is fundamental to properly understand and
102 predict the performance of geotechnical structures, such as dykes and embankments, which are mostly built with these
103 materials. However, little attention has been paid to the effects of hydraulic loading on the fabric and hydraulic behaviour
104 of low activity compacted soils, while many works have studied these effects for compacted soils of moderate to high
105 activity (e.g. Sharma, 1998; Alshihabi et al., 2002; Cui et al., 2002; **Fleureau et al., 2002**; Lloret et al., 2003; Alonso et
106 al., 2005; Cuisinier and Masrouri, 2005; Nowamooz and Masrouri, 2009; **Airò Farulla et al., 2010**; Romero et al., 2011).
107 This paper aims to investigate the evolution of the hydraulic behaviour and of the microstructure of **a low activity** silty
108 soil exposed to drying-wetting cycles such as those expected to act on superficial soil layers in temperate climates.

109

110 2. Soil properties

111 The tested soil, collected at Viadana (North Italy), is a clayey silt (grain size distribution in Fig. 1) and it was used in the
112 construction of an experimental embankment for the assessment of earth structures aimed at the containment of floods
113 along the Po river. The same material has been previously studied by different authors (e.g. Nocilla et al., 2006; Vassallo
114 et al., 2007; Calabresi et al., 2013; Azizi et al., 2017).

115 The soil retrieved from different places on site can contain different percentages of clay and sand. The Atterberg limits,
116 grain specific gravity, calcite content, and grain size distribution of the Viadana silt samples used in this investigation are
117 listed in Table 1. The material can be classified as low plasticity silt (ML) according to ASTM D2487, with a plasticity
118 index equal to $PI = 8.3\%$. The activity index is $A = 0.4$, a value typical of low activity soils.

119 According to X-ray diffraction, the main mineralogical constituents are quartz, calcite and clay minerals of low to
120 moderate activity (mainly kaolinite, chlorite and illite).

121

122 3. Specimen preparation and drying-wetting cycles

123 The collected material was dried and mechanically ground. The specimens were prepared by statically compacting the
124 soil at a dry density $\rho_d = 1680 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and at a gravimetric water content $w = 20\%$. For each specimen, the dry soil powder
125 was initially sprayed and hand-mixed with demineralised water according to the target water content, sealed in plastic
126 bags and kept hanging over distilled water in a sealed humid container for 48 hours allowing water content equilibration.

127 The wet soil was then placed in a rigid ring having a diameter of 50 mm. An axial force was gradually applied until the
128 desired height (20 mm) was achieved. To avoid the generation of excess pore pressure that might affect homogeneity, a
129 low rate of axial displacements (0.15 mm/min) was imposed during loading. After compression, the specimen was sealed
130 with an impermeable plastic film and kept hanging over distilled water in a closed container for the following 24 hours
131 to allow for water content equilibration. No significant water content changes occurred during this stage. The water
132 content and the dry density of the specimens are similar to those adopted in situ for the experimental embankment (Rojas
133 et al., 2010).

134 Six specimens were used to characterise the microstructure and to study the hydraulic behaviour after compaction. Their
135 dry density (ρ_d), water content (w), void ratio (e), degree of saturation (S_r) and suction (s) are reported in Table 2. Other
136 eight specimens were used to study the effects of repeated hydraulic loads on the water retention, hydraulic conductivity
137 and microstructure. These specimens were subjected to 3 and 6 drying-wetting cycles, namely, “3D/W” and “6D/W” (or
138 generally “D/W”). Drying was imposed by placing the specimens within the holder ring above a rigid mesh. Evaporation
139 of water occurred across the mesh and towards the laboratory environment, at controlled temperature and relative

140 humidity. The temperature was $T = 21^{\circ}\text{C} (\pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C})$. The relative humidity was double-checked and measured to be
141 around $RH = 38.5\%$ (the combination of relative humidity and temperature corresponds to a total suction $\psi = 129$ MPa
142 according to the psychrometric law). The weight and the height of the specimens were measured to track changes in water
143 content and volume. For each of the drying steps, the water content decreased until equilibrium was established after
144 about 120 hours, reaching the same value of $w = 0.38\%$ at the end of all cycles. During drying, an axial stress of 10 kPa
145 was applied. No significant radial strains were induced and shrinkage of the specimen occurred uniaxially. ~~(for weight
146 measurements to be taken, the specimens being held only by the ring were moved back and forth from the mesh to a high
147 precision scale).~~

148 Wetting took place in the same mould used for static compaction, through a plastic porous disc at the bottom, which was
149 connected to a water pressure line to introduce water into the specimen. ~~An injection rate of $0.5\text{ cm}^3/\text{h}$ was imposed. A
150 small pressure head of 5 kPa was imposed to~~ maintain the pressure gradient adequately low, thus minimising possible
151 effects of transient high water pressures on the soil volume and microstructure. Water filled the pores gradually while the
152 air was escaping through a tiny passage conduit at the top of the mould. The mass of water injected was measured with a
153 water volume indicator ~~and the average injection rate was about $500\text{ mm}^3/\text{h}$.~~ Wetting was stopped when the water content
154 of the specimens was equal to the one at compaction ($w = 20\%$). Vertical displacements were allowed during wetting
155 while radial ones were constrained by the holder ring. After the drying-wetting cycles, the specimens were wrapped up
156 in plastic ~~bags~~ and kept hanging over distilled water in a closed container for at least 5 days to ensure water content
157 homogenization. The size of each specimen was then measured. Fig. 2 shows the evolution of water content with time
158 along one drying-wetting cycle.

159 The filter paper technique was used to measure suction after compaction and after the drying-wetting cycles. The
160 calibration curve of Leong et al. (2002) was adopted. ~~Although the filter paper technique is claimed not to be precise in
161 the low suction range,~~ The measurements were very consistent with the water retention data of these specimens (see
162 section 5.1 for comparison). The average measured suction of as-compacted specimens was $s = 33$ kPa, whereas the one
163 of 6D/W specimens was $s = 5$ kPa.

164

165 3.1 Mechanical response during drying-wetting cycles

166 Fig. 3 shows the volume strains ε_v and water content w of the 3D/W and 6D/W specimens as a function of the number of
167 cycles. First drying caused a relatively small shrinkage. The contractive volumetric strains at the end of drying increased
168 during the first two or three cycles, implying some irreversible volumetric strains, ~~and they were almost~~ constant at the
169 end of ~~the following~~ cycles during which the specimens showed ~~nearly~~ reversible behaviour. Negative strains (expansion)

170 were evident at the end of the first wetting stage, despite the water content being the same as preparation. The expansion
171 strains also stabilized after three cycles. However, the expansion volumetric strains at the end of the wetting stages
172 consistently decreased during the following two or three drying-wetting cycles, approaching a value of zero after the third
173 cycle.

174

175 4. Experimental methods

176 The hydraulic behaviour and the fabric evolution were studied by different tests, using the techniques summarised in
177 Table 3. Water Retention Curves (WRC) were determined imposing matric suction s with the axis translation technique
178 ($s \leq 400$ kPa) and imposing total suction ψ with the vapour equilibrium technique ($\psi \geq 3$ MPa). The axis translation
179 technique was adopted in a suction controlled oedometer, where an axial net stress $\sigma_{net} = 50$ kPa was applied. A sequence
180 of matric suction $s = 10, 50, 200, 300, 350$ and 400 kPa was imposed over drying. The specimens were then removed
181 from the oedometer and placed in a desiccator with saturated salt solutions, whose total suctions at the laboratory
182 temperature were measured with a WP4 Dewpoint Potentiometer. The following total suctions were imposed: $\psi = 3.6,$
183 $8.8, 21.8, 40.1$ and 82 MPa (using the saturated $K_2SO_4, KNO_3, KCl, NaNO_3$ and $Ca(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4 H_2O$ solutions, respectively).
184 An axial net stress of 20 kPa was imposed during this phase. For each imposed ψ , the specimens were kept in the
185 desiccator until equilibrium was established. Their weight and volume were sequentially measured. After equilibrium at
186 $\psi = 82$ MPa, wetting was induced by imposing the same suctions of the drying path in reversed order. The water retention
187 data are hereby presented in terms of matric suction. The matric suction s was obtained as the difference between the total
188 suction ψ and the osmotic suction π for each stage where the vapour equilibrium technique was applied. The osmotic
189 suction was evaluated as follows: one specimen at the initial water content and void ratio was squeezed (Iyer, 1990) to
190 expel a mass of pore water sufficient for electrical conductivity measurements. The electrical conductivity of the pore
191 water was $E.C. = 3.70$ mS/cm, which is consistent with a molarity $M = 0.039$ mol/l of a NaCl solution. For each stage of
192 the Vapour Equilibrium path, the actual molarity was evaluated assuming that only water evaporates, so that the ratio of
193 the dissolved salt mass to the solid fraction of the soil remains constant. This molarity was converted into electrical
194 conductivity - accounting for the decrease of the mobility of ions in concentrated solutions. For each stage, π was related
195 to the estimated electrical conductivity through the graph reported by Fredlund and Rahardjo (1993) (originally from
196 USDA Agricultural Handbook, 1950), which was fitted with the relationship $\pi = 31.9 \times E.C.^{1.07}$ (units kPa and mS/cm).
197 The empirical relationship between the osmotic suction and the water content for the tested specimens was then found to
198 be $\pi = 70.29 \times w^{-1.06}$ (w is non-dimensional).

