

Type of materials, pyrolysis conditions, carbon content and size dimensions: The parameters that influence the mechanical properties of biochar cement-based composites

Original

Type of materials, pyrolysis conditions, carbon content and size dimensions: The parameters that influence the mechanical properties of biochar cement-based composites / Cosentino, Isabella; Restuccia, Luciana; Ferro, Giuseppe Andrea; Tulliani, Jean-Marc. - In: THEORETICAL AND APPLIED FRACTURE MECHANICS. - ISSN 0167-8442. - 103:(2019), p. 102261. [10.1016/j.tafmec.2019.102261]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2741293 since: 2019-07-10T14:25:50Z

Publisher:

elsevier

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.tafmec.2019.102261

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Type of materials, pyrolysis conditions, carbon content and size dimensions: the parameters that influence the mechanical properties of biochar cement-based composites

Isabella Cosentino^a, Luciana Restuccia^a, Giuseppe Andrea Ferro^a, Jean-Marc Tulliani^b

^a*Department of Structural, Geotechnical and Building Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Turin (Italy)*

^b*Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Turin (Italy)*

1 **ABSTRACT:** The interest regarding the biochar, the sub-product of biomass pyrolysis process, has increased
2 enormously in the last few years, finding several applications in different fields (agricultural, construction,
3 thermal insulation, etc...). The experimental activities previously conducted at Politecnico di Torino has shown
4 how some biochar processes' parameters (production process, temperature, heating rate, pressure) as well as
5 some biochar features (carbon content, particle size distribution, porosity...) influence dramatically the
6 efficiency to enhance the mechanical properties of the cement composites. In this research, a standardized
7 biochar provided by the UK Biochar Centre has been used: its principle characteristic lies in the standardization
8 of its production, that makes it suitable to be used as filler in cement-based composites, ensuring the
9 reproducibility of the cement mixtures. However, it has been noticed that the pyrolysis production parameters
10 and the content of carbon in the standardized biochar have negatively influenced the mechanical results that,
11 in terms of flexural strength and fracture energy, have been worse than those obtained in previous studies.
12 Despite this, a general enhancement of mechanical properties has been recorded also with standardized biochar
13 with respect the traditional pure cement pastes. This result is extremely important, because it means that, even
14 the parameters are not optimal, biochar can be used to create new green building materials because of its
15 effectiveness in cementitious composites.

16

17 **Key words:** pyrolysis; biochar; cement-based composites; carbon nanoparticles; mechanical properties;
18 ductility.

19

20

21

22 **1. Introduction**

23 The cement industry is currently facing multiple challenges such as: depletion of fossil fuel reserves, scarcity
24 of raw materials, increasing demand for construction materials, as well as increasing environmental concerns
25 such as air pollution and climate change. The production of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) yearly releases
26 into the atmosphere roughly 6% of all man-made carbon dioxide emissions [1]. This makes cement the most
27 studied material and the use of green concrete is spreading through partial substitution of raw materials and
28 partial replacement of clinker with alternative constituents such as fly ashes, blast-furnace slag, silica fume
29 (Supplementary Cementitious Materials, SCMs) or leading to the development of alternative binders such as
30 calcium sulfoaluminate cement, magnesium oxide based cement, geopolymers, CO₂-cured cement (Alternative
31 Cementitious Materials, ACMs).

32 Another material - emerging, called biochar - is receiving more and more attention, given its extraordinary
33 chemical and physical properties and above all because it is a waste product, difficult to dispose of. In fact, it
34 derives from the pyrolysis process, which is the thermal decomposition of biomass in a limited or zero oxygen
35 environment [2]. Biochar is a fine, porous and light material, with a great absorption capacity and a large
36 surface area. Furthermore, it has a basic pH and is rich in carbon. The biochar is chemically stable for long
37 periods of time (hundreds or thousands of years) and, consequently, it is believed that it could be effective for
38 long-term CO₂ sequestration [3-4]. Biochar is used mainly in agriculture as soil improver, increasing soil
39 fertility and allowing the capture of carbon in soils, contributing to climate change mitigation [5]. It is used as
40 a food supplement in cattle breeding, as reducing agent for metal production in industrial processing and to
41 clean grey water. Another field is textile one: here it is introduced as a component of some fabrics for
42 sportswear and can perform the functions of an absorber. It is also experimented inside batteries, always
43 because of its propensity to be a chemical reducing agent. It also found application as a component in asphalts.
44 Moreover, biochar has excellent insulating properties and it is also able to act as a filter for the air because of
45 its porous structure, improving air quality. It can therefore easily absorb moisture and work as electromagnetic
46 shielding [8]. Furthermore, it can be used as an insulating material in buildings [9].

