POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Björling type problems for elastic surfaces

Original

Björling type problems for elastic surfaces / Manzano, J. M.; Musso, Emilio; Nicolodi, L In: RENDICONTI DEL SEMINARIO MATEMATICO ISSN 0373-1243 ELETTRONICO 74:1(2016), pp. 211-231.
Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2689205 since: 2020-06-01T14:33:16Z
Publisher: Editrice universitaria Levrotto e Bella
Published DOI:
Terms of use:
This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository
Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

21 December 2024

J. M. Manzano, E. Musso, L. Nicolodi*

BJÖRLING TYPE PROBLEMS FOR ELASTIC SURFACES

To the memory of our friend Sergio Console

Abstract. In this survey we address the Björling problem for various classes of surfaces associated to the Euler-Lagrange equation of the Helfrich elastic energy subject to volume and area constraints.

Introduction

The equilibrium configurations of elastic surfaces, such as lipid bilayers in biological membranes, arise as critical points of the Helfrich energy functional, subject to area and volume constraints [19, 36, 48, 79, 83]. The corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation is known as the *Ou-Yang–Helfrich equation*, or the *membrane shape equation* [69, 72, 73]. A number of different important classes of surfaces, including minimal, constant mean curvature (CMC), and Willmore surfaces, are governed by nonlinear partial differential equations which are obtained as special cases of the Ou-Yang–Helfrich equation. In this paper, we address the Björling problem for various classes of surfaces associated to special reductions of the Ou-Yang–Helfrich equation.

Section 1 introduces the Helfrich functional and its associated Euler–Lagrange equation. It then discusses some of its most important reductions as well as the relations among the corresponding classes of surfaces.

Section 2 recalls the classical Björling problem for minimal surfaces and outlines the recently solved Björling type problems for non-minimal CMC surfaces and for Willmore surfaces [12, 15]. The solutions of these problems all ultimately rely on the harmonicity of a suitable Gauss map and hence on the possibility of exploiting the techniques from integrable system theory.

Section 3 discusses a Björling problem for equilibrium elastic surfaces, that is, for surfaces in Euclidean space whose mean curvature function H satisfies the shape equation

$$\Delta H = \Phi(a, c),$$

where Δ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the surface and Φ is a real analytic symmetric function of the principal curvatures a and c. Contrary to the previous cases, this equation is not known to be related to harmonic map theory or to other integrable systems, so these approaches do not apply in principle. In this case, the techniques

^{*}Authors were partially supported by PRIN 2010-2011 "Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica"; and by the GNSAGA of INDAM. The first author also acknowledges support from Spanish MEC-Feder research project MTM2014-52368-P and the third author from FIRB 2008 "Geometria Differenziale Complessa e Dinamica Olomorfa".

rely upon the Cartan–Kähler theory of Pfaffian differential systems and the method of moving frames [17, 21, 33, 34, 40].

Section 4 presents some examples.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referee for useful comments and suggestions.

1. The Helfrich energy and the shape equation

1.1. The Helfrich functional

The Helfrich functional (Canham [19], Evans [27], Helfrich [36]) for a compact oriented surface S embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 is defined by

(1)
$$\mathcal{H}(S) = \mathfrak{b} \int_{S} (H - c_0)^2 dA + \mathfrak{c} \int_{S} K dA,$$

where

- dA is the area element of the surface;
- H = (a+c)/2 is the mean curvature of S;
- K = ac is the Gauss curvature of S;
- *a*, *c* denote the *principal curvatures* of *S*;
- \mathfrak{b} , $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{R}$ are the *bending rigidities*, constants depending on the material;
- $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is the *spontaneous curvature*.

Physically, the formula for \mathcal{H} follows from Hooke's law [44]. The Helfrich functional models the *bending elastic energy* of biological membranes formed by a double layer of phospholipids. In water, these molecules spontaneously aggregate forming a closed bilayer which can be regarded as a surface S embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 (*elastic surface*). The spontaneous curvature c_0 accounts for an asymmetry in the layers. The constants \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{c} are material-dependent parameters expressing bending energies.

1.2. The constrained Helfrich functional

There are two natural constraints associated in general with the membrane S: (1) the total area $\mathcal{A}(S)$ should be fixed and (2) the enclosed volume $\mathcal{V}(S)$ should be fixed.

The equilibrium configurations of a bilayer vesicle modeled by an elastic surface S with fixed surface area $\mathcal{A}(S)$ and enclosed volume $\mathcal{V}(S)$ are determined by minimization of

(2)
$$\mathcal{F}(S) = \mathcal{H}(S) + \mathfrak{a} \mathcal{A}(S) + p \mathcal{V}(S)$$
$$= \int_{S} \left[\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}(H - c_{0})^{2} + \mathfrak{c}K \right] dA + p \mathcal{V}(S),$$

where $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant expressing the *surface lateral tension* (stretching), and $p \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant, called *pressure*, which indicates the difference between outside and inside pressure. The tension \mathfrak{a} and the pressure p play the the role of Lagrange multipliers for the constraints on area and volume.

1.3. The shape equation

The Euler–Lagrange equation for the constrained Helfric functional \mathcal{F} , computed by Ou-Yang and Helfrich [72, 73], is given by

(3)
$$b\{\Delta H + 2H(H^2 - K)\} - 2(a + bc_0^2)H + 2bc_0K - p = 0,$$

where Δ denotes the Laplace–Beltrami operator of the induced metric on S.

REMARK 1.1. Observe that \mathfrak{c} does not enter into the Euler-Lagrange equation; indeed, $2\mathfrak{c}\pi\chi(S) = \mathfrak{c}\int_S KdA$. Thus, for any fixed topology, it can be neglected, and

(4)
$$\mathcal{F}(S) = \int_{S} \left[\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}(H - c_0)^2 \right] dA + p \mathcal{V}(S).$$

DEFINITION 1.1. We call $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ an equilibrium surface if it satisfies the fourth order nonlinear PDE

(5)
$$\Delta H = \Phi(a, c),$$

where Φ is a real analytic symmetric function of the principal curvatures a and c. The equation (5) is referred to as the shape equation.

1.4. Reductions of $\mathcal{F}(S)$ and related examples

There are several important reductions of the constrained Helfrich functional \mathcal{F} , and hence of the corresponding Ou-Yang–Helfrich equation (3).

Minimal surfaces

If $\mathfrak{b} = p = 0$, \mathcal{F} reduces to the *area functional* $\mathcal{A}(S)$, which in turn leads to the theory of minimal surfaces.

CMC surfaces

If $\mathfrak{b}=0$, $\mathcal F$ reduces to the area functional $\mathcal A(S)$, with a volume constraint, which leads to the theory constant mean curvature (CMC) surfaces.

Willmore surfaces

If $c_0 = p = 0$, b = 1, and a = 1,0,-1 is interpreted as the curvature of the simply connected 3-dimensional space forms S^3 , \mathbb{R}^3 , and H^3 , respectively, \mathcal{F} reduces to the *Willmore functional*

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \int_{S} (\mathfrak{a} + H^2) dA,$$

while the Ou-Yang-Helfrich equation reduces to the Thomsen-Shadow equation (cf. [39, 80])

$$\Delta H + 2H(H^2 - (K - \mathfrak{a})) = 0.$$

The critical points of the Willmore functional are the well-known Willmore surfaces. The Willmore functional $\mathcal{W}(S)$ is conformally invariant, that is, $\mathcal{W}(S) = \mathcal{W}(F(S))$ for any conformal transformation F of the ambient space. This property has been fundamental in the study of Willmore surfaces and especially in the proof of the recently solved Willmore conjecture by Marques and Neves [49].

