

Production of spatial representations through collaborative mapping. An experiment

Original

Production of spatial representations through collaborative mapping. An experiment / Voghera, Angioletta; Crivello, Rossella; Ardissono, Liliana; Lucenteforte, Maurizio; Savoca, Adriano; LA RICCIA, Luigi. - ELETTRONICO. - (2016), pp. 356-361. (9th International Conference on Innovation in Urban and Regional Planning Castello del Valentino, Torino 14-15/09/2016).

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2650259 since: 2016-09-20T16:06:39Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

INPUT 2016

9th International Conference
on Innovation in Urban
and Regional Planning



e-agorà | e-ayopà

for the transition toward resilient communities

edited by G. Colombo | P. Lombardi | G. Mondini



9th International Conference on Innovation in Urban and Regional Planning

e-agerà/e-άγορά for the transition toward resilient communities

Conference Proceedings Book

ISBN 978-88-9052-964-1



POLITECNICO
DI TORINO



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI TORINO



Dipartimento Interateneo di Scienze, Progetto e Politiche del Territorio

INPUT 2016 “e-agorà/e-άγορά for the transition toward resilient communities”

Conference Proceedings from the INPUT2016 Conference in Turin (14th–15th September 2016)

INPUT2016 CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

Arnaldo Cecchini, University of Sassari
Dino Borri, Polytechnic University of Bari
Valerio Cutini, University of Pisa
Alessandro Plaisant, University of Sassari
Giovanni Rabino, Polytechnic University of Milan
Giuseppe Las Casas, University of Basilicata
Michele Campagna, University of Cagliari
Andrea De Montis, University of Sassari
Corrado Zoppi, University of Cagliari
Romano Fistola, University of Sannio
Rocco Papa, University of Naples “Federico II”
Patrizia Lombardi, Politecnico di Torino
Giovanni Colombo, ISMB Istituto Superiore Mario Boella
Giulio Mondini, SiTI Higher Institute on Territorial Systems for Innovation

INPUT2016 ORGANISING COMMITTEE

Cristiana D'Alberto, ISMB Istituto Superiore Mario Boella
Maria Cristina Longo, SiTI Higher Institute on Territorial Systems for Innovation
Stefania Mauro, SiTI Higher Institute on Territorial Systems for Innovation
Luisa Montobbio, Politecnico di Torino
Cinzia Pagano, Politecnico di Torino

Edited by Giovanni Colombo (ISMB Istituto Superiore Mario Boella), Patrizia Lombardi (DIST - Politecnico di Torino), Giulio Mondini (SiTI Higher Institute on Territorial Systems for Innovation)

Editorial coordination by Stefania Mauro

Graphic design by Sara Oggero (ISMB)

ISBN 978-88-9052-964-1

INPUT2016 SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Ivan Blecic, University of Cagliari
Dino Borri, Polytechnic University of Bari
Grazia Brunetta, Politecnico di Torino
Edoardo Calia, ISMB Istituto Superiore Mario Boella
Domenico Camarda, Polytechnic University of Bari
Michele Campagna, University of Cagliari
Alessandra Casu, University of Sassari
Arnaldo Cecchini, University of Sassari
Giovanni Colombo, ISMB Istituto Superiore Mario Boella
Grazia Concilio, Polytechnic University of Milan
Tanja Congiu, University of Sassari
Valerio Cutini, University of Pisa
Andrea De Montis, University of Sassari
Giovanna Fancello, Paris-Dauphine University
Romano Fistola, University of Sannio
Sabrina Lai, University of Cagliari
Giuseppe Las Casas, University of Basilicata
Federica Leone, University of Cagliari
Sara Levi Sacerdotti, SiTI Higher Institute on Territorial Systems for Innovation
Patrizia Lombardi, Politecnico di Torino
Giampiero Lombardini, Università degli Studi di Genova
Enrico Macii, Politecnico di Torino
Fabio Manfredini, Polytechnic University of Milan
Stefania Mauro, SiTI Higher Institute on Territorial Systems for Innovation
Giulio Mondini, SiTI Higher Institute on Territorial Systems for Innovation
Eugenio Morello, Polytechnic University of Milan
Beniamino Murgante, University of Basilicata
Silvie Occelli, IRES Piemonte
Andrea Pacifici, ISMB Istituto Superiore Mario Boella
Rocco Papa, University of Naples “Federico II”
Paola Pittaluga, University of Sassari
Alessandro Plaisant, University of Sassari
Giovanni Rabino, Polytechnic University of Milan
Bernardino Romano, Università degli Studi dell'Aquila
Marco Santangelo, Politecnico di Torino
Francesco Scorza, University of Basilicata
Matteo Tabasso, SiTI Higher Institute on Territorial Systems for Innovation
Valentina Talu, University of Sassari
Andrea Trunfio, University of Sassari
Andrea Vesco, ISMB Istituto Superiore Mario Boella
Angioletta Voghera, Politecnico di Torino
Corrado Zoppi, University of Cagliari

