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Experimental Validation of Time-Synchronized Operations for Software-defined Elastic Optical Networks

Anderson Bravalheri, Miquel Garrich A., Abubakar Siddique Muqaddas, Paolo Giaccone and Andrea Bianco

Abstract—Elastic Optical Networks (EON) have been proposed as a solution to efficiently exploit the spectrum resources in the physical layer of optical networks. Moreover, by centralizing legacy Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) control-plane functionalities and providing a global network view, Software Defined Networking (SDN) enables advanced network programmability valuable to control and configure the technological breakthroughs of EON. In this paper, we review our recent proposal [1] of time-synchronized operations (TSO) to minimize disruption time during lightpath reassignment in EON. TSO have been recently standardized in SDN and here we discuss its implementation using NETCONF and OpenFlow in optical networks. Subsequently, we update our analytical model considering an experimental characterization of the WSS operation time. Then, we extend our previous work with an experimental validation of TSO for lightpath reassignment in a five-node metropolitan optical network test-bed. Results validate the convenience of our TSO-based approach against a traditional asynchronous technique given its reduction of disruption time whilst both techniques maintain a similar network performance in terms of optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) and optical power budget.

Index Terms—Elastic optical networks; Software defined networks; Time-synchronized operations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Traffic volumes in carrier networks keep growing dramatically, driven by the proliferation of high-bandwidth services and applications. To address this challenge, Elastic Optical Networking (EON) enables an efficient use of spectrum resources valuable to extend the lifetime of already deployed optical fibers [2]. EON performs flexible frequency allocation in the network using reconfigurable optical add/drop multiplexers (ROADMs) [3] and bandwidth-variable transmission techniques [4]. In particular, EONs use the spectrum resources of the data plane following the guidelines reported in the ITU-T Recommendation G.694.1 from 2012 [5]. However, the migration from classical wavelength division multiplexed (WDM) fixed-grid spectrum allocation towards flexible EON may require notable long-term investments [6] or gradual migration of the wavelength selective switch (WSS) equipment [7]. Moreover, telecom operators face operational challenges in order to manage such a diverse multi-technology scenario which may also include multi-vendor equipment interoperability issues [8]. In more detail, [8] reports a demonstration of interoperability between multi-vendor optical equipment with the need to adapt several interfaces just to perform an experimental end-to-end resource provisioning. Indeed, these operational challenges may endanger the potential deployment of next-generation flexible-rate transponders and EONs [9].

To address these challenges, novel Software Defined Networking (SDN) approaches [10] enable advanced control and configuration features suitable for the breakthrough technologies of the EON data plane. Although legacy Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) / Path Computation Element (PCE) architectures already offered a fully separated control plane from the control plane, SDN enhances network programmability via open programmatic interfaces, reduces vendor lock-in issues, and permits innovation and evolution of the network infrastructure [11]. In particular, academic initiatives to control optical components recently proposed open YANG models [12] for EON [13]. In this research direction, YANG models have been proposed for monitoring functionalities in EONs [14] and specific models to manage sliceable transponders [15]. More recently, specific NETCONF protocol features and YANG models have also been proposed to address optical network failure issues [16]. On the industrial side, the recent OpenROADM standardization initiative [17], proposes an interface for multi-vendor ROADM access and configuration based on YANG models. More specifically, OpenROADM targets the disaggregation of traditionally proprietary ROADM systems and SDN-enablement of traditionally fixed ROADMs.

