

Low-cost high-efficiency system for solar-driven conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to hydrocarbons

*Original*

Low-cost high-efficiency system for solar-driven conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to hydrocarbons / Huan, T. N.; Dalla Corte, D. A.; Lamaison, S.; Karapinar, D.; Lutz, L.; Menguy, N.; Foldyna, M.; Turren-Cruz, S. H.; Hagfeldt, A.; Bella, F.; Fontecave, M.; Mougel, V.. - In: PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. - ISSN 1091-6490. - ELETTRONICO. - 116:20(2019), pp. 9735-9740. [10.1073/pnas.1815412116]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11583/2734078 since: 2019-05-24T15:21:30Z

*Publisher:*

National Academy of Sciences

*Published*

DOI:10.1073/pnas.1815412116

*Terms of use:*

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

(Article begins on next page)



# Low-cost high-efficiency system for solar-driven conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to hydrocarbons

Tran Ngoc Huan<sup>a</sup>, Daniel Alves Dalla Corte<sup>b</sup>, Sarah Lamaison<sup>a</sup>, Dilan Karapinar<sup>a</sup>, Lukas Lutz<sup>b</sup>, Nicolas Menguy<sup>c</sup>, Martin Foldyna<sup>d</sup>, Silver-Hamill Turren-Cruz<sup>e,f</sup>, Anders Hagfeldt<sup>f</sup>, Federico Bella<sup>g</sup>, Marc Fontecave<sup>a,1</sup>, and Victor Mougel<sup>a,1,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratoire de Chimie des Processus Biologiques, CNRS UMR 8229, Collège de France, Sorbonne Université, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France; <sup>b</sup>Laboratoire de Chimie du Solide et Energie, FRE 3677 Collège de France, Sorbonne Université, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France; <sup>c</sup>Sorbonne Université, UMR CNRS 7590, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Institut de Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux et de Cosmochimie, 75005 Paris, France; <sup>d</sup>Laboratoire de Physique des Interfaces et des Couches Minces, CNRS, École Polytechnique, Université Paris-Saclay, 91129 Palaiseau, France; <sup>e</sup>Centro de Investigación en Dispositivos Semiconductores, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, CP 72570, Puebla, México; <sup>f</sup>Laboratory of Photomolecular Science, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland; and <sup>g</sup>Group for Applied Materials and Electrochemistry, Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Torino, Italy

Edited by Richard Eisenberg, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, and approved March 5, 2019 (received for review September 6, 2018)

**Conversion of carbon dioxide into hydrocarbons using solar energy is an attractive strategy for storing such a renewable source of energy into the form of chemical energy (a fuel). This can be achieved in a system coupling a photovoltaic (PV) cell to an electrochemical cell (EC) for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. To be beneficial and applicable, such a system should use low-cost and easily processable photovoltaic cells and display minimal energy losses associated with the catalysts at the anode and cathode and with the electrolyzer device. In this work, we have considered all of these parameters altogether to set up a reference PV-EC system for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to hydrocarbons. By using the same original and efficient Cu-based catalysts at both electrodes of the electrolyzer, and by minimizing all possible energy losses associated with the electrolyzer device, we have achieved CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to ethylene and ethane with a 21% energy efficiency. Coupled with a state-of-the-art, low-cost perovskite photovoltaic minimodule, this system reaches a 2.3% solar-to-hydrocarbon efficiency, setting a benchmark for an inexpensive all-earth-abundant PV-EC system.**

electrocatalysis | PV-EC | CO<sub>2</sub> reduction | electrolyzer | copper dendrites

The transformation of carbon dioxide is an energy-intensive process that must involve inexpensive sources of energy and high energy efficiency while maintaining the lowest possible cost. Artificial photosynthetic systems, which are technological devices that utilize sunlight as a source of energy and water as a source of electrons to convert CO<sub>2</sub> into energy-dense organic compounds (fuels or other carbon-based feedstocks for the chemical industry), are attractive in that context. This can be achieved using a photovoltaic (PV) cell to provide photogenerated electrons and holes to an electrochemical cell (EC) for water oxidation at the anode and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction at the cathode. Only a few examples of such PV-EC systems have been reported, predominantly leading to high CO or formate selectivity (1–3), and only two such systems have led to high hydrocarbons or alcohols selectivity (4, 5). Among them, the most efficient PV-EC systems have been based on costly components: A record 13% solar-to-CO conversion was achieved using a GaInP/GaInAs/Ge photovoltaic cell (3), while a 3% solar-to-hydrocarbon efficiency was reported with an iridium oxide anode coupled to a four-terminal III-V/Si tandem cell (5). Despite their unprecedented efficiency, these systems do not meet the critical requirement of using catalysts based only on earth-abundant elements and cost-efficient PV cells for large-scale use.