Elastic curves

Another natural reduction of the constrained Helfrich energy is given by the classical bending energy of a curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, the *Elastica functional*,

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \kappa^2 ds.$$

In general, see, for instance, [46], a curve $\gamma(s)$ parametrized by arclength with curvature κ in a space form M^2 of sectional curvature G is said to be a *free elastic* curve if it is critical for the functional

(6)
$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \kappa^2(s) ds.$$

The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation is

$$2\kappa'' + \kappa^3 + 2G\kappa = 0.$$

A curve γ is said an *elastic* curve if it is critical for (6) with the integral constraint

$$L(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} ds = \ell,$$

i.e., the curve γ has constant length ℓ . In this case, the Euler–Lagrange equation is

$$2\kappa'' + \kappa^3 + 2(\mu + G)\kappa = 0.$$

REMARK 1.2. The functional $\mathcal{F}(S)$ became important in the study of biconcave shape of red blood cells [23]. In this respect, the Willmore functional $\mathcal{W}(S)$ is not a good model, since the unique minimum of \mathcal{W} for topologically spherical vesicles is the round sphere [71].

1.5. Minimal, Willmore and CMC surfaces

Let $\hat{S} \subset S^3$ be a surface in the 3-sphere and let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be its stereographic projection to \mathbb{R}^3 from a pole not in \hat{S} . Since \mathcal{W} is conformally invariant, i.e., $\mathcal{W}(\hat{S}) = \mathcal{W}(S)$, if \hat{S} is minimal, and hence Willmore, we have that also S is a Willmore surface in \mathbb{R}^3 .

In particular, according to a result of Lawson [47] asserting that every compact, orientable surface can be minimally imbedded in S^3 , it follows that there exist compact Willmore surfaces of every genus embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Minimal surfaces in space forms are *isothermic*, that is, away from umbilic points they locally admit curvature line coordinates which are conformal (isothermal) for the induced metric. Besides minimal surfaces, examples of isothermic surfaces also include CMC surfaces and surfaces of revolution. Interestingly enough, isothermic surfaces form a Möbius invariant class of surfaces.

By a classical theorem of Thomsen [38, 42, 80], a surface is Willmore and isothermic if and only if it is minimal in some 3-dimensional space form. Thus, minimal immersions are the only CMC Willmore surfaces in a given 3-dimensional space form.

Although non-minimal CMC surfaces in space forms are not Willmore, they are constrained Willmore, that is, they are critical for the Willmore functional under compactly supported infinitesimal conformal variations [9]. In contrast to Thomsen's theorem, a constrained Willmore surface which is isothermic need not have constant mean curvature. An example of an isothermic, constrained Willmore surface that does not have constant mean curvature in some space form was provided by Burstall [9]; this is given by a cylinder over a plane curve. However, as proven by Richter [77], an analogue of Thomsen's theorem holds within the class of tori.

1.6. Willmore surfaces of revolution and elastic curves

Let γ be a regular curve in the *hyperbolic plane* H^2 , where H^2 is represented by the upper half-plane above the x_1 -axis in the x_1x_2 -plane of \mathbb{R}^3 . If $S_{\gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the *surface of revolution* obtained by revolving the profile curve γ about the x_1 -axis, then the Willmore functional \mathcal{W} reduces to

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \int_{S} H^{2} = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{\gamma} \kappa^{2},$$

where κ is the hyperbolic curvature of γ (cf. [18, 33, 45]). Thus, the surface S_{γ} is Willmore if and only if γ is a free elastic curve if and only if

$$\kappa'' + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^3 - \kappa = 0.$$

The proof follows easily from the *Principle of Symmetric Criticality* of Palais [74]. It follows that Willmore surfaces of revolution are minimal in some space form.

According to Langer and Singer [45], the length of γ determines the conformal type of S_{γ} . Moreover, S_{γ} is constrained Willmore if and only if γ is an elastic curve if

and only if

$$\kappa'' + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^3 + (\mu - 1)\kappa = 0.$$

1.7. Pinkall's Willmore tori

A Hopf torus $S_{\gamma} := \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is the inverse image under the Hopf fibration $\pi : S^3 \to S^2$ of a closed curve γ immersed in S^2 . Pinkall [75] used Hopf tori to construct a new infinite series of compact embedded Willmore surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 which are not conformally equivalent to a minimal immersion.

For a Hopf torus S_{γ} , we have that K=0 and $H=-\kappa$, where κ denotes the curvature of γ in S^2 .

Moreover, S_{γ} is critical for \mathcal{W} if and only if γ is elastic in S^2 , that is, if and only if $\kappa'' + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^3 + \kappa = 0$. Except for the Clifford torus, none of Pinkall's Willmore tori are conformally equivalent to a minimal immersion in space forms [42, 75].

 S_{γ} is critical for \mathcal{W} with fixed area and volume (Helfrich model) if and only if γ is critical for $\int_{\mathcal{V}} \kappa^2$ with fixed length and enclosed area if and only if

$$\kappa'' + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^3 + (\mu + 1)\kappa + \lambda = 0.$$

For further details on this, we refer the reader to the recent work of L. Heller [37].

REMARK 1.3. The presence of the *spontaneous curvature* c_0 combined with the *area and volume constraints* implies that \mathcal{F} is not conformally invariant. Thus, several analytic methods used for the study of the Willmore functional, including Simon's regularity, and the existence of minimizers under fixed conformal class, cannot be employed. Additional information on these topics can be found in the lecture notes of Kuwert and Schätzle [43], and the bibliography therein. In the literature, only few explicit solutions of the shape equation are known: axisymmetric surfaces of spherical and toroidal topology, surfaces of biconcave shape [20, 70, 72, 73, 79]. The existence of global minimizers of \mathcal{F} is known only for very special classes of surfaces, e.g., axisymmetric surfaces and biconcave shaped surfaces [22].

2. Geometric Cauchy problems

2.1. The classical Björling problem

The classical Björling problem for minimal surfaces reads as follows [8, 24, 69].

Let (α, N) be a pair consisting of a real analytic curve $\alpha : J \to \mathbb{R}^3$ parametrized by arclength,* where $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval, and of a real analytic unit vector field $N : J \to \mathbb{R}^3$ along α , such that $\langle \alpha'(x), N(x) \rangle = 0$, for all $x \in J$. The *Björling problem* consists in finding a minimal immersion $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$ of some domain $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $J \subset \Sigma$, such that the following conditions hold true:

^{*}Actually, α need not be parametrized by arclength.

1.
$$f(x,0) = \alpha(x)$$
, for $x \in J$,
2. $n(x,0) = N(x)$, for $x \in J$,

where *n* denotes the unit normal (Gauss map) of f, $n : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$.

The Björling problem was posed and solved by E. G. Björling in 1844 [6] as a special instance of the general theorem of Cauchy–Kovalevskaya, from which one expects to find a uniquely determined solution to the problem. Following H. A. Schwarz [78], vol. 1, pp. 179–89, such a unique solution can be given by an explicit representation formula in terms of the prescribed pair (α, N) , namely

$$f(x,y) = \Re\left\{\widehat{\alpha}(z) - i\int_{z_0}^{z} \widehat{N}(w) \times \widehat{\alpha}'(w)dw\right\}, \quad z = x + iy,$$

where $\hat{\alpha}(z)$ and $\hat{N}(z)$ denote the holomorphic extensions of $\alpha(x)$ and N(x), respectively. The solution to Björling's problem can be understood as follows:

- The Gauss map of a minimal surface is holomorphic.
- The Weierstrass representation gives a formula for the surface in terms of holomorphic data.
- It suffices to know the data along a curve.