Table of Content

INPUT 2016 is the ninth meeting with the name INPUT	10
Arnaldo Cecchini	
INPUT 2016 “e-<i>agorà</i>/e-<i>áγopά</i> for the transition toward resilient communities”	11
Giovanni Colombo	
STeHeC - Smart Territories and Healthy Cities	12
<i>The role of urban cyclability in promoting public health</i>	<i>13</i>
Stefano Capolongo, Lorenzo Boati, Maddalena Buffoli, Marco Gola, Alessandra Oppio and Andrea Rebecchi	
<i>Social inclusion and use of equipped public space for physical activity. Analysis and promotion prospects</i>	<i>19</i>
Rossella Maspoli	
<i>Beyond geospatial visualisation: maps for health research</i>	<i>25</i>
Enrico Cicalò	
<i>Urban Form from the Pedestrian Point of View: Spatial Patterns on a Street Network</i>	<i>32</i>
Alessandro Araldi and Giovanni Fusco	
<i>3D Modelling from Urban Environment to Internal Management of Buildings</i>	<i>39</i>
Maurizio Minchilli, Elena Carta, Barbora Slabeciusová and Loredana Tedeschi	
<i>Appropriate Technologies and Deprived Neighbourhoods: Making Technologies Work for Inclusive Urban Development</i>	<i>46</i>
Arnaldo Cecchini, Valentina Talu and Andrea Vesco	
<i>Planning, managing and empowering while pursuing change: integrating community map-making and geographic information technologies</i>	<i>52</i>
Barbara Dovarch	
<i>Flexible Design to Territory Smart User-Centered</i>	<i>60</i>
Cristiana Cellucci and Daniela Ladiana	
<i>Integrated Accessibility: a Macro-Requirement for the Healthy City</i>	<i>65</i>
Filippo Angelucci and Michele Di Sivo	
<i>Environment – Cities – Users: a multidisciplinary approach for the quality of urban spaces</i>	<i>71</i>
Angela Giovanna Leuzzi, Roberta Cocci Grifoni, Maria Federica Ottone and Enrico Prenna	
<i>Walk, See, Know: Modelling Landscape Accessibilities</i>	<i>77</i>
Enrico Cicalò, Arnaldo Cecchini, Nada Beretic, Roberto Busonera, Dario Canu and Andrea Causin	
<i>Recording, management and returning of data for improving accessibility of public spaces by involving users</i>	<i>83</i>
Ilaria Garofolo, Elisabeth Antonaglia and Barbara Chiarelli	
<i>Multilevel Infrastructures</i>	<i>89</i>
Claudia Di Girolamo	
<i>The built environment as a determinant of the public health. An epidemiological survey of the walking behavior in Sardinia</i>	<i>93</i>