The design of a cheap and high-efficiency PV-EC system indeed requires an integrative approach that takes the four following aspects into account: (i) the development of robust CO<sub>2</sub> reduction (CO<sub>2</sub>R) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER) electrocatalysts with low overpotentials and based on earth-abundant metals; (ii) their operation in moderate pH conditions, limiting corrosion issues and electrolyte consumption and allowing for long-term operation; (iii) their integration into an electrolyzer especially designed to maximize

their efficiency and limit electrical energy losses; and (iv) the final coupling of the electrolyzer to a low-cost PV system. This approach might result in lower current densities than currently reported using catalysts operating in highly basic media (6–8). However, such current densities are sufficient to match the current densities provided by state-of-the-art perovskite PV cells (9).

Herein, we report an electrolyzer that uses the same copper-based catalyst at both the anode and cathode and achieves CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to hydrocarbons (ethylene and ethane) with a 21% energy efficiency. Subsequent coupling of this system to a state-of-the-art perovskite PV minimodule demonstrated a 2.3% solar-to-hydrocarbons efficiency, setting a benchmark for an inexpensive all-earth-abundant PV-EC system.

## Results and Discussion

**Maximizing the Energy Efficiency.** The efficiency of a CO<sub>2</sub>R/OER electrolyzer primarily depends on the activities of the catalysts,

### Significance

Carbon dioxide electroreduction may constitute a key technology in coming years to valorize CO<sub>2</sub> as high value-added chemicals such as hydrocarbons and a way to store intermittent solar energy durably. Based on readily available technologies, systems combining a photovoltaic (PV) cell with an electrolyzer cell (EC) for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to hydrocarbons are likely to constitute a key strategy for tackling this challenge. However, a low-cost, sustainable, and highly efficient PV-EC system has yet to be developed. In this article, we show that this goal can be reached using a low-cost and easily processable perovskite photovoltaic minimodule combined to an electrolyzer device using the same Cu-based catalysts at both electrodes and in which all energy losses have been minimized.

Author contributions: T.N.H., M. Fontecave, and V.M. designed research; T.N.H., D.A.D.C., S.L., D.K., L.L., S.-H.T.-C., F.B., and V.M. performed research; N.M., M. Foldyna, S.-H.T.-C., A.H., and F.B. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; T.N.H., D.A.D.C., N.M., A.H., F.B., M. Fontecave, and V.M. analyzed data; and T.N.H., M. Fontecave, and V.M. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 \(CC BY-NC-ND\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

See Commentary on page 9693.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: marc.fontecave@college-de-france.fr or mougel@inorg.chem.ethz.ch.

<sup>2</sup>Present address: Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland.

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1815412116/-DCSupplemental](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1815412116/-DCSupplemental).

Published online March 27, 2019.

notably their ability to mediate both redox reactions with minimal overpotential losses. This is highly challenging as both anodic and cathodic reactions involve complex multielectron, multi-proton reactions, especially when hydrocarbons are targeted. Furthermore, resistivity issues and membrane potential contributions can also significantly influence energy losses. The use of a single-compartment cell with anode and cathode in close proximity effectively solve membrane potential and resistivity-related issues (1, 4), but results in a gas mixture of the CO<sub>2</sub> reduction products and the anodically evolved oxygen. The lack of electrode separation, however, increases the chance of gas crossover that has a deleterious effect on the Faradaic yield (FY) for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. Herein, we developed a two-compartment electrolyzer containing an anion-exchange membrane between the anodic and cathodic compartments. The overall cell potential can thus be expressed as the sum of the equilibrium potential, the kinetic overpotentials of CO<sub>2</sub>R and OER, and the resistive and concentration losses (Eq. 1):

$$E(J) = E_{rev} + E_{\Omega}(J) + \eta(J), \quad [1]$$

$$E_{rev} = E_{OER}^0 + E_{CO_2R}^0; E_{\Omega}(J) = J \times (R_{membrane} + R_{electrolyte}) \\ \times S_{elect}; \eta(J) = \eta_{OER}(J) - \eta_{CO_2R}(J) + \eta_{conc}(J),$$

where  $E_{rev}$  is the reversible potential of the cell,  $E_{\Omega}$  is the ohmic drop, and  $\eta$  is the cell overpotential.  $J$  is the current density at the cathode, and  $S_{elect}$  is the surface of the electrodes.

