

POLITECNICO DI TORINO  
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Sostegno ai poveri : quale riforma? : dal Reddito di Cittadinanza all'Assegno di Inclusione : analisi dell'Alleanza contro la povertà in Italia

*Original*

Sostegno ai poveri : quale riforma? : dal Reddito di Cittadinanza all'Assegno di Inclusione : analisi dell'Alleanza contro la povertà in Italia / Sacchi, Stefano; Ciarini, Andrea; Gallo, Giovanni; Lodigiani, Rosangela; Maino, Franca; Raitano, Michele. - ELETTRONICO. - (2023).

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11583/2984915 since: 2024-01-08T22:37:43Z

*Publisher:*

EGEA

*Published*

DOI:

*Terms of use:*

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

(Article begins on next page)

# New experimental campaign of NUMEN project

Cite as: AIP Conference Proceedings **2150**, 030001 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5124590>  
Published Online: 03 September 2019

C. Agodi, F. Cappuzzello, L. Acosta, P. Amador-Valenzuela, N. Auerbach, J. Barea, J. I. Bellone, D. Belmont, R. Bijker, D. Bonanno, T. Borello-Lewin, I. Boztosun, V. Branchina, S. Brasolin, G. Brischetto, O. Brunasso, S. Burrello, S. Calabrese, L. Calabretta, D. Calvo, V. Capirossi, D. Carbone, M. Cavallaro, R. Chen, I. Ciraldo, E. R. Chávez Lomelí, M. Colonna, G. D'Agostino, H. Djapo, G. De Geronimo, F. Delaunay, N. Deshmukh, P. N. De Faria, R. Espejel, C. Ferraresi, J. L. Ferreira, J. Ferretti, P. Finocchiaro, S. Firat, M. Fisichella, A. Flores, A. Foti, G. Gallo, H. Garcia-Tecocoatzi, B. Góngora, A. Hacisalihoglu, S. Hazar, A. Huerta, J. Kotila, Y. Kucuk, F. Iazzi, G. Lanzalone, F. La Via, J. A. Lay, H. Lenske, R. Linares, F. Longhitano, D. Lo Presti, J. Lubian, J. Ma, D. Marín-Lámbarri, S. Martínez, J. Mas, N. H. Medina, D. R. Mendes, P. Mereu, M. Morales, J. R. B. Oliveira, C. Ordoñez, A. Pakou, L. Pandola, H. Petrascu, N. Pietralla, F. Pinna, S. Reito, G. Reza, P. Ries, D. Rifuggiato, M. R. D. Rodrigues, A. D. Russo, G. Russo, S. Sandoval, E. Santopinto, R. B. B. Santos, O. Sgouros, M. A. G. da Silveira, S. O. Solakci, G. Souliotis, V. Soukeras, A. Spatafora, D. Torresi, S. Tudisco, R. I. M. Vsevolodovna, H. Vargas, G. Vega, J. S. Wang, V. Werner, Y. Y. Yang, A. Yildirin, V. A. B. Zagatto, and for the NUMEN collaboration



[View Online](#)



[Export Citation](#)

## ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

### [The NUMEN project @ LNS: Status and perspectives](#)

AIP Conference Proceedings **2150**, 030003 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5124592>

### [Symmetries and regularities in nuclei: Order out of seeming chaos](#)

AIP Conference Proceedings **2150**, 020001 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5124573>

### [Fascinating puzzle called double beta decay](#)

AIP Conference Proceedings **2150**, 020009 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5124581>