Marco Dettori, Andrea Piana and Paolo Castiglia	
<i>Shaping urban pedestrian mobility involving users: the Labac case study</i>	98
Barbara Chiarelli, Silvia Grion and Ilaria Garofolo	
<i>Spatial image of territories. The case study of Sardinia</i>	102
Miriam Mastinu	
<i>An Empirical Study on Factors of Perceived Walkability</i>	108
Ivan Blečić, Dario Canu, Arnaldo Cecchini, Tanja Congiu, Giovanna Fancello and Giuseppe Andrea Trunfio	
<i>GPS Traking and Surveys Analysis of Tourists' Spatio-Temporal Behaviour. The case of Alghero.</i>	114
Ivan Blečić, Dario Canu , Arnaldo Cecchini, Tanja Congiu, Giovanna Fancello and Giuseppe Andrea Trunfio	
<i>Triggers of urban innovation. The Case of Cavallerizza Reale in Turin</i>	121
Roberta Guido	
<i>No more build, but regenerate and reuse</i>	128
Cristiana Cellucci and Daniela Ladiana	
<i>A Reflection on Smart Governance in the new Metropolitan City of Cagliari</i>	135
Chiara Garau, Ginevra Balletto and Paola Zamperlin	
<i>R&S.U.E Resilient & Safe Urban Environment</i>	143
Ester Zazzero	
<i>Planning for S.M.A.R.T. (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Resilient, Time-bound) development: a bottom up approach to lead knowledge-based tourism development in low density rural districts</i>	151
Tanja Congiu, Maurizio Napolitano and Alessandro Plaisant	
<i>Urban intersections effect on pedestrian accessibility</i>	157
Ivan Blečić, Arnaldo Cecchini, Tanja Congiu, Dario Canu and Giovanna Fancello	
<i>Built environment and health inequalities: results from a European research project and overview of methods for assessing health impacts in urban areas</i>	164
Enrico Eynard, Giulia Melis and Matteo Tabasso	
ESSP - Ecosystem Services and Spatial Planning	170
<i>Graph Representations of Site and Species Relations in Ecological Complex Networks</i>	171
Gianni Fenu and Pier Luigi Pau	
<i>Conflictual issues concerning land uses related to ecosystem services under the provisions of the Habitats and Birds Directives</i>	177
Federica Leone and Corrado Zoppi	
<i>Assessment: land use and capacities to provide ecosystem service. The case study of Tertenia</i> ..	184
Maddalena Floris	
<i>The Natura 2000 Network in the context of the Metropolitan City of Cagliari: an example of Habitat Suitability Approach (part one)</i>	190
Daniela Ruggeri and Ignazio Cannas	

<i>The Natura 2000 Network in the context of the Metropolitan City of Cagliari: an example of Habitat Suitability Approach (part two, continued from part one)</i>	196
Ignazio Cannas and Daniela Ruggeri	
<i>Ecosystem services within the appropriate assessment of land-use plans: exploring a potential integration</i>	202
Sabrina Lai	
<i>Courtyards, Climate regulation services and Nature-based solutions: a modelling approach to support urban regeneration of empty spaces</i>	208
Raffaele Pelorosso, Federica Gobattonia, Francesca Calace and Antonio Leone	
TSC - Towards the Smart City	213
<i>A critical review of parameters within urban sustainability models: how much do soil and natural resources weight?</i>	214
Floriana Zucaro	
<i>The building aspect ratio for an energy efficient green network design</i>	220
Carmela Gargiulo and Andrea Tulisi	
<i>Energy efficiency measures for building and their impact on the grid in a Middle East case study</i>	226
Paolo Lazzeroni, Sergio Olivero, Federico Stirano, Guido Zanzottera, Carlo Micono, Piercarlo Montaldo and Umberto Fabio Cali	
<i>Energy consumption in hospitals: towards a new benchmark</i>	231
Romano Fistola and Marco Raimondo	
<i>Urban Environmental Quality and Sustainability: a proposal for an evaluation method of Neighborhood Sustainable Assessment tools</i>	238
Rocco Papa, Chiara Lombardi and Maria Rosa Tremiterra	
<i>DIPENDE – a tool for energy planning of building districts based on energy performance certification data</i>	245
Ezilda Costanzo, Bruno Baldissara and Marco Rao	
<i>Energy Efficiency and Participation: a double smart approach in LEO project</i>	251
Cristina Marietta, Giulia Melis and Maurizio Fantino	
<i>Identify the sustainable level of local plans and urban sectors. Proposal for an operational procedure</i>	258
Giuseppe Mazzeo	
<i>Key Messages: a decision support system based on the integration between city and mobility</i> .	264
Carmela Gargiulo and Maria Rosa Tremiterra	
<i>Accessibility and built environment surrounding metro stations: a GIS-based comparison of Naples line 1, Milan line 3 and London Jubilee line</i>	269
Rocco Papaa, Gerardo Carpentieria and Gennaro Angiello	
<i>A GIS-based and socially participative procedure for the location of high vulnerability territorial functions</i>	275
Romano Fistola and Rosa Anna La Rocca	