In this work, we take all of these parameters into consideration to develop a system with high selectivity toward hydrocarbons able to operate at currents over 25 mA·cm<sup>-2</sup> and at a cell potential lower than 3 V, as low cell voltages are required for efficient coupling to photovoltaic cells.

**Electrocatalysts.** Thus far, copper is the only metal that has shown high selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub>R to multicarbon products, particularly when prepared by reduction of CuO materials (10–12). In addition, we and others have demonstrated that CuO can function as an efficient OER catalyst at the moderate pH conditions required for efficient CO<sub>2</sub>R (13–16). Consequently, we selected a copper oxide-based catalyst for both anode and cathode material. This strategy presents the additional advantage of limiting the poisoning of the cathode by redepositing the metal used for the anode (3). This phenomenon has been previously observed in the context of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction when using earth-abundant OER catalysts, and shown to result in a decrease of selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction over time (1).

Aiming at lowering mass transport losses ( $\eta_{conc}$ ), we selected a dendritic nanostructured copper oxide material (DN-CuO) that we recently reported as highly efficient and stable OER catalyst (13). This material presents both a macroporous structure, provided by cavities larger than 50  $\mu$ m, and a mesoporous structure resulting from the dendritic structure constituting the walls (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1*). This unique morphology ensures an efficient mass transfer of reactants and products while preserving a high electrochemical surface area.

**Electrolyzer.** The design of the electrolyzer has a profound influence on the overall CO<sub>2</sub> reduction performance, as it not only affects the cell voltage but also the selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub>R, the product separation, and the catalyst stability (17, 18). To reach the highest overall efficiency, we target minimizing both ohmic and mass transport losses (Eq. 1).


The most straightforward way to reduce the overall cell resistance is to lower the interelectrode distance and to use a concentrated electrolyte solution. However, such an approach faces two main limitations: (i) in a CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated solution, a thin cathodic compartment favors formation and trapping of gas bubbles, thus

strongly increasing the resistance of the cell, and (ii) a concentrated bicarbonate solution increases the catalytic selectivity for proton reduction at the expense of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, as shown here and in previous reports (4, 19, 20). Optimal results were obtained using a 7-mm interelectrode distance and a 0.1 M cesium bicarbonate (CsHCO<sub>3</sub>) CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated (pH 6.8) solution as the cathodic electrolyte combined with a 0.2 M cesium carbonate (Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) solution (pH 11) as the anodic electrolyte. We showed that larger concentrations of the electrolytes, while decreasing the overall cell resistivity, lowered faradaic efficiency for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction (*SI Appendix, Fig. S18*). Similarly, we observed that using other alkali-metal cations resulted in an overall decrease in current for the same applied potential (*SI Appendix, Fig. S19*). Multiple reports have shown the beneficial influence of large alkali cations on both the selectivity for multicarbon products in CO<sub>2</sub> reduction and on lowering the overpotential for water oxidation (21, 22). Using HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> as the charge carrier for both the anodic and cathodic compartments together with an anion exchange membrane (AEM) allows for continuous operation of the system at high current densities: continuous CO<sub>2</sub> bubbling in the catholyte regenerates the diffused bicarbonate anions. In addition, the moderate pH difference between the anodic and cathodic compartment allows a minimal contribution of the pH gradient to the membrane potential.