Lock-in Amplifiers  
... and more, from DC to 600 MHz



## New Experimental Campaign of NUMEN Project

C. Agodi<sup>1, a)</sup>, F. Cappuzzello<sup>1,2</sup>, L. Acosta<sup>3</sup>, P. Amador-Valenzuela<sup>4</sup>, N. Auerbach<sup>5</sup>, J. Barea<sup>6</sup>, J. I. Bellone<sup>1,2</sup>, D. Belmont<sup>7</sup>, R. Bijker<sup>7</sup>, D. Bonanno<sup>8</sup>, T. Borello-Lewin<sup>9</sup>, I. Boztosun<sup>10</sup>, V. Branchina<sup>8</sup>, S. Brasolin<sup>11</sup>, G. Brischetto<sup>1,2</sup>, O. Brunasso<sup>11</sup>, S. Burrello<sup>1,12</sup>, S. Calabrese<sup>1,2</sup>, L. Calabretta<sup>1</sup>, D. Calvo<sup>11</sup>, V. Capirossi<sup>11,13</sup>, D. Carbone<sup>1</sup>, M. Cavallaro<sup>1</sup>, R. Chen<sup>14</sup>, I. Ciraldo<sup>1,2</sup>, E.R. Chávez Lomelí<sup>3</sup>, M. Colonna<sup>1</sup>, G. D'Agostino<sup>1,2</sup>, H. Djapo<sup>10</sup>, G. De Geronimo<sup>15</sup>, F. Delaunay<sup>11,13,16</sup>, N. Deshmukh<sup>17</sup>, P.N. de Faria<sup>18</sup>, R. Espejel<sup>3</sup>, C. Ferraresi<sup>11,19</sup>, J.L. Ferreira<sup>18</sup>, J. Ferretti<sup>20,21</sup>, P. Finocchiaro<sup>1</sup>, S. Firat<sup>10</sup>, M. Fisichella<sup>11</sup>, A. Flores<sup>3</sup>, A. Foti<sup>8</sup>, G. Gallo<sup>1,2</sup>, H. Garcia-Tecocoatzi<sup>20,22</sup>, B. Góngora<sup>3</sup>, A. Hacisalihoglu<sup>23</sup>, S. Hazar<sup>10</sup>, A. Huerta<sup>3</sup>, J. Kotila<sup>24</sup>, Y. Kucuk<sup>10</sup>, F. Iazzi<sup>11,13</sup>, G. Lanzalone<sup>1,25</sup>, F. La Via<sup>26</sup>, J.A. Lay<sup>12</sup>, H. Lenske<sup>27</sup>, R. Linares<sup>18</sup>, F. Longhitano<sup>8</sup>, D. Lo Presti<sup>2,8</sup>, J. Lubian<sup>18</sup>, J. Ma<sup>14</sup>, D. Marín-Lámbarri<sup>3</sup>, S. Martínez<sup>3</sup>, J. Mas<sup>3</sup>, N.H. Medina<sup>9</sup>, D. R. Mendes<sup>18</sup>, P. Mereu<sup>11</sup>, M. Morales<sup>28</sup>, J.R.B. Oliveira<sup>9</sup>, C. Ordoñez<sup>3</sup>, A. Pakou<sup>29</sup>, L. Pandola<sup>1</sup>, H. Petrascu<sup>30</sup>, N. Pietralla<sup>31</sup>, F. Pinna<sup>11,13</sup>, S. Reito<sup>8</sup>, G. Reza<sup>3</sup>, P. Ries<sup>31</sup>, D. Rifuggiato<sup>1</sup>, M.R.D. Rodrigues<sup>9</sup>, A. D. Russo<sup>1</sup>, G. Russo<sup>2,8</sup>, S. Sandoval<sup>3</sup>, E. Santopinto<sup>20</sup>, R.B.B. Santos<sup>32</sup>, O. Sgouros<sup>1</sup>, M.A.G. da Silveira<sup>32</sup>, S.O. Solakci<sup>10</sup>, G. Souliotis<sup>33</sup>, V. Soukeras<sup>1</sup>, A. Spatafora<sup>1,2</sup>, D. Torresi<sup>1</sup>, S. Tudisco<sup>1</sup>, R.I.M. Vsevolodovna<sup>20,22</sup>, H. Vargas<sup>3</sup>, G. Vega<sup>3</sup>, J.S. Wang<sup>14</sup>, V. Werner<sup>31</sup>, Y.Y. Yang<sup>14</sup>, A. Yildirin<sup>10</sup>, V.A.B. Zagatto<sup>18</sup> for the NUMEN collaboration

<sup>1</sup>*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, Catania, Italy*

<sup>2</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia "Ettore Majorana", Università di Catania, Catania, Italy*

<sup>3</sup>*Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México*

<sup>4</sup>*Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Nucleares, México*