REMARK 2.1. In the mid nineteenth century, Bonnet observed that the solution to Björling's problem permits also the determination of minimal surfaces containing a given curve as: (1) a *geodesic*: the normal to the surface is the principal normal vector of the geodesic as a space curve; (2) an *asymptotic line*: the normal to the surface is the binormal vector to the curve; (3) or a *curvature line* (use Joachimsthal's theorem).

Some modern, more sophisticated uses of the above classical formula for the study of minimal surfaces can be found, for instance, in [28, 50, 53].

2.2. Björling's problem for other surface classes

Within the above circle of ideas, the Björling problem has been studied for other classes of surfaces admitting a (holomorphic) Weierstrass representation, e.g.,

- the class of CMC 1 surfaces in hyperbolic 3-space using Bryant's holomorphic representation [28];
- the class of minimal surfaces in a three-dimensional Lie group [52] using previous work of [51].

The problem has been investigated in several different geometric situations and for other surface classes, including surfaces of constant curvature, affine spheres, and timelike surfaces (see, for instance, [1, 3, 4, 10, 11, 13, 14, 31, 29, 30] and the literature therein).

What about the Björling problem for non-minimal CMC surfaces, or Willmore surfaces?

2.3. Björling's problem for CMC surfaces

Let $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a CMC H immersion with $H \neq 0$. The Gauss map $n: \Sigma \to S^2$ of $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is not holomorphic. However, it is well-known that $n: \Sigma \to S^2 = \mathrm{SU}(2)/S^1 \subset \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a harmonic map.

The condition that n is harmonic is equivalent to the existence of a S^1 -family of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued 1-forms α_{λ} satisfying the Maurer–Cartan condition, for all $\lambda \in S^1$. Accordingly, by the work of Pohlmeyer [76], Zakharov–Shabat [86], and Uhlenbeck [82], the Gauss map has a representation as a holomorphic map into a *loop group*.

In 2010, Brander and Dorfmeister [12] solved the Björling problem for CMC surfaces using the loop group formulation of CMC surfaces, which in turn is based on the Dorfmeister–Pedit–Wu construction of harmonic maps from a surface to a compact symmetric space [25].

2.4. Björling's problem for Willmore surfaces

For Willmore surfaces, there is not a unique solution to the Björling problem as stated above. This has to do with the fact that the Willmore functional is Möbius invariant.

We recall that Möbius geometry is the conformal geometry of 3-sphere

$$S^3 \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{N}^{4,1}),$$

viewed as the projectivization of the *null cone* $\mathfrak{N}^{4,1}$ of Minkowski 4-space $\mathbb{R}^{4,1}$. A map $y: \Sigma \to S^3$ is lifted to a map Y into $\mathfrak{N}^{4,1}$, so that y = [Y]. In this case, the role of the Gauss map is now played by the *conformal Gauss map*

$$\Psi: \Sigma \to S^{3,1} \cong \{ \text{oriented 2-spheres of } S^3 \}.$$

Away from umbilic points, the map ψ is a spacelike immersion which is orthogonal to Y and dY. In other words, this means that y envelopes ψ .

Now, a surface $y: \Sigma \to S^3$ is Willmore if and only if its conformal Gauss map ψ is harmonic into $S^{3,1}$. For a Willmore surface y, there is a *dual Willmore* \hat{y} with the same conformal Gauss map ψ . The dual pair (y, \hat{y}) are the two envelopes of ψ , viewed as a 2-parameter family of spheres in S^3 (see [7, 16, 38, 42] and [66] for more details on the geometry of the conformal Gauss map).

A Cauchy problem for Willmore surfaces

A suitable initial value problem for Willmore surfaces is described as follows. Given a real analytic *curve of spheres* $\psi_0(x): J \to S^{3,1}$, with enveloping curves $[Y_0]$, $[\hat{Y}_0]$, satisfying $\langle Y_0, Y_0 \rangle = \langle \hat{Y}_0, \hat{Y}_0 \rangle = 0$, $\langle Y_0, \hat{Y}_0 \rangle = -1$, find a pair of dual Willmore surfaces

$$y = [Y], \hat{y} = [\hat{Y}] : \Sigma \to S^3, \quad J \subset \Sigma,$$

such that:

- $Y(x,0) = Y_0(x)$, for $x \in J$,
- $\widehat{Y}(x,0) = \widehat{Y}_0(x)$, for $x \in J$,
- $\psi(x,0) = \psi_0(x)$, for $x \in J$,

being $\psi: \Sigma \to S^{3,1}$ the conformal Gauss map of $y, y: \Sigma \to S^3$.

Using the harmonicity of the conformal Gauss map, Helein [35] gives a Weierstrass type representation of Willmore immersions using previous work of Bryant [16] on Willmore surfaces and an extension of the Dorfmeister–Pedit–Wu method.

In 2014, Brander and Wang [15] solved the Björling problem for Willmore surfaces using Helein's loop group formulation.

REMARK 2.2. In the above situations, the theory of harmonic maps provides a unifying framework. More precisely:

- Harmonicity of a suitable Gauss map characterizes previous examples.
- They may be unified by application of the theory of harmonic maps.
- Such harmonic maps comprise an integrable system with Lax representation, spectral deformations, algebro-geometric solutions, etc.
- Harmonic maps and integrable systems provide a conceptual explanation for the solution of the above geometric Cauchy problems.

3. A Björling problem for equilibrium elastic surfaces

In this section we address the question of existence and uniqueness of a suitably formulated geometric Björling problem for equilibrium surfaces, i.e., surfaces satisfying the shape equation $\Delta H = \Phi(a,c)$. The position of the problem and its solution is given throughout this section.

THEOREM 3.1 ([41]). Given:

- 1. a real analytic curve $\alpha: J \to \mathbb{R}^3$, with $|\alpha'(x)| = 1$, Frenet frame $T = \alpha'$, N, B, curvature $\kappa(x) \neq 0$, and torsion $\tau(x)$;
- 2. **a unit normal** $W_0 = N(x_0) \cos a_0 + B(x_0) \sin a_0$, **at** $x_0 \in J$;
- 3. two real analytic functions $h, h^W : J \to \mathbb{R}$, such that h satisfies

(7)
$$h + \kappa \sin\left(-\int_{x_0}^x \tau(u)du + a_0\right) < 0,$$

then, there exists a real analytic immersion $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$, where $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an open neighborhood of $J \times \{0\}$, with curvature line coordinates (x,y), such that:

- (a) the mean curvature H of f satisfies $\Delta H = \Phi(a,c)$;
- (b) $f(x,0) = \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in J$, and α is a curvature line of f;

```
(c) the tangent plane to f at f(x_0,0) is spanned by T(x_0) and W_0; (d) H|_J = h and \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}|_J = h^W.
```

If $\hat{f}: \hat{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is any other principal immersion satisfying the above conditions, then $f(\Sigma \cap \hat{\Sigma}) = \hat{f}(\Sigma \cap \hat{\Sigma})$, i.e., f is unique up to reparametrizations.