One of the key factors lowering the efficiency of CO<sub>2</sub> conversion is mass transfer of CO<sub>2</sub> to the cathode surface. This is especially true in aqueous solution because of the low solubility of CO<sub>2</sub>. To overcome this limitation, we used a continuous-flow electrochemical cell in which the anolyte and catholyte are continuously flowed through the system. Constant saturation in CO<sub>2</sub> is ensured by continuously purging the catholyte with CO<sub>2</sub> in a separate compartment, which additionally continuously evacuates the reaction products. A schematic representation of the electrolyzer cell used here is given in *SI Appendix, Figs. S2 and S3*.

**Electrocatalytic Performances.** Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was carried out at 10 mV·s<sup>-1</sup> to evaluate the electrocatalytic activity of the DN-CuO electrodes for both CO<sub>2</sub> reduction and water oxidation using this setup (Fig. 1A). The low cathodic onset potential of -0.3 V vs. reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) and the high current densities (up to 25 mA·cm<sup>-2</sup> at -0.95 V vs. RHE) illustrates the excellent CO<sub>2</sub>R electrocatalytic activity of DN-CuO, reported here. The anodic catalytic wave shows a similar onset potential for water oxidation as reported in our previous work (13), but accompanied with an increased current density, illustrating the beneficial influence of the electrolyzer system on the catalytic performance. The  $J$ - $E$  curve of the electrolyzer cell (Fig. 1B) shows that a current density of 25 mA·cm<sup>-2</sup> can be obtained at a cell potential below 3 V, a consequence of the low resistivity of the electrolyzer. The different contributions to the overall cell potential are detailed in *SI Appendix, Fig. S4*, presenting the equivalent circuit diagram of the electrolyzer cell.

Controlled-potential electrolysis was carried out for 1 h at various fixed cathode potentials (*SI Appendix, Fig. S5*). Identification and quantification of the gas-phase products from the cathodic compartment were achieved by on-line GC, while the liquid-phase products were analyzed by ion-exchange chromatography and NMR spectroscopy. Significant amounts of ethylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) and ethane (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) were obtained at applied potentials below -0.8 V vs. RHE (Fig. 1C). The highest selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction (vs. H<sub>2</sub> formation) and hydrocarbon production (vs. CO and HCOOH formation) was obtained at a cathode potential of -0.95 V vs. RHE (Fig. 1C and *SI Appendix, Fig. S6*). This corresponds to a cell potential of 2.95 V, at which a stable current density of 25 mA·cm<sup>-2</sup> was obtained during 3-h electrolysis (*SI Appendix, Fig. S7*). In these conditions, CO<sub>2</sub> reduction products accounted for a 62% FY, without loss of selectivity over the course of the electrolysis. Among the CO<sub>2</sub>



**Fig. 1.** (A) LSV of 1-cm<sup>2</sup> DN-CuO cathode (red) and anode 1 (blue), using a scan rate of 10 mV·s<sup>-1</sup> (currents are uncorrected for resistive losses incurred within the electrolyte; all current densities are based on projected geometric area). (B)  $J$ - $E$  curve of the electrolyzer cell using 1-cm<sup>2</sup> DN-CuO electrodes. (C) Faradaic yields (FYs) for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction products using 1-cm<sup>2</sup> DN-CuO cathode at different potentials. All measurements were carried out using the electrolyzer cell described in the main text and *SI Appendix, Fig. S2* using an AEM separating the cathodic (CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M CsHCO<sub>3</sub>) and anodic (0.2 M Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) compartments. Constant CO<sub>2</sub> saturation was ensured by continuous sparging of the cathodic electrolyte with CO<sub>2</sub> at 2.5 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>. FY values are detailed in *SI Appendix, Table S1*, and error bars are provided in *SI Appendix, Fig. S8*.  $E_{\text{cell}}$  is the electrolyzer cell potential, and  $E_{\text{cathode}}$  is the applied cathode potential.


reduction products, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> accounted for 57% (37% FY), C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> for 18% (12.8% FY), HCOOH for 11% (7% FY), and CO for 8% (5% FY) (partial currents for the different products are given in *SI Appendix, Fig. S8*). This high selectivity and production rate for hydrocarbons at such a low cell potential is unprecedented (4, 5) and corresponds to a record cell energy efficiency for hydrocarbons of 21% (calculated using the thermoneutral potential of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>; see *SI Appendix, Table S2*, for details). <sup>13</sup>C-labeling experiments confirmed CO<sub>2</sub> as the sole source of carbon for all carbon-containing products (*SI Appendix, Fig. S9*).