<sup>5</sup>*School of Physics and Astronomy Tel Aviv University, Israel*

<sup>6</sup>*Universidad de Concepcion, Chile*

<sup>7</sup>*Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México*

<sup>8</sup>*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*

<sup>9</sup>*Instituto de Física, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil*

<sup>10</sup>*Department of Physics, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey*

<sup>11</sup>*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy*

<sup>12</sup>*Departamento de FAMN, Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain*

<sup>13</sup>*DISAT, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy*

<sup>14</sup>*Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China*

<sup>15</sup>*Stony Brook University, USA*

<sup>16</sup>*LPC Caen, Normandie Université ENSICAEN, UNICAEN, CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France*

<sup>17</sup>*Nuclear Physics Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, India*

<sup>18</sup>*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niteroi, Brazil*

- <sup>19</sup>*DIMEAS, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy*  
<sup>20</sup>*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy*  
<sup>21</sup>*Department of Physics, Yale University, USA*  
<sup>22</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Italy*  
<sup>23</sup>*Institute of Natural Sciences, Karadeniz Teknik University, Turkey*  
<sup>24</sup>*University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland*  
<sup>25</sup>*Università degli Studi di Enna "Kore", Enna, Italy*  
<sup>26</sup>*CNR-IMM, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*  
<sup>27</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Giessen, Giessen, Germany*  
<sup>28</sup>*Instituto de Pesquisas Energeticas e Nucleares IPEN/CNEN, Brazil*  
<sup>29</sup>*Department of Physics and HINP, The University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece*  
<sup>30</sup>*IFIN-HH, Romania*  
<sup>31</sup>*Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Germany*  
<sup>32</sup>*Centro Universitario FEI Sao Bernardo do Campo, Brazil*  
<sup>33</sup>*Department of Chemistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece*

<sup>a)</sup>Corresponding author: agodi@lns.infn.it

**Abstract.** The NUMEN main goal is the extraction from measured cross-sections of “data-driven” information on Nuclear Matrix Elements for all the systems candidate for  $0\nu\beta\beta$ . The idea is to use as experimental tool Heavy Ions –Double Charge Exchange (HI-DCE) reactions. Crucial for the experimental challenges is the INFN Laboratori Nazionali del Sud (LNS) facility, made by the Superconducting Cyclotron (CS) and the MAGNEX magnetic spectrometer. The experimental measurements of HI-DCE reactions present a number of challenging aspects, since they are characterized by very low cross sections. Here it is reported the new experimental campaign of NUMEN Project.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The connection between nuclear structure and physics of neutrinoless double beta ( $0\nu\beta\beta$ ) decay has important implications on particle physics, cosmology and fundamental physics. We still do not know if the neutrino is a Majorana or a Dirac particle, that is if the neutrino is its own antiparticle or not. Also the absolute mass scale of the neutrino is unknown, only the relative scale is known from the neutrino-oscillation experiments. These unknown features of the neutrino can be tackled by experiments trying to detect the neutrinoless double beta ( $0\nu\beta\beta$ ) decay. The  $0\nu\beta\beta$  half-life can be factorized in three terms, related to different physics scale: the phase-space factor, connected with Atomic physics, the Matrix Element (NME) related with Nuclear physics and a term, related to Particle physics, in which it is supposed there are the answers to the unsolved questions, mentioned above, in the frame of new physics beyond the Standard Model. For evaluation of NME several methods have been used, based on different nuclear models, like the interacting shell model (ISM), the proton-neutron quasiparticle random-phase approximation (pnQRPA), the Interacting Boson Model (IBM-2) and various mean field models among the others [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. The presence of ambiguities in the models and the lack of strong experimental constraints correspond to significant differences in the obtained values. Using as a tool heavy-ion induced Double Charge Exchange (DCE) reactions, the NUMEN [6, 7, 8] project propose a novel way to address experimentally-driven information on the NMEs of  $0\nu\beta\beta$ . A fundamental relevance for the Project has the INFN facility at Laboratori Nazionali del Sud (LNS) in Catania, made by the Superconducting Cyclotron for the acceleration of the required high resolution and low emittance heavy-ion beams and the MAGNEX large acceptance magnetic spectrometer for the detection of the ejectiles [9]. Thanks to the application of the powerful trajectory reconstruction technique [11] MAGNEX guarantees high energy, mass and angle resolutions, which established its relevance in the heavy-ion physics research [11, 12, 13, 14].