REMARK 3.1. In Theorem 3.1, the curve α turns out to be a curvature line of the solution surface. This has to do with the fact that the normal vector field W along α such that $W(x_0) = W_0$ is chosen to be a (relatively) parallel field in the sense of Bishop [5] (see Section 3.3). A more general problem would be that of considering the shape equation together with a curve α , a generic unit normal W along the curve, and some other objects along α that determine all possible data up to order three that one can consider on the surface along α . The problem is then whether there exists a unique equilibrium surface meeting these data. The solution of this more general problem is likely to imply Theorem 3.1 as a special case.

As opposed to the cases discussed above, the shape equation is not known to be related to harmonic map theory, nor to other integrable systems. So these approaches do not apply in principle. Also, no explicit representation formulae are known for equilibrium surfaces that could be used for solving the Cauchy problem in geometric terms as in the classical Björling problem.

Our techniques will rely upon the Cartan–Kähler theory of Pfaffian differential systems and the method of moving frames (for a similar approach to the integrable system of Lie-minimal surfaces and other systems in submanifold geometry, as well as to several geometric variational problems within the general scheme of Griffiths' formalism of the calculus of variations [33], we refer to [55, 57, 58, 59, 61, 63, 64] and [26, 56, 60, 62, 65, 67]).

The main steps in the proof of Theorem 3.1 are the following:

- 1. Construction of a Pfaffian differential system (PDS) whose integral manifolds are canonical lifts of principal frames along surfaces satisfying the shape equation.
- 2. Analysis of the PDS to conclude that it is in involution by Cartan's test. Hence the Cartan–Kähler theorem yields the existence and uniqueness of an integral surface containing a given integral curve.
- 3. Construction of integral curves from the given initial data.
- 4. Geometric interpretation of the construction and of the existence result to determine the equilibrium surface.

3.1. The Pfaffian system of equilibrium surfaces

Structure equations of $\mathbb{E}(3)$

The Euclidean group $\mathbb{E}(3) = \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes SO(3) \subset GL(4,\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on \mathbb{R}^3 by $(\mathbf{x},A)y = \mathbf{x} + Ay$. An element (\mathbf{x},A) of $\mathbb{E}(3)$ is a frame A_1,A_2,A_3 at \mathbf{x} , where A_i denotes the

*i*th column of *A*. By regarding **x** and A_i as \mathbb{R}^3 -valued maps on $\mathbb{E}(3)$, there are unique 1-forms θ^i , θ^i_i on $\mathbb{E}(3)$, i, j = 1, 2, 3, such that

$$\begin{cases} d\mathbf{x} = \sum \theta^i A_i, \\ dA_i = \sum \theta^j_i A_j, \quad \theta^j_i = -\theta^i_j, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

The Maurer-Cartan forms θ^i , θ^i_j , i, j = 1, 2, 3, of $\mathbb{E}(3)$ satisfy the *structure equations*

(8)
$$\begin{cases} d\theta^{i} = -\sum \theta^{i}_{j} \wedge \theta^{j}, \\ d\theta^{i}_{j} = -\sum \theta^{i}_{k} \wedge \theta^{k}_{j}. \end{cases}$$

Structure equations of the principal frame bundle

Let $f: X^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be an immersed surface with unit normal field n, such that f does not have umbilic points. A *principal adapted frame* is a mapping

$$(f,(A_1,A_2,A_3)):U\subset X\to \mathbb{E}(3),$$

such that, for each $\zeta \in X$, $\{A_1(\zeta), A_2(\zeta), A_3(\zeta)\}$ is a orthonormal basis of $T_{f(\zeta)}\mathbb{R}^3$, such that $A_1(\zeta), A_2(\zeta)$ are principal directions and $A_3 = n$.

These conditions easily imply that (θ^1, θ^2) induces a coframe field in X, and $\theta^3 = 0$. Moreover, we can express

$$\theta_1^3 = a\theta^1$$
, $\theta_2^3 = c\theta^2$, $\theta_1^2 = p\theta^1 + q\theta^2$,

where a and c are the principal curvatures associated to the directions A_1 and A_2 , respectively, which are assumed to satisfy a > c without loss of generality, and p and q are smooth functions, the Christoffel symbols of f with respect to the coframe (θ^1, θ^2) . Note that the first and second fundamental forms read $I = df \cdot df = \theta^1 \theta^1 + \theta^2 \theta^2$ and $II = -df \cdot dn = a\theta^1 \theta^1 + c\theta^2 \theta^2$, respectively.

The structure equations (8) in this setting give

$$\begin{cases} d\theta^1 = p\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2, \\ d\theta^2 = q\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2, \end{cases}$$

as well as the Gauss equation

(9)
$$dp \wedge \theta^1 + dq \wedge \theta^2 + (ac + p^2 + q^2)\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 = 0,$$

and the Codazzi equations

(10)
$$\begin{cases} da \wedge \theta^{1} + p(c-a)\theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{1} = 0, \\ dc \wedge \theta^{2} + q(c-a)\theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2} = 0. \end{cases}$$

REMARK 3.2. In order to simplify the notation in the sequel, given a smooth function $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$, let us write $dg = g_1\theta^1 + g_2\theta^2$, where $g_1, g_2: X \to \mathbb{R}$ could be

considered the "partial derivatives" of g with respect to θ^1, θ^2 . It is easily seen that the mixed partials satisfy $g_{12} - g_{21} = pg_1 + gg_2$. Using the relation

$$(\Delta g) \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 = d * dg = d(-g_2 \theta^1 + g_1 \theta^2),$$

which defines the Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ with respect to I, we find that

$$\Delta g = g_{11} + g_{22} + qg_1 - pg_2.$$

In this formalism Gauss and Codazzi equations (9) and (10) are writen as

$$p_2 - q_1 = ac + p^2 + q^2$$
,
$$\begin{cases} a_2 = -p(c-a), \\ c_1 = -q(c-a), \end{cases}$$

so we will define the auxiliary function $r = \frac{1}{2}(p_2 + q_1)$, in order to express

$$p_2 = r + \frac{1}{2}(ac + p^2 + q^2), \quad q_1 = r - \frac{1}{2}(ac + p^2 + q^2).$$

Differentiating the Codazzi equations yields

$$\begin{cases} a_{21} = (p_1 - pq)(a - c) + pa_1, & a_{12} = 2pa_1 + p_1(a - c), \\ a_{22} = \left(r + \frac{1}{2}(ac + p^2 + q^2)\right)(a - c) + p^2(a - c) - pc_2, \\ c_{21} = q_2(a - c) - 2qc_2, & c_{12} = (q_2 + pq)(a - c) - qc_2, \\ c_{11} = \left(r - \frac{1}{2}(ac + p^2 + q^2)\right)(a - c) + qa_1 - q^2(a - c), \end{cases}$$

so $\Delta H = \frac{1}{2}\Delta(a+c)$ is expressed in terms of the invariants p,q,a,c,r,a_1,c_2 by

$$\Delta H = \frac{1}{2} (a_{11} + c_{22}) - r(c - a) + qa_1 - pc_2.$$

Therefore, f satisfies the shape equation $\Delta H = \Phi(a,c)$ if and only if

$$a_{11} + c_{22} = 2(\Psi(p,q,a,c,a_1,c_2) + r(c-a)),$$

where

$$\Psi(p,q,a,c,a_1,c_2) = \Phi(a,c) + pc_2 - qa_1.$$

The important point here is that Ψ is not a function of r.