199 The hydraulic conductivity of the saturated specimens was obtained by means of constant head permeability tests. The
200 specimens were saturated by injecting water while the hydraulic head was kept constant until a steady flow of water took
201 place. The hydraulic conductivity in unsaturated conditions was determined by back analysis of the water content changes
202 measured during the water retention tests.

203 Insights on the fabric of specimens at different states were provided by Pore Size Distributions (PSD) curves and by direct
204 observations with Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope (ESEM) pictures. The PSD curves were determined
205 through Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry (MIP) carried out using a Micromeritics AutoPore IV 9500, in which two different
206 systems were operated: a low mercury pressure system (between 0 and 0.345 MPa) and a high mercury pressure system
207 (between 0.345 MPa and 228 MPa). These operating systems allow mercury to intrude pores with radii ranging between
208 0.0035 μm and 200 μm . Cylindrical specimens with height and diameter of 10 mm were trimmed from the original ones
209 for MIP analyses. The fabric of the wet specimens was preserved by dehydration using the freeze-drying technique
210 (Delage and Pellerin, 1984). All specimens were then weighted and placed in the penetrometer, followed by evacuation
211 of gas from the chamber to generate the vacuum condition.

212

213 **5. Effects of repeated hydraulic loads on the hydraulic behaviour**

214 **5.1 Water retention behaviour**

215 Fig. 4(a) shows the water retention curves of the as-compacted specimens and of the specimens subjected to drying-
216 wetting cycles in terms of $\log(s)$ - S_r . A reduced capacity for retaining water of the D/W specimens was evident along both
217 the main drying and the main wetting paths, where the water content of the D/W specimens was always noticeably smaller
218 than that of the as-compacted specimen for suction $s < 20$ MPa. Drying-wetting effects were not observed at very high
219 suction ($s \geq 20$ MPa). Consistently with the reversible mechanical response after the third drying-wetting cycle, the drying
220 WRC of the 6D/W specimen was quite similar to the one of the 3D/W specimen. Volume changes taking place during
221 the water retention tests were very small.

222 **The initial void ratio of the D/W specimens was slightly smaller than the one of the as-compacted specimens because the**
223 **formers had a slightly higher volume decrease under the axial net stress $\sigma_{net} = 50$ kPa imposed in the suction controlled**
224 **oedometer.** Volume changes taking place during the water retention tests were very small (Fig. 4(b)). Altogether, the
225 results showed that the water retention behaviour of the D/W specimens differs from the original one mainly because of
226 changes in the soil fabric, mostly occurring during the first cycles, rather than because of changes in the void ratio.

227 Different water retention domains can be identified: a high suction range with almost no hysteresis ($s \geq 20$ MPa), an
228 intermediate suction range and a low suction range, which can be distinguished from each other by substantial changes
229 in the shape of the curves as shown in Fig. 4(c) in terms of water ratio ($e_w = S_r \cdot e$) and suction. The size of the two latter

230 domains, which are separated by the inflection point of the WRC, evolved during the drying-wetting cycles (see also
 231 Romero and Vaunat, 2000; Aubertin et al., 2003; Romero et al., 2011; Della Vecchia et al., 2015).

232

233 5.2 Hydraulic conductivity

234 The average saturated hydraulic conductivity of the specimens, evaluated by constant head tests, was $K_s = 1.1 \times 10^{-9}$ m/s
 235 for the as-compacted specimens and $K_s = 1.3 \times 10^{-8}$ m/s for the 6D/W specimens. The hydraulic conductivity in unsaturated
 236 conditions was determined by back analysis of the water content changes measured during the water retention tests. The
 237 Finite Element code COMSOL was used to reproduce the water outflow along the suction equalization phases of the
 238 drying paths (in the range $10 \leq s \leq 400$ kPa) and the hydraulic conductivity was then obtained relying on Richard's
 239 equation (e.g. Eching et al. 1993; van Dam et al. 1994; Fujimaki & Inoue 2003):

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial K_w(S_e)}{\partial z} \frac{\partial s}{\gamma_w} \left[\frac{\partial s}{\partial z} - 1 \right] \quad (1)$$

240

241 where γ_w is the specific weight of water, $\theta = \frac{e_w}{1+e}$ is the volumetric water content, z is the spatial coordinate, t is the time
 242 and K_w is the hydraulic conductivity in unsaturated conditions. The effective degree of saturation S_e is defined as $S_e =$
 243 $\frac{S_r - S_{res}}{1 - S_{res}}$, where $S_{res} = 0.07$ is the residual degree of saturation. For each suction increment, the decrease in water content
 244 was expressed in terms of moisture capacity $C(s) = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial s}$ determined from the WRC and the current hydraulic conductivity
 245 was approximated with its average constant value. The following equation was then solved:

$$C(s) \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = - \frac{K_w(S_e) \partial^2 s}{\gamma_w \partial z^2} \quad (2)$$

246

247 An optimization procedure was implemented to determine the best values of K_w for the as-compacted and 6D/W
 248 specimens. In the experiments, the water flow rate depended also on the impedance of the bottom porous stone, through
 249 which the flow took place. Therefore, the porous stone, having height 7 mm and saturated hydraulic conductivity 1.21×10^{-9}
 250 m/s, was also modeled. In the numerical simulation, suction values were imposed at the bottom of the porous stone,
 251 while a no flow condition was imposed at the top boundary.

252 Fig. 5(a) compares the changes in the volumetric water content $\Delta \theta$ measured during the test with the numerical
 253 predictions. According to the back analysis, the hydraulic conductivity of the 6D/W specimen is greater than the one of
 254 the as-compacted specimen over the whole saturation range (Fig. 5(b)). This general increase of hydraulic conductivity,
 255 observed also at full saturation, is related to the fabric changes taking place at almost constant volume, which are discussed
 256 in detail in the following.

257

258 6. Effects of repeated hydraulic loads on microstructure

259 6.1 Fabric arrangement

260 ESEM pictures of the as-compacted and 6D/W specimens shown in Figs. 6(a), 6(b) and 6(c) portray the soil fabric and
261 the pore network. These pictures were taken while setting the temperature $T = 10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the vapour pressure $u_v = 630\text{ Pa}$
262 in the chamber, with a corresponding relative humidity $R.H. = 53\%$. The silt and clay fractions are well evident. The clay
263 fraction consists of single clay particles (denoted by 'C') and of aggregations of clay particles (clay peds). Silt particles
264 (denoted by 'S') are also evident.

265 The radii of the pores between peds and between large particles and peds, recognised at the lower magnification of Figs.
266 6(a), 6(b) and 6(d), are of the order of a few microns. The radii of the pores within the peds appear to be clearly below $1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$
267 including those recognised at the higher magnification of Fig. 6(c) and those invisible at this magnification. This may
268 suggest three classes of pores including micropores and mesopores inside the peds and macropores external to the peds,
269 as it will be discussed in the next section.

270 Fig. 6(d) shows an ESEM image of the 6D/W dry specimen. This figure shows that single peds might break into smaller
271 peds, separated by fractures having the size of macropores, which suggests that drying-wetting cycles induce breakage of
272 peds, increasing the macroporosity. Nonetheless, neither cracks nor fissures at the surface of the specimens were evident
273 to the naked eye.

