



POLITECNICO DI TORINO
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

A coprimality condition on consecutive values of polynomials

Original

A coprimality condition on consecutive values of polynomials / Sanna, Carlo; Szikszai, Márton. - In: BULLETIN OF THE LONDON MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY. - ISSN 0024-6093. - 49:5(2017), pp. 908-915. [10.1112/blms.12078]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2722594 since: 2020-05-03T09:46:17Z

Publisher:

Cambridge University Press

Published

DOI:10.1112/blms.12078

Terms of use:

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

A COPRIMALITY CONDITION ON CONSECUTIVE VALUES OF POLYNOMIALS

CARLO SANNA AND MÁRTON SZIKSZAI

ABSTRACT. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be quadratic or cubic polynomial. We prove that there exists an integer $G_f \geq 2$ such that for every integer $k \geq G_f$ one can find infinitely many integers $n \geq 0$ with the property that none of $f(n+1), f(n+2), \dots, f(n+k)$ is coprime to all the others. This extends previous results on linear polynomials and, in particular, on consecutive integers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $s = (s(n))_{n \geq 1}^\infty$ be an arbitrary sequence of integers and define $g_s \geq 2$ to be the smallest integer such that one can find g_s consecutive terms of s with the property that none of them is coprime to all the others. Similarly, let $G_s \geq 2$ denote the smallest integer such that for every $k \geq G_s$ one can find k consecutive terms satisfying the above requirements. The quantities g_s and G_s may or may not exist. For instance, the sequence of positive even integers has $g_s = G_s = 2$, while for the sequence of prime numbers neither exists. Note that the existence of G_s implies that of g_s and one has $g_s \leq G_s$. For less trivial examples see the paper of Hajdu and Szikszai [10].

Erdős [5] was the first to prove the existence of G_s when s is the sequence of natural numbers. Later, the combined efforts of Pillai [14] and Brauer [3] gave a more explicit result, namely that $g_s = G_s = 17$. We note that interest in such a problem is twofold. On one hand, Pillai aimed at the solution of the classical Diophantine problem whether the product of consecutive integers can be a perfect power. While a complete answer was given by Erdős and Selfridge [6], Pillai [15] himself proved, using his already mentioned result from [14], that it cannot be if one take at most 16 consecutive terms. On the other hand, Brauer [3] made connection with his earlier paper [4] on an old problem, studied already by Legendre [12], concerning prime gaps. In fact, Erdős [5] himself also studied prime distance of consecutive primes. Here we would not like to go into further details on any of these relations.

Gradually, the study of g_s and G_s in various sequences, and their importance in analogous problems as the ones mentioned earlier, attracted an increased attention. Evans [7] considered the case when s is an arithmetic progression and proved the existence of G_s . Ohtomo and Tamari [13] derived the same, but also dealt with numerical aspects by showing that $G_s \leq 384$ for the sequence of odd integers. The most recent progress is due to Hajdu and Saradha [9] who gave an effective upper bound on G_s depending only on the difference of the progression together with a heuristic algorithm to find the exact value of it, whenever the number of prime factors of the difference is “small”.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 11A07, Secondary: 11C08.

Key words and phrases. coprimality; covering; integer sequences; Pillai; polynomials.

Observe that both the natural numbers and arithmetic progressions can be considered as consecutive values of linear polynomials. Recently, Harrington and Jones [11] studied quadratic sequences, that is, for some quadratic $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ one has $s(n) = f(n)$ for every $n \geq 1$. They computed the exact value of g_s when f is monic or when it belongs to some special families of nonmonic polynomials. Further, they conjectured that g_s exists and that $g_s \leq 35$ for every quadratic polynomial. However, they did not consider G_s to any extent.

In this paper, we considerably extend the previous results. Before stating our result we note that throughout the paper we use the notation $g_f = g_s$ and $G_f = G_s$ and write about consecutive values of the polynomial f instead of consecutive terms of the corresponding sequence s . The main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *If $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is quadratic or cubic, then G_f exists. Further, for every $k \geq G_f$ one can find infinitely many integer $n \geq 0$ such that $f(n+1), f(n+2), \dots, f(n+k)$ has the property that none of them is coprime to all the others.*

Observe that Theorem 1.1 allows us to immediately settle one part of the conjecture made by Harrington and Jones [11] on g_f .

