

Nondegeneracy of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic problems in the hyperbolic space

Original

Nondegeneracy of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic problems in the hyperbolic space / Ganguly, Debdip; Kunnath, Sandeep. - In: COMMUNICATIONS IN CONTEMPORARY MATHEMATICS. - ISSN 0219-1997. - 17:1(2015), p. 1450019. [10.1142/S0219199714500199]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2603559 since:

Publisher:

WORLD SCIENTIFIC PUBL

Published

DOI:10.1142/S0219199714500199

Terms of use:

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Nondegeneracy of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic problems in the hyperbolic space

Debdip Ganguly* and Kunnath Sandeep†

*Centre for Applicable Mathematics
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
P. O. Box 6503, GKVK Post Office
Bangalore 560065, India
*debdip@math.tifrbng.res.in
†sandeep@math.tifrbng.res.in*

Received 23 September 2013

Revised 30 December 2013

Accepted 5 January 2014

Published 10 March 2014

In this article, we will study the nondegeneracy properties of positive finite energy solutions of the equation $-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} u - \lambda u = |u|^{p-1}u$ in the hyperbolic space. We will show that the degeneracy occurs only in an N -dimensional subspace. We will prove that the positive solutions are nondegenerate in the case of geodesic balls.

Keywords: Nondegeneracy; hyperbolic space; semilinear elliptic equations.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 58J05, 35J61, 35J20

1. Introduction

In this article, we will study the degeneracy properties of positive solutions of the problem

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} u - \lambda u = |u|^{p-1}u, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\lambda < (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$, $1 < p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3$, $1 < p < \infty$ if $N = 2$ and $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ denotes the Sobolev space on the disc model of the Hyperbolic space \mathbb{B}^N and $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ denotes the Laplace–Beltrami operator on \mathbb{B}^N . Apart from its own mathematical interest, this equation arises in the study of Grushin operators [2], Hardy–Sobolev–Mazy’a equations [5, 11] and in some fluid dynamics models [12]. This equation is also the hyperbolic version of the well-known Brezis–Nirenberg problem [4].

Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions of (1.1) have been studied in [11]. In the subcritical case (i.e. $p > 1$ if $N = 2$ and $1 < p < 2^* - 1$ if $N \geq 3$) Eq. (1.1) has a positive solution if and only if $\lambda < (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$. In the critical case $N \geq 4$, $p = 2^* - 1$, (1.1) has a positive solution if and only if $\frac{N(N-2)}{4} < \lambda < (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$ while for $N = 3$,

positive solutions do not exist for any λ . These positive solutions are also shown to be unique up to hyperbolic isometries except $N = 2$ and $\lambda > \frac{2(p+1)}{(p+3)^2}$. Existence of infinitely many sign changing radial solutions in higher dimensions has been obtained in [3, 7].

Our main aim in this paper is to study the degeneracy properties of positive solutions obtained in [11]. It is well known that the degeneracy properties are crucial in studying the stability of the solution and also to obtain various existence results, bifurcation results, etc. using tools like finite-dimensional reduction. In the case of bounded domains in Euclidean space, degeneracy of solution is used to study the uniqueness and other qualitative properties of solutions (see [13, 6, 15]).

For some special values of λ and p , the degeneracy of the solution has been studied in [5]. In this special case the solution of (1.1) is explicitly known and this plays a major role in the proof as one can use information on the solution of a hyper-geometric ODE arising in the analysis. However in general we do not have explicit expression for the solution of (1.1) and the proof presented in the special case in [5] does not carry over.

In view of the existence and nonexistence results obtained in [11] we assume:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \lambda &< \left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)^2 && \text{when } 1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}, N \geq 3, \\ \frac{N(N-2)}{4} &< \lambda < \left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)^2 && \text{when } p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}, N \geq 4, \\ \lambda &< \frac{2(p+1)}{(p+3)^2} && \text{when } N = 2. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

Let U be the unique positive radial solution of (1.1) under the assumption (1.2). Consider the linearized operator L given by

$$L(\Phi) = -\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} \Phi - \lambda \Phi - pU^{p-1}\Phi, \quad \Phi \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N). \quad (1.3)$$

We are interested in knowing whether 0 is in the spectrum of L and more generally to find the kernel of L .