274

275 6.2 Pore size distribution

276 The pore size distributions presented in Fig. 7(a) describe the following four conditions: As-compacted ($w = 20\%$), after
277 first drying ('As-compacted dry', $w = 0.38\%$), at the end of the sixth drying stage ('6D/W dry') and at the end of the sixth
278 wetting stage ('6D/W'). The PSD curves are clearly bi-modal, with a dominant peak in correspondence of a pore radius
279 smaller than $1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and another peak in the range of $5\text{-}10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. Microstructural changes from the as-compacted condition
280 to the 6D/W condition are evident. The as-compacted and the as-compacted dry specimens have a dominant pore radius
281 $r = 609\text{ nm}$, but the size of the peak is greater for the dry specimen. The PSDs of the 6D/W dry and 6D/W specimens
282 overlap very well, both showing an increase of the dominant pore radius to $r = 917\text{ nm}$. The radius of the smaller PSD
283 peak shifts from about $5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (as-compacted) to about $8\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (wet state of the 6D/W), while it remains about $5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ for the
284 6D/W dry. The evolution of the PSDs shows that the soil fabric is sensitive to repeated hydraulic loads, confirming that
285 fabric changes take place even without significant changes in the total volume.

286 The total intruded void ratio e_{in} was smaller than the total void ratio e (Fig. 7(b)) since not all the available porosity was
287 intruded by mercury. This difference is due both to very large pores, filled by mercury at very low pressures, whose

288 volume is not measured during intrusion, and to very small pores which are not intruded even at very high pressures. The
 289 fraction of void ratio associated to the very small pores is assumed to be equal to the water ratio corresponding to
 290 irreducible saturation, and it is about 0.04 for all specimens. The non-intruded fraction of void ratio associated with very
 291 large pores is then estimated as the difference between the non-intruded void ratio and 0.04.
 292 Based on the PSDs, three classes of pores (micropores, mesopores and macropores) are identified. This allows
 293 individuating a microstructural void ratio e_{Mi} , a mesostructural void ratio e_{Me} and a macrostructural void ratio e_{Ma} :

$$e_{Mi} = \frac{V_{vMi}}{V_s}, e_{Me} = \frac{V_{vMe}}{V_s}, e_{Ma} = \frac{V_{vMa}}{V_s} \quad (3)$$

294 where V_{vMi} is the volume of the micropores, V_{vMe} is the volume of the mesopores, V_{vMa} is the volume of the macropores
 295 and V_s is the volume of the solid phase. The total void ratio e is then:
 296

$$e = e_{Mi} + e_{Me} + e_{Ma} \quad (4)$$

297
 298 Selecting a criterion to discriminate between different classes of pores always involves some degree of arbitrariness, and
 299 the choices that were made in the elaboration of the data are presented [here](#). Micropores are defined as those pores still
 300 saturated at very high suctions, and whose behaviour during drying-wetting cycles is completely reversible. On the basis
 301 of the results in Fig. 4(c), the corresponding void ratio is $e_{Mi} = 0.06$ for all conditions. The threshold pore radius separating
 302 micropores from mesopores R_{Mi} is determined as the radius at which the following condition is satisfied:

$$e_{Mi} = \int_{3.5}^{R_{Mi}} \frac{PSD(r)}{3.5 r \cdot \ln(10)} dr + 0.04 \quad (5)$$

303
 304 where 3.5 nm is the smallest pore radius intruded by MIP and 0.04 is the void ratio corresponding to the very small non
 305 intruded pores. By imposing $e_{Mi} = 0.06$ it follows $R_{Mi} = 11$ nm. **Note that the argument function of the integral in eq. (5)**
 306 **follows logically from the definition of the PSD as the incremental fraction of intruded void ratio with respect to the**
 307 **logarithm of the pore radius, as discussed for instance by Della Vecchia et al. (2015).**

308 Mesopores are identified with the larger pores within the clay peds. According to the ESEM pictures (Fig. 6), their radii
 309 are expected to be smaller than 1 μm . MIP analyses of specimens loaded to increasingly higher net stresses showed a
 310 progressive reduction of the volume of the pores having radii greater than the dominant peak, and no clear effects on
 311 pores whose radius was smaller (Azizi et al., 2018). The evidence confirms the shared view that mechanical compression
 312 mostly affects pores external to clay aggregates (or macro-pores, see e.g. Delage and Lefebvre 1984; Tarantino and De
 313 Col, 2008, [Koliji et al., 2010](#)). Therefore, the threshold pore radius R_{Me} separating the macropores from the mesopores
 314 was selected as the pore radius of the dominant peak of the PSD (equal to 609 nm for the as-compacted and first drying
 315 states, and equal to 917 nm for the 6D/W dry and 6D/W wet states). V_{vMe} is the volume of pores whose entrance radius is

316 between R_{Mi} and R_{Me} ; the values of e_{Me} were obtained through Eq. (3). Finally, values of e_{Ma} for each state were determined
317 by applying Eq. (4).

318 The threshold pore radii separating the different classes of pores and the void ratios e_{Mi} , e_{Me} and e_{Ma} are summarised in
319 Table 4.

320

321 6.3 Fabric evolution based on conjugate analysis of MIP and ESEM data

322 Repeated hydraulic loads induce two different effects at the mesostructural level. Firstly, the mesostructural void ratio of
323 the 6D/W states ($e_{Me} = 0.32$) is smaller than the one of the as-compacted state ($e_{Me} = 0.36$). This suggests that the peds
324 experience irreversible strains, and their contraction during drying is not fully recovered during wetting. Since the final
325 suction is smaller than the one at preparation, the behaviour of the peds cannot be elastic, otherwise drying-wetting cycles
326 would lead to a net expansion of the peds. Secondly, the radius of the larger mesopore increases from 609 nm to 917 nm
327 (Table 4).

328 While the overall void ratio remains constant upon the repeated hydraulic loads, the macrostructural void ratio evolves
329 from the initial $e_{Ma} = 0.24$ of at the as-compacted state to $e_{Ma} = 0.28$ of the 6D/W wet state. Simultaneously, the dominant
330 radius of macropores increases from 5030 nm to 8230 nm. Contraction of the peds is responsible for larger macroporosity
331 and larger individual macropores, thanks to stiffening effect of the granular silt skeleton, which is less sensitive to water
332 content changes (as observed also for Barcelona clayey silt by Romero et al., 2014). The lower air entry value of the peds,
333 the larger macrostructural void ratio and the larger pore radii of the macrostructure of 6D/W samples all concur to explain
334 the significant change of the water retention capacity and the increase in hydraulic conductivity.

335 The experimental WRCs and those predicted on the basis of MIP results are compared in Fig. 8. Since mercury is a non-
336 wetting fluid, MIP data can be used to derive a water retention curve by assuming that its penetration is equivalent to air
337 intrusion during a drying path. The diameter r of a pore determines both the matric suction s during drying and the pressure
338 of penetrating mercury p (e.g. Romero et al., 1999), so that:

339

$$s = \frac{4\sigma\cos\theta_w}{r}; p = -\frac{4\sigma_{Hg}\cos\theta_{Hg}}{r}; s = -\frac{\sigma\cos\theta_w}{\sigma_{Hg}\cos\theta_{Hg}}p \approx 0.196 p \quad (6)$$

340 where $\sigma = 0.072$ N/m is the surface tension of water and $\theta_w = 0^\circ$ is the contact angle for the air-water interface, $\sigma_{Hg} =$
341 0.484 N/m is the surface tension of mercury and $\theta_{mw} = 140^\circ$ is the contact angle between mercury and the particle surface.
342 The WRC is derived from MIP data at the fixed void ratio of the tested sample. On the contrary, single values of water
343 retention determined experimentally over drying/wetting paths reflect the concomitant shrinkage and swelling occurring
344 during the test. Direct comparison of the two is hardly significant in the case of active clays (Romero et al., 2011), for

345 which numerous PSDs are required to determine the complete retention domain (Della Vecchia et al., 2015). However,
346 in the case of Viadana silt changes in total void ratio are negligible, and the MIP derived WRCs reproduce quite well the
347 experimental water retention both along first drying and along drying after 6D/W cycles. The difference between the first
348 drying and the following drying paths shows the relevance of internal microstructural rearrangement for the tested silty
349 soil, though its composition include no relevant percentage of active clay minerals particularly sensitive to physico-
350 chemical phenomena.