<i>Modelling and Assessing Pedestrian Isochrones around Public Transport Nodes: a People-Centred Perspective towards Smartness</i>	281
Silvia Rossetti, Michela Tiboni and David Vetturi	
<i>Households' willingness to pay in good and bad economy. The case study of Naples</i>	287
Carmela Gargiulo, Simona Panaro and Laura Russo	
SMGI - Social Media Geographic Information and collaborative mapping: exploring new trends in spatial analysis	294
<i>Social Media Geographic Information Visual Analytics</i>	295
Junia Borges, Ana Clara Moura, Priscila de Paula and Pedro Casagrande	
<i>Beyond social networks contents: how Social Media Geographic Information may support spatial planning analysis</i>	300
Pierangelo Massa, Roberta Floris and Michele Campagna	
<i>Social Media Geographic Information for urban space analysis: the case of Expo Milano 2015</i> .	307
Raffaele Gallo, Michele Campagna, Pierangelo Massa and Giovanni Rabino	
<i>The use of SMGI in supporting tourism planning practices: an innovative approach for the municipality of Cagliari</i>	313
Roberta Floris, Pierangelo Massa and Michele Campagna	
<i>Real society in virtual space: a new platform to share responsibilities</i>	319
Lucia Lupi, Alessio Antonini, Guido Boella and Eloheh Mason	
<i>Online tools for public engagement: case studies from Reykjavik</i>	325
Iva Bojic, Giulia Marra and Vera Naydenova	
<i>Comparing Traditional Maps with Twitter-Derived Maps: Exploring Differences and Similarities</i>	331
Stefano Pensa and Elena Masala	
<i>Mapping the food system in Turin</i>	337
Luca Davico, Marina Bravi, Egidio Dansero, Gabriele Garnerò, Paola Guerreschi, Federico Listello, Giacomo Pettenati, Paolo Tamborin and Alessia Toldo	
<i>Crowdmap applied to Geotourism: Case Study of Chapada Diamantina BA - Brazil</i>	344
Pedro B. Casagrande, Nicole Rocha, Priscila Lisboa and Ana Clara Mourão Moura	
<i>MiraMap: an e-participation tool for Smart Peripheries</i>	350
Francesca De Filippi, Cristina Coscia, Guido Boella, Alessio Antonini, Alessia Calafiore, Anna Cantini, Roberta Guido, Carlo Salaroglio, Luigi Sanasi and Claudio Schifanella	
<i>Production of spatial representations through collaborative mapping. An experiment</i>	356
Angioletta Voghera, Rossella Crivello, Liliana Ardissono, Maurizio Lucenteforte, Adriano Savoca and Luigi La Riccia	
UFEPc - Urban Form and Perception of the City	362
<i>THE FRIENDLY CITY [LA CIUDAD AMABLE]. Andalusian Public Space Programme Awareness raising, training and interventions regarding cities, public space and sustainable mobility</i>	
363	