To illustrate the advantages of using a continuous-flow electrolyzer cell, we tested the DN-CuO electrodes within a standard H-type electrochemical cell in which CO<sub>2</sub> was continuously bubbled through the cathodic compartment, using the same electrolytes and anion-exchange membrane. The current density–voltage characteristic of this cell, displayed in *SI Appendix, Fig. S10*,

indicates that while the overall onset potential for the electrolyzer is identical, the efficiency of the standard H-type electrolyzer is much lower than that of the electrolyzer cell developed in this work. This is clearly illustrated by the difference in cell potential required to obtain a stable current of 25 mA·cm<sup>-2</sup>; 4.8 V were needed in the H-type electrolyzer, which produced hydrocarbons with a 6% energy efficiency, compared with 2.95 V in the continuous-flow electrochemical cell developed here, which led to the aforementioned 21% energy efficiency for hydrocarbon production (see *SI Appendix* for details).

**Postcharacterization of the Cathode.** The characterization of DN-CuO has been reported previously (13). It consists of a triple-layer structure with a metallic copper core covered by successive layers of Cu<sub>2</sub>O (~200-nm thickness) and CuO (~50-nm thickness) (Figs. 2 and 3A), both layers contributing to the good catalytic activity of the material (13). We characterized the DN-CuO cathode





**Fig. 4.** (A) Current–potential characteristic of the perovskite minimodule under 1 sun, AM 1.5G illumination (black squares) and measured operating current of the electrolyzer cell (geometric areas of cathode,  $0.35 \text{ cm}^2$ , and anode,  $0.85 \text{ cm}^2$ ; current measured after 5-min electrolysis) at various potentials (red dots). (B) Electrolyzer cell current as a function of photoelectrolysis time using the perovskite minimodule as the sole energy source.

and of the minimodule. The measured operating current of the electrolyzer cell at various potentials is shown in Fig. 4A, the theoretical operating point of the PV–EC system being given by the intersection with the PV current–voltage characteristic. With this setup, stable currents were obtained and high selectivity for  $\text{C}_2$  hydrocarbons was preserved over more than 6-h operation time (SI Appendix, Fig. S17). When both systems were connected, without external bias and under a constant AM 1.5G illumination, a stable current of  $6.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ mA}$  (corresponding to a current density of  $\sim 18 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$  at the cathode) and a potential of  $2.8 \pm 0.02 \text{ (V)}$  were recorded at the electrolyzer terminals. The system showed a stable current over 50-min electrolysis (Fig. 4B), during which time  $\text{CO}_2\text{R}$  products were continuously analyzed by on-line GC. Selectivity did not vary during the run,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$  being obtained as the main products with an average FY of 40.5% (34% for  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  and 6.5% for  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ ), together with CO and HCOOH in 4.8% and 6.4% FY, respectively. Concomitant hydrogen production was observed with 42.2% FY. The lower selectivity of the electrolyzer using smaller electrodes can be explained by the slightly more negative potential of the cathode when a smaller cathode size is used. These measured current densities and FY allowed determining a solar-to-hydrocarbon (ethylene and ethane) efficiency of 2.3% (SI Appendix). This high efficiency constitutes a benchmark for solar-to-hydrocarbon

products when using easily processable perovskite PV cells and earth-abundant metal catalysts (see SI Appendix, Table S2 for comparison with other PV–EC systems).

## Conclusions

In this work, we have elaborated an artificial photosynthetic system based on nonnoble metals and inexpensive material exclusively able to convert  $\text{CO}_2$  to hydrocarbons with a benchmark solar-to-hydrocarbon efficiency of 2.3%. This could be achieved through (i) the demonstration that a dendritic nanostructured copper oxide material behaves as a highly efficient electrocatalyst for both OER and  $\text{CO}_2\text{R}$  and can be used both at the anode and the cathode, thus allowing to reduce production cost and issues related to metal contaminant deposit at the cathode during operation; (ii) a thorough consideration of all of the possible losses in the electrolyzer system resulting from hardware and catalysts issues, which allowed designing a tailor-made electrolyzer for overall  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction to hydrocarbons with a 21% energy efficiency.

## Materials and Methods

Additional details regarding the materials and methods may be found in SI Appendix.