351

352 7. Modelling macroscopic behaviour accounting for microstructural evolution

353 7.1 Coupled hydromechanical model

354 The experimental results show that both the hydraulic behaviour and the fabric of Viadana silt evolve with drying-wetting
355 cycles. The volume of the peds and the water retention properties undergo irrecoverable changes during the first cycle,
356 and stabilise afterwards. **To assist in the interpretation of the data and to quantify the effects of the drying-wetting cycles,**
357 **a microstructural framework is needed. Table 4 suggests that the microstructural void ratio e_m remained constant, so it is**
358 **not strictly necessary to consider the micro-pores separately from the meso-pores when formulating a microstructural**
359 **model, since both classes of pores are found within the peds.** A double porosity framework was then used which allows
360 to distinguish between the micro-meso and the macro fabric levels and to incorporate microstructural changes.

361 A model for the volumetric response is introduced to this extent. Two structural levels are defined and described based
362 on their respective void ratios, one pertaining to the void space within the peds (intra-peds) and the other external to the
363 peds (macrostructure). The overall behaviour of the material follows as the superposition of the two structural levels
364 where the two fabric levels are assumed to be in hydraulic and mechanical equilibrium. Two sets of hydromechanical
365 variables are defined: matric suction and Bishop type effective stress as stress variables, and water ratio (or degree of
366 saturation) and volume strain (or void ratio) as strain variables. The proposed model shares fundamental hypotheses with
367 double porosity models formulated for expansive soils (e.g. Gens & Alonso, 1992; Alonso et al., 1999; Mašin, 2013,
368 Musso et al., 2013) whereas it adopts the elastoplastic framework for the behaviour of the peds based on the
369 microstructural observations. The asymptotic behaviour resulting from an increasing number of drying-wetting cycles is
370 then reproduced as a direct consequence of the proposed hardening laws, which are discussed in the following.

371

372 7.1.1 Fabric levels and strain variables

373 Micropores and mesopores belong to the intra-peds porosity, while macro-pores are associated with the porosity external
374 to the peds. The intra-peds void ratio e_m and the macrostructural void ratio e_{Ma} are defined as:

$$e_m = e_{Me} + e_{Mi} = \frac{V_{vMe} + V_{vMi}}{V_s} = \frac{V_{vm}}{V_s}, e_{Ma} = \frac{V_{vMa}}{V_s} \quad (7)$$

375 where V_{vm} is the volume of the intra-peds voids.

376 The intra-peds water ratio e_{wm} and the macrostructural water ratio e_{wMa} are obtained imposing that the total mass of water
377 stored within the soil is the sum of the mass of water within the peds and the mass of water in the macroporosity:

$$e_w = e_{wMa} + e_{wm} = e_{Ma}S_{rMa} + e_mS_{rm} \quad (8)$$

378

379 with $e_{wm} = \frac{V_{wm}}{V_s}$, $e_{wMa} = \frac{V_{wMa}}{V_s}$, $S_{rm} = \frac{V_{wm}}{V_{vm}}$, $S_{rMa} = \frac{V_{wMa}}{V_{vMa}}$, where V_{wm} is the volume of water within the peds, V_{wMa} is the
380 volume of water within the macropores, S_{rm} is the intra-peds degree of saturation, S_{rMa} is the macrostructural degree of
381 saturation.

382

383 7.1.2 Stress variables

384 **Two stress variables are employed including a mechanical constitutive stress and suction.** The first one is assumed to
385 depend on the net stress (σ_{net}), the effective degree of saturation (S_e) and the suction in the form:

$$\sigma' = \sigma_{net} + S_e s \mathbf{I} \quad (9)$$

386 where Eq. (9) is a general expression, which can be used to describe the average mechanical stress acting on the soil
387 skeleton or on each structural level (macrostructural stress σ'_{Ma} or peds stress σ'_m), by using the corresponding effective
388 degree of saturation. As in most of the previous double porosity models, the total (net) stress acting on each level is
389 assumed to be the same (e.g. Alonso et al., 1999; Mašin, 2013; Wang et al., 2015). The second stress variable is the matric
390 suction. Similar stress variables have been adopted by different authors (e.g. Jommi 2000; Romero and Jommi, 2008;
391 Della Vecchia et al., 2013).

392

393 7.1.3 Water retention model

394 According to Eq. (8), the overall WRC in terms of water ratio $e_w(s)$ is expressed as the superposition of the WRCs of the
395 two fabric levels (e.g. Durner, 1994; Casini et al., 2012; Della Vecchia et al., 2015):

$$e_w(s) = e_{Ma} \left[\frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_{Ma}s)^{n_{Ma}}} \right]^{m_{Ma}} + e_m \left[\frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_m s)^{n_m}} \right]^{m_m} \quad (10)$$

396 where n_{Ma} , m_{Ma} , α_{Ma} and n_m , m_m , α_m are the parameters of the van Genuchten's model (1980) for macro-pores and intra-
397 peds pores, respectively. The total degree of saturation is obtained by the sum of S_{rMa} and S_{rm} weighted by the
398 corresponding volumetric fractions.

399 A relationship between water ratio and suction in the scanning domain is needed for the simulation of the transition from
 400 drying to wetting branches (and vice versa) over the hydraulic cycles. The incremental form of equation (10) reads:

$$de_w(s) = de_{wMa} + de_{wm} = [S_{rMa}de_{Ma} + e_{Ma}dS_{rMa}] + [S_{rm}de_m + e_m dS_{rm}] \quad (11)$$

401
 402 The scanning curves are predicted by replacing $dS_r = -k_{sc}ds$ for each structural level:

$$de_w^{sc}(s) = \left[\frac{e_{wMa}}{e_{Ma}} de_{Ma} - e_{Ma}k_{sc}ds \right] + \left[\frac{e_{wm}}{e_m} de_m - e_mk_{sc}ds \right] \quad (12)$$

403 where k_{sc} controls the slope of the scanning curves. The behaviour in the scanning domain is assumed to be reversible.

404

405 7.1.4 Mechanical model

406 Water retention depends on the macrostructural and intra-peds void ratios, whose evolution is predicted by a mechanical
 407 model. Wheeler et al. (2003) proposed an elastoplastic framework that couples hydraulic hysteresis with stress-strain
 408 behaviour. A similar framework is adopted to reproduce the mechanical behaviour of the peds coupled with the intra-
 409 peds water retention. The peds constitutive stress (σ'_m) is employed to predict the volumetric changes of the peds,
 410 influenced by the intra-peds effective degree of saturation. On the other hand, intra-peds void ratio changes affect the
 411 intra-peds water ratio, because both the water storage capacity and the air-entry value evolve.

412 Hardening is introduced including two yielding criteria: one associated with mechanical straining of the peds and the
 413 other responsible for irreversible changes of the peds water ratio. As shown in Fig. 9(a), the former yielding occurs when
 414 the stress path reaches the loading collapse (LC) curve whereas the latter is triggered if the stress path reaches the suction
 415 increase (SI) curve during drying, or the suction decrease (SD) curve during wetting. These yield curves are expressed
 416 as:

$$\text{LC: } \sigma'_m = \sigma'^*_m, \text{ SI: } s = s_I, \text{ SD: } s = s_D \quad (13)$$

417

418 Where σ'^*_m is the peds yield stress, s_I is the suction increase yield curve and s_D is the suction decrease yield curve.

419 **Increments** of volumetric strains of the peds occurring inside the elastic domain ($\Delta\varepsilon_m^e$) are given by:

$$d\varepsilon_m^e = \frac{\kappa_m d\sigma'_m}{(1 + e_m)\sigma'_m} \quad (14)$$

420

421 where κ_m is the elastic compliance for the intra-peds void ratio. For stress paths within the elastic domain, the degree of
 422 saturation evolves along the scanning curves.