Degeneracy properties of similar equations in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N are well known. In fact if u is a solution, then a differentiation of the equation will tell us that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ is a solution of the linearized equation. We show that in the hyperbolic case a different vector field will do the job that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ does in \mathbb{R}^N . Observe that the equation is invariant under hyperbolic isometries, i.e. if u solves (1.1) and τ is an isometry of \mathbb{B}^N then $u \circ \tau$ also solves (1.1). This fact indicates that the solution has to be degenerate. However, we see that the degeneracy happens only along an N -dimensional subspace.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $V_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, be the vector fields in \mathbb{B}^N given by*

$$V_i(x) = (1 + |x|^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - 2x_i \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (1.4)$$

and $\Phi_i(x) = V_i(U)$. Then $L(\Phi_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. Moreover $\{\Phi_i : i = 1, \dots, N\}$ is a basis for the kernel of L .

Now consider the problem in geodesic balls. Let B be a geodesic ball in \mathbb{B}^N , consider the problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} u - \lambda u &= |u|^{p-1}u && \text{in } B, \\ u &> 0 && \text{in } B, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial B. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{1.5}$$

We know from [16] that this problem has a solution under the assumptions (1.2). Using moving plane method (see [1, 11] and the references therein) we know that any solution of (1.5) is radial and the uniqueness of radial solution has been established in [11]. Let us denote by u the unique positive radial solution of (1.5) and \tilde{L} the linearized operator

$$\tilde{L}(\Phi) = -\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} \Phi - \lambda \Phi - pu^{p-1}\Phi, \quad \Phi \in H_0^1(B). \tag{1.6}$$

In the case of Euclidean ball, the nondegeneracy of the unique positive solution of semilinear elliptic equations has been studied by various authors (see [6, 13] and the references therein). In the hyperbolic case we prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Under the assumptions (1.2), the unique solution of (1.5) is nondegenerated.*

Remark. Both Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 extend to the case $\lambda = (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$, but in the first case we have to work with the energy space introduced in [11] instead of $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$.

2. Notations and Preliminaries

In this section we will introduce some of the notation and definitions used in this paper and also recall some of the embeddings related to the Sobolev space in the hyperbolic space.

We will denote by \mathbb{B}^N the disc model of the hyperbolic space, i.e. the unit disc equipped with the Riemannian metric $g = \sum_{i=1}^N (\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^2 dx_i^2$.

The corresponding volume element is given by $dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} = (\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^N dx$. The hyperbolic gradient $\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ and the hyperbolic Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ are given by

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \left(\frac{1-|x|^2}{2}\right)^2 \nabla, \quad \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \left(\frac{1-|x|^2}{2}\right)^2 \Delta + (N-2)\frac{1-|x|^2}{2} \langle x, \nabla \rangle.$$

Sobolev Space. We will denote by $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ the Sobolev space on the disc model of the hyperbolic space \mathbb{B}^N and $H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ the subspace of $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ consisting of radial functions. For a geodesic ball B in \mathbb{B}^N we will denote by $H_0^1(B)$ the completion of $C_c^\infty(B)$ with the norm $\|u\|^2 = \int_B |\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2 dv_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ and $H_{0,r}^1(B)$ its subspace consisting of radial functions.

Let us recall the following Sobolev embedding theorem (see [11] for a proof).

Poincaré–Sobolev inequality. For every $N \geq 3$ and every $p \in (1, \frac{N+2}{N-2}]$ there is a constant $S_{N,p} > 0$ such that

$$S_{N,p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u|^{p+1} dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \left[|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2 - \frac{(N-1)^2}{4} u^2 \right] dv_{\mathbb{B}^N}, \quad (2.1)$$

for every $u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$. If $N = 2$, any $p > 1$ is allowed.

In general the embedding $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\mathbb{B}^N)$ is not compact, however for radial functions, using the pointwise estimate we have the following compactness lemma (see [3] for a proof).

Lemma 2.1. *The embedding $H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\mathbb{B}^N)$ is compact if $1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and $N \geq 3$ or $1 < p < \infty$ and $N = 2$.*

For a positive function V on \mathbb{B}^N define:

$$L^2(\mathbb{B}^N, V dv_{\mathbb{B}^N}) = \left\{ u : \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u^2 V dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} < \infty \right\},$$

then we have the following compact embedding in the general case.