The Pfaffian system of principal frames

On the manifold

$$Y_{(1)} = \mathbb{E}(3) \times \{(p,q,a,c) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid a-c > 0\},\$$

consider (I_1,Ω) , the Pfaffian differential system (PDS) differentially generated by the 1-forms

(11)
$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^1 &= \theta^3, & \alpha^2 &= \theta_1^2 - p\theta^1 - q\theta^2, \\ \alpha^3 &= \theta_1^3 - a\theta^1, & \alpha^4 &= \theta_2^3 - c\theta^2, \end{aligned}$$

with independence condition $\Omega = \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \neq 0$.

Using the compatibility conditions for a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 , we have the following.

LEMMA 3.1. The integral manifolds of (I_1, Ω) are the smooth maps

$$F_{(1)} := (F, A, p, q, a, c) : X \to Y_{(1)}$$

defined on an oriented connected surface X, such that:

- $F: X \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is an umbilic free smooth immersion;
- $(F,A) = (F,(A_1,A_2,A_3)): X \to \mathbb{E}(3)$ is a principal frame along F; a, c are the principal curvatures and p, q are the Christoffel symbols of F.

Modulo the algebraic ideal generated by $\{\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \alpha^4\}$, it is easy to get

$$\begin{cases} d\theta^1 \equiv p\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2, & d\theta^2 \equiv q\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2, \\ d\alpha^1 \equiv 0, \\ d\alpha^2 \equiv -dp \wedge \theta^1 - dq \wedge \theta^2 - (ac + p^2 + q^2)\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2, \\ d\alpha^3 \equiv -da \wedge \theta^1 + p(c - a)\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2, \\ d\alpha^4 \equiv -dc \wedge \theta^2 - q(c - a)\theta^1 \wedge \theta^2. \end{cases}$$

Nonetheless, the equations $\alpha_j = 0$ also imply the equations $d\alpha_j = 0$, which uncover additional integrability conditions. The prolongation of (I_1, Ω) is the system with these new 1-forms. To prolong (I_1, Ω) , we define

$$Y_{(2)} = Y_{(1)} \times \{(p_1, q_2, r, a_1, c_2, a_{11}, c_{22}) \in \mathbb{R}^7\},$$

where $(p_1, q_2, r, a_1, c_2, a_{11}, c_{22})$ are the new fiber coordinates, and consider the PDS (I_2, Ω) , differentially generated by

(12)
$$\begin{cases} \alpha^{1}, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}, \alpha^{4} \text{ defined in (11),} \\ \beta^{1} = dp - p_{1}\theta^{1} - (r + \frac{1}{2}(ac + p^{2} + q^{2}))\theta^{2}, \\ \beta^{2} = dq - (r - \frac{1}{2}(ac + p^{2} + q^{2}))\theta^{1} - q_{2}\theta^{2}, \\ \gamma^{1} = da - a_{1}\theta^{1} + p(c - a)\theta^{2}, \\ \gamma^{2} = dc + q(c - a)\theta^{1} - c_{2}\theta^{2}, \\ \delta^{1} = da_{1} - a_{11}\theta^{1} + (-2a_{1}p + (c - a)p_{1})\theta^{2}, \\ \delta^{2} = dc_{2} + (2c_{2}q + (c - a)q_{2})\theta^{1} - c_{22}\theta^{2}. \end{cases}$$

Since the new 1-forms represent the geometric equations for a surface as derived in Section 3.1, from Lemma 3.1 we deduce the following.

LEMMA 3.2. The integral manifolds of (I_2, Ω) are the smooth maps $F_{(2)}: X \to Y_{(2)}$,

$$F_{(2)} = (F, A, p, q, a, c, p_1, q_2, r, a_1, c_2, a_{11}, c_{22}),$$

where $(F,A,p,q,a,c): X \to Y_{(1)}$ is an integral manifold of (I_1,Ω) , and the rest of variables represent the corresponding geometric quantities of the immersion $F: X \to \mathbb{R}^3$.

The PDS of equilibrium surfaces

Let $Y_* \subset Y_{(2)}$ be the 16-dimensional submanifold (analytic subvariety) of $Y_{(2)}$ defined by the shape equation

$$a_{11} + c_{22} = 2 \left[\Phi(a,c) + r(c-a) - qa_1 + pc_2 \right] \iff \Delta H = \Phi(a,c).$$

We choose fiber coordinates $p, q, a, c, p_1, q_2, r, a_1, c_2$, where ℓ is defined by

$$\begin{cases} a_{11} = \ell + r(c-a) + \Psi(p,q,a,c,a_1,c_2), \\ c_{22} = -\ell + r(c-a) + \Psi(p,q,a,c,a_1,c_2). \end{cases}$$

The PDS of equilibrium surfaces (I_*, Ω) is defined as (I_2, Ω) restricted to Y_* . By Lemma 3.2, the integral manifolds of (I_*, Ω) are the prolongations $F_{(2)}$ of umbilic free immersions $F: X \to \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying the shape equation $\Delta H = \Phi(a, c)$.

3.2. Involution of the PDS of equilibrium surfaces

Let $V_p(I_*)$, the variety of p-dimensional integral elements of I_* , p=1,2, which is a contained in $G_p(TY_*)$, the Grassmannian bundle of p-dimensional subspaces of TY_* . By construction, (I_*, Ω) is differentially generated by

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^{1}, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}, \alpha^{4}, \beta^{1}, \beta^{2}, \gamma^{1}, \gamma^{2} \text{ defined in (12)}, \\ \delta^{1} = da_{1} - (\ell + r(c - a) + \Psi) \theta^{1} + (p_{1}(c - a) - 2a_{1}p) \theta^{2}, \\ \delta^{2} = dc_{2} + (q_{2}(c - a) + 2c_{2}q) \theta^{1} + (\ell - r(c - a) - \Psi) \theta^{2}. \end{cases}$$

Modulo the ideal generated by $\{\alpha^1,\alpha^2,\alpha^3,\alpha^4,\beta^1,\beta^2,\gamma^1,\gamma^2,\delta^1,\delta^2\},$ we get

(13)
$$\begin{cases} d\alpha^{j} \equiv 0, & j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ d\gamma^{a} \equiv 0, & a = 1, 2, \\ d\beta^{1} \equiv -dp_{1} \wedge \theta^{1} - dr \wedge \theta^{2} - B^{1}\theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}, \\ d\beta^{2} \equiv -dr \wedge \theta^{1} - dq_{2} \wedge \theta^{2} - B^{2}\theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}, \\ d\delta^{1} \equiv -(d\ell + (c - a)dr) \wedge \theta^{1} + (c - a)dp_{1} \wedge \theta^{2} - D^{1}\theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}, \\ d\delta^{2} \equiv (c - a)dq_{2} \wedge \theta^{1} + (d\ell - (c - a)dr) \wedge \theta^{2} + D^{2}\theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}. \end{cases}$$

where B^1, B^2, D^1, D^2 are some real analytic functions of the fiber coordinates. Thus (I_*, Ω) is differentially generated by $\{\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \alpha^4, \beta^1, \beta^2, \gamma^1, \gamma^2, \delta^1, \delta^2\}$ together with the 1-forms in the right-hand sides of the last four equations of (13), which are linearly independent provided that $c \neq a$.

Given p = 1, 2, let us consider the reduced Cartan character s_p' , defined as the maximum rank of the polar equations associated to an element in $G_p(TY_*)$. From the above discussion, it is not difficult to compute $s_1' = 4$ and $s_2' = 0$, so $s_1' + 2s_2' = 4$, which coincides with the degree of indeterminacy of the system given by Equation (13). The reader is referred to the monograph [34] for a clear and comprehensive explanation of this technique.