423 If yielding occurs directly on the SI, it induces water ratio changes on the main drying WRC accompanied with hardening
 424 of the LC, whereas direct yielding on the SD induces water ratio changes on the main wetting WRC accompanied with

425 softening of the LC. If yielding occurs on the LC, it produces plastic volumetric strains with a coupled outward movement
426 of the SI and inward movement of the SD. The SI and the SD evolve together:

$$\frac{ds_I}{s_I} = \frac{ds_D}{s_D} \quad (15)$$

427 When yielding occurs due to SI or SD, the hardening law is:

$$d\sigma'_m = h_{LC} \sigma'_m \frac{ds_I}{s_I} \quad (16)$$

428 h_{LC} controls the coupled movement of the LC due to SI or SD yielding. The increments of plastic volumetric strains ($d\varepsilon_m^p$)
429 due to yielding of LC curve are

$$d\varepsilon_m^p = \frac{(\lambda_m - \kappa_m) d\sigma'_m}{(1 + e_m) \sigma'_m} \quad (17)$$

430 where λ_m and κ_m are model parameters and the hardening law in this case is given by,

$$ds_I = h_s s_I \frac{d\sigma'_m}{\sigma'_m} \quad (18)$$

431 where h_s controls the coupled movement of SI and SD.

432 The general expression for plastic strain increment can be derived through Eqs. (16) and (17):

$$d\varepsilon_m^p = \frac{(\lambda_m - \kappa_m)}{(1 + e_m)(1 - h_s h_{LC})} \left(\frac{d\sigma'_m}{\sigma'_m} - h_{LC} \frac{ds_I}{s_I} \right) \quad (19)$$

433
434 Two flow rules are given, respectively associated to the yielding on the SI and SD curves:

$$\frac{d\varepsilon_m^p}{de_{wm}} = 0 \quad (20)$$

435 and associated to the yielding on the LC curve:

$$\frac{de_{wm}}{d\varepsilon_m^p} = 0 \quad (21)$$

436
437 Changes of the intra-peds void ratio are written in the form:

$$de_m = -d\varepsilon_m(1 + e_m) = -(d\varepsilon_m^e + d\varepsilon_m^p)(1 + e_m) \quad (22)$$

438
439 The influence of the mechanical effects on the WRC of the peds is shown in Fig. 9(b). The specimens were subjected to
440 a wide range of suction and the peds were almost completely dry at the end of drying, whereas they were entirely saturated
441 at the end of wetting. SI yielding occurred when air entered the saturated peds (suction greater than their air-entry value),
442 and SD yielding occurred along wetting when the degree of saturation of the peds S_{rm} was greater than the residual one.

443 A similar framework may also be adopted also for the macrostructural fabric level. However, changes of the
 444 macrostructural void ratio were evaluated here as the difference between the changes of the total void ratio and that of
 445 the intra-peds void ratio. A simple elastic expression was used for the increments of overall volume strains ($d\varepsilon_v$):

$$d\varepsilon_v = \frac{\kappa \cdot d\sigma'}{(1+e)\sigma'} \quad (23)$$

446 where κ is the elastic logarithm compressibility. Hence, the total void ratio changes are:

$$de = -d\varepsilon_v(1+e) \quad (24)$$

447 and the macrostructural void ratio changes are:

$$de_{Ma} = de - de_m \quad (25)$$

448 Eqs. (22) and (25) give the evolution of the void ratio of macro- and intra-peds pores which contribute to the coupled
 449 water retention model (Eq. (10)).

450

451 7.2 Calibration of the parameters

452 The parameters calibration was mostly based on independent tests with respect to the WRCs reproduced. An oedometer
 453 test was used to determine the elastic compliances of the overall soil κ and the one of the peds κ_m , which were assumed
 454 to have the same value of 0.003, and the preconsolidation stress imposed during compaction, $\sigma'_m = 657$ kPa, which
 455 identifies the initial position of the LC (see details in Azizi, 2016). The initial air entry value of the peds $1/\alpha_m = 236$ kPa
 456 was determined by means of the MIP measurements, introducing the radius of the larger mesopore ($r = 609$ nm) into the
 457 first one of Eq. 6. According to the model, the air entry value also provides the initial position of the SI, while the SD is
 458 set equal to the suction imposed at the beginning of the water retention test (SD = 10 kPa). The parameters for the WRC
 459 of the macro-structure were determined imposing the best fit between the experimental water ratio of the macrostructure
 460 ($e_{wMa} = e_w - e_m$) along the first drying branch for suction values smaller than the air entry value of the peds (Table 5). The
 461 elasto-plastic compliance λ_m was calibrated to capture the amount of contraction of the peds during the first drying stage,
 462 as determined with MIP. The scanning parameter k_{sc} was calibrated upon water retention tests presented by Azizi et al.
 463 (2017). The parameters h_{LC} and h_s were calibrated to obtain a reversible behaviour after three cycles.

464 The van Genuchten's parameters of both structural levels evolve along the hydraulic cycles to adequately reproduce the
 465 evolution of the water retention. Simple relationships were chosen relating $1/\alpha_{Ma}$ and $1/\alpha_m$ to the saturated water ratios
 466 of the macropores e_{wMa}^{sat} and of the peds e_{wm}^{sat} :

$$1/\alpha_{Ma} = (e_{Ma0}/e_{wMa}^{sat})^4 / \alpha_{Ma0} \text{ and } 1/\alpha_m = (e_{wm}^{sat}/e_{m0})^{8.2} / \alpha_{m0} \quad (26)$$

467

468 where e_{Ma0} and e_{m0} are the initial macrostructural and intra-peds void ratios of the as-compacted specimen, and $1/\alpha_{Ma0}$
469 and $1/\alpha_{m0}$ are the initial air-entry values of the respective drying curves. The empirical laws described by Eq. (26) were
470 used both for the main drying and the main wetting curves. The parameters of the mechanical model are listed in Table
471 6.

472 The model was employed to predict the final values of α_M and α_m , which determine the WRC after 6 cycles, together with
473 the final values of the **hydraulic conductivity** and of the relative permeability.

474

475 7.3 Simulation of drying-wetting cycles: mechanical behaviour and water retention

476 The void ratio and suction measured during the water retention tests are compared to the model predictions in Fig. 10(a).
477 Six drying-wetting cycles were simulated. The evolution of the intra-peds and macrostructural void ratios is presented in
478 Fig. 10(b). Void ratios evaluated on basis of the MIP data are nicely captured. Adopting an elastoplastic framework for
479 modeling the behaviour of the peds allowed properly simulating the decrease of the intra-peds void ratio and the increase
480 of the macro-structural void ratio occurring during the first 3 hydraulic cycles.

481 The modelled changes in intra-peds and macrostructural void ratios rule the evolution of the water retention, whose
482 simulation is introduced in Fig. 11. Fig. 11(a) shows the experimental results and the model predictions for the first
483 drying and wetting cycle in terms of S_r - $\log(s)$. The predictions of the degree of saturation of the macropores and of the
484 peds are also provided. Since different air-entry values are defined for the two structural levels, in the suction range 64
485 $\text{kPa} < s < 236 \text{ kPa}$ the peds are still saturated while the macro-pores are desaturating. A similar condition occurs during
486 wetting in the suction range $8 \text{ kPa} < s < 34 \text{ kPa}$. The changes in the WRCs of the two structural levels due to drying-
487 wetting cycles are given in Fig. 11(b). At the end of each wetting stage, the intra-peds pores are always fully saturated,
488 while, for the same suction, the degree of saturation of the macropores S_{rMa} decreases during the first three cycles. The
489 water retention behaviour of the 6D/W specimens was predicted by simulating 6 drying-wetting cycles imposed to the
490 as-compacted specimen, and it is plotted in Fig. 11(c). The good match between experimental data and predictions
491 shows the capability of the conceptual model to reproduce the evolution of the water retention behaviour over hydraulic
492 cycles.

493 Experimental water retention data of the first drying-wetting cycle and model predictions are re-plotted in Fig. 12(a) in
494 terms of e_w - $\log(s)$. The water ratio of peds e_{wm} at the end of the first wetting is smaller than the original one, since the
495 intra-peds void ratio reduces due to plastic strains. On the contrary, the water ratio of macropores e_{wMa} increases. During
496 the first three drying-wetting cycles e_m progressively reduces while e_{Ma} progressively increases, affecting the air entry
497 values of both peds and macropores (Eq. 26). Fig. 12(b) shows the experimental results and model predictions for the

498 6D/W specimen. For both structural domains, the water ratio at the beginning of drying and at the end of wetting is the
 499 same since the mechanical behaviour became reversible and the water retention curves cannot evolve further.