## 2. Heavy-Ion Double Charge Exchange and $0\nu\beta\beta$

Heavy-ion double charge exchange reaction can proceed following different paths, going from the same initial to the same final state, among others the main are the sequential nucleon transfer mechanism, that is a fourth order

process and follows the Brink's Kinematical matching conditions [15], and the meson exchange mechanism, that is a second order process.

There are some important similarities between DCE reactions and  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay, although they are mediated by different interactions. The main ones are:

- i) the initial and final state wave functions in the two processes are the same,
- ii) the transition operators are similar, in both cases Fermi, Gamow-Teller and rank-two tensor components are present,
- iii) a large linear momentum (100 MeV/c) is available in the virtual intermediate channel,
- iv) the two processes are non-local and are characterized by two vertices localized in a pair of valence nucleons,
- v) they take place in the same nuclear medium,
- vi) a relevant off-shell propagation through virtual intermediate channels is present.

The descriptions of NMEs for DCE and  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay present the same degree of complexity, with the advantage for DCE to be "accessible" in laboratory. However, a simple relation between DCE cross sections and  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay half-lives is not trivial and needs to be explored.

In the 80s HI-DCE reactions were performed at energies above the Coulomb barrier in Berkeley, NSCL-MSU, IPN-Orsay and Los Alamos to determine the mass of n-rich isotopes by reaction Q-value measurements. However, due to the lack of zero-degree data and the poor yields in the measured energy spectra these experiments were not conclusive. The limitation was the very low cross sections involved, ranging from about 5-40 nb/sr [16] to 10  $\mu$ b/sr [17]. Actually, this wide range of observed cross sections has never been deeply discussed. An additional complication in the interpretation of the data arose from possible contributions of multi-nucleon transfer reactions leading to the same final states [18]. Recently, DCE reactions have been explored at RIKEN and RCNP at energies between 80 and 200 MeV/u for the purpose of searching the tetra-neutron ( $4n$ ) system and the DGT resonance [19, 20]. At the MAGNEX facility of the INFN-LNS we demonstrate the feasibility of this kind of DCE cross sections measurements. The  $^{40}\text{Ca}(^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{Ne})^{40}\text{Ar}$  reaction was studied at 15 MeV/u, showing that high mass, angular and energy resolution energy spectra and accurate absolute cross sections are at our reach, even at very forward angles, see ref. [21]. In addition, a schematic analysis of the reaction cross sections demonstrated that relevant quantitative information on DCE matrix elements can be extracted from the data. This result demonstrated that the previous experimental limitations are almost overcome and that high resolution and statistically significant experimental data can be measured for DCE processes.

### 3. NUMEN experimental campaign

The NUMEN project is conceived in a long-range time perspective, planning to perform a comprehensive study of many candidate systems for  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay. In this frame the experimental activity consists of two main classes of experiments, corresponding to the exploration of the two directions of isospin transfer  $\tau^-$  and  $\tau^+$ , characteristic of  $\beta^-\beta^-$  and  $\beta^+\beta^+$  decays, respectively. In particular, the  $\beta^+\beta^+$  direction in the target is investigated using an  $^{18}\text{O}$  beam and measuring the ( $^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{Ne}$ ) DCE induced transitions, together with the other reaction channels involving the same beam and target. Similarly, the  $\beta^-\beta^-$  direction is explored via the ( $^{20}\text{Ne}, ^{20}\text{O}$ ) reaction, using a  $^{20}\text{Ne}$  beam and detecting the reaction products of the DCE channel along with other open channels characterized by the same projectile and target. We perform some long run at LNS with MAGNEX, choosing few isotopes, candidates for  $0\nu\beta\beta$ , already at our reach in terms of energy resolution and availability of thin targets.