**General Considerations.** Electrocatalytic measurements and electrolysis experiments were carried out using a Bio-logic SP300 potentiostat.

Photovoltaic characterization was carried out by using solar simulator system, Newport's Oriol Sol3A class AAA solar simulator (model 94083A) with certified  $8'' \times 8''$  homogeneity under 1-sun illumination.

$\text{H}_2$  and gaseous  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction products were analyzed by GC (Multi-Gas Analyzer #5; SRI Instruments), equipped with Haysep D and MoleSieve 5A columns and thermal conductivity detector and flame ionization detector with methanizer using argon as a carrier gas. GC was calibrated by using a standard gas mixture containing 2,500 ppm of  $\text{H}_2$ , CO,  $\text{CH}_4$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ ,  $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6$ ,  $\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ ,  $\text{C}_4\text{H}_8$ , and  $\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}$  in  $\text{CO}_2$  (Messer). The liquid-phase products were quantified using ionic exchange chromatography (formate and oxalate; 883 Basic IC; Metrohm) and NMR spectroscopy (Bruker AVANCE III 300 spectrometer). Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy measurements were carried out using a SHIMADZU IR Affinity-15 spectrometer.

SEM images were acquired using a Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscope. Transmission electron microscopy images were obtained on a JEM-2010F transmission electron microscope (JEOL) with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV.

$\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (99.9%),  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (99.8%),  $\text{CsHCO}_3$  (99.8%),  $\text{Cs}_2\text{CO}_3$  (99.9%), and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled  $\text{CO}_2$  (99 atom %  $^{13}\text{C}$ , <3 atom %  $^{18}\text{O}$ ) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich as used without further purification. DN-CuO electrodes and Cu dendrites were prepared according to previously reported procedure (13).

Cu content in the electrolyte solutions was determined by ICP-AES analysis using a Thermo Fisher iCAP 6000 device instrument.

## Solar Cells Preparation.

**Substrate preparation and Li-doping  $\text{TiO}_2$ .** Nippon Sheet Glass 10  $\Omega/\text{sq}$  was cleaned by sonication in 2% Hellmanex water solution for 30 min. After rinsing with deionized water and ethanol, the substrates were further cleaned with UV ozone treatment for 15 min. Then, a 30-nm-thick  $\text{TiO}_2$  compact layer was deposited on FTO via spray pyrolysis at 450 °C from a precursor solution of titanium diisopropoxide bis(acetylacetonate) in anhydrous ethanol. After the spraying, the substrates were left at 450 °C for 45 min and left to cool down to room temperature. Then, a mesoporous  $\text{TiO}_2$  layer was deposited by spin coating (SPIN150i model, s/n R050962, SPS-Europe GmbH) for 20 s at 4,000 rpm with a ramp of 2,000  $\text{rpm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ , using 30-nm particle paste (Dyesol 30 NR-D) diluted in ethanol to achieve 150- to 200-nm-thick layer. After spin coating, the substrates were immediately dried at 100 °C for 10 min and then sintered again at 450 °C for 30 min under dry airflow.

Li-doping of mesoporous  $\text{TiO}_2$  was accomplished by spin coating a 0.1 M solution of Li-TFSI in acetonitrile at 3,000 rpm for 30 s followed by another sintering step at 450 °C for 30 min. After cooling down to 150 °C, the substrates were immediately transferred in a nitrogen atmosphere glove box for depositing the perovskite films.

**Perovskite precursor solution.** Perovskite solutions were prepared by using the organic cation iodide salts (Dyesol), lead compounds (TCl), and RBI (abc GmbH) as follows. First, the mixed cation precursor solution was prepared with FAI (1 M),  $\text{PbI}_2$  (1.1 M), MABr (0.2 M), and  $\text{PbBr}_2$  (0.22 M) in anhydrous DMF:DMSO 4:1 (vol/vol). To achieve a triple cation composition, CsI (1.5 M) in

DMSO was added to the mixed perovskite (MA/FA) precursor solution in a volume ratio of 5:95. To reach the final quadruple composition, RbI (1.5 M) in DMF:DMSO 4:1 (vol/vol) was added to the Cs/FA/MA triple cation perovskite in a volume ratio of 5:95 (27).

