

Visual comfort with LED lighting

Original

Visual comfort with LED lighting / Iacomussi, Paola; Radis, Michela; Rossi, Giuseppe; Rossi, Laura. - In: ENERGY PROCEDIA. - ISSN 1876-6102. - ELETTRONICO. - 78:(2015), pp. 729-734. [10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.082]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2642892 since: 2016-05-24T11:47:08Z

Publisher:

Elsevier Ltd

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.082

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)



6th International Building Physics Conference, IBPC 2015

Visual Comfort with LED Lighting

*Paola Iacomussi**, *Michela Radis*, *Giuseppe Rossi*, *Laura Rossi***

Department of optics, INRIM, Strada delle Cacce 91, 10135 Torino Italy

*** Altran Italia S.p.a. Bologna, Italy*

Abstract

A widely accepted definition of human comfort does not exist, but several metrics have been developed to quantify how much users appreciate environments, objects or interfaces. For visual comfort one of the most widely accepted approach is “that comfort is not discomfort”, because it is easier to provide quantitative and qualitative evaluation of visual discomfort parameters rather than comfort parameters that we don’t have a definition of. This paper presents the available suggestions and the results of a European research project about the visual comfort with LED lighting.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Peer-review under responsibility of the CENTRO CONGRESSI INTERNAZIONALE SRL

Keywords: LED lighting CIE, glare, visual comfort, spectral distribution, normative

1. Introduction

A widely accepted definition of human comfort does not exist, but several metrics have been developed to quantify how much users appreciate environments, objects or interfaces. To define visual comfort two different approaches are usually considered: the most widely accepted approach is the “NON-annoyance approach” based on the assumption that “comfort is not discomfort”. In fact it is easier to provide quantitative and qualitative evaluation of visual discomfort parameters rather than comfort parameters that don’t have a unique definition.

Visual discomfort produces a lot of symptoms that can be clearly identified, understood and evaluated with subjective investigations: glare, difficulty in doing a visual task, annoyance, stress, and physical symptoms like headaches, pains, sore, itchy, watering eyes.... Unfortunately a mathematical model able to link these quantitative parameters to a discomfort index is under development and not completely known [1].

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 011 3919 228; fax: +39 011 346384.
E-mail address: p.iacomussi@inrim.it

Visual comfort with LED lighting

Original

Visual comfort with LED lighting / Iacomussi, Paola; Radis, Michela; Rossi, Giuseppe; Rossi, Laura. - In: ENERGY PROCEDIA. - ISSN 1876-6102. - ELETTRONICO. - 78:(2015), pp. 729-734. [10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.082]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2642892 since: 2016-05-24T11:47:08Z

Publisher:

Elsevier Ltd

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.082

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

- Room surfaces with 80% ceiling cavity reflectance, 50% wall reflectance, and 20% effective floor cavity reflectance
- Luminaires mounting heights above the floor of 2.6, 3, 4 and 4.9 m
- Room range scale to include square, long-narrow, and short-wide rooms
- Observation point 1.2 m in front of the center of the rear wall and 1.2 m above the floor
- Horizontal line of sight looking directly forward
- Field of view of 53° above and directly forward from the observer

The use of reference test room and lighting arrangement allows organization to provide VCP tabulation of their luminaires and comparison of luminaires glare assessment even before designing a specific lighting layout.

IESNA states that VCP system can only be used for evaluating discomfort glare produced by fluorescent lamp luminaires, because the values were derived empirically using subjective tests with fluorescent luminaires. Therefore IESNA clearly states that discomfort glare produced by "small" sources, like incandescent lamp, or "large" sources, like ceiling luminaires, or non-uniform sources, like parabolic reflectors, cannot be evaluated with this system.

2.2. Recent research

CIE report highlights [3] that discomfort glare caused by SSL luminaires with SSL clearly visible, is not easily assessable. The research review stated in [3] indicates that:

- With equivalent average luminaire discomfort glare, glare from non uniform stimulus seems greater than of a uniform stimulus, if the luminaire is at the line of sight [8]
- The glare perception decrease both for uniform and non-uniform luminance luminaires if the luminaire is above the line of sight [9]

The main factors of influence on the applicability of glare metrics are:

- Geometry, i.e. dimensions and position of sources;
- Photometry, i.e. uniformity of luminance distribution and brightness;
- Radiometry, i.e. spectrum of the sources;

Their values and influence must be checked to define the applicability of methods, because can be strongly different from those of fluorescent luminaires.