This means that (I,Ω) passes Cartan's test, i.e., the system is in involution. Given $E_1 \in V_1(I_*)$ at some $m \in Y_*$, its *polar* or *extension space* is given by

$$H(E_1) := \{ u \in T_m Y_* : E_1 + \mathbb{R}u \text{ is an integral element} \}.$$

Since dim $H(E_1) = 2$ and the fibers of $V_2(I_*)$ are affine linear subspaces of $G_2(T_mY_*)$, it follows that $H(E_1)$ is the unique element of $V_2(I_*)$ containing E_1 . From Cartan–Kähler theory, we get the following existence and uniqueness result:

LEMMA 3.3.

- 1. (I_*, Ω) is in involution and its solutions depend on four functions in one variable.
- 2. For every 1-dimensional real analytic integral manifold $A \subset Y_*$ there exists a unique real analytic 2-dimensional integral manifold $X \subset Y_*$ through A.

3.3. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.1

Let us consider the *Cauchy data* $(\alpha, x_0, W_0, h, h^W)$, as in the statement of Theorem 3.1. Let (T, N, B) be a Frenet frame along the real analytic curve α , and denote by κ and τ the curvature and torsion of $\alpha : J \to \mathbb{R}^3$, respectively, determined by the Frenet-Serret equations $T' = \kappa N$, $N' = -kT + \tau B$ and $B' = -\tau N$. We define normal vector fields along α as

$$W(x) = \cos s(x)N(x) + \sin s(x)B(x),$$

$$JW(x) = -\sin s(x)N(x) + \cos s(x)B(x).$$

where the auxiliary real analytic function $s: J \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$s(x) := -\int_{x_0}^x \tau(u)du + a_0,$$

so W extends the vector W_0 at x_0 (i.e., $W(x_0) = W_0$), and

$$G = (\alpha, T, W, JW) : J \to \mathbb{E}(3).$$

is a orthonormal frame field along α (note that (T, W, JW) is nothing but a parallel frame along α , see [5]). Frenet-Serret equations easily yield

$$\frac{d\mathcal{G}}{dx} = \mathcal{G} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -\mathfrak{p} & -\mathfrak{a} \\ 0 & \mathfrak{p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{a} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{cases} \mathfrak{p} = \kappa \cos s(x), \\ \mathfrak{a} = -\kappa \sin s(x). \end{cases}$$

Next we define all the variables associated to the Cauchy data in order to produce a 1-dimensional integral element of I_* :

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{c} &= \mathbf{a} - 2(h + \kappa \sin s(x)), & \mathbf{q} &= -\frac{1}{\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}} \frac{d\mathbf{c}}{dx}, \\ \mathbf{a}_1 &= \frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dx}, & \mathbf{c}_2 &= 2h^W + \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}), \\ \mathbf{p}_1 &= \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dx}, & \mathbf{q}_2 &= -\frac{1}{\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{c}_2}{dx} + 2\mathbf{c}_2 \mathbf{q} \right), \\ \mathbf{r} &= \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2), & \mathbf{I} &= \frac{d^2\mathbf{a}}{dx^2} - \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}) - \Psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{c}_2). \end{split}$$

Note that the condition (7) in the statement is equivalent to $\mathfrak{a} > \mathfrak{c}$. It is straightforward that the curve $\mathcal{A}: J \to Y_*$ mapping x to $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{c}_2, \mathfrak{l})$ at x parametrizes an integral curve \mathfrak{U} of I_* , such that $\theta^1 = dx$, $\theta^2 = 0$, and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} p \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{p}, & q \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{q}, \\ a \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{a}, & c \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{c}, \\ p_1 \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{p}_1, & q_2 \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{q}_2, \\ r \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{r}, & a_1 \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{a}_1, \\ c_2 \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{c}_2, & \ell \circ \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{l}. \end{array} \right.$$

Lemma 3.3 guarantees the existence and uniqueness of an integral surface $\mathcal{X} \subset Y_*$ containing the canonical integral curve \mathfrak{U} . From Section 3.1, we get that the first component \mathbf{x} of $(\mathbf{x},A,p,q,a,c,p_1,q_2,r,a_1,c_2,\ell) \in \mathcal{X}$ can be parametrized by a real analytic umbilic free immersion $F:\Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$, which is a solution to the shape equation $\Delta H = \Phi(a,c)$ and whose prolongation $F_{(2)}$ coincides with the inclusion $\iota:\mathcal{X} \to Y_*$.

Here, the extension result tells us that $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ can be taken as an open neighborhood of $J \times \{0\}$, such that $F(x,0) = \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in J$. Since X has initial condition $\mathfrak{U} \subset X$ and $\mathcal{A}^*(\theta^2) = 0$, α is a curvature line of F. Moreover,

- $F_*(T_{A(x_0)}(X)) = \operatorname{span}(\{A_1(\alpha(x_0)), A_2(\alpha(x_0))\}) = \operatorname{span}(\{T(x_0), W(x_0)\}),$
- $H \circ \mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{c}) = h$,
- $dH|_{\mathcal{A}(x_0)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{c}_2 \mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{a}))\theta^2|_{\mathcal{A}(x_0)} = h^W \theta^2|_{\mathcal{A}(x_0)} \pmod{\theta^1|_{\mathcal{A}(x_0)}}.$

Hence F satisfies conditions (b), (c) and (d) in the statement. It remains to prove the uniqueness of F, which follows from the uniqueness in Lemma 3.3.

4. Examples: Helfrich cylinders

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ be a cylinder over a simple closed curve $\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}(x_1,x_2)$, with curvature $\kappa(x)$ and with generating lines parallel to the x_3 -axis. It then follows that $H = -\kappa/2$ and K = 0. The cylinder S satisfies $\Delta H = \Phi(a,c)$ if and only if $\kappa'' = -2\Phi(-\kappa,0)$. Given the initial data:

- $\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^2(x_1, x_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, a convex simple closed plane curve with signed curvature κ satisfying $\kappa'' = -2\Phi(-\kappa, 0)$;
- a point $\alpha(x_0)$ and the unit normal vector $W_0 = -e_3$ (it corresponds to $a_0 = -\pi/2$);
- $h = -\kappa/2$ and $h^W = 0$ (the integral condition is satisfied),

S is the unique equilibrium surface determined by such initial data.

A Helfrich cylinder is a cylindrical surface S satisfying the Ou-Yang–Helfrich equation (3). In this case,

• the curvature $\kappa(x)$ of $\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^2(x_1, x_2)$ must satisfy

(14)
$$\kappa'' + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^3 - \nu \kappa - \frac{2p}{h} = 0, \quad \nu := 2(\mathfrak{a} + kc_0^2)/\mathfrak{b}.$$

• Differentiating (14), yields

$$\kappa''' + \frac{3}{2}\kappa^2\kappa' - v\kappa' = 0.$$

• $\kappa(x,t) = \kappa(x+vt)$ is a traveling wave solution of the *modified Korteveg–de Vries* (*mKdV*) equation ([32, 68])

$$\kappa_t = \frac{3}{2}\kappa^2\kappa_x + \kappa_{xxx}.$$

- α moves without changing its shape when its curvature evolves according to the mKdV equation (congruence curve).
- (14) has a first integral,

(15)
$$(\kappa')^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\kappa^4 + w_2\kappa^2 + w_1\kappa + w_0) = 0.$$

- If the pressure p vanishes, S is a Willmore cylinder and α is a closed elastic curve (lemniscates): it has self-intersections.
- Closedness and embeddedness of curves satisfying (15) have been studied by Vassilev, Djondjorov, and Mladenov [84], and Musso [54].