Corollary 1.1. *If $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is quadratic, then g_f exists.*

Here we do not consider the absolute boundedness of g_f , but make some remarks on it instead. For every positive integer $k \geq 2$, there exists a quadratic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $k \leq g_f \leq G_f$. This follows easily by taking d to be the product of the first k primes and then looking at the polynomial $f(X) = (1 + dX)^2$. On one hand we have $g_f = g_{1+dX}$ and $G_f = G_{1+dX}$, while on the other we have $k \leq g_{1+dX} \leq G_{1+dX}$. Nevertheless, we could not say anything about the irreducible case and we feel that, despite not stating it anywhere and not excluding reducibles before, Harrington and Jones made their conjecture on this more interesting setting.

Let us finish this section by discussing the main tools we use in the proof of Theorem 1.1. The basic idea is to construct for every quadratic or cubic polynomial f an auxiliary polynomial \tilde{f} that, in some sense, controls the existence of “close” solutions to polynomial congruences $f(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then we show that if k is desirably large, one has enough primes with such close solutions to “cover” some block of k consecutive numbers $f(n+1), f(n+2), \dots, f(n+k)$. The success of this construction relies on the Stickelberger parity theorem, results on the p -adic valuations of products of consecutive polynomial values, and lower bounds on the number of certain subsets of primes.

Note that our methods can yield, at least in principle, an effective upper bound on G_f . However, the bound would be too large to be useful in practice. Further, we emphasize that Theorem 1.1 implies the existence of G_f for every quartic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ that is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ (we always have a factor of degree at most 3), but our construction already fails to deal with quartic polynomials in general. We point out this more explicitly in the next section. Nevertheless, the above observations raise two natural questions.

Question 1.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be of degree at least 4 and irreducible over \mathbb{Z} . Does Theorem 1.1 extend to some family of such polynomials?

Question 1.2. Does there exist an efficient algorithm that, taken as input a quadratic or cubic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, returns G_f , or at least a good upper bound for G_f ?

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section is devoted to the auxiliary results we use in the proof of Theorem 1.1. First, let us fix some notations. The letter p always denotes a prime number. For any $x \geq 1$ and for any set of integers \mathcal{S} , we put $\mathcal{S}(x) := \mathcal{S} \cap [1, x]$. We also use the Landau–Bachmann “Big Oh” notation O and the associated Vinogradov symbols \ll and \gg . In particular, any dependence of the implied constants is indicated either with subscripts or explicitly stated. Let

$$f(X) = a_k X^k + a_{k-1} X^{k-1} + \cdots + a_0,$$

be a polynomial of degree $k \geq 1$ and with integer coefficients a_0, \dots, a_k . We define

$$(1) \quad \tilde{f}(X) := a_k^{2k-2} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq k \\ i \neq j}} (X - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ are all the roots of f in some algebraic closure. Observe that \tilde{f} can be computed from the relation

$$\text{Res}_X(f(X), f(X+Y)) = a_k^2 Y^k \tilde{f}(Y),$$

where Res_X is the resultant of polynomials respect to X . In particular, for $k = 2$

$$(2) \quad \tilde{f}(X) = a_2^2 X^2 - \Delta_f,$$

while for $k = 3$

$$(3) \quad \tilde{f}(X) = (a_3^2 X^2 + 3a_1 a_3 - a_2^2)^2 X^2 - \Delta_f,$$

where Δ_f denotes the discriminant of f . We have the following simple, but useful property.

Lemma 2.1. *If $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is a nonconstant polynomial, then f and \tilde{f} have the same Galois group over \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. The identity

$$\alpha_i = \frac{1}{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) - \frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k} \right) \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

implies that f and \tilde{f} have the same splitting field over \mathbb{Q} , and hence the same Galois group. \square