**Perovskite deposition.** The perovskite solution were spin coated in a two-step program at 1,000 and 4,000 rpm for 10 and 30 s, respectively. During the second step, 200  $\mu$ L of chlorobenzene was poured on the spinning substrate 20 s before the end of the program. The substrates were then annealed (at 100  $^{\circ}$ C, unless stated otherwise) for 1 h in a nitrogen-filled glove box.

**Hole transporting layers.** After the perovskite annealing, the substrates were cooled down for a few minutes, and a spiro-OMeTAD (Merck) solution (70 mM in chlorobenzene) was spin-coated at 4,000 rpm for 20 s. Spiro-OMeTAD was doped with bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide lithium salt (Li-TFSI) (Sigma-Aldrich), tris(2-(1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-4-tert-butylpyridine)-cobalt(III) tris(bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide) (FK209) (Dynamo), and 4-tert-butylpyridine (tBP) (Sigma-Aldrich). The molar ratios of additives for spiro-OMeTAD were 0.5, 0.03, and 3.3 for Li-TFSI, FK209, and tBP, respectively. Finally, 80 nm of gold top electrode were thermally evaporated under high vacuum.

Perovskite solar cells were then stabilized with a photopolymerized coating accordingly to previously reported procedure (26).

**Flow Electrochemical Cell.** The scheme of the flow electrochemical cell is presented in *SI Appendix, Fig. S2*. The distance between cathode and anode is 0.7 cm approximately. The cathode and anode compartment are separated

by a Selemion AEM. The geometrical surface area of the working electrodes was chosen to 1  $\text{cm}^2$  in all of this study, unless otherwise specified. Ag wire was used as the reference electrode and placed in both compartments, and was calibrated with an aqueous Ag/AgCl reference electrode before each experiment. The electrode potentials were referred to RHE according to the following formula:

$$E(\text{vs. RHE}) = E(\text{vs. Ag wire}) + \Delta E + 0.2 + 0.059 \times \text{pH}.$$

The potential difference ( $\Delta E$ ) between the Ag wire and the Ag/AgCl electrode was determined using the  $E_{1/2}$  potential of  $\text{K}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$  in 0.1 M  $\text{CsHCO}_3$  solution as a reference. Unless otherwise stated, catalytic activity was investigated in this setup using  $\text{CO}_2$ -saturated 0.1 M  $\text{CsHCO}_3$  (pH 6.8) at the cathode and 0.2 M  $\text{Cs}_2\text{CO}_3$  (pH 11.0) at the anode, flowed through the two compartments at a constant flow of 1.0  $\text{mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ . Constant  $\text{CO}_2$  saturation of the catholyte was ensured by continuous sparging with  $\text{CO}_2$  at 2.5  $\text{mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ .

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We acknowledge Dr. David Troadec and the French RENATECH Network for the preparation of FIB cross-sections and Domitille Giaume for her help with ICP-MS analyses. We thank Sphere Energy (<https://www.sphere-energy.eu/>) for the realization of the electrolyzer cell. F.B. thanks Politecnico di Torino and Compagnia di San Paolo for the financial support through the call "Metti in rete la tua idea di ricerca" (PEPPY project). V.M. acknowledges financial support from CNRS-Cellule Energie and Fondation of College de France for the acquisition of the flow electrochemical system.