Proposition 2.2. *The embedding $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{B}^N, V dv_{\mathbb{B}^N})$ is compact, if $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N)$ and $V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}_n \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ be such that $\|u_n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)} \leq C$ for some $C > 0$. Then up to a subsequence $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ and pointwise. To complete the proof we need to show $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\mathbb{B}^N, V dv_{\mathbb{B}^N})$. Let $\epsilon > 0$; since $V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ there exists $R_\epsilon < 1$ such that $V(x) < \epsilon$ for all $|x| \geq R_\epsilon$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_n^2 V dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} - \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u^2 V dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u_n^2 - u^2| V dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} \\ & = \int_{|x| \leq R_\epsilon} |u_n^2 - u^2| V dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} + \int_{|x| \geq R_\epsilon} |u_n^2 - u^2| V dv_{\mathbb{B}^N}. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ thanks to Relich’s compactness theorem. Using Poincaré–Sobolev inequality, the second integral can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x| \geq R_\epsilon} |u_n^2 - u^2| V dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} & < \epsilon \int_{|x| \geq R_\epsilon} |u_n^2 - u^2| dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} \\ & \leq \epsilon \left[\int_{|x| \geq R_\epsilon} u_n^2 dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} + \int_{|x| \geq R_\epsilon} u^2 dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right] < C\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, this implies $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{B}^N, V dv_{\mathbb{B}^N})$. □

We also need some information on the isometries of \mathbb{B}^N . Below we recall the definition of a special type of isometries namely the hyperbolic translations. For more details on the isometry group of \mathbb{B}^N we refer to [14].

Hyperbolic translations. For $b \in \mathbb{B}^N$ define

$$\tau_b(x) = \frac{(1 - |b|^2)x + (|x|^2 + 2x \cdot b + 1)b}{|b|^2|x|^2 + 2x \cdot b + 1}; \tag{2.2}$$

then τ_b is an isometry of \mathbb{B}^N with $\tau_b(0) = b$. The map τ_b is called the hyperbolic translation of \mathbb{B}^N by b .

3. Radial Nondegeneracy

In this section, we prove the radial nondegeneracy of the linearized operators. First, consider the case of (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Phi \in H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ satisfy $L(\Phi) = 0$. Then $\Phi = 0$.*

Similarly for (1.5) we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\Phi \in H_{0,r}^1(B)$ satisfy $\tilde{L}(\Phi) = 0$. Then $\Phi = 0$.*

We will prove Theorem 3.1, and the proof of Theorem 3.2 follows similarly with obvious modifications. As in [9] we use the information on the Morse index of a perturbed problem to establish the result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let U be the unique positive radial solution of (1.1), and consider for $\epsilon > 0$, I_ϵ defined on $H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ by*

$$I_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} [|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2 - (\lambda - \epsilon U^{p-1})u^2] dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} - \frac{1 + \epsilon}{p + 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u^{+}|^{p+1} dv_{\mathbb{B}^N}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $u = U$ is the unique critical point of I_ϵ , U is a mountain pass critical point and hence of radial Morse index one.

We postpone the proof of this theorem to Sec. 5.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume that there exists a $\Phi \neq 0$ such that $L(\Phi) = 0$. We will show that this will contradict the fact that U is a critical point of I_ϵ with radial Morse index one. Recall the Morse Index of U denoted $i(I_\epsilon, U)$ is defined as

$$i(I_\epsilon, U) = \max\{\dim H : H \subset H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \text{ is a subspace such that}$$

$$I''_\epsilon[U](h, h) < 0 \forall h \in H \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

We have

$$I''_\epsilon[U](v, v) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} [|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} v|^2 - (\lambda - \epsilon U^{p-1})v^2] dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} - (1 + \epsilon)p \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} U^{p-1}v^2 dv_{\mathbb{B}^N}.$$

Then

$$I''_\epsilon[U](U, U) = (1 + \epsilon)(1 - p) \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} U^{p+1} dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} < 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$I''_\epsilon[U](\Phi, \Phi) = \epsilon(1 - p) \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} U^{p-1} \Phi^2 dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} < 0.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} [\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} U \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} \Phi - \lambda U \Phi] dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} U^p \Phi dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} = 0,$$

we get for real numbers α, β

$$I''_\epsilon[U](\alpha U + \beta \Phi, \alpha U + \beta \Phi) = \alpha^2 I''_\epsilon[U](U, U) + \beta^2 I''_\epsilon[U](\Phi, \Phi) < 0.$$

Moreover, U and Φ are linearly independent and hence the radial Morse index of U is at least 2, which is a contradiction. □

4. Proof of Theorems

In this section we will prove our main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. Let Φ_i be as defined in Theorem 1.1. Then $L(\Phi_i) = 0$ for all i .