47 From several years, at Politecnico di Torino, biochar has been investigated as nano/micro particles in cement-

48 based composites, in order to obtain an enhancement of the mechanical properties and ductility of cementitious
49 materials [10]. It has been proved that biochar can be easily inserted into the cement mixture as a filler, since
50 it does not react with the cement matrix, and at the nano/micro scale it reduces the porosity and consequently
51 improves the porosity and consequently improving the durability of concrete. In particular, Politecnico di
52 Torino's researchers focused their attention on agro-food industry waste: coffee powder, cocoa husks, pellets
53 of parchment coffee, rice husk and hazelnut shells and many others more has been pyrolyzed via a lab-scale
54 reactor system, by evaluating their contribution into the cementitious composites. The results of those
55 experiences are hereafter summarized.

56 1. Micro-carbonized particles obtained from hemp hurd (HH) by controlled pyrolysis were used as
57 additive in self-consolidating cement composites. In [7], an investigation on four different additions
58 (i.e. 0.08, 0.20, 1.00 and 3.00 by wt% of biochar in cement) is reported. The analysis of flexural
59 strength values showed a mixed trend of increase and decrease in proportion by varying the content of
60 carbonized particles addition. A slight increase of 7% in the modulus of rupture MOR was achieved
61 by adding 0.08 wt% HH while a noticeable decrease on further addition up to 3% was found.
62 Evaluated toughness indices (I5 & I10, determined according to the standard ASTM C1018 [11]) of
63 the cement composites clearly demonstrate that the addition of HH significantly increases the fracture
64 toughness. It is believed that the presence of a high number of irregular shaped carbonized particles
65 influences the crack paths by increasing their tortuosity [7].

66 2. Pyrolyzed polyethylene beads (CNBs) and coconuts shells (*Cocos nucifera*, CCNs) were produced to
67 investigate the potential beneficial effects of carbon micro/nanoparticles addition to cement pastes for
68 improving the mechanical properties of the resulting composites. When added to cement paste, up to
69 0.08 wt%, both pyrolyzed CNBs and CCNs proved to be effective in increasing the cement matrix
70 compressive strength and toughness. The dependence of the aggregate shape on toughening is critical
71 and angular grains are needed to produce effective aggregate-bridging. Carbon nano/micro-particles
72 were prepared by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) using low-density polyethylene (LDPE) as a
73 precursor. These particles proved to be spherical and interconnected for the CNBs, while the CCNs
74 were irregular in shape, as the result of the grinding step. A pressure of 3 bars was fixed inside the

75 reacting chamber while the temperature was maintained in the range 750 to 850°C and an inert carrier
76 gas was used in the experiment [10].

77 3. Nano/micro carbonized particles produced from waste bagasse fibers have been explored to modify
78 the mechanical properties and fracture patterns of the resulting cementitious composites. When added
79 with cement paste up to 1 wt% (six different amounts were investigated), the carbonized bagasse
80 particles were found effective in significant enhancement of mechanical strength as well as fracture
81 toughness. The increment of mechanical strength is observed up to an addition of 0.2 wt%. Also in
82 this case, an inverse trend was observed beyond this content. The results demonstrated that an addition
83 of these carbonized particles by 0.2wt% improved the flexural resistance measured in terms of MOR
84 by 69.9% while an increment of 46.4% and 61.2% was achieved in first crack and ultimate fracture
85 toughness, respectively. The dependence of the particle shape on toughening is critical and angular
86 grains are needed to produce effective crack-bridging [11].

87 4. Nano/micro-sized carbonized particles were synthesized from hazelnut and peanut shells for
88 producing high-performance cement composites. Carbon nano/microparticles obtained by controlled
89 pyrolysis of peanut (PS) and hazelnut (HS) shells were investigated. When added to cement paste, up
90 to 1 wt%, these materials led to an increase of the cement matrix flexural strength and of toughness.
91 Moreover, with respect to plain cement, the total increase in electromagnetic radiation shielding effect
92 when adding 0.5 wt% of PS or HS in cement composites is much higher in comparison to the ones
93 reported in the literature for CNTs used in the same content. In the case of PS addition, the percentage
94 of particles which ensures a maximum shielding effectiveness gives rise also coincides with a
95 maximum value of the fracture energy, making possible to prepare cementitious materials optimized
96 both from a mechanical and an electromagnetic shielding point of view [8].

97 5. Cement composites are quasi-brittle in nature and possess extremely low tensile strength as compared
98 to their compressive strength. The experimental results indicate that the incorporation of micro sized
99 inert particles acted as the obstacles in the growth of the cracks thus improving the ductility and the
100 energy absorption capacity of the self-consolidating cementitious composites [12].