Gaia Redaelli	
<i>Space Syntax applied to the city of Milan</i>	370
Valerio Cutini, Denise Farese and Giovanni Rabino	
<i>Configurational Approaches to Urban Form: Empirical Test on the City of Nice (France)</i>	376
Giovanni Fusco and Michele Tirico	
<i>Physical factors affecting the citizens' security feeling in communal spaces (case study: BandarAbbas city)</i>	383
Ali Shahdadi and Marziyeh Rezanejad	
<i>Conurbations and resilience. When growth makes us fragile</i>	389
Valerio Cutini	
IMPC – ICT Models: Planning for inclusive Communities	395
<i>Virtual Environments as a Technological Interface between Cultural Heritage and the Sustainable Development of the City</i>	396
Georgios Artopoulos	
<i>Visualisation Tools in Grasshopper+Rhino3D to Improve Multi-Criteria Analysis in Urban Policies – Case Study of Pampulha, Brazil</i>	404
Ana Clara Mourão Moura, Suellen R. Ribeiro, Diogo C. Gualdalupe and Silvio R. Motta	
<i>Studies of Volumetric Potential in Pampulha, Brazil</i>	411
Suellen R. Ribeiro and Ana Clara Mourão Moura	
<i>When the parametric modeling reveals a collapse in the future urban landscape: The case of Divinópolis – Minas Gerais/Brazil</i>	418
Diogo de Castro Guadalupe, Bruno Amaral de Andrade and Ana Clara Mourão Moura	
<i>A Spatial Decision Support System for Industrial Re-Use</i>	424
Alessia Movia and Maria Vittoria Santi	
<i>How knowledge subjectivity affects decision-making: a Geodesign case study for the Cagliari Metro Area</i>	429
Elisabetta Anna Di Cesare, Roberta Floris and Michele Campagna	
<i>Knowledge Organization for Community Revitalization: An Ontological Approach in Taranto Industrial City</i>	436
Rossella Stufano, Dino Borri, Domenico Camarda and Stefano Borgo	
<i>Integrating VGI system in a Participatory Design Framework</i>	441
Alessia Calafiore, Junia Borges, Ana Clara Mourão Moura and Guido Boella	
<i>Evaluation of social benefits generated by urban regeneration: a stated preference approach</i>	447
Marta Bottero and Giulio Mondini	
URTL - Urban-Rural Transitional Landscapes	453
<i>Urban-rural-natural gradient analysis using CORINE data: an application to the Italian regions of Friuli Venezia Giulia, Umbria, and Calabria</i>	454

Marco Vizzari, Sara Antognelli, Maurizia Sigura and Giuseppe Modica	
<i>Liveability services in transitional landscapes: a spatial-MCDA model for assessment and mapping</i>	461
Sara Antognelli and Marco Vizzari	
<i>Big data and environmental management: the perspectives of the Regional Environmental Information System of Sardinia, Italy</i>	468
Andrea De Montis, Sabrina Lai, Nicoletta Sannio and Gianluca Cocco	
<i>Quantifying transport infrastructures and settlement fragmentation: strategic measures for rural landscape planning</i>	474
Andrea De Montis, Antonio Ledda, Vittorio Serra and Mario Barra	
<i>Multi-temporal satellite imagery for soil sealing detection and urban growth mapping in the city of Ranchi (India)</i>	480
Andrea Lessio, Vanina Fissore, Barbara Drusia and Enrico Borgogno-Mondino	
<i>Temporal variation of ecological network's structure: some insights on the role of Natura 2000 sites</i>	486
Giuseppe Modica, Luigi Laudaria, Andrea De Montis, Simone Caschili, Maurizio Mulas, Amedeo Ganciu, Leonarda Dessena and Carmelo Riccardo Fichera	
<i>Reducing land take and preserving land quality. A methodology for the application of the Lombardy Regional Law</i>	493
Raffaele Sigon and Giulio Senes	
<i>GIS advanced tools for urban growth reading and management for best practices in town-planning</i>	498
Enrico Borgogno-Mondino and Barbara Drusi	
<i>The bioremediation of polluted areas as an opportunity to improve ecosystem services</i>	505
Lorenzo Boccia, Alessandra Capolupo, Elena Cervelli, Stefania Pindozi, Marina Rigillo and Maria Nicolina Ripa	
<i>Landscape Bionomics: A Comparison Between Two Rural-Suburban Landscapes from Brussels and Milan</i>	512
Vittorio Ingegnoli, Ernesto Marcheggiani, Hubert Gulinck, Fredrik Larouge and Andrea Galli	
<i>Mapping Cilento: Visual analysis of geotagged Twitter data to study touristic flows in southern Italy</i>	519
Ernesto Marcheggiani, Alvin Chuac, Loris Servillo and Andrew Vande Moere	
<i>Association between a spectral index and a landscape index for mapping and analysis of urban vegetation cover</i>	526
Nicole A. da Rocha, Ítalo S. Sena, Bráulio M. Fonseca and Ana Clara Mourão Moura	
MMSD - Methods and Models for Sustainable Development	532
<i>Mobility Flow Estimates at Sub-Regional level: an Application to Piedmont</i>	533
Simone Landini, Sylvie Occelli	
<i>A parametric method to analyze and enhance the cultural heritage and its context</i>	538
Roberto De Lotto, Veronica Gazzola, Cecilia Morelli di Popolo and Elisabetta Maria Venco	
<i>Present State of Inbound Tourism in Japan and Factors of Destination Choice</i>	545

