

## **Thorough investigation on the encapsulation of drugs with different wettability in thermosensitive micellar hydrogels**

M. Boffito<sup>1</sup>, R. Laurano<sup>1</sup>, V. Chiono<sup>1</sup>, G. Ciardelli<sup>1</sup>

Presenting Author: Monica Boffito, [monica.boffito@polito.it](mailto:monica.boffito@polito.it)

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy*

**INTRODUCTION:** Injectable hydrogels for the targeted release of therapeutics are a promising tool in the treatment of a wide variety of pathologies. In order to optimize gel design, a thorough understanding of gel/therapeutic interactions is required to predict payload release timing and effects on gel properties. Herein, we designed thermosensitive micellar gels based on an amphiphilic poly(ether urethane) (PU), that were loaded with ibuprofen (IBU, hydrophobic drug) or ibuprofen sodium salt (IBUSS, hydrophilic saltified form of IBU). IBU and IBUSS arrangement within the gels and their interactions with PU micelles were studied by rheology, dynamic light scattering (DLS) and colorimetric assay using 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (DPH) as marker of micellization.

**METHODS:** PU was synthesized starting from the triblock copolymer Poloxamer 407, an aliphatic diisocyanate and 1,4-cyclohexane dimethanol [1]. Hydrogels were prepared in saline solution at a 15% w/v according to [1]. IBU and IBUSS were then loaded (1 mg/ml) and the resulting systems were rheologically characterized by strain sweep, frequency sweep and temperature ramp tests. Swelling and stability to dissolution were also evaluated. Drug/PU micelle interactions were studied by DLS and DPH assay on PU solutions (0.5% w/v) loaded with IBU and IBUSS [1].

**RESULTS:** Gel loading with IBU resulted in a decreased onset of the gelation process compared to PU\_IBUSS and PU gels (9 °C for PU\_IBU vs 14 °C for PU\_IBUSS and PU). This result suggested that IBU hydrophobic nature induces its encapsulation within PU micelles during their nucleation, thus leading to the achievement of the critical micellar volume required for gelation onset [1] at lower temperature compared to the other samples. In fact, PU\_IBUSS did not change its gelation onset compared to PU gel, suggesting that this drug is mainly located in the interstitial space among the micelles. DLS further proved this hypothesis, showing that micelles in PU\_IBU had a significantly higher hydrodynamic diameter compared to PU\_IBUSS and PU solutions (58 nm for PU\_IBU vs 37 and 40 nm for PU\_IBUSS and PU). Additionally, DPH assay showed that the critical micellization temperature of PU\_IBU was clearly lower compared to PU and PU\_IBUSS solutions (9 °C for PU\_IBU vs 22 °C for PU and PU\_IBUSS). Interestingly, irrespective of the nature of the encapsulated drug, drug-loading seemed to slightly accelerate the kinetics of gel formation and development compared to drug-free PU hydrogels (gelation points in frequency sweep test at 25°C were 58, 50 and 45 rad/s for PU, PU\_IBU and PU\_IBUSS), thus suggesting that encapsulating either hydrophilic or hydrophobic drugs do not have detrimental effects on the temperature-driven sol-to-gel transition of micellar gels. Additionally, no significant effects were observed in gel swelling and stability to dissolution in aqueous media upon drug encapsulation.

**DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS:** We reported for the first time the potential of rheology, DLS and DPH assay in characterizing drug arrangement within gels and their interaction with micelles.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** Financial support from H2020 EU funding for Research&Innovation (H2020-NMP6-2015) project “MOZART” (Mesoporous matrices for localized pH-triggered release of theRaputic ions and drugs).

### **REFERENCES**

[1] Boffito M et al. Polym Int. 2016; 65(7):756-69.