The next result deals with another interesting connection between f and \tilde{f} , namely it relates \tilde{f} to “close” solutions of the congruence $f(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be of degree $k = 2$ or 3 and suppose that $p \mid \tilde{f}(r)$ for some prime number $p \nmid 2a_k$ and some positive integer r . Then there exists an integer n such that*

$$f(n) \equiv f(n+r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ be the roots of f in the algebraic closure of the finite field \mathbb{F}_p . Since $p \mid \tilde{f}(r)$, by (1) we can assume that $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = r$, where r is considered as an element of \mathbb{F}_p . If $k = 2$, then from (2) we have that Δ_f is a square modulo p and, considering $p \nmid 2a_2$, this implies that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and the claim follows. If $k = 3$, then by (3) we once again deduce that Δ_f is a square modulo p and, by the Stickelberger parity theorem [2, Theorem 6.68], it follows that f has at least one root in \mathbb{F}_p . If $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ or $\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$, then $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and we are done. If $\alpha_3 \in \mathbb{F}_p$, then $\alpha_1 = 2^{-1}(r - a_1 - \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 - r \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and we are done again. \square

Remark 2.1. Note that the conclusion of Lemma 2.2 is no longer true if the hypothesis on the degree is dropped. Take for instance, $f(X) = X^4 + 1$. We have that $3 \mid \tilde{f}(1)$, but the congruence $f(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ has no solutions at all.

Now for any nonconstant polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ we define

$$\mathcal{P}_f := \{p : p \mid f(n) \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It is well-known that \mathcal{P}_f has a positive relative density δ_f in the set of prime numbers. More precisely, the Frobenius density theorem says that $\delta_f = \text{Fix}(\mathcal{G})/\#\mathcal{G}$, where \mathcal{G} is the Galois group of f over \mathbb{Q} , and $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{G})$ is the number of elements of \mathcal{G} which have at least one fixed point, when regarded as permutations of the roots of f (see, e.g., [17]). We need the following asymptotic formula for $\#\mathcal{P}_f(x)$.

Theorem 2.3. *For any nonconstant polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, we have*

$$\#\mathcal{P}_f(x) = \delta_f \text{Li}(x) + O_f\left(\frac{x}{\exp(C_f \sqrt{\log x})}\right)$$

for all $x \geq 2$, where Li denotes the logarithmic integral function and $C_f > 0$ is a constant depending on f only.

Proof. The formula is a direct consequence of the effective version of the Chebotarev density theorem [16, Theorem 3.4]. \square

For each prime number p , let ν_p be the usual p -adic valuation. The next lemma concerns the p -adic valuation of products consisting of consecutive values of a polynomial.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a polynomial without roots in \mathbb{N} , and set*

$$(4) \quad Q_N := \prod_{n=1}^N f(n),$$

for all positive integers N . Then, for any prime number p , we have

$$\nu_p(Q_N) = \frac{t_f N}{p-1} + O_f\left(\frac{\log N}{\log p}\right),$$

for all integers $N \geq 2$, where t_f is the number of roots of f in the p -adic integers.

Proof. This is [1, Theorem 1.2]. Note that in [1] the error term is written as $O(\log N)$, but looking at the proof one can easily check that it is $O_f(\log N/\log p)$. \square

Our last auxiliary result establishes a lower bound for the number of “big” prime factors of an irreducible polynomial.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a nonconstant polynomial. For each positive integers N , let \mathcal{S}_N be the set of all prime numbers p such that $p > N$ and $p \mid f(n)$ for some positive integer $n \leq N$. Then, we have*

$$\#\mathcal{S}_N \gg_f (1 - \delta_f)N,$$

for all sufficiently large integers N .

Proof. We proceed similarly to the first part of the proof of [8, Theorem 5.1].

Define Q_N as in (4). If f has a positive integer root, then the claim follows. Hence we can assume that f has no roots in \mathbb{N} . In particular, $Q_N \neq 0$ for every integer $N \geq 1$. Clearly, $\mathcal{S}_N = \{p : p \mid Q_N, p > N\}$. Put $\mathcal{S}'_N := \{p : p \mid Q_N, p \leq N\}$, so that

$$(5) \quad \log |Q_N| = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_N} \nu_p(Q_N) \log p + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}'_N} \nu_p(Q_N) \log p,$$

for every positive integer N . For the rest of the proof, all the implied constants may depend on f . By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\nu_p(Q_N) = \frac{t_f N}{p-1} + O\left(\frac{\log N}{\log p}\right),$$

for every integer $N \geq 2$, and thus

$$(6) \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_N} \nu_p(Q_N) \log p \ll \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_N} \log p \leq \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_N} \log |f(N)| \ll \#\mathcal{S}_N \log N.$$