- 101 6. Nano/micro-sized pyrolyzed hazelnut shells and pyrolyzed coffee powder particles were used as “zero
102 cost” aggregates in cement pastes, in order to improve strength and toughness. Results showed an
103 increase of the mechanical properties of tested specimens, specifically an improvement in strength,
104 toughness and ductility. Furthermore, mechanical properties strongly improved for certain specific
105 content of carbonized nano-micro materials (0.8 wt% with respect to cement). Pyrolyzed nano/micro
106 particles can interact with the fracture evolution by means of the “overlapping effect”. Moreover, they
107 are strong enough to force a change of the path and the growth of microcracks, thus increasing the
108 fracture surface and consequently the fracture energy [13].
- 109 7. Two types of pyrolyzed agro-food waste, coffee powder and hazelnut shells, were investigated as
110 carbon nano-aggregates, in different percentages of addition with respect to the weight of the cement.
111 The results relative to 7 days curing showed that nanoparticles have substantially improved all
112 evaluated mechanical parameters with respect to the original cement-based composites. It can be noted
113 how the two biochars “worked better” at different contents. By evaluating the MOR results, the most
114 effective addition for pyrolyzed hazelnut shells is equal to 0.8 wt%, while for coffee powder it is 0.5
115 wt%. Regarding the fracture energy results, the trend follows the results by three-point bending TPB
116 tests. Also in the case of 28 days curing, there is an improvement of the mechanical properties due to
117 the presence of the pyrolyzed nanoparticles. The better percentages of addition are the same as those
118 of the 7 days mechanical tests [14].
- 119 8. Coarse particles of pyrolyzed hazelnut shells, already investigated at the nanoscale, were used to
120 evaluate the mechanical properties of cement-based composites. The particle size distribution used
121 was in the range from some micron up to 140 μm . The experimental results demonstrated that it was
122 possible to use pyrolyzed materials with coarser particle size, guaranteeing the improvement of the
123 mechanical properties in terms of flexural and compressive strength, but not in terms of ductility,
124 observed when using smaller particles. In this case the lowest percentage of addition (0.5 wt%) was
125 optimal, showing an increment of 48% and of 62% at 7 days and of 23% and 61% at 28 days for
126 flexural and compressive strength, respectively. Presumably, the carbonaceous particles, being
127 coarser, a small percentage of them is enough for filling only the larger pores in the matrix, conferring

128 to the cementitious composites enhanced mechanical properties. The results showed an increase of
 129 fracture energy at 7 days with the maximum measured value for 0.8 wt% of biochar content (84%
 130 greater fracture energy respect to the plain specimens). On the other hands, at 28 days, this increment
 131 vanishes, and a decrease of GF was observed for all mixtures (with decrements between 17% for 0.5
 132 wt% of particles addition and of 4% for the addition of 1 wt%) [15].

133 Although these results were satisfactory in terms of mechanical properties, it cannot be omitted that the self-
 134 produced biochar cannot be considered at zero cost: in fact, the annealing and functional procedures and energy
 135 consumption for grinding procedures both impact on the sustainability of the material.

136 For this reason the effectiveness of standardized biochar – named Softwood Biochar SWC and provided by
 137 the UK Biochar Centre, has been investigated with the main goal to guarantee the reproducibility of the
 138 cementitious mixtures. The used material in this research is a biochar produced from pyrolyzed feedstock with
 139 nominal peak temperature of 700°C, with a carbon content of 90.21 wt% and with a stability of 97.27 % C-
 140 basis [16].

141

142 **2. Materials and methods**

143 *2.1 Manufacturing of materials and specimens*

144 Ordinary Portland Cement, deionized water, superplasticizer, and biochar were used for the preparation of
 145 cement mixes. Ordinary Portland Cement (CEM I 52.5 R) was produced by Ciments Vigier SA. It is
 146 characterized by the rapid development of the initial resistance, in accordance to the harmonized European
 147 standard UNI EN 197/1 and is labeled with CE marking according to European Regulation 305/2011 (CPR).
 148 Its composition, physical, mechanical, and chemical requirements are reported in Tables 1-3.

149

150

Table 1. Cement – Composition.

Type	Designation	Notation	Clinker Portland	Secondary Constituents
CEM I	Cement Portland	CEM I	95-100%	0-5%

151

152

Table 2. Cement – Physical and Mechanical Requirements.

Class of Strength	Setting time (min)	Stability (mm)
52.5 R	≥ 45	≤ 10

153

154

155

156

Table 3. Cement - Chemical Requirements.

Properties	Requirements	Indicative Values Vigier
Sulphate Content	$\leq 4.0 \%$	<3%
Chloride Content	$\leq 0.10 \%$	<0.06%

157

158 A Superplasticizer (Isoflow6600), produced by Cemex, was used to achieve a good workability of the mix and
 159 in the meanwhile to reduce the w/c ratio to 0.35; its additional percentage with respect to the weight of cement
 160 was 1 wt%. Its characteristics are shown in Table 4.

161

Table 4. Characteristics of Superplasticizer “Isoflow6600” of Cemex.