- Schreier M, et al. (2015) Efficient photosynthesis of carbon monoxide from  $\text{CO}_2$  using perovskite photovoltaics. *Nat Commun* 6:7326.
- White JL, Herb JT, Kaczur JJ, Majsztik PW, Bocarsly AB (2014) Photons to formate: Efficient electrochemical solar energy conversion via reduction of carbon dioxide. *J CO<sub>2</sub> Util* 7:1–5.
- Schreier M, et al. (2017) Solar conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  to CO using Earth-abundant electrocatalysts prepared by atomic layer modification of CuO. *Nat Energy* 2:17087.
- Ren D, Loo NWX, Gong L, Yeo BS (2017) Continuous production of ethylene from carbon dioxide and water using intermittent sunlight. *ACS Sustain Chem Eng* 5: 9191–9199.
- Gurudayal, et al. (2017) Efficient solar-driven electrochemical  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction to hydrocarbons and oxygenates. *Energy Environ Sci* 10:2222–2230.
- Ma S, et al. (2016) One-step electrosynthesis of ethylene and ethanol from  $\text{CO}_2$  in an alkaline electrolyzer. *J Power Sources* 301:219–228.
- Dinh C-T, et al. (2018)  $\text{CO}_2$  electroreduction to ethylene via hydroxide-mediated copper catalysis at an abrupt interface. *Science* 360:783–787.
- Gabardo CM, et al. (2018) Combined high alkalinity and pressurization enable efficient  $\text{CO}_2$  electroreduction to CO. *Energy Environ Sci* 11:2531–2539.
- Correa-Baena J-P, et al. (2017) The rapid evolution of highly efficient perovskite solar cells. *Energy Environ Sci* 10:710–727.
- Dutta A, Rahaman M, Mohos M, Zanetti A, Broekmann P (2017) Electrochemical  $\text{CO}_2$  conversion using skeleton (sponge) type of Cu catalysts. *ACS Catal* 7:5431–5437.
- Lum Y, Yue B, Lobaccaro P, Bell AT, Ager JW (2017) Optimizing C–C coupling on oxide-derived copper catalysts for electrochemical  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction. *J Phys Chem C* 121: 14191–14203.
- Mistry H, et al. (2016) Highly selective plasma-activated copper catalysts for carbon dioxide reduction to ethylene. *Nat Commun* 7:12123.
- Huan TN, et al. (2017) Nanostructured oxygen-evolving copper oxide electrocatalyst. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 56:4792–4796.
- Joya KS, de Groot HJM (2016) Controlled surface-assembly of nanoscale leaf-type Cu-oxide electrocatalyst for high activity water oxidation. *ACS Catal* 6:1768–1771.
- Liu X, et al. (2016) Self-supported copper oxide electrocatalyst for water oxidation at low overpotential and confirmation of its robustness by Cu K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy. *J Phys Chem C* 120:831–840.
- Yu F, Li F, Zhang B, Li H, Sun L (2015) Efficient electrocatalytic water oxidation by a copper oxide thin film in borate buffer. *ACS Catal* 5:627–630.
- Yang W, Dastafkan K, Jia C, Zhao C (2018) Design of electrocatalysts and electrochemical cells for carbon dioxide reduction reactions. *Adv Mater Technol* 3:1700377.
- Weekes DM, Salvatore DA, Reyes A, Huang A, Berlinguette CP (2018) Electrolytic  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction in a flow cell. *Acc Chem Res* 51:910–918.
- Wuttig A, Yaguchi M, Motobayashi K, Osawa M, Surendranath Y (2016) Inhibited proton transfer enhances Au-catalyzed  $\text{CO}_2$ -to-fuels selectivity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:E4585–E4593.
- Huan TN, et al. (2017) Electrochemical reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  catalyzed by Fe-N-C materials: A structure–selectivity study. *ACS Catal* 7:1520–1525.
- Kang Q, et al. (2017) Effect of interlayer spacing on the activity of layered manganese oxide bilayer catalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction. *J Am Chem Soc* 139: 1863–1870.
- Zhu L, Du J, Zuo S, Chen Z (2016) Cs(I) cation enhanced Cu(II) catalysis of water oxidation. *Inorg Chem* 55:7135–7140.
- Fan HJ, Gösele U, Zacharias M (2007) Formation of nanotubes and hollow nanoparticles based on Kirkendall and diffusion processes: A review. *Small* 3:1660–1671.
- Zhuang T-T, et al. (2018) Copper nanocavities confine intermediates for efficient electrosynthesis of C3 alcohol fuels from carbon monoxide. *Nat Catal* 1:946–951.
- McKone JR, et al. (2016) Translational science for energy and beyond. *Inorg Chem* 55: 9131–9143.
- Bella F, et al. (2016) Improving efficiency and stability of perovskite solar cells with photocurable fluoropolymers. *Science* 354:203–206.
- Saliba M, et al. (2016) Incorporation of rubidium cations into perovskite solar cells improves photovoltaic performance. *Science* 354:206–209.
- Keast VJ, Scott AJ, Brydson R, Williams DB, Bruley J (2001) Electron energy-loss near-edge structure—a tool for the investigation of electronic structure on the nanometre scale. *J Microsc* 203:135–175.