In this picture, we performed for the first time experimental investigations of the ( $^{20}\text{Ne}, ^{20}\text{O}$ ) DCE reaction on  $^{116}\text{Cd}$ ,  $^{76}\text{Ge}$  and  $^{130}\text{Te}$  targets. These are the first measurements of such a kind of reaction: no data are available in literature. A  $^{20}\text{Ne}^{10+}$  cyclotron beam at 15 AMeV was delivered by the CS of INFN-LNS and impinged on  $^{116}\text{Cd}$  rolled target of 1370  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  thickness and  $^{76}\text{Ge}$  (386  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  thickness) and  $^{130}\text{Te}$  (247  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  thickness) both evaporated on a C backing of 50  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ . The thickness of the various targets was carefully chosen in order to obtain an energy resolution which allows to distinguish the transition to the residual nucleus ground state from its first excited state. Indeed, the selected thickness of  $^{116}\text{Cd}$  is much higher than that of  $^{76}\text{Ge}$  and  $^{130}\text{Te}$ , because the first excited state in  $^{116}\text{Sn}$  case is at 1.293 MeV, to be compared to 0.559 MeV in  $^{76}\text{Se}$  and 0.536 MeV in  $^{130}\text{Xe}$ . The MAGNEX spectrometer was placed at forward angles including zero degree in the full acceptance mode (50 msr). Despite the experimental limitations, we were able to measure energy spectra and absolute cross sections for the

DCE reaction channel. Moreover, we measured also other reaction channels: one- and two-proton transfer, one- and two-neutron transfer and Single Charge Exchange, in order to estimate the role of the sequential multi-nucleon transfer routes on the diagonal DCE process. The data reduction [22, 23] and analysis are almost completed and the results will be published soon.

Systematic exploration, spanning all the variety of  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay candidate isotopes, is demanded for neutrino physics, and NUMEN is fully committed to pursue this ambitious goal. With this aim the project promotes a major upgrade of the INFN-LNS research facility in the direction of a significant increase of the beam intensity, in view of a series of experimental campaigns at high beam intensities (some  $\mu\text{A}$ ) and integrated charge of hundreds of mC up to C, for the experiments in which  $\gamma$ -coincidence measurements are required. This in turn demands challenging R&D in several aspects of the technology involved in heavy-ion collision experiments [24, 25, 26, 27]. Moreover, this project promotes and is strictly connected with a renewal of the INFN-LNS research infrastructure and with a specific R&D activity on detectors, materials and instrumentation. Moreover the acceleration of heavy-ion beams in the regime of kW power and at energies from 15 to 70 MeV/u requires a substantial change in the extraction technologies of the beam of the INFN-LNS Superconducting Cyclotron. In this frame, the development of the different theoretical