Proof of Step 1. Let $x \in \mathbb{B}^N$; then $V_i(x) = \gamma'(0)$ where $\gamma(t) = \tau_{te_i}(x), |t| < 1$, where $\{e_i\}$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^N and τ_{te_i} is the hyperbolic translation. Thus, $\Phi_i(x) = [\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} U \circ \tau_{te_i}(x)]$. Recall that if U is a solution of (1.1), then so is $V = U \circ \tau$ for any isometry τ of \mathbb{B}^N . In particular

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}(U \circ \tau_{te_i}) - \lambda U \circ \tau_{te_i} = (U \circ \tau_{te_i})^p. \tag{4.1}$$

Differentiating with respect to t and evaluating at $t = 0$, we see that $L(\Phi_i) = 0$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i &= \left[\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} U(\tau_{te_i}) \right] = \langle \nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} U(x), e_i \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^N} (|x|^2 + 1) - 2 \langle \nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} U(x), x \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^N} x_i \\ &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} (|x|^2 + 1) - 2 \langle \nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} U(x), x \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^N} x_i \\ &= \frac{x_i}{|x|} [1 - |x|^2] U'(|x|). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

From the estimates on U (established in [11]), we get $\Phi_i \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N), i = 1, \dots, N$, which are linearly independent. □

Step 2. Let $\mathbb{B}_+^N = \{x \in \mathbb{B}^N : x_1 > 0\}$ and $\psi \in H_0^1(\mathbb{B}_+^N)$ satisfy

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} \psi - \lambda \psi = p U^{p-1} \psi \text{ in } \mathbb{B}_+^N. \tag{4.3}$$

Then there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi = c \Phi_1$.

Proof of Step 2. We know from Lemma 2.2 that the embedding $H_0^1(\mathbb{B}_+^N) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{B}_+^N, U^{p-1}dv_{\mathbb{B}^N})$ is compact. Thus, by the compact operator theory and maximum principle the weighted eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}\psi - \lambda\psi = \mu U^{p-1}\psi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{B}_+^N, \quad \psi \in H_0^1(\mathbb{B}_+^N),$$

has a discrete set of eigenvalues $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots \rightarrow \infty$, with μ_1 simple. Moreover, any eigenfunction corresponding to $\mu_i, i > 1$, will change sign. Since $\Phi_1|_{\mathbb{B}_+^N}$ is an eigenfunction with $\mu = p$ and it has a constant sign (since $U' < 0$), we conclude that $\mu_1 = p$ and hence Step 2 follows from the simplicity of $\mu_1 = p$. \square

Step 3. Let $\Phi \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ satisfy $L(\Phi) = 0$. Then for any unit vector $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^N$ there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Phi(x) - \Phi(R_\nu(x)) = c\Phi_1(O_\nu(x)) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{B}^N,$$

where R_ν and O_ν denote the reflection with respect to the hyperplane $\{(\xi, \nu) = 0\}$ and an orthogonal transformation satisfying $O_\nu(\nu) = e_1$, respectively.

Proof of Step 3. Let us first consider the case when $\nu = e_1 = (1, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^N$; then $\psi(x) = \Phi(x) - \Phi(R_{e_1}x)$ satisfies (4.3) and hence from Step 2 we get the existence of $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\psi(x) = c\Phi_1(x).$$

Also note that $\Phi_1(x) = \Phi_1(O_{e_1}x)$, hence we have

$$\Phi(x) - \Phi(R_{e_1}x) = c\Phi_1(O_{e_1}x).$$

Similarly for any unit vector $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and any orthogonal transformation O_ν such that $O_\nu\nu = e_1$, we get

$$\Phi(x) - \Phi(R_\nu x) = c\Phi_1(O_\nu x),$$

this completes the proof of Step 3. \square

Step 4. Let Φ be in the kernel of L . Then there exist $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the function Φ^r defined by

$$\Phi^r := \Phi - \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \Phi_i$$

is radial.