Akiko Kondo and Akio Kondo

A toolkit for sustainable development planning: the Val D'Agri case study551

Giuseppe Las Casas and Francesco Scorza

Indicators of resilience for Strategic Environmental Assessment557

Giampiero Lombardini

Scenarios' evaluation of territorial transformation in the province of Belluno through the application of the AHP methodology563

Giovanni Campeol, Fabio De Felice, Nicola Masotto, Antonella Petrillo and Giuseppe Stelin

Production of spatial representations through collaborative mapping. An experiment

Angioletta Voghera^a, Rossella Crivello^a, Liliana Ardissono^b, Maurizio Lucenteforte^b, Adriano Savoca^b and Luigi La Riccia^a

^a DIST Politecnico di Torino, Viale Mattioli 39, 10125 Torino, Italia (angioletta.voghera@polito.it, rossella.crivello@polito.it, luigi.lariccia@polito.it)

^b Dipartimento di Informatica, Università di Torino, Corso Svizzera 185, 10149 Torino, Italia (liliana@di.unito.it, maurizio.lucenteforte@unito.it, adrianosavoca@gmail.com)

Key-words: community map, ontology-based knowledge representation, Web 3.0.

Introduction

Territorial planning has been interested in the representation of space since the seventies (Dennis, 1970), when it recognized as essential the support of the social actors in decision-making. The participatory construction of spatial representations evolved ever since: Starting from the paper representation, which is very expressive but has low usability and lacks scalability, it moved to the use of GIS systems (Steiniger and Hunter, 2013) and of digital instruments for managing geographic data and generating dynamic maps. The idea was that of allowing the user to view information "on demand"; however, digital maps have lower capacity to describe the perception of the area because they focus on the visualization of specific types of data and they are semantically disconnected.

An interesting research topic for planning theories is therefore that of investigating the possibilities offered by Web 3.0 and integrating them with traditional representations for improving the effectiveness of public policies and supporting the construction and upgrading of planning instruments. Notice that Amin and Thrift (2001) discussed the idea that the traditional means of investigation and spatial analysis are insufficient to represent the new complexity of the urban issue and, more specifically, unable to relate phenomena and emerging urban forms that characterize the territory.

This paper focuses on the theme of the spatial representation of cities and the territory, reflecting on the prospects for innovation in the expressive means that serve the study of the city. The described research concerns project "Mappe di Comunità 3.0" (<http://ontomap.dyndns.org/>), funded by the Fondazione CRT. The project focuses on the definition of a methodology that implements a synergistic exchange between institutional territorial knowledge and the knowledge of the citizens, achievable thanks to the mediation of communication provided by a semantic representation of territorial knowledge. That type of representation supports the description of data and of its properties in a unified language. Moreover, it enables the sharing of information on the Web by providing an integrated perspective on territorial data.