The CIE review [3] states that new formulations of existing quality metrics and evaluation of SSL behavior on discomfort glare are needed. Unfortunately some of the available results are inconclusive: researches tested a lot of different combination of luminaires, LEDs, geometrical position, luminance level and subject adaptation levels.

Takashi et al. [8] conducted a study on the geometrical factors of influence to propose a "revised" UGR. They proposed a new P_i , useful for matrix light sources, based on subjective tests comparison between matrix light sources and uniform light sources at different luminances, in different position respect the line of sight. The experiment results show that:

- P_i is mainly affected by the relative angle between line of sight and light source;
- Matrix light sources have larger P_i than uniform light sources;
- Discomfort glare of matrix light sources can be evaluated applying the revised P_i to UGR system

Kasahara et al. [9] conducted a study to clarify and evaluate geometrical and photometrical factors of influence on discomfort glare. They considered as possible discomfort causes: luminaire arrangement, number of LED lights and distribution on lighting source surface. The subjective evaluation results show that:

- Discomfort glare can be reduced using lens or other means able to diffuse light;
- If the number of LED increases, with the same light source area and illuminance provided, glare decreases;
- If the total area of the light source increases, with the same LED number, although the Boundary of Comfort and Discomfort (BCD) average luminance decreases, the level of the decrease is smaller than the level of the area increase.
- If the number of LED lights increases in proportion with the area, with LED spacing constant, although the BCD average luminance decreases, the level of decrease is smaller than the level of the increase.

3. JRP ENG 05 Contributions in Visual comfort with SSL

Today SSL products are mainly based on single or array of LED chips or dies, with high-brightness and spectra with broad yellow peak – from phosphor conversion – and narrow blue peak - from the emission of the exciting LED. The LED source output presents also a very short response time and, depending on the electronic, important flicker or modulation. Luminaires are featured with lenses, diffusers, and reflectors to shape the radiated light from the LED point sources. The size and the luminance levels can vary in size from few mm to several tens of mm and in luminance from 10^5 cd/m² to 10^8 cd/m². In the JRP ENG05 research project, INRIM (Italian National Metrological Institute) and LNE (French National metrological Institute), arranged some experiments in order to analyse the influences of LED Lighting on visual comfort and on glare.

The research about the evaluation of glare effects due to SSL lighting is very large: review of performed experiments demonstrates that available metrics for discomfort glare are still applicable if the SSL sources or luminaires do not significantly differ, in term of intensity and luminance distribution, from fluorescent lighting (so with LED not clearly visible or with some kind of diffuser) [3]. Existing criteria seems not to be applicable for non-uniform sources with LED clearly visible, but a lot of available researches are still inconclusive [3].

At INRIM, two different tests dealing with studies on SSL glare were set up. The first one was arranged in order to test radiometric parameters effects on glare, while the second was about the influence of SSL lighting on pupil diameter. The first experiment was based on a vision test divided in two sections and fully described elsewhere [10]:

- First section: a set of eighteen targets, with different luminances, was generated and randomly positioned on a calibrated screen. The observer, had to click with the mouse on every target he can see. Two different neutral backgrounds luminances (9 and 22 cd m⁻²) have been used, and presented alternatively to the subjects
- Second section: only the previously detected targets were visualized again on the screen, the subject must put them in order from low to high luminance.

During the whole duration of the experiment, the subjects experienced glare coming from a LED and alternatively in the round, from incandescent source, both shielded with a diffuser in order to consider only the differences induced in glare by the source spectrum. This experiment showed that

- the effect of glare is practically independent for the LED source and incandescent lamp, but a lower adaptation luminances (9 cd m⁻²) LED ensures better performance for negative contrast.
- the effect of glare is practically independent from the glare source type at higher adaptation luminances (22 cd m⁻²) while at lower adaptation luminances (9 cd m⁻²) LED sources give better performances than incandescent lamps.