Since $\mathcal{S}'_N \subseteq \mathcal{P}_f(N)$, from Theorem 2.3 it follows that

$$\#\mathcal{S}'_N \ll \frac{N}{\log N},$$

and that, by partial summation,

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}'_N} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \leq \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f(N)} \frac{\log p}{p-1} = \delta_f \log N + O(1),$$

for every integer $N \geq 2$. Therefore,

$$(7) \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}'_N} \nu_p(Q_N) \log p \leq \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}'_N} \left(\frac{kN \log p}{p-1} + O(\log N) \right) \leq \delta_f kN \log N + O(N).$$

for every integer $N \geq 2$. Finally, by Stirling's formula

$$(8) \quad \log |Q_N| = kN \log N + O(N).$$

Putting together (5), (6), (7), and (8), we get

$$\#\mathcal{S}_N \gg (1 - \delta_f)kN + O\left(\frac{N}{\log N}\right),$$

and the desired result follows. \square

Remark 2.2. Note that Lemma 2.5 is trivial if $\delta_f = 1$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a nonconstant polynomial of degree 2 or 3. If f is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$, then there exists a linear polynomial $h \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $h(n) \mid f(n)$ for all integers n ; and the existence of G_f follows immediately from the existence of G_h proved by Evans [7]. Therefore, we can assume that f is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. Hence the Galois group of f over \mathbb{Q} is precisely one of S_2 , S_3 , or A_3 , and by the Frobenius density theorem δ_f is $1/2$, $2/3$, or $1/3$, respectively. Further, by Lemma 2.1 we know that f and \tilde{f} has the same Galois group over \mathbb{Q} , and, consequently, by the Frobenius density theorem $\delta_{\tilde{f}} = \delta_f$.

Let N be a sufficiently large positive integer. Define \mathcal{S}_N as the set of all prime numbers p such that $p > N/2$ and $p \mid \tilde{f}(r)$ for some positive integer $r \leq N/2$. Thanks to the previous considerations and Lemma 2.5, we have that

$$(9) \quad \#\mathcal{S}_N \geq c_1 N,$$

for all sufficiently large N , where $c_1 > 0$ is constant depending only on f . Moreover, Lemma 2.2 tell us that for each $p \in \mathcal{S}_N$ there exists two integers z_p^- and z_p^+ such that

$$f(z_p^-) \equiv f(z_p^+) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

and $0 < z_p^+ - z_p^- \leq N/2 < p$.

Now since

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f} \frac{1}{p} = +\infty,$$

we can fix $s \geq 1$ elements $p_1 < \dots < p_s$ of \mathcal{P}_f such that

$$(10) \quad \prod_{i=1}^s \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) < \frac{c_1}{3}.$$

Moreover, by the definition of \mathcal{P}_f , for each $p \in \mathcal{P}_f$ we can pick an integer z_p such that $f(z_p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Let $h_1 < \dots < h_{N_1}$ be all the elements of $\{1, \dots, N\}$ which are not divisible by any of the primes p_1, \dots, p_s , and let $k_1 < \dots < k_{N_2}$ be all the remaining elements, so that $N = N_1 + N_2$. By the Eratosthenes' sieve and (10), we have

$$(11) \quad N_1 \leq N \prod_{i=1}^s \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) + 2^s < \frac{c_1}{2} N,$$

for all sufficiently large N . Let $q_1 < \dots < q_t$ be all the elements of $\mathcal{S}_N \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$. From (9) and (11), we get that

$$t \geq c_1 N - s > \frac{c_1}{2} N > N_1,$$

for all sufficiently large N . As a consequence, for any $j = 1, \dots, N_1$, we can define $r_j = z_{q_j}^-$ if $h_j \leq N/2$, and $r_j = z_{q_j}^+$ if $h_j > N/2$. Finally, we assume N sufficiently large so that $N \geq 2p_s$.

At this point, note that by construction p_1, \dots, p_s and q_1, \dots, q_{N_1} are all pairwise distinct. Thus, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the system of congruences:

$$\begin{cases} n \equiv z_{p_i} & \pmod{p_i} & i = 1, \dots, s \\ n \equiv r_j - h_j & \pmod{q_j} & j = 1, \dots, N_1 \end{cases}$$

has infinitely many positive integer solutions. If n is a solution, then it is easy to see that none of the integers among

$$f(n+1), f(n+2), \dots, f(n+N)$$

is relatively prime to all the others.