Methodology

Harper (1988) defines visual sociology as the recording, analysis and communication of social life through photographs, movies and videos. There are four fundamental aspects of visual sociology in this interpretation: (i) analytical purpose and type of knowledge that the researcher seeks to bring to light; (ii) importance of the recording medium; (iii) exploitation of pictures for representing a culture; (iv) analysis of the images produced by a culture to understand it.

Our work applies the methodological perspective of visual sociology to the collective production of virtual maps through a web-based tool. The images consist of geographic, visual and semantic maps, close to the community maps because of two characteristics: the purpose-identification of local heritage, and the method-self-representation. Through a traditional community map the inhabitants of a place have the opportunity to represent heritage, landscape and knowledge in which they recognize themselves and that they wish to pass on to the new generations. The map highlights the way in which the local community sees, perceives and attaches a value to its territory, to memories and transformations, and specifies how it should be in the future.

Traditionally, community maps consist of cartographic representations developed by the community, based on a participatory approach (Parker, 2006). The project Mappe di Comunità 3.0, closer to the PGIS practices, investigates the possibility of a new representation mode of those maps, using digital media and the semantic representation of spatial knowledge to promote a new account of the territory aimed at producing planning scenarios useful for Public Administrations (PAs). The main result of the project is the OnToMap web-based application, which supports the consultation of spatial data, the creation of virtual, interactive maps reflecting individual information needs, and the reporting to the public administration (PA) and urban planners of critical issues or new proposals by annotating the geographical areas and the elements visualized in the maps.




Fig. 1. Portion of OnToMap's user interface: creation of a customized map and introduction of annotations about map elements.

Figure 1 shows a portion of the OnToMap user interface (in Italian) and the right side of the page shows the form for adding annotations ("Nuova segnalazione") to the current map.

OnToMap uses an ontology of the territory as the main metaphor for the human-computer interaction in information search, visualization and sharing. Ontologies, defined by Gruber (1995) as "an explicit specification of a conceptualization", describe objects and relations in an application domain. GIS typically use ontologies for supporting the integration of heterogeneous information sources (e.g., see Fonseca et al., 2002; Buccella et al., 2011). Differently, OnToMap

exploits an ontology to mediate the specification of information items with the different perspectives on data held by users:

- Regarding the representation, the ontology supports the integration of heterogeneous geo-data, originated from different sources, and manages them as linked data¹², i.e. data items connected to each other through hypertext links in the Web. Moreover, it describes relations among information items to express spatial and thematic associations, as well as different levels of abstraction in their description (from general concepts to specific ones).
- From the viewpoint of information retrieval, the ontology provides the user with a graphical tool for browsing the information space as a graph of connected concepts. This supports semantic information retrieval and visualization in the maps.
- Finally, from the crowdsourcing viewpoint, the ontology supports the description and classification of new information items, which can be included in the existing knowledge base for immediate usage.

Figure 2 shows a portion of the ontology underlying the OnToMap system. The representation directs the interpretation of the territory, which is read in the project according to three constitutive dimensions: natural (*Natural perspective*), artificial (*Artificial perspective*) and normative one (*Norms*). The natural dimension refers to the physical elements unaltered by humans; the artificial one includes the man-made elements; the normative dimension considers the technical and design aspects related to the sphere of competence of PAs, explaining in space the territorial government regulations, expressed as institutional and planning constraints. These three observation levels take into account issues related to different domains of representation of material aspects, represented with GIS techniques, socio-economic aspects, highlighted by semantic relationships, and perceptual aspects, typical of the voluntary construction of knowledge. Therefore, the ontology is the means used to provide a unified description of the territory, which abstracts from specific data representation formats and supports data integration. However, it also plays the role of an “inter-lingua” between human stakeholders, who might be interested in the analysis of content under different points of view and in retrieving information at different levels of detail: e.g., a geographical item could represent at the same time a service for the citizen, a cultural heritage element, etc.

¹² As reported in <http://linkeddata.org/>, “Linked Data is about using the Web to connect related data that wasn’t previously linked, or using the Web to lower the barriers to linking data currently linked using other methods.”