References

- J. A. ALEDO, R. M. B. CHAVES, AND J. A. GÁLVEZ, The Cauchy problem for improper affine spheres and the Hessian one equation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2007), no. 9, 4183–4208.
- [2] J. A. ALEDO, A. MARTÍNEZ, AND F. MILÁN, The affine Cauchy problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 351 (2009), no. 1, 70–83.
- [3] L. J. ALÍAS, R. M. B. CHAVES, AND P. MIRA, Björling problem for maximal surfaces in Lorentz-Minkowski space, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 134 (2003), no. 2, 289–316.
- [4] J. A. ALEDO, J. A. GÁLVEZ, AND P. MIRA, D'Alembert formula for flat surfaces in the 3-sphere, J. Geom. Anal. 19 (2009), no. 2, 211–232.
- [5] R. L. BISHOP, There is more than one way to frame a curve, Amer. Math. Monthly 82 (1975), 246–251.
- [6] E. G. BJÖRLING, In integrationem aequationis derivatarum partialum superfici, cujus in punto unoquoque principales ambo radii curvedinis aequales sunt signoque contrario, Arch. Math. Phys. (1) 4 (1844), 290–315.
- [7] W. BLASCHKE, Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie. III: Differentialgeometrie der Kreise und Kugeln, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 29, Springer, Berlin, 1929.
- [8] W. BLASCHKE, Einführung in die Differentiageometrie, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 58, Springer, Berlin, 1950.
- [9] C. BOHLE, G. P. PETERS, AND U. PINKALL, Constrained Willmore surfaces, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2008), 263–277.
- [10] D. Brander, Spherical surfaces, Exp. Math. 25 (2016), no. 3, 257–272.
- [11] D. BRANDER, Pseudospherical surfaces with singularities, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 196 (2017), no. 3, 905–928.
- [12] D. BRANDER AND J. F. DORFMEISTER, The Björling problem for non-minimal constant mean curvature surfaces, Comm. Anal. Geom. 18 (2010), no. 1, 171–194.

- [13] D. BRANDER AND M. SVENSSON, The geometric Cauchy problem for surfaces with Lorentzian harmonic Gauss maps, J. Differential Geom. 93 (2013), no. 1, 37–66.
- [14] D. BRANDER AND M. SVENSSON, Timelike constant mean curvature surfaces with singularities, J. Geom. Anal. 24 (2014), no. 3, 1641–1672.
- [15] D. BRANDER AND P. WANG, On the Björling problem for Willmore surfaces, J. Differential Geom. (to appear); arXiv:1409.3953v2 [math.DG].
- [16] R. L. BRYANT, A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces, J. Differential Geom. 20 (1984), 23-53.
- [17] R. L. BRYANT, S.-S. CHERN, R. B. GARDNER, H. L. GOLDSCHMIDT, AND P. A. GRIFFITHS, Exterior Differential Systems, MSRI Publications, 18, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [18] R. L. BRYANT AND P. A. GRIFFITHS, Reduction for constrained variational problems and $\int \frac{1}{2}k^2 ds$, *Amer. J. Math.* **108** (1986), 525–570.
- [19] P. B. CANHAM, The minimum energy of bending as a possible explanation of the biconcave shape of the human red blood cell, J. Theor. Biol. 26 (1970), 61–81.
- [20] R. CAPOVILLA, J. GUVEN, AND E. ROJAS, Hamilton's equations for a fluid membrane: axial symmetry, J. Phys. A 38 (2005), 8201–8210.
- [21] E. CARTAN, Les Systèmes Différentiels Extérieurs et leurs Applications Géométriques, Hermann, Paris, 1945.
- [22] R. CHOKSI AND M. VENERONI, Global minimizers for the doubly-constrained Helfrich energy: the axisymmetric case, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 48 (2013), no. 3–4, 337–366.
- [23] H. J. DEULING AND W. HELFRICH, The curvature elasticity of fluid membranes: A catalogue of vesicle shapes, J. Phys. (Paris) 37 (1976), 1335–1345.
- [24] U. DIERKES, S. HILDEBRANDT, AND F. SAUVIGNY, Minimal Surfaces, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 339, Springer, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [25] J. DORFMEISTER, F. PEDIT, AND H. WU, Weierstrass type representation of harmonic maps into symmetric spaces, Comm. Anal. Geom. 6 (1998), no. 4, 633–668.
- [26] A. DZHALILOV, E. MUSSO, AND L. NICOLODI, Conformal geometry of timelike curves in the (1+2)-Einstein universe, Nonlinear Analysis 143 (2016), 224–255.
- [27] E. EVANS, Bending resistance and chemically induced moments in membrane bilayers, *Biophys. J.* 14 (1974), 923–931.
- [28] J. A. GÁLVEZ AND P. MIRA, Dense solutions to the Cauchy problem for minimal surfaces, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 35 (2004), no. 3, 387–394.
- [29] J. A. GÁLVEZ AND P. MIRA, The Cauchy problem for the Liouville equation and Bryant surfaces, Adv. Math. 195 (2005), no. 2, 456–490.
- [30] J. A. GÁLVEZ AND P. MIRA, Embedded isolated singularities of flat surfaces in hyperbolic 3-space, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 24 (2005), no. 2, 239–260.
- [31] J. A. GÁLVEZ, L. HAUSWIRTH, AND P. MIRA, Surfaces of constant curvature in \mathbb{R}^3 with isolated singularities, *Adv. Math.* **241** (2013), 103–126.
- [32] R. E. GOLDSTEIN AND D. M. PERTICH, The Korteweg-de Vries hierarchy as dynamics of closed curves in the plane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 67 (1991), no. 23, 3203–3206.
- [33] P. A. GRIFFITHS, Exterior Differential Systems and the Calculus of Variations, Progress in Mathematics, 25, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1982.
- [34] P. A. GRIFFITHS AND G. R. JENSEN, Differential Systems and Isometric Embeddings, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 114, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.
- [35] F. HÉLEIN, Willmore immersions and loop groups, J. Differential Geom. 50 (1998), no. 2, 331–385.
- [36] W. HELFRICH, Elastic properties of lipid bilayers: Theory and possible experiments, Z. Naturforsch. C 28 (1973), 693–703.