Characteristics	Value	Regulation
Form	liquid	
Color	light brown	
pH (at 20 °C)	6.1 ± 1	ISO 4316
Boiling Temperature	100 °C	
Density (at 20 °C)	$1.08 \pm 0.02 \text{ g/cm}^3$	ISO 758
Solubility	soluble in water	

162 Softwood Biochar SWC was added as nano/microparticles in the cementitious composites. of the investigated
 163 additions were 0.8 wt% and 1 wt% with respect to cement on the basis of previous studies [15]. Its basic utility
 164 properties, the production parameters and the toxicant reporting are shown in the Tables 5-7.

165

Table 5. SWC Basic Utility Properties.

Properties	Unit of measure	Value
Moisture	wt %	1.00
C _{tot}	wt %	90.21
H	wt %	1.83
O	wt %	6.02
C _{org}	wt %	tbd*
H:C _{org}	Molar ratio	tbd*
H:C _{tot}	Molar ratio	0.24
O:C _{tot}	Molar ratio	0.05
Total ash	wt %	1.89

Total N	wt %	< 0.01
pH	-	8.44
Electric conductivity	dS/m	0.16
Biochar C stability	% C-basis	97.27

*tbd = to be defined in next version,

Table 6. SWC Toxicant Reporting - Total Content

Toxicity	Unit of measure	Value
Dioxin/ Furan (PCDD/ Fs)	mg/kg dry wet	3.30
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA16)	mg/kg dry wet	0.18
Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	mg/kg dry wet	0.17
As	mg/kg dry wet	0.61
Cd	mg/kg dry wet	8.16
Cr	mg/kg dry wet	123.35
Co	mg/kg dry wet	4.37
Cu	mg/kg dry wet	9.66
Pb	mg/kg dry wet	bdl*
Hg	mg/kg dry wet	bdl*
Mo	% C-basis	38.54
Ni	mg/kg dry wet	74.07
Se	mg/kg dry wet	bdl*
Zn	mg/kg dry wet	99.60

* bdl = below detection limit

Table 7. SWC Production Parameters

Parameters	Unit of measure	Value
Nominal HTT*	°C	700
Max. char HTT*	°C	680
Reactor wall temp.	°C	700
Heating rate	°C	680
Kiln residence time	min	12
Mean time at HTT*	min	5
Biochar yield	wt %	87
Pyrolysis liquid yield	wt %	27.64
Pyrolysis gas yield	wt %	54.05
Pyrolysis liquid HHV*	MJ/kg	1.06
Pyrolysis gas HHV*	MJ/kg	12.6

172

*HTT=highest treatment temperature, HHV = higher heating value

173

The standardized biochar SWC was first manually ground in agate mortar with an agate pestle and then sieved

174

with a 125 μm sieve. Subsequently, it was milled in ethanol in agate jars with agate balls (5 mm in diameter)

175

in a planetary mill (Pulverisette 5) (Figure 1).



176

Fig. 1: SWC Biochar - Grinding operation with planetary multi-station mill

177

At the end of each grinding cycle the particle sizes were measured by means of laser granulometry “Analysette

178

22 Compact” of Fritsch. (Figure 2) The average particle size reached was in the range between 2-6 μm . (Figure

179

3). The biochar solution in ethanol was finally placed into the oven for few days in order to dry the material

180

and then pulverized it to make it ready for cement mixes preparation.

181

182

183

184

185

186



Fig. 2: SWC Biochar - Granulometric Analysis.




Fig. 3: SWC Biochar - Granulometric Curve


187
188
189

190

191 Through the Scanning Electron Microscope with Field Emission source (FE-SEM) it was possible to
192 characterize the morphology of the particles with a resolution around the nanometer. The images of FE-SEM
193 measurements are reported in Figure 4.

194

195



196
197

Fig. 4: (a) FE-SEM scale 10 µm with measurement; (b) FE-SEM scale 1 µm with measurement

198 For each experimental set (OPC - Sp 1%; SWC 0.8% - Sp 1%; SWC 1% - Sp 1%), 8 specimens were prepared,
 199 (two steel formworks, made up of four 20 x 20 x 80 mm³ prismatic moulds) 4 of whom were tested after 7
 200 days of curing and the remaining 4 were tested after 28 days of curing (Table 8).

201

202

203

Table 8. Set of Experimental Specimens

Mixture ID	Number specimens (7 days of curing)	Number specimens (28 days of curing)
OPC - Sp 1%	4	4
SWC 0.8% - Sp 1%	4	4
SWC 1% - Sp 1%	4	4

204

205 Table 9 shows the mix-design used to prepare the three sets of the experimental specimens. The water/cement
 206 ratio was fixed to 0.35 and the additional percentages of the superplasticizer with respect to the weight of
 207 cement was 1%, while the pyrolyzed nanoparticles were added in different percentages (0.8 wt% and 1 wt%)
 208 with respect to the weight of cement.

209 .