500

501

502 7.4 Model validation exploiting the hydraulic conductivity analysis

503 The hydraulic conductivity of compacted soils having bimodal pore size distribution is mainly related to the volume and
 504 distribution of the larger pores (e.g. Cuisinier et al., 2011, Romero 2013). As a result, the increase in the saturated
 505 hydraulic conductivity K_s evidenced in Section 5.2 is justified by the increase of the macrostructural void ratio and can
 506 be modeled using a Kozeny-Carman like equation based on e_{Ma} (Romero, 2013):

$$K_s = B \frac{e_{Ma}^5}{1 + e_{Ma}} \quad (27)$$

507

508 where $B = 5 \times 10^{-6}$ m/s was found to adequately simulate the experimental data. The hydraulic conductivity under
 509 unsaturated condition K_w is defined as the product of a relative permeability k_r and K_s :

$$K_w = k_r K_s \quad (28)$$

510

511 The relative permeability k_r is controlled by the size of the pores and the shape of PSD. It can be predicted either from
 512 the relationships between suction and effective degree of saturation (Mualem, 1976):

$$k_r^{(Mualem)} = \frac{K_w}{K_s} = S_e^{1/2} \left[\frac{\int_0^{S_e} dS_e / s}{\int_0^1 dS_e / s} \right]^2 \quad (29)$$

513

514 or as a power law function of the degree of saturation (e.g. Bear 1972), whose exponent is often assumed equal to 3. By
 515 admitting that the contribution to flow of the intra-peds pores is negligible, it follows that:

$$k_r^{(Macro)} = \left(\frac{S_r - \frac{e_{wm}}{e}}{1 - \frac{e_{wm}}{e}} \right)^3 \quad (30)$$

516

517 The values of S_r , e_{wm} and e given by the simulations were introduced in both Eq. (29) and Eq. (30) to obtain the predictions
 518 presented in Fig. 13(a), where they are compared to the experimental data based on the back-analysis of Richards'
 519 equation. Both expressions captured the experimental data well, although the prediction of the power law expression was
 520 found to better match the experimental results.

521 The evolution of K_w during hydraulic cycles is obtained by combining the hydromechanical model with Eq. (27) and Eq.
522 (30). The predictions are compared to the data obtained by the inverse analysis of Richard's equation in Fig. 13(b), where
523 a good match is observed. It is worth noting that since the total void ratio changed reversibly during drying-wetting cycles,
524 the Kozeny-Carman equation, as well as any other model giving an estimate of the hydraulic conductivity based on the
525 total void ratio, would not be able to predict any change in the hydraulic conductivity. On the contrary, the framework
526 developed is able to predict the irreversible increase in macroporosity during drying and wetting cycles, which resulted
527 in the observed relevant increase in the hydraulic conductivity of the investigated soil specimens.

528

529 **8. Summary and conclusions**

530 While the influence of fabric and fabric evolution on the hydromechanical behaviour of compacted active clays has been
531 studied in detail in the recent years, its relevance on the hydromechanical behaviour of low activity clays and silts has
532 been less explored. These materials are widely used to build earth structures exposed to intensive interaction with water
533 and the atmosphere. Assessment of their response to environmental actions is then relevant for the long-term stability and
534 serviceability of engineering works. This study was aimed to evaluate the impact of typical drying-wetting cycles,
535 occurring at relatively low stresses in earth structures after compaction, on the hydraulic behaviour of a low activity
536 compacted clayey silt used for the construction of water defenses, and to explain the observed behaviour with the aid of
537 microstructural evidences.

538 While the overall volume strains were negligible and became reversible after a limited number of drying-wetting cycles,
539 the hydraulic behaviour was dramatically affected by the hydraulic history in which water retention capacity reduced and
540 hydraulic conductivity increased. The fabric of the tested soil consists of peds of clay particles mixed among silt grains,
541 with larger pores external to the peds and smaller pores within the peds. Microstructural investigation, based on MIP
542 analyses, indicated that the porosity and the volume of the peds decreased mainly during the first cycles. Meanwhile, the
543 fraction of porosity external to the peds increased since the overall volume remained almost constant. Thus, the repeated
544 hydraulic loads induced a sort of hydraulic fabric degradation associated to the development of larger pores.

545 A double porosity model, calibrated on the microstructural observations and accounting for coupling between the
546 hydraulic and mechanical responses, was developed. An important feature of the model was introducing an elasto-plastic
547 behaviour for the clay aggregates, which is usually neglected in similar formulations. Good reproduction of the
548 experimental results was obtained by imposing the dependency of the water retention on the intra-peds and
549 macrostructural void ratios, whose evolution is governed by suction and degree of saturation. Besides, the substantial
550 change in hydraulic conductivity observed at the sample level was explained by means of the fabric changes predicted by
551 the proposed modelling framework. As the soil tested is a low plasticity clayey silt, its behaviour is hardly affected by the

552 sensitivity to water of the constituent minerals, contrarily to active clays. Nonetheless, this investigation shows that the
 553 irreversible hydromechanical behaviour of the peds causes substantial changes in the water retention capacity as well as
 554 in the hydraulic conductivity of this material. These changes should be considered when the assessment of the
 555 hydromechanical behaviour of soils used in earth constructions is required for the design lifetime, since the response of
 556 the construction will not be governed only by the soil fabric at the time of compaction.

557 REFERENCES

- 558 Airò Farulla, C., Ferrari, A. & Romero, E. (2010). Volume change behaviour of a compacted silty clay during cyclic
 559 suction changes. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 47(6), 688-703.
- 560 Albrecht, B. & Benson, C. (2001). Effect of desiccation on compacted natural clays. *Journal of Geotechnical and*
 561 *Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 1271, 67–76.
- 562 Albright, W. H., Benson, C. H., Gee, G. W., Roesier, A. C., Abichou, T., Apiwantragoon, P., Lyles, B. F. & Rock, S. A.
 563 (2004). Field water balance of landfill final covers. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 336, 1–17.
- 564 Alonso, E.E., Romero, E., Hoffmann, C. & García-Escudero, E. (2005). Expansive bentonite–sand mixtures in cyclic
 565 controlled-suction drying and wetting. *Engineering Geology*, 81, 213–226.
- 566 Alonso, E.E., Vaunat, J. & Gens, A. (1999). Modelling the mechanical behaviour of expansive clay. *Engineering Geology*,
 567 54, 173–183.
- 568 Alshihabi, O., Shahrour, I. & Mieussens, C. (2002). Experimental study of the influence of suction and drying/wetting
 569 cycles on the compressibility of a compacted soil. *Proceedings of 1st International Conference on Unsaturated Soils*,
 570 Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, pp. 541–545.
- 571 Aubertin, M., Mbonimpa, M., Bussièrre, B. & Chapuis, R. (2003). A model to predict the water retention curve from basic
 572 geotechnical properties. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 40, 1104–1122.
- 573 Azizi, A. (2016). Effects of hydraulic repeated loads on the hydromechanical response of an unsaturated silty soil. PhD
 574 Thesis, Politecnico di Milano.
- 575 Azizi, A., Jommi, C. & Musso, G. (2017). A water retention model accounting for the hysteresis induced by hydraulic
 576 and mechanical wetting-drying cycles. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 87, 86-98.
- 577 Azizi, A., Musso, G., Jommi C. & Cosentini, R. M. (2018). Evolving fabric and its impact on the shearing behaviour of
 578 a compacted clayey silt exposed to drying-wetting cycles, Unsat2018, Hong Kong.
- 579 Bear, J. (1972). *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Materials*. American Elsevier.
- 580 Benson, C. & Khire, M. (1995). Earthen covers for semi-arid and arid climates. *Landfill Closures*, J. Dunn, and U. Singh,
 581 eds., ASCE, New York, 201–217.
- 582 Benson, C. H., Sawangsuriya, A., Trzebiatowski, B. & Albright, W. H. (2007). Postconstruction changes in the hydraulic
 583 properties of water balance cover soils. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 133(4), 349–359.
- 584 Bishop, A. (1959). The principle of effective stress. *Tecnisk Ukeblad*, 39, 859–863.
- 585 Calabresi, G., Colleselli, F., Danese, D., Giani, G. P., Mancuso, C., Montrasio, L., Nocilla, A., Pagano, L., Reali, E. &
 586 Sciotti A. (2013). A research study of the hydraulic behaviour of the Po river embankments. *Canadian Geotechnical*
 587 *Journal*, 50, 9, 947-960.
- 588 Casini, F., Vaunat, J., Romero, E. & Desideri, A. (2012). Consequences on water retention properties of double-porosity
 589 features in a compacted silt. *Acta Geotechnica*, 7(2), 139–150.
- 590 Chapuis, (2002). Full-scale hydraulic performance of soil–bentonite and compacted clay liners. *Canadian Geotechnical*
 591 *Journal*, 39 (2), pp. 417–439.
- 592 Croney, D. (1977). *The design and performance of road pavements*. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office.