Proof of Step 4. We have from Step 3, given any unit vector $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^N$, there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Phi(x) - \Phi(R_\nu x) = c\Phi_1(O_\nu x). \tag{4.4}$$

We earlier remark that for the orthogonal transformation O_{e_1} , we have $\Phi_1(x) = \Phi_1(O_1x)$.

Let $R_i := R_{e_i}$, $O_i = O_{e_i}$; then from (4.4) we have

$$\Phi(x) - \frac{1}{2}[\Phi(x) + \Phi(R_1x)] = c_1\Phi_1(O_1x) = c_1\Phi_1(x).$$

This implies

$$\Phi(x) - c_1\Phi_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\Phi(x) + \Phi(R_1x)].$$

Hence, $\Phi(x) - c_1\Phi_1(x)$ is even in x_1 .

Let $\Phi^1 = \Phi - c_1\Phi_1$ and applying (4.4), we get

$$\Phi^1(x) - \frac{1}{2}[\Phi^1(x) + \Phi^1(R_2x)] = c_2\Phi_1(O_2x).$$

From (4.2), we get $\Phi_1(O_2x) = \Phi_2(x)$.

This implies

$$\Phi^1 - c_2\Phi_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\Phi^1(x) + \Phi^1(R_2x)].$$

Hence, $\Phi - c_1\Phi_1(x) - c_2\Phi_2(x)$ is even in x_2 and, of course, in x_1 as well.

By continuing this procedure, we get constants c_1, \dots, c_N , such that $\Phi^r := \Phi - \sum_{i=1}^N c_i\Phi_i$ is even for all the x_i and hence $\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}\Phi^r(0) = 0$.

By (4.4), $\Phi^r(x) - \Phi^r(R_\nu x) = c\Phi_1(O_\nu x)$ for some c . Since by Hopf lemma

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}\Phi_1(O_\nu x)|_{x=0} \neq 0,$$

then we must have $c = 0$, i.e. $\Phi^r(x) = \Phi^r(R_\nu x)$ for all x and ν . Hence Φ^r is radial. □

Step 5. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $\Phi^r = 0$ and hence $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i\Phi_i$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Thanks to Theorem 3.2, we only have to show that if $\tilde{L}(\Phi) = 0$ then Φ is radial.

Let $u(x) = \tilde{u}(|x|)$ be the unique radial solution of (1.5), and define $v(x) = \frac{x_i}{|x|}[1 - |x|^2]\tilde{u}'(|x|)$; then we see that $\tilde{L}(v) = 0$ in B^+ where $B^+ = \{x \in B : x_1 > 0\}$. Moreover, $v < 0$ in B^+ and $v < 0$ on $\partial B^+ \cap \partial B$, the first eigenvalue of \tilde{L} in B^+ is positive (see [6]).

Let $\Phi \in H_0^1(B)$ be such that $\tilde{L}(\Phi) = 0$; then from standard elliptic theory we know that Φ is smooth in \bar{B} . Let $\psi(x) = \Phi(x) - \Phi(\tilde{x})$ where $\tilde{x} = (-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$; then ψ solves

$$\tilde{L}(\psi) = 0 \quad \text{in } B^+, \quad \psi \in H_0^1(B^+). \tag{4.5}$$

Since the first eigenvalue of \tilde{L} is positive we get $\psi = 0$ and hence Φ is symmetric in the x_1 -direction. Since the equation is invariant under rotation we get that Φ is symmetric in all the directions and hence radial. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

5. Perturbed Problems

In this section, we will prove Theorem 3.3. Let U be the unique solution of (1.1), and define for $u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$

$$I_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} [|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2 - (\lambda - \epsilon U^{p-1})u^2] dv_{\mathbb{B}^N} - \frac{1 + \epsilon}{p + 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u^+|^{p+1} dv_{\mathbb{B}^N}. \tag{5.1}$$

Then we know that $I'_\epsilon(u) = 0$ if and only if u solves the PDE

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} u - (\lambda - \epsilon U^{p-1})u &= (1 + \epsilon)|u|^{p-1}u, \\ u &> 0, \\ u &\in H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N). \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{5.2}$$

Observe that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $u = U$ itself is a solution of (5.2). Our aim in this section is to prove that U is the only positive radial solution of this equation for small ϵ and U is a mountain pass critical point.

Theorem 5.1. *Let λ satisfy (1.2). Then there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, I_ϵ on $H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ has a mountain pass critical point.*

Proof. The inequality (2.1) easily establishes that I_ϵ has the mountain pass geometry. Also for $1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3$ and $p > 1$ if $N = 2$, I_ϵ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition thanks to Lemma 2.1. Thus the theorem follows the subcritical case.