210

Table 9. Cement mix-design

Materials		Mix-design N° 1	Mix-design N° 2	Mix-design N° 3
		0%* SWC	0.8%* SWC	1%* SWC
Cement	[g]	230	230	230
Water	[g]	80.5	80.5	80.5
w/c ratio	[-]	0.35	0.35	0.35
Superplasticizer	[g]	2.3	2.3	2.3
Biochar SWC	[g]	0	1.84	2.3

211

212

*with respect to the weight of cement

213 All the materials were first weighed according to the amounts reported in table 9. Deionized water,
 214 superplasticizer and the standardized biochar were weighed and mixed together inside a plastic beaker,
 215 subsequently immersed in an ultrasonic bath for 10 minutes in order to allow a good mixing and
 216 homogenization suspension. Then, the cement was gradually added into the suspension within the first minute

217 and the mixture was then subjected to mechanical mixing by means of a vertical rod agitator with a four wings
218 steel propeller with a direct motor with variation of speed, by taking care that the mixture remained fluid and
219 homogeneous. Specifically, in the first and in the second minute, the mixing was performed with the same
220 speed, while in the third and fourth mixing minutes the mixing speed was slightly increased. At the end of the
221 mixing phase, the cement mixture was slowly transferred into the steel formworks, made up of four 20 x 20 x
222 80 mm³ prismatic moulds, avoiding air entrainment. Then, the experimental specimens were stored inside these
223 moulds in a humid atmosphere for at least 24 hours and, once they were demolded, they were immersed in
224 water for 7 and 28 days curing.

225 2.2 Experimental tests

226 Through the Scanning Electron Microscope with Field Emission source (FE-SEM, Zeiss Merlin) it was
227 possible to characterize the morphology of the particles with a resolution around the nanometer. Each
228 experimental specimen was submitted to three points bending test. Before performing the TPB tests, a 6 mm
229 in depth and 2 mm in width notch was realized on each specimen by means of a metallographic truncator “TR
230 100 S Remet” in the centre line of the specimen on the face orthogonal to the casting surface, according to
231 JCI-S-001 recommendation [17]. The TPB tests were performed using a Zwick Line-Z050, a single column
232 displacement-controlled testing machine with load cell of 1 kN. All the specimens were tested in Crack Mouth
233 Opening Displacement mode (CMOD) through a clip-on gauge (Figure 5). A span of 65 mm and a test speed
234 of 0.005 mm/min were adopted.

235 Flexural strength, σ_f , was determined as it follows [17]:

236

$$237 \quad \sigma_{f,max} = F_{max} \cdot \frac{3L}{2bh^2} \quad [MPa] \quad (1)$$

238

239 in which L is the span equal to 65 mm, b is the specimen depth equal to 20 mm and h is the net ligament height
240 equal to 14 mm.

241 The TPB tests let to evaluate the Fracture Energy, G_F , by using the equation proposed in the JCI-S-001 standard
242 [17]:

243

244
$$G_F = \frac{0.75W_0 + W_1}{A_{lig}} = G_{F0} + G_{Fcorr} \quad \left[\frac{N}{mm} \right] \quad (2)$$


245

246 in which A_{lig} [mm²] is the area of the nominal ligament, W_0 [N·mm] is the area below CMOD curve up to
 247 rupture of specimen and W_1 [N·mm] is the work done by deadweight of specimen and loading and defined as
 248 follow:

249
$$W_1 = 0.75 \left(\frac{S}{L} m_1 + 2m_2 \right) g \cdot CMOD_c \quad [N \cdot mm] \quad (3)$$

250

251 in which S is the loading span, L is the total length of specimen, m_1 is the mass of the notched specimen, m_2 is
 252 the mass of the loading arrangement part not attached to testing machine but placed on beam until rupture, g
 253 is the gravity acceleration and $CMOD_c$ is the crack mouth opening displacement at the rupture.



254

255 Figure 5: Three Points Bending Test in CMOD control mode

256

257 **3. Results and discussion**

258 *3.1 Composites mechanical testing*

259 The experimental results obtained by TPB tests showed very interesting indications. In general, it is possible
 260 to notice that the standardized biochar improves the mechanical properties of cement-based composites in

261 comparison to the standard ones. The results reported in Table 10 (7 days tested specimens) and Table 11 (28
 262 days tested specimens) were processed with statistical tools, specifically the mean value and the standard
 263 deviation of the Maximum Force F_{max} , the Flexural Strength σ_f and the Fracture Energy G_F respectively:

264 Table 10. Experimental Results – TPB tests 7 days

Specimen ID	N°	F_{max} [N]	F_{max} mean	F_{max} st. dev.	σ_f [MPa]	σ_f mean	σ_f st. dev	G_F [N/mm]	G_F mean	G_F st. dev
OPC - Sp 1%	1	68.10			1.69			0.008		
OPC - Sp 1%	2	73.80	73.20	6.66	1.84	1.82	0.17	0.011	0.013	0.004
OPC - Sp 1%	3	82.40			2.05			0.018		
OPC - Sp 1%	4	68.50			1.70			0.014		
SWC 0.8 % - Sp 1%	1	75.20			1.87			0.010		
SWC 0.8 % - Sp 1%	2	78.40	83.88	8.72	1.95	2.16	0.23	0.010	0.014	0.004
SWC 0.8 % - Sp 1%	3	95.80			2.38			0.019		
SWC 0.8 % - Sp 1%	4	86.10			2.14			0.014		
SWC 1 % - Sp 1%	1	111.00			2.76			0.024		
SWC 1 % - Sp 1%	2	88.60	89.88	15.87	2.20	2.24	0.39	0.026	0.022	0.004
SWC 1 % - Sp 1%	3	87.40			2.17			0.017		
SWC 1 % - Sp 1%	4	72.50			1.80			0.022		

265

266 Table 11. Experimental Results – TPB tests 28 days

Specimen ID	N°	F_{max} [N]	F_{max} mean	F_{max} st. dev.	σ_f [MPa]	σ_f mean	σ_f st. dev	G_F [N/mm]	G_F mean	G_F st. dev
OPC - Sp 1%	1	89.00			2.21			0.008		
OPC - Sp 1%	2	78.30	82.85	6.30	1.95	2.06	0.17	0.007	0.014	0.007
OPC - Sp 1%	3	76.60			1.91			0.020		
OPC - Sp 1%	4	87.50			2.18			0.020		
SWC 0.8 % - Sp 1%	1	101.00			2.51			0.009		
SWC 0.8 % - Sp 1%	2	78.60	99.71	15.82	1.95	2.48	0.48	0.012	0.016	0.007
SWC 0.8 % - Sp 1%	3	116.94			2.91			0.024		
SWC 0.8 % - Sp 1%	4	102.30			2.54			0.020		
SWC 1 % - Sp 1%	1	113.00			2.81			0.018		
SWC 1 % - Sp 1%	2	87.10	100.23	10.70	2.17	2.49	0.27	0.026	0.023	0.007
SWC 1 % - Sp 1%	3	98.44			2.45			0.030		
SWC 1 % - Sp 1%	4	102.37			2.55			0.016		

267

268 The specimens containing the biochar showed a certain dispersion of the MOR values (Table 11). This is
 269 probably due to the problems encountered during the preparation phase of the composites, that is the non-
 270 uniform dispersion of the nanoparticles into the cement paste [18].

271 However, samples characterized by the addition of biochar have a greater flexural strength than the plain
 272 cement, either at 7 days or at 28 days; this increase amounts to around 20%. Furthermore, there was no
 273 substantial difference between the two studied biochar contents (Figure 6). Starting from TPB tests, it was
 274 possible to study the Fracture Energy of the experimental specimens and it was observed that its mean value
 275 slightly increased with the introduction of biochar in the cement, both at 7 and 28 days (Figure 7).




Fig. 6: TPB Test: Flexural strength – 7 and 28 days




Fig. 7: Fracture Energy – 7 and 28 days - JCI-S-001 standard





Fig. 8: (a) Load vs CMOD Curve, 7 days and (b) Load vs CMOD Curve, 28 days for the most significant tests

276
277
278
279

280
281
282

283 From the Load-CMOD curves graph (Figure 8) it was possible to notice that the pyrolyzed nanoparticles within
284 the cement-based composites led to a better mechanical behavior in terms of peak-load and post-peak response,
285 directly linked to the flexural strength and toughness results.


286 From the FE-SEM observations, the biochar particles showed sharp edges and irregular shapes but also
287 appeared very porous; the carbon nanoparticles have a high surface-area to volume ratio (SA/V), that means
288 an increment of the contact area between the particles and the surrounding cementitious matrix, hence allowing
289 a higher interaction with the matrix and more efficient behavior. This aspect could lead to a good adhesion of
290 the particles to the cement matrix. Moreover, the FESEM observations conducted in previous studies [19]
291 showed that specimens with pyrolyzed material have a more articulated and tortuous fracture path and therefore

292 less linear than the typical brittle fracture observed in cements. This explains the variation in the post-peak
 293 behavior of the material and the increase in the ability to absorb energy before breaking [18].

294 3.2 Comparison between past investigations and current research


295 The previous studies on the effectiveness of biochar into cement-based materials demonstrated that it was
 296 possible to use pyrolyzed materials with coarser particle size, guaranteeing the improvement of the mechanical
 297 properties in terms of flexural and compressive strength, but not in terms of ductility, as obtained by using
 298 smaller particles [15]. Restuccia and Ferro [15] used two different biomasses of agro-food wastes: coffee
 299 powder and hazelnut shells. For both biochars, the addition with respect to weight of cement were 0.5, 0.8 and
 300 1 %. These materials were subjected to the pyrolysis process with a heating ramp of 6 °C/min and a final
 301 temperature set point of 800 °C. By evaluating the Flexural Strength and Fracture Energy results, the most
 302 effective additional percentage for pyrolyzed hazelnut shells was equal to 0.8 wt%., while for coffee powder
 303 was 0.5 wt%., In comparison with the present research, it is possible to notice that σ_f and G_F tend to increase
 304 with the increasing content of biochar. The average values of F_{max} , σ_f and G_F of the present work are generally
 305 lower than those reported in [18] although using pyrolyzed materials with comparable sizes (Figures 9-10).