- 593 Cui, Y. J., Loiseau, C. & Delage, P., (2002). Microstructure changes of a confined swelling soil due to suction controlled
594 hydration. In: *Unsaturated Soils. Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. on Unsaturated Soils (UNSAT 2002)*, Recife, Brazil (ed. Jucá, J.F.T.,
595 de Campos, T.M.P. and Marinho, F.A.M.), Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger, Vol. 2, pp. 593-598.
- 596 Cuisinier, O., Auriol, J. C., Tangi, L. B. & Dimitri, D. (2011). Microstructure and hydraulic conductivity of a compacted
597 lime-treated soil. *Engineering Geology*, 123 (3), pp. 187–193
- 598 Cuisinier, O. & Masroui, F. (2005). Hydromechanical behaviour of a compacted swelling soil over a wide suction range.
599 *Engineering Geology*, 81, 204–212.
- 600 Daniel, D. E. (1987). Earthen liners for land disposal facilities. *Geotech. Practice for Waste Disposal*. No. 13, R. D.
601 Woods, ed., Ann Arbor, Mich., 21–39.
- 602 Delage P. & Lefebvre G. (1984) Study of the structure of a sensitive Champlain clay and of its evolution during
603 consolidation. *Can. Geotech. J.*, 21, 1: 21–35.
- 604 Delage, P. & Pellerin, F.M. (1984). Influence de la lyophilisation sur la structure d'une argile sensible du Québec. *Clay*
605 *Minerals*, 19, 151-160.
- 606 Della Vecchia, G., Dieudonne, A. C., Jommi, C. & Charlier, R. (2015). Accounting for evolving pore size distribution in
607 water retention models for compacted clays. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in*
608 *Geomechanics*, 39 (7), 702-723.
- 609 Della Vecchia, G., Jommi, C. & Romero E. (2013). A fully coupled elastic–plastic hydromechanical model for compacted
610 soils accounting for clay activity. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 37 (5),
611 503–535.
- 612 Drumm, E., Boles, D. & Wilson, G. (1997). Desiccation cracks result in preferential flow. *Geotech. News*, 152, 22–25.
- 613 Durner W (1994). Hydraulic conductivity estimation for soils with heterogeneous pore structure. *Water Resources*
614 *Research*, 30(2), 211–223
- 615 Eching, S. O. & Hopmans, J. W. (1993). Optimization of Hydraulic Functions from Transient Outflow and Soil Water
616 Pressure Data. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, Vol. 57, pp. 1167–1175.
- 617 **Fleureau J. M. , Verbrugge J. C. , Huergo P. J. , Correia A. G. , Kheirbek-Saoud S. (2002). Aspects of the behaviour of**
618 **compacted clayey soils on drying and wetting paths. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 39:1341–1357.**
- 619 Fredlund, D. G. & Rahardjo, H. (1993). Soil Mechanics for Unsaturated Soils. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ,
620 USA. doi: 10.1002/9780470172759.ch1
- 621 Fujimaki, H. & Inoue, M. (2003). Reevaluation of the Multistep Outflow Method for Determining Unsaturated Hydraulic
622 Conductivity. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 2, pp. 409–415.
- 623 Gens, A. & Alonso, EE. (1992). A framework for the behaviour of unsaturated expansive clays. *Canadian Geotechnical*
624 *Journal*, 29, 1013–1032.
- 625 Iyer, B (1990) Pore water extraction – comparison of saturation extract and high-pressure squeezing. *Physico-chemical*
626 *Aspects of Soils and Related Materials – ASTM STP 1095 K.P. Hoddinot & R.O. Lamb (eds), Philadelphia*, 159-170
- 627 Jommi, C. (2000). Remarks on the constitutive modelling of unsaturated soils. In *Experimental Evidence and Theoretical*
628 *Approaches in Unsaturated Soils*, Tarantino A, Mancuso C (eds.). AA. Balkema: Rotterdam, 139–153.
- 629 **Koliji, A., Vulliet, L. and Laloui, L. (2010). “Structural characterization of unsaturated aggregated soil”. *Canadian***
630 ***Geotechnical Journal*, 47(3): 297-297.**
- 631 Leong, E., He, L. & Rahardjo, H. (2002). Factors Affecting the Filter Paper Method for Total and Matric Suction
632 Measurements. *Geotechnical Testing Journal* 25(3), 322-333.
- 633 Lloret, A., Villar, M. V., Sanchez, M., Gens, A., Pintado, X. & Alonso, E. E. (2003). Mechanical behaviour of heavily
634 compacted bentonite under high suction changes. *Géotechnique*, 53 (1), 27–40.
- 635 Mašin, D. (2013). Double structure hydromechanical coupling formalism and a model for unsaturated expansive clays.
636 *Engineering Geology*, 165, 73–88.

- 637 Mualem, Y. (1976). A new model for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated porous media. *Water Resources*
638 *Research*, 12(3), 513–522.
- 639 Musso, G., Romero, E. & Della Vecchia, G. (2013). Double-structure effects on the chemo-hydromechanical behaviour
640 of a compacted active clay, *Géotechnique*, 15, 206-220.
- 641 Nocilla, A., Coop, M. R. & Colleselli, F. (2006). The mechanics of an Italian silt and example of “transitional” behaviour.
642 *Géotechnique*, 56, No. 4, 261-271.
- 643 Nowamooz, H. & Masroui, F. (2009). Density-dependent hydromechanical behaviour of a compacted expansive soil.
644 *Engineering Geology*, 106, 105–115.
- 645 Rojas J.C, Mancuso C. and Danese D. (2010) Pre and post-construction characterization of an embankment fill material.
646 In “*Unsaturated soils. Experimental studies in unsaturated soils and expansive soils*”. *Proceedings of the 4th Asia Pacific*
647 *conference on unsaturated soils*, Newcastle, Australia, 23-25 November 2009. Buzzi O. Fytus S. and Sheng D. editors,
648 CRC press.
- 649 Romero, E., Gens, A. & Lloret, A. (1999). Water permeability, water retention and microstructure of unsaturated
650 compacted Boom clay, *Engineering Geology*, 54, 117-127.
- 651 Romero, E. (2013). A microstructural insight into compacted clayey soils and their hydraulic properties. *Engineering*
652 *Geology*, 165, 3–19.
- 653 Romero, E., Della Vecchia, G. & Jommi, C. (2011). An insight into the water retention properties of compacted clayey
654 soils. *Géotechnique*, 61(4), 313–328.
- 655 Romero, E. & Jommi, C. (2008). An insight into the role of hydraulic history on the volume changes of anisotropic clayey
656 soils. *Water Resources Research*, 44, W12412:1–W12412:16.
- 657 Romero, E. & Vaunat, J. (2000). Retention curves of deformable clays. proc. int. workshop on unsaturated soils. In
658 Tarantino, A. and Mancuso, C., editors, *Experimental Evidence and Theoretical Approaches in Unsaturated Soils*, 91–
659 106, Trento, Italy. AA. Balkema, Rotterdam.
- 660 Romero, E., Vaunat, J. & Merchan V. (2014). Suction effects on the residual shear strength of clays. *Journal of Geo-*
661 *Engineering Sciences* 2 (2014) 17–37 DOI 10.3233/JGS-141320
- 662 Rouainia, M., Davies, O., O’Brien, T. & Glendinning, S. (2009). Numerical modelling of climate effects on slope stability.
663 *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers – Engineering Sustainability*, 162(2), 81–89.
- 664 Sharma, R. S. (1998). Mechanical behaviour of unsaturated highly expansive clays. PhD Thesis, Oxford University.
- 665 Smethurst, J. A., Clark, D. & Powrie, W. (2006). Seasonal changes in pore water pressure in a grass-covered cut slope in
666 London Clay. *Géotechnique*, 56 (8), 523-537.
- 667 Tarantino A, De Col S. (2008) Compaction behaviour of clay. *Géotechnique*, 58 (3):199–213.
- 668 U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) (1950). Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils, Agricultural
669 Handbook No. 60, USDA, Washington, DC.
- 670 van Dam, J. C., Stricker, J. N. M. & Droogers, P. (1994) Inverse Method to Determine Soil Hydraulic Functions from
671 Multistep Outflow Experiments. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, Vol. 58, pp. 647– 652.
- 672 Van Genuchten, M. T. (1980). A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils. *Soil*
673 *Science Society of America Journal*, 44, 892–898.
- 674 Vassallo, R., Mancuso, C. & Vinale, F. (2007). Effects of net stress and suction history on the small strain stiffness of a
675 compacted clayey silt. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 44(4), 447-462
- 676 Vaughan, P. R., Kovacevic, N. & Potts, D. M. (2004). Then and now: some comments on the design and analysis of
677 slopes and embankments. *Advances in Geotechnical Engineering: Proceedings of the Skempton Conference, Imperial*
678 *College*, London, Vol. 1, pp. 241–290.
- 679 Wang G., Wei X. (2015). Modeling swelling–shrinkage behavior of compacted expansive soils during wetting–drying
680 cycles. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 52 (6), 783 – 79.