In the EON data plane, routing and spectrum assignment (RSA) schemes allocate lightpaths ensuring that a set of frequency slots (FS) are continuous throughout the routing path [18]. Connections in EON are established (and removed) dynamically, thus potentially leaving sparse FS that become difficult to use by the RSA to reduce blocking probability. This fragmentation problem has been recently addressed with hitless defragmentation techniques able to reallocate the light-path frequencies without traffic disruption. Examples are the push-pull technique [19], which allows spectrum returning only over contiguous vacant FS from the source to the destination frequency; and the hop-reusing technique [20], which strictly requires a number of photodetectors equal to the number of FS. Due to system complexity, the former technique is usually preferred over the latter. However, even with RSA schemes combined with push-pull [21], high-load scenarios may drive...
the network towards the so called “end-of-line situations” limiting the potential benefits of EON [22]. End-of-line situations are defined by [22] as cases in which a lightpath obstructs push-pull spectrum defragmentation or non-continuous vacant FS contribute to network blocking. These situations require lightpath rerouting to exploit the remaining capacity not being used by existing RSA and defragmentation techniques, albeit in a non hitless manner.

In this context, SDN could be exploited to address this challenge. In particular, time-synchronized operations (TSO), have been recently proposed in the form of southbound protocol extensions to coordinate distributed operations simultaneously [23]. Indeed, TSO are gaining interest in the research community as an SDN feature capable to improve network performance [24] and to develop novel applications [25].

In this paper, we review our recent proposal [1] of TSO for EON to address end-of-line situations efficiently performing lightpath rerouting to minimize the disruption time. We discuss the implementation of our proposal using the existing protocols, and we show the benefits in a test scenario comparing performance against traditional asynchronous operations.

The novel contribution with respect to [1] is an experimental validation of TSO for lightpath reassignment in a five-node metropolitan optical network test-bed. We compare the network performance in terms of optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) and optical power budget between our TSO-based approach and the traditional asynchronous technique. We observe that both techniques maintain a similar network performance in terms of optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) and optical power budget between our TSO-based approach and the traditional asynchronous technique. We observe that both techniques maintain a similar network performance in terms of optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) and optical power budget between our TSO-based approach and the traditional asynchronous technique.

We define as asynchronous (ASY) approach the technique that executes the operations asynchronously as depicted in Fig. 3a. The ASY approach addresses the end-of-line situation shown in Fig. 1 to achieve the network state in Fig. 2 performing the following four operations. First, $L_3$ is disrupted sending tear-down requests to all the nodes. Second, $L_1$ is rerouted from $A-C-D$ to $A-B-D$ with two commands tear-down and setup for its migration. Third, $L_3$ is now setup in its new route $A-C-D$. Finally, the new lightpath $L_5$ can be allocated on $A-B-D$ and the network state depicted in Fig. 2 is achieved. Note that this operation of lightpath swapping implies a non-negligible disruption time for $L_3$. Nonetheless, it worthwhile mentioning that differently from the sequence illustrated in Fig. 3a, the reassignment of $L_1$ could be performed without any disruption time just by implementing Make-before-Break (MbB) technique as specified in RSVP-TE [26]. In particular, given that spectrum resources are made available using overprovisioning in the $A-C-D$ path by tearing down $L_3$, $L_1$ can be setup in this new route before tearing down its initial allocation in $A-B-D$. However, note that MbB for $L_1$ does not reduce the disruption time for $L_3$.

### III. Time-Synchronized Operations for EON

In this section, we review our recent proposal [1] of time-synchronized operations (TSO) for EON which leverage on recently provided features in SDN. Simultaneous operations can be coordinated using timestamps within industry-standard southbound configuration messages. In the case of lightpath swapping, our approach operates as shown in Figs. 3b and 3c.

In case of NETCONF, time extensions to the protocol have been recently published as an RFC [27]. The SDN controller sends a scheduled-RPC message to the optical node to execute an operation at a specific time. Note that NETCONF does not provide the capability to bundle operations natively. Therefore, one command per operation is issued and scheduled using timestamps in a sequential manner accounting for the configuration time as shown in Fig. 3b (i.e. four operations same as ASY). We refer to this implementation as Native-NETCONF (N-NC). Indeed, similarly as for the ASY case, the commands required to reroute $L_1$ could be inverted (i.e., setup before tear down) implementing the MbB approach so that $L_1$ does not experience any disruption time. Nonetheless, an Intelligent Agent can be implemented either at the SDN controller or at the optical node that processes NETCONF (IA-NC) messages to group several operations into a single configuration [28].