- [37] L. HELLER, Constrained Willmore tori and elastic curves in 2-dimensional space forms, Comm. Anal. Geom. 22 (2014), no. 2, 343–369.
- [38] U. HERTRICH-JEROMIN, Introduction to Möbius Differential Geometry, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 300, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [39] L. HSU, R. KUSNER, AND J. SULLIVAN, Minimizing the squared mean curvature integral for surfaces in space forms, Experiment. Math. 1 (1992), no. 3, 191–207.
- [40] T. A. IVEY AND J. M. LANDSBERG, Cartan for Beginners. Differential Geometry via Moving Frames and Exterior Differential Systems, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 61, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [41] G. R. JENSEN, E. MUSSO, AND L. NICOLODI, The geometric Cauchy problem for the membrane shape equation, *J. Phys. A* 47 (2014), no. 49, 495201, 22 pp.
- [42] G. R. JENSEN, E. MUSSO, AND L. NICOLODI, Surfaces in Classical Geometries. A Treatment by Moving Frames, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2016.
- [43] E. KUWERT AND R. SCHÄTZLE, The Willmore functional, in: G. Mingione (Ed.), Topics in Modern Regularity Theory, 1–115, CRM Series, 13, Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2012.
- [44] L. D. LANDAU AND E. M. LIFSHITZ, Theory of Elasticity. Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 7, Pergamon Press, London-Paris-Frankfurt; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, MA, 1959.
- [45] J. LANGER AND D. A. SINGER, Curves in the hyperbolic plane and mean curvature of tori in 3-space, Bull. London Math. Soc. 16 (1984), no. 5, 531–534.
- [46] J. LANGER AND D. A. SINGER, The total squared curvature of closed curves, J. Differential Geom. 20 (1984), 1–22.
- [47] H. B. LAWSON, Complete minimal surfaces in S³, Ann. of Math. (2) 92 (1970), 335–374.
- [48] R. LIPOWSKY, The conformation of membranes, Nature 349 (1991), 475–482.
- [49] F. C. MARQUES AND A. NEVES, Min-Max theory and the Willmore conjecture, Ann. of Math. (2) 179 (2014), no. 2, 683–782.
- [50] W. H. MEEKS, III, AND M. WEBER, Bending the helicoid, Math. Ann. 339 (2007), no. 4, 783-798.
- [51] F. MERCURI, S. MONTALDO, AND P. PIU, A Weierstrass representation formula for minimal surfaces in H^3 and $H^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **22** (2006), no. 6, 1603–1612.
- [52] F. MERCURI AND I. I. ONNIS, On the Björling problem in a three-dimensional Lie group, *Illinois J. Math.* 53 (2009), no. 2, 431–440.
- [53] P. MIRA, Complete minimal Möbius strips in Rⁿ₁ and the Björling problem, J. Geom. Phys. 56 (2006), no. 9, 1506−1515.
- [54] E. MUSSO, Congruence curves of the Goldstein-Petrich flows. In: Harmonic Maps and Differential Geometry, 99–113, Contemp. Math., 542, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [55] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, On the Cauchy problem for the integrable system of Lie minimal surfaces, J. Math. Phys. 46 (2005), no. 11, 3509–3523.
- [56] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, Reduction for the projective arclength functional, Forum Math. 17 (2005), 569–590.
- [57] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, Tableaux over Lie algebras, integrable systems, and classical surface theory, Comm. Anal. Geom. 14 (2006), no. 3, 475–496.
- [58] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, Deformation and applicability of surfaces in Lie sphere geometry, Tohoku Math. J. 58 (2006), 161–187.
- [59] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, A class of overdetermined systems defined by tableaux: involutiveness and the Cauchy problem, *Phys. D* 229 (2007), no. 1, 35–42.
- [60] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, Closed trajectories of a particle model on null curves in anti-de Sitter 3-space, Classical Quantum Gravity 24 (2007), no. 1, 5401–5411.

- [61] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, Differential systems associated with tableaux over Lie algebras. In: Symmetries and Overdetermined Systems of Partial Differential Equations, 497–513, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., 144, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [62] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, Reduction for constrained variational problems on 3D null curves, SIAM J. Control Optim. 47 (2008), no. 3, 1399–1414.
- [63] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, Symplectic applicability of Lagrangian surfaces, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA) 5 (2009), 067, 18 pages; arXiv:0906.5607 [math.DG].
- [64] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, Conformal deformation of spacelike surfaces in Minkowski space, Houst. J. Math. 35 (2009), no. 4, 68–85.
- [65] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, Hamiltonian flows on null curves, Nonlinearity 23 (2010), 2117–2129.
- [66] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, Marginally outer trapped surfaces in de Sitter space by low-dimensional geometries, J. Geom. Phys. 96 (2015), 168–186.
- [67] E. MUSSO AND L. NICOLODI, Quantization of the conformal arclength functional on space curves, Comm. Anal. Geom. 25 (2017), n. 1, 209–242.
- [68] K. NAKAYAMA, H. SEGUR, M. WADATI, Integrability and the motion of curves, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992), no. 18, 2603–2606.
- [69] J. C. C. NITSCHE, Lectures on Minimal Surfaces, Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989
- [70] J. C. C. NITSCHE, Boundary value problems for variational integrals involving surface curvatures, Quart. Appl. Math. 51 (1993), 363–387.
- [71] R. OSSERMAN, Curvature in the eighties, Amer. Math. Monthly 97 (1990), no. 8, 731–756.
- [72] Z. C. OU-YANG AND W. HELFRICH, Instability and deformation of a spherical vesicle by pressure, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987), 2486–2488.
- [73] Z. C. OU-YANG AND W. HELFRICH, Bending energy of vesicle membranes: General expressions for the first, second, and third variation of the shape energy and applications to spheres and cylinders. *Phys. Rev. A.* 39 (1989), 5280–5288.
- [74] R. S. PALAIS, The principle of symmetric criticality, Comm. Math. Phys. 69 (1979), no. 1, 19–30.
- [75] U. PINKALL, Hopf tori in S³, Invent. Math. **81** (1985), no. 2, 379–386.
- [76] K. POHLMEYER, Integrable Hamiltonian systems and interactions through quadratic constraints, Comm. Math. Phys. 46 (1976), no. 3, 207–221.
- [77] J. RICHTER, Conformal maps of a Riemann surface into the space of quaternions. Thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, 1997.
- [78] H. A. SCHWARZ, Gesammelte mathematische Abhandlungen. 2 vols., Springer, Berlin, 1890.
- $[79] \ \ U. \ SEIFERT, Configurations of fluid membranes and vesicles, \textit{Adv. Phys.} \ \textbf{46} \ (1997), 1-137.$
- [80] G. THOMSEN, Über konforme Geometrie I: Grundlagen der konformen Flächentheorie, Hamb. Math. Abh. 3 (1923), 31–56.
- [81] Z. C. Tu And Z. C. Ou-Yang, A geometric theory on the elasticity of bio-membranes, J. Phys. A 37 (2004), 11407–11429.
- [82] K. UHLENBECK, Harmonic maps into Lie groups: classical solutions of the chiral model, J. Differential Geom. 30 (1989), no. 1, 1–50.
- [83] J. L. VAN HEMMEN AND C. LEIBOLD, Elementary excitations of biomembranes: Differential geometry of undulations in elastic surfaces, *Phys. Rep.* 444 (2007), no. 2, 51–99.
- [84] V. M. VASSILEV, P. A. DJONDJOROV, AND I. M. MLADENOV, Cylindrical equilibrium shapes of fluid membranes, J. Phys. A 41 (2008), no. 43, 435201–435216.
- [85] T. J. WILLMORE, Riemannian Geometry, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993.

- [86] V. E. ZAKHAROV AND A. B. SHABAT, Integration of the nonlinear equations of mathematical physics by the method of the inverse scattering problem. II, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen 13 (1979), no. 3, 13–22.
- [87] S.-G. ZHANG AND Z. C. OU-YANG, Periodic cylindrical surface solution for fluid bilayer membranes, Phys. Rev. E 55 (1996), no. 4, 4206–4208.

AMS Subject Classification: 53C42, 58A17, 76A20

José Miguel Manzano, Department of Mathematics, King's College London Strand WC2R 2LS, London, United Kingdom e-mail: manzanoprego@gmail.com

Emilio Musso,

Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Politecnico di Torino Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, I-10129 Torino, Italy e-mail: emilio.musso@polito.it

Lorenzo Nicolodi,

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Parma Parco Area delle Scienze 53/A, I-43124 Parma, Italy e-mail: lorenzo.nicolodi@unipr.it

Lavoro pervenuto in redazione il 16.05.2016.