In the critical case, in general I_ϵ does not satisfy the Palais–Smale condition. However, it follows from [3, Theorem 3.3] that I_ϵ does satisfy the Palais–Smale condition at level β if $0 < \beta < \frac{S \frac{N}{2}}{N}$ where S is the best constant in the Euclidean Sobolev inequality given by

$$S = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx : \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^{2^*} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Thus, in the critical case it remains to find a function $u_1 \in H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ such that $I_\epsilon(u_1) \leq 0$ and $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_\epsilon(tu_1) < \frac{S \frac{N}{2}}{N}$. Let us assume that ϵ is small enough and $\lambda - \epsilon U^{p-1} \geq \tilde{\lambda} > \frac{N(N-2)}{4}$. Then for $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N)$, after a conformal change of metric, i.e. putting $v(x) = (\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u(x)$ we get

$$I_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} [|\nabla v|^2 - a(x)v^2] dx - \frac{1 + \epsilon}{p + 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |v^+|^{2^*} dx := J_\epsilon(v),$$

where $a(x) = (\lambda - \frac{N(N-2)}{4} - \epsilon U^{p-1}) \frac{4}{(1-|x|^2)^2}$. Since assumption (1.2) on λ , for $N \geq 4$, gives $a(x) > 0$, then from the well-studied Brezis–Nirenberg problem [4], we know the existence of $v_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N)$ such that

$$J_\epsilon(v_1) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_\epsilon(tv_1) < \frac{S \frac{N}{2}}{N}.$$

Thus, $u_1(x) = (\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^{\frac{N-2}{2}} v_1(x)$ satisfies all the required properties.

Thus, I_ϵ has a radial mountain pass critical point. It is important to remark that in dimension 3 there is nothing to prove because of the non-existence phenomenon (see [11]). \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let λ satisfy (1.2). Then there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, (5.2) has a unique positive radial solution.*

Proof. First note that any positive radial solution of (5.2) is radially decreasing. Denote the solution by $u(|x|)$. Normalizing the constant on the right-hand side to one and writing the equation in hyperbolic polar coordinates, i.e. $|x| = \tanh \frac{t}{2}$ we have to prove the uniqueness of solutions of the ODE

$$u'' + \frac{N-1}{\tanh t} u' + \lambda_\epsilon u + u^p = 0, \quad u'(0) = 0, \tag{5.3}$$

satisfying

$$\int_0^\infty [u^2 + |u'|^2](\sinh t)^{N-1} dt < \infty, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\lambda_\epsilon = \lambda - \epsilon U^{p-1}$. The term on the left-hand side of (5.4) is the $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ -norm of u . As mentioned before, when $\epsilon = 0$ the uniqueness of solutions of (5.3)–(5.4) has been established in [11]. For $\epsilon > 0$, the proof also follows the same lines as in [11] with some modifications. So we will only outline the proof, modifying the details which are likely to differ in the case of $\epsilon > 0$, and refer to [11] for details.

The main ingredient in the uniqueness proof is the following auxiliary energy $E_{\hat{u}}$ (see [11, 10]) defined by

$$E_{\hat{u}}(t) := \frac{1}{2}(\sinh^\beta t)\hat{u}'^2 + \frac{|\hat{u}|^{p+1}}{p+1} + \frac{1}{2}G_\epsilon \hat{u}^2,$$

where $\hat{u} = (\sinh^\alpha t)u$, $\alpha = \frac{2(N-1)}{p+3}$, $\beta := \alpha(p-1)$ and $G_\epsilon(t) := A_\epsilon(t) \sinh^\beta t + B \sinh^{\beta-2} t$, where

$$A_\epsilon := A - \epsilon U^{p-1}, \quad A := \lambda - \frac{\alpha^2(p+1)}{2}, \quad B := \frac{\alpha}{2}[2 - \alpha(p+1)].$$

Proceeding exactly as in the proof of [11, Lemma 3.4] we get the following result.