Indeed, take any $h \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. On one hand, if h is divisible by some p_i , then

$$f(n+h) \equiv f(n+h \pm p_i) \equiv f(z_{p_i}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i},$$

so that

$$\gcd(f(n+h), f(n+h \pm p_i)) > 1,$$

while $h \pm p_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ for the right choice of the sign, since $N \geq 2p_s$.

On the other hand, if h is not divisible by any of p_1, \dots, p_s , then $h = h_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, N_1\}$. If $h_j \leq N/2$, then

$$f(n+h) \equiv f(z_{q_j}^-) \equiv 0 \pmod{q_j},$$

and

$$f(n+h+z_{q_j}^+ - z_{q_j}^-) \equiv f(z_{q_j}^+) \equiv 0 \pmod{q_j},$$

so that

$$\gcd(f(n+h), f(n+h+z_{q_j}^+ - z_{q_j}^-)) > 1,$$

while $h+z_{q_j}^+ - z_{q_j}^- \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Similarly, if $h_j > N/2$ then

$$\gcd(f(n+h+z_{q_j}^- - z_{q_j}^+), f(n+h)) > 1,$$

while $h+z_{q_j}^- - z_{q_j}^+ \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Hence, the existence of G_f has been proved.

Remark 3.1. Note that when f has a linear factor $h = a + dX \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, we can say more than the existence of G_f . Namely, we may apply the results of Hajdu and Saradha [9] to get an effective upper bound on G_f depending on the number of prime factors of d .

REFERENCES

- [1] T. AMDEBERHAN, L. A. MEDINA, AND V. H. MOLL, *Asymptotic valuations of sequences satisfying first order recurrences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 3, 885-890.
- [2] E. BERLEKAMP, *Algebraic coding theory*, revised ed., World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2015.
- [3] A. BRAUER, *On a property of k consecutive integers*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1941), 328-331.
- [4] A. BRAUER AND M. ZEITZ, *Über eine zahlentheoretische Behauptung von Legendre*, Sitzungsberichte d. Berliner Mathematischen Gesellschaft **29** (1930), 116-125.
- [5] P. ERDŐS, *On the difference of consecutive primes*, Q. J. Math. **6** (1935), 124-128.
- [6] P. ERDŐS AND J. L. SELFRIDGE, *The product of consecutive integers is never a power*, Illinois J. Math. **19** (1975), 292-301.
- [7] R. EVANS, *On N consecutive integers in an arithmetic progression*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **33** (1972), 295-296.
- [8] G. EVEREST, S. STEVENS, D. TAMSETT, AND T. WARD, *Primes generated by recurrence sequences*, Amer. Math. Monthly **114** (2007), no. 5, 417-431.
- [9] L. HAJDU AND N. SARADHA, *On a problem of Pillai and its generalizations*, Acta Arith. **144** (2010), 323-347.
- [10] L. HAJDU AND M. SZIKSZAI, *On the GCD-s of k consecutive terms of Lucas sequences*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), no. 12, 3056-3069.

- [11] J. HARRINGTON AND L. JONES, *Extending a theorem of Pillai to quadratic sequences*, Integers **15A** (2015), Paper No. A7, 22.
- [12] A-M. LEGENDRE, *Théorie des nombres*, Tome II, Paris (1830), 71-79.
- [13] M. OHTOMO AND F. TAMARI, *On relative prime number in a sequence of positive integers*, J. Statist. Plann. Inference **106** (2002), no. 1-2, 509-515, Experimental design and related combinatorics.
- [14] S. S. PILLAI, *On m consecutive integers I*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. A. **11** (1940), 6-12.
- [15] S. S. PILLAI, *On m consecutive integers II*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. A. **11** (1940), 73-80.
- [16] J.-P. SERRE, *Lectures on $N_X(p)$* , Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 11, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2012.
- [17] P. STEVENHAGEN AND H. W. LENSTRA, *Chebotarëv and his density theorem*, Math. Intelligencer **18** (1996), no. 2, 26-37.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO, ITALY

E-mail address: `carlo.sanna.dev@gmail.com`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, P.O. BOX 400., H-4002 DEBRECEN, HUNGARY

E-mail address: `szikszai.marton@science.unideb.hu`