aspects [28, 29, 30] connected with the nuclear structure and reaction mechanisms involved in heavy ions induced DCE reactions is a key issue for the achievement of the ambitious goals of the project. Both R&D and theoretical development are key aspects of the NUMEN project, that in perspective, aims at giving an innovative contribution in one of the most promising fields of fundamental physics, also indicating a possible growth prospect of heavy-ion physics in synergy with neutrino physics.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Engel and J. Menéndez, “Status and future of nuclear matrix elements for neutrinoless double-beta decay: a review,” *Reports on Progress in Physics*, vol. 80, no. 4, p. 046301, 2017.
2. J. Suhonen and O. Civitarese, “Double-beta-decay nuclear matrix elements in the qrpa framework,” *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics*, vol. 39, no. 8, p. 085105, 2012.
3. J. Barea, J. Kotila, and F. Iachello, “ $0\nu n b b$  and  $2\nu n b b$  nuclear matrix elements in the interacting boson model with isospin restoration,” *Physical Review C*, vol. 91, no. 3, p. 034304, 2015.
4. F. Šimkovic, V. Rodin, A. Faessler, and P. Vogel, “ $0\nu n b b$  and  $2\nu n b b$  nuclear matrix elements, quasiparticle random-phase approximation, and isospin symmetry restoration,” *Physical Review C*, vol. 87, no. 4, p. 045501, 2013.
5. J. Menendez, A. Poves, E. Caurier, and F. Nowacki, “Disassembling the nuclear matrix elements of the neutrinoless  $b b$  decay,” *Nuclear Physics A*, vol. 818, no. 3-4, pp. 139–151, 2009.
6. F. Cappuzzello, C. Agodi, M. Bondi, D. Carbone, M. Cavallaro, and A. Foti, “The role of nuclear reactions in the problem of  $0\nu b b$  decay and the numen project at infn-lns,” in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 630, p. 012018, IOP Publishing, 2015.
7. C. Agodi, F. Cappuzzello, M. Cavallaro, M. Bondi, D. Carbone, A. Cunsolo, and A. Foti, “Heavy ions double charge exchange reactions: towards the  $0\nu b b$  nuclear matrix element determination,” *Nuclear and Particle Physics Proceedings*, vol. 265, pp. 28–30, 2015.
8. F. Cappuzzello, C. Agodi, M. Cavallaro, D. Carbone, S. Tudisco, D. L. Presti, J. Oliveira, P. Finocchiaro, M. Colonna, D. Rifuggiato, *et al.*, “The numen project: Nuclear matrix elements for neutrinoless double beta decay,” *The European Physical Journal A*, vol. 54, no. 5, p. 72, 2018.
9. F. Cappuzzello, C. Agodi, D. Carbone, and M. Cavallaro, “The magnex spectrometer: Results and perspectives,” *The European Physical Journal A*, vol. 52, no. 6, p. 167, 2016.
10. W. Andrejtscheff, L. Kostov, L. Kostova, P. Petkov, M. Senba, N. Tsoupas, Z. Ding, and C. Tuniz, “ $A1$  some  $m1$  transition strengths in odd- $a$  nuclei away from closed shells,” *Nuclear Physics*, vol. 445, pp. 515–533, 1985.
11. F. Cappuzzello, D. Carbone, M. Cavallaro, M. Bondi, C. Agodi, F. Azaiez, A. Bonaccorso, A. Cunsolo, L. Fortunato, A. Foti, *et al.*, “Signatures of the giant pairing vibration in the  $14\text{c}$  and  $15\text{c}$  atomic nuclei,” *Nature communications*, vol. 6, p. 6743, 2015.