Fig. 2. Portion of the OnToMap ontology. Blue arrows represent specialization relations (from generic to specific concepts); red arrows denote normative relations and green ones represent topic relations among concepts.

Results and discussion

We tested the OnToMap application in the Urban Project Atelier at the Faculty of Architecture of the Polytechnic of Turin, in the academic year 2014/2015. Students (51 divided into 15 groups) of the course used the application in classrooms equipped with computers and Internet access under the supervision of the research team that accompanied the creation of the maps. The students used OnToMap to experiment with new modalities of representation for describing the territory of the project, from an analytical to a projectual point of view. The students created 15 Analyses and 15 Project Maps: the interpretation of these products was verified according to four reading criteria:

1. mode of use of the tool to read the territory,
2. semantic elements useful for the construction of the territorial representation,
3. semantic elements used for representing the concept of territorial identity,
4. representation mode of possible projectual scenarios.

The representations generated by the students using the OnToMap application are articulated on two distinct levels: a spatial one, linked to the direct drawing on the virtual map, and semantic one, connected to the use of the concepts defined in the ontology. It is useful for the purposes of the research to understand how the two representations relate to each other, producing visions that are similar, different or integrated. The maps become the starting point for the construction of an index of perception of a social group (here, the group of students of the urban design Atelier).




Fig. 3. Analysis of the concepts belonging to the ontology that were used for the Project Maps.

The experimentation has indeed proved that some territorial elements, selected, viewed and edited through OnToMap by students, are recurrent in most of the created maps (Figure 3). We can therefore deduce that the highlighted territorial elements reveal the expectations and vision of a given social group, with a proper and specific cultural background.

Conclusions

OnToMap was used with the objective of stimulating territorial interpretations, bringing to light new and innovative points of view of the territorial reality in an analytical and projectual perspective. The ontology underlying the system supports an articulated spatial representation, enhancing spatial planning with a more comprehensive territorial reading. However, the experimentation identified missing concepts that users could not directly add because this task requires technical expertise. This limitation, intrinsic of knowledge-based systems, must be addressed by supporting a participatory approach to the specification of semantic knowledge. A specific work can be directed precisely at the construction of a shared and participated spatial ontology, with the purpose of representing not only the territory, but also the community that perceives it, *empowering* the community to express their own views. If, as assumed by visual sociology, visualization is a practice of power (Faccioli, 2010) that allows the definition of social and cultural differences by explaining them visually, working on visualization means deconstructing the different layers of meaning of images produced. This operation strongly refers to the construction of a conceptual model of knowledge that is also visual, such as that of ontologies. The OnToMap project fits well into this perspective, combining visual, spatial and cartographic representation with conceptual and semantic representation.

References

- Amin A. and N. Thrift. *Cities : reimagining the urban*, Cambridge: Polity Press, 2011.
- Buccella A., Cechich A., Gendarmi D., Lanubile F., Semeraro G., and A. Colagrossi. "Building a global normalized ontology for integrating data sources." *Computers & Geosciences* 37 (2011): 833-916.

Dennis, N. *People and Planning*. London: Faber DOE, 1970.

Faccioli P. and G. Losacco. *Nuovo manuale di sociologia visuale: dall'analogico al digitale.* , Milan: Franco Angeli, 2010

Fonseca, F. T. "Using ontologies for geographic information integration" *Transactions in GIS* 6 (2002) (3): 231-257.

Gruber, T. R. "Towards Principles for the Design of Ontologies Used for Knowledge Sharing" *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* 43 (1995) (5-6): 907-928.

Harper D. "Visual sociology: expanding sociological vision" *The American Sociologist* 19(1) (1988): 54-70.

Parker B. "Constructing Community Through Maps? Power and Praxis in Community Mapping" *The Professional Geographer* 58(4) (2006).

Steiniger S. and A.J.S. Hunter "The 2012 free and open source GIS software map – A guide to facilitate research, development, and adoption." *Computers, environment and urban systems* 39 (2013): 136-150.