In case of OpenFlow (OF), two features are included in its latest version 1.5 [29]: a bundle of operations can be executed...
Fig. 3: Asynchronous vs. TSO-based approaches in NETCONF and OpenFlow. Source node corresponds to A, intermediate nodes correspond to B and C, and destination node corresponds to D, respectively, in Figs. 1 and 2.

simultaneously [10], and this bundle can be scheduled for execution at a given time, as shown in Fig. 3c. The scheduling of the bundle depends on the node with the maximum sum of the configuration time plus the half round trip time (RTT). In Fig. 3c, we assume that this is the case of the destination node and it starts to execute the bundle of operations at time $T_X$ and it acknowledges the SDN controller at time $T_Y$ after it finishes its configuration. Given that all other nodes have a configuration time smaller than the destination node, their configuration can be done within the time interval between $T_X$ and $T_Y$. By doing so, the smaller configuration times in other nodes compared to the maximum case (destination node in Fig. 3(c)) become transparent to the disruption time. Indeed, this relaxes the requirement of full time-synchronization for the OF approach. Bundling commands in OF requires opening a session by the SDN controller to the optical node with a bundle-open message. Thereafter, multiple commands are sent to the optical node to be added to the bundle. This is followed by a bundle-commit message to specify the time at which the bundle should be executed. Note that bundling network operations by means of the OF bundling feature differs from launching an application (e.g., script file or program) at the SDN controller that issues multiple commands to a given network node. For instance, multiple WSS configurations for different spectrum filtering patterns could be merged into a single WSS filtering pattern modification within a bundle. However, the approach using the multiple-command application would update the WSS filtering pattern upon receiving each command separately. It is worthwhile mentioning that OF and IA-NC cause the same disruption as both implementations permit to bundle several operations as a single configuration. Hence, we refer to them as OF/IA-NC while evaluating their performance.

The temporal accuracy of the time-synchronized approach depends on the maximum value of two contributions. On the one hand, we consider the worst-case configuration time of all optical nodes involved in the reconfiguration. This time depends on several factors including the common coexistence of data-plane devices from different vendors in carrier-grade optical networks, the dependence of the configuration time on current load of the agent at the optical node, aging issues or other random behaviors. However, this worst-case configuration time can be estimated with some error considering the average reconfiguration time with respect to the load (see Fig. 4(b)). On the other hand, worst-case synchronization error among devices needs to be taken into account. To this end, local clocks at the optical nodes can be synchronized with a reference clock using Precision Time Protocol (PTP), or an improved version named ReversePTP [30]. Indeed, given the accuracy of up to 1 ps provided by ReversePTP makes its contribution to the TSO inaccuracy negligible compared to optical configuration times which are in the order of seconds.

In summary, the efficiency of the TSO approach improves with better time accuracy and better knowledge of the reconfiguration time. In this work, given that all the schemes under analysis (ASY, N-NC, OF) are affected by these worst-case considerations, the current conclusions hold. Consequently, we leave further analyses on these two problems outside the scope of our work.

IV. ANALYTICAL EVALUATION OF TSO

In this section, we evaluate the disruption time of ASY, N-NC and OF/IA-NC, considering the lightpath swapping scenario of Sec. II. We assume that each node $i$ has a constant configuration time $c_i$, regardless of the operation. The ASY approach is composed of four operations: tear down $L_3$, tear down $L_1$, setup $L_1$ and setup $L_3$. Each operation lasts for $t_{op} = \max_i(RTT_i + c_i)$, where $RTT_i$ is the Round Trip Time between the SDN controller and node $i$. Thus, the total disruption time experienced by lightpath $L_3$ is $t_{ASY} = 4 \times t_{op} - \min_i(RTT_i)/2$, where the second term is subtracted because the disruption starts when
the nearest node receives the tear-down message from the controller. The N-NC approach concatenates four operations, similar to ASY. Hence, the disruption time is \( t_{N-NC} = 3\max_i(c_i) + \max_i(c_i + RTT_i/2) \), where the second term is due to the last operation in which the controller receives an ACK. The OF/IA-NC permits simultaneous operations, thus the disruption lasts for \( t_{OF/IA-NC} = \max_i(c_i + RTT_i/2) \).