12. D. Carbone, “D. carbone, j. ferreira, f. cappuzzello, j. lubian, c. agodi, m. cavallaro, a. foti, a. gargano, s. lenzi, r. linares et al., phys. rev. c 95, 034603 (2017).,” *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 95, p. 034603, 2017.
13. M. Cavallaro, C. Agodi, M. Assié, F. Azaiez, F. Cappuzzello, D. Carbone, N. De Séréville, A. Foti, L. Pandola, J. Scarpaci, *et al.*, “Neutron decay of c 15 resonances by measurements of neutron time-of-flight,” *Physical Review C*, vol. 93, no. 6, p. 064323, 2016.
14. C. Agodi, G. Giuliani, F. Cappuzzello, A. Bonasera, D. Carbone, M. Cavallaro, A. Foti, R. Linares, and G. Santagati, “Analysis of pairing correlations in neutron transfer reactions and comparison to the constrained molecular dynamics model,” *Physical Review C*, vol. 97, no. 3, p. 034616, 2018.
15. D. Brink, “Kinematical effects in heavy-ion reactions,” *Physics Letters B*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 37–40, 1972.
16. J. Blomgren, K. Lindh, N. Anantaraman, S. M. Austin, G. Berg, B. Brown, J.-M. Casandjian, M. Chartier, M. Cortina-Gil, S. Fortier, *et al.*, “Search for double gamow-teller strength by heavy-ion double charge exchange,” *Physics Letters B*, vol. 362, no. 1-4, pp. 34–38, 1995.
17. D. Drake, J. Moses, J. Peng, N. Stein, and J. Sunier, “Exotic heavy-ion reactions on ca 40:(c 14, o 14) double charge exchange and (c 14, o 15) rearrangement transfer,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 45, no. 22, p. 1765, 1980.
18. C. Dasso and A. Vitturi, “Mechanism for double-charge exchange in heavy ion reactions,” *Physical Review C*, vol. 34, no. 2, p. 743, 1986.
19. K. Kisamori, S. Shimoura, H. Miya, S. Michimasa, S. Ota, M. Assie, H. Baba, T. Baba, D. Beaumel, M. Dozono, *et al.*, “Candidate resonant tetraneutron state populated by the he 4 (he 8, be 8) reaction,” *Physical review letters*, vol. 116, no. 5, p. 052501, 2016.
20. H. Sagawa and T. Uesaka, “Sum rule study for double gamow-teller states,” *Physical Review C*, vol. 94, no. 6, p. 064325, 2016.
21. F. Cappuzzello, M. Cavallaro, C. Agodi, M. Bondi, D. Carbone, A. Cunsolo, and A. Foti, “Heavy-ion double charge exchange reactions: A tool toward 0 n b b nuclear matrix elements,” *The European Physical Journal A*, vol. 51, no. 11, p. 145, 2015.
22. N. Deshmukh, F. Cappuzzello, C. Agodi, D. Carbone, M. Cavallaro, L. Acosta, D. Bonanno, D. Bongiovanni, I. Boztosun, S. Calabrese, *et al.*, “Heavy-ion particle identification for the transfer reaction channels for the system 18o+ 116sn under the numen project,” in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1056, p. 012015, IOP Publishing, 2018.
23. S. Calabrese, F. Cappuzzello, D. Carbone, M. Cavallaro, C. Agodi, L. Acosta, D. Bonanno, D. Bongiovanni, T. Borello-Lewin, I. Boztosun, D. Calvo, E. R. C. Lomeli, N. Deshmukh, P. N. De Faria, P. Finocchiaro, M. Fisichella, A. Foti, G. Gallo, A. Hacisalihoglu, F. Iazzi, R. Introzzi, G. Lanzalone, R. Linares, F. Longhitano, D. Lo Presti, N. Medina, A. Muoio, J. R. B. Oliveira, A. Pakou, L. Pandola, F. Pinna, S. Reito, G. Russo, G. Santagati, O. Sgouros, S. O. Solakci, V. Soukeras, G. Souliotis, A. Spatafora, D. Torresi, S. Tudisco, A. Yildirim, and V. A. B. Zagatto, “First measurement of the 116cd(20ne,20o)116sn reaction at 15 amev,” *Acta Physica Polonica B*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 275–280, 2018.
24. S. Tudisco, F. La Via, C. Agodi, C. Altana, G. Borghi, M. Boscardin, G. Bussolino, L. Calcagno, M. Camarda, F. Cappuzzello, *et al.*, “Silicon carbide detectors for intense luminosity investigations and applications,” *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 7, p. 2289, 2018.
25. D. Carbone, M. Cavallaro, C. Agodi, F. Cappuzzello, L. Cosentino, and P. Finocchiaro, “A mini-phoswich scintillator as a possible stop detector for the numen project,” *Results in Physics*, vol. 6, pp. 863–865, 2016.
26. F. Iazzi, S. Ferrero, R. Introzzi, F. Pinna, L. Scaltrito, D. Calvo, M. Fisichella, C. Agodi, F. Cappuzzello, D. Carbone, and M. Cavallaro, “A new cooling technique for targets operating under very intense beams,” in *WIT Transactions on Engineering Sciences*, vol. 116, pp. 61–70, 2017.
27. D. L. Presti, C. Agodi, D. Bonanno, D. Bongiovanni, D. Carbone, F. Cappuzzello, M. Cavallaro, P. Finocchiaro, G. De Geronimo, G. Gallo, *et al.*, “Challenges for high rate signal processing for the numen experiment,” in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1056, p. 012034, IOP Publishing, 2018.
28. H. Lenske, J. I. Bellone, M. Colonna, J.-A. Lay, N. Collaboration, *et al.*, “Theory of single-charge exchange heavy-ion reactions,” *Physical Review C*, vol. 98, no. 4, p. 044620, 2018.

29. J. Bellone, M. Colonna, H. Lenske, J. Lay, *et al.*, “Probing beta decay matrix elements through heavy ion charge exchange reactions,” in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1056, p. 012004, IOP Publishing, 2018.
30. E. Santopinto, H. García-Tecocoatzi, R. Magaña-Vsevolodovna, and J. Ferretti, “Heavy-ion double-charge-exchange and its relation to neutrinoless double-beta decay,” *Phys. Rev. C* 98, 061601 (R), 2018.