306



307

308 Fig. 9: Flexural Strength - Comparison between PY-HS, PY-CP and standardized SWC specimens, 7 and 28 days.
 309 (PY-HS=pyrolyzed hazelnut shell, PY-CP=pyrolyzed coffee powder)
 310



312

313

Fig.10: Fracture Energy - Comparison between PY-HS, PY-CP and standardized SWC specimens, 7 and 28 days.

314

315 The percentage of carbon present inside the standardized biochar used in this work (90.21%) is lower than the
 316 one of the self-produced biochar used in the previous study [17] (97.8%) as well as the pyrolysis temperature
 317 (700 ° C compared to 800 ° C). These two features have a great influence on the yield and efficiency of the
 318 biochar production, as also highlighted by other literature studies [19-20]. Gupta and Kua [19] underlined the
 319 biochar key production factors (specifically, pyrolysis temperature, heating rate, and pressure) that determine
 320 the potential of biochar as a carbon capturing and sequestering construction material.

321 Other results were analyzed to understand the effectiveness of the biochar and the influence of the pyrolysis
 322 conditions on the mechanical properties of cementitious composites. Montenegro et al [21] found that strength
 323 and ductility are increased by adding a percentage equal to 0.08 wt% of pyrolyzed coffee particles into the
 324 cementitious matrix. In fact, the F_{MAX} grew from 130 N to about 180 N and, at the same time, the fracture
 325 energy increased by 40%. The pyrolysis of coffee powder, cocoa husk and parchment coffee, rice husk and
 326 hazelnut shell pellets had been carried out. The influence of two different conditions on the distribution of the
 327 mass and energy of the obtained products had been investigated. The hazelnut shell biomass substrate had
 328 generated the highest energetic chemical energy yield (86%). These inert carbonized particles can generate
 329 high performance cement composites, by increasing the compressive strength, the peak load under bending,

330 and their fracture energy and can improve the post peak response. These inert carbonized particles also modify
331 the fracture path, thus resulting in a larger fracture zone.

332 These results are in agreement with [22], where air-dried spruce wood was pyrolyzed up to 2400°C. These
333 Authors determined by means of the nanoindentation technique that the mechanical properties of heat treated
334 materials can roughly be divided into three temperature regions: (i) $T < 400^{\circ}\text{C}$, (ii) $400 < T < 1000^{\circ}\text{C}$ and (iii)
335 $T > 1000^{\circ}\text{C}$. Specifically, in the temperature range from 600° to 1000°C, the elastic modulus linearly increases
336 from about 20 to 40 GPa. So, does also the hardness. At around 800°C, the elastic modulus and the hardness
337 reach their maximum values. This raise of the Young's modulus and of the hardness can be correlated to the
338 increase in the density of the material observed between 600° and 900°C as well as to the increasing covalent
339 carbon bonds formation.

340

341 **4. Conclusions**

342 The new building materials must always be more performing and innovative, at the same time the traditional
343 manufacturing process will have to become increasingly efficient and sustainable to cope with the
344 environmental and climate change emergencies, with the aim of producing traditional building materials with
345 better performance and with a lower energy consumption.

346 The present research focused on the use of the standardized biochar in cement-based composites in different
347 percentages of addition with respect to the weight of cement, in line with previous experimental studies [15-
348 18]. In these previous studies, the biochar used was self-produced through the pyrolysis of agro-food waste
349 unlike that used in the present experimental activity which was standardized in view of a possible industrial
350 production of biochar cement-based composites..

351 The results of the mechanical tests showed a promising improvement in strength, toughness, and ductility.
352 In fact, higher flexural strength and fracture energy values were recorded for specimens with the addition of
353 biochar compared to those of the plain cement specimens.

354 However, the flexural strength and fracture energy results are lower than those of previous studies [15-18].
355 This fact could be linked to the different pyrolysis parameters used in the production of biochar (temperature,
356 heating rate, and pressure). The results could therefore be influenced by the type of carbonaceous material and
357 by the production parameters (which influence the strong covalent carbon bonds formation) rather than by the
358 carbon particles size. Selection of suitable conditions to produce a biochar with desired properties therefore
359 requires knowledge of dependencies and influencing factors. From an economic point of view, these carbon
360 particles have are low-cost, as they are the waste of the biomass pyrolysis process. For this reason, they
361 represent a good material for new green construction materials.