In order to evaluate the impact of the configuration time of the WSS devices on the lightpath disruption time, we review our recently reported experimental results [28], [31]. In particular, a SDN controller makes use of a standard protocol (e.g., a REST interface) to communicate with the firmware of the WSS (Fig. 4(a)). Leveraging on YANG models, the SDN controller can issue specific requests to the firmware of the WSS. For example, the attenuation of any given WSS device at any desirable position of the optical spectrum can be arbitrarily set by the controller. Fig. 4(b) reports the time that is required to perform a change of attenuation in the WSS device as a function of the number of wavelengths for which the attenuation is being adjusted. More specifically, the empty squares report the time requirement as specified by the WSS device manufacturer. The solid squares report the time required to complete the operation inclusive of the control signaling, the firmware execution time and the WSS operation. (The signaling propagation time between the SDN controller and the optical node is negligible.) During the experiment, the applied attenuation for a given group of wavelengths is changed from maximum to minimum and vice versa. The number of wavelengths being switched is varied. Results reported in Fig. 4(b) are the average of ten experiments in two WSS devices and exhibit a linear dependence on the number of channels to be configured with minimal standard deviation (confidence intervals using vertical lines are not reported for the sake of legibility) as in [31]. The curve indicates that the time required to complete the adjustment of the WSS-applied attenuation is proportional to the number of wavelengths for which the attenuation is being adjusted.

Considering the above reported experimental results that characterize the WSS operation time, Fig. 5 shows the disruption time as a function of \( \max_i(c_i) \in [3, 7] \) and number of wavelengths \( \in [1, 80] \) with constant \( RTT \). Note that the proportional dependence between the configuration time required by a WSS and the number of wavelengths it is required to adjust permits the double x-axis depicted in Fig. 5. OF and IA-NC outperform ASY and N-NC as they bundle all the operations in a single configuration instead of four, thus reducing the disruption time by 75%.

Finally, Fig. 6 explores the disruption time for a constant \( c_i = 50 \text{ms}, \forall i \), small enough to observe the impact of the \( \max_i(RTT_i) \). Note that a \( c_i = 50 \text{ms} \) is consistent with Microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) technology employed in fiber switches [32]. As in the previous analysis, the bundling feature in OF and IA-NC reduces the communication rounds between the optical nodes and the controller, thus reducing the disruption time due to \( RTT \). Consequently, as \( RTT \) increases, the reduction grows from 75% to 83.3% when comparing OF and IA-NC against ASY. Furthermore in this case, N-NC performs better than ASY but worse than OF/IA-NC.

V. EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION OF TSO

In this section, we first provide an overview of the five-node metropolitan optical network test-bed where the experiments are performed. Then, we detail the experimental setup that emulates the end-of-line scenario shown in Fig. 1. Finally, we report and discuss the experimental results.

A. Optical network test-bed overview

The experimental results of this work are obtained using an SDN-enabled five-node metropolitan optical network test-bed located at CPqD [28]. More specifically, the network test-bed comprises 4 ROADMs of degree 3 and a central ROADM of degree 4 interconnected to form a partial mesh topology using 100-km single mode fiber (SMF) links as shown in Fig. 7. The ROADM nodes architecture is broadcast-and-select (B&S) using one splitter per input port and one WSS per output port.
In particular, the WSS devices are from Finisar and belong to its ROADMs & Wavelength Management product portfolio. More specifically, 1×5 Flexgrid® WSSs\(^1\) acquired in 2010 are used in the central ROADM, whereas 1×4 Flexgrid® WSSs\(^2\) acquired in 2012 are used in the ROADMs at the edges of the network. EDFAs are placed at each input and output port to compensate for span and node losses. No physical dispersion compensation modules are used. The transmitter is composed of 80 continuous wave (CW) lasers with 50 GHz channel spacing. Each CW is modulated by four multiplexed lines of 32 Gb/s (PRBS \(2^{11} - 1\)), obtaining 80 128-Gb/s DP- QPSK orthogonal channels. Transmission impairments and non-linear effects are assumed to be compensated at the receiver (out of the scope of this work).