Let $N \geq 2, p > 1, \lambda < (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$ and $u > 0$ be a solution of (5.3). Then

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log u^2}{t} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log u'^2}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log[u^2 + u'^2]}{t} = -[N - 1 + \sqrt{(N-1)^2 - 4\lambda}], \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u'(t)}{u(t)} &= -\frac{[N - 1 + \sqrt{(N-1)^2 - 4\lambda}]}{2}. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{5.5}$$

Using the estimates in (5.5), we have the following estimates on the auxiliary energy:

$$E_{\hat{u}}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^2 + B}{2} \sinh^{\alpha(p+1)-2} tu^2(t) + o(1) & \text{if } N = 2, \\ o(1) & \text{if } N \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, and

$$E_{\hat{u}}(t) = o(1) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ if } N \geq 2. \quad (5.7)$$

Since \hat{u} satisfies

$$(\sinh^\beta t)\hat{u}'' + \frac{1}{2}[\sinh^\beta t]'\hat{u}' + G_\epsilon(t)\hat{u}^p = 0,$$

we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{\hat{u}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}G'_\epsilon\hat{u}^2.$$

Next we have the following claim.

Claim. *There exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $t_1 > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq \epsilon < \epsilon_0$*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} G'_\epsilon(t) < 0 \quad \forall t > 0 \quad & \text{if } N = 2 \text{ and } \lambda < \frac{2(p+1)}{(p+3)^2}, \\ G'_\epsilon(t)(t_1 - t) > 0 \quad \forall t \neq t_1 \quad & \text{if } N \geq 3, p < 2^* - 1, \lambda < \frac{2(N-1)^2(p+1)}{(p+3)^2}, \\ G'_\epsilon(t) > 0 \quad \forall t > 0 \quad & \text{if } N \geq 3, p < 2^* - 1, \lambda \geq \frac{2(N-1)^2(p+1)}{(p+3)^2}, \\ G'_\epsilon(t) > 0 \quad \forall t > 0 \quad & \text{if } N \geq 3, p = 2^* - 1, \lambda > \frac{N(N-2)}{4}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5.8)$$

Proof of Claim. By direct calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} G'_\epsilon(t) &= A\beta(\sinh t)^{\beta-1} \cosh t + B(\beta - 2)(\sinh t)^{\beta-3} \cosh t \\ &\quad - \epsilon(p - 1)U^{p-2}U'(t)(\sinh t)^\beta - \epsilon\beta U^{p-1}(\sinh t)^{\beta-1} \cosh t \\ &= \beta(\sinh t)^{\beta-3} \cosh t \left\{ \left(A - \epsilon U^{p-1} - \frac{\epsilon(p-1)}{\beta} U^{p-2}U'(t) \tanh t \right) (\sinh t)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{B(\beta-2)}{\beta} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

To analyze the sign of G'_ϵ , first recall that we have estimates on U given in (5.5). In the first case in (5.8) we have both $A < 0$ and $\frac{B(\beta-2)}{\beta} < 0$. Since U and U' are bounded, we get for ϵ small enough $G'_\epsilon(t) < 0$, for all t . In the fourth case, $\beta = 2$ and $A = \lambda - \frac{N(N-2)}{4} > 0$, so for ϵ small enough $G'_\epsilon(t) > 0$ for all t . In the third case $\beta < 2, B < 2$ and $A \geq 0$, again the conclusion follows. It remains to establish

the claim in the second case in (5.8). In this case, we have $A < 0$ and $\beta < 2, B < 2$. Hence, $G'_\epsilon(t) < 0$ for large enough t and $G'_\epsilon(t) > 0$ for t close to 0. Thus it remains to show that $\left[\frac{G'_\epsilon(t)}{\beta(\sinh t)^{\beta-3} \cosh t}\right]' < 0$ for all t if ϵ is small enough.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{G'_\epsilon(t)}{\beta(\sinh t)^{\beta-3} \cosh t}\right]' \\ &= [A - \epsilon U^{p-1}]2 \sinh t \cosh t - \frac{\epsilon}{\beta}(p-1)U^{p-2}U'(t)(\sinh t)^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{\epsilon(p-1)}{\beta}[(p-2)U^{p-3}U'^2(\sinh t)^2 \tanh t + U^{p-2}U''(t)(\sinh t)^2 \tanh t \\ & \quad + 2U^{p-2}U'(t)(\sinh t \cosh t) \tanh t + U^{p-2}U'(\sinh t)^2(\operatorname{sech} t)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.5) and using the fact that U satisfies Eq. (1.1), we get

$$\left[\frac{G'_\epsilon(t)}{\beta(\sinh t)^{\beta-3} \cosh t}\right]' = [A + O(\epsilon)]2 \sinh t \cosh t < 0 \quad \text{for } \epsilon \text{ small enough.}$$

This completes the proof of claim. □

Next we have the following comparison lemma for solutions of (5.3), we again refer to [11, Lemma 4.1] for its proof.