362

363

364 **REFERENCES**

365

- 366 [1] M. S. Imbabi, C. Carrigan, S. McKenna “Trends and developments in green cement and concrete
367 technology”, *International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment* (2012) 1, 194–216
- 368 [2] K. Weber, P. Quicker “Properties of biochar” Review article *Fuel* 2017 (2018) 240-261
- 369 [3] A. Mohd, W. A. W. A. K. Ghani, N. Z. Resitanim and L. Sanyang, "A Review: Carbon Dioxide Capture:
370 Biomass- Derived-Biochar and Its Applications," *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology*, vol. 34:7,
371 pp. 974-984, 2013.
- 372 [4] M. Bardalai and D. K. Mahanta, "Characterization of Biochar Produced by Pyrolysis from Areca Catechu
373 Dust," *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 5, pp. 2089-2097, 2018.
- 374 [5] Schmidt, H.P., “55 Uses of Biochar”, *Ithaca Journal*, 1, pp. 286–289, 2012
- 375 [6] Schmidt, H.P., “Biochar as building material for an optimal indoor climate” *Freitag*, 4, 2014.
- 376 [7] G.A. Ferro, S. Ahmad, R.A. Khushnood, L. Restuccia, J.M. Tulliani, “Improvements in self-consolidating
377 cementitious composites by using micro carbonized aggregates”, *Frattura ed Integrità Strutturale*, Vol. 30
378 (2014) 75-83.
- 379 [8] R.A. Khushnood, S. Ahmad, L. Restuccia, C. Spoto, P. Jagdale, J.M. Tulliani, G.A. Ferro, “Carbonized
380 nano/microparticles for enhanced mechanical properties and electromagnetic interference shielding of
381 cementitious materials”, *Frontiers of Structural and Civil Engineering*, Vol. 10 (2016) 209-213.
- 382 [9] Schmidt, H.P., “Biochar as building material for an optimal indoor climate” *Freitag*, 4, 2014.
- 383 [10] G.A. Ferro, J.M. Tulliani, P. Jagdale, L. Restuccia, “New Concepts for Next Generation of High
384 Performance Concretes”, *Procedia Materials Science*, Vol. 3 (2014), 1760-1766.
- 385 [11] R.A. Khushnood, S. Ahmad, G.A. Ferro, J.M. Tulliani, L. Restuccia, P. Jagdale, “Modified fracture
386 properties of cement composites with nano/micro carbonized bagasse fibers” *Frattura ed Integrità Strutturale*,
387 Vol. 34 (2015) 534- 542.
- 388 [12] S. Ahmad, J.M. Tulliani, G.A. Ferro, R.A. Khushnood, L. Restuccia, P. Jagdale “Crack path & fracture
389 surface modifications in enhanced cement composites” *Frattura ed Integrità Strutturale*, Vol. 34 (2015) 524-
390 533.
- 391 [13] L. Restuccia, G.A. Ferro, “Nanoparticles From Food Waste: A “Green” Future For Traditional Building
392 Materials”, 9th International Conference on Fracture Mechanics of Concrete and Concrete Structures
393 FraMCoS-9, 2016.
- 394 [14] L. Restuccia, G.A. Ferro “Promising low cost carbon-based materials to improve strength and toughness
395 in cement composites” *Construction and Building Materials* 126 (2016) 1034–1043.
- 396 [15] L. Restuccia, G.A. Ferro “Influence of filler size on the mechanical properties of cement-base
397 composites”, *Fatigue and Fracture of Engineering Materials and Structures* 41(2018) 797-805.
- 398 [16] I. Cosentino “The use of Bio-char for sustainable and durable concrete”, Master thesis, Politecnico di
399 Torino, 2017.

- 400 [17] JCI-S-001, Method of Test for Fracture Energy of Concrete by use of Notched Beam, Japan Concrete
401 Institute, 2003.
- 402 [18] L. Restuccia “Re-think, Re-use: agro-food and C&D waste for high-performance sustainable cementitious
403 composites”, Ph.D. Thesis, Politecnico di Torino, 2016.
- 404 [19] S. Gupta and H. W. Kua “Factors Determining the Potential of Biochar as a Carbon Capturing and
405 Sequestering Construction Material_ Critical Review “, Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 29 (9)
406 (2017).
- 407 [20] S. Gupta, H. W. Kua, Sin Yee Tan Cynthia “Use of biochar-coated polypropylene fibers for carbon
408 sequestration and physical improvement of mortar”, Cement and Concrete Composites 83 (2017) 171-187.
- 409 [21] Y.S. Montenegro Camacho, S. Bensaid, B. Ruggeri, L. Restuccia, G.A. Ferro, G. Mancini, D. Fino,
410 “Valorisation of by-Products/Waste of Agro-Food Industry by the Pyrolysis Process”, Journal of Advanced
411 Catalysis Science and Technology, Vol. 3 (2016) 1-11.
- 412 [22] G. A. Zickler, T. Schöberl, O. Paris, “Mechanical properties of pyrolysed wood: a nanoindentation study”,
413 Philosophical Magazine, vol. 86, 10 (2006) 1373–1386.
- 414