B. Experimental setup

In order to investigate the approaches described in Sections II and III for introducing new connections in an end-of-line situation, two experimental tests are carried out using the metropolitan optical network test-bed. Both tests consist of configuring the network to present an initial state and after it requiring the establishment of a new lightpath.

In the first experiment, the SDN controller is configured to sequentially send commands corresponding to *setup* and *tear-down* operations for each individual lightpath, according to the ASY approach. By contrast, in the second experiment, the SDN controller is configured to send only one command for each piece of equipment, reconfiguring all the lightpaths simultaneously, according to the TSO approach.

During the tests, a set of lightpaths \(L_n\) similar to those shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 are defined, although, the branched topology is replaced by a plain one, with all lightpaths starting at node 1 for simplicity. The set of lightpaths are routed in the test-bed though two link-disjoint physical paths composed by the outermost nodes of the test-bed. In particular, as shown in Fig. 7, \(p_1\) traverses nodes 1, 3 and 4; and \(p_2\) traverses nodes 1, 2 and 4. Table I shows detailed information of each lightpath.

Note that when the DWDM 80-channel comb is launched into the network, the WSS at the first ROADM is used to filter undesired (interleaved) channels in order to generate a 40-channel scenario. This spacing is used to observe the noise power, thus the OSNR can be precisely estimated.

In the first experiment, the sequence of the SDN controller actions start at six different moments (likewise Fig. 3a):

\[
\begin{align*}
  t_0 & \rightarrow \text{stable initial state} \\
  t_1 & \rightarrow \text{tear-down} \ L_3 \\
  t_2 & \rightarrow \text{tear-down} \ L_1 \\
  t_3 & \rightarrow \text{setup} \ L_1 \\
  t_4 & \rightarrow \text{setup} \ L_3 \\
  t_5 & \rightarrow \text{setup} \ L_5
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, in the second experiment, the sequence of the SDN controller actions start at two different moments (likewise Fig. 3b):

\[
\begin{align*}
  t_0 & \rightarrow \text{stable initial state} \\
  t_1 & \rightarrow \text{reroute lightpaths}
\end{align*}
\]

After each action of the SDN controller, the optical spectra and powers for all nodes of the network are measured with an optical spectrum analyzer. The average OSNR and spectrum tilt (maximum difference of power among all channels) are also calculated at the last node of the physical paths. Since the first node of the path is used to select the input channels, the acquisition is performed after the WSS of this node, and

![Fig. 6: Disruption time for two different scenarios](image)

![Fig. 7: General architecture for the SDN-enabled test-bed.](image)

**TABLE I: Lightpath characteristics before (top) and after (bottom) the introduction of \(L_5\).** The listed channels are represented by the central frequency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Channels</th>
<th>Physical Path</th>
<th>First Channel</th>
<th>Last Channel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(L_1)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(p_2)</td>
<td>192.8 THz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L_2)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(p_2)</td>
<td>194.1 THz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L_3)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(p_1)</td>
<td>192.8 THz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L_4)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(p_1)</td>
<td>194.8 THz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Product Code: 10WSPA05ZZL. Discontinued product. Preliminary version of the current 1×9 and 1×20 WSS devices detailed in https://www.finisar.com/roadms-wavelength-management/10wspaaxx1l3

due to the node architecture, the measured power corresponds to $3/40$ of the WSS output power. For the other nodes, the acquisition is performed before the WSS, and due to the node architecture the measured power corresponds to $1/6$ of the amplifier output power. Therefore, different power levels between the first ROADM and the subsequent ones are expected due to the different monitoring points inside the B&S architecture. Finally, it is worth mentioning that the attenuation performed at the WSSs is only applied to route the channel signals across the network, and is not applied to equalize each individual signal power. This choice is meant to better explore the physical layer implications in terms of power tilt across the C-band, and enables us to properly focus on the performance of the TSO-based approach against the traditional asynchronous technique. Future works may combine the current proposal in simultaneous operation with equalization techniques.