681
 682 Wheeler, S. J., Sharma, R. S. & Buisson, M. S. R. (2003). Coupling of hydraulic hysteresis and stress-strain behaviour
 683 in unsaturated soils. *Géotechnique* 53(1), 41-54.
 684

685
 686
 687
 688
 689
 690

List of Tables

691 Table 1. Properties of the tested soil
 692 Table 2. Properties of the as-compacted specimens
 693 ~~Table 3. The description of the tests carried out~~
 694 Table 4. Threshold pore radii and micro-structural, meso-structural and macro-structural void ratios
 695 Table 5. Parameters of the water retention model
 696 Table 6. Parameters of the mechanical model

697

List of Figures

698
 699 Figure 1. Grain size distribution of the tested material
 700 ~~Figure 2. Proctor plane and initial condition of as-compacted specimens~~
 701 Figure 2. Water content changes during drying and wetting paths
 702 Figure 3. Mechanical response to repeated hydraulic loads: Volumetric strain with number of cycles
 703 Figure 4. Effect of repeated hydraulic loads on the water retention behaviour: (a) S_r -log(s) (b) e -log(s) (c) e_w -log(s)
 704 Figure 5. (a) Changes in volumetric water content: experimental data and inverse modeling (b) Unsaturated hydraulic
 705 conductivity of the as-compacted and 6D/W specimens
 706 Figure 6. ESEM photomicrographs: (a) Particles, peds and macropores (b) Macropores and ped (c) Mesopores (d) Ped
 707 breakage after drying-wetting cycles
 708 Figure 7. Effect of repeated hydraulic loads on MIP results: (a) Evolution of pore size density function (b) Evolution of
 709 intruded void ratio
 710 Figure 8. Comparison between the experimental and MIP derived WRCs

711 Figure 9. Hydromechanical model proposed to reproduce the behaviour of the peds: (a) Yield surfaces in σ'_{m-s} plane (b)
712 Influence of plastic strains on the water retention curve

713 Figure 10. Comparing model predictions and experimental data in terms void ratio and suction: (a) Total void ratio suction
714 (b) Evolution of e_{Ma} and e_m with suction

715 Figure 11. Comparing model predictions and experimental data in terms of S_r - $\log(s)$: (a) for the as-compacted specimen
716 (b) evolution of S_{rMa} and S_{rm} during repeated hydraulic loads (c) for the 6D/W specimen

717 Figure 12. Comparing model predictions and experimental data in terms of e_w - $\log(s)$ for: (a) as-compacted specimen
718 (b) 6D/W specimen

719 Figure 13. **Hydraulic conductivity** predicted by the proposed model: (a) k_r - S_e (b) K_w - S_e

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

Draft

Table 1. Properties of the tested soil

Variables	Value
Liquid limit LL (%)	32.6
Plastic limit PL (%)	24.3
Plasticity Index PI (%)	8.3
Activity A (-)	0.4
Specific Density G_s	2.735
Clay fraction ($d < 2\mu\text{m}$, %)	20.4
CaCO_3 content (%)	17.3

Table 2. Properties of the as-compacted specimens

Condition	ρ_d (kg/m ³)	e (-)	w (%)	S_r (-)	s (kPa)
As-compacted	1650 ± 10	0.66 ± 0.01	20 ± 0.2	0.83 ± 0.02	33

Table 3. List of hydraulic and microstructural tests carried out with reference to figures

Type of test and figure	Method	Sample type
Water retention (Fig. 5)	Axis Translation, Vapour Equilibrium	As-compacted, 3D/W, 6D/W
Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Fig. 6, Fig. 14)	Constant head	As-compacted, 1D/W, 3D/W, 6D/W
Unsaturated hydraulic conductivity (Fig. 6, Fig. 14)	Back analysis of Richard's equation	As-compacted, 6D/W
Micro-photograph (Fig. 7)	Environmental Scanning Electron Microscopy	As-compacted, 6D/W
Pore Size Distribution and Pore Size Density (Fig. 8)	Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry	As-compacted, as compacted dry, 6D/W dry, 6D/W

Table 4. Threshold pore radii and micro-structural, meso-structural and macro-structural void ratios

void ratio	Lower threshold pore radius (nm)				Void ratio			
	As-compacted	First drying	6D/W dry	6D/W wet	As-compacted	First drying	6D/W dry	6D/W wet
e_{Mi}	-	-	-	-	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
e_{Me}	11	11	11	11	0.36	0.35	0.32	0.32
e_{Ma}	609	609	917	917	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.28
$e = e_{Ma} + e_{Me} + e_{Mi}$	-	-	-	-	0.66	0.64	0.63	0.66

Table 5. Parameters of the water retention model

Parameters	$1/\alpha_{Ma0}$ (kPa)	n_{Ma}	m_{Ma}	$1/\alpha_{m0}$ (kPa)	n_m	m_m
Drying	64	1.75	0.83	236	2.86	0.14
Wetting	8	2.37	0.97	34	2.61	0.12

Table 6. Parameters of the mechanical model

Parameters	κ	λ_m	h_{lC}	h_s	σ'_m kPa	s_I kPa	s_D kPa
Values	0.003	0.032	4.3	0.25	657	236	10

Draft




Figure 1. Grain size distribution of the tested material




Figure 2. Water content changes during drying and wetting paths






Figure 3. Mechanical response to repeated hydraulic loads: Volumetric strain with number of cycles



(a)



(b)






Figure 4. Effect of repeated hydraulic loads on the water retention behaviour: (a) S_r - $\log(s)$ (b) e - $\log(s)$ (c) e_w - $\log(s)$




(a)




(b)


Figure 5. (a) Changes in volumetric water content: experimental data and inverse modeling (b) Unsaturated hydraulic conductivity of the as-compacted and 6D/W specimens




(a)



(b)




(c)




(d)

Figure 6. ESEM photomicrographs: (a) Particles, peds and macropores (b) Macropores and ped (c) Mesopores
(d) Ped breakage after drying-wetting cycles



(a)



(b)

Figure 7. Effect of repeated hydraulic loads on MIP results: (a) Evolution of pore size density function (b) Evolution of intruded void ratio






Figure 8. Comparison between the experimental and MIP derived WRCs



(a)



(b)

Figure 9. Hydromechanical model proposed to reproduce the behaviour of the peds: (a) Yield surfaces in $\sigma'_m - s$ plane (b) Influence of plastic strains on the water retention curve







Figure 10. Comparing model predictions and experimental data in terms void ratio and suction: (a) Total void ratio suction (b) Evolution of e_{Ma} and e_m with suction



(a)



(b)






Figure 11. Comparing model predictions and experimental data in terms of S_r - $\log(s)$: (a) for the as-compacted specimen (b) evolution of S_{rMa} and S_{rm} during repeated hydraulic loads (c) for the 6D/W specimen




(a)




(b)

Figure 12. Comparing model predictions and experimental data in terms of e_w - $\log(s)$ for: (a) as-compacted specimen (b) 6D/W specimen



(a)



(b)

Figure 13. Permeability predicted by the proposed model: (a) k_r-S_e (b) K_w-S_e