Comparison Lemma. Let u, v be distinct positive solutions of (5.3). Then given $R, M > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(u, R)$ such that if $u(0), v(0) \leq M$ then

$$u(t_i) = v(t_i), 0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq R, \Rightarrow t_2 - t_1 \geq \delta.$$

In addition, if u satisfies the finite energy condition and $v(0) < u(0)$ then there is t_v such that $u(t_v) = v(t_v)$ and there is $\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}(u)$ such that

$$u(t_1) = v(t_1), 0 < \tilde{t} < t_1, \Rightarrow v(t) > u(t) \forall t > \tilde{t}.$$

Having established the estimates on the energy (5.6)–(5.7), the monotonicity properties of the energy (5.8) and the above comparison lemma, we get the following uniqueness result in geodesic balls.

Let λ satisfy (1.2); then for every $T > 0$ the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} u'' + \frac{N-1}{\tanh t}u' + \lambda_\epsilon u + u^p &= 0, \\ u'(0) = 0, u(T) = 0, u(t) > 0 &\forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

has at most one solution.

The proof follows exactly like the case of $\epsilon = 0$ and so we refer to [11]. Using this uniqueness result of geodesic balls, the rest of the uniqueness proof follows exactly as in [11]. □

Proof of Theorem 3.3. From Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 we know that I_ϵ has a unique critical point and it is a mountain pass critical point. On the other hand, U is a

critical point of I_ϵ , and hence it is the unique critical point and of mountain pass type and hence the radial Morse index is one (see [8]). \square

References

- [1] L. Almeida, L. Damascelli and Y. Ge, A few symmetry results for nonlinear elliptic PDE on noncompact manifolds, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **19**(3) (2002) 313–342.
- [2] W. Beckner, On the Grushin operator and hyperbolic symmetry, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (2001) 1233–1246.
- [3] M. Bhakta and K. Sandeep, Poincaré–Sobolev equations in the hyperbolic space, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **44**(1–2) (2012) 247–269.
- [4] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **36**(4) (1983) 437–477.
- [5] D. Castorina, I. Fabbri, G. Mancini and K. Sandeep, Hardy–Sobolev extremals, hyperbolic symmetry and scalar curvature equations, *J. Differential Equations* **246** (2009) 1187–1206.
- [6] L. Damascelli, M. Grossi and F. Pacella, Qualitative properties of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in symmetric domains via the maximum principle, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **16**(5) (1999) 631–652.
- [7] G. Debdip and K. Sandeep, Sign changing solutions of the Brezis–Nirenberg problem in the hyperbolic space, to appear in *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* (2013); DOI 10.1007/s00526-013-0628-2.
- [8] H. Hofer, A note on the topological degree at a critical point of mountain pass type, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **90** (1984) 309–315.
- [9] Y. Kabeya and K. Tanaka, Uniqueness of positive radial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N and séré’s non-degeneracy condition, *Commun. Partial Differential Equations* **24**(3–4) (1999) 563–598.
- [10] M. K. Kwong and Y. Li, Uniqueness of radial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **333** (1992) 339–363.
- [11] G. Mancini and K. Sandeep, On a semilinear elliptic equation in H^n , *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **7**(4) (2008) 635–671.
- [12] F. Mugelli and G. Talenti, Sobolev inequalities in 2-D hyperbolic space: A borderline case, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2**(3) (1998) 195–228.
- [13] F. Pacella, Uniqueness of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations and related eigenvalue problems, *Milan J. Math.* **73** (2005) 221–236.
- [14] J. G. Ratcliffe, *Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 149 (Springer, New York, 2006).
- [15] P. N. Srikanth, Uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear Dirichlet problems, *Differential Integral Equations* **6**(3) (1993) 663–670.
- [16] S. Stapelkamp, The Brézis–Nirenberg problem on \mathbb{H}^n , Existence and uniqueness of solutions, in *Elliptic and Parabolic Problems (Rolduc/Gaeta, 2001)* (World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2002), pp. 283–290.