C. Experimental results and discussion

Figs. 8 and 9 show the optical spectrum of the signal received at the last node of each optical path, for both approaches in the initial and final states. In all charts, the curve for the TSO-based approach virtually overlaps the curve for the ASY technique. This result was already expected, since the channel configuration is the same, regardless of the technique, before and after the rerouting procedure. Interestingly, the optical power for the individual channels changes after the techniques are applied, as noticeable in the spectral region around 195 THz. These changes are a consequence of the non-linear dynamic behavior of the optical amplifiers whose gain profile depends on the input spectrum shape as a whole, but not only on the input power. A power tilt variation between initial and final states can be observed (but not between the two approaches), since no flattening technique is used neither in the amplifiers nor in the WSSs, and this power tilt also changes after the introduction of the lightpath $L_5$.

The impression that the chosen technique do not impact in the system performance in terms of signal quality, as suggested by the previous figures, is quantized by Table II, where performance indicators for the final state of the network are compared. The indicators for both techniques are hardly distinguishable.

Fig. 10 illustrates the changes in the optical power for all nodes, after each action of the SDN controller (here represented by the aforementioned time instants $t_n$). The optical power measured in the first node is one order of magnitude (in dB) lower than the other nodes because it is acquired in a different monitoring point, with a different split ratio as previously mentioned. As the total number of optical channels increase with the introduction of $L_5$ and not all the optical amplifiers are operating under saturation condition, an overall power increasing is experienced between the initial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ASY</th>
<th>TSO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_{1}$</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{2}$</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSNR (dB)</td>
<td>28.11</td>
<td>28.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilt (dB)</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>17.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Comparison of the two methodologies showcasing total power, average channel OSNR and spectrum tilt measured at the final node of the physical paths after the rerouting procedure.
bundling operations provides benefits in terms of minimizing the lightpath disruption when swapping is required. Specifically, the TSO-based approaches OF and IA-NC outperform the ASY and N-NC implementations.

Subsequently, as mentioned in [1], here we extended our prior work with an experimental validation of our TSO-based proposal in a five-node metropolitan optical network test-bed. We developed an SDN application that emulates the operations required by the ASY approach to compare its performance against the TSO-based approach. Our reported results validated the convenience of the TSO-based approach against a traditional ASY technique given its reduction of disruption time while both techniques exhibited close network performance indicators (e.g., OSNR, power budget, spectrum tilt) after preforming the lightpath swapping.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

This paper reviewed our recent proposal time-synchronized operations (TSO) in software-defined elastic optical networks. In particular, we employed TSO to minimize disruption time during lightpath reassignment in EON and we discussed the SDN implementation details with NETCONF and OpenFlow exploiting their specific time-extensions. Then, we analytically elaborated that a joint combination of synchronization and bundling operations provides benefits in terms of minimizing the lightpath disruption when swapping is required. Specifically, the TSO-based approaches OF and IA-NC outperform the ASY and N-NC implementations.

Subsequently, as mentioned in [1], here we extended our prior work with an experimental validation of our TSO-based proposal in a five-node metropolitan optical network test-bed. We developed an SDN application that emulates the operations required by the ASY approach to compare its performance against the TSO-based approach. Our reported results validated the convenience of the TSO-based approach against a traditional ASY technique given its reduction of disruption time while both techniques exhibited close network performance indicators (e.g., OSNR, power budget, spectrum tilt) after preforming the lightpath swapping.
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