This experiment confirmed that SSL equipped with diffuser do not differ significantly from traditional lighting sources as well the effects on glare of spectrum characteristic.

In the second experiment, the research work was to monitor the effects of glare on pupil size considering different type of sources and retinal images in order to isolate the effects of dimension and spectral distribution of the emitted radiations [11]. These photo-biological effects are investigate to compare SSL sources with traditional lighting sources. In this experiment, observers stared at a black wood wall with holes of variable diameter (0.10 and 0.025 m). Behind each hole, three lighting sources were alternatively placed and covered by a translucent glass in order to get a diffuse and uniform lighted disc: a LED luminaire, an incandescent and a metal-halide lamps. Between the subject and the lighting sources, a pupillometric system was placed.

Experiment results highlight a significant influence of the source spectral distribution and observed target on pupil size: at given luminance, the LED source always gives a smaller pupil diameter, if compared to the other two tested sources (incandescent and metal halide lamps).

At LNE a very big experiment was set up to suggest a modelization of parameters that directly influence visual comfort, testing four different lighted environment [1]. This experiment showed that the UGR formula is well correlated with subjective rating in a simple lighting set-up, lamps in uniform background, and also in a complex environment of a living room with wall and ceiling luminaires.

The model for visual comfort set up coming from the LNE experiment gives to glare the maximum weight, so it is clear that glare is one the most effective parameters people uses to judge the comfort of a lighting installation.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion it is possible to say that available metrics for discomfort glare are applicable to LED lighting if the SSL sources or luminaires do not significantly differ, for intensity and luminance distribution, from fluorescent light luminaires. To improve and assure better performance and reliability of results, it is better to equip SSL luminaires with diffuser or to design lighting set up able to hide from the direct view the SSL.

Existing criteria seems not to be applicable for non-uniform sources with LED clearly visible, but it will be necessary to define what degree of non-uniformity is acceptable. Additional parameters in SSL glare evaluation can be LED interval, CCT, and observation angle.

A large amount of researches has been done up to now and is on going on glaring effect of SSL lighting, but a lot is inconclusive. Probably to define reference test conditions, with known luminance map distribution, to concentrate and coordinate all research efforts would be helpful for effective results, as happened for CIE DE2000 Color Difference Formulation. In this way it will be possible to test different parameters under the same reference conditions: in fact glare is a multidimensional sensation as a large amount of psychophysical quantities are.

Acknowledgements

This work is part of the European research project “Metrology for Solid State Lighting” EMRP Project ENG 05. The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries within EURAMET and the European Union.

References

- [1] EURAMENT PROJECT JRP-ENG05 deliverable “Report on measurement procedure of visual comfort”
- [2] <http://www.m4ssl.npl.co.uk>
- [3] CIE 2013 CIE 205:2013 Review of lighting quality measures for interior lighting with LED lighting systems, Vienna: CIE
- [4] CIE 1995, CIE 117:1995, Discomfort glare in interior lighting, Vienna: CIE
- [5] EN 12464-01:2011 Lighting of work place – Indoor work places
- [6] CIE 2002, CIE 146:2002, CIE 147:2002, Collection on Glare, Vienna: CIE
- [7] IESNA 2000, *IESNA Lighting Design Guide in The IESNA lighting handbook. Reference & application*. 9th edition. New York: Mark S. Rea
- [8] Takahashi H. et al., Position index for the matrix light source, *Journal of Light and Visual Environment*, 31(3), 128-133, 2007
- [9] Kasahara T. et al., Discomfort glare caused by white LED light sources, *Journal of Light and Visual Environment*, 30(2), 95-103, 2006
- [10] P. Iacomussi, G. Rossi, L. Rossi, A Comparison Between Different Light Sources Induced Glare on Perceived Contrast, *Lighting & Engineering Svetotekhnika*, Vol 20, no.1, 2012,
- [11] Rossi, L., Zegna, L., Iacomussi, P., Rossi, G. pupil size under different lighting sources, *Conference Proceedings CIE Congress Lighting Quality and Energy Efficiency*, Hangzhou, September 2012 (CD ROM)
- [12] CIE 1993, CIE 94:1993 Guide for Floodlighting, Vienna: CIE